

(A, m) -Isometric Unilateral Weighted Shifts in Semi-Hilbertian Spaces

R. Rabaoui¹ · A. Saddi¹

Received: 8 October 2015 / Revised: 29 December 2015 / Published online: 13 February 2016
© Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia 2016

Abstract For a positive integer m , a bounded linear operator T on a Hilbert space \mathbb{H} is called an (A, m) -isometry, if $\Theta_A^{(m)}(T) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} T^{*k} A T^k = 0$, where A is a positive (semi-definite) operator. In this paper we give a characterization of (A, m) -isometric and strict (A, m) -isometric unilateral weighted shifts in terms of their weight sequences, respectively. Moreover, we characterize $(A, 2)$ -expansive unilateral weighted shifts (i.e. operators satisfying $\Theta_A^{(2)}(T) \leq 0$).

Keywords (A, m) -isometry · (A, m) -expansive operators · Unilateral weighted shifts

Mathematics Subject Classification 46C05 · 47A05 · 47B37

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, \mathbb{H} denotes a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ and $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{H} . A represents a nonzero ($A \neq 0$) positive operator and denote I the identity operator on \mathbb{H} . By $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ we denote the Banach algebra of all linear operators on \mathbb{H} . For every $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ its range is denoted by $R(T)$, its null space by $N(T)$ and its adjoint by T^* . The cone

Communicated by Rosihan M. Ali.

✉ R. Rabaoui
rchid.rabaoui@fsg.rnu.tn

A. Saddi
adel.saddi@fsg.rnu.tn

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, 6072 Gabès, Tunisia

of positive (semi-definite) operators and the set of all $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ which admit an A -adjoint are given, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})^+ &:= \{A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}) : \langle Au \mid u \rangle \geq 0, \forall u \in \mathbb{H}\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_A(\mathbb{H}) &:= \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}) : R(T^*A) \subset R(A)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Any $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})^+$ defines a positive semi-definite sesquilinear form:

$$\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_A : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \langle u \mid v \rangle_A := \langle Au \mid v \rangle.$$

By $\|\cdot\|_A$ we denote the semi-norm induced by $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_A$, i.e. $\|u\|_A = \langle u \mid u \rangle_A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Observe that $\|u\|_A = 0$ if and only if $u \in N(A)$. Then $\|\cdot\|_A$ is a norm if and only if A is an injective operator.

Definition 1.1 For a positive operator A , we say that $u, v \in \mathbb{H}$ are A -orthogonal if

$$\langle u \mid v \rangle_A = \langle Au \mid v \rangle = 0.$$

More general, a family of vectors $(u_i)_i$ is A -orthogonal if $\langle u_i \mid u_j \rangle_A = 0$, for all $i \neq j$.

For any $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})^+$, define

$$\Theta_A^{(m)}(T) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} T^{*k} A T^k, \quad m \geq 1. \tag{1}$$

We say that T is (A, m) -expansive if $\Theta_A^{(m)}(T) \leq 0$ for some positive integer m . For more details on such a family, we refer the readers to [11].

A detailed study of m -isometries was developed by Agler and Stankus [1–3]. Recently, Sid Ahmed et al. [8] generalized the concept of those operators on a Hilbert space when an additional semi-inner product is considered. They introduced the (A, m) -isometries as a special case of (A, m) -expansive operators. In [9], we gave a detailed study concerning the behavior of the orbits of such a family. In fact, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ is called an (A, m) -isometry if $\Theta_A^{(m)}(T) = 0$, or equivalently,

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k u\|_A^2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{H}. \tag{2}$$

Remark 1.1 1. An $(A, 1)$ -isometry will be called an A -isometry.

2. T will be said a strict (A, m) -isometry if it is an (A, m) -isometry but not an $(A, m - 1)$ -isometry.

For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we consider the operator

$$\beta_k(T) = \frac{1}{k!} \Theta_A^{(k)}(T).$$

For $n \geq k$, we denote

$$n^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ or } k = 0; \\ \binom{n}{k} k! = n(n-1)(n-2) \dots (n-k+1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that $\beta_0(T) = A$ and if T is an (A, m) -isometry, then $\beta_k(T) = 0$ for every $k \geq m$. Hence, according to [9] we have

$$\|T^n u\|_A^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} n^{(k)} \langle \beta_k(T)u \mid u \rangle \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{H}. \tag{3}$$

The A -covariance operator $\Delta_{A,T}$ is defined by

$$\Delta_{A,T} := \beta_{m-1}(T) = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \Theta_A^{(m-1)}(T). \tag{4}$$

Theorem 2.1 [4] gives a useful characterization of m -isometries on a Hilbert space. The same proof works for (A, m) -isometries. In fact, we show that if T is an (A, m) -isometry then it is possible to explain the semi-norm $\|T^n u\|_A$ of $T^n u$ in terms of the semi-norms of the vectors $u, Tu, \dots, T^{m-1}u$.

Theorem 1.1 *An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ is an (A, m) -isometry if and only if*

$$\|T^n u\|_A^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k-1} \frac{n(n-1) \dots \overbrace{(n-k)}^{m-1} \dots (n-m+1)}{k!(m-k-1)!} \|T^k u\|_A^2 \tag{5}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and all $u \in \mathbb{H}$, where $\overbrace{n-k}^{m-1}$ denotes that the factor $(n-k)$ is omitted.

Remark 1.2 For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (m-1)$, the coefficient of $\|T^k u\|_A^2$ is a polynomial in n of degree $(m-1)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we focus on unilateral weighted shifts which are (A, m) -isometries. A characterization in terms of the weight sequence is given for the forward shifts. Then, we describe the behavior of the weights for such a family. Finally, in order that the paper will be self-contained, we give a characterization for backward shifts.

Generally, an (A, m) -isometry is not an $(A, m-1)$ -isometry (see [8]). Inspired from that, the aim of Sect. 3 is the study of strict (A, m) -isometric unilateral weighted forward shifts. A characterization for a particular operator A is also given. In Sect. 4 we focus on $(A, 2)$ -expansive (or A -concave) operators. Some properties related to unilateral weighted shifts are given.

