

Quasilinear Elliptic Systems Involving Critical Hardy–Sobolev and Sobolev Exponents

Dongsheng Kang¹ · Yangguang Kang²

Received: 11 January 2014 / Revised: 28 March 2014 / Published online: 31 October 2015
© Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Universiti Sains Malaysia 2015

Abstract In this paper, a system of quasilinear elliptic equations is investigated, which involves critical exponents and multiple Hardy-type terms. By variational methods and analytic techniques, the existence of positive solutions to the system is established. The conclusions are new even when the Hardy-type terms disappear.

Keywords Quasilinear elliptic system · Positive solution · Critical exponent · Hardy inequality · Variational method

Mathematics Subject Classification 35J47 · 35J62

Communicated by Norhashidah M. Ali.

✉ Dongsheng Kang
dongshengkang@scuec.edu.cn

Yangguang Kang
kangyangguang@aliyun.com

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, South–Central University For Nationalities, Wuhan 430074, People’s Republic of China

² School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, People’s Republic of China

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the following elliptic system:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^p} = \frac{\eta_1}{p^*} H_u(u, v) + \frac{\eta_2}{p^*(t)} \frac{Q_u(u, v)}{|x|^t}, \\ -\Delta_p v - \mu \frac{v^{p-1}}{|x|^p} = \frac{\eta_1}{p^*} H_v(u, v) + \frac{\eta_2}{p^*(t)} \frac{Q_v(u, v)}{|x|^t}, \\ u, v > 0, \quad (u, v) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $1 < p < N$, $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} := ((N-p)/p)^p$, $0 < t < p$, $\eta_1 > 0$, $\eta_2 > 0$, $-\Delta_p \cdot := -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla \cdot|^{p-2} \cdot)$ is the p -Laplace operator, the space $\mathcal{D} := D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to $(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \cdot|^p dx)^{1/p}$, $\bar{\mu}$ is the best Hardy constant, $p^* := Np/(N-p)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, and $p^*(t) := p(N-t)/(N-p)$ is the critical Hardy–Sobolev exponent with $p^*(0) = p^*$. H_u, H_v, Q_u , and Q_v are the partial derivatives of the 2-variable C^1 -functions $H(u, v)$ and $Q(u, v)$, respectively. The functions H and Q satisfy the following conditions:

$$(\mathcal{H}) \quad H, Q \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+),$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_u(u, 0) &= H_u(0, v) = H_v(u, 0) = H_v(0, v) = 0, \quad \forall u, v \geq 0, \\ Q_u(u, 0) &= Q_u(0, v) = Q_v(u, 0) = Q_v(0, v) = 0, \quad \forall u, v \geq 0, \\ H(\lambda u, \lambda v) &= \lambda^{p^*} H(u, v), \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0, u, v \geq 0, \quad (p^*\text{-homogeneity}), \\ Q(\lambda u, \lambda v) &= \lambda^{p^*(t)} Q(u, v), \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0, u, v \geq 0, \quad (p^*(t)\text{-homogeneity}), \end{aligned}$$

and the 1-homogenous functions G and \bar{G} are concave, where G and \bar{G} are defined as follows:

$$G(\alpha^{p^*}, \beta^{p^*}) = H(\alpha, \beta), \quad \bar{G}(\alpha^{p^*(t)}, \beta^{p^*(t)}) = Q(\alpha, \beta), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \geq 0.$$

The following properties are important and well known:

(\mathcal{H}') Suppose $F(s, t)$ is a q -homogeneous differential function with $q \geq 1$. Then

- (i) $sF_s(s, t) + tF_t(s, t) = qF(s, t)$, $\forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) C_F is attained at some $(s_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, where

$$C_F := \max\{F(s, t) \mid s, t \in \mathbb{R}, |s|^q + |t|^q = 1\};$$

- (iii) $|F(s, t)| \leq C_F(|s|^q + |t|^q)$, $\forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (iv) $F_s(s, t)$ and $F_t(s, t)$ are $(q-1)$ -homogeneous.

In this paper, we work in the product space $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$. The corresponding energy functional of (1.1) is defined on $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ by

$$I(u, v) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p + |v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx - \frac{\eta_1}{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(|u|, |v|) dx - \frac{\eta_2}{p^*(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(|u|, |v|)}{|x|^t} dx.$$

Then $I \in C^1(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}, \mathbb{R})$. A pair of functions $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ is said to be a solution of (1.1) if $u, v > 0$, and

$$(u, v) \neq (0, 0), \quad \langle I'(u, v), (\varphi, \phi) \rangle = 0, \quad \forall (\varphi, \phi) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D},$$

where $I'(u, v)$ denotes the Fréchet derivative of I at (u, v) .

Problem (1.1) is related to the Hardy and Hardy–Sobolev inequalities [8, 20]:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^{p^*(t)}}{|x|^t} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}} \leq C(p, t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{1.3}$$

where $C(p, t)$ is a constant depending on p and t , $1 < p < N$ and $0 \leq t < p$.

