

Gauss and Ricci Equations in Contact Manifolds with a Quarter-Symmetric Metric Connection

Avik De · Siraj Uddin

Received: 27 June 2013 / Revised: 11 September 2014 / Published online: 17 December 2014
© Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Universiti Sains Malaysia 2014

Abstract In the present paper, we study the extrinsic and intrinsic geometry of submanifolds of an almost contact metric manifold admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection. We deduce Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations corresponding to the quarter-symmetric metric connection and show some applications of these equations. Finally, we give an example verifying the results.

Keywords Gauss Codazzi equation · Ricci equation · Contact manifold · Einstein manifold · Invariant submanifold · Totally umbilical · Quarter-symmetric metric connection

Mathematics Subject Classification 53C25 · 53C35 · 53D10

1 Introduction

The importance of the Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations in differential geometry is that if the ambient space has a constant sectional curvature, they play an analogous role to that of the compatibility equation in the local theory of surfaces. For a submanifold M of a Riemannian manifold \bar{M} , if the Riemannian curvature tensors are denoted by R and \bar{R} , respectively, then the usual Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations are given by the following:

Communicated by Young Jin Suh.

A. De (✉)
Faculty of Engineering and Science, University Tunku Abdul Rahman, 50744 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
e-mail: de.math@gmail.com

S. Uddin
Institute of mathematical Sciences, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
e-mail: siraj.ch@gmail.com

$$g(\bar{R}(X, Y)Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W) - g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z)) + g(h(Y, W), h(X, Z)), \quad (1.1)$$

$$(\bar{R}(X, Y, Z))^\perp = (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z), \quad (1.2)$$

$$g(\bar{R}(X, Y)U, V) = g(R^\perp(X, Y)U, V) + g([A_V, A_U]X, Y), \quad (1.3)$$

for X, Y, Z, W tangent to M and U, V normal to M , where h is the second fundamental form, A is the associated shape operator of the immersion, and R^\perp is the curvature tensor of the normal bundle. For an isometric immersion $i : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ of Riemannian manifolds, the Gauss equation shows that the curvature tensor of \bar{M} , when evaluated on vector fields tangent to M , differs from the curvature tensor of M by a tensor involving only the second fundamental form of the immersion. Gauss–Codazzi–Ricci equations are very important instruments for describing a submanifold in a Riemannian space. By nature, these equations appear in the Cauchy problem of general relativity [20].

On the other hand, in 1975, Golab [13] introduced the notion of quarter-symmetric connection on a differentiable manifold. A linear connection ∇ is said to be quarter-symmetric if its torsion tensor T defined by $T(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y]$, is of the form:

$$T(X, Y) = u(Y)\psi X - u(X)\psi Y, \quad (1.4)$$

where u is a 1-form and ψ is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field. When T vanishes, the connection ∇ is called symmetric; otherwise, it is called non-symmetric. ∇ is called a metric connection if there is a Riemannian metric g such that $\nabla g = 0$; otherwise, it is called non-metric. It is well known that a linear connection is both symmetric and metric if and only if it is the Riemannian (or, the Levi-Civita) connection. If in (1.4), ψ is an identity function, then it reduces to semi-symmetric metric connection. Hence, quarter-symmetric connection is a generalization of semi-symmetric connection. In the present paper, we deduce the Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations for submanifolds of an almost contact metric manifold admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection.

In [8], De and Mondal proved the existence and uniqueness of quarter-symmetric connection in Riemannian manifolds. Many authors studied other geometric properties of almost Hermitian and almost contact manifolds with quarter-symmetric and semi-symmetric connections ([1, 7, 14, 16, 17, 19]). Ozgur [16] proved several results including the equations of Gauss Codazzi and Ricci for submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold admitting a particular type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Later on, hypersurfaces and submanifolds of different ambient manifolds admitting quarter-symmetric metric connection have been studied by several authors ([9, 11, 15]). In this paper, we generalize all the results obtained in these previous studies, by considering submanifolds of any codimension of an almost contact metric manifold admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection.