2 (A, m) -Isometric Unilateral Weighted Shifts

The aim of this section is to give a characterization of unilateral weighted shift operators which are (A, m) -isometries in terms of their weight sequences. Before starting the study, first we recall that a unilateral weighted shift T is unitarily equivalent to a weighted shift operator with a non-negative weight sequence. So we can assume that $w_n \geq 0$. Furthermore, if T is injective, it can be assumed that $w_n > 0$ (see [6, 10]).

2.1 Unilateral Weighted Forward Shifts

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ is said to be a unilateral weighted forward shift, if there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and a sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of complex numbers such that $T e_n = w_n e_{n+1}$. It is well known and it is not difficult to see that T is a bounded operator if and only if the weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded. The iterates of T are given by $T^0 = I$, and for $k \geq 1$,

$$T^k e_n = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{n+i} \right) e_{n+k}, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{6}$$

It was shown ([4], Proposition 3.1) that if T is an m -isometric unilateral weighted forward shift operator with weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, then $w_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. The characterization related to (A, m) -isometric unilateral weighted shifts is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1 *Let T be a unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. If T is an (A, m) -isometry and if there exists a nonnegative integer n_0 such that $e_{n_0} \notin N(A)$, then $w_{n_0} \neq 0$.*

Proof Assume that there exists a nonnegative integer n_0 such that $\|e_{n_0}\|_A \neq 0$. Equation (6) implies that

$$\|T^k e_{n_0}\|_A^2 = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} |w_{i+n_0}|^2 \right) \|e_{k+n_0}\|_A^2, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Since $T^0 e_{n_0} = e_{n_0}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{m+1} \|e_{n_0}\|_A^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k e_{n_0}\|_A^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} |w_{i+n_0}|^2 \right) \|e_{k+n_0}\|_A^2 \\ &= |w_{n_0}|^2 \left[(-1)^{m-1} \binom{m}{1} \|e_{n_0+1}\|_A^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=2}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} |w_{i+n_0}|^2 \right) \|e_{k+n_0}\|_A^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$|w_{n_0}|^2 = \frac{(-1)^{m+1} \|e_{n_0}\|_A^2}{(-1)^{m-1} \binom{m}{1} \|e_{n_0+1}\|_A^2 + \sum_{k=2}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} |w_{i+n_0}|^2 \right) \|e_{k+n_0}\|_A^2}.$$

Thus, the proof is achieved. □

Corollary 2.1 *Let T be a unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence {w_n}_{n ≥ 0}. The following assertions hold true.*

1. *If T is an A-isometry and if e_0 ∉ N(A), then w_n ≠ 0 for all n ≥ 0.*
2. *If T is an (A, 2)-isometry and e_{2p} ∉ N(A) for all p ≥ 0, then w_n ≠ 0 for all n ≥ 0.*

Proof 1. If T is an A-isometry then ||Tu||_A = ||u||_A for all u ∈ H. For u = e_n, we obtain

$$|w_n| \|e_{n+1}\|_A = \|e_n\|_A$$

which implies that if e_0 ∉ N(A), then w_n ≠ 0 for all n ≥ 0.

2. To obtain the desired claim we restrict ourselves to prove that, for each n, if e_n ∉ N(A) then w_n ≠ 0 and w_{n+1} ≠ 0.

Since T is an (A, 2)-isometry, ||T^2u||_A^2 - 2||Tu||_A^2 + ||u||_A^2 = 0 for all u ∈ H. For u = e_n, we obtain

$$|w_n|^2 \left\{ |w_{n+1}|^2 \|e_{n+2}\|_A^2 - 2\|e_{n+1}\|_A^2 \right\} = -\|e_n\|_A^2. \tag{7}$$

If e_n ∉ N(A), then (7) implies that w_n ≠ 0 and

$$|w_{n+1}| \|e_{n+2}\|_A \pm \sqrt{2} \|e_{n+1}\|_A \neq 0. \tag{8}$$

Moreover, if we assume that w_{n+1} = 0, then the identity (8) gives e_{n+1} ∉ N(A), and hence w_{n+1} ≠ 0 which is a contradiction. □

In the following proposition we establish that if a unilateral weighted forward shift T with weight sequence {w_n}_{n ≥ 0} is A-bounded then the sequence $\left\{ \frac{\|e_{n+1}\|_A}{\|e_n\|_A} w_n, n \geq 0 \right\}$ is bounded.

Proposition 2.2 *Let T ∈ L_A(H) be a unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence {w_k}_{k ≥ 0}. Assume that e_k ∉ N(A) for all k ∈ N. Then, for all n ≥ 1*

$$\|T^n\|_A \geq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} |w_{i+k}| \right) \frac{\|e_{k+n}\|_A}{\|e_k\|_A} \right\}. \tag{9}$$

Proof Note first that if T ∈ L_A(H), then T^n ∈ L_A(H) for all n ≥ 0 and, moreover, we have

$$\|T^n u\|_A \leq \|T^n\|_A \|u\|_A \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{H}, n \geq 0.$$

Let $n \geq 1$. Since (6) holds true, we have

$$\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} |w_{i+k}|\right) \|e_{k+n}\|_A = \|T^n e_k\|_A \leq \|T^n\|_A \|e_k\|_A.$$

Thus taking supremum over all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} |w_{i+k}|\right) \frac{\|e_{k+n}\|_A}{\|e_k\|_A} \right\} \leq \|T^n\|_A.$$

Remark 2.1 Equality between the two parts of (9) does not holds true for every unilateral weighted forward shift T and any positive operator A . For example, assume that $n = 1$ and $w_k = 1$ for all $k \geq 0$. Let A be the operator given in the orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ by the matrix $\{a_{jk}\}_{j,k \geq 0}$ such that $a_{jj} = 1$ for all $j \geq 0$, $a_{01} = a_{10} = \frac{1}{2}$, and all other elements are equal to 0. It is easily seen that A is an invertible positive operator. Moreover, $T \in \mathcal{L}_A(\mathbb{H})$. Remark that the right part of (9) is equal to 1. Indeed,

$$\|e_k\|_A^2 = \langle Ae_k | e_k \rangle = a_{kk} = 1, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_0 - e_1\|_A^2 &= \langle A(e_0 - e_1) | (e_0 - e_1) \rangle \\ &= a_{00} - 2a_{01} + a_{11} = 1 \\ \text{and } \|T(e_0 - e_1)\|_A^2 &= \|e_1 - e_2\|_A^2 \\ &= \langle A(e_1 - e_2) | (e_1 - e_2) \rangle \\ &= a_{11} - 2a_{12} + a_{22} = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\|T\|_A \geq \sqrt{2}$.

