

The Sandpile Group of a Family of Nearly Complete Graphs

Yufang Zhou¹ · Haiyan Chen¹

Received: 6 February 2020 / Revised: 14 June 2020 / Published online: 13 July 2020 © Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia 2020

Abstract

Let $K_n - C_k$ denote the graph obtained from the complete graph K_n by deleting k edges of a cycle C_k , that is, a nearly complete graph. In this paper, we completely determine the structure of the sandpile group of $K_n - C_k$ for $3 \le k \le n - 2$.

Keywords The sandpile group \cdot The nearly complete graph \cdot The Smith normal form

Mathematics Subject Classification 05C25 · 05C76

1 Introduction

The sandpile group is originated from the Abelian Sandpile Model in statistical physics [5]. In fact, the sandpile group pops up in many different fields under different names, such as *the critical group* in the chip-firing game [2–4], *the Picard group* or *the Jacobian group* in the divisor theory of graphs [1], *the group of components* on arithmetical graphs [8], etc.

In [7], Lorenzini studied the structure of the sandpile group of a complete graph with edges of two disjoint paths P_a and P_b deleted. He showed that if a+b = n-1 and gcd(a, b) = 1, then $S(K_n - P_a - P_b)$ is cyclic, and at the same time, he suggested that the sandpile groups of $K_n - C_{n-1}$ and $K_n - C_n$ are never cyclic but with the problems open. Then, in 2011, Norine and Whalen [10] not only showed the other direction of the first result is also true, but settled the case $K_n - C_{n-1}$ by completely

Communicated by Xueliang Li.

☑ Haiyan Chen chey5@jmu.edu.cn

> Yufang Zhou 947545359@qq.com

¹ The School of Sciences, Jimei University, Xiamen, Fujian, China

H. Y. Chen was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11771181, 11571139).

determining the structure of its sandpile group. Motivated by the above two papers, we shall consider the sandpile group of the graph $K_n - C_k$ for $3 \le k \le n - 2$ in this paper.

Let G = (V, E) be a finite loopless graph with *n* vertices. Then, its Laplacian matrix L(G) = D(G) - A(G), where $D(G) = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ and A(G) are the degree matrix and the adjacency matrix of *G*, respectively. Thinking of L(G) as a linear map $\mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$, its *cokernel* has the form

$$coker L(G) = \mathbb{Z}^n / L(G)\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z} \oplus S(G),$$

where S(G) is the sandpile group on G in the sense of isomorphism.

For $v \in V(G)$, we define the reduced Laplacian L_v as the submatrix of L(G) obtained by omitting the row and column corresponding to v. Then, it is well known that for any $v \in V(G)$,

$$S(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} / \tilde{L}_v \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} = coker(\tilde{L}_v).$$

That is, $coker(\tilde{L}_v)$ is independent of the choice of vertex v. Thus, we simply write \tilde{L}_v as \tilde{L} .

Recall that two matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ are *(unimodular) equivalent* (written $A \sim B$) [9] if there exist $P \in GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$, $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$, such that B = PAQ. Equivalently, B is obtained from A by a sequence of integer row and column operations that are invertible over the ring \mathbb{Z} of integers. For any square integer matrix A, it is equivalent to a unique diagonal matrix $S(A) = \text{diag}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$ (the Smith normal form) whose entries are nonnegative and s_i divides s_{i+1} ($i = 1, \ldots, n-1$). It can be seen easily that $A \sim B$ implies *coker* $A \cong coker B$. So if the Smith normal form of the reduced Laplacian \tilde{L} is diag $(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{n-1})$, where $1 = s_1 = \cdots = s_{r-1} < s_r \leq \cdots \leq s_{n-1}$, then the sandpile group of G

$$S(G) = \mathbb{Z}_{s_r} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{s_{r+1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{s_{n-1}},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_a = \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. s_r, \ldots, s_n are called *invariant factors* of S(G), and $\mu(G) = n - r$ is the minimum number of generators of S(G).

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows: In Sect. 2, we give several lemmas which are needed for the main results. In Sect. 3, we determine the explicit structure of the sandpile group of $K_n - C_k$, $(3 \le k \le n - 2)$. In Sect. 4, as applications of the main results, we deduce more explicit results for the sandpile group of $K_n - C_k$ for k = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and prime k.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we shall first give several lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 [9] Suppose that A is an $n \times n$ integer matrix, and its Smith normal form is diag $(s_1, s_2, ..., s_n)$. Then,

$$s_i = \frac{\Delta_i}{\Delta_{i-1}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where Δ_i (called *i*-th determinant divisor) is the greatest common divisor of the *i* × *i* minors of A. By convention $\Delta_0 := 1$.

