



# Further Results on the Generalized Turán Number of Spanning Linear Forests

Leilei Zhang<sup>1</sup>

Received: 31 March 2022 / Revised: 6 August 2022 / Accepted: 27 September 2022 /  
Published online: 24 November 2022

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia 2022

## Abstract

A linear forest is a graph consisting of vertex disjoint paths. Let  $l(G)$  denote the maximum size of linear forests in  $G$ . Denote by  $\delta(G)$  the minimum degree of  $G$ . Recently, Duan, Wang and Yang gave an upper bound on the number of 3-cliques in  $n$ -vertex graphs with  $l(G) = k - 1$  and  $\delta(G) = \delta$ . Duan et al. gave an upper bound  $h_s(n, \alpha', \delta)$  on the number of  $s$ -cliques in  $n$ -vertex graphs with prescribed matching number  $\alpha'$  and minimum degree  $\delta$ . But in some cases, these two upper bounds are not obtained by the graph with minimum degree  $\delta$ . For example,  $h_2(15, 7, 3) = 77$  is attained by a unique graph of minimum degree 7, not 3. Motivated by these works, we give sharp results about this problem. We determine the maximum number of  $s$ -cliques in  $n$ -vertex graphs with  $l(G) = k - 1$  and  $\delta(G) = \delta$ . As a corollary of our main results, we determine the maximum number of  $s$ -cliques in  $n$ -vertex graphs with given matching number and minimum degree. Moreover, we also determine the maximum number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$ , the complete bipartite graph with class sizes  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , in  $n$ -vertex graphs with  $l(G) = k - 1$  and  $\delta(G) = \delta$ .

**Keywords** Generalized Turán number · Spanning linear forests · Minimum degree

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 05C30 · 05C35 · 05C38

## 1 Introduction

We consider finite simple graphs and use standard terminology and notations. Denote by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  the vertex set and edge set of a graph  $G$ . The *order* of a graph is its

---

Communicated by Rosihan M. Ali.

---

✉ Leilei Zhang  
mathdzhang@163.com

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China

number of vertices, and the *size* is its number of edges. For a vertex  $v$  in a graph, we denote by  $d(v)$  and  $N(v)$  the degree of  $v$  and the neighborhood of  $v$  in  $G$ , respectively. For  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , we denote by  $N_S(v)$  the set  $S \cap N(v)$  and  $d_S(v) = |N_S(v)|$ . For two vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , we use the symbol  $u \leftrightarrow v$  to mean that  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent and use  $u \nleftrightarrow v$  to mean that  $u$  and  $v$  are nonadjacent. For graphs, we will use equality up to isomorphism, so  $G_1 = G_2$  means that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are isomorphic.  $\overline{G}$  denotes the complement of a graph  $G$ . For two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ ,  $G \vee H$  denotes the *join* of  $G$  and  $H$ , which is obtained from the disjoint union  $G + H$  by adding edges joining every vertex of  $G$  to every vertex of  $H$ . Let  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  denote the complete bipartite graph with class sizes  $r_1, r_2$  and let  $K_s$  denote the complete graph of order  $s$ . For a positive integer  $k$ , let  $[k] := \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .

We denote by  $\delta(G)$  the *minimum degree* of a graph  $G$ . The order of a longest path in a graph  $G$  is called the *detour order* of  $G$ . The *circumference*  $c(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the length of a longest cycle in  $G$ . An  $s$ -*clique* is a clique of cardinality  $s$ . The order of a maximum clique in a graph  $G$  is called the *clique number* of  $G$ . A *linear forest* is a graph consisting of vertex disjoint paths and isolated vertices. The *maximum linear forest number*  $l(G)$  is the maximum size of linear forests in  $G$ . A *matching*  $M$  is a set of pairwise nonadjacent edges of  $G$ . The *matching number*  $\alpha'(G)$  is the size of a maximum matching in  $G$ .

Erdős and Gallai [5] determined the maximum size of graph with a prescribed circumference or detour order. Generalizing this result, Luo [14] gave the maximum number of  $s$ -cliques of graph with a prescribed circumference or detour order. Recently, Ning and Peng [17] generalized Luo's work and gave the maximum number of  $s$ -cliques of graphs with prescribed circumference  $c$  and minimum degree at least  $k$ . In [21], Zykov determined the maximum number of  $s$ -cliques in graphs with given order and clique number. For stability results about these topics, one can see [6, 7, 11, 13, 15, 17]. The problem of estimating the generalized Turán number has also received a lot of attention; see [1, 8–10, 16].