By (1.2) the operator $L := (-\Delta_p \cdot -\mu|\cdot|^{p-2} \cdot / |x|^p)$ is positive for all $\mu < \bar{\mu}$, and therefore, the following equivalent norm of \mathcal{D} can be defined:

$$\|u\| := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Suppose (\mathcal{H}) holds. By (\mathcal{H}') , (1.2) and (1.1), the following best Hardy–Sobolev constants are well defined:

$$S(\mu, t) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^{p^*(t)}}{|x|^t} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}}}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$S_H(\mu, 0) := \inf_{u, v \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p + |v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(|u|, |v|) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}}, \tag{1.5}$$

$$S_Q(\mu, t) := \inf_{u, v \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p + |v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(|u|, |v|)}{|x|^t} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}}}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $0 \leq t < p$, $-\infty < \mu < \bar{\mu}$. It should be mentioned that the strongly coupled terms $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(|u|, |v|) dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(|u|, |v|)}{|x|^t} dx$ are critical in the senses of Sobolev or Hardy–Sobolev embedding. Morais Filho et al. studied the constant $S_H(0, 0)$ and

proved the existence of solutions for a quasilinear elliptic systems in [17]. Alves et al. studied in [3] the following best constant and found its extremals:

$$A(\sigma, \tau) := \inf_{u, v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^\sigma |v|^\tau dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $1 < \sigma, \tau < 2^* - 1$, $\sigma + \tau = 2^* := 2N/(N - 2)$. Note that $A(\sigma, \tau)$ in (1.7) is a special case of $S_H(0, 0)$. The methods and conclusions in [3] and [17] are very stimulating.

In recent years, much attention has been paid to the semilinear and quasilinear elliptic problems involving the Hardy and Hardy–Sobolev inequalities, and many results were obtained providing us very good insight into the problems (e.g., [1, 5, 6, 9–11, 14, 15, 18, 19, 22, 23, 30, 32, 33], and the references therein). In particular, Filippucci et al. studied in [18] the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^p} = u^{p^*-1} + \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s}, \\ u \in \mathcal{D}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\infty < \mu < \bar{\mu}, \quad 0 < s < p. \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

The main difficulty of studying (1.8) is that the critical Hardy–Sobolev and Sobolev exponents appear simultaneously in the equation and induce more difficulties. By very technic and complicated analysis, the authors of [18] proved the existence of positive solutions to (1.8) by the Mountain–Pass theorem [4] and the concentration compactness principle [26, 27]. The extremals of the best constant $S(\mu, t)$ in (1.4) and some related singular quasilinear elliptic problems were investigated in [1, 18, 19] and [23], and we infer that, for all $0 \leq t < p$, $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$, the best constant $S_{\mu,t}$ is achieved by the implicit extremal function:

$$V_{\mu,t}^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{\frac{p-N}{p}} U_{\mu,t}(\varepsilon^{-1}x), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad (1.9)$$

which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla V_{\mu,t}^\varepsilon(x)|^p - \mu \frac{|V_{\mu,t}^\varepsilon(x)|^p}{|x|^p} \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|V_{\mu,t}^\varepsilon(x)|^{p^*(t)}}{|x|^t} = (S_{\mu,t})^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}},$$

where $U_{\mu,t}(x)$ is some radial function.

On the other hand, the singular elliptic systems involving the Hardy and Hardy–Sobolev inequalities have been seldom studied, we can only find several results in [2, 7, 16, 21, 24, 25, 28] and [29], where some nonlinear singular critical systems were investigated, the corresponding best Hardy–Sobolev constants were studied and existence results of solutions were obtained. The main difficulties of studying singular elliptic systems are that the singularity may occur and the strongly coupled terms may cause more difficulties.

To continue, we define

$$M_H := \max\{H(|\alpha|, |\beta|)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, |\alpha|^p + |\beta|^p = 1\}; \tag{1.10}$$

$$M_Q := \max\{Q(|\alpha|, |\beta|)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, |\alpha|^p + |\beta|^p = 1\}. \tag{1.11}$$

Then there exist $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $i = 1, 2$, such that M_H and M_Q are achieved respectively, that is,

$$M_H = H(\alpha_1, \beta_1)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}, \quad \alpha_1^p + \beta_1^p = 1, \tag{1.12}$$

$$M_Q = Q(\alpha_2, \beta_2)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}}, \quad \alpha_2^p + \beta_2^p = 1. \tag{1.13}$$

In this paper, stimulated by the references mentioned above, we investigate (1.1). The main results of this paper are summarized in the following theorems. To the best of our knowledge, the conclusions are new even in the case $\mu = 0$.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that $0 \leq t < p$, $-\infty < \mu < \bar{\mu}$ and (\mathcal{H}) holds. Then*

- (i) $S_H(\mu, 0) = M_H^{-1}S(\mu, 0)$, $S_Q(\mu, t) = M_Q^{-1}S(\mu, t)$.
- (ii) For all $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$, $S_H(\mu, 0)$ has the minimizers $(\alpha_1 V_{\mu,0}^\varepsilon(x), \beta_1 V_{\mu,0}^\varepsilon(x))$, $S_Q(\mu, t)$ has the minimizers $(\alpha_2 V_{\mu,t}^\varepsilon(x), \beta_2 V_{\mu,t}^\varepsilon(x))$, where $V_{\mu,i}^\varepsilon(x)$ are defined as in (1.9).