The present paper has been organized as follows:

After preliminaries, in sect. 3, we consider submanifolds of an almost contact metric manifold endowed with a quarter-symmetric metric connection, and we show that the connection induced on the submanifold is also a quarter-symmetric metric connection. Furthermore, we prove that the mean curvature with respect to both the connections coincides, and applying this result, we obtain a necessary condition of a submanifold to

be invariant. In sect. 4, we deduce the Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations corresponding to the quarter-symmetric metric connection and obtain some results applying these equations. Finally, in sect. 5, we provide an example verifying the obtained results.

2 Preliminaries

Let \bar{M} be an $(n + p)$ -dimensional (where $n + p$ is odd) differentiable manifold endowed with an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where ϕ, ξ, η are tensor fields on \bar{M} of types $(1, 1), (1, 0), (0, 1)$, respectively, and g is a compatible metric with the almost contact structure, such that [2,3,5,21],

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \phi\xi = 0, \eta(\xi) = 1, \eta \circ \phi = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{2.2}$$

$$g(\phi X, Y) + g(X, \phi Y) = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in T\bar{M}$, where $T\bar{M}$ is the Lie algebra of vector fields of the manifold \bar{M} . The fundamental 2-form Φ is defined by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$. If $[\phi, \phi] + d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$, where $[\phi, \phi](X, Y) = \phi^2[X, Y] + [\phi X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y]$, then the almost contact structure is said to be *normal* [10]. If $\Phi = d\eta$, the almost contact structure becomes a contact structure. A normal contact metric manifold is called *Sasakian*. On a Sasakian manifold, we have the following [2, 12]:

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y. \tag{2.5}$$

An almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is cosymplectic if and only if ϕ is parallel. In a *cosymplectic* manifold, we have $(\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)Y = 0$.

A Riemannian manifold of dimension >2 is said to be *Einstein* if its Ricci tensor S satisfies $S(X, Y) = \mu g(X, Y)$, where μ is a constant [6].

Let M be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold \bar{M} with a positive definite metric g . Let the induced metric on M also be denoted by g . The usual Gauss and Weingarten formulae are given, respectively, by [4, 18]

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in TM \tag{2.6}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N, \quad N \in T^\perp M \tag{2.7}$$

where ∇ is the induced Riemannian connection on M , h is the second fundamental form of the immersion, A is the shape operator, and ∇^\perp is the normal connection on $T^\perp M$, the normal bundle of M . From (2.6) and (2.7), one gets

$$g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y). \tag{2.8}$$

The submanifold M of an almost contact manifold \bar{M} is called *invariant* (resp. *anti-invariant*) if for each point $x \in M$, $\phi T_x M \subset T_x M$ (resp. $\phi T_x M \subset T_x^\perp M$). The

submanifold is called *totally umbilical* if $h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H$, for all $X, Y \in TM$, where H is the mean curvature vector of the submanifold, defined by $H = \frac{1}{n} \sum \{h(e_i, e_i)\}$, $\{e_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ being an orthonormal basis of TM and n the dimension of M . The submanifold is called *totally geodesic* if $h(X, Y) = 0$ for all $X, Y \in TM$. Let the codimension of M be p , and let $\{N_\alpha\}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, p$ be an orthonormal basis of $T^\perp M$.

3 Basic Results

On a submanifold M of an almost contact metric manifold \bar{M} with the quarter-symmetric metric connection ∇^* , we obtain the following results:

Theorem 3.1 *The connection induced on a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold with a quarter-symmetric metric connection is also a quarter-symmetric metric connection.*

Proof We define the quarter-symmetric metric connection ∇^* on \bar{M} by

$$\nabla_X^* Y = \bar{\nabla}_X Y - \eta(X)\phi Y. \quad (3.1)$$

If ∇' is the induced connection on M from the connection ∇^* , then we have

$$\nabla_X^* Y = \nabla'_X Y + m(X, Y), \quad (3.2)$$

where m is a tensor field of type $(1, 2)$ in $T^\perp M$, the normal part of M . We term $m(X, Y)$ the second fundamental form with respect to the quarter-symmetric connection.

