Nano-Micro Letters

ARTICLE



Cite as Nano-Micro Lett. (2022) 14:134

Received: 31 March 2022 Accepted: 9 May 2022 Published online: 14 June 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

HIGHLIGHTS

Hetero-Interfaces on Cu Electrode for Enhanced Electrochemical Conversion of CO₂ to Multi-Carbon Products

Xiaotong Li¹, Jianghao Wang¹, Xiangzhou Lv¹, Yue Yang¹, Yifei Xu¹, Qian Liu¹, Hao Bin Wu¹ \bowtie

- Dual-site electrocatalysts with in situ formed metal-oxide interfaces are proposed and demonstrated to enhance the formation of multi-carbon products from electrochemical CO₂ reduction.
- The 0.5-UiO/Cu delivers a high C2+ Faradaic efficiency of over 74% with notably boosted formation rate and durability, surpassing most reported electrocatalysts.
- A unique mechanism of UiO-66 to induce in situ reconstruction of Cu surface and formation of UiO-66-derived amorphous ZrO_x/Cu interface is uncovered, which improves the selectivity and productivity toward C2+ products.

ABSTRACT Electrochemical CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR) to multi-carbon products would simultaneously reduce CO₂ emission and produce high-value chemicals. Herein, we report Cu electrodes modified by metal–organic framework (MOF) exhibiting enhanced electrocatalytic performance to convert CO₂ into ethylene and ethanol. The Zr-based MOF, UiO-66 would in situ transform into amorphous ZrO_x nanoparticles (a-ZrO_x), constructing a-ZrO_x/Cu hetero-interface as a dual-site catalyst. The Faradaic efficiency of multi-carbon (C2+) products for optimal UiO-66-coated Cu (0.5-UiO/Cu) electrode reaches a high value of 74% at – 1.05 V versus RHE. The intrinsic activity for C2+ products on 0.5-UiO/Cu electrode is about two times higher than



that of Cu foil. In situ surface-enhanced Raman spectra demonstrate that UiO-66-derived a- ZrO_x coating can promote the stabilization of atop-bound CO* intermediates on Cu surface during CO₂ electrolysis, leading to increased CO* coverage and facilitating the C–C coupling process. The present study gives new insights into tailoring the adsorption configurations of CO₂RR intermediate by designing dual-site electrocatalysts with hetero-interfaces.

KEYWORDS CO2 reduction reaction; Metal-organic frameworks; Copper; Hetero-interfaces; Multi-carbon products

Xiaotong Li and Jianghao Wang contributed equally to this work.

[🖂] Hao Bin Wu, hbwu@zju.edu.cn

¹ Institute for Composites Science Innovation (InCSI) and State Key Laboratory of Silicon Materials, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China

1 Introduction

The exploitation and utilization of fossil fuels have led to excessive emissions of CO_2 , which break the carbon cycle in nature and cause serious environmental problems [1]. Hence, it is urgent to develop efficient technologies to convert CO_2 into valuable fuels and chemicals, especially into C2+ products with high energy density and high value [2–7]. Among all potential technologies, CO_2 reduction reaction (CO_2RR) driven by renewable electric energy is practically appealing to realize a benign closedcarbon cycle. However, tremendous challenges, such as high overpotentials, low selectivity, and unsatisfactory long-term stability, have limited the large-scale application of CO_2RR . Designing advanced electrocatalysts with high performance is the key to overcome these obstacles.

Cu-based materials, with a proper CO adsorption energy, are the most popular catalysts that can convert CO_2 into C2+ products with non-negligible selectivity [3, 8, 9]. However, unitary Cu-based catalysts generally show unsatisfied selectivity toward C2+ products due to the limitation of scaling relations [10-12]. Developing dual-site catalysts by incorporating the second component, either organic or inorganic moieties, offers new freedom to regulate the adsorption energies of intermediates and modulate the product distribution. For instance, Cu catalysts modified by organic species such as amino acid [13] and polyamine [14-16] exhibited excellent catalytic performance for C2+ products. Cu-based bimetallic catalysts with Bi, Au, Ru, and Pd as second binding sites can tune the adsorption of CO^{*} and promote the conversion of CO₂ to alcohols [17–19]. Introducing metal elements such as Al with empty orbital to the Cu oxide can stabilize the positive valence state of Cu which is beneficial for the production of C_2H_4 [20]. Moreover, metal oxides, such as zinc oxide [21–24], ceria [25–28], alumina [29], zirconia [30], and silica [31] have also been utilized to construct hetero-interfaces with Cu to promote the C2+ selectivity of Cu by multiple interfacial effects [32, 33]. Therefore, we envisage that using proper promoters to active CO_2 molecules or to stabilize the adsorbed CO* intermediates on Cu electrodes would increase the coverage of CO* and facilitate the subsequent dimerization into C2+ products [16, 34].

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) with abundant coordinatively unsaturated sites (CUSs) and porous structure are promising candidates for CO₂RR [35-37]. The coordinatively unsaturated metal sites in MOFs, with strong Lewis acidity, can interact with O atom in CO₂ molecules by the Lewis acid-base interaction to activate linear CO₂ molecules, and thus promote the CO_2 electrolysis [29, 38, 39]. Inspired by the development of dual-site catalysts to steer the catalytic performance of Cu, we envision that constructing a hetero-interface of MOFs and Cu may enhance the CO₂RR performance. To check this suppose, UiO-66 with abundant Lewis-acidic Zr sites [40] was used to modify Cu foil by a drop-coating method. During the CO₂ electrolysis, the UiO-66 nanoparticles transform into a-ZrO_x by losing most of the organic ligands, thereby exposing more coordinatively unsaturated Zr sites. In effect, a-ZrO_x/Cu hetero-interfaces were formed on the electrode during CO₂ electroreduction. As a result, a significantly enhanced CO₂RR performance (Faradaic efficiency (FE) of 74% for C2+) has been observed on UiO-66 modified Cu electrode. In situ surface-enhanced Raman spectra revealed that a-ZrO_x coating can obviously stabilize the CO* intermediate adsorbed at top sites on the Cu surface, which can increase the coverage of CO* and promote the C-C coupling process.

