

Fractional Tikhonov Method for an Inverse Time-Fractional Diffusion Problem in 2-Dimensional Space

Xiangtuan Xiong1 · Xuemin Xue¹

Received: 13 January 2018 / Revised: 30 July 2018 / Published online: 18 August 2018 © Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia 2018

Abstract

In this paper, we present a new fractional Tikhonov regularization method for solving an inverse problem for a time-fractional diffusion equation which is highly ill-posed in the two-dimensional setting. Fractional Tikhonov regularization method not only retains the advantage of classical Tikhonov method, but also overcomes the effect of over-smoothing of classical Tikhonov method. We give the selection of regularization parameters of the new method and the corresponding error estimation. Furthermore, numerical results show that the fractional Tikhonov method outperforms the classical one.

Keywords Inverse problems · Time-fractional diffusion equation · Fractional Tikhonov method · Error estimation

Mathematics Subject Classification 65R35 · 53C35 · 22E46

1 Introduction

The research on fractional calculus and its related problems has been conducted extensively in the community of engineering and mathematics. These fields include mechanical engineering, viscoelasticity, Lévy motion , electron transport , dissipation, heat conduction and high-frequency financial data $[1-8]$ $[1-8]$. It is very interesting to us that fractional differential equations can be used to model some anomalous diffusion phenomena in engineering, physics, chemistry and other fields of science [\[9](#page-12-2)[–12](#page-12-3)]. Many experiments show that, in the process of modeling real physical phenomena, fractional calculus sometimes provides more accurate simulation than traditional calculus with

 \boxtimes Xiangtuan Xiong xiongxt@gmail.com

Communicated by Ahmad Izani Md. Ismail.

¹ Department of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China

classical derivatives. The forward problem of fractional differential equation is well investigated in recent years.

However, sometimes the inverse problem of fractional differential equation is inevitable in the field of engineering. It is well known that many kinds of inverse problems are ill-posed in the sense that a small error in the input data may cause an enormous perturbation in the solution. Therefore, some regularization methods are needed, i.e., find a stable approximation solution to the exact solution. In this paper, we focus on a fractional inverse heat conduction problem (IHCP) in two-dimensional space, which is severely ill-posed. For one-dimensional classical IHCP, in [\[13](#page-12-4)[,14](#page-12-5)], Berntsson and Eldén proposed a Fourier method. For one-dimensional fractionalorder IHCP, many authors have used different methods to study the related problems. Cheng [\[15](#page-12-6)] proved the uniqueness of an inverse problem for one-dimensional fractional diffusion equation by the Gelfand–Levitan theory. In [\[16\]](#page-12-7), Zheng and Wei proved an error estimate by a regularization method for a Cauchy problem of the time-fractional advection–dispersion equation. Bondarenko, Ivaschenko [\[17](#page-13-0)] and Murio [\[18](#page-13-1)] investigated some numerical methods. In [\[19\]](#page-13-2), Qian gave an optimal regularization method with the time-fractional-order $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. In [\[20\]](#page-13-3), Cheng and Fu used an iterative-type regularization method to solve a time-fractional inverse diffusion problem. However, two-dimensional fractional inverse heat conduction problem is more difficult than one-dimensional case.

To the authors' knowledge, the study on the inverse heat conduction problem in two-dimensional space is very few. In [\[21\]](#page-13-4), Xiong devised a regularization solution to the two-dimensional fractional inverse heat conduction problem by using static and dynamic Fourier methods and proved the corresponding error estimate. In this paper, we will use a new fractional Tikhonov method [\[22](#page-13-5)] to approximate the problem. This fractional Tikhonov method was proposed by Li and Xiong when they considered a heat conduction problem backward in time. We extend this method to deal with the current problem.

Generally, the solution of classical Tikhonov method over-smooths and cannot recover the characteristics (e.g., the jump of the solution) of exact solutions well. Therefore, some fractional Tikhonov methods are introduced. The fractional Tikhonov methods have been well studied for the ill-posed operator equation with a compact forward operator [\[23](#page-13-6)[–25\]](#page-13-7). Some weighted and iterative versions are also studied by Gerth [\[26](#page-13-8)] and Bianchi [\[27\]](#page-13-9), respectively. Recently, Qian applied a fractional Tikhonov method to solving a Cauchy problem of the Helmholtz equation [\[28\]](#page-13-10).

