



Berezin Number, Grüss-Type Inequalities and Their Applications

Ulaş Yamancı¹ · Remziye Tunç² · Mehmet Gürdal²

Received: 4 December 2018 / Revised: 12 April 2019 / Published online: 12 July 2019
© Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia 2019

Abstract

In this paper, we study the Berezin number inequalities by using the transform $C_{\alpha, \beta}(A)$ on reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (RKHS). Moreover, we give Grüss-type inequalities for selfadjoint operators in RKHS.

Keywords Berezin number · Berezin symbol · Selfadjoint operators · Grüss inequality

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 47A63

1 Introduction

Grüss [17] proved the following integral inequality which gives an approximation of the integral of the product in terms of the product of the integrals as follows:

$$\left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)d(x) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)d(x) \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x)d(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{4}(\Phi - \phi)(\Gamma - \gamma),$$

Communicated by Sorina Barza.

✉ Ulaş Yamancı
ulasyamanci@sdu.edu.tr
Remziye Tunç
remziye_tunc_rt@hotmail.com
Mehmet Gürdal
gurdalmehmet@sdu.edu.tr

¹ Department of Statistics, Suleyman Demirel University, 32260 Isparta, Turkey

² Department of Mathematics, Suleyman Demirel University, 32260 Isparta, Turkey

where $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are integrable on $[a, b]$ and satisfy the condition

$$\phi \leq f(x) \leq \Phi, \gamma \leq g(x) \leq \Gamma$$

for each $x \in [a, b]$, where $\phi, \Phi, \gamma, \Gamma$ are given real constants.

Moreover, the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller one.

The discrete version of the Grüss' inequality can be found in [22] as following:

Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n), b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be two n -tuples of real numbers such that $r \leq a_i \leq R$ and $s \leq b_i \leq S$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] \right) (R - r) (S - s), \end{aligned}$$

where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, the presented version of the discrete Grüss' inequality is due to Biernacki et al. [7]. For Grüss-type inequalities, we refer to [3,8,9,11,12] and references therein.

Let A be a selfadjoint linear operator on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The Gelfand map establishes a $*$ -isometrically isomorphism Φ between the set $C(Sp(A))$ of all continuous functions defined on the spectrum of A , denoted by $Sp(A)$, and the C^* -algebra $C^*(A)$ generated by A and the identity operator $1_{\mathcal{H}}$ on \mathcal{H} as follows (see for instance [14]).

For any $f, g \in C(Sp(A))$ and any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

- (i) $\Phi(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \Phi(f) + \beta \Phi(g)$;
- (ii) $\Phi(fg) = \Phi(f)\Phi(g)$ and $\Phi(\overline{f}) = \Phi(f)^*$;
- (iii) $\|\Phi(f)\| = \|f\| := \sup_{t \in Sp(A)} |f(t)|$;
- (iv) $\Phi(f_0) = 1_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\Phi(f_1) = A$, where $f_0(t) = 1$ and $f_1(t) = t$, for $t \in Sp(A)$.

With this notation, we define

$$f(A) := \Phi(f) \text{ for all } f \in C(Sp(A))$$

and it is called the continuous functional calculus for the selfadjoint operator A .

If A is a selfadjoint operator and f is a real-valued continuous function on $Sp(A)$, then $f(t) \geq 0$ for any $t \in Sp(A)$ implies that $f(A) \geq 0$ on \mathcal{H} . Therefore, if f and g are real-valued functions on $Sp(A)$, then the following basic property holds:

$$f(t) \geq g(t) \text{ for any } t \in Sp(A) \text{ implies that } f(A) \geq g(A) \tag{1}$$

in the operator order of $B(\mathcal{H})$.

Let Ω be an arbitrary set. Denote by $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ the set of all complex-valued functions on Ω . A reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS for short) on the set Ω is a Hilbert

space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ with a function $k_\lambda : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, which is called the reproducing kernel enjoying the reproducing property $k_\lambda := k(\cdot, \lambda) \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $\lambda \in \Omega$ and $f(\lambda) = \langle f, k_\lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ holds for all $\lambda \in \Omega$ and all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ (see [24]). As it is known (see [2,24]),

$$k_\lambda(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{e_n(\lambda)} e_n(z)$$

for any orthonormal basis $\{e_n(z)\}_{n \geq 0}$ of the space $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$.