2 Experimental Section

2.1 Chemicals

Zirconium (IV) chloride (ZrCl₄), benzoic acid (HBC), and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Aladdin. 1,4-dicarboxybenzene (H₂BDC), potassium bromide (KBr), and deuterium oxide (D₂O) were purchased from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical. N, N-Dimethylformamide (DMF), methanol (CH₃OH), ethanol (C₂H₅OH), and potassium bicarbonate (KHCO₃) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent. Nafion solution (5% in a mixture of lower aliphatic alcohol and water) was purchased from DuPont. Au@SiO₂ was purchased from Shiyanjia Lab. Copper foil (Cu, 0.1 mm thickness) was purchased from Tianjin Shentai. All reagents were used directly without further purification.

2.2 Synthesis of Catalysts and Electrode Fabrication

2.2.1 Synthesis of UiO-66 Nanoparticles

The UiO-66 nanoparticles were synthesized according to a solvothermal method reported in the literature [41]. In a typical synthesis, $ZrCl_4$ (2.06 mmol) and 10 equivalent of HBC with respect to ZrCl₄ were dissolved in DMF (60 mL) via sonication for 10 min. Then, H₂BDC (2.06 mmol) with an equimolar ratio with respect to $ZrCl_4$ was added to the above solution and dissolved by stirring for 30 min. The obtained solution was transferred into a Teflon liner (100 mL) which was sealed in an autoclave. The tightly capped autoclave was placed in an oven at 120 °C for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washed three times with DMF and methanol, respectively. And the as-synthesized UiO-66 was soaked in methanol for three days to exchange DMF. Finally, the as-synthesized UiO-66 was dried at 60 °C overnight.

The size of UiO-66 can be regulated by tuning the equivalent of HBC. Feeding 10, 20 and 30 equivalent of HBC can synthesize UiO-66 with a size of 100, 300 and 600 nm, respectively. Figure S1 revealed the morphology of UiO-66 with different sizes, among which UiO-66 with the size of 100 nm was used as the main sample in our work.

2.2.2 Fabrication of UiO-66-modified Cu Electrode

A simple drop-coating method was used to construct the UiO-66-modified Cu electrode. Firstly, the Cu foil was mechanically polished by 3000 mesh silicon carbide paper and ultrasonically washed with DI water for 10 min. Then, the as-synthesized UiO-66 (10 mg, 100 nm) was dispersed in Nafion-alcohol aqueous solution (1 mL). Then, a certain volume of UiO-66 ink was drop-coated on each side of Cu foil and dried in air on a hot plate (110 °C). Through changing the volume of UiO-66 ink, we obtained a series of UiO-66, denoted as X-UiO/Cu (with X mg cm⁻² of UiO-66). We also obtained UiO-66 with different size modified Cu foil using the same drop-coating method.

The UiO-66 coating on X-UiO/Cu electrode can be removed by sonication in an alcohol-aqueous solution. The reconstructed Cu electrode obtained after sonication was denoted as X-UiO/Cu-bare. Meantime, the powder collected from 0.5-UiO/Cu by sonication was named 0.5-UiO/Cu NPs, which was vacuum dried at 40 °C overnight. We adopted the same strategy as 0.5-UiO/Cu NPs to prepare 0.5-UiO/ Cu-CV NPs and 0.5-UiO/Cu-CA NPs which were used to determine the evolution of UiO-66 structure after cyclic voltammetry (CV) process and after chronoamperometry (CA) process.

2.3 Materials Characterizations

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed on an X'Pert PRO, PANalytical diffractometer with a Cu Ka radiation source at a scan speed of 2° min⁻¹. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, HITACHI SU8010) equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) was used to confirm the morphologies and element mappings of various electrodes. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM) images were performed on JEM-2100F. Sample (0.5-UiO/Cu after CO₂RR) for TEM and HR-TEM measurements were prepared by scraping from the surface of the plane electrode with a blade. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Scientific K-Alpha) along with Auger electron spectroscopy was carried out to analyze the surface compositions and chemical states of various electrodes. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) was performed on a BRUKER **TENSOR 27 instrument.**

In situ surface-enhanced Raman measurements were performed in a modified flow cell equipped with a three-electrode system. Electrolysis of CO₂ was carried out on an electrochemical workstation (CHI 760E) in a CO₂-saturated 0.1 M KHCO₃ electrolyte. The Raman signals were recorded on an inVia Reflex Raman microscope (Renishaw) equipped with diode lasers (532 and 633 nm) and a water immersion objective (50×). Each spectrum was obtained using 10% laser power, 10 s of exposure time, and by averaging 3 scans in extended mode. In addition, Au@SiO₂ nanoparticles were used to amplify the Raman signal. Specifically, 500 μ L of Au@SiO₂ suspension was mixed with 2 μ L of Nafion solution. 15 μ L of Au@SiO₂ inks was drop-coated onto the plane electrode. Then, we selected Au@SiO₂ modified Cu foil (denoted as Cu), Au@SiO₂ modified 4-UiO/Cu-bare (denoted as UiO/Cu-bare), and Au@SiO₂ modified 4-UiO/Cu-0.25 (denoted as UiO/Cu) as working electrodes. 4-UiO/Cu-0.25 was prepared by recoating 0.25 mg cm⁻¹ of UiO-66 on the surface of 4-UiO/Cubare. The Raman spectra were recorded at open-circuit potential (OCP) and selected potential range from -0.2 to -1.2 V versus RHE with a potential interval of 0.2 V. Before CA test, a pre-electrolysis (CV) process was performed to pre-activate working electrodes. Every spectrum was recorded after 5 min of continuous CO₂ electrolysis.

2.4 Electrochemical Measurements

2.4.1 Evaluation of Catalytic Performance

The electroreduction CO₂ performance of UiO-66-modified Cu electrodes were evaluated in an H-type cell separated by a Nafion proton exchange membrane and equipped with a threeelectrode system (Fig. S2). Pt foil was used as the counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl electrode was used as the reference electrode. Two compartments of H-type cell were filled with 30 mL of 0.1 M KHCO₃ as electrolyte, where the catholyte was saturated with CO₂ before electrolysis. During the electroreduction CO₂ process, the flow rate of CO₂ was kept at a constant rate of 20 mL min⁻¹ and the catholyte was continuously stirred at 750 rpm. Chronoamperometry measurements were performed to electrolysis CO2 at a selected applied potential ranging from -0.85 to -1.1 V versus RHE for 1 h on an electrochemical workstation (Bio-Logic). CV test with the potential range from -0.41 to -1.21 V versus Ag/AgCl was used to pre-activate the working electrode before CA test. The gaseous products of electroreduction CO2 were quantified by online gas chromatography (GC, FuLi 9790II) equipped with the flame ionization detector (FID) and the thermal conductivity detector (TCD). ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance with a 600 MHz spectrometer (¹H NMR, Agilent DD2-600) with a water suppression mode was carried out to quantify the liquid products using DMSO as an internal standard. All potentials in our work were automatically corrected with 85% iR-compensation and converted to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale according to Eq. (1):

$$E(vsRHE) = E(vsAg/AgCl) + 0.197 + 0.059 \times pH$$
 (1)