Every $u \in \mathbb{H}$ can be written as $u = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n e_n$; $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Hence, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k u\|_A^2 = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j S_{i,j,A}^{(m)},$$

where

$$S_{i,j,A}^{(m)} = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \langle AT^k e_i | T^k e_j \rangle, \quad i, j \geq 0.$$

Remark 2.2 If T is a unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, then we have

$$S_{i,j,A}^{(m)} = \begin{cases} (-1)^m \langle Ae_i | e_j \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{p=0}^{k-1} w_{i+p} \overline{w_{j+p}} \right) \langle Ae_{i+k} | e_{j+k} \rangle, & (i \neq j) \\ (-1)^m \|e_i\|_A^2 + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{p=0}^{k-1} |w_{i+p}|^2 \right) \|e_{i+k}\|_A^2 := S_{i,A}^{(m)}, & (i = j). \end{cases}$$

Let us begin with the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 *Let T be a unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence {w_n}_{n ≥ 0}. Then T is an (A, m)-isometry if and only if S_{i,j,A}^{(m)} = 0 for all i, j ≥ 0.*

Proof Assume that T is an (A, m)-isometry. For u = e_n, (2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k e_n\|_A^2 \\ &= (-1)^m \|e_n\|_A^2 + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} |w_{n+i}|^2 \right) \|e_{n+k}\|_A^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all n ∈ ℕ we have S_{n,A}^{(m)} = 0. As a consequence, for all sequence {α_n}_n ⊂ ℂ, it yields that ∑_{i ≠ j} α_i \overline{α_j} S_{i,j,A}^{(m)} = 0. Indeed, to prove such a claim we argue by contradiction. If there exists {α_n}_n such that ∑_{i ≠ j} α_i \overline{α_j} S_{i,j,A}^{(m)} ≠ 0, then if we take a nonzero v = ∑_i α_i e_i we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k v\|_A^2 \neq 0,$$

which contradicts the fact that T is an (A, m)-isometry. Moreover, assume that there exists i_0 ≠ j_0 such that S_{i_0,j_0,A}^{(m)} ≠ 0. If we consider the sequence {β_n}_n defined by β_{i_0} ≠ 0, β_{j_0} ≠ 0 and β_i = 0 otherwise, then for s = β_{i_0} e_{i_0} + β_{j_0} e_{j_0}, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k s\|_A^2 = \beta_{i_0} \overline{\beta_{j_0}} S_{i_0,j_0,A}^{(m)} \neq 0,$$

which contradicts the hypothesis. Hence, S_{i,j,A}^{(m)} = 0 for all i ≠ j.

For the converse, suppose that S_{i,j,A}^{(m)} = 0 for all i, j ≥ 0. Since every vector u ∈ ℍ can be written as u = ∑_i α_i e_i, it follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k u\|_A^2 = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \overline{\alpha_j} S_{i,j,A}^{(m)} = 0.$$

□

In the following proposition we give some properties related to the coefficients $S_{i,j,A}^{(m)}$ defined in Remark 2.2.

Proposition 2.3 *Let T be an (A, m) -isometric unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. The following claims hold true.*

1. *If $S_{0,A}^{(m-1)} = 0$, then $S_{n,A}^{(m-1)} = 0$ for all $-1 \leq n - 1 < \inf\{n \geq 0/w_n = 0\}$, where $\inf\{n \geq 0/w_n = 0\} = +\infty$ if $\{n \geq 0/w_n = 0\} = \Phi$.*
2. *If $e_n \notin N(A)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S_{0,A}^{(m-1)} = 0$, then $S_{n,A}^{(m-1)} = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof 1. First of all, let us remark that

$$S_{n,A}^{(m-1)} = (m - 1)! \langle \Delta_{A,T} e_n \mid e_n \rangle \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

Since T is an (A, m) -isometry, then a simple computation shows that

$$\Delta_{A,T} = T^* \Delta_{A,T} T.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta_{A,T} e_n \mid e_n \rangle &= \langle T^* \Delta_{A,T} T e_n \mid e_n \rangle \\ &= \langle \Delta_{A,T} T e_n \mid T e_n \rangle \\ &= |w_n|^2 \langle \Delta_{A,T} e_{n+1} \mid e_{n+1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$S_{n,A}^{(m-1)} = |w_n|^2 S_{n+1,A}^{(m-1)}. \tag{10}$$

As a consequence of (10), we have

$$S_{0,A}^{(m-1)} = \prod_{i=0}^n |w_i|^2 S_{n+1,A}^{(m-1)} \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

Hence, we obtain the result.

2. The claim is a direct consequence of (10) and Proposition 2.1. □

Corollary 2.3 *Let T be a unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. Let $H_{i,j,A}^{(m)}$ be as*

$$H_{i,j,A}^{(m)} := \left(\prod_{p=0}^i w_p \right) \left(\prod_{q=0}^j \overline{w}_q \right) S_{i,j,A}^{(m)}, \quad i, j \geq 0. \tag{11}$$

Then, we have

1. *If T is an (A, m) -isometry, then*
 - (a) $H_{i,A}^{(m)} := H_{i,i,A}^{(m)} = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.
 - (b) $\sum_{i,j} H_{i,j,A}^{(m)} = 0$ for all $i, j \geq 0$.

- 2. If, for all $i, j \geq 0$ $H_{i,j,A}^{(m)} = 0$, then either T is an (A, m) -isometry or there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $w_k = 0$.

Proof 1. Assuming that T is an (A, m) -isometry, (a) and (b) follow immediately from 1. and 2. of Proposition 2.3.