Let $\widehat{k}_\lambda = \frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|}$ be the normalized reproducing kernel of the space \mathcal{H} . For any bounded linear operator A on \mathcal{H} , the Berezin transform of A is the function \widetilde{A} defined by (see [23])

$$\widetilde{A}(\lambda) := \langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (\lambda \in \Omega).$$

The Berezin set and the Berezin number for operator A are defined by (see [19,20])

$$\text{Ber}(A) := \{ \widetilde{A}(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega \} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ber}(A) := \sup \{ |\widetilde{A}(\lambda)| : \lambda \in \Omega \},$$

respectively. Recently, some Berezin number inequalities have been obtained by authors [5,15,16,25–27].

The numerical range and numerical radius of A in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are, respectively, defined by

$$W(A) := \{ \langle Af, f \rangle : f \in \mathcal{H}, \|f\| = 1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad w(A) := \sup \{ |z| : z \in W(A) \}.$$

The Berezin set and the Berezin number have a relationship with the numerical range and the numerical radius as follows:

$$\text{Ber}(A) \subset W(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ber}(A) \leq w(A) \leq \|A\|.$$

For the numerical radius and its applications, we refer to [1,4,6,10,13,21], and references therein. The numerical radius inequality for the product of two operators is following:

$$w(AB) \leq 4w(A)w(B)$$

for the bounded linear operators A, B on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . In that case that $AB = BA$, then

$$w(AB) \leq 2w(A)w(B)$$

(see [18] for detailed information). So, the following questions are natural:

Is it true that the above inequality is also provided for Berezin number of operators? For which operator classes, there exists a number $C > 0$ such that

$$\text{ber}(AB) \leq C\text{ber}(A)\text{ber}(B) \tag{2}$$

In this paper, we study inequality (2) by using the transform $C_{\alpha,\beta}(A)$ on reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (RKHS). Moreover, we give Grüss-type inequalities for selfadjoint operators in RKHS.

2 Berezin Number Inequalities for Two Operators

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a bounded linear operator. We define the following transform [11]

$$C_{\alpha,\beta}(A) := (A^* - \bar{\alpha}I)(\beta I - A),$$

where A^* denotes the adjoint of A . The transform $C_{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot)$ has some interesting properties for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ as following:

- (i) $C_{\alpha,\beta}(I) := (1 - \bar{\alpha})(\beta - 1)I$ and $C_{\alpha,\alpha}(A) := -(\alpha I - T)^*(\alpha I - A)$.
- (ii) $[C_{\alpha,\beta}(A)]^* = C_{\beta,\alpha}(A)$ and $C_{\bar{\beta},\bar{\alpha}}(A^*) - C_{\alpha,\beta}(A) = A^*A - AA^*$.

A bounded linear operator A on the RKHS \mathcal{H} is said to be accretive if $\text{Re } \tilde{A}(\lambda) \geq 0$ for any $\lambda \in \Omega$. Using this property, we have

$$\text{Re } \widetilde{C_{\alpha,\beta}(A)}(\lambda) = \text{Re } \widetilde{C_{\beta,\alpha}(A)}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4}|\beta - \alpha|^2 - \left\| \left(A - \frac{\beta + \alpha}{2}I \right) \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\|^2$$

for any scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$. So we can give a simple result.

Lemma 1 For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$ and complex numbers α, β , the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The transforms $C_{\alpha,\beta}(A)$ and $C_{\bar{\alpha},\bar{\beta}}(A^*)$ are accretive;
- (ii) $\left\| A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \frac{\beta + \alpha}{2}\widehat{k}_\lambda \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\beta - \alpha|$ and $\left\| A^*\widehat{k}_\lambda - \frac{\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}}{2}\widehat{k}_\lambda \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\beta - \alpha|$ for any $\lambda \in \Omega$.

