ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Managing groundwater demand through surface water and reuse strategies in an overexploited aquifer of Indian Punjab

Navdeep Kaur^{1,2} · Samanpreet Kaur¹ · Naoum Tsolakis³ · Nishikant Mishra⁴ · Jagjit Singh Srai³

Received: 13 June 2022 / Accepted: 4 November 2022 / Published online: 23 November 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022, corrected publication 2024

Abstract

Groundwater sustainability is one of the most critical issues to the State of Punjab, India. In this research, a numerical groundwater flow model (MODFLOW) was employed to simulate flow and groundwater levels in the Sirhind Canal Tract of Punjab between 1998 and 2030. Historical groundwater patterns were calibrated using reported groundwater data from 1998 to 2013 for aquifer parameters viz. hydraulic conductivity and specific yield. Thereafter, calibrated flow simulated model was validated for the years 2013–2018. Twelve possible strategies, including three irrigation conditions and four pumping scenarios, were postulated to evaluate the performance of groundwater resources through to 2030. During the study, it was found that if current groundwater abstraction continues there will be further steep decline of 21.49 m in groundwater level by 2030. Findings also suggest that canal water supplies will be beneficial to reverse groundwater level decline and help to increase the water level by 11% above that in year 2018. The projected increases in water level will reduce energy demand leading to reduced CO_2 emissions of approximately 966.6 thousand tonnes by 2030.

Keywords Groundwater depletion · Wastewater reuse · Sustainable groundwater management · Simulation modelling

Introduction

Punjab, "The Land of Five Rivers", occupies 1.53% of the total geographical area of India with 82% of the State's total geographical area is used for agricultural activities (ESOPB 2020, pp.78–79). The cultivation of high yield crop varieties and the increased utilisation of fertilisers and ground water reserves has resulted in a significant increase in the agricultural production of Punjab during the last few decades, hence establishing the State as the leading supplier of food grains to the country (Aggarwal et al. 2005; Khosa et al. 2012). The

Samanpreet Kaur samanpreet@pau.edu

- ¹ Department of Soil and Water Engineering, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab 141004, India
- ² Biosystems Engineering, Oklahoma State University, Oklahoma, US
- ³ Department of Engineering, Centre for International Manufacturing, Institute for Manufacturing (IfM), School of Technology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0FS, UK
- ⁴ Department of Business, Law and Politics, University of Hull, Hull, UK

role of the State in ensuring national food security, via leveraging an extended mandi supply network system, is prominent as out of the 7128 thousand ha of the total area under cultivation for food and non-food crops, rice and wheat cover nearly 81% (ESOPB 2020, 78-79, pp.86-89). Approximately 99% of Punjab's net agricultural area is sown under irrigation, while surface water resources accommodate only 28% of the total irrigation needs (ESOPB 2020, p.157). The rest 72% of the required water supplies become available through tubewells (ESOPB 2020, p.157). The increased utilisation of groundwater abstraction structures in the State has increased during the past five decades owing to: (i) the implementation of resource development schemes supported by liberal funding from institutional finance agencies; (ii) the increased availability of electric power and diesel; (iii) the provision of quality seeds and fertilisers; and (iv) direct governmental support via subsidies (Ministry of Water Resources 2017, p.15).

However, the economic gains have come at a cost with significant depletion of groundwater resources (Saleth 2004; Shah 2009; Liu et al. 2020). In fact, the current average groundwater extraction rate in Punjab is 165% with the sustainable thresholdbeing20 billion m³ (Central Ground Water Board 2017, p.13; Srivastava et al. 2015), hence resulting

in a cumulative groundwater level decline of 10.8 m over the 20 year period, 1998–2018 with an annual fall rate of 54 cm. Based on the Central Ground Water Board (2017), the aquifers in Punjab are projected to run completely dry within the next 25 years if the current groundwater abstraction rate is maintained.

The rapid depletion of groundwater resources is serious national concern regarding agri-food supply networks and food security. Significant threats to water resources around the world have initiated the concern for their sustainable management (Vadiati et al. 2018). The most efficient measure to fight against groundwater pollution is to make a plan for integral prevention (Manos et al. 2010; Esteban and Dinar 2013). In the past decades, many researchers suggested solutions applicable to both upstream and downstream agrifood supply chain operations to promote the restoration of groundwater levels in Punjab, including crop diversification, efficient irrigation options, water conservation practices, groundwater recharge techniques and wastewater reuse (Aggarwal et al. 2004, 2005; Shakoor et al. 2018). From a policy-making perspective, Hira (2009) put emphasis on shifting subsidies from farming inputs(e.g. subsidised energy to tubewells) to farming outputs (e.g. increase in procurement price or bonus on marketable agricultural produce) to encourage farmers to use irrigation water more efficiently. Furthermore, Kaur et al. (2011) suggested an optimal crop plan that could result in groundwater savings of almost 25% in Punjab. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, very limited research has been conducted on how suggested interventions could impact groundwater behaviour.

Groundwater systems are complex in nature entailing a range of constituents, like surface water, geological media containing water (such as aquifers), flow boundaries, water recharge factors, sinks leading to water withdrawals, heterogeneous distribution of subsurface materials, and transient groundwater fluxes (Chang et al. 2017). To this effect, groundwater systems' analysis generally requires sophisticated mathematical tools/models to analyse the underpinning dynamics (Mayer et al. 1998; Patil et al. 2020). Simulation models can help assess the impact of different controls on groundwater recharge (Li et al. 2017; Tubau et al. 2017), provided that the associated models realistically account for all the involved processes. Thereafter, a calibrated and validated model can be used to identify the critical depletion and recharge zones, hence informing groundwater management strategies.

Groundwater models allow stakeholders to simulate and predict changes in water tables and determine water reserves' contamination levels. Models such as MODFLOW, FEFLOW, GMS, MODPATH, RT3D, SEEP2D and SEAM3D have been extensively used for informing the management of groundwater resources (Kori et al. 2013; Gorelick and Zheng 2015). Indicatively, MODFLOW has been used to inform the management of groundwater reserves via adjusting the current extraction patterns to sustain future water resources (Rejani et al. 2008; Shakoor et al. 2018). Nevertheless, modelling studies focussing on the groundwater levels in Punjab, specifically using up-to-date data, are sparse. In addition, despite the global water withdrawals of more than 65%, irrigated agriculture contributes 44% to the agricultural production which represents only 18% of the cultivated area (FAO 2017). This further justifies the need for considering the efficiency of water use, along with sewage water reuse, in the applied research methodologies and approaches (Barbosa et al. 2017), Application of treated wastewater in agriculture is increasing in water scarce areas of Mediterranean countries to augment water supply (Candela et al. 2007). The utilisation of multi-water resources is deemed essential for sustainable development (Wang et al. 2019). For example, farming and the food processing industries generate large sources of effluents, rich in organic matter, that can be reused as an environmentally sustainable practice for irrigating plantations (Menegassi et al. 2020). To the best of our knowledge, the impact of wastewater augmentation on groundwater levels has not been examined, particularly via investigating a range of alternative irrigation conditions and pumping scenarios. Vij et al. (2021) investigated wastewater paradigms in India during the last three decades and observed a policy shift towards wastewater treatment from 'water resource to meet basic human needs' to 'water scarcity and beautification of cities'. However, the authors further realised a gap between policy recommendations and actual on-the-ground interventions.