- 2. Suppose that for all $i, j \geq 0$ $H_{i,j,A}^{(m)} = 0$, i.e

$$\left(\prod_{p=0}^i w_p \right) \left(\prod_{q=0}^j \bar{w}_q \right) S_{i,j,A}^{(m)} = 0$$

which implies that either there exists $0 \leq k \leq \max(i, j)$ such that $w_k = 0$ or $S_{i,j,A}^{(m)} = 0$ for all $i, j \geq 0$ and, hence, we can conclude. \square

Remark 2.3 Let $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis (i.e $\|f_n\| = 1 \neq 0, n \geq 1$) and $Tf_n = w_n f_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Assume that $A = I$. Then Proposition 2.1 holds, that is $w_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Moreover, we consider

$$\tilde{H}_{i,j,A}^{(m)} := \left(\prod_{p=0}^{i-1} w_p \right) \left(\prod_{q=0}^{j-1} \bar{w}_q \right) S_{i,j,A}^{(m)}, \quad i, j \geq 1,$$

where $w_0 := 1$. If $\tilde{H}_{n,A}^{(m)} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, then assertion 2. of Proposition 2.3 implies that T is an m -isometry. Hence, in that case we recover Proposition 3.2 ([4]), that is T is an m -isometry if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n+k-1} |w_i|^2 \right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

For a fixed sequence $\{w_k\}_{k \geq 0}, n \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$, let us denote

$$R_{n,A}^{(m)} := (-1)^{m-1} \frac{(n-1)(n-2) \dots (n-m+1)}{(m-1)!} \|e_0\|_A^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k-1} \frac{n(n-1) \dots \overbrace{(n-k)} \dots (n-m+1)}{k!(m-k-1)!} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} |w_i|^2 \right) \|e_k\|_A^2, \tag{12}$$

where $\overbrace{n-k}$ denotes that the factor $(n-k)$ is omitted. Remark that $R_{0,A}^{(m)} = \|e_0\|_A^2$ and for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$,

$$R_{j,A}^{(m)} = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{j-1} |w_i|^2 \right) \|e_j\|_A^2 \quad (\geq 0). \tag{13}$$

As it was shown in [4] for m -isometric weighted shifts, in the following result we describe the behavior of a given unilateral weighted forward shift which is (A, m) -isometric by means of his weight sequence sequence.

Theorem 2.4 *Let T be a unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. The following claims hold true.*

1. *Assume that there exists $0 \leq n_0 \leq m - 2$ such that $e_j \notin N(A)$, $0 \leq j \leq n_0 + 1$. If T is an (A, m) -isometry then*

$$|w_i|^2 = \frac{R_{i+1,A}^{(m)}}{R_{i,A}^{(m)}} \frac{\|e_i\|_A^2}{\|e_{i+1}\|_A^2} (> 0) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n_0. \tag{14}$$

2. *Assume that there exists $n_0 \geq 0$ such that $e_i \notin N(A)$, $0 \leq i \leq n_0 + m$ and*

$$|w_p|^2 = \frac{R_{p+1,A}^{(m)}}{R_{p,A}^{(m)}} \frac{\|e_p\|_A^2}{\|e_{p+1}\|_A^2} (> 0) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq p \leq n_0 + m - 1. \tag{15}$$

Then $H_{j,A}^{(m)} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n_0$.

Proof 1. Assume that T is an (A, m) -isometry. From Proposition 2.1 we obtain $w_i \neq 0$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n_0 + 1$. By Theorem 1.1 we have that, for every $u \in \mathbb{H}$ and for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\|T^n u\|_A^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k-1} \frac{n(n-1) \dots \overbrace{(n-k)} \dots (n-m+1)}{k!(m-k-1)!} \|T^k u\|_A^2.$$

For $u = e_0$ and for all $n \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} |w_i|^2 \right) \|e_n\|_A^2 &= (-1)^{m-1} \frac{(n-1)(n-2) \dots (n-m+1)}{(m-1)!} \|e_0\|_A^2 \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k-1} \frac{n(n-1) \dots \overbrace{(n-k)} \dots (n-m+1)}{k!(m-k-1)!} \\ &\times \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} |w_i|^2 \right) \|e_k\|_A^2. \end{aligned}$$

The equalities (12)–(13) give $R_{k,A}^{(m)} \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$. Moreover, we have

$$R_{j,A}^{(m)} \|e_{j+1}\|_A^2 |w_j|^2 = R_{j+1,A}^{(m)} \|e_j\|_A^2 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq j \leq n_0.$$

Consequently,

$$|w_j|^2 = \frac{R_{j+1,A}^{(m)}}{R_{j,A}^{(m)}} \frac{\|e_j\|_A^2}{\|e_{j+1}\|_A^2} (> 0) \quad (0 \leq j \leq n_0).$$

2. Assume that (15) is verified. First note that

$$R_{j+k,A}^{(m)} = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{j+k-1} |w_i|^2 \right) \|e_{j+k}\|_A^2 \neq 0 \quad (j \geq 1, k \geq 0).$$

For $0 \leq j \leq n_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{j,A}^{(m)} &= \left(\prod_{i=0}^j |w_i|^2 \right) S_{j,A}^{(m)} \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=0}^j |w_i|^2 \right) \left[(-1)^m \|e_j\|_A^2 + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} |w_{i+j}|^2 \right) \|e_{j+k}\|_A^2 \right] \\ &= (-1)^m \left(\prod_{i=0}^j |w_i|^2 \right) \|e_j\|_A^2 + |w_j|^2 \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{j+k-1} |w_i|^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times \|e_{j+k}\|_A^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (13) and for $1 \leq j \leq n_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_{j,A}^{(m)} &= |w_j|^2 \left[\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} R_{j+k,A}^{(m)} \right] \\ &= |w_j|^2 \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} (-1)^{m-1} \right. \\ &\quad \times \frac{(j+k-1)(j+k-2) \dots (j+k-m+1)}{(m-1)!} \|e_0\|_A^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_{h=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-h-1} \frac{(j+k) \dots \overbrace{(j+k-h)} \dots (j+k-m+1)}{h!(m-h-1)!} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \prod_{i=0}^{h-1} |w_i|^2 \|e_h\|_A^2 \right] \left. \right\} \\ &= |w_j|^2 (A + B), \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} (-1)^{m-1} \\
 &\quad \times \frac{(j+k-1)(j+k-2)\dots(j+k-m+1)}{(m-1)!} \|e_0\|_A^2 \\
 &= (-1)^{m-1} m \|e_0\|_A^2 \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \frac{(j+k-1)(j+k-2)\dots(j+k-m+1) \overbrace{(j+k-m)}^k}{k!(m-k)!}, \\
 B &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\sum_{h=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-h-1} \frac{(j+k)\dots\overbrace{(j+k-h)}^h\dots(j+k-m+1)}{h!(m-h-1)!} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \prod_{i=0}^{h-1} |w_i|^2 \|e_h\|_A^2 \right] \\
 &= \sum_{h=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-h-1} \frac{m!}{h!(m-h-1)!} \prod_{i=0}^{h-1} |w_i|^2 \|e_h\|_A^2 \\
 &\quad \times \left[\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \frac{(j+k)\dots\overbrace{(j+k-h)}^h\dots(j+k-m+1)}{k!(m-k)!} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account equality (3.2) [4], Lemma 3.3 we obtain $A = 0$ and $B = 0$. Hence, $H_{j,A}^{(m)} = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n_0$. □