However, the theoretical results on the fractional Tikhonov methods in the literature only gave the error estimates under the a priori regularization parameter choice rules. In this study, we will prove the corresponding error estimates under both of the choice rules of priori and posteriori regularization parameters.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sect. [2,](#page-2-0) we give an analysis on the illposedness of the 2-D FIHCP. The regularized solution by fractional Tikhonov method, moreover, an order-optimal error estimate, is proved for the a priori parameter choice rule. The a posteriori parameter choice rule is investigated in Sect. [4,](#page-6-0) which also leads to a Hölder-type error estimate. The numerical verification is demonstrated in Sect. [5](#page-10-0) in which an example is presented for testing the validity of the proposed fractional Tikhonov regularization method.

2 Mathematical Formulation

In some engineering problems, it is often necessary to estimate the surface temperature or heat flux in a body from a measured temperature history at a fixed location inside a body, which is called inverse heat conduction problem. In this paper, we consider an inverse heat conduction problem for a fractional-order diffusion equation in the two-dimensional (2-D) case [\[21\]](#page-13-4)

 $\sqrt{2}$ \int $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$ $_0D_t^{\alpha}u(x, y, t) - u_{xx}(x, y, t) - u_{yy}(x, y, t) = 0, 0 < x < 1, y > 0, t > 0, 0 < \alpha \le 1,$ *u*(1, *y*, *t*) = *g*(*y*, *t*), $y \ge 0$, $t \ge 0$, $y \ge 0$, $t \ge 0$, $x > 0$, $y \ge 0$, $u(x, y, 0) = 0,$ $x > 0, y \ge 0,$ $u(x, 0, t) = 0,$ $x > 0, t \ge 0,$ $u_y(x, 0, t) = 0,$ $x > 0, t \ge 0,$ *u*(*x*, *y*, *t*)|*x*→∞ bounded, *y* > 0, *t* > 0, (2.1)

where the time-fractional derivative $_0D_t^{\alpha}u(x, y, t)$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha(0 < \alpha \leq 1)$ defined by [\[29](#page-13-11)].

$$
{}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}u(x,y,t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_{0}^{t}\frac{\partial u(x,y,s)}{\partial s}\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{(t-s)^{\alpha}},0<\alpha<1,\qquad(2.2)
$$

$$
{}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}u(x, y, t) = \frac{\partial u(x, y, t)}{\partial t}, \alpha = 1.
$$
 (2.3)

Since the order α of the derivative with respect to time in the heat equation can be of arbitrary real order, the heat equation is called the fractional diffusion equation. For $\alpha = 1$, the equation becomes the classical diffusion equation. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, it is called the ultraslow diffusion model [\[30\]](#page-13-12).

In this paper, we extend all the functions to the whole plane $-\infty < t < \infty$, $-\infty <$ $y < \infty$ by setting the functions to be zero for $t < 0$ and $y < 0$. Let

$$
\hat{f}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y, t) e^{-i(\xi y + \eta t)} dy dt, \quad i = \sqrt{-1}
$$
 (2.4)

be the Fourier transform of the function $f(y, t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the corresponding inverse Fourier transform of the function $\hat{f}(\xi, \eta)$ is given by

$$
f(y,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi, \eta) e^{i(\xi y + \eta t)} d\xi d\eta.
$$
 (2.5)

We apply the Fourier transform technique to problem (2.1) with respect to the variable *y*, *t* and yield the following problem in frequency domain space:

$$
\begin{cases}\n(i\eta)^{\alpha}\hat{u}(x,\xi,\eta) - \hat{u}_{xx}(x,\xi,\eta) + \xi^{2}\hat{u}(x,\xi,\eta) = 0, & x > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \eta \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\hat{u}(1,\xi,\eta) = g(\xi,\eta), & \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \eta \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\hat{u}(x,\xi,\eta)|_{x \to \infty} \text{ bounded}, & \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \eta \in \mathbb{R}.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.6)

 \mathcal{D} Springer

We can easily get the solution of problem (2.6)

$$
\hat{u}(x,\xi,\eta) = e^{(1-x)\sqrt{(i\eta)^{\alpha} + \xi^2}} \hat{g}(\xi,\eta),
$$
\n(2.7)

where

$$
(i\eta)^{\alpha} = |\eta|^{\alpha} \Big(\cos\left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right) + i \operatorname{sign}(\eta) \sin\left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right) \Big). \tag{2.8}
$$

Let

$$
\omega := \sqrt{(i\eta)^{\alpha} + \xi^{2}}.
$$
\n(2.9)