Now let $a_k(t)$, $b_k(t)$, $c_k(t)$ and $d_k(t)$ be four sequences satisfying the same linear recurrence relation: $y_k(t) = ty_{k-1}(t) - y_{k-2}(t)$ with initial values as follows, respectively,

$$a_0(t) = 1, \qquad a_1(t) = t; \\ b_0(t) = 2, \qquad b_1(t) = t; \\ c_0(t) = 1, \qquad c_1(t) = t + 1; \\ d_0(t) = 1, \qquad d_1(t) = t - 1.$$

Solving the linear recurrence relations, it is easy to obtain that

$$a_{k}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^{2} - 4}} (\lambda_{1}^{k+1} - \lambda_{2}^{k+1});$$

$$b_{k}(t) = \lambda_{1}^{k} + \lambda_{2}^{k};$$

$$c_{k}(t) = \frac{1}{t - 2} (\lambda_{1}^{k} (\lambda_{1} - 1) + \lambda_{2}^{k} (\lambda_{2} - 1));$$

$$d_{k}(t) = \frac{1}{t + 2} (\lambda_{1}^{k} (\lambda_{1} + 1) + \lambda_{2}^{k} (\lambda_{2} + 1));$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{t + \sqrt{t^2 - 4}}{2}, \ \lambda_2 = \frac{t - \sqrt{t^2 - 4}}{2}$$

are two roots of the characteristic equation $x^2 - tx + 1 = 0$.

Lemma 2.2 For $a_k(t)$, $b_k(t)$, $c_k(t)$ and $d_k(t)$ as defined above, we have (1) if k = 2m, then

$$\begin{cases} a_{2m}(t) = c_m(t)d_m(t); \\ a_{2m}(t) + 1 = a_m(t)b_m(t) \end{cases}$$

(2) *if* k = 2m - 1, *then*

$$\begin{cases} a_{2m-1}(t) = a_{m-1}(t)b_m(t); \\ a_{2m-1}(t) - 1 = c_{m-1}(t)d_m(t). \end{cases}$$

(3)

$$tb_m(t) - 2b_{m-1}(t) = (t^2 - 4)a_{m-1}(t);$$

$$tc_m(t) - 2c_{m-1}(t) = (t+2)d_m(t).$$

Proof Using the facts $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = t$, $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \sqrt{t^2 - 4}$. It is easy to check the identities.

Note that if t is an integer, then $a_k(t)$, $b_k(t)$, $c_k(t)$ and $d_k(t)$ are integer sequences. Let gcd(a, b) denote the greatest common divisor of a and b. Then, we have the following results.

Lemma 2.3 *Let t be an integer, then for any m > 1,*

$$gcd(a_m(t), a_{m-1}(t)) = gcd(c_m(t), c_{m-1}(t)) = gcd(d_m(t), d_{m-1}(t)) = 1$$

and

$$gcd(b_m(t), b_{m-1}(t)) = gcd(2, t).$$

Proof We only need to notice that for any sequence x_n satisfying $x_n = tx_{n-1} - x_{n-2}$,

$$gcd(x_n, x_{n-1}) = gcd(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) = \cdots = gcd(x_1, x_0).$$

Thus, the results follow directly.

Using the fact

$$\begin{vmatrix} t & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & t & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & t & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t \end{vmatrix}_{k \times k} = a_k(t)$$

. .

for $k \ge 1$, we obtain the following result. **Lemma 2.4** For $k \ge 3$, let

$$A_{k}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t \ 1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1 \\ 1 \ t \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0 \\ 0 \ 1 \ t \ \cdots \ 0 \\ \vdots \ \vdots \ \vdots \ \vdots \\ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ t \end{pmatrix}_{k \times k}$$

then

det
$$A_k(t) = \begin{cases} (t^2 - 4)a_{m-1}^2(t), & \text{if } k = 2m; \\ (t+2)d_m^2(t), & \text{if } k = 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