**Notation 1** Fix  $n - 1 \geq k \geq 1$ . Let  $F(n, k, \delta) = K_\delta \vee (K_{k-2\delta} + \overline{K_{n-k+\delta}})$ . Denote by  $f_s(n, k, \delta)$  the number of  $s$ -cliques in  $F(n, k, \delta)$ ; more precisely,

$$f_s(n, k, \delta) = \binom{k - \delta}{s} + (n - k + \delta) \binom{\delta}{s - 1}.$$

We write  $f(n, k, \delta)$  for  $f_2(n, k, \delta)$  which equals the size of  $F(n, k, \delta)$ . Erdős and Gallai [5] determined the maximum size of  $n$ -vertex graph with  $\alpha'(G) \leq \alpha'$ . The graphs  $K_{2\alpha'+1}$  and  $K_{\alpha'} \vee \overline{K_{n-\alpha'}}$  show that the bound given below is tight.

**Theorem 1** [5] Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices. If  $\alpha'(G) \leq \alpha'$ , then  $e(G) \leq \max\{\binom{2\alpha'+1}{2}, f(n, 2\alpha' + 1, \alpha')\}$ .

**Notation 2** Let  $N(H, G)$  denote the number of copies of  $H$  in  $G$ ; e.g.,  $N(K_2, G) = e(G)$ .

Generalizing Theorem 1, Wang [19] determined the maximum number of  $s$ -cliques of a graph with given order and matching number at most  $\alpha'$ .

**Theorem 2** [19] *Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices. If  $\alpha'(G) \leq \alpha'$ , then  $N(K_s, G) \leq \max\{\binom{2\alpha'+1}{s}, f_s(n, 2\alpha' + 1, \alpha')\}$ .*

Obviously, a graph  $G$  with  $\alpha'(G) \leq \alpha'$  has  $l(G) < 2\alpha' + 1$ . Generalizing Theorem 1, Ning and Wang [18] proved the following result.

**Theorem 3** [18] *Let  $n - 1 \geq k \geq 1$  and  $t = \lfloor (k - 1)/2 \rfloor$ . If  $G$  is a graph on  $n$  vertices and  $l(G) < k$ , then  $e(G) \leq \max\{\binom{k}{2}, f(n, k, t)\}$ .*

For a graph with given order and maximum linear forest number at most  $k - 1$ , Zhang et al. [22] proved the following result.

**Theorem 4** [22] *Let  $n - 1 \geq k \geq 1$  and  $t = \lfloor (k - 1)/2 \rfloor$ . If  $G$  is a graph on  $n$  vertices and  $l(G) < k$ , then  $N(K_s, G) \leq \max\{\binom{k}{s}, f_s(n, k, t)\}$ .*

It is natural to ask the same question by putting constraints on the graphs. Recently, Duan et al. [3] determined the maximum number of  $s$ -cliques of graphs with prescribed order  $n$ , matching number  $k$  and minimum degree  $\delta$ . Duan et al. [4] determined the maximum number of 3-cliques in  $n$ -vertex graph with  $l(G) = k - 1$  and  $\delta(G) = \delta$ .

**Theorem 5** [3] *If  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph with  $\alpha'(G) = \alpha'$  and  $\delta(G) = \delta$ , then  $N(K_s, G) \leq \max\{f_s(n, 2\alpha' + 1, \delta), f_s(n, 2\alpha' + 1, \alpha')\}$ .*

**Theorem 6** [4] *Let  $n - 1 \geq k \geq 1$  and  $t = \lfloor (k - 1)/2 \rfloor$ . If  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph with  $l(G) = k - 1$  and  $\delta(G) = \delta$ , then  $N(K_3, G) \leq \max\{f_3(n, k, \delta), f_3(n, k, t)\}$ .*

Let  $h_s(n, \alpha', \delta) = \max\{f_s(n, 2\alpha' + 1, \delta), f_s(n, 2\alpha' + 1, \alpha')\}$ . Note that, for some cases, this upper bound of  $s$ -cliques is not attained by a graph of minimum degree  $\delta$ . For example,  $h_2(15, 7, 3) = 77$  is attained by a unique graph of minimum degree 7, not 3. Motivated by these works, we give a sharp result on this problem. We determine the maximum number of  $s$ -cliques of  $n$ -vertex graphs with prescribed  $l(G)$  and  $\delta(G)$ . Our main results are the following:

**Notation 3** *Fix  $n - 1 \geq k \geq 1$ . For  $t = \lfloor (k - 1)/2 \rfloor$ , let  $G(n, k, \delta)$  denote the graph obtained from  $K_t \vee (K_{k-2t} + \overline{K_{n-k+t}})$  by deleting  $t - \delta$  edges that are incident to one common vertex in  $\overline{K_{n-k+t}}$ . Denote by  $g_s(n, k, \delta)$  the number of  $s$ -cliques in  $G(n, k, \delta)$ ; more precisely,*

$$g_s(n, k, \delta) = \binom{k-t}{s} + (n-k+t-1) \binom{t}{s-1} + \binom{\delta}{s-1}.$$

**Theorem 7** *Let  $n - 1 \geq k \geq 1$ . If  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph with  $l(G) = k - 1$  and  $\delta(G) = \delta$ , then*

$$N(K_s, G) \leq \max\{f_s(n, k, \delta), g_s(n, k, \delta)\}.$$

This theorem is sharp as shown by the examples  $F(n, k, \delta)$  and  $G(n, k, \delta)$ . As a corollary of our main result, we determine the maximum number of  $s$ -cliques in  $n$ -vertex graphs with prescribed matching number and minimum degree.

**Corollary 8** *If  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph with  $\alpha'(G) = \alpha'$  and  $\delta(G) = \delta$ , then*

$$N(K_s, G) \leq \max\{f_s(n, 2\alpha' + 1, \delta), g_s(n, 2\alpha' + 1, \delta)\}.$$

In [19], Wang also determined the maximum number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in bipartite graphs with given matching number. In [22], Zhang et al. determined the maximum number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in bipartite graphs with given maximum linear forest number. Their proofs are mainly based on the shifting method. However, the shifting method used in [19, 22] seems not to work for the case of general graphs. In this paper, we can determine the maximum number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in  $n$ -vertex graphs with given  $l(G)$  and  $\delta(G)$ .

**Notation 4** *Let  $F(n, k, \delta) = K_\delta \vee (K_{k-2\delta} + \overline{K_{n-k+\delta}})$ . We order the vertices of  $F(n, k, \delta)$  in  $\overline{K_{n-k+\delta}}$  with  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+\delta}$ . Let  $r = r_1 + r_2$ . Note that, for  $i \in [n-k+\delta]$ , the number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  containing  $x_i$  in  $F(n, k, \delta) - \{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}\}$  is  $\frac{1}{c} \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{\delta}{r_j} \binom{n-r_j-i}{r-r_j-1}$ , where  $c = 1$  if  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , and  $c = 2$  otherwise. The number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in  $K_\delta \vee K_{k-2\delta}$  is  $\frac{1}{c} \binom{k-\delta}{r} \binom{r}{r_1}$ . Denote by  $f_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, \delta)$  the number of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in  $F(n, k, \delta)$ ; more precisely,*

$$f_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, \delta) = \frac{1}{c} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n-k+\delta} \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{\delta}{r_j} \binom{n-r_j-i}{r-r_j-1} + \binom{k-\delta}{r} \binom{r}{r_1} \right].$$

Denote by  $g_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, \delta)$  the number of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in  $G(n, k, \delta)$ , where  $G(n, k, \delta)$  is defined in Notation 3. For the same reason, the number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in  $G(n, k, \delta)$  is

$$g_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, \delta) = \frac{1}{c} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{\delta}{r_j} \binom{n-r_j-1}{r-r_j-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-k+t} \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{t}{r_j} \binom{n-r_j-i}{r-r_j-1} + \binom{k-t}{r} \binom{r}{r_1} \right],$$

where  $c = 1$  if  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , and  $c = 2$  otherwise.

**Theorem 9** *Let  $n - 1 \geq k \geq 1$ . If  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph with  $l(G) = k - 1$  and  $\delta(G) = \delta$ , then*

$$N(K_{r_1, r_2}, G) \leq \max\{f_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, \delta), g_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, \delta)\}.$$

This theorem is sharp as shown by the examples  $F(n, k, \delta)$  and  $G(n, k, \delta)$ . By Theorem 9, we have the following corollary determining the maximum number of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in  $n$ -vertex graph with given matching number and minimum degree.