Throughout this paper, we denote the real part and imaginary part of ω as follows:

$$
a:=\mathfrak{Re}(\omega); \ \ b:=\mathfrak{Im}(\omega).
$$

 ω can be written in complex number, i.e.,

$$
\omega = a + bi,\tag{2.10}
$$

where

$$
a = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{|\eta|^{2\alpha} \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right) + \left(\xi^2 + |\eta|^{2\alpha} \cos\left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right)\right)^2 + \left(\xi^2 + |\eta|^{2\alpha} \cos\left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right)\right)}}{2}},
$$

$$
b = i \operatorname{sign}\left(\operatorname{sign}(\eta) \sin\left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\times \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{|\eta|^{2\alpha} \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right) + \left(\xi^2 + |\eta|^{2\alpha} \cos\left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right)\right)^2 - \left(\xi^2 + |\eta|^{2\alpha} \cos\left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right)\right)}}{2}}.
$$

The inverse Fourier transform on [\(2.7\)](#page-3-0) yields

$$
u(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) e^{(1-x)\sqrt{(i\eta)^{\alpha} + \xi^2}} e^{i(\xi y + \eta t)} d\xi d\eta.
$$
 (2.11)

We want to seek the solution $u(x, y, t)$ from the given data $g(y, t)$. Physically, $g(y, t)$ can only be measured, there should be measurement errors, and we would actually have the noisy data function $g^{\delta}(y, t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ which satisfies

$$
||g^{\delta}(\cdot,\cdot)-g(\cdot,\cdot)|| \leq \delta, \tag{2.12}
$$

where the constant $\delta > 0$ represents the noise level, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the L^2 -norm. In order to obtain the error estimate, we impose the a priori bound on the exact solution at $x = 0$, i.e.,

$$
||u(0,\cdot,\cdot)|| \le E,\tag{2.13}
$$

where $E > 0$ is a constant.

From (2.7) and the Parseval equality, we note that for a fixed $0 < x < 1$, when $\Re(\omega) \to \infty$, $|e^{(1-x)\omega}|$ is unbounded. Therefore, problem [\(2.1\)](#page-2-1) is severely ill-posed and the ill-posedness is caused by the high-frequency components of $e^{(1-x)\omega}$. In the following, we will solve problem (2.1) with the fractional Tikhonov regularization method.

3 Fractional Tikhonov Method and Error Estimate

We consider fractional Tikhonov regularization method to solve the ill-posed problem (2.1) . From (2.7) , we know

$$
\hat{u}(x,\xi,\eta) = e^{(1-x)\sqrt{(i\eta)^{\alpha}+\xi^2}}\hat{g}(\xi,\eta).
$$

We denote

$$
A(x, \xi, \eta) = e^{(1-x)\sqrt{(i\eta)^{\alpha} + \xi^2}}, \tag{3.1}
$$

$$
A(0, \xi, \eta) = e^{\sqrt{(i\eta)^{\alpha} + \xi^{2}}}.
$$
 (3.2)

We rewrite $\hat{u}(x, \xi, \eta)$ as

$$
\hat{u}(x,\xi,\eta) = A(x,\xi,\eta)\hat{g}(\xi,\eta). \tag{3.3}
$$

Then, we construct the fractional Tikhonov regularization solution in the frequency domain as

$$
\hat{u}^{\delta}_{\mu}(x,\xi,\eta) = \frac{A(x,\xi,\eta)}{1 + \mu |A(0,\xi,\eta)|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}(\xi,\eta), \quad \frac{1}{2} \le \gamma \le 1,
$$
 (3.4)

 $\mu > 0$ plays the role of regularization parameter. We call γ the fractional parameter. When $\gamma = 1$, it is the classical Tikhonov method. When $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, it is the quasiboundary regularization method. When $\frac{1}{2} < \gamma < 1$, we interpret the method (3.4) as an 'interpolation' between the classical Tikhonov method and quasi-boundary method. It can prevent the effect of over-smoothing for the Tikhonov method [\[31](#page-13-13)].

In the following, we need an auxiliary lemma [\[32](#page-13-14)].

Lemma 3.1 *Let* $0 < m \le n$, $\mu > 0$, *then*

$$
\sup_{s>0} \frac{e^{sm}}{1 + \mu e^{sn}} \le \mu^{-\frac{m}{n}}.
$$
\n(3.5)

Theorem 3.2 *Assume the a priori bound* [\(2.13\)](#page-3-1) *and noise assumption* [\(2.12\)](#page-3-2) *hold, if we select*

$$
\mu = \left(\frac{\delta}{E}\right)^{2\gamma}, \ \frac{1}{2} \le \gamma \le 1,\tag{3.6}
$$

 \mathcal{D} Springer

then we have the following error estimate for $0 < x < 1$ *,*

$$
||u_{\mu}^{\delta}(x,\cdot,\cdot)-u(x,\cdot,\cdot)|| \le 2\delta^{x} E^{1-x}.
$$
 (3.7)