Remark 2.4 Let T be the unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. From Theorem 2.4 we obtain the following characterizations:

1. Assume that $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is A -orthogonal, $e_n \notin N(A)$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $S_{0,A}^{(m)} = 0$. Then, T is an (A, m) -isometry if and only if

$$R_{n,A}^{(m)} = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} |w_i|^2 \right) \|e_n\|_A^2 > 0 \quad \text{for every } n \geq 1.$$

If $A = I$, then we obtain a conclusion similar to that of Remark 3.5 ([4]).

2. Assume that there exists $0 \leq n_0 \leq m - 2$ such that $e_j \notin N(A)$, $0 \leq j \leq n_0 + 1$. We have:
 - (a) If T is an A -isometry, then

$$\|e_i\|_A = |w_i| \|e_{i+1}\|_A \quad \text{for every } i \geq 0.$$

(b) If T is an $(A, 2)$ -isometry, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|w_i|^2 &= \frac{(i + 1)|w_0|^2 - i \frac{\|e_0\|_A^2}{\|e_1\|_A^2}}{i|w_0|^2 - (i - 1) \frac{\|e_0\|_A^2}{\|e_1\|_A^2}} \frac{\|e_i\|_A^2}{\|e_{i+1}\|_A^2} \quad (0 \leq i \leq n_0) \\
&= \frac{i \left(|w_0|^2 - \frac{\|e_0\|_A^2}{\|e_1\|_A^2} \right) + |w_0|^2}{(i - 1) \left(|w_0|^2 - \frac{\|e_0\|_A^2}{\|e_1\|_A^2} \right) + |w_0|^2} \frac{\|e_i\|_A^2}{\|e_{i+1}\|_A^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, observe that

$$|w_0| \geq \frac{\|e_0\|_A}{\|e_1\|_A} \iff |w_i| > 0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq n_0).$$

In particular, if $|w_0| > \frac{\|e_0\|_A}{\|e_1\|_A}$, then T is a strict $(A, 2)$ -isometry.

2.2 Unilateral Weighted Backward Shifts

A unilateral weighted backward shift B_w with weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is defined by $B_w e_n = w_n e_{n-1}$ if $n \geq 1$ and by $B_w e_0 = 0$. The iterates of B_w are given by

$$B_w^k e_n = \begin{cases} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{n-i} \right) e_{n-k} & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq n; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Let $u = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n \in \mathbb{H}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|B_w^k u\|_A^2 \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \left\{ (-1)^m \|e_n\|_A^2 + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|B_w^k e_n\|_A^2 \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j \left\{ (-1)^m \langle A e_i | e_j \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \langle A B_w^k e_i | B_w^k e_j \rangle \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\langle A B_w^k e_i | B_w^k e_j \rangle = \begin{cases} \left(\prod_{p=0}^{k-1} w_{i-p} \bar{w}_{j-p} \right) \langle A e_{i-k} | e_{j-k} \rangle & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq i < j; \\ 0 & \text{if } k > \min(i, j). \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

Unilateral weighted backward shifts cannot be m -isometric for any positive integer number m , since B_w does not satisfies Eq. (2) for the vector e_0 . We prove in the following result that they cannot also be (A, m) -isomeric.

Theorem 2.5 *A unilateral weighted backward shift can not be an (A, m) -isometry for any positive integer m .*

Proof Let B_w be a unilateral weighted backward shift with weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 1}$. Assume that B_w is an (A, m) -isometry. Then $e_n \in N(A)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Indeed, we use an induction argument to prove such a claim. Since B_w is an (A, m) -isometry, Eq. (2) gives

$$(-1)^m \|e_n\|_A^2 + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|B_w^k e_n\|_A^2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \tag{18}$$

Since $B_w^k e_0 = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|B_w^k e_0\|_A^2 = (-1)^{m+1} \|e_0\|_A^2, \tag{19}$$

which implies that $e_0 \in N(A)$ and, hence, the property is satisfied for $n = 0$. Assume that for $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ ($n \geq 1$), $e_p \in N(A)$ and let us show the property for the step n . Taking (17) and (18) into account, we deduce

$$(-1)^m \|e_n\|_A^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} |w_{n-i}|^2 \right) \|e_{n-k}\|_A^2 = 0 \quad \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq m,$$

$$(-1)^m \|e_n\|_A^2 + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} |w_{n-i}|^2 \right) \|e_{n-k}\|_A^2 = 0 \quad \text{if } n \geq m + 1,$$

and the claim follows from that. On the other hand, every $u \in \mathbb{H}$ can be written as $u = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i$. Hence,

$$\langle Au | u \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_i|^2 \|e_i\|_A^2 + \sum_{0 \leq i \neq j} \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j \langle Ae_i | e_j \rangle = 0.$$

According to Proposition 2.15, [5], we obtain $A = 0$ which is impossible. □

3 Strict (A, m) -Isometric Unilateral Weighted Forward Shifts

Generally, an (A, m) -isometry is not an $(A, m - 1)$ -isometry. Sid Ahmed et al. (Theorem 2.1 [8]) proved that if T is an (A, m) -isometry satisfying $N(\Delta_{A,T})$ is invariant for A , then $T|_{N(\Delta_{A,T})}$ is an $(A|_{N(\Delta_{A,T})}, m - 1)$ -isometry. Moreover we can see that if

T is an invertible (A, m)-isometry and m is even, then it is an (A, m - 1)-isometry. In the following we describe when a unilateral weighted shift operator is a strict (A, m)-isometry in terms of the weights sequence. To be precise we define

$$Z_n := |w_n|^2 S_{n+1,A}^{(m-1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^k |w_{n+i}|^2 \right) \|e_{n+k+1}\|_A^2, \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let T be an (A, m)-isometric unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence {w_n}_{n ≥ 0}. If there exists n_0 ∈ ℕ such that Z_{n_0} ≠ 0 then w_{n_0} ≠ 0 and T is a strict (A, m)-isometry.*

Proof Two proofs for this theorem will be given.

