



Infinitely Many Solutions for a Fourth-Order Semilinear Elliptic Equations Perturbed from Symmetry

Duong Trong Luyen^{1,2}

Received: 18 August 2020 / Revised: 17 September 2020 / Accepted: 21 September 2020 /
Published online: 3 October 2020

© Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia 2020

Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence of multiple solutions for the following biharmonic problem

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2 u &= f(x, u) + g(x, u) && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= \Delta u = 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega,\end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, ($N > 4$) is a smooth bounded domain and $f(x, \xi)$ is odd in ξ , $g(x, \xi)$ is a perturbation term. By using the variant of Rabinowitz's perturbation method, under some growth conditions on f and g , we show that there are infinitely many weak solutions to the problem.

Keywords Biharmonic · Boundary value problems · Critical points · Perturbation methods · Multiple solutions

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 35J60; Secondary 35B33 · 35J25

1 Introduction

In the last decades, the biharmonic elliptic equation

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2 u &= f(x, u) && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= \Delta u = 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega,\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

Communicated by Maria Alessandra Ragusa.

✉ Duong Trong Luyen
duongtrongluyen@tdtu.edu.vn

¹ Division of Computational Mathematics and Engineering, Institute for Computational Science, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

² Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

has been studied by many authors see [4,6–8,16–18] and the references therein.

In this paper, we study the existence of multiple weak solutions to the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u &= f(x, u) + g(x, u) && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, ($N > 4$) is a smooth bounded domain. To study the problem (1.2), we make the following assumptions:

We assume that $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that

(A1) $f(x, \xi) = f_1(x, \xi) + f_2(x, \xi)$, $f_1, f_2 \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exist constants $C_1 > 0$ and $1 < p < 2$ such that

$$|f_1(x, \xi)| \leq C_1 |\xi|^{p-1}, \quad (x, \xi) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}; \quad (1.3)$$

(A2) there exist constants $C_2 > 0$ and $1 < \mu < 2$ such that

$$f_1(x, \xi)\xi - \mu F_1(x, \xi) \leq 0, \quad (x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $F_1(x, \xi) := \int_0^\xi f_1(x, \tau) d\tau$;

(A3) there exist constants $C_2 > 0$, $1 < p_1 < 2$ and $2 < p_2 < 2_*$ such that

$$F_1(x, \xi) \geq C_2 (|\xi|^{p_1} - |\xi|^{p_2}), \quad (x, \xi) \in \Omega_0 \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $2_* := \frac{2N}{N-4}$, Ω_0 is a nonempty open and $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$;

(A4) there exist constants $C_3 > 0$ and $2 < p_3 < 2_*$ such that

$$|f_2(x, \xi)| \leq C_3 |\xi|^{p_3-1}, \quad (x, \xi) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R};$$

(A5) $f_i(x, \xi) = -f_i(x, -\xi)$, $i = 1, 2$, $(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

Let $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that

(B) $g \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exist constants $C_4 > 0$ and $2 < \theta < 2_*$ such that

$$|g(x, \xi)| \leq C_4 |\xi|^{\theta-1}, \quad (x, \xi) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Now, we formulate the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that (A1)–(A5), (B) are satisfied and*

$$\frac{2p}{2-p} > \frac{N}{\theta-2}. \quad (1.4)$$

Then the problem (1.2) has a sequence of small negative energy solutions converging to zero.

Example 1.2 Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary in \mathbb{R}^5 and

$$f(x, \xi) = a(x) |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xi \cos |\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad g(x, \xi) = \xi^{\theta-1},$$

where $a(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ changes sign in Ω , $\theta \in (\frac{7}{6}, 10)$. Set

$$f_1(x, \xi) = a(x) |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xi, \quad f_2(x, \xi) = a(x) |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xi \left(\cos |\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}} - 1 \right).$$

Thus, all conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied with

$$2_* = 10; \quad p = \mu = p_1 = \frac{3}{2}; \quad p_2 = p_3 = 3.$$

By Theorem 1.1, the problem (1.2) has a sequence of small negative energy solutions converging to zero.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Define the Euler–Lagrange functional associated with the problem (1.2) (see, e.g., [13,14]) as follows

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx,$$

where $F(x, u) := \int_0^u f(x, \xi) d\xi$ and $G(x, u) := \int_0^u g(x, \xi) d\xi$.

From (A1), (A4) and (B), we have I is well defined on $H_0^2(\Omega)$ and $I \in C^1(H_0^2(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ with

$$\langle I'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta v dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) v dx \tag{2.1}$$

for all $v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$. One can also check that the critical points of I are weak solutions of the problem (1.2).

Next, we introduce a cut-off function $\zeta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \zeta(\xi) = 1, & \xi \in (-\infty, 1], \\ 0 \leq \zeta(\xi) \leq 1, & \xi \in (1, 2), \\ \zeta(\xi) = 0, & \xi \in [2, +\infty), \\ |\zeta'(\xi)| \leq 2 & \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

With the help of this cut-off function ζ , define

$$\pi(u) := \zeta \left(\frac{\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2}{T_0} \right), \quad \forall u \in H_0^2(\Omega), \quad (2.3)$$

where T_0 is a small positive constant independent of u given by (2.10) and (2.49).

Lemma 2.1 *The functional π defined by (2.3) is of class $C^1(H_0^2(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$|\langle \pi'(u), u \rangle| \leq 8, \quad \forall u \in H_0^2(\Omega).$$

Proof By direct computation, we get

$$\langle \pi'(u), v \rangle = 2\zeta' \left(\frac{\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2}{T_0} \right) \frac{(u, v)_{H_0^2(\Omega)}}{T_0}, \quad \forall u, v \in H_0^2(\Omega). \quad (2.4)$$