Proof By the triangle inequality and Parseval's equality, we know

$$
\|u^{\delta}_{\mu}(x, \cdot, \cdot) - u(x, \cdot, \cdot)\| = \|\hat{u}^{\delta}_{\mu}(x, \cdot, \cdot) - \hat{u}(x, \cdot, \cdot)\|
$$

\n
$$
= \|\hat{u}^{\delta}_{\mu}(x, \cdot, \cdot) - \hat{u}_{\mu}(x, \cdot, \cdot) + \hat{u}_{\mu}(x, \cdot, \cdot) - \hat{u}(x, \cdot, \cdot)\|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \|\hat{u}^{\delta}_{\mu}(x, \cdot, \cdot) - \hat{u}_{\mu}(x, \cdot, \cdot)\| + \|\hat{u}_{\mu}(x, \cdot, \cdot) - \hat{u}(x, \cdot, \cdot)\|
$$

\n
$$
= I_1 + I_2.
$$
 (3.8)

Denote $I_1 = \|\hat{u}_\mu^{\delta}(x, \cdot, \cdot) - \hat{u}_\mu(x, \cdot, \cdot)\|$, $I_2 = \|\hat{u}_\mu(x, \cdot, \cdot) - \hat{u}(x, \cdot, \cdot)\|$. Firstly, we need to estimate of *I*¹ , with Lemma [3.1](#page-4-0) we have

$$
I_1 = \left\| \frac{A(x, \xi, \eta)}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{A(x, \xi, \eta)}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) \right\|
$$

=
$$
\left\| \frac{A(x, \xi, \eta)}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} (\hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) - \hat{g}(\xi, \eta)) \right\|
$$

$$
\leq \delta \sup \left| \frac{A(x, \xi, \eta)}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \right|.
$$

From (3.1) and (3.2) , it yields

$$
|A(x, \xi, \eta)| = e^{(1-x)a},
$$
\n
$$
|A(0, \xi, \eta)| = e^a.
$$
\n(3.9)

So

$$
I_1 \leq \delta \sup \left| \frac{A(x, \xi, \eta)}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \right|
$$

= $\delta \sup \left| \frac{e^{(1-x)(a+bi)}}{1 + \mu e^{2a\gamma}} \right|$
 $\leq \delta \sup \frac{e^{a(1-x)}}{1 + \mu e^{2a\gamma}}.$

According to Lemma [3.1,](#page-4-0) we get

$$
I_1 \le \mu^{-\frac{1-x}{2\gamma}} \delta. \tag{3.11}
$$

Now we estimate I_2 and use a priori bound (2.13) ; we obtain

$$
I_2 = \left\| \frac{A(x, \xi, \eta)}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - A(x, \xi, \eta) \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) \right\|
$$

= $\left\| A(x, \xi, \eta) \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) \frac{\mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \right\|$
 $\leq \mu \cdot E \sup \left| \frac{A(x, \xi, \eta) |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma - 1}}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \right|.$

By [\(3.9\)](#page-5-0), [\(3.10\)](#page-5-0), we have

$$
I_2 \le \mu \cdot E \sup \left| \frac{e^{(2\gamma - x)a}}{1 + \mu e^{2\gamma a}} \right|
$$

Noting $2\gamma - x > 0$ and using Lemma [3.1,](#page-4-0) we have

$$
I_2 \le E \mu^{\frac{x}{2\gamma}}.\tag{3.12}
$$

Combining (3.6) , (3.11) and (3.12) , we obtain

$$
||u_{\mu}^{\delta}(x,\cdot,\cdot)-u(x,\cdot,\cdot)||\leq 2\delta^{x}E^{1-x}.
$$

 \Box

4 The Discrepancy Principle and Error Estimate

In this section, we investigate the a posterior regularization parameter choice rule, i.e., Morozov's discrepancy principle, to choose the regularization parameter μ . The most general a posteriori rule is the Morozov's discrepancy principle [\[33](#page-13-15)]. Morozov's discrepancy principle for our case is to find μ such that the following equation holds:

$$
\left\| \frac{1}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) - \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right\| = \tau \delta. \tag{4.1}
$$

where $\frac{1}{2} \le \gamma \le 1$, $\tau > 1$ is a constant, $\mu > 0$ is regularization parameter. According to the following lemma, we know there exists a unique solution for Eq. (4.1) if $0 <$ $\tau \delta < \|\hat{g}^{\delta}\|.$

Lemma 4.1 *Let* $\rho(\mu) =$ 1 $\frac{1}{1+\mu|A(0,\xi,\eta)|^{2\gamma}}\hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi,\eta)-\hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi,\eta)\Big\|, \text{ if }0<\delta<\|\hat{g}^{\delta}\|\text{ then }$ *the following hold:*