First proof Since there exists n_0 ∈ ℕ such that Z_{n_0} ≠ 0, we have w_{n_0} ≠ 0 and S_{n_0+1,A}^{(m-1)} ≠ 0. Hence, Theorem 2.2 allows to conclude.

Second proof Let us prove the converse. Assume that T is an (A, m)-isometry and an (A, m - 1)-isometry. Then, for u = e_n, the identity (2) gives

$$0 = (-1)^m \|e_n\|_A^2 + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} |w_{n+i}|^2 \right) \|e_{n+k}\|_A^2, \tag{20}$$

$$0 = (-1)^m \|e_n\|_A^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} |w_{n+i}|^2 \right) \|e_{n+k}\|_A^2. \tag{21}$$

Remarking that $\binom{m}{k} - \binom{m-1}{k} = \binom{m-1}{k-1}$, the identities (20) and (21) give Z_n = 0 for all n ≥ 0. As a consequence, if there exists n_0 ∈ ℕ such that Z_{n_0} ≠ 0 then either T is an (A, m)-isometry and not an (A, m - 1)-isometry or T is not an (A, m)-isometry and it is an (A, m - 1)-isometry. The second conclusion is impossible since it is well known that every (A, m - 1)-isometry is an (A, m)-isometry. Hence T is a strict (A, m)-isometry. □

We have the following result.

Theorem 3.2 *Let T be a unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence {w_n}_{n ≥ 0} (w_n ≠ 0 for all n ∈ ℕ). Assume that (e_n)_{n ≥ 0} is A-orthogonal. If T is a strict (A, m)-isometry then for every nonnegative integer n, S_{n,A}^{(m)} = 0 and S_{n,A}^{(m-1)} ≠ 0.*

Proof Note that if (e_n)_{n ≥ 0} is A-orthogonal, then S_{i,j,A}^{(m-1)} = 0 for all i ≠ j ≥ 0. Suppose that T is a strict (A, m)-isometry. Then, Eq. (2) gives

$$S_{n,A}^{(m)} = (-1)^m \|e_n\|_A^2 + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} |w_{n+i}|^2 \right) \|e_{n+k}\|_A^2 = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

Let us prove now that S_{n,A}^{(m-1)} ≠ 0 for all n ≥ 0. Assume the contrary, that n_0 is the smallest non-negative integer such that S_{n_0,A}^{(m-1)} = 0. Our aim is to prove that n_0 = 0.

If $n_0 \geq 1$ we obtain $S_{n_0-1,A}^{(m-1)} \neq 0$, which is impossible from (10). Furthermore, Proposition 2.3 yields T is an $(A, m - 1)$ -isometry. Hence we obtain the desired result. \square

As an immediate corollary to Theorem 3.2, we characterize unilateral weighted forward shifts that are strictly (A, m) -isometric.

Corollary 3.3 *Let T be a unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. Assume that $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is A -orthogonal. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. T is a strict (A, m) -isometry.
2. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have
 - (a) $S_{n,A}^{(m)} = 0$.
 - (b) $S_{n,A}^{(m-1)} \neq 0$.

Proof Suppose that T is a strict (A, m) -isometry. Then Theorem 3.2 shows that 2. holds. Suppose, now, that (a) and (b) hold true. Since $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is an orthonormal basis, then every $u \in \mathbb{H}$ can be written as $u = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i$. According to (a), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k u\|_A^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_i|^2 S_{i,A}^{(m)} = 0.$$

This implies that (2) holds for every $u \in \mathbb{H}$ and hence T is an (A, m) -isometry. On the other hand, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \|T^k e_n\|_A^2 = S_{n,A}^{(m-1)} \neq 0.$$

Thus, T is not an $(A, m - 1)$ -isometry. \square

We now apply Corollary 3.3 to investigate the following example.

Example 3.1 Let \mathbb{H} be a separable Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. Let $T, A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ where T is the unilateral weighted shift defined by

$$T e_n = \sqrt{\frac{n+3}{n+1}} e_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0$$

and A is the positive operator given by $A e_n = \frac{n+1}{n+2} e_n, n \geq 0$. It is not difficult to verify that

$$S_{n,A}^{(3)} = 0, \quad S_{n,A}^{(2)} = \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)}, \quad n \geq 0$$

and hence T is an $(A, 3)$ -isometry which is not an $(A, 2)$ -isometry.

In general, (A, m)-isometries are not A-isometries. Theorem 2.3 [9] gives a first characterization of (A, m)-isometric operators which are A-isometries. In the same way, we will describe in the following result the related characterization for a family of unilateral weighted shifts.

Proposition 3.1 *Let T be a unilateral weighted forward shift with weight sequence {w_n}_{n ≥ 0} (w_n ≠ 0 for all n ∈ ℕ). Assume that (e_n)_{n ≥ 0} is A-orthogonal. If T is an (A, m)-isometry and if, for some nonzero u ∈ ℍ, we have*

$$\|u\|_A = \|T^k u\|_A, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m - 1, \tag{22}$$

then T is an A-isometry.