Theorem 1 Let $C_{\alpha,\beta}(A)$ and $C_{\gamma,\delta}(B)$ be accretive transform for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then,

$$\text{ber}(BA) \leq 3\text{ber}(A)\text{ber}(B) + \frac{1}{4}|\beta - \alpha||\gamma - \delta|.$$

Proof By hypothesis, $C_{\alpha,\beta}(A)$ and $C_{\gamma,\delta}(B)$ are accretive, and then, from Lemma 1 we get $\left\| A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \frac{\beta + \alpha}{2}\widehat{k}_\lambda \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\beta - \alpha|$ and $\left\| B^*\widehat{k}_\lambda - \frac{\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\delta}}{2}\widehat{k}_\lambda \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\bar{\gamma} - \bar{\delta}|$ for any $\lambda \in \Omega$.

Using the Schwarz inequality, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda, B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \widetilde{B}^*(\eta)\widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \|A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda\| \|B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \widetilde{B}^*(\eta)\widehat{k}_\eta\| \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for all $\lambda, \eta \in \Omega$.

Since $\|f - \langle f, \widehat{k}_\lambda \rangle \widehat{k}_\lambda\| = \inf_{\phi \in C} \|f - \phi \widehat{k}_\lambda\|$ for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$, we have

$$\|A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda\| \leq \left\| A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \frac{\beta + \alpha}{2} \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha|$$

and

$$\|B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \widetilde{B}^*(\eta)\widehat{k}_\eta\| \leq \left\| B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \frac{\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\delta}}{2} \widehat{k}_\eta \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\gamma - \delta|$$

for all $\lambda, \eta \in \Omega$. Hence, we have

$$\|A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda\| \|B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \widetilde{B}^*(\eta)\widehat{k}_\eta\| \leq \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| |\gamma - \delta| \tag{4}$$

for all $\lambda, \eta \in \Omega$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda, B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \widetilde{B}^*(\eta)\widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \\ & = \langle BA\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle + \widetilde{A}(\lambda) \widetilde{B}(\eta) \langle \widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \\ & \quad - \widetilde{A}(\lambda) \langle B\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle - \langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \widetilde{B}(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda, \eta \in \Omega$. Taking the modulus in the above equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda, B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \widetilde{B}^*(\eta)\widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \right| \\ & \geq \left| \langle BA\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \right| - \left| \widetilde{A}(\lambda) \langle B\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle + \langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \widetilde{B}(\eta) - \widetilde{A}(\lambda) \widetilde{B}(\eta) \langle \widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \right| \\ & \geq \left| \langle BA\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \right| - \left| \widetilde{A}(\lambda) \langle B\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \right| - \left| \langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \widetilde{B}(\eta) \right| - \left| \widetilde{A}(\lambda) \widetilde{B}(\eta) \langle \widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \right|, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda, B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \widetilde{B}^*(\eta)\widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \widetilde{A}(\lambda) \langle B\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \right| + \left| \langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \widetilde{B}(\eta) \right| + \left| \widetilde{A}(\lambda) \widetilde{B}(\eta) \langle \widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \right| \\ & \geq \left| \langle BA\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \right| \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for all $\lambda, \eta \in \Omega$. So we have for $\lambda = \eta$ from (3)-(5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| |\gamma - \delta| + |\tilde{A}(\lambda) \tilde{B}(\lambda)| + |\tilde{A}(\lambda) \tilde{B}(\lambda)| \\ & + |\tilde{A}(\lambda) \tilde{B}(\lambda)| \geq |\widetilde{BA}(\lambda)|. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Taking the supremum in (6) over $\lambda \in \Omega$, we get that

$$\text{ber}(BA) \leq 3\text{ber}(A) \text{ber}(B) + \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| |\gamma - \delta|.$$

This gives the desired result. □

Now, we consider a different approach in the following result.