For $X \in TM$ and $N \in T^\perp M$, we put

$$\phi X = PX + QX, \quad PX \in TM, \quad QX \in T^\perp M, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\phi N = tN + qN, \quad tN \in TM, \quad qN \in T^\perp M. \quad (3.4)$$

Using (3.3), from (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\nabla'_X Y + m(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) - \eta(X)PY - \eta(X)QY. \quad (3.5)$$

Now equating tangential and normal parts, we have

$$\nabla'_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \eta(X)PY, \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$m(X, Y) = h(X, Y) - \eta(X)QY. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.6), the torsion tensor with respect to ∇' is given by

$$T'(X, Y) = \eta(Y)PX - \eta(X)PY.$$

Also using (3.2), we have

$$(\nabla'_X g)(Y, Z) = (\nabla^*_X g)(Y, Z). \tag{3.8}$$

Hence, the result. □

From (3.6), it follows that if the submanifold is anti-invariant, that is, $PX = 0$, then we have the following:

Theorem 3.2 *On an anti-invariant submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold with a quarter-symmetric metric connection, the induced quarter-symmetric connection and the induced Riemannian connection are equivalent.*

So we concentrate mostly on invariant submanifolds. Equation (3.2) is the Gauss formula for the quarter-symmetric metric connection. Also, from (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^*_X N &= \bar{\nabla}_X N - \eta(X)\phi N \\ &= -A_N X - \eta(X)tN + \nabla^\perp_X N - \eta(X)qN \\ &= D_X N - A'_N X, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where $D_X N = \nabla^\perp_X N - \eta(X)qN$ is the normal connection, and $A'_N X = A_N X + \eta(X)tN$ is the shape operator corresponding to the quarter-symmetric metric connection. By simple calculations, we obtain

$$g(m(X, Y), N) = g(A'_N X, Y). \tag{3.10}$$

Equation (3.9) is the Weingarten formula with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection.

Remark 3.1 Unlike the second fundamental form corresponding to the Levi-Civita connection, m is neither symmetric nor skew-symmetric, in general, which is evident from (3.7). Thus, the shape operator A' corresponding to the quarter-symmetric connection is also not symmetric. However, for invariant submanifolds both of them are symmetric.

We define the covariant derivative of m and η with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection as follows:

$$(\nabla^*_X m)(Y, Z) = D_X(m(Y, Z)) - m(\nabla'_X Y, Z) - m(Y, \nabla'_X Z), \tag{3.11}$$

$$(\nabla^*_X \eta)Y = X(\eta(Y)) - \eta(\nabla'_X Y). \tag{3.12}$$

Equation (3.11) may be called the van der Waerden–Bortolotti connection corresponding to the quarter-symmetric metric connection.

Now, we prove the following:

Theorem 3.3 *The mean curvature of the submanifold M with respect to the Riemannian connection coincides with that of M with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection.*

Proof Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of TM . We consider two cases:
Case I: $\xi \in TM$ and let $e_n = \xi$. Then from (3.7), we obtain

$$m(e_i, e_i) = h(e_i, e_i) - \eta(e_i)Q(e_i). \quad (3.13)$$

Since $\eta(e_i) = 0$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, and $\phi(e_n) = 0$, summing up for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and dividing by n , we obtain the required result.

Case II: $\xi \notin TM$, then again from (3.7), we obtain

$$m(e_i, e_i) = h(e_i, e_i) - \eta(e_i)Q(e_i) \quad (3.14)$$

for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. From (3.14), we obtain $m(e_i, e_i) = h(e_i, e_i)$, since $\eta(e_i) = 0$, for all the $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Summing up for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and dividing by n , we obtain the required result. \square

The following corollaries are the direct consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 3.1 *Any submanifold of an almost contact manifold endowed with a quarter-symmetric metric connection is minimal with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection if and only if it is minimal with respect to the Riemannian connection.*

Corollary 3.2 *If a submanifold M of an almost contact manifold endowed with a quarter-symmetric metric connection is tangent to ξ and is totally umbilical with respect to both the connections, then M is invariant. Conversely, if M is invariant, then M is totally umbilical with respect to quarter-symmetric connection if and only if M is totally umbilical with respect to the Riemannian connection.*

Proof From (3.7), for all $X, Y \in TM$, we have,

$$\eta(X)QY = m(X, Y) - h(X, Y). \quad (3.15)$$

If M is totally umbilical with respect to both quarter-symmetric connection and Riemannian connection, then from Theorem 3.3, we have,

$$m(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H = h(X, Y).$$

Thus, from (3.15), we get for all $X, Y \in TM$,

$$\eta(X)QY = 0, \quad (3.16)$$

for any $X, Y \in TM$. Putting $X = \xi$ in (3.16), we obtain, $QY = 0$, for all $Y \in TM$, which implies that M is an invariant submanifold.

