



# Further Refinements and Generalizations of the Young's and Its Reverse Inequalities

Jianguo Zhao<sup>1</sup>

Received: 12 October 2021 / Revised: 7 December 2022 / Accepted: 8 December 2022 /  
Published online: 16 December 2022

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia 2022

## Abstract

In this work, we mainly study refinements and generalizations of the Young's and its reverse inequalities. First, utilizing the famous weighted arithmetic and geometric mean inequality, we provide an alternative proof for the power version of the Young's inequality given by Al-Manasrah and Kittaneh. In addition, some generalizations of the Al-Manasrah–Kittaneh's inequalities are also given. Further, we also establish refinement of the Alzer–Fonseca–Kovačec's version of the Young's inequality as follows. Let  $a, b, \mu$  and  $v$  be positive real numbers with  $0 \leq \mu < v \leq 1$  and  $m$  be a positive integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mu a + (1 - \mu)b]^m - (a^\mu b^{1-\mu})^m + r \left[ a^{\frac{m}{2}} - (a^v b^{1-v})^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]^2 \\ & \leq \left( \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - v} \right)^m \left[ (va + (1 - v)b)^m - (a^v b^{1-v})^m \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & r_1 \left[ a^{\frac{m}{2}} - (a^v b^{1-v})^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]^2 + \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^m \left[ (va + (1 - v)b)^m - (a^v b^{1-v})^m \right] \\ & \leq [\mu a + (1 - \mu)b]^m - (a^\mu b^{1-\mu})^m, \end{aligned}$$

---

Communicated by Fuad Kittaneh.

---

✉ Jianguo Zhao  
jgzhaodj@163.com

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yangtze Normal University, Street Ma'an, Fuling 408100, Chongqing, People's Republic of China

where  $r$  is a constant with  $0 < r \leq \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\nu}\right)^m v^m - \mu^m$  and  $r_1$  is a constant with  $0 < r_1 \leq \min\left\{\left(\frac{\mu}{\nu}\right)^m, \mu^m - \left(\frac{\mu}{\nu}\right)^m v^m\right\}$ . As an application, we present corresponding operator and matrix inequalities following from the established scalar inequalities.

**Keywords** Young's and its reverse inequalities · Al-Manasrah–Kittaneh's inequalities · Alzer–Fonseca–Kovačec's inequalities · Determinants · Positive semidefinite matrices, operators

**MSC Classification** 15A60 · 47A63

## 1 Introduction

The famous weighted arithmetic and geometric mean inequality can be stated as follows: Let  $m$  be a positive integer and  $x_k$  and  $p_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) be positive real numbers with  $\sum_{k=1}^m p_k = 1$ , then

$$\prod_{k=1}^m x_k^{p_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^m p_k x_k. \quad (1)$$

Equality holds if and only if  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_m$ . When  $m = 2$ , inequality (1) is just the classical Young's inequality

$$a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq (1-\nu)a + \nu b, \quad (2)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are positive real numbers and  $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$ .

Refining the Young's and its reverse inequalities by adding a positive term to the left (right) side becomes possible, which has been taken the attention of many researchers.

In [19, 20], Kittaneh and Manasrah presented a refinement of Young's and its reverse inequalities:

$$a^\nu b^{1-\nu} + r(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 \leq \nu a + (1-\nu)b \leq a^\nu b^{1-\nu} + R(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2, \quad (3)$$

where  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$ ,  $r = \min\{\nu, 1-\nu\}$  and  $R = \max\{\nu, 1-\nu\}$ .

The squared form of the refined Young's and its reverse inequalities, obtained by Hirzallah etc. [15] and He etc. [12], respectively, can be stated that

$$r^2(a-b)^2 \leq (\nu a + (1-\nu)b)^2 - (a^\nu b^{1-\nu})^2 \leq R^2(a-b)^2, \quad (4)$$

where  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$ ,  $r = \min\{\nu, 1-\nu\}$  and  $R = \max\{\nu, 1-\nu\}$ .

In 2015, Manasrah and Kittaneh [24, Theorem 2] deduced a more generalization of inequalities (3) and (4) that

$$(a^v b^{1-v})^m + r^m (a^{\frac{m}{2}} - b^{\frac{m}{2}})^2 \leq (va + (1-v)b)^m \quad (5)$$

for any positive integer  $m$ , where  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ , and  $r = \min\{v, 1-v\}$ .

It should be mentioned here that Akkouchi and Ighachane [1] gave an alternative proof to inequality (5).

In 2017, Al-Manasrah and Kittaneh [2, Theorem 3] presented another generalization of inequalities (3) as follows: Let  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $v$  be positive real numbers with  $0 \leq v \leq 1$  and  $m$  be a positive integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} r^m (a^{\frac{m}{2}} - b^{\frac{m}{2}})^2 &\leq r^m [(a+b)^m - 2^m (ab)^{\frac{m}{2}}]^2 \\ &\leq [va + (1-v)b]^m - (a^v b^{1-v})^m \\ &\leq R^m [(a+b)^m - 2^m (ab)^{\frac{m}{2}}]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $r = \min\{v, 1-v\}$  and  $R = \max\{v, 1-v\}$ .

It is easy to see that the left-hand side of inequalities (6) is also a refinement of inequality (5).

Alzer, Fonseca and Kovačec [3, Theorem 2.1] obtained an important refinement of the Young's inequalities (3): Let  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $v$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  be positive real numbers with  $0 \leq \mu \leq v \leq 1$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Then

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{v}\right)^\lambda \leq \frac{[\mu a + (1-\mu)b]^\lambda - (a^\mu b^{1-\mu})^\lambda}{[va + (1-v)b]^\lambda - (a^v b^{1-v})^\lambda} \leq \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-v}\right)^\lambda. \quad (7)$$

The Alzer–Fonseca–Kovačec's inequalities (7) can be regarded as a major development concerning the Young's inequality for the past few years.

Later, Liao and Wu [23, Theorem 2.1] deduced the following inequalities between the arithmetic mean and the harmonic mean:

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{v}\right)^\lambda \leq \frac{[\mu a + (1-\mu)b]^\lambda - [\mu a^{-1} + (1-\mu)b^{-1}]^{-\lambda}}{[va + (1-v)b]^\lambda - [va^{-1} + (1-v)b^{-1}]^{-\lambda}} \leq \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-v}\right)^\lambda, \quad (8)$$

where  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $v$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are positive real numbers with  $0 \leq \mu \leq v \leq 1$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$ .

As far as convex functions are concerned, Sababheh [28, Theorem 2.1] generalized inequalities (7) and (8): Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a convex function and  $v$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  be positive real numbers with  $0 \leq \mu \leq v \leq 1$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Then

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{v}\right)^\lambda \leq \frac{[\mu f(0) + (1-\mu)f(1)]^\lambda - f(\mu)^\lambda}{[vf(0) + (1-v)f(1)]^\lambda - f(v)^\lambda} \leq \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-v}\right)^\lambda.$$

In 2020, Ren [27, Theorems 2.1 and 2.3] refined the Alzer–Fonseca–Kovačec's inequalities (7) in the cases  $\lambda = 1, 2$  under some conditions.