2.4.2 Evaluation of the Electrochemically Active Surface Area

Electrochemical double-layer capacitance measurement was carried out to evaluate the surface roughness factors (R_f) and electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) of working electrodes. Cyclic voltammetry measurements (CV) were recorded in the potential range from -0.14 to -0.04 V versus OCP in 0.1 M KHCO₃ for five cycles at scan rates of 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 150 and 200 mV s⁻¹. The differences between anodic and cathodic current densities (Δj) at -0.09 V versus OCP were calculated and plotted against scan rates. After linear fitting, the slop of Δj versus scan rates was considered as double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}). R_f of each catalyst was obtained by calculating the C_{dl} ratio of the modified Cu foil to Cu foil. ECSA of each catalyst was estimated by Eq. (2), where S stands for the geometric area.

$$ECSA = R_f \times S \tag{2}$$

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Synthesis and Characterizations of MOF-Modified Cu Electrode

A simple drop-casting strategy is adopted to construct UiO-66/Cu hetero-interface on the electrode (denoted as X-UiO/Cu, where X is the loading of UiO-66), as shown in Fig. S3. At first, the UiO-66 nanoparticles with a size of 100-200 nm were synthesized by a hydrothermal method (Fig. S4a) and dispersed in a mixture solution of Nafion, alcohol, and deionized (DI) water. The Cu foil was mechanically polished to remove surface impurities (Fig. S4b). The dispersion of UiO-66 was then dropped on the polished Cu foil to form a uniform UiO-66 coating layer on the surface of Cu foil (Fig. 1a). From cross-section scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image and EDS mapping, the porous UiO-66 layer with a thickness of 1.5 µm on top of Cu substrate can be clearly identified (Fig. 1b). The thickness of UiO-66 layer can be adjusted by changing the loading of UiO-66, which might affect the transport of reactants as discussed shortly. Interestingly, the acidic UiO-66 suspension would induce roughening of the Cu surface and formation of well-defined cubic nanoparticles during the preparation



Fig. 1 a SEM images of 0.5-UiO/Cu (inset: high magnification SEM, the scale bar is 100 nm). b Cross-section SEM and EDS elemental mappings of 0.5-UiO/Cu. c SEM images of 0.5-UiO/Cu-bare (inset: high magnification SEM, the scale bar is 100 nm) and d XRD patterns of 0.5-UiO/Cu and 0.5-UiO/Cu-bare. All samples in Fig. 1 are characterized before CO_2RR

of electrode (Fig. 1c). XRD was performed to determine the crystal phase of the cubic nanoparticles. As shown in Fig. 1d, diffraction peaks in the XRD pattern of 0.5-UiO/ Cu electrode can be well assigned to UiO-66 and Cu. When UiO-66 layer was removed to expose the reconstructed Cu surface (sample denoted as 0.5-UiO/Cu-bare), an additional diffraction peak corresponding to Cu₂O emerged, implying that UiO-66 promotes the formation of Cu₂O on Cu foil by an oxygen-consuming corrosion process. Thus, the welldefined cubic nanoparticles were identified as Cu₂O.

The Cu LMM Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) of 0.5-UiO/Cu-bare was also carried out to determine the chemical state of Cu. In Fig. S5, the Cu⁺ species was dominant, which was consistent with the results of XRD. Moreover, XPS spectra of C 1s and O 1s for 0.5-UiO/Cu were presented in Fig. S6a–b to confirm the composition of surface coating. Five peaks with binding energies of 284.8 eV (C–C), 286 eV (C–O), 289.5 eV (C=O), 291.9 eV (CF₂), and 293.7 eV (CF₃) were presented in C 1s XPS spectrum of 0.5-UiO/Cu [42]. The C–F bonds originated from Nafion, which acted as a binder for UiO-66 nanoparticles. Other bonds were attributed to the ligands of UiO-66. Apart from primary peaks at 535.4 and 533.4 eV, which are attributed to SO₃H and O–C=O, respectively, secondary peaks assigned to Zr–O and Zr–OH bonds also existed in the O 1s XPS spectra [43–45]. In addition, in the Zr 3d XPS spectrum of 0.5-UiO/Cu electrode, the binding energies at 186 and 183.6 eV assigned to Zr $3d_{3/2}$ and Zr $3d_{5/2}$ were 0.7 eV higher than that of pristine UiO-66 nanoparticles [46], suggesting charge transfer between Cu and Zr (Fig. S6c–d) [47].

3.2 Performance of Electrochemical CO₂ Reduction

 CO_2RR experiments were conducted in an H-type cell with a three-electrode system to explore the catalytic performance of UiO-66-modified Cu electrode. The activities of 0.5-UiO/Cu and Cu foil were assessed by potentiostatic measurements for 1 h at selected potential from -0.85 to -1.10 V (versus RHE). All potential in our work were automatically iR-corrected using the electrochemical workstation. As shown in Fig. 2a, 0.5-UiO/Cu gives higher total current densities than that of Cu foil at all tested potentials. Moreover, the partial current densities for C2+ products



Fig. 2 a The geometric current densities and partial current densities for C_{2+} products as a function of potential on Cu and 0.5-UiO/Cu. **b** FEs of CO₂RR and HER products as a function of potential on 0.5-UiO/Cu. **c** FEs of C2+ products on Cu and 0.5-UiO/Cu as a function of potential. **d** Comparison of CO₂RR performance (including FE and partial current densities for C2+ products and stability) on 0.5-UiO/Cu with state-of-the-art Cu-based catalysts (details shown in Table S2). **e** The chronopotentiometric potential-time curve (left axis) and FEs of C2+ products (right axis) for 0.5-UiO/Cu electrode at -30 mA cm^{-2} during a stability test of 32 h

are obviously increased after modifying the Cu electrode with UiO-66, indicating that UiO-66 may promote the C-C coupling process. Given that the surface reconstruction process induced by UiO-66 coating would hugely increase the surface roughness of Cu foil, we estimated the ECSA corrected current densities of Cu and 0.5-UiO/Cu electrodes. The ECSA of 0.5-UiO/Cu was notably increased to 6.95 times that of pristine Cu foil, as shown in Fig. S7a-b and Table S1, which is consistent with the observed rough surface. Even after deducting the benefit of enlarged surface area, the 0.5-UiO/Cu exhibited a notably increased ECSAcorrected current density of 3.82 mA cm^{-2} for C2+ products at -1.05 V versus RHE, which is about two times higher than that of Cu foil. The ECSA-corrected current densities for H₂ and C1 products on 0.5-UiO/Cu were significantly decreased at selected potential range from -0.85 to -1.1 V versus RHE. Therefore, the 0.5-UiO/Cu possesses a better intrinsic activity for C2+ products, and the competing reactions toward H₂ and C1 products are substantially inhibited upon UiO-66 modification (Fig. S7c-d).