**Corollary 10** *If  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph with  $\alpha'(G) = \alpha'$  and  $\delta(G) = \delta$ , then*

$$N(K_{r_1, r_2}, G) \leq \max\{f_{r_1, r_2}(n, 2\alpha' + 1, \delta), g_{r_1, r_2}(n, 2\alpha' + 1, \delta)\}.$$

## 2 Proof of the Main Results

To prove Theorem 7, we will need the following definitions and lemmas.

**Definition 1** (Bondy and Chvátal [2]) The *k-closure* of  $G$  is the graph obtained from  $G$  by iteratively joining nonadjacent vertices with degree sum at least  $k$  until there is no more such a pair of vertices.

**Definition 2** (*t-disintegration of a graph*, Kopylov [12]) Let  $G$  be a graph and  $t$  be a natural number. Delete all vertices of degree at most  $t$  from  $G$ ; for the resulting graph  $G'$ , we again delete all vertices of degree at most  $t$  from  $G'$ . Iterating this process until we finally obtain a graph, denoted by  $D(G; t)$ , such that either  $D(G; t)$  is a null graph or  $\delta(D(G; t)) \geq t + 1$ . The graph  $D(G; t)$  is called the  $(t + 1)$ -core of  $G$ .

**Lemma 11** [18] *Suppose there are two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $V(G)$  satisfying  $d(u) + d(v) \geq k$  and  $u \leftrightarrow v$ . Then,  $l(G + uv) \leq k - 1$  if and only if  $l(G) \leq k - 1$ .*

**Lemma 12** [20] *Suppose  $G$  is a graph that contains a linear forest  $F$  with  $k - 1$  edges. If  $u$  and  $v$  are vertices that are end points of different paths in  $F$  and  $d_G(u) + d_G(v) \geq k$ , then  $G$  contains a linear forest with  $k$  edges.*

Note that, if  $G$  has maximum linear forest number  $k - 1$ , the minimum degree of  $G$  is at most  $\lfloor (k - 1)/2 \rfloor$  by Lemma 12. Now we are ready to prove Theorem 7.

**Proof of Theorem 7.** It is easy to verify that the graphs  $F(n, k, \delta)$  and  $G(n, k, \delta)$  stated in Notations 1 and 3 are graphs of order  $n$ , maximum linear forest number  $k - 1$  and minimum degree  $\delta$ . The number of copies of  $s$ -cliques in  $F(n, k, \delta)$  or  $G(n, k, \delta)$  is  $f_s(n, k, \delta)$  or  $g_s(n, k, \delta)$ .

Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex graph with  $l(G) = k - 1$  and  $\delta(G) = \delta$ . Let  $w$  be a vertex of  $G$  with minimum degree  $\delta$ . If there exist two vertices  $u, v \in V(G) \setminus \{w\}$  such that  $u \leftrightarrow v$  and  $d_G(u) + d_G(v) \geq k$ , we denote by  $G_1$  the graph  $G + uv$ . For the graph  $G_1$ , we again choose  $u_1, v_1 \in V(G_1) \setminus \{w\}$  with  $u_1 \leftrightarrow v_1, d_{G_1}(u) + d_{G_1}(v) \geq k$ , and denote by  $G_2$  the graph  $G_1 + u_1v_1$ . Iterating this process until we finally obtain a graph, denoted by  $Q$ , such that for any  $x, y \in V(Q) \setminus \{w\}$  and  $x \leftrightarrow y$ , we have  $d_Q(x) + d_Q(y) \leq k - 1$ . Obviously,  $\delta(Q) = \delta$  and  $l(Q) = k - 1$  by Lemma 11.

Let  $t = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ . Denote by  $D = D(Q; t)$  the  $(t + 1)$ -core of  $Q$ , i.e., the resulting graph of applying  $t$ -disintegration to  $Q$ . We distinguish two cases.