In 2021, Ighachane, Akkouchi and benabdi [17, Theorem 2.2] gave a refinement of the left-hand side of the Alzer–Fonseca–Kovačec’s inequalities (7) for  $\lambda = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

The Young’s and its reverse inequalities, though very simple, are important in functional analysis, matrix theory, operator theory, electrical networks, etc. Many scholars had done much research in this topic. We refer the readers to [5, 8–10, 21, 25, 26, 29–37] and references therein for other works.

The main aim of this work is to study generalizations and refinements of the Young’s and its reverse inequalities. First, we give an alternative proof to the Al-Manasrah–Kittaneh’s inequalities (6). And then, we also give some generalizations for the Al-Manasrah–Kittaneh’s inequalities (6) and refinements of the Alzer–Fonseca–Kovačec’s inequalities (7) for  $\lambda = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Based on them, we present some refined matrix version of the Young’s and its reverse inequalities for convex functions. Moreover, inequalities for determinants and operators are also given.

## 2 Generalizations and Refinements of the Young’s and Its Reverse Inequalities for Scalars

In this section, we mainly study generalizations and refinements of the Young’s and its reverse inequalities for scalars. Before giving the main results, we need the following lemmas. The first lemma was given by Akkouchi and Ighachane [1].

**Lemma 1** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer and  $v$  be a positive real number with  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^m C_m^k v^k (1-v)^{m-k} = mv,$$

where  $C_m^k = \binom{m}{k}$  is the binomial coefficient.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $\mu$  and  $v$  be positive real numbers with  $0 \leq \mu < v \leq 1$  and  $m$  be a positive integer. Then inequality holds*

$$\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-v}\right)^m v^k (1-v)^{m-k} - \mu^k (1-\mu)^{m-k} > 0,$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ .

**Proof** Since  $0 \leq \mu < v \leq 1$ , then  $\frac{v}{\mu} > 1$  and  $\frac{1-\mu}{1-v} > 1$ . So we get

$$\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-v}\right)^m \frac{v^k (1-v)^{m-k}}{\mu^k (1-\mu)^{m-k}} = \left(\frac{v}{\mu}\right)^k \cdot \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-v}\right)^k > 1.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

The third lemma was obtained by Ighachane, Akkouchi and Bennabdi [17, Lemma 2.2].

**Lemma 3** Let  $\mu$  and  $v$  be positive real numbers with  $0 \leq \mu < v \leq 1$  and  $m$  be a positive integer. Then inequality holds

$$\mu^k(1 - \mu)^{m-k} - \left(\frac{\mu}{v}\right)^m v^k(1 - v)^{m-k} > 0,$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ .

Utilizing the famous weighted arithmetic and geometric mean inequality, we can give an alternative proof to inequalities (6). For convenience, we present it as a theorem.

**Theorem 1** Let  $a, b$  and  $v$  be positive real numbers with  $0 \leq v \leq 1$  and  $m$  be a positive integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} r^m \left[ (a + b)^m - 2^m (ab)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] &\leq [va + (1 - v)b]^m - (a^v b^{1-v})^m \\ &\leq R^m \left[ (a + b)^m - 2^m (ab)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where  $r = \min\{v, 1 - v\}$  and  $R = \max\{v, 1 - v\}$ .

**Proof** By the binomial expansion of  $(va + (1 - v))^m$  and  $(a + 1)^m$ , we have

$$(va + (1 - v))^m = \sum_{k=0}^m p_k a^k$$

and

$$(a + 1)^m = \sum_{k=0}^m C_m^k a^k,$$

where  $p_k = C_m^k v^k (1 - v)^{m-k}$  and  $C_m^k = \binom{m}{k}$  is the binomial coefficient,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ .

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &[va + (1 - v)]^m - a^{mv} - r^m \left[ (a + 1)^m - 2^m a^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m p_k a^k - a^{mv} - r^m \left[ \sum_{k=0}^m C_m^k a^k - 2^m a^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m \left[ p_k - r^m C_m^k \right] a^k + 2^m r^m a^{\frac{m}{2}} - a^{mv} \\ &\geq a^{\sum_{k=0}^m k \left[ p_k - r^m C_m^k \right] + m 2^{m-1} r^m} - a^{mv} \text{ (inequality (1))} \\ &= a^{mv - m 2^{m-1} r^m + m 2^{m-1} r^m} - a^{mv} \text{ (Lemma 1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0. \tag{10}$$

Inequality (10) gives

$$r^m \left[ (a + 1)^m - 2^m a^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \leq [va + (1 - v)]^m - a^{mv}. \tag{11}$$

Putting  $a =: \frac{a}{b}$  in inequality (11), we have

$$r^m \left[ \left( \frac{a}{b} + 1 \right)^m - 2^m \left( \frac{a}{b} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] \leq \left[ v \frac{a}{b} + (1 - v) \right]^m - \left[ \frac{a}{b} \right]^{mv}. \tag{12}$$

Multiplying  $b^m$  on both sides of inequality (12), we get the left-hand side of inequality (9).

Similarly, since  $R = \max\{v, 1 - v\}$ , then  $2R \geq 1$ , thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & R^m \left[ (a + 1)^m - 2^m a^{\frac{m}{2}} \right] - (va + 1 - v)^m + a^{mv} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m \left[ C_m^k R^m - p_k \right] a^k + a^{mv} - (2R)^m a^{\frac{m}{2}} \\ &= (2R)^m \left[ \sum_{k=0}^m (2R)^{-m} (C_m^k R^m - p_k) a^k + (2R)^{-m} a^{mv} \right] - (2R)^m a^{\frac{m}{2}} \\ &\geq (2R)^m a^{(2R)^{-m} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^m k (C_m^k R^m - p_k) + mv \right\}} - (2R)^m a^{\frac{m}{2}} \text{ (inequality(1))} \\ &= (2R)^m a^{\left[ \frac{m}{2} - mv(2R)^{-m} + mv(2R)^{-m} \right]} - (2R)^m a^{\frac{m}{2}} \text{ (Lemma1)} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(va + 1 - v)^m + a^{mv} \leq R^m \left[ (a + 1)^m - 2^m a^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]. \tag{13}$$

Setting  $a =: \frac{a}{b}$  in inequality (13), we get

$$\left[ v \frac{a}{b} + 1 - v \right]^m + \left( \frac{a}{b} \right)^{mv} \leq R^m \left[ \left( \frac{a}{b} + 1 \right)^m - 2^m \left( \frac{a}{b} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]. \tag{14}$$

Multiplying  $b^m$  on both sides of inequality (14), we get the right-hand side of inequality (9).

This completes the proof. □

It is worth mentioning that the authors [2, Theorem 2] proved that if  $\phi$  is a strictly increasing convex function on an interval  $I$ , then  $\phi(z) - \phi(w) \leq \phi(x) - \phi(y)$ , where  $x, y, w, z$  are points in  $I$  with  $w \leq z \leq x, y \leq x$  and  $z - w \leq x - y$ . By using this result for the function  $\phi(x) = x^p$  ( $p \geq 1$ ), they obtained Theorem 1. It is easy to see

that our proof of Theorem 1 is different from that of Al-Manasrah and Kittaneh’s [2, Theorem 3].