Motivated by the scarcity of studies on groundwater modelling in Indian Punjab, and to further address the evident gap about modelling approaches investigating the respective impact of multi-water resources' management, this research contributes to the extant body of literature on groundwater management via: (i) simulating the groundwater system of the Sirhind Canal Tract, one of the most productive aquifer systems at the Indo-Gangetic basin; and (ii) assessing the groundwater level in the region via evaluating alternative pumping and water management scenarios. Following the suggestion of Miglaniet al. (2015), this research applied MODFLOW to simulate and assess the future groundwater behaviour of the Sirhind Canal Tract. The novelty of this research is encapsulated to the following: (i) a range of irrigation conditions was considered, including wastewater augmentation from Sewage Treatment Plants (STPs); and (ii) different pumping scenarios were evaluated resulting in twelve possible strategies for the sustainable management of groundwater resources by 2030. Considering that groundwater behaviour is a dynamic phenomenon along with the need to update the respective information on a regular basis, this research elaborated recently gathered data about the region.

The remainder of this research is structured as follows. "Recent groundwater management studies using MODFLOW" provides a research background by summarizing recent studies applying MODFLOW for groundwater modelling. "Materials and methods" details the materials and methods pertinent to this research. Thereafter, "Results and discussion" discusses the research results and findings. In particular, the impact of alternative management strategies on groundwater levels, along with the associated energy requirements and carbon emissions, is presented. Finally, conclusions, policy-making recommendations, limitations and future research avenues are explored in "Conclusions".

Recent groundwater management studies using MODFLOW

Groundwater simulation modelling is a useful analysis approach in regions where groundwater resources' extraction exceeds the natural and induced aquifer recharge rates over long periods, like in the case of the Indian Punjab. To that end, MODFLOW, a three-dimensional finitedifference groundwater flow model developed by the United States Geological Survey, has been extensively used by hydrogeologists for the analysis of groundwater flows due to its easiness, accessibility and versatility (Kashaigili et al. 2003). Selected studies on groundwater management using MODFLOW are analysed below.

Rejani et al. (2008) used the Visual MODFLOW package and tested five pumping strategies for groundwater management against overdraft and seawater intrusion in the Balasore coastal basin in Orissa, India. Furthermore, the application of MODFLOW in the North China Plain showed that 29.2% reduction in irrigation could prevent groundwater depletion while an additional 10% reduction in pumping could foster the restoration of the groundwater aquifer to the hydrological conditions of 1956 within 74 years (Hu et al. 2010). Singh and Shukla (2016) employed VisualMODFLOW for projecting groundwater levels and articulating potential groundwater management scenarios for the Sai Gomti interfluve region in India. Khan et al. (2017) proposed a groundwater policy for the Indus Basin of Pakistan via examining different groundwater modelling scenarios, including: (i) groundwater pumping controls; (ii) improvements to the canal infrastructure; and (iii) precipitation changes. In addition, Sobeih et al. (2017) and Eltarabily et al. (2018) used MODFLOPW to model groundwater flows in western and eastern Nile Delta, respectively, to assess different scenarios capturing recharge from nearby canals

2011

and discharge from wells. Similarly, Shakoor et al. (2018) quantified the future groundwater depletion under three different pumping scenarios for the Punjab province in Pakistan and found that artificial groundwater recharge and surplus canal water supplies could be beneficial to avoid groundwater depletion. Glass et al. (2018) suggested that local overexploitation of groundwater resources in Hanoi, Vietnam, can be reduced by smart relocation of wells from the main depression cones and via the expansion of riverbank filtration.

In addition, Bougdaoui and Aachib (2019) focussed on the management and restoration of groundwater resources of the Berrechid aquifer in Morocco via examining alternative simplified management scenarios such as artificial recharge and reduction of the water volume pumped for irrigation. Furthermore, Chakraborty et al. (2020) used VisualMODFLOW 2000 for analysing the ground water level in Purba (East) Midnapur, West Bengal, India. Siva Prasad et al. (2020) investigated the groundwater balance for the Kandivalsa River sub-basin in Andhra Pradesh, India, and examined the impact of two scenarios on groundwater levels, namely: (i) increasing the withdrawal rate and keeping the recharge rate constant; and (ii) increasing the recharge rate by 50% whilemaint ainingaconstantwithdrawal rate. Table 1 summarises representative studies on groundwater modelling using MODFLOW.

Materials and methods

This research followed a multi-step methodological approach for developing the elaborated simulation model (Fig. 1). First, in Step #1, the Sirhind Canal Tract was identified as the object of scrutiny. Thereafter, in Step #2, the relevant geographic and climate characteristics were identified while respective data were gathered. MOD-FLOW-based simulation model of the Sirhind Canal Tract was conceptualised and discretised in Step #3. In addition, boundary conditions were set while groundwater draft and recharge rates were estimated. To articulate alternative simulation scenarios, in Step #4, the model was calibrated and validated. Thereafter, in Step #5, alternative simulation strategies were investigated. Specifically, four pumping scenarios under three irrigation conditions were simulated resulting in a total of twelve alternative strategies that could impact the future groundwater behaviour. The modelling results were summarised and analysed to suggest environmentally sustainable policies for the management of the groundwater behaviour in the Sirhind Canal Tract of the Indian Punjab.

Table 1 Groundwater modellin	g using MODFLOW: an overview				
Study	Modelling parameters	Temporal focus	Modelling interface	Analysis scenario(s)	Region
Bougdaoui and Aachib (2019)	Hydraulic parameters like permeability, coefficient of storing, porosity and piezometric data Boundary conditions Stresses and sinks	Current state Future state (i.e. 2025)	GMS-based MODFLOW and MT3DMS	Punctual injection in wadis for enhancing artificial recharge of flood waters Reducing pumped water volumes for irrigation by 25%, 50%, and 75%	Morocco
Chakraborty et al. (2020)	Hydrogeological parameters Surface elevation Lithology Aquifer characteristics Initial hydraulic heads	Current state Future state	Visual MODFLOW	Future simulation of groundwater levels	India
Eltarabily et al. (2018)	Hydrogeological parameters Geomorphology Lithostratigraphy Geology	Current state Future state	MODFLOW	Reduction in water levels of Port Said Canal by 0.20 m and 15% increase in pumping discharge Recharged volume from Port Said Canal to the aquifer decreased Canal recharge with the highest discharge stress from wells (50% increase)	Egypt
Glass et al. (2018)	Hydrogeological parameters Aquifer characteristics	Current state	MODFLOW-NWT	Ten wells from the main depression cones towards the Red River to induce bank filtration, while keeping the pumping rates constant Two well galleries consisting of ten injection wells Combination of the two aforementioned scenarios	Vietnam
Hu et al. (2010)	Hydrogeological parameters Hydrological stresses like recharge, abstractions, river interaction	Current state and altered state with water saving	DSSAT and MODFLOW	Agricultural water savings of 10%	China
Khan et al. (2017)	Hydrogeological parameters Stresses and sinks like river interaction, groundwater abstractions, canal recharge	Current state Future state	MODFLOW	Variation in seepage from canal from 30 to 70% Variation in abstraction from 70 to 150% Variation in precipitation recharge from 75 to 125%	Pakistan
Rejani et al. (2008)	Hydrogeological parameters such as hydraulic conductivity and specific storage, porosity, horizontal and vertical dispersivities Hydrological stresses like recharge, interflow, evapotranspiration, abstraction, river influence	Current state Future state	MODFLOW, MT3D for sea water intrusion	Normal vs. dry years Aquifer response to various pumping levels (second aquifer) Impact of 7 years pumpage on the second aquifer Increased withdrawal from the first aquifer	India