Assume that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H_0^2(\Omega)$. By the definition of ζ and (2.4), for any $v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \pi'(u_n) - \pi'(u_0), v \rangle| \\ &= 2 \left| \zeta' \left(\frac{\|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2}{T_0} \right) \frac{(u_n, v)_{H_0^2(\Omega)}}{T_0} - \zeta' \left(\frac{\|u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2}{T_0} \right) \frac{(u_0, v)_{H_0^2(\Omega)}}{T_0} \right| \\ &\leq 2T_0^{-1} \|v\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \left[\left| \zeta' \left(\frac{\|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2}{T_0} \right) \right| \|u_n - u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \zeta' \left(\frac{\|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2}{T_0} \right) - \zeta' \left(\frac{\|u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2}{T_0} \right) \right| \|u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|\pi'(u_n) - \pi'(u_0)\|_{(H_0^2(\Omega))^*} \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. So $\pi \in C^1(H_0^2(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$. By (2.2) and (2.4), we get

$$|\langle \pi'(u), u \rangle| \leq 8, \quad \forall u \in H_0^2(\Omega).$$

□

With the help of this functional π , we define a new functional \bar{I} on $H_0^2(\Omega)$ by

$$\bar{I}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} F_1(x, u) dx - \pi(u)$$

$$\times \left(\int_{\Omega} F_2(x, u) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) \, dx \right), \tag{2.5}$$

where $F_2(x, u) = \int_0^u f_2(x, \tau) \, d\tau$. From Lemma 2.1, hence $\bar{I} \in C^1(H_0^2(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ and for any $u, v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{I}'(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta v \, dx - \pi(u) \left(\int_{\Omega} f_2(x, u) \, v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) \, v \, dx \right) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, u) \, v \, dx - \langle \pi'(u), v \rangle \left(\int_{\Omega} F_2(x, u) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) \, dx \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.2 *Assume that (A1), (A2), (A4), (B) are satisfied and u is a critical point of \bar{I} . Then*

$$\bar{I}(u) \leq \frac{\mu - 2}{4\mu} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof Consider two cases.

Case 1. Let u is a critical point of \bar{I} and $\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 > 2T_0$, by the definition of ζ , we have $\pi(u) = 0$ and $\pi'(u) = 0$. From (A1) and (2.6), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}(u) &= \bar{I}(u) - \mu^{-1} \langle \bar{I}'(u), u \rangle \\ &= \frac{\mu - 2}{2\mu} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + \mu^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (f_1(x, u)u - \mu F_1(x, u)) \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{\mu - 2}{4\mu} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \text{by } 1 < \mu < 2 \text{ and } f_1(x, u)u - \mu F_1(x, u) \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Case 2. Let u is a critical point of \bar{I} and $\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2T_0$. By applying embedding inequalities, Lemma 2.1, (A2), (A4) and (B), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}(u) &= \bar{I}(u) - \mu^{-1} \langle \bar{I}'(u), u \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{\mu - 2}{2\mu} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\mu + 9}{\mu} C_3 \|u\|_{L^{p_3}(\Omega)}^{p_3} + \frac{\mu + 9}{\mu} C_4 \|u\|_{L^\theta(\Omega)}^\theta \\ &\leq \frac{\mu - 2}{2\mu} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\mu + 9}{\mu} C_3 C_{p_3}^{p_3} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{p_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu + 9}{\mu} C_4 C_\theta^\theta \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^\theta, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where C_{p_3}, C_θ are constants such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_3}(\Omega)} \leq C_{p_3} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}, \|u\|_{L^\theta(\Omega)} \leq C_\theta \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}.$$

Since $p_3 > 2, p_4 > 2$, we can choose T_0 small enough such that if $\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2T_0$

$$\frac{\mu + 9}{\mu} C_3 C_{p_3}^{p_3} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{p_3} + \frac{\mu + 89}{\mu} C_4 C_\theta^\theta \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^\theta \leq \frac{2 - \mu}{4\mu} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2, \tag{2.10}$$

By (2.8) and (2.10), we get the conclusion of the lemma. □

Next, we introduce a cut-off function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \chi(\xi) = 1, & \xi \in (-\infty, \frac{A}{2}], \\ 0 \leq \chi(\xi) \leq 1, & \xi \in (\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{4}), \\ \chi(\xi) = 0, & \xi \in [\frac{A}{4}, +\infty), \\ |\chi'(\xi)| \leq -8A^{-1}, & \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad A := \frac{\mu-2}{4\mu}. \end{cases}$$

We put

$$\ell(u) := \chi \left(\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u) \right), \quad \forall u \in H_0^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\psi(u) := \begin{cases} \pi(u)\ell(u) \int_\Omega G(x, u) dx, & \forall u \in H_0^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0, & u = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^2 dx - \int_\Omega F_1(x, u) dx - \pi(u) \int_\Omega F_2(x, u) dx \\ &\quad - \psi(u), \quad \forall u \in H_0^2(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

From (A1), (A4) and (B), it is easy to verify that $\ell(u) \in C^1(H_0^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R})$. By direct computation, for $u \in H_0^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and for any $v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \ell'(u), v \rangle &= \chi' \left(\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u) \right) \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-4} \\ &\quad \times \left(\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 \langle \bar{I}'(u), v \rangle - 2\bar{I}(u)(u, v)_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Lemma 2.3 *Assume that (A1), (A2), (A4), (B) are satisfied. Then the functional ψ defined by (2.12) is of class $C^1(H_0^2(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$|\langle \psi'(u), u \rangle| \leq 89C_4C_\theta^\theta \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^\theta, \quad \forall u \in H_0^2(\Omega). \tag{2.15}$$

Proof For $u = 0$ for any $v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$, by (2.3), (2.12) and (B), we get

$$|\langle \psi'(0), v \rangle| = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{\psi(tv) - \psi(0)}{t} \right| \leq C_4 \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |t|^{\theta-1} \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^{\theta} dx = 0;$$

hence, $\psi'(0) = 0$. From (2.4), (2.12), (2.14) and (B) for $u \in H_0^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and $v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi'(u), v \rangle &= \langle \pi'(u), v \rangle \ell(u) \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx + \pi(u) \langle \ell'(u), v \rangle \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx \\ &\quad + \pi(u) \ell(u) \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) v dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Next, we prove $\psi \in C^1(H_0^2(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$. Suppose that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $H_0^2(\Omega)$. We consider two possible cases.

Case 1. $u_0 \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.1, (2.14) and (B), we have

$$\psi'(u_n) \rightarrow \psi'(u_0) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Case 2. $u_0 = 0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 < T_0$. Hence, by (2.2), (2.3) we get $\pi(u_n) = 1$ and $\pi'(u_n) = 0$; hence,

$$\langle \psi'(u_n), v \rangle = \langle \ell'(u_n), v \rangle \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx + \ell(u_n) \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n) v dx. \tag{2.17}$$

On the other hand, by (2.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \ell'(u_n), v \rangle \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx &= \chi' \left(\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u_n) \right) \|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \langle \bar{I}'(u_n), v \rangle \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx \\ &\quad - 2\chi' \left(\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u_n) \right) \|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-4} \bar{I}(u_n)(u_n, v)_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of χ and (B), applying embedding inequalities, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \chi' \left(\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u_n) \right) \|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \langle \bar{I}'(u_n), v \rangle \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx \right| \\ &\leq C_5 \left\| \bar{I}'(u_n) \right\|_{(H_0^2(\Omega))^*} \|v\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{\theta-2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 2\chi' \left(\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u_n) \right) \|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-4} \bar{I}(u_n)(u_n, v)_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx \right| \\ & \leq C_6 \|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{\theta-1} \|v\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

$$\left| \ell(u_n) \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n) v dx \right| \leq C_7 \|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{\theta-1} \|v\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}, \tag{2.20}$$

where $C_j > 0, j = 5, 6, 7$, and $(H_0^2(\Omega))^*$ denotes the dual space of $H_0^2(\Omega)$. Since $\pi(u_n) = 1, \pi'(u_n) = 0$ and $u_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$\left\| \bar{I}'(u_n) \right\|_{(H_0^2(\Omega))^*} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.21}$$

From (2.17)–(2.21), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi'(u_n) - \psi'(0)\|_{(H_0^2(\Omega))^*} \\ & = \sup_{\|v\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \leq 1} \left| \langle \ell'(u_n), v \rangle \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx + \ell(u_n) \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n) v dx \right| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty; \end{aligned}$$

hence, the continuity of ψ' follows. So we have $\psi \in C^1(H_0^2(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$.