The positive integer  $m$  in inequality (9) can be replaced by positive real number  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Actually, we have the following results.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $a, b$  and  $\lambda$  be positive real numbers with  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Then*

$$\frac{[va + (1 - v)b]^\lambda - (a^v b^{1-v})^\lambda}{\min\{v, 1 - v\}^\lambda} \geq \frac{[\tau a + (1 - \tau)b]^\lambda - (a^\tau b^{1-\tau})^\lambda}{\min\{\tau, 1 - \tau\}^\lambda}$$

for  $0 \leq v \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , its reverse holds for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq \tau \leq 1$ . In particular,

$$[va + (1 - v)b]^\lambda - (a^v b^{1-v})^\lambda \geq r^\lambda [(a + b)^\lambda - 2^\lambda (ab)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}],$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq 1$  and  $r = \min\{v, 1 - v\}$ .

**Proof** The proof idea is due to Alzer–Fonseca–Kovačec [3, Theorem 2.1].

Taking  $h(x) = x(1 - \ln x) - 1$ , then  $h'(x) = -\ln x$ , thus  $h(x) < h(1) = 0$  for all  $0 < x \neq 1$ . Define the function  $F(v, \lambda, a) = \frac{(va+1-v)^\lambda - a^{v\lambda}}{\min\{v, 1-v\}^\lambda}$ . Then for  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} F(v, \lambda, a) &= \frac{\lambda}{v^{1+\lambda} a^{v(1-\lambda)}} \left[ a^v (1 - v \ln a) - \left( \frac{va + 1 - v}{a^v} \right)^{\lambda-1} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{v^{1+\lambda} a^{v(1-\lambda)}} \left[ a^v (1 - v \ln a) - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{v^{1+\lambda} a^{v(1-\lambda)}} h(a^v) \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$\frac{(va + 1 - v)^\lambda - a^{v\lambda}}{\min\{v, 1 - v\}^\lambda} \geq \frac{(\tau a + 1 - \tau)^\lambda - a^{\tau\lambda}}{\min\{\tau, 1 - \tau\}^\lambda}, \tag{15}$$

for  $0 \leq v \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

On the other hand, let  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} F(v, \lambda, a) &= \frac{\lambda}{(1 - v)^{1+\lambda} a^{v(1-\lambda)-1}} \left[ \left( \frac{va + 1 - v}{a^v} \right)^{\lambda-1} - a^{v-1} ((1 - v) \ln a + 1) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda}{(1 - v)^{1+\lambda} a^{v(1-\lambda)-1}} \left[ 1 - a^{v-1} ((1 - v) \ln a + 1) \right] \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{(1 - v)^{1+\lambda} a^{v(1-\lambda)-1}} h(a^{v-1}) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which entails that

$$\frac{(va + 1 - v)^\lambda - a^{v\lambda}}{\min\{v, 1 - v\}^\lambda} \leq \frac{(\tau a + 1 - \tau)^\lambda - a^{\tau\lambda}}{\min\{\tau, 1 - \tau\}^\lambda}, \tag{16}$$

for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq \tau \leq 1$ .

Replacing  $a$  by  $\frac{a}{b}$  and multiplying  $b^\lambda$  in inequalities (15) and (16), we get the desired results. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The next theorem is a reverse of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $a, b$  and  $\lambda$  be positive real numbers with  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Then*

$$\frac{[va + (1 - v)b]^\lambda - (a^v b^{1-v})^\lambda}{\max\{v, 1 - v\}^\lambda} \leq \frac{[\tau a + (1 - \tau)b]^\lambda - (a^\tau b^{1-\tau})^\lambda}{\max\{\tau, 1 - \tau\}^\lambda}$$

for  $0 \leq v \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , its reverse holds for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq \tau \leq 1$ . Moreover,

$$[va + (1 - v)b]^\lambda - (a^v b^{1-v})^\lambda \leq R^\lambda [(a + b)^\lambda - 2^\lambda (ab)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}],$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq 1$  and  $R = \max\{v, 1 - v\}$ .

**Proof** The proof idea is the same as that of Theorem 2. First, the function  $h(x)$  is the same as in Theorem 2. Moreover, we define the function  $G(v, \lambda, a) = \frac{(va+1-v)^\lambda - a^{v\lambda}}{\max\{v, 1-v\}^\lambda}$ . Therefore, for  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} G(v, \lambda, a) &= \frac{\lambda}{(1 - v)^{1+\lambda} a^{v(1-\lambda)-1}} \left[ \left( \frac{va + 1 - v}{a^v} \right)^{\lambda-1} - a^{v-1} ((1 - v) \ln a + 1) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda}{(1 - v)^{1+\lambda} a^{v(1-\lambda)-1}} \left[ 1 - a^{v-1} ((1 - v) \ln a + 1) \right] \\ &= - \frac{\lambda}{(1 - v)^{1+\lambda} a^{v(1-\lambda)-1}} h(a^{v-1}) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$\frac{(va + 1 - v)^\lambda - a^{v\lambda}}{\max\{v, 1 - v\}^\lambda} \leq \frac{(\tau a + 1 - \tau)^\lambda - a^{\tau\lambda}}{\max\{\tau, 1 - \tau\}^\lambda}, \tag{17}$$

for  $0 \leq v \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .



On the other hand, if  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq 1$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} G(v, \lambda, a) &= \frac{\lambda}{v^{1+\lambda} a^{v(1-\lambda)}} \left[ a^v (1 - v \ln a) - \left( \frac{va + 1 - v}{a^v} \right)^{\lambda-1} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{v^{1+\lambda} a^{v(1-\lambda)}} \left[ a^v (1 - v \ln a) - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{v^{1+\lambda} a^{v(1-\lambda)}} h(a^v) \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which entails that

$$\frac{(va + 1 - v)^\lambda - a^{v\lambda}}{\max\{v, 1 - v\}^\lambda} \geq \frac{(\tau a + 1 - \tau)^\lambda - a^{\tau\lambda}}{\max\{\tau, 1 - \tau\}^\lambda}, \tag{18}$$

for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq \tau \leq 1$ .

Replacing  $a$  by  $\frac{a}{b}$  and multiplying  $b^\lambda$  in inequalities (17) and (18), we get the desired results.

This completes the proof. □

For convex functions, the following results hold.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be convex function and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Then*

$$\frac{[(1 - v)f(0) + vf(1)]^\lambda - f^\lambda(v)}{\min\{v, 1 - v\}^\lambda} \geq \frac{[(1 - \tau)f(0) + \tau f(1)]^\lambda - f^\lambda(\tau)}{\min\{\tau, 1 - \tau\}^\lambda}$$

holds for  $0 \leq v \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , its reverse holds for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq \tau \leq 1$ . In particular,

$$r^\lambda \left[ (f(0) + f(1))^\lambda - f^\lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right] \leq \left[ (1 - v)f(0) + vf(1) \right]^\lambda - f^\lambda(v),$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq 1$  and  $r = \min\{v, 1 - v\}$ .