Except for the catalytic activity, the UiO-66 modification also has the capability of steering the product distribution of CO₂RR on Cu (Figs. 2b and S8). The major products of CO₂RR on Cu foil were altered from H₂ and C1 products to C2+ products after the modification of UiO-66. The 0.5-UiO/Cu electrode reaches the maximum FE_{C2+} of 74% at -1.05 V versus RHE, which is about 3.6 times higher than that on Cu foil (Fig. 2c). Moreover, the onset potential for C2+ products shifts from -0.95 V versus RHE on Cu to -0.85 V versus RHE on 0.5-UiO/Cu, demonstrating the promotion of C-C coupling by UiO-66 modification. Benefiting from the enhanced catalytic activity and selectivity of C2+ products, the formation rate (r) for C2+ products on 0.5-UiO/Cu was 13 times higher than that on Cu at -1.05 V versus RHE, indicating that UiO-66 modification significantly promotes the C2+ production on Cu foil (Fig. S9).

Compared with the state-of-the-art Cu-based catalysts evaluated in H-type cell [10, 15, 27, 48–56], the MOF-modified Cu electrode showed a competitive catalytic performance in terms of activity ($-26.57 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$) and selectivity (74%) toward C2+ products at -1.05 V versus RHE (Fig. 2d). As shown in Table S2, the formation rate of CO₂-to-C2+ products on 0.5-UiO/Cu reached 228.08 mol s⁻¹ m⁻², which was higher than most Cu-based catalysts. Moreover, the stability of MOF-modified Cu electrode is also superior to most reported catalysts. As shown

in Fig. 2e, the working potential and the FEs for C2+ products were maintained at about -1.00 V versus RHE and 60%, respectively, during an operating period of 32 h at -30 mA cm⁻². The remarkable stability of 0.5-UiO/Cu at a high current density could be related to the high intrinsic stability of the in situ formed a-ZrO_x/Cu interfacial active sites, in contrast to catalytic sites generated by ex situ approaches such as thermal [57] or plasma [58, 59] pretreatments. All in all, the dramatic enhancement of electrocatalytic CO₂ conversion toward C2+ products demonstrates the superiority of constructing dual-site catalysts using MOFs for CO₂RR.

3.3 Real Catalyst Structure of MOF-Modified Cu Electrode

Detailed characterizations were conducted to explore the real structure of MOF-modified Cu electrode during CO₂ electrolysis. SEM images show that the UiO-66 nanoparticles start to collapse and adhere together after CV test and then progress to severe adhesions after CA test (Figs. 3a and S10a). Meanwhile, the reconstructed Cu surface became rougher after CA test, which may lead to more heterointerfaces and stronger interface interaction (Fig. S10b-c). The morphological evolution of surface coating implies the collapse of UiO-66 structure. Thus, XRD analysis was performed on the surface layer collected from the 0.5-UiO/ Cu electrodes after the CV test (denoted as 0.5-UiO/Cu-CV NPs) or the CA test (denoted as 0.5-UiO/Cu-CA NPs). As shown in Fig. 3b, the XRD peaks of UiO-66 diminished after the CV and disappeared after the CA test, indicating that the highly periodic arrangements of UiO-66 were destroyed. The decomposition of UiO-66 was also confirmed by FT-IR analysis of the 0.5-UiO/Cu-CA NPs (Fig. 3c). The peaks at 1578, 1398 and 1504 cm^{-1} , which can be assigned to asymmetric stretching, symmetric stretching of carboxylate groups (O-C=O), and vibration of C=C bond in benzene ring, respectively, were almost disappeared after the CA test, indicating the loss of ligands during CO₂ electrolysis [45, 60]. The peaks located at 980–1220 cm^{-1} in the FT-IR spectra of 0.5-UiO/Cu NPs (surface layer collected from fresh electrode) are attributed to the main vibrational peaks of Nafion binder [61]. Moreover, ex situ XPS was performed on 0.5-UiO/Cu electrode to investigate the change of surface composition during CO₂RR. As shown in Fig. S11, the signals of C and O assigned to ligands (C=O, C-O, C-C)



Fig. 3 a Top-view SEM image of 0.5-UiO/Cu after CO_2RR at -1.05 V versus RHE for 1 h. b XRD patterns and c FT-IR spectra of UiO-66, 0.5-UiO/Cu NPs, 0.5-UiO/Cu-CV NPs, and 0.5-UiO/Cu-CA NPs. d TEM image of 0.5-UiO/Cu-CA NPs (inset: the corresponding SAED pattern). e HRTEM image of 0.5-UiO/Cu-CA NPs. f HR-TEM image of interface structure of 0.5-UiO/Cu after CO_2RR at -1.05 V versus RHE for 1 h. The product distribution of HER and CO_2RR g on Cu, UiO-66, 0.5-UiO/Cu and 0.5-UiO/Cu-bare electrodes, h on X-UiO/Cu electrodes, and i on different size of UiO-66 modified Cu electrodes

were obviously weakened while the Zr-O-Zr component was strengthened compared with pristine electrode (Fig. S6), indicating the increased inorganic components and decreased organic components in the surface layer after CO_2 electrolysis.

TEM was used to investigate the surface layer of the MOFmodified Cu electrode. Figure 3d depicts a typical UiO-66-derived nanoparticle collected from the 0.5-UiO/Cu electrode after CA test, and the corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (inset of Fig. 3d) and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image (Fig. 3e) reveal its amorphous nature. On the basis of above characterizations, we can conclude that the UiO-66 nanoparticles transform into amorphous ZrO_x (a-ZrO_x) nanoparticles with residual ligands during CO₂RR, forming an a-ZrO_x-modified Cu electrode. As shown in Fig. 3f, a clear interfacial boundary can be found between a crystalline and an amorphous phase. The lattice fringes with spacing of 0.21 nm in the crystalline region correspond to the (111) plane of Cu, while the amorphous region could be assigned to the ZrO_x component. The intimate contact of the two phases forms robust a- ZrO_x /Cu hetero-interfaces in the 0.5-UiO/Cu electrode during CO₂RR, which serve as potential catalytic active sites for CO₂ conversion.