Next, we prove (2.15).

If $\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 > 2T_0$ or $u = 0$ then by (2.2), Lemma 2.1 and (2.16), we have $\langle \psi'(u), u \rangle = 0$. Otherwise, $\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2T_0$ and $u \neq 0$. Arguing similarly as in (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \bar{I}(u) - \mu^{-1} \langle \bar{I}'(u), u \rangle \right| \\ & \leq 2|A| \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\mu + 9}{\mu} C_3 C_{p_3}^{p_3} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{p_3} + \frac{\mu + 9}{\mu} C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{\theta}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

From (2.10) and (2.22), we have that

$$\left| \langle \bar{I}'(u), u \rangle \right| \leq \mu \left(3|A| \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\bar{I}(u)| \right). \tag{2.23}$$

By the definition of χ , we have that if $\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u) \notin [\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{4}]$ then $\ell'(u) = 0$. Otherwise, if

$$\frac{A}{2} \leq \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u) \leq \frac{A}{4}$$

then

$$|\bar{I}(u)| \leq |A| \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{2.24}$$

In combination with (2.14), (2.23) and (2.24), we get

$$\left| \pi(u) \langle \ell'(u), u \rangle \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx \right| \leq 80C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{\theta}. \tag{2.25}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (2.2), (2.11), we conclude that

$$\left| \langle \pi'(u), u \rangle \ell(u) \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx + \pi(u) \ell(u) \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) u dx \right| \leq 9C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{\theta}. \tag{2.26}$$

Combining with (2.16), (2.25) and (2.26), we get (2.15). The proof of Lemma 2.3 is complete. \square

Lemma 2.4 *Assume that (A1), (A2), (A4), (A5), (B) are satisfied. Then*

(K1) *The functional J defined by (2.13) is of class $C^1(H_0^2(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ and there exists a constants C_8 independent of u such that*

$$|J(u) - J(-u)| \leq C_8 |J(u)|^{\frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad \forall u \in H_0^2(\Omega). \tag{2.27}$$

(K2) *J has no critical point with critical value on $H_0^2(\Omega)$ and $K_0 = \{0\}$, where $K_0 := \{u \in H_0^2(\Omega) : J(u) = 0, J'(u) = 0\}$.*

Proof By Lemmas 2.1, 2.3, (A1) and (A4), we have $J \in C^1(H_0^2(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta v dx - \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, u) v dx - \pi(u) \int_{\Omega} f_2(x, u) v dx \\ &\quad - \langle \pi'(u), v \rangle \int_{\Omega} F_2(x, u) dx - \langle \psi'(u), v \rangle, \quad \forall u, v \in H_0^2(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Next, we prove (2.27). We consider two possible cases.

Case 1. If $\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 > 2T_0$ or $\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u) > \frac{A}{4}$, by the definition of ζ and χ we have $\psi(u) = 0$. Then (2.27) holds by (A5) and (2.13).

Case 2. If $\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2T_0$ and $\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u) \leq \frac{A}{4}$,

$$|\bar{I}(u)| \geq \frac{|A|}{4} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{2.29}$$

From (B), (2.3), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.29), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J(u)| &\geq |\bar{I}(u)| - 2 \left| \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx \right| \geq \frac{|A|}{4} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{\theta} \\
 &\geq C_9 \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2;
 \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$|J(u)|^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \geq C_{10} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{\theta}. \tag{2.30}$$

In view of (A5), (B), (2.3) and (2.11), we obtain

$$|J(u) - J(-u)| \leq 2 \left| \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx \right| \leq 2C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{\theta}. \tag{2.31}$$

It follows from (2.30) and (2.31) that (2.27) holds.

Next, we prove (K2) by contradiction. If u_0 is a critical point of J with $J(u_0) > 0$, by (A1), (A4) and (B) we get $u_0 \neq 0$. We consider two possible cases.

Case 1. If $\|u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 > 2T_0$ then

$$\pi(u_0) = \pi'(u_0) = \psi'(u_0) = 0.$$

By (A2), (2.13) and (2.28), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \leq J(u_0) &= J(u_0) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle J'(u_0), u_0 \rangle \\
 &= \frac{\mu - 2}{2\mu} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_0|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} (f_1(x, u_0)u_0 - \mu F_1(x, u_0)) dx < 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields a contradiction.

Case 2. If $\|u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2T_0$ then by Lemmas 2.1, 2.3, (2.10), (2.13) and (2.28), we obtain

$$0 \leq J(u_0) = J(u_0) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle J'(u_0), u_0 \rangle \leq \frac{\mu - 2}{4\mu} \|u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 < 0,$$

which yields a contradiction. Moreover, by a similar proof and direct computation we obtain $K_0 = \{0\}$. □

Lemma 2.5 *Assume that (A1), (A4), (B) are satisfied. Then the functional J satisfies the Palais–Smale condition.*

Proof Without loss of generality, assume $\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 > 2T_0$. Then, by the definition of π and (A1) we obtain

$$J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 - C_{11} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^p. \tag{2.32}$$

Since $1 < p < 2$, (2.31) implies that

$$J(u) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{2.33}$$

Next, we show that $J(u)$ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition. Assume that $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset H_0^2(\Omega)$ is a Palais–Smale sequence, i.e., $\{J(u_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and

$$J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Since (2.33), $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded in $H_0^2(\Omega)$. Therefore, we can (by passing to a subsequence, we can always suppose $u_n \neq 0$ for all n , otherwise, the thesis is obvious) suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ weakly in } H_0^2(\Omega) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_0 \text{ a.e., in } \Omega \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_0 \text{ strongly in } L^q(\Omega), 1 \leq q < 2_* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Since (2.34), by (A1), (A4), (B) and standard arguments we get

$$\int_{\Omega} f_i(x, u_n)(u_n - u_0)dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, i = 1, 2, \tag{2.35}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n)(u_n - u_0)dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.36}$$

From (2.34), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle J'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle| = 0. \tag{2.37}$$

Next, we distinguish two cases.