Proof Suppose that {e_n}_{n ≥ 0} is an orthonormal basis for ℍ and T e_n = w_n e_{n+1} for all n ≥ 0. Put u = ∑_{n=0}^∞ α_n e_n. Then, (22) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k-1} \binom{m-1}{k} \|T^k u\|_A^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k-1} \binom{m-1}{k} \|u\|_A^2 \\ &= \|u\|_A^2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{k} (-1)^{m-k-1} \right) \\ &= \|u\|_A^2 (1 + (-1))^{m-1} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since (e_n)_{n ≥ 0} is A-orthogonal, (4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k-1} \binom{m-1}{k} \|T^k u\|_A^2 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \left[(-1)^{m-1} \|e_n\|_A^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} |w_{n+i}|^2 \right) \|e_{n+k}\|_A^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 S_{n,A}^{(m-1)} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \left((m-1)! \langle \Delta_{A,T} e_n | e_n \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 2.1 [8], Δ_{A,T} is a positive operator (i.e. ⟨Δ_{A,T} e_n | e_n⟩ ≥ 0, for all n ≥ 0). Since u is non-zero, α_{n_0} ≠ 0 for some n_0, then

$$S_{n_0, A}^{(m-1)} = (m - 1)! \langle \Delta_{A, T} e_{n_0} | e_{n_0} \rangle = 0.$$

Thus, condition (b) in Corollary 3.3 does not occur and so T must be an $(A, m - 1)$ -isometry. Now, applying an argument similar to the above and using Corollary 3.3, $(m - 1)$ times, we conclude that T must be an A -isometry. \square

Remark 3.1 The conclusion of Proposition 3.1 is not valid, in general, for any operator T and any operator A . Indeed, let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}^2$ be equipped with the norm $\|(x, y)\|^2 = |x|^2 + |y|^2$ and consider the operators

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})^+$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$. Moreover, by direct computation, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x, y)\|_A^2 &= x^2 + 2xy + 2y^2, \\ \|T(x, y)\|_A^2 &= x^2 + 4xy + 5y^2, \\ \|T^2(x, y)\|_A^2 &= x^2 + 6xy + 10y^2, \\ \|T^3(x, y)\|_A^2 &= x^2 + 8xy + 17y^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^3(x, y)\|_A^2 - 3\|T^2(x, y)\|_A^2 + 3\|T(x, y)\|_A^2 - \|(x, y)\|_A^2 &= 0, \\ \|T^2(x, y)\|_A^2 - 2\|T(x, y)\|_A^2 + \|(x, y)\|_A^2 &= 2y^2 \neq 0 \\ \text{and } \|T(x, y)\|_A^2 - \|(x, y)\|_A^2 &= 2xy + 3y^2 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, T is an $(A, 3)$ -isometry but is nor an $(A, 2)$ -isometry neither an A -isometry. Furthermore, if $u = (1, 0)$ then $\|u\|_A = \|Tu\|_A = \|T^2u\|_A = 1$.

4 $(A, 2)$ -Expansive Weighted Shift Operators

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ is said to be $(A, 2)$ -expansive (also A -concave), if it satisfies the inequality

$$\Theta_A^{(2)}(T) = T^{*2}AT^2 - 2T^*AT + A \leq 0$$

or, equivalently,

$$\|T^2u\|_A^2 - 2\|Tu\|_A^2 + \|u\|_A^2 \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{H}. \tag{23}$$

As a matter of fact, $(A, 2)$ -isometries and A -isometries are $(A, 2)$ -expansive operators. In addition, it was shown in [11], Proposition 3.9 that all powers of an $(A, 2)$ -expansive operator is also $(A, 2)$ -expansive.

In this section we give some properties of (A, 2)-expansive operators that generalizes those described in [7].

The A-covariance operator for an (A, 2)-expansive operator is given by $\Delta_{A,T} = T^*AT - A$. We begin with the following preliminary result.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$. Then,*

T is (A, 2)-expansive if and only if $T^ \Delta_{A,T} T \leq \Delta_{A,T}$.*

Proof Let $u \in \mathbb{H}$. We have

$$\langle (T^* \Delta_{A,T} T - \Delta_{A,T})u, u \rangle = \|T^2u\|_A^2 - 2\|Tu\|_A^2 + \|u\|_A^2$$

thus the claim follows from that. □

Jung et al. proved in [11], Theorem 3.10 that the A-covariance operator for an (A, 2)-expansive operator is positive. In the following theorem we show the same claim using different approach.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$. If T is (A, 2)-expansive, then:*

1. $\Delta_{A,T}$ is a positive operator.
2. If A is injective then T is also injective.
3. If T is invertible, then T^{-1} is (A, 2)-expansive.

Proof 1. Let $u \in \mathbb{H}$. We have

$$\langle \Delta_{A,T}u, u \rangle = \langle (T^*AT - A)u, u \rangle = \|Tu\|_A^2 - \|u\|_A^2.$$

To obtain the claim let us suppose on the contrary that $\|Tu_0\|_A < \|u_0\|_A$ for some $u_0 \in \mathbb{H}$. By using on induction argument we obtain

$$\|T^n u_0\|_A^2 < \|T^{n-1} u_0\|_A^2 < \dots < \|u_0\|_A^2$$

for each positive integer number n. We deduce that the sequence $\{\|T^n u_0\|_A^2\}_{n \geq 0}$ is strictly decreasing, bounded and hence it is convergent. Moreover we have

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\|T^{n+1} u_0\|_A^2 - \|T^n u_0\|_A^2) < 0$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\langle \Delta_{A,T}u, u \rangle \geq 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{H}$.

2. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ is (A, 2)-expansive and $u \in N(T)$ thus $Tu = 0$. Moreover, $\|Tu\|_A^2 = \|T^2u\|_A^2 = 0$. Since T is A-concave, $\|u\|_A = 0$ which is equivalent to the fact that $u \in N(A)$.
3. By hypothesis, T is (A, 2)-expansive. Then, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^2 (-1)^k \binom{2}{k} \|T^{2-k}u\|_A^2 \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{H}. \tag{24}$$

Replacing u by $(T^{-1})^2u$ in (24), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^2 (-1)^k \binom{2}{k} \|T^{2-k}((T^{-1})^2u)\|_A^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^2 (-1)^k \binom{2}{2-k} \|T^{-k}u\|_A^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^2 (-1)^{2-k} \binom{2}{k} \|(T^{-1})^{2-k}u\|_A^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^2 (-1)^k \binom{2}{k} \|(T^{-1})^{2-k}u\|_A^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.1 *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ be an A -isometry and $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ with $ST = TS$, then ST is $(A, 2)$ -expansive if and only if S is $(A, 2)$ -expansive.*