The converse part follows directly from (3.7). \square

Remark 3.2 In this connection, we should note that, if any submanifold of a contact metric manifold is normal to ξ , then by the well-known result of Yano and Kon [22], the submanifold is always anti-invariant.

Theorem 3.4 *The covariant derivative of the fundamental 2-form Φ with respect to the quarter-symmetric connection is equal to the covariant derivative of Φ with respect to the Riemannian connection.*

Proof We have $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X^* \Phi)(Y, Z) &= X\Phi(Y, Z) - \Phi(\nabla_X^* Y, Z) - \Phi(Y, \nabla_X^* Z) \\ &= X\Phi(Y, Z) - \Phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z) + \eta(X)\Phi(Y, \phi Z) \\ &\quad - \Phi(Y, \bar{\nabla}_X Z) + \eta(X)\Phi(Y, \phi Z) \\ &= (\bar{\nabla}_X \Phi)(Y, Z), \text{ since, } \Phi(Y, \phi Z) = -\Phi(Y, \phi Z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Hence, the result. □

4 The Gauss, Codazzi-Mainardi and Ricci Equations

In this section, we find the relations between the curvature tensors corresponding to the Levi-civita connection and the quarter symmetric metric connection. We denote the Riemannian curvature tensors corresponding to the Levi-Civita connection and the quarter-symmetric connection by \bar{R} and R^* , respectively, and that corresponding to the induced connections ∇ and ∇' by R and R' , respectively.

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X^* \nabla_Y^* Z &= \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_Y Z - \eta(X)\phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y Z) - \eta(Y)\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Z \\ &\quad + \eta(X)\eta(Y)\phi^2 Z - X(\eta(Y))\phi Z, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_Y^* \nabla_X^* Z &= \bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{\nabla}_X Z - \eta(Y)\phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Z) - \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi Z \\ &\quad + \eta(X)\eta(Y)\phi^2 Z - Y(\eta(X))\phi Z, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\nabla_{[X, Y]}^* Z = \bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z - \eta([X, Y])\phi Z. \tag{4.3}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^*(X, Y)Z &= \bar{R}(X, Y)Z + \eta(X)(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)Z - \eta(Y)(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Z \\ &\quad - [(\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)X]\phi Z. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Hence, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} R^*(X, Y, Z, W) &= g(R^*(X, Y)Z, W) \\ &= g(\bar{R}(X, Y)Z, W) + \eta(X)g((\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)Z, W) - \eta(Y)g((\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Z, W) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - [(\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)X]g(\phi Z, W) \\
= & \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) + \eta(X)g((\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)Z, W) - \eta(Y)g((\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Z, W) \\
& - [(\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)X]g(\phi Z, W). \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.4) and (4.5), we can conclude the following:

- Remark 4.1* (i) $R^*(X, Y, Z, W) \neq R^*(Z, W, X, Y)$
(ii) $R^*(X, Y, Z, W) \neq -R^*(X, Y, W, Z)$
(iii) The first Bianchi identity with respect to the quarter-symmetric connection is given by

$$R^*(X, Y)Z + R^*(Y, Z)X + R^*(Z, X)Y = k(X, Y)Z + k(Y, Z)X + k(Z, X)Y,$$

where $k(X, Y)Z$ is a (1,3)-tensor defined by

$$k(X, Y)Z = \eta(Z)[(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X] - [(\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)X]\phi Z.$$

Now, putting $Z = \xi$ in (4.4), we get

$$R^*(X, Y)\xi = \bar{R}(X, Y)\xi + \eta(X)(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)\xi - \eta(Y)(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)\xi \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.6), we obtain the following:

Remark 4.2 If the ambient manifold \bar{M} is a Sasakian manifold, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R^*(X, Y)\xi &= \bar{R}(X, Y)\xi + \eta(X)[\eta(Y)\xi - Y] - \eta(Y)[\eta(X)\xi - X] \\
&= 2\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.3 If the ambient manifold \bar{M} is cosymplectic, then

$$R^*(X, Y)\xi = \bar{R}(X, Y)\xi.$$

Again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_X^* \nabla_Y^* Z &= \nabla_X' \nabla_Y' Z + m(X, \nabla_Y' Z) \\
&\quad + D_X(m(Y, Z)) - A'_{m(Y, Z)} X. \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_Y^* \nabla_X^* Z &= \nabla_Y' \nabla_X' Z + m(Y, \nabla_X' Z) \\
&\quad + D_Y(m(X, Z)) - A_{m(X, Z)} Y. \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla_{[X, Y]}^* Z = \nabla'_{[X, Y]} Z + m([X, Y], Z). \tag{4.9}$$