Deringer

Proof It is easy to see that

$$\det A_k(t) = ta_{k-1}(t) - 2(a_{k-2}(t) + (-1)^k).$$

(1) If k = 2m, then by Lemma 2.2

$$\det A_k(t) = t a_{2m-1}(t) - 2(a_{2m-2}(t) + 1)$$

= $t a_{m-1}(t) b_m(t) - 2a_{m-1}(t) b_{m-1}(t))$
= $a_{m-1}(t) (t b_m(t) - 2b_{m-1}(t))$
= $(t^2 - 4) a_{m-1}^2(t).$

(2) If k = 2m + 1, then by Lemma 2.2 again

$$\det A_k(t) = ta_{2m}(t) - 2(a_{2m-1}(t) - 1)$$

= $tc_m(t)d_m(t) - 2c_{m-1}(t)d_m(t)$
= $d_m(t)(tc_m(t) - 2c_{m-1}(t))$
= $(t+2)d_m^2(t)$.

Now the proof is completed.

3 The Sandpile Group of $K_n - C_k$

After the preparatory work we have done in the above section, in this section, we shall give the explicit structure of the sandpile group of the graph $G = K_n - C_k$ for $3 \le k \le n - 2$. Let $V(G) = \{1, ..., n\}$, by symmetry, we may assume that $d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_k = n - 3$ and $d_{k+1} = \cdots = d_n = n - 1$. Then, the Laplacian matrix of *G* has the form

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} n-3 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ 0 & n-3 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & n-3 & \cdots & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & n-3 & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & n-1 & \cdots & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

Deleting the last row and column from L to obtain the reduced Laplacian matrix, \tilde{L} of G. For \tilde{L} , first subtracting the last row of \tilde{L} from all other rows, and then subtracting the last column from all other columns. We get

$$\tilde{L} \sim \begin{pmatrix} n-2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & n-2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & n-2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & n-2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & n & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(n-1) \times (n-1)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_k(n-2) & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} n \cdots 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 \cdots n & 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(n-1-k) \times (n-1-k)}$$

That is,

$$S(K_n - C_k) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{n-k-2} \oplus coker(A_k(n-2)).$$
⁽¹⁾

Remark Note that $K_n - C_k$ can be viewed as the join of the graph $K_k - C_k$ with the complete graph K_{n-k} . The structure of the sandpile group of this family of graphs had been addressed in [6,11]. (1) is just the same result of Theorem 1 (1) in [6]. In the following, we first determine the explicit structure of $coker(A_k(n-2))$. Then, we determine all invariant factors of $S(K_n - C_k)$.

Theorem 3.1 For the Smith normal form of $A_k(n-2)$, we have

$$A_{k}(n-2) = \begin{pmatrix} n-2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & n-2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & n-2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & n-2 \end{pmatrix}_{k \times k} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & s_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & s_{2} \end{pmatrix}_{k \times k}$$

where

$$s_{1} = \begin{cases} a_{m-1}(n-2)gcd(2,n), & \text{if } k = 2m; \\ d_{m}(n-2), & \text{if } k = 2m + 1. \end{cases}$$

$$s_{2} = \begin{cases} \frac{n(n-4)}{gcd^{2}(2,n)}s_{1}, & \text{if } k = 2m; \\ ns_{1}, & \text{if } k = 2m + 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof Note that there exists a $(k - 2) \times (k - 2)$ minor in $A_k(n - 2)$ with value 1 (deleting the first and the *k*-th columns, the *k* - 1-th and *k*-th rows, respectively). So

 $\Delta_1 = \cdots = \Delta_{k-2} = 1$. Also $\Delta_k = \det A_k(n-2)$ is given in Lemma 2.4. The only remain is to compute Δ_{k-1} . Since $A_k(n-2)$ is a circulant matrix, so does its adjoint matrix $adj(A_k(n-2))$. Hence,

$$\Delta_{k-1} = gcd(A_{ij}; i, j = 1, \dots, k) = gcd(A_{1j}; j = 1, \dots, k),$$

where $A_{ij}(1 \le j \le k)$ is the algebraic cofactor of ij-element of $A_k(n-2)$. For simplicity, let $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) = (A_{11}, A_{12}, \ldots, A_{1k})$. Note that $x_i = x_{k+2-i}(i = 2, 3, \ldots, k)$ since $A_k(n-2)$ is a symmetric circulant matrix. From

$$\begin{pmatrix} n-2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & n-2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & n-2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & n-2 \end{pmatrix}_{k \times k} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \det A_k(n-2) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain that

det
$$A_k(n-2) = (n-2)x_1 + 2x_2$$

 $x_1 + (n-2)x_2 + x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow x_3 = -x_1 - (n-2)x_2$
 $x_2 + (n-2)x_3 + x_4 = 0 \Rightarrow x_4 = -x_2 - (n-2)x_3$
:
 $x_{k-2} + (n-2)x_{k-1} + x_k = 0 \Rightarrow x_k = -x_{k-2} - (n-2)x_{k-1}.$