Case 1. If $\|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 > 2T_0$, from (2.2), (2.3), (2.10), (2.12), we get that

$$\pi(u_n) = 0, \pi'(u_n) = 0, \psi'(u_n) = 0.$$

By (2.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'(u_n) - J'(u_0), u_n - u_0 \rangle &= \|u_n - u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 - \int_{\Omega} (f_1(x, u_n) \\ &\quad - f_1(x, u_0))(u_n - u_0) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

Case 2. If $\|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2T_0$, from (2.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle &= \|u_n - u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_0 \Delta(u_n - u_0) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, u_n)(u_n - u_0) dx \\ &\quad - \pi(u_n) \int_{\Omega} f_2(x, u_n)(u_n - u_0) dx - \langle \pi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle \int_{\Omega} F_2(x, u_n) dx \\ &\quad - \langle \psi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

By (A4), (2.2) and (2.4), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \langle \pi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle \int_{\Omega} F_2(x, u_n) dx \right| \\ &\leq C_3 C_{p_3}^{p_3} \|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{p_3} \left| 2\zeta' \left(\frac{\|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2}{T_0} \right) \frac{(u_n, u_n - u_0)_{H_0^2(\Omega)}}{T_0} \right| \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{p_3+4}{2}} C_3 C_{p_3}^{p_3} T_0^{\frac{p_3-2}{2}} \left(\|u_n - u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + (u_0, u_n - u_0)_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

On the other hand, from (2.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle &= \langle \pi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle \ell(u_n) \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx \\ &\quad + \pi(u_n) \langle \ell'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx \\ &\quad + \pi(u_n) \ell(u_n) \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n)(u_n - u_0) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Moreover, by (B), (2.4) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \pi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle \ell(u_n) \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx \right| \\ & \leq 2^{\frac{\theta+4}{2}} C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} T_0^{\frac{\theta-2}{2}} \left(\|u_n - u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + (u_0, u_n - u_0)_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

From the defined of χ , (B), (2.4) and (2.14), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(u_n) \langle \ell'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx &= \pi(u_n) \chi' \left(\|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u_n) \right) \|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-4} \\ & \left(\|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 \langle \bar{I}'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle - 2\bar{I}(u_n)(u_n, u_n - u_0)_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \right) \\ & \times \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

From (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{I}'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_n \Delta(u_n - u_0) dx - \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, u_n)(u_n - u_0) dx \\ & - \pi(u_n) \left(\int_{\Omega} f_2(x, u_n)(u_n - u_0) dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n)(u_n - u_0) dx \right) \\ & - \langle \pi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle \left(\int_{\Omega} F_2(x, u_n) dx + \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

By (A4), (B), (2.40), (2.42) and (2.44), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle \bar{I}'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle \right| &\leq \|u_n - u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left| \langle \pi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle \int_{\Omega} F_2(x, u_n) dx \right| \\ & + \left| \langle \pi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx \right| + o_n(1) \\ & \leq (1 + C_{12}) \|u_n - u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + o_n(1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

where $o_n(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$C_{12} = 2^{\frac{p_3+4}{2}} C_3 C_{p_3}^{p_3} T_0^{\frac{p_3-2}{2}} + 2^{\frac{\theta+4}{2}} C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} T_0^{\frac{\theta-2}{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$\left| \pi(u_n) \chi' \left(\|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u_n) \right) \|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \langle \bar{I}'_{T_0}(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx \right| \leq 2^{\frac{\theta+4}{2}} |A|^{-1} C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} T_0^{\frac{\theta-2}{2}} (1 + C_{12}) \|u_n - u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + o_n(1). \tag{2.46}$$

$$\left| \pi(u_n) \chi' \left(\|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-2} \bar{I}(u_n) \right) \|u_n\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{-4} 2\bar{I}(u_n)(u_n, u_n - u_0)_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) dx \right| \leq 2^{\frac{\theta+4}{2}} C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} T_0^{\frac{\theta-2}{2}} \|u_n - u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + o_n(1). \tag{2.47}$$

By (2.41), (2.42), (2.46) and (2.47), we have

$$|\langle \psi'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle| \leq C_{13} \|u_n - u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + o_n(1), \tag{2.48}$$

where

$$C_{13} = 2^{\frac{\theta+4}{2}} C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} T_0^{\frac{\theta-2}{2}} + 2^{\frac{\theta+4}{2}} |A|^{-1} C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} T_0^{\frac{\theta-2}{2}} (1 + C_{12}).$$

Since $p_3 > 2$ and $\theta > 2$, we can choose T_0 small enough such that

$$2^{\frac{p_3+4}{2}} C_3 C_{p_3}^{p_3} T_0^{\frac{p_3-2}{2}} + C_{13} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.49}$$

By (2.39), (2.40), (2.48) and (2.49), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle J'(u_n), u_n - u_0 \rangle| &\geq \left(1 - 2^{\frac{p_3+4}{2}} C_3 C_{p_3}^{p_3} T_0^{\frac{p_3-2}{2}} - C_{13} \right) \|u_n - u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + o_n(1) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u_n - u_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + o_n(1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.50}$$

It follows from (2.37) and (2.50) that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of Lemma 2.5 is complete. □

Now, we can show that J has a sequence of critical values. For the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{2.51}$$

we can show that the Dirichlet eigenvalue the problem (2.51) has a sequence of discrete eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ which satisfy

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

and e_1, e_2, \dots denote the corresponding eigenfunctions normalized such that $\|e_j\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} = 1$, for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$. For any $k > 0$, we put $\mathbb{V}_k = \text{span}\{e_j; j \leq k\}$ in $H_0^2(\Omega)$, \mathbb{V}_k^\perp be the orthogonal complement of \mathbb{V}_k in $H_0^2(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.6 *There exists a normalized orthogonal sequence $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\text{supp } \varphi_k \subset \Omega_0, k \in \mathbb{N}$, where Ω_0 is the nonempty open set given in (A3).*

Proof By (A3), there exist $x_0 \in \Omega_0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $B(x_0, \delta_0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - x_0| < \delta_0\} \subset \Omega_0$. Choose a strictly increasing sequence $\{\rho_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that

$$0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < \dots < \rho_k < \dots \rightarrow \frac{\delta_0}{4}.$$

Define

$$O_k := B(x_0, \rho_{k+1}) \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, \rho_k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $x_k \in O_k$ and choose $r_k > 0$ such that

$$B(x_0, r_k) \subset O_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.52}$$

Set

$$\varphi_0(x) := \begin{cases} e^{\frac{1}{|x|^2-1}} & \text{if } |x| < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.53}$$