Theorem 2 *Let $C_{\alpha,\beta}(A)$ and $C_{\gamma,\delta}(B)$ be accretive transform for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then,*

$$\text{ber}(BA) \leq \text{ber}(A) \text{ber}(B) + \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| (|\gamma - \delta| + |\gamma + \delta|).$$

Proof We can state the following inequality from the Schwarz inequality and the assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \tilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda, B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \frac{\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\delta}}{2}\widehat{k}_\eta \right\rangle \right| & \leq \|A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \tilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda\| \left\| B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \frac{\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\delta}}{2}\widehat{k}_\eta \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \frac{\beta + \alpha}{2}\widehat{k}_\lambda \right\| \left\| B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \frac{\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\delta}}{2}\widehat{k}_\eta \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| |\gamma - \delta| \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

for all $\lambda, \eta \in \Omega$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \tilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda, B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \frac{\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\delta}}{2}\widehat{k}_\eta \right\rangle \\ & = \langle BA\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle - \tilde{A}(\lambda) \langle B\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle - \frac{\gamma + \delta}{2} \langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \tilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

on taking the modulus in this inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \tilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda, B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \frac{\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\delta}}{2}\widehat{k}_\eta \right\rangle \right| \\ & \geq |\langle BA\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle| - |\tilde{A}(\lambda) \langle B\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle| - \left| \frac{\gamma + \delta}{2} \right| |\langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \tilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle| \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for all $\lambda, \eta \in \Omega$. Then, we have for $\lambda = \eta$ from (7) and (8)

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{BA}(\lambda)| &\leq |\widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widetilde{B}(\lambda)| + \left| \frac{\gamma + \delta}{2} \right| \left| A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \widetilde{A}(\lambda) \right| + \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| |\gamma - \delta| \\ &\leq |\widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widetilde{B}(\lambda)| + \left| \frac{\gamma + \delta}{2} \right| \left\| A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \frac{\beta + \alpha}{2} \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\| + \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| |\gamma - \delta| \\ &\leq |\widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widetilde{B}(\lambda)| + \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| (|\gamma - \delta| + |\gamma + \delta|). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $\lambda \in \Omega$ in the above inequality, we have

$$\text{ber}(BA) \leq \text{ber}(A)\text{ber}(B) + \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| (|\gamma - \delta| + |\gamma + \delta|).$$

This proves the theorem. □

By using arguments in above theorem, we can get the following result.

Corollary 1 *Let $C_{\alpha,\beta}(A)$ and $C_{\gamma,\delta}(B)$ be accretive transform for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then,*

$$\text{ber}(BA) \leq \text{ber}(A)\text{ber}(B) + |\gamma + \delta| \text{ber}(A) + \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| |\gamma - \delta|.$$

Proof Indeed, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\lambda, B^*\widehat{k}_\eta - \frac{\overline{\gamma} + \overline{\delta}}{2}\widehat{k}_\eta \right\rangle &= \langle BA\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle - \widetilde{A}(\lambda) \langle B\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma + \delta}{2} \langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle + \frac{\gamma + \delta}{2} \widetilde{A}(\lambda) \langle \widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\eta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda, \eta \in \Omega$. Taking the supremum on $\lambda = \eta \in \Omega$ and using the same arguments in the proof of the above theorem, we get

$$\text{ber}(BA) \leq \text{ber}(A)\text{ber}(B) + |\gamma + \delta| \text{ber}(A) + \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| |\gamma - \delta|$$

for the operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. □

3 Grüss-Type Inequality

Now, we give a Grüss-type inequality for selfadjoint operators on a RKHS $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3 *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a selfadjoint operator and assume that $Sp(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars $m < M$. If f and g are continuous on $[m, M]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(\widetilde{A}g(\widetilde{A})(\mu) - \widetilde{f}(\widetilde{A})(\mu)g(\widetilde{A})(\lambda) - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} [g(\widetilde{A})(\mu) - g(\widetilde{A})(\lambda)]) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\Gamma - \gamma) \left[\|g(\widetilde{A})\|^2 + \left(g(\widetilde{A})(\lambda)\right)^2 - 2g(\widetilde{A})(\lambda)g(\widetilde{A})(\mu) \right]^{1/2} \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega$, where $\gamma = \min_{t \in [m, M]} f(t)$, $\Gamma = \max_{t \in [m, M]} f(t)$