Table 1 (continued)					
Study	Modelling parameters	Temporal focus	Modelling interface	Analysis scenario(s)	Region
Shakoor et al. (2018)	Surface elevation Lithology Aquifer characteristics Groundwater abstraction and recharge	Current state Future state	MODFLOW	Maintain the current pumping rate for the study period Increase in pumping rate according to the historical trend Adjusted canal water supplies and groundwater patterns	Pakistan
Singh and Shukla (2016)	Hydrogeological parameters Aquifer parameters Recharge estimation (through ArcSWAT)	Current state Future state (i.e. 2020)	SWAT and MODFLOW	Constant recharge and increased withdrawal rate Reduced recharge and increased withdrawal rate Introduce additional recharge	India
Siva Prasad et al. (2020)	Transmissivity of basin Storativity ofbasin Lithology	Current state Future state	MODFLOW	Increase in the withdrawal rate Increase in the recharge rate by 50%	India
Sobeih et al. (2017)	Hydrogeological parameters Aquifer characteristics Hydrological stresses like recharge, evapotranspiration, abstraction, river influence	Current state Future state	GMS-based MODFLOW	Increased recharge rate by three times in the reclaimed lands and proposed new main canal Construction of new open drains alongwith the abovementioned scenario •••Increased groundwater pumping rates	Egypt
Bushira et al. (2017)	Hydrogeological parameters Aquifer characteristics transmissivity, storage coefficient, specific storage Hydrological stresses like recharge, evapotranspiration, abstraction	Current State	MODFLOW-OWHM using ModelMuse Graphical User Interface (GUI)	Better understanding of surface and groundwater interaction using River package (RIV) and Unsaturated-Zone Flow (UZF1) of MODFLOW	California



Fig. 1 Simulation modelling workflow for the evaluation of alternative ground water management strategies

Case identification

The Sirhind Canal Tract, known as Cis-Doab, is one of the most productive aquifer systems in the Indo-Gangetic basin. The tract lies to the south of the perennial Sutlej river between latitude $29^{\circ} 53^{\circ}$ N and $31^{\circ} 37^{\circ}$ N, and longitude 74° 50° E and $76^{\circ} 51^{\circ}$ E. The 2.60 million ha area of the tract covers entirely the districts of Barnala, Bathinda, Fatehgarh Sahib, Ludhiana, Mansa, Mohali, Moga, Patiala, Ropar, and Sangrur with some area of Ferozpur, Faridkot and Muktsar (Fig. 2). The elevation of the tract varies from 340 m in the north-east to 190 m above the mean seal level in southwest (Marok et al. 2000). The land slopes from north-east to south-west with an average gradient of 0.68 m per 1000 m. Alluvium deposits of the Sutlej River, the Ghaggar River and their tributaries form the principal soil in the tract.

Geographic area and climate determination

There are two main cropping seasons in the tract, namely *Kharif* (summer) and *Rabi* (winter), with rice being the main cultivated crop during the *Kharif* season and wheat the leading crop during the *Rabi* season. The climate in the region is characterised by wide seasonal variations in temperature. In particular, summer lasts from April till October with the temperature ranging between 21 and 51 °C, whereas the winter season extends from October till April with the temperature in the tract during winter is about 5 °C while during summer it reaches 40 °C, on a monthly basis.

In addition, the mean monthly relative humidity reaches 90% during monsoon (i.e. July to September) and varies between 30 and 60% during summer (Marok et al. 2000). Furthermore, the eastern side of the tract receives a normal annual rainfall of 1000 mm or more, whereas it declines down to less than 400 mm towards the west (Miglani et al. 2015). Overall, significant variations in temperature, relative humidity and rainfall can be observed across the tract.

The tract comprises an extended canal network, from main canals to distributaries and other inland waterways. The Bhakra Main Line Canal and the Sirhind Canal are the two major water sources for irrigation in the area. The Sirhind Canal further diverges to the Sidhwan branch, the Abohar branch, the Bathinda branch and the First and Patiala feeders. The First Patiala feeder further bifurcates into the Kotla branch and the Second Patiala feeder. The latter feeder is subdivided into the Ghaggar branch and the Third Patiala feeder (Fig. 2). The inter-state canal system of Bhakra Main Line is used to irrigate the eastern and south-eastern part of Punjab, along with the northern parts of Haryana and Rajasthan, through the Nirwana branch. The Sirhind Canal system is used to irrigate the central and western parts of the tract (Marok et al. 2000).

Groundwater simulation

The groundwater simulation was developed via employing the MODFLOW model (McDonald and Harbaugh 1988), in a Processing MODFLOW for Windows environment. The MODFLOW model utilises a partial differential Fig. 2 The Sirhind Canal Tract, Punjab State, India [based on data retrieved from the Punjab Remote Sensing Centre, Ludhianal



equation to quantify the three-dimensional movement of groundwater in a heterogeneous and anisotropic aquifer (Eq. 1):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(K_{xx} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(K_{yy} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(K_{zz} \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right) \pm w = S_s \frac{\partial h}{\partial t},$$
(1)

where K_{xx} , K_{yy} and K_{zz} represent the hydraulic conductivity along the x, y and z coordinate axes in m/day; h is the hydraulic head in m; w is the volumetric flux per unit volume and represents sources and/or sinks of water in m³/day; S_s denotes the specific storage of the porous material per m; and t is time in days.

Conceptualisation

To create a conceptual model of the tract, data on the lithology of the aquifer (i.e. structural contours, bore logs, aquifer properties), piezometer levels, climatic data, aquifer abstractions, canal data, canal network, and their L-sections were collected. Principal aquifers of sand beds, separated by clay beds at various depths, characterise the lithological heterogeneity in the area. Unconsolidated alluvium of the tract was accounted with beds of gravel and cemented sand in various locations (Marok et al. 2000). Phreatic as well as confined aquifer conditions in the alluvial material comprised of sand, silt, clay and kankar, formulate the medium for groundwater storage. The aquifer properties such as hydraulic conductivity and specific yield were estimated indirectly from the logs of 500 wells scattered across the tract (Fig. 3) using the following analytic descriptions provided by Todd (1980) via the Eqs. 2 and 3:

$$K_{\rm h} = \frac{\sum K_{hi} \times d_i}{\sum d_i},\tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\sum \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y}i} \times \mathbf{d}_{i}}{\sum d_{i}},\tag{3}$$

where

 K_h = hydraulic conductivity, S_y = specific yield, K_{hi} = hydraulic conductivity of the layer, S_{yi} = specific yield of the layer, d_i = depth of the layer.