By (2.53), define φ_k as follows

$$\varphi_k(x) := \varphi_0((x - x_k)/r_k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.54}$$

By (2.53) and (2.54), we get

$$\varphi_k \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, from (2.52)-(2.54), we have

$$\text{supp } \varphi_k \subset O_k \subset \Omega_0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then the supports of φ_k are disjoint to each other, which implies that $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ form a linearly independent sequence in $H_0^2(\Omega)$. By Gram–Schmidt orthogonalization process, there exists a normalized orthogonal sequence also denoted by $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in $H_0^2(\Omega)$ and

$$\text{supp } \varphi_k \subset \Omega_0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

□

With the help of the normalized orthogonal sequence $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, define some subspaces as follows:

$$\mathbb{W}_k := \text{span}\{\varphi_j; j \leq k\},$$

$$B_k := \{u \in \mathbb{W}_k : \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \leq 1\}, S^k := \left\{u \in \mathbb{W}_k : \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} = 1\right\}$$

and

$$S_+^{k+1} := \left\{u = w + se_{k+1} : \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} = 1, w \in B_k, 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\}.$$

By these subspaces, we can introduce some continuous maps and minimax sequences of J as follows

$$\Lambda_k := \left\{\varphi \in C(S^k, H_0^2(\Omega)) : \varphi \text{ is odd}\right\},$$

$$\Gamma_k := \left\{\varphi \in C(S_+^{k+1}, H_0^2(\Omega)) : \varphi|_{S^k} \in \Lambda_k\right\}, \tag{2.55}$$

and

$$b_k := \inf_{\varphi \in \Lambda_k} \max_{u \in S^k} J(\varphi(u)), \quad c_k := \inf_{h \in \Gamma_k} \max_{u \in S_+^{k+1}} J(\varphi(u)), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.56}$$

For any $\delta > 0$, put

$$\Gamma_k(\delta) := \left\{\varphi \in \Gamma_k : J(\varphi(u)) \leq b_k + \delta, u \in S^k\right\}, \tag{2.57}$$

$$c_k(\delta) := \inf_{h \in \Gamma_k(\delta)} \max_{u \in S_+^{k+1}} J(\varphi(u)). \tag{2.58}$$

By (2.55)–(2.58), it is obvious that $b_k \leq c_k \leq c_k(\delta), k \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, we give some useful estimates for minimax values b_k and $c_k(\delta)$.

Lemma 2.7 *Assume that (A1), (A3), (A4), (B) are satisfied. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}, b_k < 0$.*

Proof Since \mathbb{W}_k is a finite-dimensional space, by (A3), (A4), (B), for any $u \in \mathbb{W}_k$ we get that

$$J(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_{14} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{p_2} + C_{15} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{p_3} + C_{16} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^\theta - C_{17} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{p_1}.$$

Hence, there exist $\varepsilon(k) > 0$ and $\kappa(k) > 0$ such that $J(\kappa u) < -\varepsilon, u \in S^k$. Then we set $\varphi(u) = \kappa u, u \in S^k$. By (2.56), we obtain $b_k < 0$. □

Lemma 2.8 *Assume that (A1), (A3), (A4), (B) are satisfied. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\delta > 0$, we have $c_k(\delta) < 0$.*

Proof By (2.57) and (2.58), for fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \delta < \delta'$, we have $\Gamma_k(\delta) \subset \Gamma_k(\delta')$ and $c_k(\delta) > c_k(\delta')$. Then we only need to prove $c_k(\delta) < 0$ for any $\delta \in (0, |b_k|)$. For any $\delta \in (0, |b_k|)$, from (2.56), there exists $\varphi_0 \in \Lambda_k$ such that $\max_{u \in S^k} J(\varphi_0(u)) \leq b_n + \frac{\delta}{2}$.

Since $\varphi_0(S^k)$ is a compact set in $H_0^2(\Omega)$, there exists a positive integer m_0 such that

$$\max_{u \in S^k} J((P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)(u)) \leq b_k + \delta, \tag{2.59}$$

where P_{m_0} denotes the orthogonal projective operator from $H_0^2(\Omega)$ to \mathbb{V}_{m_0} .

For any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, let $J^c := \{u \in H_0^2(\Omega) : J(u) \leq c\}$. Choose $\bar{\varepsilon} = -\frac{b_k + \delta}{2} > 0$. By (A1), (A4), (B) and (2.13), there exists a positive constant ρ_0 such that if $u \in \bar{B}(0, \rho_0)$, $J(u) \leq \varepsilon$, where $B(x_0, \rho)$ denotes the open ball of radius ρ centered at u_0 in $H_0^2(\Omega)$ and \bar{B} denotes the closure in $H_0^2(\Omega)$. From (2.13) and $J(0) = 0$, hence $\text{dist}(0, J^{-\bar{\varepsilon}}) > 0$. Setting

$$\rho'_0 := \min\{\rho_0, \text{dist}(0, J^{-\bar{\varepsilon}})\},$$

then $\rho'_0 > 0$. By deformation theorem in [2] (or see deformation theorem in [9]), we have there exist $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$ and a continuous map $\eta \in C([0, 1] \times H_0^2(\Omega), H_0^2(\Omega))$ such that

$$\eta(1, u) = u, \quad \text{if } J(u) \notin [-\bar{\varepsilon}, \bar{\varepsilon}], \tag{2.60}$$

and

$$\eta(1, J^\varepsilon \setminus B(0, \rho'_0)) \subset J^{-\varepsilon}, \tag{2.61}$$

where $B(0, \rho'_0)$ is a neighborhood of K_0 .

From (2.55), we obtain $P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0 \in C(S^k, \mathbb{V}_{m_0})$. Since \mathbb{V}_{k+1} is a metric space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}$ and S^k is a closed subset in \mathbb{V}_{k+1} , by Dugundji extension theorem (see Theorem 4.1 in [5]), we have there exists an extension

$$\widetilde{P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0} : \mathbb{W}_{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_{m_0};$$

furthermore,

$$\left(\widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)\mathbb{W}_{k+1}}\right) \subset \text{co}\left((P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)S^k\right), \tag{2.62}$$

where the symbol co denotes the convex hull. Since $(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)S^k$ is a compact set in \mathbb{V}_{m_0} , by the definition of convex hull, $\text{co}\left((P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)S^k\right)$ is a bounded set in \mathbb{V}_{m_0} . Then there exists a constant ν such that

$$J(u) \leq \nu, \quad u \in \text{co}\left((P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)S^k\right).$$

By (2.62), we have

$$J \left(\widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)} u \right) \leq \nu, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{W}_{k+1}. \tag{2.63}$$

Next, we distinguish two cases.