Proof Indeed, we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle (f(A) - \xi \cdot 1_{\mathcal{H}})(g(A) - \langle g(A)\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \rangle \cdot 1_{\mathcal{H}})\widehat{k}_\mu, \widehat{k}_\mu \rangle \\
 & = \langle f(A)g(A)\widehat{k}_\mu, \widehat{k}_\mu \rangle - \xi \cdot [\langle g(A)\widehat{k}_\mu, \widehat{k}_\mu \rangle - \langle g(A)\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \rangle] \\
 & \quad - \langle g(A)\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \rangle \langle f(A)\widehat{k}_\mu, \widehat{k}_\mu \rangle \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega$.

Taking the modulus in (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| f(\widetilde{A}g(\widetilde{A})(\mu) - \xi \cdot [g(\widetilde{A})(\mu) - g(\widetilde{A})(\lambda)] - g(\widetilde{A})(\lambda)\widetilde{f}(\widetilde{A})(\mu)) \right| \\
 & = \left| \left\langle (g(A) - g(\widetilde{A})(\lambda) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{H}})\widehat{k}_\mu, (f(A) - \xi \cdot 1_{\mathcal{H}})\widehat{k}_\mu \right\rangle \right| \\
 & \leq \left\| g(A)\widehat{k}_\mu - g(\widetilde{A})(\lambda)\widehat{k}_\mu \right\| \left\| f(A)\widehat{k}_\mu - \xi\widehat{k}_\mu \right\| \\
 & = \left[\|g(A)\widehat{k}_\mu\|^2 + \left(g(\widetilde{A})(\lambda)\right)^2 - 2g(\widetilde{A})(\lambda)g(\widetilde{A})(\mu) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad \times \left\| (f(A)\widehat{k}_\mu - \lambda\widehat{k}_\mu) \right\| \\
 & \leq \left[\|g(A)\|^2 + \left(g(\widetilde{A})(\lambda)\right)^2 - 2g(\widetilde{A})(\lambda)g(\widetilde{A})(\mu) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad \times \|f(A) - \lambda \cdot 1_{\mathcal{H}}\| \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega$.

Since $\gamma = \min_{t \in [m, M]} f(t)$ and $\Gamma = \max_{t \in [m, M]} f(t)$, by the property (1) we have that $\gamma \leq \widetilde{f}(\widetilde{A})(\mu) \leq \Gamma$ for each $\mu \in \Omega$ which is obviously equivalent to

$$\left| \widetilde{f}(\widetilde{A})(\mu) - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \|\widehat{k}_\mu\|^2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma - \gamma)$$

or with

$$\left| \left(f(A) - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} 1_{\mathcal{H}} \right) (\mu) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma - \gamma)$$

for each $\mu \in \Omega$.

Taking the supremum in this inequality, we get

$$\left\| f(A) - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{H}} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma - \gamma),$$

which together with the inequality (11) applied for $\xi = \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2}$ produces the desired results. □

As a special case of the above theorem, we can give the following result.

Corollary 2 *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a selfadjoint operator and assume that $Sp(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars $m < M$. Then,*

$$\text{ber} \left(f^2(A) - (f(A))^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma - \gamma) \left[\|f(A)\|^2 - \text{ber} \left((f(A))^2 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for each $\lambda \in \Omega$, where $\gamma = \min_{t \in [m, M]} f(t)$, $\Gamma = \max_{t \in [m, M]} f(t)$.

Proof Taking $f = g$ and $\lambda = \mu$ in (9), then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \widetilde{f^2(A)}(\lambda) - \left(\widetilde{f(A)}(\lambda) \right)^2 \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma - \gamma) \left[\|f(A)\|^2 - \left(\widetilde{f(A)}(\lambda) \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in \Omega$. Taking the supremum on $\lambda \in \Omega$ in above inequality, we have

$$\text{ber} \left(f^2(A) - (f(A))^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma - \gamma) \left[\|f(A)\|^2 - \text{ber} \left((f(A))^2 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for any selfadjoint operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. This proves the theorem. □

Acknowledgements We are thankful to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments to improve the quality of the article. This work was supported by Süleyman Demirel University with Project FYL-2018-6696.