To elucidate the roles of UiO-66 on the CO₂RR performance, a series of control experiments were performed. Firstly, UiO-66 itself does not exhibit any catalytic performance toward CO₂RR (Fig. 3g). Secondly, considering that oxide-derived Cu possesses a better catalytic performance for CO₂RR toward C₂₊ products than conventional Cu [57, 62–64], we assessed the catalytic performance of 0.5-UiO/Cu-bare electrode with Cu₂O on the surface. As expected, 0.5-UiO/Cu-bare achieved an improved FE for C2+ products at – 1.05 V versus RHE compared with Cu foil (Fig. 3g). However, the FEs of C2+ products on 0.5-UiO/Cu-bare (43%) were still much lower than that on 0.5-UiO/Cu (74%), which confirmed that the in situ formed a-ZrO_x/Cu interfaces played an important role.

Furthermore, the density of a-ZrO_v/Cu interface has been adjusted by changing the loading and size of UiO-66. As shown in Fig. 3h, the FEs of C_{2+} products as a function of UiO-66 loadings exhibited a volcano-shaped tendency. Low loading of UiO-66 (such as 0.1-UiO/Cu) may lead to insufficient a-ZrO_x/Cu interfaces, while thick coating layer may block the mass transport of CO₂. Thus, 0.5-UiO/Cu with an intermediate loading achieved the optimal selectivity for CO₂RR toward C2+ products. In addition, the catalytic activities in terms of current density for CO₂RR on X-UiO/Cu increased with increasing loading of UiO-66, which followed the tendency of ECSA for X-UiO/Cu. In addition, increasing the size of UiO-66 decreased the FE for C2+ products on UiO/Cu electrodes as shown in Fig. 3i, which could be explained by the fewer contact points between the large UiO-66-derived a-ZrO_x and Cu. Combining characterizations and control experiments, the evolution process of UiO-66 coating under CO₂ electrolysis is schematically presented in Fig. S12.

3.4 In Situ Spectroscopy Investigations

The modification of UiO-66 on Cu foil may regulate the CO_2RR process by the following two possible mechanisms: (i) in situ electroreduction formed a-ZrO_x may stabilize Cu⁺ sites, and thus enhance the selectivity toward C2+ products; (ii) the loss of ligands of UiO-66 under negative potential may expose a large amount of coordination-unsaturated Zr sites, which can interact with CO₂ molecule and steer adsorption mode of CO* intermediates, and thus promote the CO₂ activation and C2+ products formation. To investigate the real reaction mechanism of CO₂RR on UiO-66 modified Cu electrode, in situ surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy was carried out. Figure 4a-b displayed the Raman bands between 100 and 700 cm^{-1} , where the signals can be assigned to CuO_x and UiO-66 [65, 66]. For UiO/ Cu-bare and UiO/Cu, a similar evolution trend of Cu₂O was observed under CO₂ electrolysis. When a negative potential was applied, the pristine CuO_x signals existing at the open-circuit potential (OCP) became weakened. However, the weak signals for CuO_x persisted under a wide potential window without obvious discrepancy on UiO/Cu-bare and UiO/Cu. In addition, three bands assigned to UiO-66 between 100 and 700 cm⁻¹ at OCP on UiO/Cu disappeared under negative potential, in line with the decomposition of UiO-66 revealed by the ex situ characterizations. There is no indication that UiO-66 or a-ZrO_x could stabilize the Cu⁺ species under CO₂RR condition. We thus exclude the possibility that the enhancement of C2+ selectivity comes from the stabilization of Cu⁺.

After ruling out the effect of Cu⁺, in situ surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy also helped to explore the evolution of adsorption mode of CO₂RR intermediate during CO₂ electrolysis. The Raman bands at 283, 350 and 2070 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the restricted rotation of adsorbed CO* on Cu, Cu–CO stretching, and atop C \equiv O stretching (CO_{atop}), respectively. A visible difference of CO* related peaks can be observed on Cu foil, UiO/Cu-bare, and UiO/Cu. For Cu foil, the signals of CO* at low frequencies between 200 and 400 cm⁻¹ cannot be observed (Fig. S13a). The CO_{atop} signal appeared at -0.4 V versus RHE on Cu foil, which was less discernible compared with UiO/Cu-bare and UiO/Cu (Fig. S13b). As depicted in Fig. 4a-b, the restricted rotation of adsorbed CO* on Cu and Cu-CO stretching appeared at -0.6 V versus RHE on UiO/Cu-bare, which is more negative than that on UiO/Cu (-0.2 V vs. RHE). In Fig. 4c-d, the signal of CO_{atop} at 2070 cm⁻¹ exhibited a similar convex trend on UiO/Cu-bare and UiO/Cu as the potential shifted negatively, probably corresponding to the accumulation and consumption of CO* [67]. Interestingly, the intensity of CO_{atop} signal on UiO/Cu was much higher than that on UiO/



Fig. 4 In situ Raman spectra recorded between 100 and 700 cm⁻¹ on **a** UiO/Cu-bare and **b** UiO/Cu, and In situ Raman spectra recorded between 1700 and 2400 cm⁻¹. on **c** UiO/Cu-bare and **d** UiO/Cu at OCP, after CV, and selected potential range from -0.2 to -1.2 V versus RHE with a potential interval of 0.2 V for 10 min. Schematic diagram of CO₂RR process **e** on Cu and **f** on UiO/Cu

Cu-bare, indicating that UiO-66-derived a- ZrO_2 can stabilize atop-bound CO* intermediates, which are generally believed to promote the C–C coupling process [68].

On the basis of our spectroscopic results and literature reports, we speculated that the relatively low CO* density on the surface hinders the CO* coupling process on Cu electrode (Fig. 4e). However, the in situ formed a- ZrO_x with abundant Lewis-acidic sites may activate inert CO₂ and stabilize CO* intermediates by Lewis acid–base interaction [29, 38, 39], thus improving the probability of CO* coupling and producing more multi-carbon products (Fig. 4f). Nevertheless, more detailed experimental characterizations and/or computational efforts would be necessary to fully understand the mechanism.