Case 1. $\nu \leq \varepsilon$. Since $\widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)} u \in C(\mathbb{W}_{k+1}, \mathbb{V}_{m_0})$, by (2.63), we get that

$$\widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)} u \in J_{m_0}^\varepsilon, \quad u \in \mathbb{W}_{k+1}, \tag{2.64}$$

where $J_{m_0}^\varepsilon := \{u \in \mathbb{V}_{m_0} : J(u) \leq \varepsilon\}$. Define a map Θ as follows:

$$\Theta(u) = \begin{cases} u, & u \notin \overline{B}(0, \rho'_0) \cap \mathbb{V}_{m_0} \\ u + \left(\rho'^2_0 - \|u\|_{H^2_0(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{m_0+1}, & u \in \overline{B}(0, \rho'_0) \cap \mathbb{V}_{m_0}. \end{cases} \tag{2.65}$$

It is clear that $\Theta \in C(\mathbb{V}_{m_0}, \mathbb{V}_{m_0+1})$.

On the other hand, if $u \in \mathbb{W}_{k+1}$ and $\left\| \widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)} u \right\|_{H^2_0(\Omega)} > \rho'_0$ then by (2.64) and (2.65), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Theta \circ \widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)} \right) u &= \widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)} u \in J_{m_0}^\varepsilon, \\ \left\| \left(\Theta \circ \widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)} \right) u \right\|_{H^2_0(\Omega)} &> \rho'_0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.66}$$

Otherwise, $u \in \mathbb{W}_{k+1}$ and $\left\| \widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)} u \right\|_{H^2_0(\Omega)} \leq \rho'_0$ from (2.65) we have

$$\left\| \left(\Theta \circ \widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)} \right) u \right\|_{H^2_0(\Omega)} = \rho'_0. \tag{2.67}$$

Combining the definition of ρ'_0 , (2.66) and (2.67), we obtain

$$\left(\Theta \circ \widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)} \right) u \notin B(0, \rho'_0), \quad u \in \mathbb{W}_{k+1}, \tag{2.68}$$

and

$$\left(\Theta \circ \widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)} \right) u \in J^\varepsilon, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{W}_{k+1}. \tag{2.69}$$

Define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{m_0} : \mathbb{W}_{k+1} &\longrightarrow H^2_0(\Omega) \\ u &\longmapsto \Theta_{m_0}(u) = \eta \left(1, \left(\Theta \circ \widetilde{(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0)} \right) u \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.70}$$

We need to prove $\Theta_{m_0} \in \Gamma_k(\delta)$ and $\max_{u \in S_+^{k+1}} J(\Theta_{m_0}(u)) < 0$. First, it is obvious that $\Theta_{m_0} \in C(S_+^{k+1}, H_0^2(\Omega))$. Next, we prove $\Theta_{m_0}|_{S^k} \in \Lambda_k$. By Dugundji extension theorem, we get

$$\left(\widetilde{P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0}\right) u = (P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0) u, \quad \forall u \in S^k. \tag{2.71}$$

From (2.59), hence $(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0) u \in J^{-2\varepsilon}, u \in S^k$. By the definition of ρ'_0 and $J^{-2\varepsilon} \subset J^{-\varepsilon}$ implies that

$$\|(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0) u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \geq \rho'_0, \quad \forall u \in S^k. \tag{2.72}$$

From (2.65), (2.71) and (2.72), we have that

$$\left(\Theta \circ \left(\widetilde{P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0}\right)\right) u = \Theta((P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0) u) = (P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0) u, \quad \forall u \in S^k. \tag{2.73}$$

Since $(P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0) u \in J^{-2\varepsilon}, \forall u \in S^k$, by (2.59), (2.60), (2.70) and (2.73), we have

$$\Theta_{m_0}(u) = \eta \left(1, \left(\Theta \circ \left(\widetilde{P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0}\right)\right) u\right) = (P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0) u, \quad \forall u \in S^k, \tag{2.74}$$

which implies that $\Theta_{m_0}|_{S^k} \in \Lambda_k$. Moreover, from (2.57), (2.59) and (2.74), we have $\Theta_{m_0} \in \Gamma_k(\delta)$. Since $S^{k+1} \subset \mathbb{W}_{k+1}$, by (2.68) and (2.69), we obtain

$$\left(\Theta \circ \left(\widetilde{P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0}\right)\right) u \notin B(0, \rho'_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\Theta \circ \left(\widetilde{P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0}\right)\right) u \in J^\varepsilon, \quad \forall u \in S^{k+1}.$$

From (2.61) and (2.70), we get $\max_{u \in S_+^{k+1}} J(\Theta_{m_0}(u)) \leq -\varepsilon < 0$ which implies that $c_k(\delta) < 0$.

Case 2. $v > \varepsilon$. By a similar proof as in Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5, we can prove that $J|_{\mathbb{V}_{m_0}} \in C^1(\mathbb{V}_{m_0}, \mathbb{R})$ and satisfies Palais–Smale condition. Moreover, $J|_{\mathbb{V}_{m_0}}$ has no critical points with positive critical values on \mathbb{V}_{m_0} . By noncritical interval theorem (see Theorem 5.1.6 in [3]), we see that $J_{m_0}^\varepsilon$ is a strong deformation retract of $J_{m_0}^v$. So there exists a map ψ such that $\psi \in C(J_{m_0}^v, J_{m_0}^\varepsilon)$ and $\psi(u) = u$, if $u \in J_{m_0}^\varepsilon$. Define a map Ψ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \mathbb{W}_{k+1} &\longrightarrow H_0^2(\Omega) \\ u &\longmapsto \Psi(u) := \psi \left(1, \left(\Theta \circ \left(\widetilde{P_{m_0} \circ \varphi_0}\right)\right) (u)\right). \end{aligned}$$

By a similar proof as in Case 1, we get $\Psi \in \Gamma_k(\delta)$ and $\max_{u \in S_+^{k+1}} J(\Psi(u)) \leq -\varepsilon < 0$ which implies that $c_k(\delta) < 0$. The proof of Lemma 2.8 is complete. □

Lemma 2.9 *Suppose that f satisfies (A1), (A3), (A4) and g satisfies (B). Then there exists a positive constant C_{18} independent of k such that for all k large enough*

$$b_k \geq -C_{18}k^{\frac{-4p}{N(2-p)}}. \tag{2.75}$$

Proof For any $\varphi \in \Lambda_k (k \geq 2)$ when $0 \notin \varphi(S^k)$, then the genus $\gamma(\varphi(S^k))$ is well defined and $\gamma(\varphi(S^k)) \geq \varphi(S^k) = k$. By Proposition 7.8 in [12], hence $\varphi(S^k) \cap \mathbb{V}_{k-1}^\perp \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, if $0 \in \varphi(S^k)$ then $0 \in \varphi(S^k) \cap \mathbb{V}_{k-1}^\perp$. So for any $\varphi \in \Lambda_k (k \geq 2)$ we have $\varphi(S^k) \cap \mathbb{V}_{k-1}^\perp \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, for any $\varphi \in \Lambda_k (k \geq 2)$, we obtain

$$\max_{u \in S^k} J(\varphi(u)) \geq \inf_{u \in \mathbb{V}_{k-1}^\perp} J(u). \tag{2.76}$$