**Proof** The proof idea is the same as that of Sababheh’s [28, Theorem 2.1].

First, we assume that the function  $f$  is twice differentiable, then  $f''(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Define the function

$$F(v) = \frac{[(1 - v)f(0) + vf(1)]^\lambda - f^\lambda(v)}{\min\{v, 1 - v\}^\lambda},$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ . Then, for  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$F'(v) = \frac{\lambda f^{\lambda-1}(v)}{v^{\lambda+1}} \left[ - \left( \frac{(1 - v)f(0) + vf(1)}{f(v)} \right)^{\lambda-1} f(0) + f(v) - vf'(v) \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda f^{\lambda-1}(v)}{v^{\lambda+1}} \left[ -f(0) + f(v) - v f'(v) \right]. \quad (19)$$

Putting  $g_1(v) = -f(0) + f(v) - v f'(v)$  ( $v \in [0, 1]$ ), since  $f''(v) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ , then  $g_1'(v) = -v f''(v) \leq 0$ . This shows  $g_1(v) \leq g_1(0) = 0$ ,  $v \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore, inequality (19) implies  $F(v)$  is decreasing with respect to  $v$  on  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Similarly, if  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} F'(v) &= \frac{\lambda f^{\lambda-1}(v)}{(1-v)^{\lambda+1}} \left[ \left( \frac{(1-v)f(0) + v f(1)}{f(v)} \right)^{\lambda-1} f(1) - f(v) - (1-v) f'(v) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda f^{\lambda-1}(v)}{(1-v)^{\lambda+1}} \left[ f(1) - f(v) - (1-v) f'(v) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Setting  $g_2(v) = f(1) - f(v) - (1-v) f'(v)$  ( $v \in [0, 1]$ ), then  $g_2'(v) = -(1-v) f''(v) \leq 0$ , which gives  $g_2(v) \geq g_2(1) = 0$ ,  $v \in [0, 1]$ . Thus, inequality (20) implies  $F(v)$  is increasing with respect to  $v$  on  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ .

The general case follows from the fact that any convex function is a uniform limit of smooth convex functions [4, Theorem 1].

This completes the proof.  $\square$

A reverse of Theorem 4 can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 5** Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be convex function and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Then

$$\frac{[(1-v)f(0) + v f(1)]^\lambda - f^\lambda(v)}{\max\{v, 1-v\}^\lambda} \leq \frac{[(1-\tau)f(0) + \tau f(1)]^\lambda - f^\lambda(\tau)}{\max\{\tau, 1-\tau\}^\lambda}$$

holds for  $0 < v \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , its reverse holds for  $\frac{1}{2} < v \leq \tau \leq 1$ . Moreover,

$$\left[ (1-v)f(0) + v f(1) \right]^\lambda - f^\lambda(v) \leq R^\lambda \left[ (f(0) + f(1))^\lambda - f^\lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right],$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq 1$  and  $R = \max\{v, 1-v\}$ .

**Proof** Using the same method as in that of Theorem 4, we can complete the proof. First, we assume the  $f$  is twice differentiable. Define the function

$$G(v) = \frac{[(1-v)f(0) + v f(1)]^\lambda - f^\lambda(v)}{\max\{v, 1-v\}^\lambda},$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ . Then, for  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G'(v) &= \frac{\lambda f^{\lambda-1}(v)}{(1-v)^{\lambda+1}} \left[ \left( \frac{(1-v)f(0) + v f(1)}{f(v)} \right)^{\lambda-1} f(1) - f(v) - (1-v) f'(v) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda f^{\lambda-1}(v)}{(1-v)^{\lambda+1}} \left[ f(1) - f(v) - (1-v) f'(v) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Setting  $g_2(v) = f(1) - f(v) - (1 - v)f'(v)$  ( $v \in [0, 1]$ ), then  $g_2'(v) = -(1 - v)f''(v) \leq 0$ , which gives  $g_2(v) \geq g_2(1) = 0$ ,  $v \in [0, 1]$ . By inequality (21), we conclude that  $G'(v) \geq 0$ , which implies  $G(v)$  is increasing with respect to  $v$  on  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Similarly, if  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 G'(v) &= \frac{\lambda f^{\lambda-1}(v)}{v^{\lambda+1}} \left[ - \left( \frac{(1-v)f(0) + vf(1)}{f(v)} \right)^{\lambda-1} f(0) + f(v) - vf'(v) \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{\lambda f^{\lambda-1}(v)}{v^{\lambda+1}} \left[ -f(0) + f(v) - vf'(v) \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{22}$$

Putting  $g_1(v) = -f(0) + f(v) - vf'(v)$  ( $v \in [0, 1]$ ), then  $g_1'(v) = -vf''(v) \leq 0$ ,  $v \in [0, 1]$ . This is to say that  $g_1(v) \leq g_1(0) = 0$ ,  $v \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore, inequality (22) implies  $G(v)$  is decreasing with respect to  $v$  on  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ .

The general case follows from the fact that any convex function is a uniform limit of smooth convex functions.

This completes the proof. □

**Remark 1** Let  $a, b$  and  $v$  be positive real numbers with  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ . Then the function  $f(v) = a^v b^{1-v}$  is a convex function on  $[0, 1]$ . Replacing  $f$  by  $f(v) = a^v b^{1-v}$  in Theorems 4 and 5, we get Theorems 2 and 3, respectively.

The following two theorems are refinements of the Alzer–Fonseca–Kovačec’s inequalities (7) when  $\lambda = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

**Theorem 6** Let  $a, b, \mu$  and  $v$  be positive real numbers with  $0 \leq \mu < v \leq 1$  and  $m$  be a positive integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[\mu a + (1 - \mu)b]^m - (a^\mu b^{1-\mu})^m + r \left[ a^{\frac{m}{2}} - (a^v b^{1-v})^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]^2 \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - v} \right)^m \left[ (va + (1 - v)b)^m - (a^v b^{1-v})^m \right],
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{23}$$

where  $r$  is a constant with  $0 < r \leq \left( \frac{1-\mu}{1-v} \right)^m v^m - \mu^m$ .