Proof Since T is an A -isometry, we have

$$\|T^k S^k u\|_A = \|S^k u\|_A, \quad k = 0, 1, 2.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^2 (-1)^k \binom{2}{k} \|(ST)^{2-k}u\|_A^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^2 (-1)^k \binom{2}{k} \|(TS)^{2-k}u\|_A^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^2 (-1)^k \binom{2}{k} \|T^{2-k} S^{2-k}u\|_A^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^2 (-1)^k \binom{2}{k} \|S^{2-k}u\|_A^2 \end{aligned}$$

which allows us to conclude. □

Corollary 4.2 *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ be an invertible $(A, 2)$ -expansive operator and $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ with $ST = TS$, then ST is $(A, 2)$ -expansive if and only if S is $(A, 2)$ -expansive.*

Proof It suffices to prove that T is an A -isometry. If T is an invertible $(A, 2)$ -expansive operator, then T^{-1} is also $(A, 2)$ -expansive. Hence, by (1.)-Theorem 4.1 $\Delta_{A,T} \geq 0$ and

$$\Delta_{A,T^{-1}} = T^{-1*}AT^{-1} - A \geq 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\Delta_{A,T} = T^*AT - A = -T^*(T^{-1*}AT^{-1} - A)T \leq 0,$$

which implies that T is an A -isometry. Thus we complete the proof by involving Proposition 4.1. \square

Now, we specify the study to unilateral weighted shifts. We give results generalizing those described in [7].

Theorem 4.3 *Let T be a unilateral weighted forward shift with weights $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. Assume that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $e_n \notin N(A)$. If T is $(A, 2)$ -expansive, then the following assertions holds.*

1. $S_{n,A}^{(2)} = |w_n|^2 |w_{n+1}|^2 \|e_{n+2}\|_A^2 - 2|w_n|^2 \|e_{n+1}\|_A^2 + \|e_n\|_A^2 \leq 0$ for each n ;
2. $\{V_n\}_n = \left\{ |w_n| \frac{\|e_{n+1}\|_A}{\|e_n\|_A} \right\}_n$ is a decreasing sequence of real numbers converging to 1;
3. $\frac{\|e_n\|_A}{\|e_{n+1}\|_A} \leq |w_n| < \sqrt{2} \frac{\|e_n\|_A}{\|e_{n+1}\|_A}$, for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof 1. Applying (23) for $u = e_n$ we obtain the claim.

2. Let $V_n = |w_n| \frac{\|e_{n+1}\|_A}{\|e_n\|_A}$. To prove the assertion let us assume the contrary that $V_n < V_{n+1}$ for some non-negative integer n . Therefore,

$$0 \leq \left(|w_n|^2 \frac{\|e_{n+1}\|_A^2}{\|e_n\|_A} - \|e_n\|_A \right)^2 < S_{n,A}^{(2)} \leq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\{V_n\}_n$ is a decreasing sequence of non-negative numbers. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.1 the operator $\Delta_{A,T}$ is positive, that is

$$\langle \Delta_{A,T} u, u \rangle = \|Tu\|_A^2 - \|u\|_A^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{H}. \tag{25}$$

Thus for $u = e_n$ the identity (25) gives

$$\|Te_n\|_A = |w_n| \|e_{n+1}\|_A \geq \|e_n\|_A,$$

which implies that $V_n \geq 1$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since the sequence $\{V_n\}_n$ is decreasing, it must be convergent. Let $l = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} V_n$. Our aim now is to prove that $l = 1$. Taking into account that $S_{n,A}^{(2)} \leq 0$, it is easily seen that V_n satisfies

$$V_n^2 V_{n+1}^2 - 2V_n^2 + 1 \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \tag{26}$$

It holds that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (V_n^2 V_{n+1}^2 - 2V_n^2 + 1) = (l^2 - 1)^2 \leq 0$$

and hence $l = 1$.

3. The identity (26) implies

$$V_{n+1}^2 - 2 = (V_{n+1} - \sqrt{2})(V_{n+1} + \sqrt{2}) \leq -\frac{1}{V_n^2} < 0,$$

so $1 \leq V_n < \sqrt{2}$, for each $n \geq 0$, which allows us to conclude. \square

Theorem 4.4 *A unilateral weighted backward shift cannot be $(A, 2)$ -expansive.*

Proof We argue by contradiction. Assume that B_w is $(A, 2)$ -expansive. Since B_w is a unilateral weighted backward shift, $B_w e_n = w_n e_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $B_w e_0 = 0$. On the other hand, (23) gives

$$\|B_w^2 e_n\|_A^2 - 2\|B_w e_n\|_A^2 + \|e_n\|_A^2 \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \quad (27)$$

It is easily seen that for $i = 0, 1$, $e_i \in N(A)$. Using (27) we prove by an induction argument that $e_n \in N(A)$ for all $n \geq 2$. Hence $A = 0$ which is impossible. \square

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the referee for his/her useful comments in order to ameliorate the contents of the paper.

References

1. Agler, J., Stankus, M.: m -Isometric transformations of Hilbert spaces I. *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* **21**(4), 383–429 (1995)
2. Agler, J., Stankus, M.: m -Isometric transformations of Hilbert space II. *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* **23**(1), 1–48 (1995)
3. Agler, J., Stankus, M.: m -Isometric transformations of Hilbert space III. *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* **24**(4), 379–421 (1996)
4. Bermúdez, T., Martínón, A., Negrín, E.: Weighted shift operators which are m -isometries. *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* **68**, 301–312 (2010)
5. Conway, J.B.: *A Course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin (1994)
6. Conway, J.B.: *The Theory of Subnormal Operators*. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1991)
7. Karimi, L.: Concave weighted shift operators. *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **6**(60), 2957–2961 (2012)
8. Sid Ahmed, O.A.M., Saddi, A.: A - m -Isometric operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **436**(10), 3930–3942 (2012)
9. Rabaoui, R., Saddi, A.: On the orbit of an A - m -isometry. *Annales Mathematicae Silesianae* **26**, 75–91 (2012)
10. Shields, A.L.: *Weighted Shift Operators and Analytic Function Theory*, Math. Surveys., vol. 13. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1974)
11. Jung, S., Kim, Y., Ko, E., Lee, J.E.: On (A, m) -expansive operators. *Stud. Math.* **213**, 3–23 (2012)