4 Conclusions

In summary, we report that Cu electrodes modified with UiO-66 nanoparticles would catalyze the CO_2 electroreduction into C2+ products with enhanced activity and selectivity. The UiO-66 nanoparticles would decompose into amorphous ZrO_x with abundant Lewis-acidic sites during

electrolysis, in situ constructing an amorphous ZrO_x/Cu hetero-interface as dual-site catalyst. The optimal UiO-66-modified Cu electrode delivered an excellent selectivity of 74% for C2+ products, which was about 3.6 times higher than that for Cu electrode, as well as superior durability up to 32 h. In situ surface-enhanced Raman spectra indicate that the UiO-66-derived a-ZrO_x coating can promote the adsorption of atop-bound *CO intermediate, and thus facilitate the C-C coupling process. Constructing a robust hetero-interface opens up a new route to steer the adsorbed mode of intermediate and thus modulate the product selectivity. We believe that such a strategy would shed light on the design of other advanced electrocatalysts for efficient CO₂RR.

Acknowledgements H.B.W. acknowledges the funding support from Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (Grant No. LR21E020003), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 22005266), and "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" (2021FZZX001-09). The authors acknowledge the technical support from the Core Facilities, Zhejiang University School of Medicine.

Author contributions X. Li and H. B. Wu conceived the idea. X. Li performed the experiments and analyzed the results. J. Wang assisted in analyzing the results. X. Lv, Y Yang, Y. Xu, and Q. Liu

gave suggestions for this work. X. Li, J. Wang, and H. B. Wu cowrote the manuscript.

Funding Open access funding provided by Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-022-00879-5.

References

- B. Obama, The irreversible momentum of clean energy. Science 355(6321), 126–129 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.aam6284
- Y.X. Duan, Y.T. Zhou, Z. Yu, D.X. Liu, Z. Wen et al., Boosting production of HCOOH from CO₂ electroreduction via Bi/CeO_x. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **60**(16), 8798–8802 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202015713
- Y. Hori, I. Takahashi, O. Koga, N. Hoshi, Selective formation of C2 compounds from electrochemical reduction of CO₂ at a series of copper single crystal electrodes. J. Phys. Chem. B 106(1), 15–17 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1021/jp013478d
- Y. Hori, A. Murata, R. Takahashi, Formation of hydrocarbons in the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide at a copper electrode in aqueous solution. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1 85(8), 2309–2326 (1989). https://doi.org/10.1039/f198985023 09
- X. Zhang, C. Liu, Y. Zhao, L. Li, Y. Chen et al., Atomic nickel cluster decorated defect-rich copper for enhanced C₂ product selectivity in electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 291, 120030 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb. 2021.120030
- D. Xue, H. Xia, W. Yan, J. Zhang, S. Mu, Defect engineering on carbon-based catalysts for electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction. Nano-Micro Lett. 13, 5 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40820-020-00538-7
- 7. D. Wu, R. Feng, C. Xu, P.F. Sui, J. Zhang et al., Regulating the electron localization of metallic bismuth for boosting CO₂

electroreduction. Nano-Micro Lett. **14**, 38 (2021). https://doi. org/10.1007/s40820-021-00772-7

- Y. Hori, H. Wakebe, T. Tsukamoto, O. Koga, Adsorption of CO accompanied with simultaneous charge transfer on copper single crystal electrodes related with electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to hydrocarbons. Surf. Sci. **335**, 258–263 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1016/0039-6028(95)00441-6
- M.T. Tang, H. Peng, P.S. Lamoureux, M. Bajdich, F. Abild-Pedersen, From electricity to fuels: descriptors for C₁ selectivity in electrochemical CO₂ reduction. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 279, 119384 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2020. 119384
- J. Wang, H. Yang, Q. Liu, Q. Liu, X. Li et al., Fastening Brions at copper-molecule interface enables highly efficient electroreduction of CO₂ to ethanol. ACS Energy Lett. 6, 437– 444 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.0c02364
- H.Q. Liang, S. Zhao, X.M. Hu, M. Ceccato, T. Skrydstrup et al., Hydrophobic copper interfaces boost electroreduction of carbon dioxide to ethylene in water. ACS Catal. 11(2), 958–966 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c03766
- S. Nitopi, E. Bertheussen, S.B. Scott, X. Liu, A.K. Engstfeld et al., Progress and perspectives of electrochemical CO₂ reduction on copper in aqueous electrolyte. Chem. Rev. **119**(12), 7610–7672 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1021/ acs.chemrev.8b00705
- M.S. Xie, B.Y. Xia, Y. Li, Y. Yan, Y. Yang et al., Amino acid modified copper electrodes for the enhanced selective electroreduction of carbon dioxide towards hydrocarbons. Energy Environ. Sci. 9(5), 1687–1695 (2016). https://doi. org/10.1039/c5ee03694a
- S. Ahn, K. Klyukin, R.J. Wakeham, J. Rudd, A.R. Lewis et al., Poly-amide modified copper foam electrodes for enhanced electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide. ACS Catal. 8(5), 4132–4142 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1021/ acscatal.7b04347
- X. Wei, Z. Yin, K. Lyu, Z. Li, J. Gong et al., Highly selective reduction of CO₂ to C₂₊ hydrocarbons at copper/polyaniline interfaces. ACS Catal. **10**(7), 4103–4111 (2020). https://doi. org/10.1021/acscatal.0c00049
- X. Chen, J. Chen, N.M. Alghoraibi, D.A. Henckel, R. Zhang et al., Electrochemical CO₂-to-ethylene conversion on polyamine-incorporated Cu electrodes. Nat. Catal. 4, 20–27 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-020-00547-0
- M. Perfecto-Irigaray, J. Albo, G. Beobide, O. Castillo, A. Irabien et al., Synthesis of heterometallic metal–organic frameworks and their performance as electrocatalyst for CO₂ reduction. RSC Adv. 8(38), 21092–21099 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ra02676a
- J. Albo, M. Perfecto-Irigaray, G. Beobide, A. Irabien, Cu/Bi metal-organic framework-based systems for an enhanced electrochemical transformation of CO₂ to alcohols. J. CO₂ Util. 33, 157–165 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2019.05.025
- I. Merino-Garcia, J. Albo, P. Krzywda, G. Mul, A. Irabien, Bimetallic Cu-based hollow fibre electrodes for CO₂ electroreduction. Catal. Today **346**, 34–39 (2020). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cattod.2019.03.025