Theorem 1.2 *Suppose that $1 < p < N$, $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$, $0 < t < p$, $\eta_1 > 0$, $\eta_2 > 0$ and (\mathcal{H}) holds. Then the problem (1.1) has a solution.*

Remark 1.1 The coefficients $1/p^*$ and $1/p^*(t)$ in (1.1) are only used for the convenience of computation and have no particular meanings. By Theorem 1.1, the existence of solutions to (1.1) is obvious in anyone of the following cases: (i) $\eta_1 = 0$, $\eta_2 > 0$, $t \geq 0$; (ii) $\eta_1 > 0$, $\eta_2 = 0$, $t \geq 0$; (iii) $t = 0$, $\eta_1 > 0$, $\eta_2 > 0$.

Remark 1.2 The following problem is an example of (1.1) :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^p} = \frac{\sigma_1}{p^*} u^{\sigma_1-1} v^{\tau_1} + \frac{\sigma_2}{p^*(t)} \frac{u^{\sigma_2-1} v^{\tau_2}}{|x|^t}, \\ -\Delta_p v - \mu \frac{v^{p-1}}{|x|^p} = \frac{\tau_1}{p^*} u^{\sigma_1} v^{\tau_1-1} + \frac{\tau_2}{p^*(t)} \frac{u^{\sigma_2} v^{\tau_2-1}}{|x|^t}, \\ u, v > 0, \quad (u, v) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}, \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

where the parameters satisfy the following condition:

$$(\mathcal{H}'') \quad N \geq 3, \quad 1 < p < N, \quad 0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} = \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^p, \quad 0 < t < p, \quad \sigma_i, \tau_i > 1,$$

$$i = 1, 2,$$

$$\sigma_1 + \tau_1 = p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}, \quad \sigma_2 + \tau_2 = p^*(t) = \frac{p(N-t)}{N-p}.$$

Note that (1.14) involves the critical Hardy–Sobolev and Sobolev exponents and admits a solution by Theorem 1.2.

This paper is organized as follows: Theorem 1.1 is verified in Sect. 2, and some preliminary results are established in Sect. 3, and Theorem 1.2 is proved in Sect. 4. In the following argument, $\|u\| = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p - \mu|u|^p|x|^{-p})dx)^{1/p}$ denotes the equivalent norm of the space \mathcal{D} , and $\|(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}} = (\|u\|^p + \|v\|^p)^{1/p}$ is the norm of the space $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, $O(\varepsilon^t)$ denotes the quantity satisfying $|O(\varepsilon^t)|/\varepsilon^t \leq C$, $o(\varepsilon^t)$ means $|o(\varepsilon^t)|/\varepsilon^t \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $o(1)$ is a generic infinitesimal value. In particular, the quantity $O_1(\varepsilon^t)$ means that there exist the constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1\varepsilon^t \leq O_1(\varepsilon^t) \leq C_2\varepsilon^t$ as ε small. We always denote positive constants as C and omit dx in integrals for convenience.

2 The Best Constants $S_H(\mu, 0)$ and $S_Q(\mu, t)$

In this section, we study $S_H(\mu, 0)$ and $S_Q(\mu, t)$ and verify Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i) We only show the proof for $S_Q(\mu, t)$. The argument is similar to that of [17], where the best constant $S_H(0, 0)$ was studied.

Let $w \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and (α_2, β_2) be defined as in (1.13). Choosing $(u, v) = (\alpha_2 w, \beta_2 w)$ in (1.6) we have

$$\frac{(|\alpha_2|^p + |\beta_2|^p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla w|^p - \mu \frac{|w|^p}{|x|^p})}{|Q(\alpha_2, \beta_2)|^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|w|^{p^*(t)}}{|x|^t} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}}} \geq S_Q(\mu, t). \quad (2.1)$$

Taking the infimum as $w \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\}$ in (2.1), by (1.4) and (1.10)–(1.13) we have

$$M_Q^{-1} S(\mu, t) \geq S_Q(\mu, t). \quad (2.2)$$

For any $u, v \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\}$, by Proposition 1 of [17] we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(|u|, |v|)}{|x|^t} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(|x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}}|u|, |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}}|v|) \\ &\leq Q(\| |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} u \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \| |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} v \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Set

$$\theta := \left(\| |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} u \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \| |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} v \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Then

$$\|\theta |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} u\|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \|\theta |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} v\|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p = 1. \quad (2.4)$$