*Case 1.*  $D$  is a null graph. Without loss of generality, let  $x_i$  be the  $i$ -th deleted vertex. Since  $\delta(Q) \leq \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor = t$  by Lemma 12, we can always let  $x_1 = w$ . By the definition of  $t$ -disintegration, we have  $d_{Q_i}(x_i) \leq t, 2 \leq i \leq n - t$ . Note that, once the vertex  $x$  is deleted, we delete at most  $\binom{d_Q(x)}{s-1}$  copies of  $K_s$ . For the last  $t$  vertices, the number of  $K_s$  is at most  $\binom{t}{s}$ . Thus,

$$N(K_s, Q) \leq \binom{\delta}{s-1} + (n - t - 1) \binom{t}{s-1} + \binom{t}{s} \leq g_s(n, k, \delta).$$

*Case 2.*  $D$  is not a null graph. Let  $d = |D|$ . We claim that  $V(D)$  is a clique and  $\delta \leq k - d$ .

For all  $u, v \in V(D)$ , we have  $d_D(u) \geq t + 1, d_D(v) \geq t + 1$ . Since every nonadjacent pair of vertices has degree sum at most  $k - 1$  in  $Q$  and  $d_Q(u) + d_Q(v) \geq d_D(u) + d_D(v) \geq 2t + 2 \geq k$ , we have  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent in  $Q$ , i.e.,  $V(D)$  is a clique.

We next prove  $\delta \leq k - d$ . Suppose  $d \geq k - \delta + 1$ , and hence,  $d_D(u) \geq d - 1 \geq k - \delta$  for all  $u \in V(D)$ . Since  $V(D)$  is a clique and  $d_D(u) \geq t + 1$  for all  $u \in V(D)$ , we have  $d \geq t + 2$ . Thus, every vertex in  $V(Q) \setminus V(D)$  is not adjacent to at least two vertices in  $D$ . Let  $x \in V(Q) \setminus V(D)$ , and  $y \in V(D)$  is not adjacent to  $x$ . Note that,  $w \in V(Q) \setminus V(D)$ . We distinguish two cases. If  $V(Q) \setminus V(D) = \{w\}$ , we have  $x = w$  and  $|D| = n - 1$ . Then, there is a Hamiltonian path between  $x$  and  $y$  as  $D$  is a complete graph. Since  $l(G) = k - 1 \leq n - 2$ , we get a contradiction. If  $V(Q) \setminus V(D) \neq \{w\}$ , we can choose  $x \neq w$ . Note that,  $d_Q(x) \geq \delta$ , we have  $d_Q(x) + d_Q(y) \geq \delta + k - \delta = k$ . According to the structure of graph  $Q$ , we get a contradiction. Thus,  $d \leq k - \delta$ , i.e.,  $\delta \leq k - d$ .

Let  $D'$  be the  $(k - d + 1)$ -core of  $Q$ , i.e., the resulting graph of applying  $(k - d)$ -disintegration to  $Q$ . Since  $d \geq t + 2$ , we obtain  $k - d \leq t$ . Therefore,  $D \subseteq D'$ . There are two cases.

- (a) If  $D' = D$ , then  $|D'| = |D| = d$ . By the definition of  $(k - d)$ -disintegration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(K_s, Q) &\leq \binom{\delta}{s-1} + (n - d - 1) \binom{k-d}{s-1} + \binom{d}{s} \\ &= \binom{\delta}{s-1} + \lambda_s(n, k, k-d) \\ &\leq \max\{f_s(n, k, \delta), g_s(n, k, \delta)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_s(n, k, x) = (n - k + x - 1) \binom{x}{s-1} + \binom{k-x}{s}$ . The third inequality follows from the condition  $\delta \leq k - d \leq t$  and that the function  $\lambda_s(n, k, x)$  is convex for  $x \in [\delta, t]$ .

- (b) Otherwise,  $D' \neq D$ . Let  $u \in V(D') \setminus V(D)$ . Since  $d \geq t + 2$ , we deduce that  $u$  is not adjacent to at least two vertices in  $D$ . We choose one of the vertices and denote it by  $v$ , and then  $d_Q(u) + d_Q(v) \geq k - d + 1 + d - 1 \geq k$ . Since every nonadjacent pair of vertices has degree sum at most  $k - 1$ , we obtain a contradiction and the Theorem is proved. □

To prove Theorem 9, we need the following definition and lemma.

**Definition 3**  $h(x)$  is a convex function of  $x$  if and only if  $h(x + 1) + h(x - 1) - 2h(x) \geq 0$ .

**Lemma 13**  $h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x) = \frac{1}{c} \left[ \sum_{i=2}^{n-k+x} \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{x}{r_j} \binom{n-r_j-i}{r-r_j-1} + \binom{k-x}{r_1+r_2} \binom{r_1+r_2}{r_1} \right]$  is a convex function of  $x$ , where  $c = 1$  if  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , and  $c = 2$  otherwise.