That is, x_3, \ldots, x_k can be represented linearly by x_1, x_2 . So

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{k-1} &= \gcd(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \gcd(x_1, x_2). \\ x_1 &= A_{11} = \begin{vmatrix} n-2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & n-2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & n-2 \end{vmatrix} = a_{k-1}(n-2) \\ x_2 &= A_{12} = A_{1k} = (-1)^{k+1} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & n-2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & n-2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix} _{(k-1) \times ((k-1))} \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \cdot (1 + (-1)^k a_{k-2}(n-2)) \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} - a_{k-2}(n-2), \end{split}$$

So by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{cases} s_1 = \Delta_{k-1} = gcd(a_{k-1}(n-2), a_{k-2}(n-2) + (-1)^k); \\ s_2 = \frac{\det A_k(n-2)}{s_1}. \end{cases}$$

(1) If k = 2m, then by Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4

$$s_{1} = gcd(a_{2m-1}(n-2), a_{2m-2}(n-2) + 1)$$

$$= gcd(a_{m-1}(n-2)b_{m}(n-2), a_{m-1}(n-2)b_{m-1}(n-2))$$

$$= a_{m-1}(n-2)gcd(b_{m}(n-2), b_{m-1}(n-2))$$

$$= a_{m-1}(n-2)gcd(2, n-2)$$

$$= a_{m-1}(n-2)gcd(2, n),$$

$$s_{2} = \frac{(n^{2} - 4n)a_{m-1}^{2}(n-2)}{a_{m-1}(n-2)gcd(2, n)}$$

$$= \frac{n(n-4)}{gcd^{2}(2, n)}s_{1}.$$

(2) If k = 2m + 1, then by Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4

$$s_{1} = gcd(a_{2m}(n-2), a_{2m-1}(n-2) - 1)$$

= $gcd(c_{m}(n-2)d_{m}(n-2), c_{m-1}(n-2)d_{m}(n-2))$
= $d_{m}(n-2)gcd(c_{m}(n-2), c_{m-1}(n-2))$
= $d_{m}(n-2),$
 $s_{2} = \frac{nd_{m}^{2}(n-2)}{d_{m}(n-2)}$
= $ns_{1}.$

In order to give all invariant factors of $S(K_n - C_k)$, we need the following result about $a_k(n-2)$.

Lemma 3.2

$$a_k(n-2) = nf_k(n) + (-1)^k(k+1),$$

where $f_k(n)$'s are all integers.

Proof We prove the result by induction on k.

First $a_0(n-2) = 1$, $a_1(n-2) = n-2$, so $f_0(n) = 0$, $f_1(n) = 1$ are integers. Now suppose $a_{k-1}(n-2) = nf_{k-1}(n) + (-1)^{k-1}k$, $a_{k-2}(n-2) = nf_{k-2}(n) + (-1)^{k-2}(k-1)$, where $f_{k-1}(n)$ and $f_{k-2}(n)$ are integers. Then, by $a_k(n-2) = a_k(n-2)$

$$(n-2)a_{k-1}(n-2) - a_{k-2}(n-2),$$

$$a_k(n-2) = (n-2)(nf_{k-1}(n) + (-1)^{k-1}k) - (nf_{k-2}(n) + (-1)^{k-2}(k-1))$$

= $n((n-2)f_{k-1}(n) - f_{k-2}(n) + (-1)^{k-1}k) + (-1)^k 2k - (-1)^k(k-1)$
= $n((n-2)f_{k-1}(n) - f_{k-2}(n) + (-1)^{k-1}k) + (-1)^k(k+1)$
= $nf_k(n) + (-1)^k(k+1)$,

where $f_k(n) = (n-2)f_{k-1}(n) - f_{k-2}(n) + (-1)^{k-1}k$ is an integer since $f_{k-1}(n)$ and $f_{k-2}(n)$ are integers. This completes the proof.

Now we are ready to give the main result.