- (a) $\rho(\mu)$ *is a continuous function;*
- (b) $\lim_{\mu \to 0} \rho(\mu) = 0;$
- (c) $\lim_{\mu \to \infty} \rho(\mu) = ||\hat{g}^{\delta}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$;
- (d) $\rho(\mu)$ *is a strictly increasing function over* $(0, \infty)$ *.*

Ч

Proof The proofs are straightforward by virtue of

$$
\rho(\mu) = \left\| \frac{\mu |e^{\sqrt{(i\eta)^{\alpha} + \xi^{2}}}|^{2\gamma}}{1 + \mu |e^{\sqrt{(i\eta)^{\alpha} + \xi^{2}}}|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right\|.
$$

Lemma 4.2 *If* μ *is the solution of Eq.* [\(4.1\)](#page-6-2)*, then we obtain the following inequality*

$$
\left\| \frac{1}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) - \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) \right\| \le (\tau + 1)\delta. \tag{4.2}
$$

Proof Using the triangle inequality and Eq. [\(4.1\)](#page-6-2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\left\|\frac{1}{1+\mu|A(0,\xi,\eta)|^{2\gamma}}\hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi,\eta)-\hat{g}(\xi,\eta)\right\| \\
&=\left\|\frac{1}{1+\mu|A(0,\xi,\eta)|^{2\gamma}}\hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi,\eta)-\hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi,\eta)+\hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi,\eta)-\hat{g}(\xi,\eta)\right\| \\
&\leq \left\|\frac{1}{1+\mu|A(0,\xi,\eta)|^{2\gamma}}\hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi,\eta)-\hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi,\eta)\right\|+\left\|\hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi,\eta)-\hat{g}(\xi,\eta)\right\| \leq (\tau+1)\delta.\n\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.3 *If* μ *is the solution of Eq.* [\(4.1\)](#page-6-2)*, we have the following inequality:*

$$
\mu^{-\frac{1}{2\gamma}} \le \frac{E}{(\tau - 1)\delta}.\tag{4.3}
$$

Proof Due to [\(3.9\)](#page-5-0), [\(3.10\)](#page-5-0) and [\(4.1\)](#page-6-2), we obtain

$$
\tau \delta = \left\| \frac{\mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right\|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left\| \frac{\mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} (\hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) - \hat{g}(\xi, \eta)) \right\| + \left\| \frac{\mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) \right\|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \delta + \left\| \frac{\mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma - 1}}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} |A(0, \xi, \eta)| \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) \right\|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \delta + \sup_{a \geq 0} \left| \frac{e^{(2\gamma - 1)a}}{1 + \mu e^{2\gamma a}} \right| \cdot \mu \cdot E.
$$

According to Lemma [3.1,](#page-4-0) we have

$$
\tau \delta \le \delta + \mu^{\frac{1}{2\gamma}} E. \tag{4.4}
$$

So

$$
\mu^{-\frac{1}{2\gamma}} \leq \frac{E}{(\tau - 1)\delta}.
$$

Theorem 4.4 *Suppose the a priori condition* [\(2.13\)](#page-3-1) *and the noise assumption* [\(2.12\)](#page-3-2) *hold. The regularization parameter* μ *is chosen by Morozov's discrepancy principle* [\(4.1\)](#page-6-2)*; then we have the following error estimate:*

$$
||u_{\mu}^{\delta}(x,\cdot,\cdot)-u(x,\cdot,\cdot)|| \leq cE^{1-x}\delta^{x}, \qquad (4.5)
$$

where $c = (\frac{\tau}{\tau-1})^{1-x} (\tau+1)^x$.

Proof Denote

$$
I = \|u(x, \cdot, \cdot) - u_{\mu}^{\delta}(x, \cdot, \cdot)\|
$$
\n(4.6)

Using Parseval's equality and Lemmas [4.2,](#page-7-0) [4.3,](#page-7-1) we know

$$
I^{2} = ||u(x, \cdot, \cdot) - u_{\mu}^{\delta}(x, \cdot, \cdot)||^{2} = ||\hat{u}(x, \cdot, \cdot) - \hat{u}_{\mu}^{\delta}(x, \cdot, \cdot)||^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= ||A(x, \xi, \eta)\hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{A(x, \xi, \eta)}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) ||^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= ||A(x, \xi, \eta) (\hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{1}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) ||^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |A(x, \xi, \eta) (\hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{1}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) ||^{2}
$$