From (1.11), (1.13), (2.3), and (2.4) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p + |v|^p}{|x|^p} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(|u|, |v|)}{|x|^t} \right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}} \\
 & \geq S(\mu, t) \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^{p^*(t)}}{|x|^t} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v|^{p^*(t)}}{|x|^t} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}}}{\left(Q(\| |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} u \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \| |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} v \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}) \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}}} \\
 & = S(\mu, t) \frac{\| |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} u \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \| |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} v \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}{\left(Q(\| |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} u \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \| |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} v \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}) \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}}} \\
 & = S(\mu, t) \frac{\| \theta |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} u \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \| \theta |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} v \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}{\left(Q(\| \theta |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} u \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \| \theta |x|^{-\frac{t}{p^*(t)}} v \|_{L^{p^*(t)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}) \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}}} \\
 & \geq \frac{1}{|Q(\alpha_2, \beta_2)|^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}}} S(\mu, t) = M_Q^{-1} S(\mu, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the infimum as $u, v \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$M_Q^{-1} S(\mu, t) \leq S_Q(\mu, t),$$

which together with (2.2) implies that

$$S_Q(\mu, t) = M_Q^{-1} S(\mu, t).$$

(ii) From (i), (1.5), and (1.6) the desired result follows. □

3 Appropriate Palais–Smale Sequence

To find positive solutions of (1.1), we define the functional J on $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ by

$$J(u, v) := \frac{1}{p} \|(u, v)\|^p - \frac{\eta_1}{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(u_+, v_+) - \frac{\eta_2}{p^*(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(u_+, v_+)}{|x|^t},$$

where $w_+ = \max\{w, 0\}$ for all $w \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $J \in C^1(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}, \mathbb{R})$ according to (\mathcal{H}) and a solution of (1.1) is a nontrivial critical point of J . We follow the argument similar to that of [16], where the problem (1.8) was investigated.

Lemma 3.1 (Mountain–Pass lemma, [4]) *Let E be a Banach space and $\Phi \in C^1(E)$. Assume that*

- (i) $\Phi(0) = 0$.
- (ii) *There exist $\lambda, R > 0$ such that $\Phi(u) \geq \lambda$ for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\|_E = R$.*

(iii) There exists $v_0 \in E$ such that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(tv_0) < 0$.

Take $t_0 > 0$ such that $\|t_0 v_0\|_E > R$ and $\Phi(t_0 v_0) < 0$. Set

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], E) \mid \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } \gamma(1) = t_0 v_0\}, \quad c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \Phi(\gamma(t)).$$

Then there exists a Palais–Smale sequence at level c for Φ , that is, there exists a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset E$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(u_k) = c, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi'(u_k) = 0 \text{ strongly in } E^{-1}.$$

Lemma 3.2 Suppose that (\mathcal{H}) holds. Set

$$c^* := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \eta_1^{\frac{p-N}{p}} S_H(\mu, 0)^{\frac{N}{p}}, \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \eta_2^{\frac{p-N}{p-t}} S_Q(\mu, t)^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} \right\}.$$

Then for some $c \in (0, c^*)$, there exists a Palais–Smale sequence at level c for J , that is there exists a sequence $\{(u_k, v_k)\} \subset \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J(u_k, v_k) = c, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J'(u_k, v_k) = 0 \text{ strongly in } (\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D})^{-1}.$$

Proof We divide the argument into several steps. □

Claim 1 The functional J verifies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1 at any $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ with $(u_+, v_+) \neq (0, 0)$.

In fact, $J \in C^1(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}, \mathbb{R})$, $J(0, 0) = 0$. From (1.6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u, v) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|(u, v)\|^p - \frac{\eta_1}{p^* S_H(\mu, 0)^{\frac{p^*}{p}}} \|(u, v)\|^{p^*} - \frac{\eta_2}{p^*(t) S_Q(\mu, t)^{\frac{p^*(t)}{p}}} \|(u, v)\|^{p^*(t)} \\ &= \left(C_1 - C_2 \|(u, v)\|^{p^*-p} - C_3 \|(u, v)\|^{p^*(t)-p} \right) \|(u, v)\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

where C_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, are positive constants. Then there exist $\lambda, R > 0$, such that $J(u, v) \geq \lambda$ for all $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ with $\|(u, v)\| = R$. Furthermore, for any $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ with $(u_+, v_+) \neq (0, 0)$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} J(tu, tv) = -\infty,$$

which implies that there exists $t_{(u,v)} > 0$ such that $\|(t_{(u,v)}u, t_{(u,v)}v)\| > R$ and $J(tu, tv) < 0$ for all $t > t_{(u,v)}$. Define

$$\Gamma_{(u,v)} := \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}) \mid \gamma(0) = (0, 0) \text{ and } \gamma(1) = (t_{(u,v)}u, t_{(u,v)}v)\},$$

$$c_{(u,v)} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{(u,v)}} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} J(\gamma(t)).$$