Theorem 3.3 For the nearly complete graph $G = K_n - C_k$ ($3 \le k \le n-2$), we have (1) if k = n - 2, then

$$S(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{s_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{s_2};$$

(2) *if* $3 \le k \le n - 3$, *then*

$$S(G) \cong \begin{cases} \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n}_{n-3-k} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{ns_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{s_2}, & \text{if } \gcd(n,k) = 1; \\ \mathbb{Z}_i \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n}_{n-3-k} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{i} \cdot s_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{s_2}, & \text{if } \gcd(n,k) = i, (1 < i \le k); \end{cases}$$

where

$$s_1 = \begin{cases} a_{m-1}(n-2)gcd(2,n), & \text{if } k = 2m; \\ d_m(n-2), & \text{if } k = 2m+1; \end{cases}$$

and

$$s_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{n(n-4)}{gcd^2(2,n)}s_1, & \text{if } k = 2m;\\ ns_1, & \text{if } k = 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\tilde{L} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ \ddots & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & s_1 & & \\ & & s_2 & & \\ & & n & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & n \end{pmatrix}_{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$$

Deringer

Obviously, $S(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{s_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{s_2}$ for k = n - 2. For $3 \le k \le n - 3$, let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & & \\ & s_2 & & \\ & & n & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & n \end{pmatrix}_{(n-k) \times (n-k)}$$

Note that both s_1 and n divide s_2 , so it is not difficult to get that

$$\Delta_i(A) = n^{i-1}gcd(s_1, n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-k-2;$$

$$\Delta_{n-k-1}(A) = n^{n-k-2}s_1, \quad \Delta_{n-k}(A) = n^{n-k-2}s_1s_2.$$

Now we shall show that $gcd(s_1, n) = gcd(n, k)$. First, for k = 2m, by Lemma 3.2

$$s_1 = a_{m-1}(n-2)gcd(2,n)$$

= $(nf_{m-1}(n) + (-1)^{m-1}m)gcd(2,n)$
= $nf_{m-1}(n)gcd(2,n) + (-1)^{m-1}gcd(2,n)m$

so $gcd(s_1, n) = gcd(gcd(2, n)m, n) = gcd(2m, n) = gcd(n, k)$. Then, for k = 2m + 1, by Lemma 3.2 again

$$s_1 = d_m(n-2)$$

= $a_m(n-2) - a_{m-1}(n-2)$
= $nf_m(n) + (-1)^m(m+1) - (nf_{m-1}(n) + (-1)^{m-1}m)$
= $n(f_m(n) - f_{m-1}(n)) + (-1)^m(2m+1),$

so $gcd(s_1, n) = gcd(2m + 1, n) = gcd(n, k)$. That is, for any case, $gcd(s_1, n) = gcd(n, k)$.

So by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$A \sim \operatorname{diag}\left(gcd(n,k), n, \ldots, n, \frac{n}{gcd(n,k)} \cdot s_1, s_2\right).$$

Now the results about S(G) follow directly.

Recall that the minimum number of generators of the sandpile group S(G) is denoted by $\mu(G)$. From the above theorem, we immediately derive the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4 *Let*
$$G = K_n - C_k$$
 ($3 \le k \le n - 2$), we have

(1) if
$$k = n - 2$$
, then $\mu(G) = 2$;

(2) *if* $3 \le k \le n - 3$, *then*

$$\mu(G) = \begin{cases} n - k - 1, & \text{if } \gcd(n, k) = 1; \\ n - k, & \text{if } \gcd(n, k) = i, (1 < i \le k). \end{cases}$$

Remark It is worthy of pointing out that our method can be used to determine the sandpile group of any graph as $K_n - \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} C_{k_i}$, where C_{k_i} are mutual disjoint cycles and satisfy $3 \le k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_t \le n - 2$. It is also not difficult to deduce that the sandpile groups of these graphs are never cyclic.

4 More Explicit Results for Some Special k

In this section, as applications of Theorem 3.3, we give more explicit results for the sandpile group of $K_n - C_k$ for k = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and prime k.