- S. Sultan, H. Lee, S. Park, M.M. Kim, A. Yoon et al., Interface rich CuO/Al₂CuO₄ surface for selective ethylene production from electrochemical CO₂ conversion. Energy Environ. Sci. (2022). https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ee03861c
- J. Albo, A. Sáez, J. Solla-Gullón, V. Montiel, A. Irabien, Production of methanol from CO₂ electroreduction at Cu₂O and Cu₂O/ZnO-based electrodes in aqueous solution. Appl. Catal. B Environ. **176–177**, 709–717 (2015). https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.04.055
- J. Albo, A. Irabien, Cu₂O-loaded gas diffusion electrodes for the continuous electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to methanol. J. Catal. **343**, 232–239 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat. 2015.11.014
- J. Albo, G. Beobide, P. Castaño, A. Irabien, Methanol electrosynthesis from CO₂ at Cu₂O/ZnO prompted by pyridine-based aqueous solutions. J. CO₂ Util. 18, 164–172 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2017.02.003
- I. Merino-Garcia, J. Albo, J. Solla-Gullón, V. Montiel, A. Irabien, Cu oxide/ZnO-based surfaces for a selective ethylene production from gas-phase CO₂ electroconversion. J. CO₂ Util. **31**, 135–142 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcou.2019.03.002
- Z. Zhao, X. Li, J. Wang, X. Lv, H.B. Wu, CeO₂-modified Cu electrode for efficient CO₂ electroreduction to multi-carbon products. J. CO₂ Util. 54, 101741 (2021). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jcou.2021.101741
- 26. X. Yan, C. Chen, Y. Wu, S. Liu, Y. Chen et al., Efficient electroreduction of CO₂ to C₂₊ products on CeO₂ modified CuO. Chem. Sci. **12**(19), 6638–6645 (2021). https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d1sc01117k
- S. Chu, X. Yan, C. Choi, S. Hong, A.W. Robertson et al., Stabilization of Cu⁺ by tuning a CuO–CeO₂ interface for selective electrochemical CO₂ reduction to ethylene. Green Chem. 22(19), 6540–6546 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1039/ d0gc02279a
- Z. Pan, E. Han, J. Zheng, J. Lu, X. Wang et al., Highly efficient photoelectrocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to methanol by a P-N heterojunction CeO₂/CuO/Cu catalyst. Nano-Micro Lett. **12**, 18 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-019-0354-1
- S. Chen, B. Wang, J. Zhu, L. Wang, H. Ou et al., Lewis acid site-promoted single-atomic cu catalyzes electrochemical CO₂ methanation. Nano Lett. **21**(17), 7325–7331 (2021). https:// doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c02502
- X. Li, Q. Liu, J. Wang, D. Meng, Y. Shu et al., Enhanced electroreduction of CO₂ to C₂₊ products on heterostructured Cu/oxide electrodes. Chem 8, 1–15 (2022). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.chempr.2022.04.004
- J. Li, A. Ozden, M. Wan, Y. Hu, F. Li et al., Silica-copper catalyst interfaces enable carbon-carbon coupling towards ethylene electrosynthesis. Nat. Commun. 12, 2808 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23023-0
- X. Li, X. Wu, X. Lv, J. Wang, H.B. Wu, Recent advances in metal-based electrocatalysts with hetero-interfaces for CO₂ reduction reaction. Chem. Catal. 2(2), 262–291 (2022). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.checat.2021.10.015

- J. Li, S.U. Abbas, H. Wang, Z. Zhang, W. Hu, Recent advances in interface engineering for electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction reaction. Nano-Micro Lett. 13, 216 (2021). https://doi.org/10. 1007/s40820-021-00738-9
- 34. J. Wang, T. Cheng, A.Q. Fenwick, T.N. Baroud, A. Rosas-Hernandez et al., Selective CO₂ electrochemical reduction enabled by a tricomponent copolymer modifier on a copper surface. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 143(7), 2857–2865 (2021). https:// doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c12478
- P. Shao, L. Yi, S. Chen, T. Zhou, J. Zhang, Metal-organic frameworks for electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide: the role of metal centers. J. Energy Chem. 40, 156–170 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2019.04.013
- J. Albo, D. Vallejo, G. Beobide, O. Castillo, P. Castano et al., Copper-based metal-organic porous materials for CO₂ electrocatalytic reduction to alcohols. ChemSusChem 10(6), 1100–1109 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201600693
- J. Santos-Lorenzo, R.S. José-Velado, J. Albo, G. Beobide, P. Castaño et al., A straightforward route to obtain zirconium based metal-organic gels. Micropor. Mesopor. Mater. 284, 128–132 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2019. 04.008
- G. Noh, E. Lam, D.T. Bregante, J. Meyet, P. Sot et al., Lewis acid strength of interfacial metal sites drives CH₃OH selectivity and formation rates on Cu-based CO₂ hydrogenation catalysts. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **60**(17), 9650–9659 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202100672
- K.K. Ghuman, L.B. Hoch, T.E. Wood, C. Mims, C.V. Singh et al., Surface analogues of molecular frustrated lewis pairs in heterogeneous CO₂ hydrogenation catalysis. ACS Catal. 6(9), 5764–5770 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.6b01015
- Y. Xu, L. Gao, L. Shen, Q. Liu, Y. Zhu et al., Ion-transportrectifying layer enables Li-metal batteries with high energy density. Matter 3(5), 1685–1700 (2020). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.matt.2020.08.011
- A. Schaate, P. Roy, A. Godt, J. Lippke, F. Waltz et al., Modulated synthesis of Zr-based metal-organic frameworks: from nano to single crystals. Chem. Eur. J. **17**(24), 6643–6651 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201003211
- X. Jiang, S. Li, S. He, Y. Bai, L. Shao, Interface manipulation of CO₂-philic composite membranes containing designed UiO-66 derivatives towards highly efficient CO₂ capture. J. Mater. Chem. A 6, 15064–15073 (2018). https://doi.org/10. 1039/c8ta03872d
- C. Chen, G. Levitin, D.W. Hess, T.F. Fuller, XPS investigation of Nafion[®] membrane degradation. J. Power Sources 169, 288–295 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.03. 037
- X. Min, X. Wu, P. Shao, Z. Ren, L. Ding et al., Ultra-high capacity of lanthanum-doped UiO-66 for phosphate capture: unusual doping of lanthanum by the reduction of coordination number. Chem. Eng. J. **358**, 321–330 (2019). https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cej.2018.10.043
- 45. X. Zhu, J. Gu, Y. Wang, B. Li, Y. Li et al., Inherent anchorages in UiO-66 nanoparticles for efficient capture of alendronate

and its mediated release. Chem. Commun. **50**(63), 8779–8782 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cc02570a