**Proof** Let  $\tilde{r} = \left( \frac{1-\mu}{1-v} \right)^m + r$ ,  $p_k(x) = C_m^k x^k (1-x)^{m-k}$ , ( $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ),  $\alpha_k = \left( \frac{1-\mu}{1-v} \right)^m p_k(v) - p_k(\mu)$ , ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ ),  $\alpha_m = \left( \frac{1-\mu}{1-v} \right)^m p_m(v) - p_m(\mu) - r$ ,  $\alpha_{m+1} = 1$ ,  $\alpha_{m+2} = 2r$ . By Lemma 2, we have  $\alpha_k \geq 0$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, m + 2$ ) and  $\sum_{k=0}^{m+2} \alpha_k = \tilde{r}$ . Therefore, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left( \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - v} \right)^m \left[ (va + 1 - v)^m - a^{mv} \right] - (\mu a + 1 - \mu)^m + a^{m\mu} - r \left[ a^{\frac{m}{2}} - a^{\frac{mv}{2}} \right]^2 \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k a^k + \alpha_{m+1} a^{m\mu} + \alpha_{m+2} a^{\frac{m(1+v)}{2}} - \tilde{r} a^{mv}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \tilde{r} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{\alpha_k}{\tilde{r}} a^k + \frac{\alpha_{m+1}}{\tilde{r}} a^{m\mu} + \frac{\alpha_{m+2}}{\tilde{r}} a^{\frac{m(1+v)}{2}} \right\} - \tilde{r} a^{mv} \\
 &\geq \tilde{r} a^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^m k \alpha_k + m \mu + \alpha_{m+2} \frac{m(1+v)}{2} \right\} - \tilde{r} a^{mv} \text{ (inequality (1))} \\
 &= \tilde{r} a^{mv} - \tilde{r} a^{mv} \text{ (Lemma 1)} \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\left( \frac{1-\mu}{1-v} \right)^m \left[ (va + 1 - v)^m - a^{mv} \right] \geq (\mu a + 1 - \mu)^m - a^{m\mu} + r \left[ a^{\frac{m}{2}} - a^{\frac{mv}{2}} \right]^2. \tag{24}$$

Replacing  $a$  by  $\frac{a}{b}$  and multiplying  $b^m$  in the above inequality (24), we get the desired inequality (23).

This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 7** *Let  $a, b, \mu$  and  $v$  be positive real numbers with  $0 \leq \mu < v \leq 1$  and  $m$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_1 \left[ a^{\frac{m}{2}} - (a^v b^{1-v})^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]^2 + \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^m \left[ (va + (1-v)b)^m - (a^v b^{1-v})^m \right] \\
 \leq (\mu a + (1-\mu)b)^m - (a^\mu b^{1-\mu})^m, \tag{25}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_1$  is a constant with  $0 < r_1 \leq \min \left\{ \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^m, \mu^m - \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^m v^m \right\}$ .

**Proof** Taking  $p_k(x) = C_m^k x^k (1-x)^{m-k}$ , ( $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ),  $\beta_k = p_k(\mu) - \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^m p_k(v)$ , ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ ),  $\beta_m = \mu^m - \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^m v^m - r_1$ ,  $\beta_{m+1} = \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^m - r_1$ ,  $\beta_{m+2} = 2r_1$ , then by Lemma 3, we have  $\beta_k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{m+2} \beta_k = 1$ .

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\mu a + 1 - \mu)^m - a^{m\mu} - \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^m \left[ (va + 1 - v)^m - a^{mv} \right] - r_1 \left[ a^{\frac{m}{2}} - a^{\frac{mv}{2}} \right]^2 \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^m \beta_k a^k + \beta_{m+1} a^{mv} + \beta_{m+2} a^{\frac{m(1+v)}{2}} - a^{m\mu} \\
 &\geq a \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^m k \beta_k + m v \beta_{m+1} + \frac{m(1+v)}{2} \beta_{m+2} \right\} - a^{m\mu} \text{ (inequality(1))} \\
 &= a^{m\mu} - a^{m\mu} \text{ (Lemma 1)} \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$\left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^m \left[ (va + 1 - v)^m - a^{mv} \right] + r_1 \left[ a^{\frac{m}{2}} - a^{\frac{mv}{2}} \right]^2 \leq (\mu a + 1 - \mu)^m - a^{m\mu}. \tag{26}$$

Replacing  $a$  by  $\frac{a}{b}$  and multiplying  $b^m$  in inequality (26), we obtain inequality (25).

This completes the proof. □

### 3 Generalizations and Refinements of the Young’s and Its Reverse Inequalities for Matrices and Operators

#### 3.1 Generalizations and Refinements of the Young’s and Its Reverse Inequalities for Convex Functions

Let  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the matrix algebra of all  $n \times n$  complex matrices. For any  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , the absolute value of  $A$  is the positive semidefinite matrix  $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , where  $A^*$  is the conjugate transpose of  $A$ . A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is called a unitarily invariant norm if  $\|UAV\| = \|A\|$  for  $A, U, V \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $U, V$  are unitary matrices. Examples in these classes are the operator norm, the trace norm and the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

Let  $A, B$  and  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $A$  and  $B$  are positive semidefinite matrices and  $\|\cdot\|$  be a unitarily invariant norm on  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , Bhatia and Davis [6] proved that the function  $f(v) = \|A^vXB^{1-v} + A^{1-v}XB^v\|$  is convex on the interval  $[0, 1]$  and attains its minimum at  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore, it is decreasing on  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and increasing on  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . Thus, the celebrated Heinz inequality is valid

$$2\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \leq \|A^vXB^{1-v} + A^{1-v}XB^v\| \leq \|AX + XB\|,$$

which is a significant refinement of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality:

$$2\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \leq \|AX + XB\|.$$

Based on the convexity of  $f(v) = \|A^vXB^{1-v} + A^{1-v}XB^v\|$  on  $[0, 1]$  and Theorems 4 and 5, we get the following theorems.

**Theorem 8** *Let  $A, B$  and  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $A$  and  $B$  are positive semidefinite matrices and let  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  be a unitarily invariant norm on  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\|AX + XB\|^\lambda - \|A^vXB^{1-v} + A^{1-v}XB^v\|^\lambda}{\min\{v, 1 - v\}^\lambda} \\ & \geq \frac{\|AX + XB\|^\lambda - \|A^\tau XB^{1-\tau} + A^{1-\tau}XB^\tau\|^\lambda}{\min\{\tau, 1 - \tau\}^\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

holds for  $0 \leq v \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , its reverse holds for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq \tau \leq 1$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (2r)^\lambda \left[ \|AX + XB\|^\lambda - \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^\lambda \right] \\ \leq \|AX + XB\|^\lambda - \|A^vXB^{1-v} + A^{1-v}XB^v\|^\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq 1$  and  $r = \min\{v, 1 - v\}$ .

**Theorem 9** Let  $A, B$  and  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $A$  and  $B$  are positive semidefinite matrices and let  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  be a unitarily invariant norm on  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\|AX + XB\|^\lambda - \|A^v XB^{1-v} + A^{1-v} XB^v\|^\lambda}{\max\{v, 1 - v\}^\lambda} \\ & \leq \frac{\|AX + XB\|^\lambda - \|A^\tau XB^{1-\tau} + A^{1-\tau} XB^\tau\|^\lambda}{\max\{\tau, 1 - \tau\}^\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

holds for  $0 \leq v \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , its reverse holds for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq \tau \leq 1$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|AX + XB\|^\lambda - \|A^v XB^{1-v} + A^{1-v} XB^v\|^\lambda \\ & \leq (2R)^\lambda \left[ \|AX + XB\|^\lambda - \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} XB^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^\lambda \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq 1$  and  $R = \max\{v, 1 - v\}$ .