From (A1), (A4), (B), (2.10) and (2.13), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 - C_{19} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p - \pi(u) \int_{\Omega} F_2(x, u) dx - \psi(u) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 - C_{19} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^p, \forall u \in H_0^2(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{2.77}$$

Moreover, by $u \in \mathbb{V}_{k-1}^\perp$, hence

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \lambda_k^{\frac{-1}{2}} \|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}. \tag{2.78}$$

Combining (2.56), (2.76), (2.77) and (2.78), for any $k \geq 2$, we have

$$b_k \geq \inf_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{1}{4} t^2 - C_{19} \lambda_k^{\frac{-p}{2}} t^p \right) = -C_{20} \lambda_k^{\frac{-p}{2-p}}, \tag{2.79}$$

where C_{20} is a positive constant independent of k and λ_k . On the other hand, it follows from Agmon’s generalization [1] of Weyl’s formula [15], which in fact is an extension of earlier work of Pleijel [10] for $N = 2$, we have

$$\lambda_k \geq C_{21} k^{\frac{4}{N}}. \tag{2.80}$$

Combining (2.79) and (2.80), we arrive at the conclusion of the lemma. □

Lemma 2.10 *Suppose that $c_k = b_k$ for $k \geq k_0$, where $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a positive integer k_1 such that*

$$|b_k| \geq C_{22} k^{\frac{2}{2-\theta}}, \quad k \geq k_1, \tag{2.81}$$

where C_{22} is a positive constant independent of k .

Proof For any $k \geq k_0$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0, |b_k|)$, by (2.56) there exists a map $\varphi_0 \in \Gamma_k$ such that

$$\max_{u \in S_+^{k+1}} J(\varphi_0(u)) < c_k + \varepsilon = b_k + \varepsilon. \tag{2.82}$$

From $S^{k+1} = S_+^{k+1} \cup (-S_+^{k+1})$, φ_0 can be continuously extended to S^{k+1} as an odd function, also denoted by φ_0 , then $\varphi_0 \in \Lambda_{k+1}$. From (2.56), we have

$$b_{k+1} \leq \max_{u \in S^{k+1}} J(\varphi_0(u)) = J(\varphi_0(u_0)) \tag{2.83}$$

for some $u_0 \in S^{k+1}$. If $u_0 \in S_+^{k+1}$, in combination with (2.27), (2.82) and (2.83), we have

$$b_{k+1} < b_k + \varepsilon + C_8 |b_{k+1}|^{\frac{\theta}{2}}. \tag{2.84}$$

Otherwise, $u_0 \in -S_+^{k+1}$, from (2.27) and (2.82), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} J(\varphi_0(u_0)) &\leq J(\varphi_0(-u_0)) + C_8 |J(\varphi_0(u_0))|^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \\ &\leq b_k + \varepsilon + C_8 |J(\varphi_0(u_0))|^{\frac{\theta}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.85}$$

Next, we consider two possible cases.

Case 1. $J(\varphi_0(u_0)) \leq |b_{k+1}|$, from (2.83) and (2.84), we obtain

$$b_{k+1} < b_k + \varepsilon + C_8 |b_{k+1}|^{\frac{\theta}{2}}. \tag{2.86}$$

Case 2. $J(\varphi_0(u_0)) > |b_{k+1}|$. By (2.82), there exists $u_1 \in S_+^{k+1}$ such that

$$J(\varphi_0(u_1)) < b_k + \varepsilon < 0. \tag{2.87}$$

Since $J \circ \varphi_0 \in C(S^{k+1}, \mathbb{R})$ and S^{k+1} is a connected space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}$, by the intermediate value theorem, there exists $u_2 \in S^{k+1}$ such that $J(\varphi_0(u_2)) = \frac{|b_{k+1}|}{2}$. By (2.82), hence $u_2 \in -S^{k+1}$. From (2.27) and (2.82), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J(\varphi_0(u_2)) &\leq J(\varphi_0(-u_2)) + C_8 |J(\varphi_0(u_2))|^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \\ &\leq b_k + C_8 |J(\varphi_0(u_2))|^{\frac{\theta}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$b_{k+1} \leq b_k + \varepsilon + C_8 |b_{k+1}|^{\frac{\theta}{2}}. \tag{2.88}$$

By Lemma 2.7, (2.84), (2.86) and (2.88), it is easy to see that

$$|b_k| \leq |b_{k+1}| + C_8 |b_{k+1}|^{\frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad k \geq k_0. \tag{2.89}$$

Next, we show that (2.89) implies (2.81). The proof will be done by induction. First, we introduce a useful inequality as follows:

$$(1 + t)^\alpha \geq 1 + \frac{\alpha t}{2}, \quad t \in [0, \beta], \tag{2.90}$$

where α, β are positive constants and β depends on α . Set $\alpha = 2(\theta - 2)^{-1}$. In view of (2.90), there exists $\tilde{k}_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{2}{\theta-2}} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{(\theta - 2)k}, \quad k \geq \tilde{k}_0. \tag{2.91}$$

Define

$$C_{22} := \min \left\{ k_1^{\frac{2}{\theta-2}} |b_{k_1}|, \left(\frac{1}{C_8(\theta - 2)} \right)^{\frac{2}{\theta-2}} \right\}, \tag{2.92}$$

where $k_1 = \max\{k_0, \tilde{k}_0\}$. Then we claim (2.81) holds. By (2.92), we have

$$|b_{k_1}| \geq C_{22} k_1^{\frac{2}{2-\theta}}. \tag{2.93}$$

Suppose that (2.81) holds for $k \geq k_1$. Then we only need to prove (2.81) also holds for $k + 1$. If not, we get that

$$|b_{k+1}| \leq C_{22} (k + 1)^{\frac{2}{2-\theta}}. \tag{2.94}$$

Since (2.81) holds for k , by (2.27), (2.89) and (2.94), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_{22} k_1^{\frac{2}{2-\theta}} &\leq |b_k| \leq |b_{k+1}| + C_8 |b_{k+1}|^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \leq C_{22} (k + 1)^{\frac{2}{2-\theta}} \\ &\quad + C_8 C_{22}^{\frac{\theta}{2}} (k + 1)^{\frac{\theta}{2-\theta}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.95}$$