Then the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied and there exists a sequence $\{(u_k, v_k)\} \subset \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J(u_k, v_k) = c_{(u,v)}, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J'(u_k, v_k) = 0 \text{ strongly in } (\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D})^{-1}.$$

In particular, we have that

$$c_{(u,v)} \geq \lambda > 0, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Claim 2 There exists $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that $u, v \geq 0$ and

$$c_{(u,v)} < \frac{1}{N} \eta_1^{\frac{p-N}{p}} S_H(\mu, 0)^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$

In fact, since $\mu \in [0, \bar{\mu}]$, by Theorem 1.1 we can choose $(u, v) = (\alpha_1 V_{\mu,0}^\varepsilon(x), \beta_1 V_{\mu,0}^\varepsilon(x))$, the extremals of $S_H(\mu, 0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(u,v)} &\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J(tu, tv) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} K(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\|(u, v)\|^p}{(\eta_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(u, v))^{p/p^*}} \right)^{p^*/(p^*-p)} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \eta_1^{\frac{p-N}{p}} S_H(\mu, 0)^{\frac{N}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K(t) := \frac{t^p}{p} \|(u, v)\|^p - \eta_1 \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(u, v).$$

Let $t_1, t_2 > 0$ be the points where $\sup_{t \geq 0} J(tu, tv)$ and $\sup_{t \geq 0} K(t)$ are attained, respectively. Suppose that $J(t_1 u, t_1 v) = \bar{K}(t_2)$. Then

$$K(t_1) - \eta_2 \frac{t_1^{p^*(t)}}{p^*(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(u, v)}{|x|^t} = K(t_2),$$

which implies that $K(t_2) < K(t_1)$, a contradiction with the definition of t_2 . Consequently,

$$c_{(u,v)} \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J(tu, tv) < \sup_{t \geq 0} K(t) = \frac{1}{N} \eta_1^{\frac{p-N}{p}} S_H(\mu, 0)^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$

Claim 3 There exists $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that $u, v \geq 0$ and

$$0 < c_{(u,v)} < c^*.$$

In fact, by Theorem 1.1 we can choose $(u, v) = (\alpha_2 V_{\mu,t}^\varepsilon(x), \beta_2 V_{\mu,t}^\varepsilon(x)) > 0$, the extremals of $S_Q(\mu, t)$. Then arguing as above we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(u,v)} &\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J(tu, tv) \\ &< \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{t^p}{p} \|(u, v)\|^p - \eta_2 \frac{t^{p^*(t)}}{p^*(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(u, v)}{|x|^t} \right) \\ &= \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \eta_2^{\frac{p-N}{p-t}} S_Q(\mu, t)^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with claim 2 implies that claim 3 holds.

From Lemma 3.1 and claims 1–3 it follows the conclusions of Lemma 3.2 for a suitable $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\{(u_k, v_k)\} \subset \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ be a Palais–Smale sequence at the level $c < c^*$ as in Lemma 3.2. If $u_k \rightharpoonup 0$ and $v_k \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in \mathcal{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\delta > 0$, either*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\delta(0)} H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\delta(0)} H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

Proof The argument needs several steps. □

Claim 4 For all $\Omega \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, up to a subsequence, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \frac{|u_k|^p}{|x|^p} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \frac{|v_k|^p}{|x|^p} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \frac{Q(|u_k|, |v_k|)}{|x|^t} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_k|^p = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla v_k|^p = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega H(|u_k|, |v_k|) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

In fact, since $\Omega \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, the embedding $\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ is compact for any $1 \leq q < p^*$, $|x|^{-1}$ is bounded on Ω and $p^*(t) < p^*$. Then (3.1) follows from (\mathcal{H}') and we only need to verify (3.2).

Arguing as in Proposition 2 of [18], take $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ and $\varphi|_\Omega \equiv 1$. Note that the weak convergence of $\{u_k\}$ and $\{v_k\}$ in \mathcal{D} implies the boundedness. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_k|^{p-1} |\nabla(\varphi^p)| |u_k| &\leq \|\nabla u_k\|_p^{p-1} \|u_k\|_{L^p(\text{supp}|\nabla\varphi|)} = o(1), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_k|^{p-1} |\nabla(\varphi^p)| |v_k| &\leq \|\nabla v_k\|_p^{p-1} \|v_k\|_{L^p(\text{supp}|\nabla\varphi|)} = o(1), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\varphi \nabla u_k|^p + |\varphi \nabla v_k|^p) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla(\varphi u_k)|^p + |\nabla(\varphi v_k)|^p) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 o(1) &= \langle J'(u_k, v_k), (\varphi^p u_k, \varphi^p v_k) \rangle \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\varphi \nabla u_k|^p + |\varphi \nabla v_k|^p) - \eta_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^p H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) \\
 &\quad + O\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_k|^{p-1} |\nabla(\varphi^p)| |u_k| + |\nabla v_k|^{p-1} |\nabla(\varphi^p)| |v_k|)\right) + o(1) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\varphi \nabla u_k|^p + |\varphi \nabla v_k|^p) - \eta_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^p (H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) + o(1)) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla(\varphi u_k)|^p + |\nabla(\varphi v_k)|^p) - \eta_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^p (H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) + o(1)) \\
 &\geq \|\varphi u_k\|^p + \|\varphi v_k\|^p - \eta_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^p H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) + o(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\varphi u_k\|^p + \|\varphi v_k\|^p \\
 &\leq \eta_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^p H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) + o(1) \\
 &\leq \eta_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+)\right)^{(p^*-p)/p^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(|\varphi u_k|, |\varphi v_k|)\right)^{p/p^*} + o(1) \\
 &\leq \eta_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+)\right)^{(p^*-p)/p^*} S_H(\mu, 0)^{-1} \|\varphi u_k, \varphi v_k\|^p + o(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$\left(1 - \eta_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+)\right)^{(p^*-p)/p^*} S_H(\mu, 0)^{-1}\right) \|\varphi u_k, \varphi v_k\|^p \leq o(1). \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand,