Similarly, let  $\phi(v) = \| | A^v XB^{1-v} |^r \| \cdot \| | A^{1-v} XB^v |^r \|$ , where  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ ,  $r > 0$ ,  $A, B$  and  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $A$  and  $B$  are positive semidefinite matrices, and  $\|\cdot\|$  is a unitarily invariant norm on  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Hiai and Zhan [14, Theorem 1] proved that  $\phi(v) = \| | A^v XB^{1-v} |^r \| \cdot \| | A^{1-v} XB^v |^r \|$  is convex on the interval  $[0, 1]$  and attains its minimum at  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ . Consequently, it is decreasing on  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and increasing on  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . An immediate consequence of this result is that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| | A^{\frac{1}{2}} XB^{\frac{1}{2}} |^r \|^2 \leq \| | A^v XB^{1-v} |^r \| \cdot \| | A^{1-v} XB^v |^r \| \\ & \leq \| | AX |^r \| \cdot \| | XB |^r \|, \end{aligned}$$

which interpolates the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

$$\| | A^{\frac{1}{2}} XB^{\frac{1}{2}} |^r \|^2 \leq \| | AX |^r \| \cdot \| | XB |^r \|,$$

obtained by Bhatia and Davis [7] and Hiai [13]. Applying the convex function  $\phi(v) = \| | A^v XB^{1-v} |^r \| \cdot \| | A^{1-v} XB^v |^r \|$  to Theorems 4 and 5, we obtain the following Cauchy–Schwarz-type inequalities.

**Theorem 10** Let  $A, B$  and  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $A$  and  $B$  are positive semidefinite matrices and let  $\lambda \geq 1$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  be a unitarily invariant norm on  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\left( \| | AX |^r \| \cdot \| | XB |^r \| \right)^\lambda - \left( \| | A^v XB^{1-v} |^r \| \cdot \| | A^{1-v} XB^v |^r \| \right)^\lambda}{\min\{v, 1 - v\}^\lambda} \\ & \geq \frac{\left( \| | AX |^r \| \cdot \| | XB |^r \| \right)^\lambda - \left( \| | A^\tau XB^{1-\tau} |^r \| \cdot \| | A^{1-\tau} XB^\tau |^r \| \right)^\lambda}{\min\{\tau, 1 - \tau\}^\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

holds for  $0 \leq v \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , its reverse holds for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq \tau \leq 1$ . In particular,

$$r_1^\lambda \left[ 2^\lambda \left( \| |AX|^r \| \cdot \| |XB|^r \| \right)^\lambda - \| |A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}|^r \|^{2\lambda} \right] \leq \left( \| |AX|^r \| \cdot \| |XB|^r \| \right)^\lambda - \left( \| |A^vXB^{1-v}|^r \| \cdot \| |A^{1-v}XB^v|^r \| \right)^\lambda,$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq 1$  and  $r_1 = \min\{v, 1 - v\}$ .

**Theorem 11** Let  $A, B$  and  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $A$  and  $B$  are positive semidefinite matrices and let  $\lambda \geq 1, r > 0$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  be a unitarily invariant norm on  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$\frac{\left( \| |AX|^r \| \cdot \| |XB|^r \| \right)^\lambda - \left( \| |A^vXB^{1-v}|^r \| \cdot \| |A^{1-v}XB^v|^r \| \right)^\lambda}{\max\{v, 1 - v\}^\lambda} \leq \frac{\left( \| |AX|^r \| \cdot \| |XB|^r \| \right)^\lambda - \left( \| |A^\tau XB^{1-\tau}|^r \| \cdot \| |A^{1-\tau}XB^\tau|^r \| \right)^\lambda}{\max\{\tau, 1 - \tau\}^\lambda}$$

holds for  $0 \leq v \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , its reverse holds for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq \tau \leq 1$ . In particular,

$$\left( \| |AX|^r \| \cdot \| |XB|^r \| \right)^\lambda - \left( \| |A^vXB^{1-v}|^r \| \cdot \| |A^{1-v}XB^v|^r \| \right)^\lambda \leq R_1^\lambda \left[ 2^\lambda \left( \| |AX|^r \| \cdot \| |XB|^r \| \right)^\lambda - \| |A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}|^r \|^{2\lambda} \right],$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq 1$  and  $R_1 = \min\{v, 1 - v\}$ .

### 3.2 Generalizations and Refinements of the Young’s and Its Reverse Inequalities for Determinants

In this subsection, we mainly give generalizations and refinements of the Young’s and its reverse inequalities for determinants. To achieve our goal, we need the following lemma (see, e.g., [16, p.482]), which is the Minkowski inequality for determinants.

**Lemma 4** Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be positive semidefinite matrices. Then

$$\det(A + B)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \det A^{\frac{1}{n}} + \det B^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

A determinant version of the Young’s inequality (see, e.g., [16, p.467]) is still well known, which can be stated as

$$\det(A^vB^{1-v}) \leq \det(vA + (1 - v)B),$$

where  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  are positive semidefinite matrices and  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ . Based on Theorems 6 and 7, we obtain the generalizations and refinements of the Young’s and its reverse inequalities for determinants.

**Theorem 12** Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be positive semidefinite matrices and  $0 \leq \mu < v \leq 1$ . Then for positive integer  $m$ , the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \mu \det A^{\frac{1}{n}} + (1 - \mu) \det B^{\frac{1}{n}} \right]^{mn} \\ & \quad - \det (A^\mu B^{1-\mu})^m + r \left[ \det A^{\frac{m}{2}} - \det (A^v B^{1-v})^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]^2 \\ & \leq \left( \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - v} \right)^{mn} \left[ \det (vA + (1 - v)B)^m - \det (A^v B^{1-v})^m \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $r$  is a constant with  $0 < r \leq \left( \frac{1-\mu}{1-v} \right)^{mn} v^{mn} - \mu^{mn}$ .

**Proof** By Lemma 4 and Theorem 6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - v} \right)^{mn} \left[ \det (vA + (1 - v)B)^m - \det (A^v B^{1-v})^m \right] \\ & = \left( \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - v} \right)^{mn} \left[ \left( \det (vA + (1 - v)B)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^m - \left( (\det A^{\frac{1}{n}})^v (\det B^{\frac{1}{n}})^{1-v} \right)^{mn} \right] \\ & \geq \left( \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - v} \right)^{mn} \left[ \left( \det (vA)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \det ((1 - v)B)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{mn} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left( (\det A^{\frac{1}{n}})^v (\det B^{\frac{1}{n}})^{1-v} \right)^{mn} \right] \\ & = \left( \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - v} \right)^{mn} \left[ \left( v \det A^{\frac{1}{n}} + (1 - v) \det B^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{mn} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left( (\det A^{\frac{1}{n}})^v (\det B^{\frac{1}{n}})^{1-v} \right)^{mn} \right] \\ & \geq \left[ \mu \det A^{\frac{1}{n}} + (1 - \mu) \det B^{\frac{1}{n}} \right]^{mn} - \left[ (\det A^{\frac{1}{n}})^\mu (\det B^{\frac{1}{n}})^{1-\mu} \right]^{mn} \\ & \quad + r \left[ (\det A^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\frac{mn}{2}} - \left( (\det A^{\frac{1}{n}})^v (\det B^{\frac{1}{n}})^{1-v} \right)^{\frac{mn}{2}} \right]^2 \\ & = \left[ \mu \det A^{\frac{1}{n}} + (1 - \mu) \det B^{\frac{1}{n}} \right]^{mn} - \det (A^\mu B^{1-\mu})^m \\ & \quad + r \left[ \det A^{\frac{m}{2}} - \det (A^v B^{1-v})^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 13** Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be positive semidefinite matrices and  $0 \leq \mu < v \leq 1$ . Then for positive integer  $m$ , the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^{mn} \left[ \left( v \det A^{\frac{1}{n}} + (1 - v) \det B^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{mn} - \det (A^v B^{1-v})^m \right] \\ & \quad + r_1 \left[ \det A^{\frac{m}{2}} - \det (A^v B^{1-v})^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]^2 \\ & \leq \det (\mu A + (1 - \mu)B)^m - \det (A^\mu B^{1-\mu})^m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_1$  is a constant with  $0 < r_1 \leq \min \left\{ \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^{mn}, \mu^{mn} - \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^{mn} v^{mn} \right\}$ .