By direct computations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
R^*(X, Y)Z &= R'(X, Y)Z + (\nabla_X' m)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y' m)(X, Z) \\
&\quad + A'_{m(X, Z)} Y - A'_{m(Y, Z)} X. \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Gauss equation for the quarter symmetric metric connection ∇^* is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^*(X, Y, Z, W) &= g(R^*(X, Y)Z, W) \\
 &= g(R'(X, Y)Z, W) + g(m(Y, W), m(X, Z)) \\
 &\quad - g(m(Y, Z), m(X, W)) \\
 &= R'(X, Y, Z, W) + g(m(Y, W), m(X, Z)) \\
 &\quad - g(m(Y, Z), m(X, W)).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.11}$$

Putting $X = W = e_i, Y = Z = e_j$, in (4.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^*(e_i, e_j, e_j, e_i) &= R'(e_i, e_j, e_j, e_i) + g(m(e_i, e_j), m(e_j, e_i)) \\
 &\quad - g(m(e_j, e_j), m(e_i, e_i)).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.12}$$

Summing over i and j and using Theorem 3.3, we get

$$\tau^* = \tau' + \|m\|^2 - n^2 \|H\|^2,
 \tag{4.13}$$

where τ^* and τ' are the scalar curvatures corresponding to the quarter symmetric metric connection defined on \bar{M} and the induced quarter-symmetric metric connection on M , respectively, and $\|m\|^2$ denotes the squared norm of the second fundamental form with respect to the quarter-symmetric connection. From (4.13), we can also write

$$\|H\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n^2} (\tau' - \tau^*).
 \tag{4.14}$$

Hence, the following:

Theorem 4.1 *On a minimal submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection, the scalar curvature corresponding to the quarter-symmetric connection is never less than that of the induced quarter-symmetric connecton.*

Since $\{N_\alpha\}, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, p$ is a basis of $T^\perp M$, we can express $m(X, Y) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^p m_\alpha(X, Y)N_\alpha$, where each m_α is a $(0, 2)$ tensor.

Hence, the Gauss equation (4.11) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^*(X, Y, Z, W) &= R'(X, Y, Z, W) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p [m_\alpha(Y, W)m_\alpha(X, Z) \\
 &\quad - m_\alpha(Y, Z)m_\alpha(X, W)].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.15}$$

From (3.2) and (3.9), we can easily deduce that

$$m_\alpha(X, Y) = g(A'_{N_\alpha} X, Y).
 \tag{4.16}$$

Hence, by (4.15), the Gauss equation can also be represented in terms of the shape operator as

$$R^*(X, Y, Z, W) = R'(X, Y, Z, W) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \{g(A'_{N_\alpha} Y, W)g(A'_{N_\alpha} X, Z) - g(A'_{N_\alpha} Y, Z)g(A'_{N_\alpha} X, W)\}. \tag{4.17}$$

From (4.17), we get

$$R^*(X, Y, X, Y) = R'(X, Y, X, Y) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \{g(A'_{N_\alpha} Y, Y)g(A'_{N_\alpha} X, X) - g(A'_{N_\alpha} Y, X)g(A'_{N_\alpha} X, Y)\}. \tag{4.18}$$

Combining with Remark 3.1, we can state the following:

Theorem 4.2 *Let \mathcal{P} be a 2-dimensional invariant subspace of $T_x M$ and let $K^*(\mathcal{P})$ and $K'(\mathcal{P})$ be the sectional curvature of \mathcal{P} in \bar{M} and M , respectively, with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection. If X and Y form an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{P} , then*

$$K^*(\mathcal{P}) = K'(\mathcal{P}) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \{g(A'_{N_\alpha} Y, Y)g(A'_{N_\alpha} X, X) - g(A'_{N_\alpha} X, Y)^2\}.$$