By the Hölder inequality and [\(3.9\)](#page-5-0), [\(3.10\)](#page-5-0), we have

$$
I^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{2(1-x)a} \left| \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{1}{1 + \mu e^{2\gamma a}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right|^{2} d\xi d\eta
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{2(1-x)a} \left| \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{1}{1 + \mu e^{2\gamma a}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right|^{2(1-x)} \cdot \left| \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{1}{1 + \mu e^{2\gamma a}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right|^{2x} d\xi d\eta
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left(e^{(1-x)a} \left| \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{1}{1 + \mu e^{2\gamma a}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right|^{2(1-x)} \right) \frac{1}{1-x} d\xi d\eta \right)^{(1-x)} \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left(\left| \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{1}{1 + \mu e^{2\gamma a}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right|^{2x} \right) \frac{1}{x} d\xi d\eta \right)^{x} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{2a} \left| \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{1}{1 + \mu e^{2\gamma a}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right|^{2} d\xi d\eta \right)^{(1-x)} \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left| \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{1}{1 + \mu e^{2\gamma a}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right|^{2} d\xi d\eta \right)^{x} \leq \left| A(0, \xi, \eta) \left(\hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{1}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2y}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right) \right|^{2(1-x)} \right|
$$

 \Box

² Springer

$$
\times \left\| \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{1}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right\|^{2x}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\left\| A(0, \xi, \eta) \hat{g}(\xi, \eta) \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \right) \right\|
$$
\n
$$
+ \left\| \frac{A(0, \xi, \eta)}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \left(\hat{g}(\xi, \eta) - \hat{g}^{\delta}(\xi, \eta) \right) \right\| \right)^{2(1-x)} \cdot \left((\tau + 1)\delta \right)^{2x}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(E \cdot \left| \frac{\mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \right| + \sup \left| \frac{A(0, \xi, \eta)}{1 + \mu |A(0, \xi, \eta)|^{2\gamma}} \right| \cdot \delta \right)^{2(1-x)} \cdot \left((\tau + 1)\delta \right)^{2x}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(E + \delta \sup \frac{e^{a}}{1 + \mu e^{2\gamma a}} \right)^{2(1-x)} \cdot \left((\tau + 1)\delta \right)^{2x} . \tag{4.7}
$$

According to Lemma [3.1,](#page-4-0) we have

$$
\sup \frac{e^a}{1 + \mu e^{2\gamma a}} \le \mu^{-\frac{1}{2\gamma}}.\tag{4.8}
$$

Combining (4.6) , (4.7) , (4.8) and Lemma [4.3,](#page-7-1) we have

$$
I^{2} \leq \left(E + \delta \cdot \frac{E}{(\tau - 1)\delta}\right)^{2(1 - x)} \left((\tau + 1)\delta\right)^{2x}
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau - 1}\right)^{2(1 - x)} (\tau + 1)^{2x} E^{2(1 - x)} \delta^{2x}.
$$

So

$$
||u_{\mu}^{\delta}(x,\cdot,\cdot)-u(x,\cdot,\cdot)|| \leq \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau-1}\right)^{1-x}(\tau+1)^{x}E^{1-x}\delta^{x}.
$$

Denote $c = (\frac{\tau}{\tau - 1})^{1-x} (\tau + 1)^x$, we have

$$
||u_{\mu}^{\delta}(x,\cdot,\cdot)-u(x,\cdot,\cdot)||\leq cE^{1-x}\delta^{x}.
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem [4.4.](#page-8-2)

Remark 4.5 It is easy to see that the error estimates (3.7) , (4.5) are not convergent for the location at $x = 0$. If we add the following a priori condition

$$
||u(0,\cdot,\cdot)||_p\leq E,
$$

where $p > 0$, $E > 0$, $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the norm of the Sobolev space $H^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then the convergence rate is logarithmic. Please refer to [\[34](#page-13-16)[,35](#page-13-17)].

 \Box

5 A Numerical Example

In this section, we show some numerical results reconstructed by the fractional Tikhonov regularization method and compare it with the classical Tikhonov method.

Let us consider the direct problem with the exact input data $f(y, t)$:

$$
\frac{\partial^{\alpha} u}{\partial t^{\alpha}} - u_{xx} - u_{yy} = 0, \qquad 0 < x < 1, y > 0, t > 0,u(x, y, 0) = 0, \qquad x > 0, y > 0,u(x, 0, t) = 0, \qquad x > 0, t > 0,u_y(x, 0, t) = 0, \qquad x > 0, t > 0,u(0, y, t) = f(y, t), \qquad y > 0, t > 0,u(x, y, t)|_{x \to \infty} \text{ bounded, } y > 0, t > 0.
$$
\n(5.1)

This problem is well-posed, and its solution at $x = 1$ can be given by

$$
g(y,t) := u(1, y, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi, \eta) e^{-\sqrt{(i\eta)^{\alpha} + \xi^{2}}} e^{-i(\xi y + \eta t)} d\xi d\eta.
$$
 (5.2)