References

1. Abu-Omar, A., Kittaneh, F.: Notes on some spectral radius and numerical radius inequalities. *Studia Math.* **272**, 97–109 (2015)
2. Aronzajn, N.: Theory of reproducing kernels. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **68**, 337–404 (1950)
3. Anastassiou, G.A.: Grüss type inequalities for the Stieltjes integral. *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* **12**(4), 583–593 (2007)
4. Bakherad, M., Shebrawi, K.: Upper bounds for numerical radius inequalities involving off-diagonal operator matrices. *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **9**(3), 297–309 (2018)
5. Bakherad, M.: Some Berezin number inequalities for operator matrices. *Czechoslov. Math. J.* **68**(143), 997–1009 (2018)

6. Bakherad, M., Kittaneh, F.: Numerical radius inequalities involving commutators of G1 operators. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-017-0726-9>
7. Biernacki, M., Pidek, H., Ryll-Nardzewski, C.: Sur une inégalité entre des intégrales définies. *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A Math.* **4**, 1–4 (1950)
8. Dragomir, S.S.: A generalization of Grüss' inequality in inner product spaces and applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **237**, 74–82 (1999)
9. Dragomir, S.S.: Some integral inequalities of Grüss type. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **31**(4), 397–415 (2000)
10. Dragomir, S.S.: Norm and numerical radius inequalities for a product of two linear operators in Hilbert spaces. *J. Math. Inequal.* **2**, 499–510 (2008)
11. Dragomir, S.S.: *Inequalities of the Čebyšev and Grüss Type*. Springer, New York (2012)
12. Dragomir, S.S.: Quasi Grüss' type inequalities for continuous functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces. *Filomat* **27**, 277–289 (2013)
13. Dragomir, S.S.: A survey of some recent inequalities for the norm and numerical radius of operators in Hilbert spaces. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **1**, 154–175 (2017)
14. Furuta, T., Micic Hot, J., Pecaric, J., Seo, Y.: *Mond-Pecaric Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*. Element, Zagreb (2005)
15. Garayev, M.T., Gürdal, M., Okudan, A.: Hardy-Hilbert's inequality and a power inequality for Berezin numbers for operators. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **3**, 883–891 (2016)
16. Garayev, M.T., Gürdal, M., Saltan, S.: Hardy type inequality for reproducing kernel Hilbert space operators and related problems. *Positivity* **21**, 1615–1623 (2017)
17. Grüss, G.: Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages von $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx$. *Math. Z.* **39**, 215–226 (1935)
18. Gustafson, K.E., Rao, D.K.M.: *Numerical Range*. Springer, New York (1997)
19. Karaev, M.T.: Berezin symbol and invertibility of operators on the functional Hilbert spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **238**, 181–192 (2006)
20. Karaev, M.T.: Reproducing Kernels and Berezin symbols techniques in various questions of operator theory. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **7**, 983–1018 (2013)
21. Kittaneh, F., Moslehian, M.S., Yamazaki, T.: Cartesian decomposition and numerical radius inequalities. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **471**, 46–53 (2015)
22. Mitronovic, D.S., Pecaric, J.E., Fink, A.M.: *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1993)
23. Nordgren, E., Rosenthal, P.: Boundary values of Berezin symbols. *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* **73**, 362–368 (1994)
24. Saitoh, S., Sawano, Y.: *Theory of Reproducing Kernels and Applications*. Springer, Singapore (2016)
25. Yamancı, U., Gürdal, M., Garayev, M.T.: Berezin number inequality for convex function in reproducing kernel Hilbert space. *Filomat* **31**, 5711–5717 (2017)
26. Yamancı, U., Gürdal, M.: On numerical radius and Berezin number inequalities for reproducing kernel Hilbert space. *N. Y. J. Math.* **23**, 1531–1537 (2017)
27. Yamancı, U., Garayev, M.T., Çelik, C.: Hardy-Hilbert type inequality in reproducing kernel Hilbert space: its applications and related results. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **67**(4), 830–842 (2019)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.