Now, contracting equation (4.15) we have the expression of Ricci tensor corresponding to the quarter-symmetric connection as

$$\begin{aligned} S^*(Y, Z) &= S'(Y, Z) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p R^*(N_\alpha, Y, Z, N_\alpha) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \left[\sum_{i=1}^n g(A'_{N_\alpha} Y, e_i)g(A'_{N_\alpha} Z, e_i) - f_\alpha m_\alpha(Y, Z) \right] \text{ (if } A \text{ is symmetric)} \\ &= S'(Y, Z) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p R^*(N_\alpha, Y, Z, N_\alpha) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \left[g(A'_{N_\alpha} A'_{N_\alpha} Y, Z) - f_\alpha m_\alpha(Y, Z) \right] \text{ (if } A \text{ is symmetric)} \\ &= S'(Y, Z) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p R^*(N_\alpha, Y, Z, N_\alpha) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \left[m_\alpha(A'_{N_\alpha} Y, Z) - f_\alpha m_\alpha(Y, Z) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where f_α denote the trace of A'_{N_α} .

Suppose that the quarter-symmetric metric connection ∇^* is of constant sectional curvature. Then

$$R^*(X, Y, Z, W) = \lambda(g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)). \tag{4.20}$$

Therefore, from equation (4.19), we have

$$S'(Y, Z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \{f_\alpha m_\alpha(Y, Z) - m_\alpha(A'_{N_\alpha} Y, Z)\} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p R^*(N_\alpha, Y, Z, N_\alpha).$$

Therefore,

$$S'(Y, Z) = \lambda(n - 1)g(Y, Z) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \{f_\alpha m_\alpha(Y, Z) - m_\alpha(A'_{N_\alpha} Y, Z)\}. \tag{4.21}$$

Thus, we can state the following:

Theorem 4.3 *Let M be an invariant submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold \bar{M} of constant sectional curvature with a quarter-symmetric metric connection. Then*

- (i) *the Ricci tensor of M induced from the quarter-symmetric connection is symmetric.*
- (ii) *The Ricci tensor of M induced from the quarter-symmetric connection is not parallel.*

From Eq. (4.21), we can also conclude the following:

Theorem 4.4 *Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold \bar{M} of constant sectional curvature with a quarter-symmetric metric connection. Then the submanifold M is an Einstein manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection.*

From (4.10), we obtain the normal part of $R^*(X, Y)Z$ as

$$R^\perp(X, Y)Z = (\nabla'_X m)(Y, Z) - (\nabla'_Y m)(X, Z), \tag{4.22}$$

which is the Codazzi equation corresponding to the quarter-symmetric metric connection ∇^* .

Let $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in T^\perp M$, then we have

$$R^*(X, Y)\zeta_1 = R'(X, Y)\zeta_1 - A'_{D_Y \zeta_1} X + A'_{D_X \zeta_1} Y + \nabla'_Y(A'_{\zeta_1} X) + \nabla'_X(A'_{\zeta_1} Y) + m(Y, A'_{\zeta_1} X) - m(X, A'_{\zeta_1} Y) - A'_{\zeta_1}([X, Y]). \tag{4.23}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^*(X, Y, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) &= g(R^*(X, Y)\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \\ &= g(R'(X, Y)\zeta_1, \zeta_2) + g(A'_{\zeta_1}X, A'_{\zeta_2}Y) - g(A'_{\zeta_1}Y, A'_{\zeta_2}X) \\ &= R'(X, Y, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) + g(A'_{\zeta_1}X, A'_{\zeta_2}Y) - g(A'_{\zeta_1}Y, A'_{\zeta_2}X), \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

which is the Ricci equation corresponding to the quarter-symmetric metric connection.