Theorem 4.1 For the nearly complete graph $G = K_n - C_3$, we have (1) if $G = K_5 - C_3$, then

$$S(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10};$$

(2) if $n \ge 6$, then

$$S(G) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{n-6} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p(n-3)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n(n-3)}, & \text{if } n = 3p; \\ (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{n-6} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{n(n-3)})^2, & \text{if } n = 3p+1 \text{ or } 3p+2 \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.2 For the nearly complete graph $G = K_n - C_4$, we have (1) if $G = K_6 - C_4$, then

$$S(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{24};$$

(2) if $n \ge 7$, then

$$S(G) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{n-7} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2p(n-2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2p(n-2)(n-4)}, & \text{if } n = 4p; \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{n-7} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n(n-2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(2p+1)(n-2)(n-4)}, & \text{if } n = 4p+2; \\ (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{n-7} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n(n-2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n(n-2)(n-4)}, & \text{if } n = 4p+1 \text{ or } 4p+3. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.3 For the nearly complete graph $G = K_n - C_5$, we have (1) if $G = K_7 - C_5$, then

$$S(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{19} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{133};$$

(2) if $n \ge 8$, then

$$S(G) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{n-8} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p(n-2)(n-3)-p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n(n-2)(n-3)-n}, & \text{if } n = 5p; \\ (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{n-8} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{n(n-2)(n-3)-n})^2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

🖄 Springer

Theorem 4.4 For the nearly complete graph $G = K_n - C_6$, we have

(1) if $G = K_8 - C_6$, then

$$S(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{70} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{560};$$

(2) if $n \ge 9$, then

$$S(G) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{6} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{n})^{n-9} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2p(n-1)(n-3)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3p(n-1)(n-3)(n-4)}, & \text{if } n = 6p; \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{n})^{n-9} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n(n-1)(n-3)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(3p+1)(n-1)(n-3)(n-4)}, & \text{if } n = 6p+2; \\ \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{n})^{n-9} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(2p+1)(n-1)(n-3)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n(n-1)(n-3)(n-4)}, & \text{if } n = 6p+3; \\ (\mathbb{Z}_{n})^{n-9} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n(n-1)(n-3)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n(n-1)(n-3)(n-4)}, & \text{if } n = 6p+1 \text{ or } 6p+5. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.5 For the nearly complete graph $G = K_n - C_7$, we have

(1) if $G = K_9 - C_7$, then

$$S(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{281} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2529};$$

(2) *if* $n \ge 10$, *then*

$$S(G) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_7 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{n-10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p(n-1)(n-2)(n-4)+p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-4)+n}, & \text{if } n = 7p; \\ (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{n-10} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-4)+n})^2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In fact, for any odd prime k = 2m + 1, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.6 For the nearly complete graph $G = K_n - C_k$. If k = 2m + 1 is a prime, then

(1) if $G = K_{k+2} - C_k$, then

$$S(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{d_m(k)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(k+2)d_m(k)};$$

(2) *if* $n \ge k + 3$, *then*

$$S(G) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_k \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{n-k-3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{pd_m(n-2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{nd_m(n-2)}, & \text{if } n = kp; \\ (\mathbb{Z}_n)^{n-k-3} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{nd_m(n-2)})^2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

References

- Baker, M., Norine, S.: Riemann–Roch and Abel–Jacobi theory on a finite graph. Adv. Math. 215(2), 766–788 (2006)
- 2. Biggs, N.L.: Chip-firing and the critical group of a graph. J. Algebr. Combin. 9(1), 25–45 (1999)
- 3. Björner, A., Lovász, L.: Chip-firing games on directed graphs. J. Algebr. Combin. 1(4), 305–328 (1992)
- Björner, A., Lovász, L., Shor, P.W.: Chip-firing games on graphs. Eur. J. Combin. 12(4), 283–291 (1991)
- Dhar, D.: Self-organized critical state of sandpile automaton models. Phys. Rev. Lett. 64(14), 1613–1616 (1990)
- 6. Gopal Goel, D.P.: Critical groups of iterated cones. Linear Algebra Appl. 567, 138–142 (2019)

- 7. Lorenzini, D.: Smith normal form and laplacians. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 98(6), 1271-1300 (2008)
- 8. Lorenzini, D.J.: Arithmetical graphs. Math. Ann. 285(3), 481-501 (1989)
- 9. Merris, R.: Unimodular equivalence of graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. 173, 181–189 (1992)
- Norine, S., Whalen, P.: Jacobians of nearly complete and threshold graphs. Eur. J. Combin. 32(8), 1368–1376 (2011)
- Zureick-Brown, D., Brown, M.V., Morrow, J.S.: Chip-firing groups of iterated cones. Linear Algebra Appl. 556, 46–54 (2018)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.