**Proof** Note that,  $f_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x)$  is the number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in  $F(n, k, x)$  and  $h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x) = f_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x) - \frac{1}{c} \left( \binom{x}{r_1} \binom{n-r_1-1}{r_2-1} + \binom{x}{r_2} \binom{n-r_2-1}{r_1-1} \right)$ . Let  $H(n, k, x) =$

$K_x \vee (K_{k-2x} + \overline{K_{n-1-k+x}})$ . Then,  $h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x)$  denote the number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in  $H(n, k, x)$ . Let  $r = r_1 + r_2$ . Assume that  $r_1 \neq r_2$ . For the case  $r_1 = r_2$ , the proof is similar and is omitted. Note that, the number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  inside  $K_x \vee K_{k-2x}$  is  $\binom{k-x}{r} \binom{r}{r_1}$ , and the number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  not inside  $K_x \vee K_{k-2x}$  of  $H(n, k, x)$  is  $\sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{x}{r_j} \left( \binom{n-1-r_j}{r-r_j} - \binom{k-x-r_j}{r-r_j} \right)$ . Hence,

$$h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x) = \binom{k-x}{r} \binom{r}{r_1} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{x}{r_j} \left( \binom{n-1-r_j}{r-r_j} - \binom{k-x-r_j}{r-r_j} \right).$$

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x+1) - h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x) \\ &= -\binom{k-1-x}{r-1} \binom{r}{r_1} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{x}{r_j-1} \binom{n-r_j-1}{r-r_j} \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \binom{x}{r_j} \binom{k-x-r_j-1}{r-r_j-1} - \binom{x}{r_j-1} \binom{k-x-r_j-1}{r-r_j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x+1) + h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x-1) - 2h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x) \\ & \geq \binom{k-1-x}{r-2} \binom{r}{r_1} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{x-1}{r_j-2} \binom{n-r_j-1}{r-r_j} \\ & \quad - \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \binom{x-1}{r_j-2} \binom{k-r_j-1-x}{r-r_j} + \binom{x-1}{r_j} \binom{k-1-x-r_j}{r-r_j-2} \right) \\ & \geq \binom{k-1-x}{r-2} \binom{r}{r_1} - \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{x-1}{r_j} \binom{k-1-x-r_j}{r-r_j-2}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove  $h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x)$  is a convex function of  $x$ , by Definition 3, it is enough to prove the following inequality:

$$\binom{k-1-x}{r-2} \binom{r}{r_1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{x-1}{r_j} \binom{k-1-x-r_j}{r-r_j-2},$$

which simplifies to

$$1 \geq \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{r_j(r_j-1)}{r(r-1)} \frac{(x-1)(x-2)\dots(x-r+r_j)}{(k-x-1)(k-x-2)\dots(k-x-r+r_j)}.$$

Since  $k \geq 2x$  and  $r = r_1 + r_2$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{r_j(r_j - 1)}{r(r - 1)} \frac{(x - 1)(x - 2) \dots (x - r + r_j)}{(k - x - 1)(k - x - 2) \dots (k - x - r + r_j)} < \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{r_j(r_j - 1)}{r(r - 1)} \leq 1.$$

Thus,  $h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x)$  is a convex function of  $x$ . This completes the proof. □

The proof of Theorem 9 follows the same steps as the proof of Theorem 7. So we will omit some details.

**Proof of Theorem 9.** It is easy to verify that the graphs  $F(n, k, \delta)$  and  $G(n, k, \delta)$  stated in Notations 1 and 3 are graphs of order  $n$ , maximum linear forest number  $k - 1$  and minimum degree  $\delta$ . By Notation 4, the number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in  $F(n, k, \delta)$  is  $f_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, \delta)$  and the number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in  $G(n, k, \delta)$  is  $g_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, \delta)$ , respectively.

Let  $Q$  be defined as in Theorem 7 and let  $D = D(Q; t)$  denote the  $(t + 1)$ -core of  $Q$ . We distinguish two cases.