Remark 4.4 If we consider the submanifold M to be invariant, then from Lemma 3.1, we have the shape operator to be symmetric. Thus, we can express the Ricci equation in the following form:

$$R^*(X, Y, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) = R'(X, Y, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) + g([A'_{\zeta_1}, A'_{\zeta_2}]X, Y). \quad (4.25)$$

5 Example

Example 5.1 Let us consider the 5-dimensional manifold $\bar{M} = \{(x, y, z, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^5, (x, y, z, u, v) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\}$, where (x, y, z, u, v) are the standard coordinates in \mathbb{R}^5 . The vector fields

$$e_1 = 2 \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right), \quad e_2 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad e_4 = 2 \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right), \quad e_5 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \quad (5.1)$$

are linearly independent at each point of \bar{M} . Let g be the metric defined by

$$g(e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } i = j \\ 0, & \text{when } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(Z) = g(Z, e_3)$, for all $Z \in T\bar{M}$, and let ϕ be the $(1, 1)$ tensor field defined by

$$\phi e_1 = e_2, \quad \phi e_2 = -e_1, \quad \phi e_3 = 0, \quad \phi e_4 = e_5, \quad \phi e_5 = -e_4. \quad (5.2)$$

Then, using the linearity of ϕ and g , we have

$$\eta(e_3) = 1, \quad \phi^2 Z = -Z + \eta(Z)e_3$$

and

$$g(\phi Z, \phi W) = g(Z, W) - \eta(Z)\eta(W), \quad \forall Z, W \in T\bar{M}.$$

Thus, for $e_3 = \xi$, $(\bar{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost contact metric manifold. Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric g . Then, we have

$$[e_1, e_2] = -2e_3 = [e_4, e_5] \quad \text{and} \quad [e_i, e_j] = 0, \quad \text{for all other } i, j.$$

Taking $e_3 = \xi$ and using Koszul’s formula for the metric g , it can be easily calculated that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_2 &= -e_3, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_3 = e_2, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_1 = -e_3, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_3 = -e_1, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_1 = e_2, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_2 &= -e_1, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_4 = e_5, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_5 = -e_4, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{e_4}e_3 = e_5, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_3 = -e_4, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

and the rest of the terms are 0.

Since $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$ is a frame field, then any vector field $X, Y \in T\bar{M}$ can be written as

$$X = a_1e_1 + b_1e_2 + c_1e_3 + d_1e_4 + f_1e_5, \quad Y = a_2e_1 + b_2e_2 + c_2e_3 + d_2e_4 + f_2e_5$$

where $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i, f_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$ such that

$$a_1a_2 + b_1b_2 + c_1c_2 + d_1d_2 + f_1f_2 \neq 0.$$

Hence, we derive

$$g(X, Y) = a_1a_2 + b_1b_2 + c_1c_2 + d_1d_2 + f_1f_2. \tag{5.4}$$

Now, using (5.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_X Y &= -(b_1c_2 + b_2c_1)e_1 + (a_1c_2 + a_2c_1)e_2 - (a_2b_1a_1b_2)e_3 \\ &\quad - (c_1f_2 + c_2f_1)e_4 + (c_1d_2 + c_2d_1)e_5. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.1), we obtain

$$\nabla_X^* Y = a_1c_2e_2 - b_1c_2e_1 - (a_2b_1 + a_1b_2)e_3 - c_2f_1e_4 + c_2d_1e_5. \tag{5.5}$$

Also from (5.4), it follows that $\nabla^*g = 0$. Thus, in an almost contact metric manifold, the quarter-symmetric metric connection is given by (5.5).

Now, let M be a subset of \bar{M} and consider an isometric immersion $f : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ by

$$f(x, y, z) = (x, y, z, 0, 0).$$

It can be easily seen that M is a 3-dimensional submanifold of the 5 -dimensional almost contact metric manifold \bar{M} . Now, $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, (x, y, z) \neq 0\}$, where (x, y, z) are the standard coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 . The vector fields

$$e_1 = 2 \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right), \quad e_2 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

are linearly independent at each point of M . Let us denote the induced metric by the same symbol g such that

$$g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_1, e_3) = g(e_2, e_3) = 0$$

and

$$g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_3) = 1.$$

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric g on M . Then, we have

$$[e_1, e_2] = -2e_3 \quad \text{and} \quad [e_1, e_3] = 0 = [e_2, e_3].$$

Taking $e_3 = \xi$ and using Koszul's formula for the induced metric g , it can be easily calculated that

$$\nabla_{e_1}e_2 = -e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_1}e_3 = e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_1}e_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_2}e_3 = -e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_2}e_2 = 0,$$

$$\nabla_{e_2}e_1 = -e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_3}e_3 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3}e_2 = -e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_3}e_1 = e_2.$$