$$J(u_k, v_k) - \frac{1}{p} \langle J'(u_k, v_k), (u_k, v_k) \rangle = c + o(1) \|(u_k, v_k)\| = c + o(1),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 c + o(1) &= \eta_1 \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) \\
 &\quad + \eta_2 \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*(t)}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+)}{|x|^t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\eta_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) \leq cN + o(1), \tag{3.4}$$

which together with (3.3) implies that

$$\left(1 - \eta_1^{\frac{N-p}{N}} (cN)^{p/N} S_H(\mu, 0)^{-1}\right) \|(\varphi u_k, \varphi v_k)\|^p \leq o(1).$$

Since $c < c^*$, we have that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(\varphi u_k, \varphi v_k)\|^p = 0,$$

and therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(|\varphi u_k|, |\varphi v_k|) = 0.$$

Then the definition of φ implies that (3.2) holds and claim 4 is proved.

Claim 5 For all $\delta > 0$, define the quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\delta(0)} H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+), & \omega &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\delta(0)} \frac{Q((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+)}{|x|^t}, \\ \gamma &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\delta(0)} \left(|\nabla u_k|^p + |\nabla v_k|^p - \mu \frac{|u_k|^p + |v_k|^p}{|x|^p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$S_H(\mu, 0) \tau^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq \gamma, \quad S_Q(\mu, t) \omega^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}} \leq \gamma. \tag{3.5}$$

Furthermore,

$$\gamma \leq \eta_1 \tau + \eta_2 \omega. \tag{3.6}$$

In fact, according to claim 4, τ , ω , and γ are well defined and independent of δ . Take $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ and $\varphi|_{B_\delta(0)} \equiv 1$. Then we have

$$S_H(\mu, 0) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H((\phi u_k)_+, (\phi v_k)_+) \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq \|(\varphi u_k, \varphi v_k)\|^p.$$

As $k \rightarrow \infty$, claim 4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & S_H(\mu, 0) \left(\int_{B_\delta(0)} H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \\ & \leq \int_{B_\delta(0)} \left(|\nabla u_k|^p + |\nabla v_k|^p - \mu \frac{|u_k|^p + |v_k|^p}{|x|^p} \right) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$S_H(\mu, 0) \tau^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq \gamma.$$

The second inequality in (3.5) can be verified similarly.

Since $\varphi u_k, \varphi v_k \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'(u_k, v_k), (\varphi u_k, \varphi v_k) \rangle = 0$, by claim 4 and the definitions of τ, ω , and γ , we deduce that $\gamma \leq \eta_1 \tau + \eta_2 \omega$. Claim 5 is verified.

From (3.6) it follows that

$$S_H(\mu, 0) \tau^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq \gamma \leq \eta_1 \tau + \eta_2 \omega,$$

which implies that

$$\tau^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \left(S_H(\mu, 0) - \eta_1 \tau^{\frac{p^*-p}{p^*}} \right) \leq \eta_2 \omega. \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.4) it follows that

$$\eta_1 \tau \leq cN < c^*N < \eta_1^{\frac{p-N}{p}} S_H(\mu, 0)^{\frac{N}{p}} = \eta_1^{\frac{p-N}{p}} S_H(\mu, 0)^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}. \tag{3.8}$$

By (3.7) and (3.8), there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(\mu, c, \eta_1, \eta_2) > 0$ such that

$$\tau^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq C_1 \omega. \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly, there exists a positive constant $C_2 = C_2(\mu, c, t, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ such that

$$\omega^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}} \leq C_2 \tau. \tag{3.10}$$

Then it follows from (3.9) and (3.10) that there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(N, p, \mu, c, t)$ such that

$$\text{either } \tau = \omega = 0 \text{ or } \min\{\tau, \omega\} \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.3 is complete.