*Case 1.*  $D$  is a null graph. As the proof of Theorem 7, let  $x_i$  be the  $i$ -th deleted vertex and  $x_1 = w$ . First, consider the case  $r_1 = r_2$ . Note that, once the vertex  $x_i$  is deleted, we delete at most  $\binom{d_Q(x_i)}{r_1} \binom{n-r_1-i}{r_1-1}$  copies of  $K_{r_1, r_1}$ . For the last  $t$  vertices, the number of  $K_{r_1, r_1}$  is at most  $\frac{1}{2} \binom{t}{2r_1} \binom{2r_1}{r_1}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} N(K_{r_1, r_1}, Q) &\leq \binom{\delta}{r_1} \binom{n-r_1-1}{r_1-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-t} \binom{t}{r_1} \binom{n-r_1-i}{r_1-1} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{t}{2r_1} \binom{2r_1}{r_1} \\ &\leq g_{r_1, r_1}(n, k, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the case of  $r_1 \neq r_2$ . Let  $r = r_1 + r_2$ . Note that, once the vertex  $x_i$  is deleted, we delete at most  $\sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{d_Q(x_i)}{r_j} \binom{n-r_j-i}{r-r_j-1}$  copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$ . For the last  $t$  vertices, the number of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  is at most  $\binom{t}{r_1+r_2} \binom{r_1+r_2}{r_1}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} N(K_{r_1, r_2}, Q) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{\delta}{r_j} \binom{n-r_j-1}{r-r_j-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-t} \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{t}{r_j} \binom{n-r_j-i}{r-r_j-1} + \binom{t}{r} \binom{r}{r_1} \\ &\leq g_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2.**  $D$  is not a null graph. Let  $d = |D|$ . The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 7 also shows that  $D$  is a complete graph and  $\delta \leq k - d$ .

Let  $D'$  be the  $(k - d + 1)$ -core of  $Q$ , i.e., the resulting graph of applying  $(k - d)$ -disintegration to  $Q$ . Since  $d \geq t + 2$ , we obtain  $k - d \leq k - t - 2 \leq t$ . Therefore,  $D \subseteq D'$ . If  $D \neq D'$ , by a similar discussion in Theorem 7, we can get a contradiction.



Otherwise,  $D' = D$ , then  $|D'| = |D| = d$ . If  $r_1 = r_2$ , by the definition of  $(k - d)$ -disintegration, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(K_{r_1, r_1}, Q) &\leq \binom{\delta}{r_1} \binom{n - r_1 - 1}{r_1 - 1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-d} \binom{k - d}{r_1} \binom{n - r_1 - i}{r_1 - 1} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{d}{2r_1} \binom{2r_1}{r_1} \\
 &= \binom{\delta}{r_1} \binom{n - r_1 - 1}{r_1 - 1} + h_{r_1, r_1}(n, k, k - d) \\
 &\leq \max\{f_{r_1, r_1}(n, k, \delta), g_{r_1, r_1}(n, k, \delta)\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$h_{r_1, r_1}(n, k, x) = \sum_{i=2}^{n-k+x} \binom{x}{r_1} \binom{n - r_1 - i}{r_1 - 1} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{k - x}{2r_1} \binom{2r_1}{r_1}.$$

By Lemma 13, we have  $h_{r_1, r_1}(n, k, x)$  is convex for  $x$ . Inequality (1) can be obtained from the condition  $\delta \leq k - d \leq t$  and that the function  $h_{r_1, r_1}(n, k, x)$  is convex for  $x \in [\delta, t]$ . If  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , we count the number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(K_{r_1, r_2}, Q) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{\delta}{r_j} \binom{n - r_j - 1}{r - r_j - 1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-d} \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{k - d}{r_j} \binom{n - r_j - i}{r - r_j - 1} + \binom{d}{r} \binom{r}{r_1} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{\delta}{r_j} \binom{n - r_j - 1}{r - r_j - 1} + h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, k - d) \\
 &\leq \max\{f_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, \delta), g_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, \delta)\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where

$$h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x) = \sum_{i=2}^{n-k+x} \sum_{j=1}^2 \binom{x}{r_j} \binom{n - r_j - i}{r - r_j - 1} + \binom{k - x}{r_1 + r_2} \binom{r_1 + r_2}{r_1}.$$

Inequality (2) can be obtained from the condition  $\delta \leq k - d \leq t$  and that the function  $h_{r_1, r_2}(n, k, x)$  is convex for  $x \in [\delta, t]$ .