**Proof** By Lemma 4 and Theorem 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \det (\mu A + (1 - \mu) B)^m - \det (A^\mu B^{1-\mu})^m \\
 &= \left[ \det (\mu A + (1 - \mu) B)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right]^{mn} - \left[ (\det A^{\frac{1}{n}})^\mu (\det B^{\frac{1}{n}})^{1-\mu} \right]^{mn} \\
 &\geq \left[ \det (\mu A)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \det ((1 - \mu) B)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right]^{mn} - \left[ (\det A^{\frac{1}{n}})^\mu (\det B^{\frac{1}{n}})^{1-\mu} \right]^{mn} \\
 &= \left[ \mu \det A^{\frac{1}{n}} + (1 - \mu) \det B^{\frac{1}{n}} \right]^{mn} - \left[ (\det A^{\frac{1}{n}})^\mu (\det B^{\frac{1}{n}})^{1-\mu} \right]^{mn} \\
 &\geq \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^{mn} \left[ (v \det A^{\frac{1}{n}} + (1 - v) \det B^{\frac{1}{n}})^{mn} - \left( (\det A^{\frac{1}{n}})^v (\det B^{\frac{1}{n}})^{1-v} \right)^{mn} \right] \\
 &\quad + r_1 \left[ (\det A^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\frac{mn}{2}} - \left( (\det A^{\frac{1}{n}})^v (\det B^{\frac{1}{n}})^{1-v} \right)^{\frac{mn}{2}} \right]^2 \\
 &= \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^{mn} \left[ (v \det A^{\frac{1}{n}} + (1 - v) \det B^{\frac{1}{n}})^{mn} - \det (A^v B^{1-v})^m \right] \\
 &\quad + r_1 \left[ \det A^{\frac{m}{2}} - \det (A^v B^{1-v})^m \right]^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

### 3.3 Generalizations and Refinements of the Young’s and Its Reverse Inequalities for Operators

In this subsection, we mainly give operator inequalities for the Young’s and its reverse inequalities. We need some preparations. Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $I_{\mathbb{H}} (\in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H}))$  be the identity operator. For two self-adjoint operators  $A$  and  $B$ , the symbol  $B \leq A$  means that  $A - B$  is a positive operator.

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$  be positive operators and  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ . The  $v$ -weighted arithmetic operator mean of  $A$  and  $B$ , denoted by  $A \nabla_v B$ , is defined by

$$A \nabla_v B = (1 - v)A + vB.$$

Moreover, if  $A$  is an invertible positive operator, the  $v$ -weighted geometric operator mean of  $A$  and  $B$ , denoted by  $A \sharp_v B$ , is defined by

$$A \sharp_v B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^v A^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For  $v > 1$ , the definition of  $A \sharp_v B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^v A^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is still well defined. In the following, we use  $A \sharp_v B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^v A^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $v \geq 0$ . When  $v = \frac{1}{2}$ , the operators  $A \nabla_{\frac{1}{2}} B$  and  $A \sharp_{\frac{1}{2}} B$  are called the arithmetic operator mean and geometric operator mean, respectively. Usually, we write  $A \nabla B$  and  $A \sharp B$  for brevity, respectively. For more details, see Kubo and Ando [22].

The operator version of inequality (2) can be stated as

$$A \sharp_v B \leq A \nabla_v B,$$

where  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$  with  $A$  is invertible and  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ .

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be positive semidefinite matrices with  $A$  is invertible and  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ . Kittaneh and Manasrah in [19] and [20] presented the matrix inequality of inequality (3),

$$2r(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \leq A\nabla_v B - A\sharp_v B \leq 2R(A\nabla B - A\sharp B), \tag{27}$$

where  $r = \min\{v, 1 - v\}$  and  $R = \max\{v, 1 - v\}$ .

It should be mentioned that Furuichi [9] independently proved inequality (27) for positive operators and Kittaneh et al. [18] also established inequality (27) by a different method.

Before giving the main results of this part, we need the following lemma, which is the monotonicity property for operator functions [11].

**Lemma 5** *Let  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$  be a self-adjoint operator and  $f$  and  $g$  be continuous functions such that  $f(t) \geq g(t)$  for all  $t \in Sp(X)$ (the spectrum of  $X$ ), then  $f(X) \geq g(X)$ .*

Based on Theorem 6, we have the following operator inequality.

**Theorem 14** *If  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$  are positive operators with  $A$  is invertible and  $0 \leq \mu < v \leq 1$  and  $m$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} A\sharp_m(A\nabla_\mu B) - A\sharp_{m\mu} B + r \left[ A\sharp_m B - 2A\sharp_{\frac{m(1+v)}{2}} B + A\sharp_{mv} B \right] \\ \leq \left( \frac{1-\mu}{1-v} \right)^m \left[ A\sharp_m(A\nabla_v B) - A\sharp_{mv} B \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $r$  is a constant with  $0 < r \leq \left( \frac{1-\mu}{1-v} \right)^m v^m - \mu^m$ .

**Proof** By inequality (25), we have

$$(\mu a + 1 - \mu)^m - a^{m\mu} + r \left[ a^{\frac{m}{2}} - a^{\frac{mv}{2}} \right]^2 \leq \left( \frac{1-\mu}{1-v} \right)^m \left[ (va + 1 - v)^m - a^{mv} \right],$$

for  $a > 0$ .