The calculated hydraulic conductivity and specific yield was subsequently optimised using the PEST automated nonlinear parameter estimator (Doherty 2016). Primary time series data describing the water level of more than 200 observation points for the Sirhind Canal Tract, for the pre-monsoon period (i.e. June), was collected from the Department of Agriculture and Water Resources and Environment Directorate, Punjab. The data were used to calculate the hydraulic heads of the tract to calibrate and validate the model.

Discretisation

Regionally, the aquifer behaves like a water-table aquifer and extends approximately 220 km in length and 220 km in breadth (north-south orientation). After the conceptual model was developed, the area was discretised into 21 rows and 23 columns with a constant grid spacing of 10×10 km considering an unconfined aquifer up to depth of 300 m, resulting in a total of 483 discretised cells (Fig. 4). The digital map of the area was imported in MODFLOW to represent the real-world location of the study area.

Boundary conditions demarcation

Hydrologically, the Shivalik foothills delimit the tract towards east and north-east, the Ghaggar River flows in the southern boundary, the Bhakra Main Line canal courses



Fig. 3 Location and lithological description of observation points [based on data retrieved from the Punjab Remote Sensing Centre, Ludhiana]



Fig. 4 Discretisation of the Sirhind Canal Tract using MODFLOW

towards the south-west and the Rajasthan feeder streams in the west. However, based on historical annual groundwater level fluctuations, the cells lying southwest of the tract were considered as constant head cells, i.e. the storage term was not considered, and the head specified in the beginning was kept constant throughout the simulation. All other cells lying inside the region were simulated as active cells for which hydraulic heads were computed throughout all time steps of the simulation. The flux from/to individual boundary cells was computed using Darcy's law. The cells lying outside the boundaries of the study area were considered inactive or no flow cells meaning that no inflow/outflow of the cell was permitted in any time step of the simulation. Out of the total 483 discretised cells for the model, 226 were marked as active cells, 18 as constant head cells and the remaining 236 as inactive cells. The study area was simulated using 188 cells (observation cells) out of 226 active cells. The model was run in transient state to simulate the annual changes in groundwater levels. A stress period of 365 days was selected to simulate the annual effects of recharge and draft on groundwater system. The temporal parameters, i.e. recharge and draft, were simulated using well and recharge flow packages for each of the discretised cells.

Groundwater draft and recharge estimation

The number of tubewells in each block (smallest administrative unit) were multiplied with their values of unit draft to calculate the groundwater pumped during the period from 1998–1999 to 2017–2018 (Ministry of Water Resources 2017). However, the computed draft was increased or decreased by 10% while considering the variability of rainfall as \pm 19% or more compared to normal rainfall during wet/dry years. The total groundwater recharge for the tract, during1998–2018, was estimated using Eq. 4:

$$R_{\rm g} = R_{\rm r} + R_{\rm c} + R_{\rm cia} + R_{\rm tia} - E_{\rm t},\tag{4}$$

where R_g = total groundwater recharge (mm), R_r = recharge to groundwater from percolation of rainfall (mm), R_c = recharge to groundwater through seepage from the main canals, branches and their distributaries (mm), R_{cia} = recharge to groundwater due to return flow from canal irrigated area (mm), R_{tia} = recharge due to return flow from tubewell irrigated areas (mm), E_t = evaporation from shallow water table areas (capillary rise from shallow groundwater into the unsaturated zone and contribution to evaporation) (mm), and the net recharge was evaluated via using Eq. 5:

$$R_{\rm t} = R_{\rm g} - Q_{\rm p} \pm Q_{\rm g},\tag{5}$$

where

 Q_g = groundwater inflow/outflow to/from the area from/ to the neighbouring areas (mm),

 Q_p = groundwater abstraction (mm).

Due to the negligibility of shallow water tables in the study area, the evaporation was considered to be insignificant. As per recommended norms by the Ministry of Water Resources (2017), the recharge from rainfall (R_r) was considered as 25% of the total rainfall. Also, no recharge was assumed in case monthly rainfall collected in the region was accounted for less than 50 mm (Ministry of Water Resources 2017). The seepage from the canal network (R_c) for the unlined canal was taken as 18 ha m/day/106 m². For the lined canals, seepage losses were assumed to be 20% of the aforementioned value. Although the region is characterised by an extended webbed canal system, yet only 263 mm (around 27%) of irrigation water is applied through it. A value of 20% of water delivered at the outlet for application in the field was considered as recharge from the canal irrigated areas for the non-paddy area, whereas for the paddy area 35% of the water delivered at the outlet was considered as recharge. Similarly, the return flow from tubewell irrigated areas was considered to be 25% from nonpaddy fields and 35% from paddy fields.

Model calibration and validation

Long-term data are fundamental for the accurate calibration of a groundwater model (Kaur et al. 2015). In particular, the model in this research was calibrated with data for the period 1998–2013; the hydraulic heads predicted by the model were matched with the historic field-measured values by adjusting aquifer parameters within plausible ranges. Thereafter, the calibrated flow model was validated for the period 2013–2018. The degree of fit between model simulations and field measurements was quantified using the Mean Error (ME) and the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) given by Eqs. 6 and 7, respectively:

$$ME = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_o - h_s),$$
(6)

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_o - h_s)},$$
(7)

where

 h_o = observed hydraulic head, h_s = simulated hydraulic head, n = number of observed years.

Simulation of groundwater management strategies, energy requirements and carbon emissions

The validated model was then used to predict future groundwater levels by the years 2025 and 2030. Specifically, four different pumping scenarios were examined in combination with three irrigation conditions (Table 2), resulting in a total of twelve alternative groundwater management strategies (i.e. "Irrigation Condition—Pumping Scenario" combinations).

There are 42 STP plants geographically scattered across the Sirhind Canal Tract (Fig. 2) which can be used to provide treated wastewater for irrigation (Punjab Pollution Control Board 2017). The wastewater treatment capacity of these operating STPs, which can be exploited for irrigation usage is tabulated in Table A1 in the Online Appendix. Acceleration of industrialisation and urbanisation has made the urban sewage discharge rates to increase at an annual rate of 5%. However, the current capacity for sewage treatment is relatively low (Yang et al. 2017). Notably, the total STPs' wastewater treatment capacity in the study area is considerably

Table 2	Groundwater	management	strategies
---------	-------------	------------	------------

	Description	
Pumping scenario		
Scenario I	The current pumping rates remain the same till 2030	
Scenario II	The number of tube wells increases according to the pumping trend of the last five years (i.e. 2013–2018)	
Scenario III	The current pumping rates remain the same while canal water supply increases by 10%	
Scenario IV	Groundwater abstraction decreases while canal water supply increases by 10%	
Irrigation condition		
Condition A	Normal irrigation without augmentation	
Condition B	Augmentation of irrigation with treated wastewater from existing Sewage Treatment Plants	
Condition C	Augmentation of irrigation with potential treated wastewater	

less compared to the potential wastewater generated from the 66 blocks of the area (Table A2 in the Online Appendix). Although certain progress has been made regarding the exploitation of existing sewage treatment facilities, their geographical dispersion is a challenge (Cheng et al. 2020). To that end, new STPs need to be constructed to address the volumetric gap in wastewater treatment capacity.