In numerical tests, we give the data $f(y, t)$ and sample at an equidistant grid [0, 1] \times $[0, 1]$ with 100×100 grid points and then perform 2-dimensional discrete Fourier transformation. We can get the data $g(y, t)$ via 2-dimensional inverse discrete Fourier transformation according to (5.2) and then generate the noisy data $g_δ$ according to the following equation

$$
g_{\delta} = g + g_{\text{max}} * \delta \cdot \text{rand} \left(\text{size}(g) \right), \tag{5.3}
$$

where δ represents the error level of *g*, g_{max} is the maximum value of sampled data *g*. Let $RMS(g)$ denote the root-mean-square for a sampled function $g(\cdot, \cdot)$:

$$
RMS(g) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (g(y_j, t_k))^2},
$$
\n(5.4)

where $n + 1$ is the total number of test points. Similarly, the root- mean-square error (RMSE) can be defined for the computed data and exact data. The symbol $rand(size(\cdot))$ is a random number between [0, 1].

The regularized solutions were computed by the 2D discrete fast Fourier transform (2D FFT) and 2D inverse discrete fast Fourier transform (2D IFFT) according to formulas in Sect. [3.](#page-4-3) The regularization parameter μ is chosen by (3.6) where *E* is computed by (5.4) for the sampled $f(y, t)$ at the grids.

An Example. Let $\Omega = \{(y, t) | 0.1 \le y \le 0.3, 0.1 \le t \le 0.3\} \cup \{(y, t) | 0.4 \le y \le 0.6, 0.4 \le t \le 0.01\}$ 0.6, $0.4 \le t \le 0.6$ } $\bigcup \{(y, t) | 0.7 \le y \le 0.9, 0.7 \le t \le 0.9\}$. Let the following non-smooth function

$$
f(y, t) = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if } (y, t) \in \Omega \\ 0, \text{ if else,} \end{cases}
$$

be the exact solution at $x = 0$.

Fig. 1 Noisy input data $g_{\delta}(y, t)$ with $\alpha = 0.4$

Fig. 2 Exact solution $u(x, y, t)$ with $\alpha = 0.4, x = 0.2$

In this example, we fix the parameters $\delta = 1\%$ and $x = 0.2$. Figure [1](#page-11-0) shows the noisy data $g_\delta(y, t)$ with $\delta = 1\%$.

Figure [2](#page-11-1) shows the result by Fourier method and Method 1 with $\delta = 1\%$, $\alpha = 0.4$. Figure [3](#page-12-8) shows the comparison between the fractional and classical Tikhonov regularization methods. The result shows that the fractional Tikhonov method outperforms the classical one. For different α -s and x -s, we find the same result.

Fig. 3 a The classical Tikhonov method ($\gamma = 1$) with $\mu = 1.36 * 10^{-5}$; **b** the fractional Tikhonov method $(\gamma = 1/2)$ with $\mu = 3.66 * 10^{-3}$

Acknowledgements The authors thank the reviewers for their very careful reading and for pointing out several mistakes as well as for their useful comments and suggestions. This research is partially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11661072), the Natural Science Foundation of Northwest Normal University, China (No. NWNU-LKQN-17-5).