Since  $A$  and  $B$  are positive operators, then so is the operator  $T = A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu T + (1 - \mu)I_{\mathbb{H}}]^m - T^{m\mu} + r \left[ T^{\frac{m}{2}} - T^{\frac{mv}{2}} \right]^2 \\ \leq \left( \frac{1-\mu}{1-v} \right)^m \left[ (vT + (1 - v)I_{\mathbb{H}})^m - T^{mv} \right]. \tag{28} \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying inequality (28) by  $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , we have

$$A^{\frac{1}{2}}[\mu T + (1 - \mu)I_{\mathbb{H}}]^m A^{\frac{1}{2}} - A^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{m\mu}A^{\frac{1}{2}} + rA^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[T^{\frac{m}{2}} - T^{\frac{mv}{2}}\right]^2 A^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-v}\right)^m A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ (vT + (1-v)I_{\mathbb{H}})^m - T^{mv} \right] A^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} A\sharp_m(A\nabla_{\mu}B) - A\sharp_{m\mu}B + r \left[ A\sharp_mB - 2A\sharp_{\frac{m(1+v)}{2}}B + A\sharp_{mv}B \right] \\ \leq \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-v}\right)^m \left[ A\sharp_m(A\nabla_vB) - A\sharp_{mv}B \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the same way, based on Theorem 7, we get

**Theorem 15** *If  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$  are positive operators with  $A$  is invertible and  $0 \leq \mu < v \leq 1$  and  $m$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 \left[ A\sharp_mB - 2A\sharp_{\frac{m(1+v)}{2}}B + A\sharp_{mv}B \right] + \left(\frac{\mu}{v}\right)^m \left[ A\sharp_m(A\nabla_vB) - A\sharp_{mv}B \right] \\ \leq A\sharp_m(A\nabla_{\mu}B) - A\sharp_{m\mu}B, \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_1$  is a constant with  $0 < r_1 \leq \min \left\{ \left(\frac{\mu}{v}\right)^m, \mu^m - \left(\frac{\mu}{v}\right)^m v^m \right\}$ .

**Proof** The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 14, so we omit it here.

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** We would like to thank the editor and the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and helpful suggestions, which led to a great improvement for this paper.

**Funding** This research received no external funding.

**Availability of data and material** Data sharing is not applicable to this manuscript as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

## Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The author declare that he has no conflicts of interest to this work.

## References

1. Akkouchi, M., Ighachane, M.: A new proof of a refined Young inequality. *Bull. Int. Math. Virtual. Inst.* **10**(3), 4255–4258 (2020)
2. Al-Manasrah, Y., Kittaneh, F.: Further generalization refinements and reverses of the Young and Heinz inequalities. *Results math.* **71**, 1063–1072 (2017)
3. Alzer, H., da Fonseca, C.M., Kovacec, A.: Young-type inequalities and their matrix analogues. *Linear Multilinear Algebra.* **63**(3), 622–635 (2015)
4. Azagra, D.: Global and fine approximation of convex functions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **107**, 799–824 (2013)
5. Bakherad, M., Knic, M., Moslehian, M.S.: Reverses of the Young inequality for matrices and operators. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **46**, 1089–1105 (2016)

6. Bhatia, R., Davis, C.: More matrix forms of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal.* **14**, 132–136 (1993)
7. Bhatia, R., Davis, C.: A Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for operators with applications. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **223**(224), 119–129 (1995)
8. Burqan, A., Khandaqji, M.: Reverses of Young type inequalities. *J. Math. Inequal.* **9**(1), 113–120 (2015)
9. Furuchi, S.: On refined Young inequalities and reverses inequalities. *J. Math. Inequal.* **5**(1), 21–31 (2011)
10. Furuchi, S.: Further improvements of Young inequality. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas. Fis. nat. Ser. A. Math.* **113**, 255–266 (2019)
11. Furuta, T., Mičić Hot, J., Pečarić, J., Seo, Y.: Mond-Pečarić method in operator inequalities. Element, Zagreb (2005)
12. He, C., Zou, L.: Some inequalities involving unitarily invariant norms. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **12**, 757–768 (2012)
13. Hiai, F.: Log-majorizations and norm inequalities for exponential operators, In: *Linear Operators. Banach Center Publications*, vol. 38, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa (1997)
14. Hiai, F., Zhan, X.: Inequalities involving unitarily invariant norms and operator monotone functions. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **341**, 151–169 (2002)
15. Hirzallah, O., Kittaneh, F.: Matrix Young inequality for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **308**, 77–84 (2000)
16. Horn, R., Johnson, C.: *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, New York (1985)
17. Ighachane, M., Akkouchi, M., Benabdi, E.: Further refinements of Alzer-Fonseca-Kovačec's inequalities and applications. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A. Math.* **115**(3), 152 (2021)
18. Kittaneh, F., Kričić, M., Lovričević, N., Pečarić, J.: Improved arithmetic-geometric and Heinz means inequalities for Hilbert space operators. *Publ. Math. Debrecen.* **80**(3-4), 465–478 (2012)
19. Kittaneh, F., Manasrah, Y.: Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **361**, 262–269 (2010)
20. Kittaneh, F., Manasrah, Y.: Reversed Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **59**(9), 1031–1037 (2011)
21. Kričić, M., Lovričević, N., Pečarić, J.: Jensen's functional, its properties and applications. *An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta.* **20**, 225–248 (2012)
22. Kubo, F., Ando, T.: Means of positive operators. *Math. Ann.* **246**, 205–224 (1980)
23. Liao, W., Wu, J.: Matrix inequalities for the difference between arithmetic mean and harmonic mean. *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **6**(3), 191–202 (2015)
24. Manasrah, Y., Kittaneh, F.: A generaliation of two refined Young inequalities. *Positivity* **19**, 757–768 (2015)
25. Moradi, H., Furuichi, S., Mitroi-Symeonidis, F., Naseri, R.: An extension of Jensens operator inequality and its application to Young inequality. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas. Fis. nat. Ser. A. Math.* **113**, 605–614 (2019)
26. Nasiri, L., Shakoori, M.: Reverses of Young type inequalities for matrices using the classical Kantorovich constant. *Results Math.* **74**, 16 (2019)
27. Ren, Y.: Some results of Young-type inequalities. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A. Math.* **114**(3–4), 143 (2020)
28. Sababheh, M.: Convexity and matrix means. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **506**, 588–602 (2016)
29. Sababheh, M., Choi, D.: A completes refinement of Young's inequality. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **440**, 379–393 (2016)
30. Sheikhhosseini, A., Khosravi, M.: On a refined operator version of Young's inequality and its reverse. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra.* **35**, 441–448 (2019)
31. Yang, C., Gao, Y., Lu, F.: Some refinements of Young type inequality for positive linear map. *Mathematica Slovaca* **69**(4), 919–930 (2019)
32. Yang, C., Li, Y.: On the further refinement of some operator inequalities for positive linear map. *J. Math. Inequal.* **15**, 781–797 (2021)
33. Zhao, J., Wu, J.: Operator inequalities involving improved Young and its reverse inequalities. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **432**, 1779–1889 (2015)
34. Zhao, J., Wu, J.: Operator inequalities and reverse inequalities related to the Kittaneh-Manasrah inequalities. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **62**(7), 884–894 (2014)

35. Zhu, L.: New refinements of Young's inequality. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas. Fis. nat. Ser. A. Math.* **113**(2), 909–915 (2019)
36. Zhu, L.: Natural approaches of Young's inequality. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas. Fis. nat. Ser. A. Math.* **114**, 1 (2020)
37. Zou, H., Shi, G., Fujii, M.: Refined Young inequality with Kantorovich constant. *J. Math. Inequal.* **5**(4), 551–556 (2011)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.