While computing groundwater levels under irrigation conditions B and C incorporated wastewater augmentation, groundwater abstraction was reduced from the corresponding cells receiving additional irrigation water. Management of water resources was anticipated by comparing the predicted groundwater levels of the twelve strategies formulated for the purposes of this research. The groundwater levels projected under the different irrigation conditions and pumping scenarios were finally analysed to determine comprehensive policy-making recommendations for the environmentally sustainable management of the groundwater table in the study area by the year 2030.

Rural electrification reflects upon the fact that the energised tubewells have increased from 0.19 million in 1970 to 1.47 million in 2017 (ESOPB 2020, p.162). Therefore, the depletion of groundwater level has direct and indirect impact on energy, cost and carbon emissions. Kaur et al. (2016) discussed that a decline in groundwater level by 5.47 m (1998–2012) increased energy requirements by 67% and carbon emissions by 110%. In addition, Dhillon et al. (2018) estimated an energy requirement of 7919.60 megakilowatt-hour (MkW h) for groundwater pumping in central Punjab which would result in 1349.6 thousand tonnes of carbon emissions. Using the same methodology, the energy requirements and CO_2 emissions were estimated for the tract under the investigated management strategies.

Results and discussion

The groundwater simulation model generated interesting results. The subsections that follow present the modelling calibration and validation results, while further discuss the analysis output per investigated management strategy.

Calibration and validation

The groundwater model reasonably simulated the significant spatial and temporal variation (due to changes in recharge and draft) in the magnitude of hydraulic heads (Fig. 5). The results of the calibrated model, presented in Fig. 6, demonstrate a good fit between the simulated hydraulic heads and the real-world observations. Due to the heterogeneous topography and lithology of the region, the calibrated hydraulic conductivity was found to range from 1 to 100 m/ day, whereas the specific yield varied from 0.02 to 0.2. The



Fig. 5 Comparison between observed and predicted hydraulic heads at the Districts of Moga and Ludhiana at the Sirhind Canal Tract, as part of the model calibration process

average difference between the observed and the simulated water levels, for most of the internal nodes, varied between 0 and 0.75 m. Furthermore, the calculated average ME was 0.227during calibration and 0.341 during validation, while the average RMSE was calculated 0.470 during calibration and 0.662 during the validation period. All the calibration and validation results for the Sirhind Canal Tract are considered reasonable and within an acceptable range. For deviations within these limits, the performance of a groundwater model can be regarded as satisfactory (Toews and Allen 2009).

The calculated groundwater abstraction in the tract for the year 2018 was 27.30 billion m^3 and the total recharge was 13.80 billion m^3 . Notably, groundwater abstraction in 2018 was higher by 77% compared to 1998 due to an increase in the number of tube wells. Net recharge function of rainfall, irrigation and groundwater storage and abstraction, ranged from -60.00 to +32.40 cm in different years with an average of -10.30 cm.

Simulation

The water table at the Sirhind Canal Tract, observed to be23.20 m in 2018, was simulated for the years 2025 and 2030





under three irrigation conditions, namely: (i) normal irrigation without any augmentation; (ii) augmentation of irrigation with treated wastewater from existing STPs; and (iii) augmentation of irrigation with potential treated wastewater. The research findings in terms of projected groundwater level under the considered pumping scenarios and irrigation conditions are summarised in Fig. 7 and exemplified below.

Irrigation condition a: normal irrigation without any augmentation

In the normal irrigation condition, groundwater levels are expected to fall by 3.40 m and 5.96 m in the years 2025 and 2030, respectively, under Scenario I. Furthermore, considering the fact that tubewell density has been increasing in the region, the future groundwater abstraction of 33.2 billion m³ in 2025 and 34.9 billion m³ in 2030 under Scenario II will increase to 32.60 billion m³ and 34.20 billion m³ by the years 2025 and 2030, respectively, causing the water table to decline by 8.49 min 2025 and 21.49 m in 2030. In a similar scenario by Shakoor et al. (2018), depletion of 14.00 m in groundwater level was reported for the District of Bhawana in Punjab, Pakistan. In Scenario III with increased canal water supplies, the predicted fall in groundwater level would be 2.95 m by 2030. In Scenario IV where groundwater abstraction is proportionally reduced, demand for irrigation water is being compensated by additional canal water supplies, thus it is predicted to make groundwater table rise by 0.30 m in 2030.

Irrigation condition B: augmentation of irrigation with treated wastewater from existing sewage treatment plants

In irrigation Condition B, the groundwater level under Scenario I is projected to fall by 2.50 m in 2025 and 4.44 m in 2030. The fall in water table estimated for the management strategy "Condition B-Scenario I" is 3.37% and 5.19% less than the water table expected to fall by the year 2025 and 2030, respectively, under management strategy "Condition A-Scenario I". Although the tubewell density is considered to increase in Scenario II, the augmentation with treated wastewater from STPs would decrease the groundwater abstraction approximately by 5.17% and the water table is expected to fall by 2.82% in 2025 and 5.22% in 2030 compared to the water levels estimated in strategy "Condition A-Scenario II". In Scenario III of surplus canal water supply along with no additional tubewell drilling, the water table would experience a fall of 0.92 m in 2020 resulting in an improvement of groundwater levels till 2030. Under pumping Scenario IV, reduced groundwater abstraction, additional canal water supply and wastewater augmentation from existing STPs together would produce a rise in the groundwater levels by 1.51% in 2025 and 4.43% in 2030 compared to the reference value of 23.20 m observed in year 2018. Moreover, the estimated levels are 1.80% and 3.18% higher than the levels estimated under strategy "Condition A-Scenario IV" by 2025 and 2030, respectively.

Irrigation condition C: augmentation of irrigation with potential treated wastewater

The results estimated under irrigation Condition C could be regarded as the promising groundwater levels that could be produced by exploiting wastewater along with diverse pumpage and canal water utilisation. Particularly, in Condition C, the water table level would fall by 1.85 m in 2025 and 3.05 m in 2030 under the pumping Scenario I. These levels obtained in the management strategy "Condition C—Scenario I" are 5.80% and 9.99% higher than the levels









estimated for the years 2025 and 2030, respectively, during the strategy "Condition A-Scenario I". Under Scenario II, groundwater abstraction of 30.72 billion m³ in 2025 and 32.3 billion m³in 2030 would cause the water table to rise by 4.87% and 8.39% by 2025 and 2030, respectively, compared to the predicted values under management strategy "Condition A-Scenario II". Furthermore, in Scenario III, the water table after possible augmentation of wastewater would fall by only 1.16% in 2025 and 0.29% in 2030 from the reference water table in 2018, which is 6.14% and 11.12% higher than the groundwater levels predicted for the years 2025 and 2030, respectively, under the management strategy "Condition A-Scenario III". In pumping Scenario IV, potential wastewater augmentation along with increased canal water supplies and decreased groundwater abstraction could cause the water table to rise by 5.67% and 10.97% by 2025 and 2030, respectively, compared to the water table depth of 23.20 m calculated for the year 2018. These results are 5.95% and 9.80% higher than predicted levels for the years 2025 and 2030, respectively, under the management strategy "Condition A-Scenario IV".