This completes the proof. □

### 3 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we determine the maximum number of  $s$ -cliques of an  $n$ -vertex graph with prescribed maximum linear forest number and minimum degree. As a corollary of our main result, we determine the maximum number of  $s$ -cliques in  $n$ -vertex graphs with prescribed matching number and minimum degree. Moreover, we also determine the maximum number of copies of  $K_{r_1, r_2}$  in  $n$ -vertex graphs with given maximum linear forest number and minimum degree. All results in our paper are sharp. Note

that, in [3], Duan et al. gave two results which are stability versions of Theorem 5 for  $s = 2$ . Naturally, it is interesting to consider the stability versions of Theorem 7. We leave it as a work in future.

**Acknowledgements** The author would like to thank two anonymous referees for helpful suggestions, and he is grateful to Professor Xingzhi Zhan for his constant support and guidance and Yuxuan Liu for conducive discussions and careful reading of a draft. This research was supported by the NSFC grants 12271170 and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (STCSM) grant 22DZ2229014.

## References

1. Alon, N., Shikhelman, C.: Many  $T$  copies in  $H$ -free graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **121**, 146–172 (2016)
2. Bondy, J.A., Chvátal, V.: A method in graph theory. *Discrete Math.* **15**, 111–135 (1976)
3. Duan, X.Z., Ning, B., Peng, X., Wang, J., Yang, W.H.: Maximizing the number of cliques in graphs with given matching number. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **287**, 110–117 (2020)
4. Duan, X.Z., Wang, J., Yang, W.H.: The generalized Turán number of linear forests. (Chin.) *Adv. Math. (China)* **49**(4), 406–412 (2020)
5. Erdős, P., Gallai, T.: On maximal paths and circuits of graphs. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **10**, 337–356 (1959)
6. Füredi, Z., Kostochka, A., Luo, R.: Extensions of a theorem of Erdős on nonhamiltonian graphs. *J. Graph Theory* **89**, 176–193 (2018)
7. Füredi, Z., Kostochka, A., Verstraëte, J.: Stability in the Erdős–Gallai theorems on cycles and paths. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **121**, 197–228 (2016)
8. Gerbner, D., Methuku, A., Vizer, M.: Generalized Turán problems for disjoint copies of graphs. *Discrete Math.* **342**, 3130–3141 (2019)
9. Gerbner, D., Györi, E., Methuku, A., Vizer, M.: Generalized Turán numbers for even cycles. *Acta Math. Univer. Comen.* **88**, 723–728 (2019)
10. Györi, E., Li, H.: The maximum number of triangles in  $C_{2k+1}$ -free graph. *Combin. Prob. Comput.* **21**, 187–191 (2012)
11. Györi, E., Salia, N., Tompkins, C., Zamora, O.: The maximum number of  $P_l$  copies in  $P_k$ -free graphs. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* **21**(1), 14 (2019)
12. Kopylov, G.N.: Maximal paths and cycles in a graph. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **234**, 19–21 (1977). English translation: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **18**(1977), 593–596
13. Lu, C.H., Yuan, L.T., Zhang, P.: The maximum number of copies of  $K_{r,s}$  in graphs without long cycles or paths. *Electron. J. Combin.* **28**(4), P4-4 (2021)
14. Luo, R.: The maximum number of cliques in graphs without long cycles. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **128**, 219–226 (2017)
15. Ma, J., Ning, B.: Stability results on the circumference of a graph. *Combinatorica* **40**(1), 105–147 (2020)
16. Ma, J., Qiu, Y.: Some sharp results on the generalized Turán numbers. *Eur. J. Combin.* **84**, 103026 (2020)
17. Ning, B., Peng, X.: Extensions of the Erdős–Gallai theorem and Luo’s theorem. *Comb. Prob. Comput.* **29**, 128–136 (2020)
18. Ning, B., Wang, J.: The formula for Turán number of spanning linear forests. *Discrete Math.* **343**, 111924 (2020)
19. Wang, J.: The shifting method and generalized Turán number of matching. *European J. Combin.* **85**, 103057 (2020)
20. Wang, J., Yang, W.: The Turán number for spanning linear forests. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **25**, 291–294 (2019)
21. Zykov, A.A.: On some properties of linear complexes. *Mat. Sb.* **66**, 163–188 (1949)
22. Zhang, L.P., Wang, L.G., Zhou, J.L.: The generalized Turán number of spanning linear forests. *Graphs Combin.* **38**(2), 38–40 (2022)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.