References

- 1. Chen, W., Ye, L.J., Sun, H.G.: Fractional diffusion equations by the Kansa method. Comput. Math. Appl. **59**, 1614–1620 (2010)
- 2. Yu, Z., Lin, J.: Numerical research on the coherent structure in the viscoelastic second-order mixing layers. Appl. Math. Mech. **19**, 671–677 (1998)
- 3. Laskin, N., Lambadaris, I., Harmantzis, F.C., Devetsikiotis, M.: Fractional Lévy motion and its application to network traffic modeling. Comput. Netw. **40**(3), 363–375 (2002)
- 4. Scher, H., Montroll, E.W.: Anomalous transit-time dispersion in amorphous. Phys. Rev. B. **12**(6), 2455–2477 (1975)
- 5. Szabo, T.L., Wu, J.: A model for longitudinal and shear wave propagation in viscoelastic media. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **107**(5), 2437–2446 (2000)
- 6. Gorenflo, R., Mainardi, F., Moretti, D., Pagnini, G., Paradisi, P.: Discrete random walk models for space–time fractional diffusion. Chem. Phys. **284**, 521–541 (2002)
- 7. Sokolov, I.M., Klafter, J., Blumen, A.: Fractional kinetics. Phys. Today. **55**, 48–54 (2002)
- 8. Mendes, R.V.: A fractional calculus interpretation of the fractional volatility model. Nonlinear Dyn. **55**, 395–399 (2009)
- 9. Agrawal, O.P.: Solution for a fractional diffusion-wave equation defined in a bounded domain. Nonlinear Dyn. **29**, 145–155 (2002)
- 10. Chavez, A.: Fractional diffusion equation to describe Lévy flights. Phys. Lett. A. **239**, 13–16 (1998)
- 11. Liu, F., Anh, V., Turner, I.: Numerical solution of the space fractional Fokker–Planck equation. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **166**, 209–219 (2004)
- 12. Meerschaert, M.M., Tadjeran, C.: Finite difference approximations for fractional advection–dispersion flow equations. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **172**, 65–77 (2004)
- 13. Berntsson, F.: A spectral method for solving the sideways heat equation. Inverse Probl. **15**, 891–906 (1999)
- 14. Eldén, L., Berntsson, F., Regiéska, T.: Wavelet and Fourier methods for solving the sideways heat equation. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. **21**(6), 2187–2205 (2000)
- 15. Cheng, J., Nakagawa, J., Yamamoto, M., Yamazaki, T.: Uniqueness in an inverse problem for onedimensional fractional diffusion equation. Inverse Probl. **16**, 115002 (2009)
- 16. Zheng, G.H., Wei, T.: Spectral regularization method for a Cauchy problem of the time fractional advection-dispersion equation. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **233**, 2631–2640 (2010)
- 17. Bondarenko, A.N., Ivaschenko, D.S.: Numerical methods for solving inverse problems for time fractional diffusion equation with variable coefficient. J. Inverse Ill Posed Probl. **17**, 419–440 (2009)
- 18. Murio, D.A.: Stable numerical solution of a fractional-diffusion inverse heat conduction problem. Comput. Math. Appl. **53**, 1492–1501 (2007)
- 19. Qian, Z.: Optimal modified method for a fractional-diffusion inverse heat conduction problem. Inverse Probl. Sci. Eng. **18**, 521–533 (2010)
- 20. Cheng, H., Fu, C.L.: An iteration regularization for a time-fractional inverse diffusion problem. Appl. Math. Model. **36**, 5642–5649 (2012)
- 21. Xiong, X.T., Zhou, Q., Hon, Y.C.: An inverse problem for fractional diffusion equation in 2-dimensional case: stability analysis and regularization. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **393**, 185–199 (2012)
- 22. Li, M., Xiong, X.T.: On a fractional backward heat conduction problem: application to deblurring. Comput. Math. Appl. **64**, 2594–2602 (2012)
- 23. Klann, E., Maass, P., Ramlau, R.: Two-step regularization methods for linear inverse problems. J. Inverse Ill Posed Probl. **14**, 583–609 (2006)
- 24. Klann, E., Ramlau, R.: Regularization by fractional filter methods and data smoothing. Inverse Probl. **24**, 045005 (2008)
- 25. Hochstenbach, M.E., Reichel, L.: Fractional Tikhonov regularization for linear discrete ill-posed problems. BIT Numer. Math. **51**, 197–215 (2011)
- 26. Gerth, D., Klann, E., Ramlau, R., Reichel, L.: On fractional Tikhonov regularization. J. Inverse Ill Posed Probl. **23**, 611–625 (2015)
- 27. Bianchi, D., Buccini, A., Donatelli, M., Serra-Capizzano, S.: Iterated fractional Tikhonov regularization. Inverse Probl. **31**, 055005 (2015)
- 28. Qian, Z., Feng, X.L.: A fractional Tikhonov method for solving a Cauchy problem of Helmholtz equation. Appl. Anal. **96**, 1656–1668 (2017)
- 29. Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, San Diego (1999)
- 30. Gorenflo, R., Rutman, R.: On ultraslow and on intermediate processes. In: Rusev, P., Dimovski, I., Kiryakova, V. (eds.) Transform Methods and Special Functions. SCT Publishers, Singapore (1995)
- 31. Xiong, X.T., Li, J.M., Wen, J.: Some novel linear regularization methods for a deblurring problem. Inverse Probl Imaging **11**, 403–426 (2017)
- 32. Xiong, X.T.: A regularization method for a Cauchy problem of the Helmholtz equation. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **233**, 1723–1732 (2010)
- 33. Engl, H.W., Hanke, M., Neubauer, A.: Regularization of Inverse Problem. Kluwer Academic, Boston (1996)
- 34. Xiong, X.T.: Regularization theory and algorithm for some inverse problems for parabolic differential equations. Ph.D. Dissertation, Lanzhou University (2007) **(in Chinese)**
- 35. Qian, Z., Fu, C.L.: Regularization strategy for a two-dimensional inverse heat conduction problem. Inverse Probl. **23**, 1053–1068 (2007)