Groundwater levels under alternative management strategies

A comparison across pumping scenarios and irrigation conditions indicates that it is feasible to completely reverse the falling groundwater trend by 2030 in Scenario IV (Fig. 8). Indeed, incorporation of all the strategies (i.e. enhanced canal water supplies, decreased groundwater abstraction and potential wastewater augmentation with irrigation under condition "Condition C-Scenario IV") could uplift the groundwater level at 20.7 m in 2030, which is 10.97% higher than the level at 23.20 m predicted for the year 2018. In Scenario II, which can be considered the worst-case scenario, none of the proposed management strategies will be effective for environmentally sustainable groundwater management. Augmentation of irrigation supplies with treated wastewater from existing STPs (i.e. Condition B) seems to be a realistic option and could ensure an improvement in the groundwater levels in the year 2030 by 5.19%, 5.22%, 5.80% and 3.18% under pumping Scenarios I, II, III and IV, of the management strategy "Condition A". Additionally, in case that all wastewater generated in future is treated (i.e. Condition C), groundwater levels are expected to improve by 9.99%, 8.39%, 11.12% and 9.80% in2030 under pumping Scenarios I, II, III and IV, compared to the management strategy "Condition A"(Fig. 8).

Energy requirements and carbon emissions

Groundwater pumping in Punjab interacts with energy consumption, thus dictating the need for inclusion of energy in relevant studies to promote integrated resources management and policy coherence (Wichelns 2017). In this regard, the energy requirements and CO₂emissions estimated for the tract under the different investigated management strategies are summarised in Table 3.



Fig. 8 Comparison of expected groundwater levels in 2030 under the investigated management strategies

In this research, it was estimated that 5945.1 MkW h of energy is required to pump 27.3 billion m^3 of groundwater which resulted in 1507.9 thousand tonnes of CO₂ emissions in the year 2018 for the Sirhind Canal Tract. During the simulation of the alternative management strategies, it was found that the energy requirement vis-a-vis CO₂ emissions would be the highest (i.e. 2825.7 thousand tonnes) under the strategy "Condition A—Scenario II". The carbon emissions estimated for the tract during the other strategies would vary by 11–17% from the highest emissions strategy.

Conclusions

The increased reliance of farmers in Indian Punjab on groundwater supplies for irrigation purposes has been the major cause of groundwater decline in the Sirhind Canal Tract. Nearly, 99% of the State's net agricultural area is sown under irrigation while surface water resources accommodate only 28% of the total irrigation needs and the balance abstracted through tubewells (ESOPB 2020, p.157). This research highlights the importance of groundwater models for predicting the groundwater levels under alternative management scenarios. Overall, the findings of this research indicate that new tubewells for farm irrigation purposes should be avoided and surface water exploitation promoted.

Academic contributions

Paucity of groundwater modelling studies in the Punjab region motivated the simulation of the groundwater system

 Table 3
 Energy requirements and carbon emissions under the investigated groundwater management strategies

Scenario	Energy required (MkW h)	CO ₂ Emissions ('000 tonne)
Condition A	Normal irrigation	
Scenario I	5945.1	1507.9
Scenario II	11,140.6	2825.7
Scenario III	5389.1	1366.9
Scenario IV	4615.3	1170.6
Condition B	Augmentation with canal	water
Scenario I	5362.9	1360.2
Scenario II	10,061.2	2551.9
Scenario III	4837.0	1226.8
Scenario IV	4336.1	1099.8
Condition C	Augmentation of irrigation wastewater	n with potential treated
Scenario I	4868.8	1234.9
Scenario II	9518.6	2414.3
Scenario III	4334.7	1099.4
Scenario IV	3810.9	966.6

in the Sirhind Canal Tract. Despite the extended canal network of the tract, 72% of the agricultural irrigation needs in the area are fulfilled through groundwater resources. Lack of proper assessment approach towards the impact of overexploitation of underground water supplies has led the water levels in Sirhind Canal Tract to decrease by an annual rate of 54 cm during the past 20 years. Formulation and evaluation of new water usage strategies to maintain viable groundwater levels is essential for securing sustainable farming. This study examines twelve alternative strategies, including three irrigation condition sand four pumping scenarios, with modelling results projected through year 2030. Furthermore, usage of treated wastewater in irrigation along with the different groundwater pumping scenarios provides promising scenarios that reverse recent declines in groundwater water resources in the tract.

Policy-making implications

The simulation modelling investigation successfully captured the spatial and temporal groundwater behaviour and the analysis of the results focussed on the decrease in groundwater abstractions. Specifically, the developed MODFLOW model was applied to predict future groundwater levels by 2030 under twelve management strategies. The research findings can help articulate recommendations to inform pertinent policy-making decisions based on the investigation of the impact of alternative instruments, as proposed in the literature (Moors et al. 2011).

First, as per the historic trend of groundwater abstraction, the model's findings suggest a steep decline of 21.49 m in groundwater level by 2030. During any of the examined irrigation conditions, an increase in the number of tube well scan result in a higher water table fall. Even in case the existing tubewells are allowed to continue operating with prohibitions on developing new tubewells, the State will be facing a decline of 5.96 m in 2030, which is still not considered a sustainable pumping scenario.

Second, the findings further suggest that an increase of 10% in canal water supplies can prove beneficial to reverse groundwater level decline. The canal water available in Punjab can be easily exploited to irrigate 3.08 million ha, out of the total 4.29 million ha of the State's land area. This makes sense in a way that over the years the canal irrigated area has been reduced by 10% (Table 4).

The share of reduction in canal irrigated area is highest in Central Malwa (~23%) of which SCT is a part, and on the contrary the canal water supplies have increased in southwestern districts. This has led to water-logging issues in the south-western region as farmers avoid utilising groundwater for irrigation, owing to its poor quality. In the central region, farmers have become highly dependent on groundwater and many of the canal water courses are no longer in use or have disappeared. The canals and distributaries in the region have merely become conveyance canal to transport water from Bhakra dam to south-western districts and neighbouring states. In an newspaper article by Singh and Mann (2018) it has been pointed that out of the total 14.54 MAF of allocated river water, Punjab is using 8 MAF less water, while the share to the neighbouring states have remained constant. Also, due to lack of repair and maintenance, the carrying capacity of the canal network has decreased over time. Decline in area under canal irrigation could be a decrease in the water flow in the rivers. A concrete canal water redistribution policy and concentrated efforts to repair the network and restore field distributaries can prove significant in sustaining the declining groundwater table. The recent findings by Kaur (2019) for the region point towards increasing rainfall trends by 2050 under Representative Concentrated Pathways 4.5, which may also contribute to the increase in canal water supplies.