4 Existence of Positive Solutions

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\{(u_k, v_k)\}$ be the sequence defined as in Lemma 3.3. Then*

$$\Lambda := \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) > 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof Arguing by contradiction, we assume that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'(u_k, v_k), (u_k, v_k) \rangle = 0$, by (4.1) we have

$$\|(u_k, v_k)\|^p = \eta_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+)}{|x|^t} + o(1), \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_Q(\mu, t) & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+)}{|x|^t} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}} \\ & \leq \|(u_k, v_k)\|^p = \eta_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+)}{|x|^t} + o(1), \\ & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+)}{|x|^t} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}} \\ & \times \left(S_Q(\mu, t) - \eta_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+)}{|x|^t} \right)^{\frac{p^*(t)-p}{p^*(t)}} \right) \leq o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

From (3.4) and (4.2) it follows that

$$\eta_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+)}{|x|^t} = \frac{cp(N-t)}{p-t} + o(1) < \frac{c^*p(N-t)}{p-t} + o(1),$$

which together with (4.3) implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+)}{|x|^t} = 0,$$

a contradiction with (3.4) and the fact that $c \in (0, c^*)$. □

Lemma 4.2 *Let $\{(u_k, v_k)\}$ be defined as in Lemma 3.3. Then there exists $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0/2]$, with ε_0 given in Lemma 3.3, such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$, there exists a positive sequence $\{r_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\{(\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{v}_k)\} := \{(r_k^{(N-p)/p} u_k(r_k x), r_k^{(N-p)/p} v_k(r_k x))\} \subset \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$, is again a Palais–Smale sequence of the type given in Lemma 3.3 and satisfies*

$$\int_{B_1(0)} H((\tilde{u}_k)_+, (\tilde{v}_k)_+) = \varepsilon, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof Let ε_0, Λ be defined as in Lemma 3.3 and (4.1), respectively. Set $\varepsilon_1 := \min\{\varepsilon_0/2, \Lambda\}$ and fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$. Up to a subsequence (still denoted by $\{(u_k, v_k)\}$), for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $r_k > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_{r_k}(0)} H((u_k)_+, (v_k)_+) = \varepsilon, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then the scaling invariance implies that $\{(\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{v}_k)\}$ satisfies (4.4) and is also a Palais–Smale sequence of the type given in Lemma 3.3. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Since $\{(\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{v}_k)\}$ satisfies (4.4) and is also a Palais–Smale sequence, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & C(1 + \|(\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{v}_k)\|) \\ & \geq J(\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{v}_k) - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} \langle J'(\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{v}_k), (\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{v}_k) \rangle \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} \right) \|(\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{v}_k)\|^p + \eta_1 \left(\frac{1}{p(t)} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H((\tilde{u}_k)_+, (\tilde{v}_k)_+) \\ & \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} \right) \|(\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{v}_k)\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\{(\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{v}_k)\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$. Up to a subsequence, there exists $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\tilde{u}_k \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} \text{ weakly, } \tilde{v}_k \rightharpoonup \tilde{v} \text{ weakly, } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

If $\tilde{u} \equiv \tilde{v} \equiv 0$, from Lemma 3.3 it follows that either

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1(0)} H((\tilde{u}_k)_+, (\tilde{v}_k)_+) = 0 \text{ or } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1(0)} H((\tilde{u}_k)_+, (\tilde{v}_k)_+) \geq \varepsilon_0,$$

which contradicts (4.4) as $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0/2$. Then $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \neq (0, 0)$. Arguing as in [12] (see also [13, 31, 33]), we deduce that (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) is a solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^p} = \frac{\eta_1}{p^*} H_u(u_+, v_+) + \frac{\eta_2}{p^*(t)} \frac{Q_u(u_+, v_+)}{|x|^t}, \\ -\Delta_p v - \mu \frac{v^{p-1}}{|x|^p} = \frac{\eta_1}{p^*} H_v(u_+, v_+) + \frac{\eta_2}{p^*(t)} \frac{Q_v(u_+, v_+)}{|x|^t}, \\ (u, v) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Set $w_- = \max\{-w, 0\}$ for all $w \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Multiplying the first equation in (4.5) by \tilde{u}_- and the second by \tilde{v}_- , and integrating, we have that $\|\tilde{u}_-\| = \|\tilde{v}_-\| = 0$, which implies that $\tilde{u}_- = \tilde{v}_- = 0$, and therefore, (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) is a nonnegative nontrivial solution of (4.5). If $\tilde{u} \equiv 0$, by (\mathcal{H}) and (4.5) we get $\tilde{v} \equiv 0$. Similarly, $\tilde{v} \equiv 0$ also implies $\tilde{u} \equiv 0$. Then $\tilde{u} \neq 0$ and $\tilde{v} \neq 0$. From the maximum principle it follows that $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N and (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) is a solution of the problem (1.1).

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete. □

Acknowledgements This work is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, South-Central University for Nationalities (CZW15053).