Clearly, $\{e_4, e_5\}$ is the frame for the normal bundle $T^\perp M$. If we take $X, Y \in TM$, then we can express them as

$$X = a_1e_1 = b_1e_2 + c_1e_3, \quad Y = a_2e_1 = b_2e_2 + c_2e_3$$

and therefore

$$\nabla_X Y = -(b_1c_2 + b_2c_1)e_1 + (a_1c_2 + a_2c_1)e_2 - (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)e_3 \quad (5.6)$$

which is the tangential part of $\bar{\nabla}_X Y$. The second fundamental form is given by

$$h(X, Y) = -(c_1f_2 + c_2f_1)e_4 + (c_1d_2 + c_2d_1)e_5. \quad (5.7)$$

Now, the tangential part of $\nabla_X^* Y$ is given by

$$\nabla_X' Y = -b_1c_2e_1 + a_1c_2e_2 - (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)e_3 = \nabla_X Y - \eta(X)PY. \quad (5.8)$$

And, the normal part of $\nabla_X^* Y$ will be

$$m(X, Y) = -c_2f_1e_4 + c_2d_1e_5 = h(X, Y) - \eta(X)QY. \quad (5.9)$$

It is easy to check that $\nabla_X' g = \nabla_X^* g$, for any $X \in TM$.

We can easily check that the submanifold M is minimal with respect to both the connections, the Levi-Civita as well as the quarter-symmetric connection.

References

1. Ali, S., Nivas, R.: On submanifolds immersed in a manifold with quarter-symmetric connection. Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma **3**, 11–23 (2000)
2. Blair, D.E.: Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lecture Note in Mathematics, vol. 509. Springer, Berlin (1976)
3. Blair, D.E.: Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds, Progress in Mathematic, vol. 203. Birkhauser Boston Inc, Boston (2002)

4. Chen, B.Y.: Geometry of Submanifolds, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 22. Marcel Dekker Inc, New York (1973)
5. Chen, B.Y., Martin-Molina, V.: Optimal inequalities, contact δ -invariants and their applications. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **36**, 263–276 (2013)
6. Yildiz, A., De, U.C., Cetinkaya, A.: $N(k)$ -quasi Einstein manifolds satisfying certain curvature conditions. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **36**, 1139–1149 (2013)
7. De, U.C., Biswas, S.C.: Quarter-symmetric metric connection in an SP-Sasakian manifold, Comm. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A **46**, 49–56 (1997)
8. De, U.C., Mondal, A.K.: Quarter-symmetric metric connection on 3-dimensional quas-Sasakian manifolds. SUT J. Math. **46**, 35–52 (2010)
9. De, U.C., Mondal, A.K.: Hypersurfaces of Kenmotsu manifolds endowed with a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection. Kuwait J. Sci. Eng. **39**, 43–56 (2012)
10. De, U.C., Mondal, A.K.: The tructure of some classes of 3-dimensional normal almost contact metric manifolds. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **36**, 501–509 (2013)
11. Dogru, Y.: On some properties of submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta **19**, 85–100 (2011)
12. Dwivedi, M.K., Kim, J.S.: On conharmonic curvature tensor in K-contact and Sasakian manifolds. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **34**, 171–180 (2011)
13. Golab, S.: On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric linear connection. Tensor, N. S. **29**, 249–254 (1975)
14. Mondal, A. K., De, U. C.: Quarter-symmetric nonmetric connection on P-Sasakian Manifolds. ISRN Geom. 1–14 (2012)
15. Nakao, Z.: Submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric metric connections. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **54**, 261–266 (1976)
16. Ozgur, C.: On submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Kuwait J. Sci. Eng. **37**, 17–30 (2010)
17. Shaikh, A.A., Biswas, S.: On LP-Sasakian manifolds. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **27**, 17–26 (2004)
18. Shaikh, A.A., De, U.C., Al-Aqeel, A.: Submanifolds of a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **28**, 223–227 (2005)
19. Sular, S., Ozgur, C., De, U.C.: Quarter-symmetric connection in a Kenmotsu manifold. SUT J. Math. **2**, 297–306 (2008)
20. Wald, R.M.: General Relativity. University of Chicago Press, Chicago (1984)
21. Yano, K., Kon, M.: Structures on Manifolds. World Scientific, Singapore (1984)
22. Yano, K., Kon, M.: Anti-invariant submanifolds. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 21. Marcel Dekker, New York (1976)