Third, in alignment with policy findings from Vij et al. (2021), this research argues that the many STPs which exist in the vicinity of cities/towns for providing environmentally safe sewage water, can augment substantial portions of irrigation water supplies. Substantiating the irrigation water supplies with treated wastewater from existing STPs tends to reduce the surplus groundwater abstraction by 3-4% which can be further enhanced up to 7-11% by potentially treating the entire volume of wastewater generated in the region. This would prove beneficial to restore the groundwater level by 10.97%. Moreover, deficit groundwater abstraction and surplus wastewater augmentation and canal water supplies under "Condition C-Scenario IV" will reduce energy demand and lead to reduced CO₂ emissions of approximately 966.6 thousand tonnes by 2030.

Limitations and future research

This modelling research should be considered as a first step to quantify environmental sustainability of groundwater resources via leveraging surface water and reuse options. In the study, it is assumed that canal water supplies may increase in future, however, the decline in area under canal irrigation could be a decrease in the water flow in the rivers. This needs to be scientifically assessed if there is a decrease in the river water flow, and can be crucial material evidence for Punjab's case in the river water dispute pending in the Supreme Court (Singh and Mann 2018) and accordingly, share allocations to neighbouring states may be proportionately reduced. The analysis focuses exclusively on groundwater quantity issues without considering the groundwater quality component due to lack of time series data. Also, certain aspects like reduced crop water demand through crop diversification, improved field water use efficiency as in case of directseeded rice and micro-irrigation techniques, and managed aquifer recharge programmes, which significantly impact groundwater allocation for irrigation and recharge, were not considered in this study. The inclusion of such parameters provides research avenues for future studies to guide an integrated groundwater policy-making agenda in the region. Incorporation of groundwater modelling to end-to-end food supply networks that consider the water footprint of products could further provide a more comprehensive view over the sustainability impact both upstream and downstream operations (Aivazidou et al. 2018). Such a comprehensive systems view, could further inform governance decisions for ensuring equitable groundwater extraction in support of marginalised and small farmers (Hoogesteger and Wester 2015).

Area	Canal irrigated area ('000 hectare)			Remarks
	1970	1990	2018	
Bist Doab (Hoshairpur, Kapurthala, Jalandhar, Nawanshaher)	40	53	4	Decreased by 36 thousand hectare
Eastern Malwa (Ropar, SAS Nagar)	2	2	7	Increased by 5 thousand hectare
Central Malwa (Ludhiana, Barnala, Sangrur, Patiala, Fatehgarh Sahib)	224	186	138	Decreased by 86 thousand hectare (40%)
South-Western Malwa (Ferozepur, Moga, Fardikot, Muktsar, Bhatinda, Mansa)	786	975	976	Increased by 190 thousand hectare (25%)
Upper Bari Doab (Gurdaspur Amritsar, TarnTaran)	249	276	51	Decreased by 198 thousand hectare (80%)
Punjab State	1301	1492	1176	Decreased by 125 thousand hectare (10%)

Table 4 Canal irrigated area in Punjab

The bold values indicate the canal irrigated area for entire Punjab State

The number of installed tube wells provided a good estimation of ground water use for agricultural purposes and the model was able to effectively capture the annual trends of groundwater levels, which can be utilised for a broader policy formulation. However, this method does not adequately address domestic and industrial groundwater usage. Improved data availability (through mass monitoring together with telemetry systems), would allow modelling at a finer spatial and temporal resolution to give insights to the groundwater flow and recharge processes.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-022-01602-4.

Funding This research work was supported by Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, BB/P027970/1.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Aggarwal PK, Joshi PK, Ingram JSI, Gupta RK (2004) Adapting food systems of the Indo-Gangetic plains to global environmental change: key information needs to improve policy formulation. Environ Sci Policy 7(6):487–498
- Aggarwal R, Sondhi SK, Kaushal MP (2005) Integrated simulationoptimization model for groundwater management in Punjab, India. Int Agric Eng J 14(4):187–192
- Aivazidou E, Tsolakis N, Vlachos D, Iakovou E (2018) A water footprint management framework for supply chains under green market behaviour. J Clean Prod 197:592–606
- Barbosa EAA, Matsura EE, dos Santos LNS, Gonçalves IZ, Nazário AA, Feitosa DRC (2017) Water footprint of sugarcane irrigated with treated sewage and freshwater under subsurface drip irrigation, in Southeast Brazil. J Clean Prod 153:448–456
- Bouqdaoui KE, Aachib M (2019) Simulations of some scenarios of management and restoration of Berrechid aquifer (Morocco). Int J Eng Adv Technol 8(4):1735–1741
- Bushira KM, Ramirez Hernandez J, Sheng Z (2017) Surface and groundwater flow modeling for calibrating steady state using MODFLOW in Colorado River Delta Baja California Mexico. Model Earth Syst Environ 3(2):815–824. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40808-017-0337-5
- Candela L, Fabregat S, Josa A, Suriol J, Vigués N, Mas J (2007) Assessment of soil and groundwater impacts by treated urban wastewater reuse. A case study: application in a golf course (Girona, Spain). Sci Total Environ 374(1):26–35
- Central Ground Water Board (2017) Annual report 2015–16. Faridabad: Central Ground Water Board, Ministry of Water Resources, River Development & Ganga Rejuvenation, Government of India. Available at: http://cgwb.gov.in/Annual-Reports/ Annual%20Report%202015-16.pdf (accessed 18 Jan 2020).
- Chakraborty S, Maity PK, Das S (2020) Investigation, simulation, identification and prediction of groundwater levels in coastal areas of Purba Midnapur, India, using MODFLOW. Environ Dev Sustain 22:3805–3837