- 46. N. Zhang, X. Zhang, C. Gan, J. Zhang, Y. Liu et al., Heterostructural Ag₃PO₄/UiO-66 composite for highly efficient visible-light photocatalysts with long-term stability. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem. **376**, 305–315 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2019.03.025
- H. Yin, Z. Chen, S. Xiong, J. Chen, C. Wang et al., Alloying effect-induced electron polarization drives nitrate electroreduction to ammonia. Chem. Catal. 1(5), 1088–1103 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.checat.2021.08.014
- Y. Zhang, K. Li, M. Chen, J. Wang, J. Liu et al., Cu/Cu₂O nanoparticles supported on vertically ZIF-L-coated nitrogen-doped graphene nanosheets for electroreduction of CO₂ to ethanol. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 3(1), 257–263 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsanm.9b01935
- M. Ebaid, K. Jiang, Z. Zhang, W.S. Drisdell, A.T. Bell et al., Production of C₂/C₃ oxygenates from planar copper nitridederived mesoporous copper via electrochemical reduction of CO₂. Chem. Mater. **32**(7), 3304–3311 (2020). https://doi.org/ 10.1021/acs.chemmater.0c00761
- Z. Gu, H. Shen, Z. Chen, Y. Yang, C. Yang et al., Efficient electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction to C₂₊ alcohols at defect-siterich Cu surface. Joule 5(2), 429–440 (2021). https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.joule.2020.12.011
- C. He, D. Duan, J. Low, Y. Bai, Y. Jiang et al., Cu_{2-x}S derived copper nanoparticles: a platform for unraveling the role of surface reconstruction in efficient electrocatalytic CO₂-to-C₂H₄ conversion. Nano Res. (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12274-021-3532-7
- 52. A. Herzog, A. Bergmann, H.S. Jeon, J. Timoshenko, S. Kuhl et al., Operando investigation of Ag-decorated Cu₂O nanocube catalysts with enhanced CO₂ electroreduction toward liquid products. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **60**(13), 7426–7435 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202017070
- Z. Li, Y. Yang, Z. Yin, X. Wei, H. Peng et al., Interfaceenhanced catalytic selectivity on the C₂ products of CO₂ electroreduction. ACS Catal. 11(5), 2473–2482 (2021). https://doi. org/10.1021/acscatal.0c03846
- B. Liu, C. Cai, B. Yang, K. Chen, Y. Long et al., Intermediate enrichment effect of porous Cu catalyst for CO₂ electroreduction to C2 fuels. Electrochim. Acta **388**, 138552 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2021.138552
- X. Yuan, S. Chen, D. Cheng, L. Li, W. Zhu et al., Controllable Cu⁰-Cu⁺ sites for electrocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **60**(28), 15344–15347 (2021). https:// doi.org/10.1002/anie.202105118
- 56. S. Zhang, S. Zhao, D. Qu, X. Liu, Y. Wu et al., Electrochemical reduction of CO₂ toward C₂ valuables on Cu@Ag coreshell tandem catalyst with tunable shell thickness. Small 17(37), e2102293 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.20210 2293

- D. Ren, Y. Deng, A.D. Handoko, C.S. Chen, S. Malkhandi et al., Selective electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide to ethylene and ethanol on copper(I) oxide catalysts. ACS Catal. 5(5), 2814–2821 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1021/cs502128q
- D. Gao, I. Zegkinoglou, N.J. Divins, F. Scholten, I. Sinev et al., Plasma-activated copper nanocube catalysts for efficient carbon dioxide electroreduction to hydrocarbons and alcohols. ACS Nano 11(5), 4825–4831 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1021/ acsnano.7b01257
- H. Mistry, A.S. Varela, C.S. Bonifacio, I. Zegkinoglou, I. Sinev et al., Highly selective plasma-activated copper catalysts for carbon dioxide reduction to ethylene. Nat. Commun. 7, 12123 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12123
- C. Chen, D. Chen, S. Xie, H. Quan, X. Luo et al., Adsorption behaviors of organic micropollutants on zirconium metalorganic framework UiO-66: analysis of surface interactions. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 9(46), 41043–41054 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b13443
- Z. Liang, W. Chen, J. Liu, S. Wang, Z. Zhou et al., FT-IR study of the microstructure of Nafion® membrane. J. Membr. Sci. 233, 39–44 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2003.12. 008
- C.W. Li, M.W. Kanan, CO₂ reduction at low overpotential on Cu electrodes resulting from the reduction of thick Cu₂O films. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **134**(17), 7231–7234 (2012). https:// doi.org/10.1021/ja3010978
- C.W. Li, J. Ciston, M.W. Kanan, Electroreduction of carbon monoxide to liquid fuel on oxide-derived nanocrystalline copper. Nature 508, 504–507 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1038/natur e13249
- J. Gao, H. Zhang, X. Guo, J. Luo, S.M. Zakeeruddin et al., Selective C-C coupling in carbon dioxide electroreduction via efficient spillover of intermediates as supported by operando raman spectroscopy. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141(47), 18704– 18714 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b07415
- 65. C. Lu, Z. Li, L. Ren, N. Su, D. Lu et al., In situ oxidation of Cu₂O crystal for electrochemical detection of glucose. Sensors 19(13), 2926 (2019). https://doi.org/10.3390/s19132926
- 66. Y. Deng, A.D. Handoko, Y. Du, S. Xi, B.S. Yeo, *In situ* raman spectroscopy of copper and copper oxide surfaces during electrochemical oxygen evolution reaction: identification of Cu^{III} oxides as catalytically active species. ACS Catal. 6(4), 2473–2481 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.6b00205
- M. Moradzaman, G. Mul, In situ raman study of potentialdependent surface adsorbed carbonate, CO, OH, and C species on Cu electrodes during electrochemical reduction of CO₂. ChemElectroChem 8(8), 1478–1485 (2021). https://doi.org/ 10.1002/celc.202001598
- F. Li, A. Thevenon, A. Rosas-Hernandez, Z. Wang, Y. Li et al., Molecular tuning of CO₂-to-ethylene conversion. Nature 577, 509–513 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1782-2