When we divide (2.95) by $C_{22} (k + 1)^{\frac{2}{2-\theta}}$ on both sides, in view of (2.92), we get that

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{2}{\theta-2}} < 1 + C_8 C_{22}^{\frac{\theta-2}{2}} \frac{1}{k + 1} < 1 + C_8 C_{22}^{\frac{\theta-2}{2}} \frac{1}{k} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{(\theta - 2)k},$$

which contradicts (2.91). So (2.81) holds. The proof of Lemma 2.10 is complete. \square

Lemma 2.11 *Suppose that $c_k > b_k$. Then for any $\delta \in (0, c_k - b_k)$, $c_k(\delta)$ given by (2.57) is a critical value of J .*

Proof By using deformation theorem in [2], the proof of this lemma is similar to the one of Lemma 1.57 in [11]. We omit the details. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 From (1.4), Lemmas 2.7, 2.9 and 2.10, it is impossible that $c_k = b_k$ for all large k , we can choose subsequence $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $c_{k_j} > b_{k_j}$. By Lemmas 2.8, 2.9 and 2.11, there exists a sequence of critical points $\{u_{k_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of J such that

$$-C_1 8k_j^{\frac{-2p}{N(2-p)}} \leq b_{k_j} < c_{k_j} \leq c_{k_j}(\delta_j) = J(u_{k_j}) < 0, \tag{2.96}$$

where $\delta_j \in (0, c_{k_j} - b_{k_j})$. It is obvious that $u_{k_j} \neq 0, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, we consider the following two possible cases.

Case 1. $\|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 > 2T_0$. From (2.2), (2.3) and (2.16), hence

$$\pi(u_{k_j}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi'(u_{k_j}) = 0.$$

By (A2), (2.5) and (2.28), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}(u_{k_j}) &= \bar{I}(u_{k_j}) - \mu^{-1} \langle \tilde{I}'(u_{k_j}), u_{k_j} \rangle \\ &= 2A \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} (\mu^{-1} f_1(x, u_{k_j})u_{k_j} - F_1(x, u_{k_j})) \, dx \\ &\leq A \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.97}$$

Case 2. $\|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2T_0$. By Lemmas 2.1, 2.3, (A2), (A4), (B) (2.5) and (2.28), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}(u_{k_j}) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 - \int_{\Omega} F_1(x, u_{k_j}) \, dx + C_3 C_{p_3}^{p_3} \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{p_3} \\ &\quad + C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{I}'(u_{k_j}), u_{k_j} \rangle &\geq \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 - \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, u_{k_j})u_{k_j} \, dx - 9C_3 C_{p_3}^{p_3} \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{p_3} \\ &\quad - 89C_4 C_{\theta}^{\theta} \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}(u_{k_j}) &= \bar{I}(u_{k_j}) - \mu^{-1} \langle \tilde{I}'(u_{k_j}), u_{k_j} \rangle \\ &\leq 2A \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\mu + 9}{\mu} C_3 C_{p_3}^{p_3} \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^{p_3} + \frac{\mu + 89}{\mu} C_4 C_\theta^\theta \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^\theta \\ &\leq A \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.98)$$

In both cases, by (2.11), (2.97) or (2.98), we get $\ell(u_{k_j}) = 0$ and $\ell'(u_{k_j}) = 0$. Hence,

$$J(u_{k_j}) = \bar{I}(u_{k_j}) \leq A \|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 < 0.$$

By (2.96), it is easy to see that

$$\|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

So there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|u_{k_j}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 < T_0$ for all $j \geq j_0$. By (2.3) and (2.16), hence

$$\pi(u_{k_j}) = 1, \quad \pi'(u_{k_j}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \geq j_0.$$

In combination with (2.52), (2.16) and (2.28), when j is large enough, we conclude that u_{k_j} are weak solutions of the problem (1.2). \square

Acknowledgements This research is funded by the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under Grant No. 101.02–2020.13. The author warmly thanks the anonymous referees for the careful reading of the manuscript and for their useful and nice comments.

References

1. Agmon, S.: On kernels, eigenvalues, and eigenfunctions of operators related to elliptic problems. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **18**, 627–663 (1965)
2. Bartolo, P., Benci, V., Fortunato, D.: Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some nonlinear problems with “strong” resonance at infinity. *Nonlinear Anal.* **7**(9), 981–1012 (1983)
3. Chang, K.C.: *Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, p. x+439. Springer, Berlin (2005)
4. Chipot, M.: Chipot, variational inequalities and flow in porous media. In: *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, vol. **52**, pp. 7–118. Springer, New York (1984)
5. Dugundji, J.: An extension of Tietze’s theorem. *Pac. J. Math.* **1**, 353–367 (1951)
6. Lazer, A.C., McKenna, P.J.: Large amplitude periodic oscillation in suspension bridges: some new connections with nonlinear analysis. *SIAM Rev.* **32**, 537–578 (1990)
7. Liu, X.Q., Huang, Y.S.: One sign-changing solution for a fourth-order asymptotically linear elliptic problem. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**, 2271–2276 (2010)
8. Micheletti, A.M., Pistoia, A.: Multiplicity solutions for a fourth order semilinear elliptic problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **31**, 895–908 (1998)
9. Papageorgiou, N.S., Rădulescu, V.D., Repovš, D.D.: *Nonlinear Analysis-Theory and Methods*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, p. xi+577. Springer, Cham (2019)

10. Pleijel, Å.: On the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of elastic plates. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **3**, 1–10 (1950)
11. Rabinowitz, P.H.: Multiple critical points of perturbed symmetric functionals. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **272**(2), 753–769 (1982)
12. Rabinowitz, P.H.: Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations. In: *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, vol. **65**, pp. 8–100. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences. By the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1986)
13. Ragusa, M.A., Tachikawa, A.: Regularity of minimizers of some variational integrals with discontinuity. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **27**(4), 469–482 (2008)
14. Ragusa, M.A., Tachikawa, A.: Regularity for minimizers for functionals of double phase with variable exponents. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **9**(1), 710–728 (2020)
15. Weyl, H.: Das asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen (mit einer Anwendung auf die Theorie der Hohlraumstrahlung). *Math. Ann.* **71**, 441–479 (1912)
16. Zhang, J.H., Li, S.J.: Multiple nontrivial solutions for some fourth-order semilinear elliptic problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **60**, 221–230 (2005)
17. Zhang, J., Wei, Z.L.: Infinitely many nontrivial solutions for a class of biharmonic equations via variant fountain theorems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 7474–7485 (2011)
18. Zhou, J.W., Wu, X.: Sign-changing solutions for some fourth-order nonlinear elliptic problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **342**, 542–558 (2008)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.