References

1. Abdellaoui, B., Felli, V., Peral, I.: Existence and nonexistence for quasilinear equations involving the p -laplacian. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B* **8**, 445–484 (2006)
2. Abdellaoui, B., Felli, V., Peral, I.: Some remarks on systems of elliptic equations doubly critical in the whole \mathbb{R}^N . *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **34**, 97–137 (2009)

3. Alves, C.O., de Morais Filho, D.C., Souto, M.A.: On systems of elliptic equations involving subcritical or critical Sobolev exponents. *Nonlinear Anal.* **42**, 771–787 (2000)
4. Ambrosetti, A., Rabinowitz, H.: Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications. *J. Funct. Anal.* **14**, 349–381 (1973)
5. Bartsch, T., Peng, S., Zhang, Z.: Existence and non-existence of solutions to elliptic equations related to the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **30**, 113–136 (2007)
6. Boccardo, L., Orsina, L., Peral, I.: A remark on existence and optimal summability of elliptic problems involving Hardy potential. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **16**, 513–523 (2006)
7. Boucekif, M., Nasri, Y.: On a singular elliptic system at resonance. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **189**, 227–240 (2010)
8. Caffarelli, L., Kohn, R., Nirenberg, L.: First order interpolation inequality with weights. *Compos. Math.* **53**, 259–275 (1984)
9. Cao, D., Han, P.: Solutions to critical elliptic equations with multi-singular inverse square potentials. *J. Differ. Equ.* **224**, 332–372 (2006)
10. Catrina, F., Wang, Z.-Q.: On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of external functions. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **54**, 229–257 (2001)
11. Chung, N.T., Toan, H.Q.: On a class of degenerate nonlocal problems with sign-changing nonlinearities. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **37**, 1157–1167 (2014)
12. Demengel, F., Hebey, E.: On some nonlinear equations involving the p -Laplacian with critical Sobolev growth. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **3**, 533–574 (1998)
13. Evans, L.C.: *Weak Convergence Methods for Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 74. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1990)
14. Felli, V., Schneider, M.: A note on regularity of solutions to degenerate elliptic equations of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **3**, 431–443 (2003)
15. Felli, V., Terracini, S.: Elliptic equations with multi-singular inverse-square potentials and critical nonlinearity. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **31**, 469–495 (2006)
16. Figueiredo, D., Peral, I., Rossi, J.: The critical hyperbola for a Hamiltonian elliptic system with weights. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **187**, 531–545 (2008)
17. Filho, D.C.M., Souto, M.A.: Systems of p -Laplacian equations involving homogeneous nonlinearities with critical Sobolev exponent degrees. *Comm. Partial Differ. Equ.* **24**, 1537–1553 (1999)
18. Filippucci, R., Pucci, P., Robert, F.: On a p -Laplace equation with multiple critical nonlinearities. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **91**, 156–177 (2009)
19. Ghossoub, N., Yuan, C.: Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **352**, 5703–5743 (2000)
20. Hardy, G., Littlewood, J., Polya, G.: *Inequalities*, reprint of the 1952 edition, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1988)
21. Huang, Y., Kang, D.: On the singular elliptic systems involving multiple critical Sobolev exponents. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 400–412 (2011)
22. Jannelli, E.: The role played by space dimension in elliptic critical problems. *J. Differ. Equ.* **156**, 407–426 (1999)
23. Kang, D.: On the quasilinear elliptic problems with critical Sobolev-Hardy exponents and Hardy terms. *Nonlinear Anal.* **68**, 1973–1985 (2008)
24. Kang, D.: Concentration compactness principles for the systems of critical elliptic equations. *Differ. Equ. Appl.* **4**, 435–444 (2012)
25. Kang, D., Peng, S.: Existence and asymptotic properties of solutions to elliptic systems involving multiple critical exponents. *Sci. China Math.* **54**, 243–256 (2011)
26. Lions, P.L.: The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the limit case (I). *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **1**(1), 145–201 (1985)
27. Lions, P.L.: The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the limit case (II). *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **1**(2), 45–121 (1985)
28. Liu, Z., Han, P.: Existence of solutions for singular elliptic systems with critical exponents. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69**, 2968–2983 (2008)
29. Nyamoradi, N.: Multiplicity of positive solutions to weighted nonlinear elliptic system involving critical exponents. *Sci. China Math.* **56**, 1831–1844 (2013)
30. Peng, S.: Remarks on singular critical growth elliptic equations. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **14**, 707–719 (2006)

31. Saintier, N.: Asymptotic estimates and blow-up theory for critical equations involving the p -Laplacian. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **25**, 299–331 (2006)
32. Terracini, S.: On positive entire solutions to a class of equations with a singular coefficient and critical exponent. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2**, 241–264 (1996)
33. Xuan, B., Wang, J.: Extremal functions and best constants to an inequality involving Hardy potential and critical Sobolev exponent. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**, 845–859 (2009)