- Chang FJ, Huang CW, Cheng ST, Chang LC (2017) Conservation of groundwater from over-exploitation—Scientific analyses for groundwater resources management. Sci Total Environ 598:828–38
- Cheng P, Jin Q, Jiang H, Hua M, Ye Z (2020) Efficiency assessment of rural domestic sewage treatment facilities by a slacked-based DEA model. J Clean Prod 267: 122111
- Dhillon M, Kaur S, Sood A, Aggarwal R (2018) Estimation of carbon emissions from groundwater pumping in central Punjab. Carbon Manag 9(4):425–435
- Doherty J (2016) PEST model-independent parameter estimation user manual Part I: PEST, SENSAN and global optimisers. PEST model-independent parameter estimation & uncertainty analysis. Available at: http://www.pesthomepage.org/Downl oads.php. (accessed 18 Jan 2020).
- Eltarabily MG, Negm AM, Yoshimura C, Takemura J (2018) Groundwater modeling in agricultural watershed under different recharge and discharge scenarios for Quaternary aquifer eastern Nile Delta, Egypt. Environ Model Asses 23:289–308
- ESOPB (2020) 2019 Statistical Abstract of Punjab. Economic Adviser to Government, Punjab. https://www.esopb.gov.in/ Static/PDF/Abstract2019.pdf. (accessed 25 Apr 2020).
- Esteban E, Dinar A (2013) Modeling sustainable groundwater management: packaging and sequencing of policy interventions. J Environ Manage 119:93–102
- FAO (2017) Water for Sustainable Food and Agriculture
- Glass J, Rico DAV, Stefan C, Nga TTV (2018) Simulation of the impact of managed aquifer recharge on the groundwater system in Hanoi. Vietnam Hydrogeol J 26(7):2427–2442
- Gorelick SM, Zheng C (2015) Global change and the groundwater management challenge. Water Resour Res 51(5):3031–51
- Hira GS (2009) Water management in Northern States and the food security of India. J Crop Improv 23(2):136–157
- Hoogesteger J, Wester P (2015) Intensive groundwater use and (in) equity: processes and governance challenges. Environ Sci Policy 51:117–124
- Hu Y, Moiwo JP, Yang Y, Han S, Yang Y (2010) Agricultural water-saving and sustainable groundwater management in Shijiazhuang Irrigation District, North China Plain. J Hydrol 393(3–4):219–232
- Kashaigili JJ, Kadigi RM, Sokile CS, Mahoo HF (2003) Constraints and potential for efficient inter-sectoral water allocations in Tanzania. Phys Chem Earth 28(20–27):839–851
- Kaur N (2019) Impact of climate change on groundwater behaviour in Sirhind Canal Tract of Punjab: Doctoral dissertation. Ludhiana: Punjab Agricultural University
- Kaur S, Aggarwal R, Soni A (2011) Study of water-table behaviour for the Indian Punjab using GIS. Water Sci Technol 63(8):1574–1581
- Kaur S, Jalota SK, Singh KG, Lubana PPS, Aggarwal R (2015) Assessing climate change impact on root-zone water balance and groundwater levels. J Water Clim Change 6(3):436–448
- Kaur S, Aggarwal R, Lal R (2016) Assessment and mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from groundwater irrigation. Irrig Drain 65(5):762–770
- Khan HF, Yang YCE, Ringler C, Wi S, Cheema MJM, Basharat M (2017) Guiding groundwater policy in the Indus Basin of Pakistan using a physically based groundwater model. J Water Resour Plan Manag 143(3):05016014
- Khosa MK, Sekhon BS, Mavi MS, Benipal DS, Benbi DK (2012) Performance of target yield based fertiliser prescription equations in rice-wheat cropping system in Punjab. Ind J Fertilisers 8(2):14–18
- Kori SM, Qureshi AL, Lashari BK, Memon NA (2013) Optimum strategies of groundwater pumping regime under scavenger tubewells in lower Indus basin, Sindh, Pakistan. Int Water Technol J 3:138–145

- Li Z, Chen X, Liu W, Si B (2017) Determination of groundwater recharge mechanism in the deep loessial unsaturated zone by environmental tracers. Sci Total Environ 586:827–835
- Liu W, Bailey RT, Andersen HE, Jeppesen E, Park S, Thodsen H, Nielsen A, Molina-Navarro E, Trolle D (2020) Assessing the impacts of groundwater abstractions on flow regime and stream biota: Combining SWATMODFLOW with flow-biota empirical models. Sci Total Environ 706:135702
- Manos B, Papathanasiou J, Bournaris T, Voudouris K (2010) A multicriteria model for planning agricultural regions within a context of groundwater rational management. J Environ Manage 91(7):1593–1600
- Marok JS, Kaushal MP, Panesar PS (2000) Groundwater management in Sirhind Canal tract of Punjab. J Res-Punjab Agric Univ 37(1–2):105–113
- Mayer DG, Belward JA, Burrage K (1998) Optimizing simulation models of agricultural systems. Ann Oper Res 82:219–232
- McDonald MG, Harbaugh AW (1988) A modular three-dimensional finite-difference ground-water flow model: techniques of waterresources investigations 06-A1. Reston, VA: U.S. Geological Survey.
- Menegassi LC, Rossi F, Dominical LD, Tommaso G, Montes CR, Gomide CA, Gomes TM (2020) Reuse in the agro-industrial: Irrigation with treated slaughterhouse effluent in grass. J Clean Prod 251:119698
- Miglani P, Aggarwal R, Kaur S (2015) Groundwater simulation model for Sirhind Canal tract of Punjab. J Eng Technol 5(1):31–35
- Ministry of Water Resources (2017) Report of the Ground Water Resource Estimation Committee (GEC-2015): Methodology. New Delhi: Ministry of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenationhttp://cgwb.gov.in/Documents/GEC20 15_Report_Final%2030.10.2017.pdf (accessed 18 Jan 2020).
- Moors EJ, Groot A, Biemans H, van Scheltinga CT, Siderius C, Stoffel M, Huggel C, Wiltshire A, Mathison C, Ridley J, Jacob D, Kumar P, Bhadwal S, Gosain A, Collins DN (2011) Adaptation to changing water resources in the Ganges basin, northern India. Environ Sci Policy 14(7):758–769
- Patil NS, Chetan NL, Nataraja M, Suthar S (2020) Climate change scenarios and its effect on groundwater level in the Hiranyakeshi watershed. Groundwater Sustain Develop 10:100323
- Punjab Pollution Control Board (2017) Inventorization of sewage treatment plants located in the state of Punjab as on 31/12/2017. Patiala: Punjab Pollution Control Board, Government of Punjab.http:// www.ppcb.gov.in/Attachments/Reports%20and%20Documents/ STP31.12.2017.pdf (accessed 18 Jan 2020).
- Rejani R, Jha MK, Panda SN, Mull R (2008) Simulation modeling for efficient groundwater management in Balasore coastal basin, India. Water Resour Manag 22(1):23
- Saleth RM (2004) Strategic analysis of water institutions in India: application of a new research paradigm (Research Report 79). International Water Management Institute.
- Shah T (2009) Climate change and groundwater: India's opportunities for mitigation and adaptation. Environ Res Lett 4(3):035005
- Shakoor A, Arshad M, Ahmad R, Khan ZM, Qamar U, Farid HU, Sultan M, Ahmad F (2018) Development of groundwater flow model (MODFLOW) to simulate the escalating groundwater pumping in the Punjab, Pakistan. Pak J Agric Sci 55(3):635–644

- Singh P, Mann RS (2018) Punjab is losing 8 MAF of water per year. The Tribune. https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://www.tribu neindia.com/news/archive/comment/punjab-is-losing-8-mafof-water-per-year-603829__;!!NLFGqXoFfo8MMQ!uNmg5 T2J-572FnJOe-NJ1z-RrkeL2iVeEuPsWFTplDWcnWDN QVQWRk7C9OwndVzzEw8PYL3Nhnm4dwuD-eczg_fkxuC F\$. https://www.tribuneindia.com/news/archive/comment/ punjab-is-losing-8-maf-of-water-per-year-603829
- Singh RM, Shukla P (2016) Groundwater system simulation and management using visual MODFLOW and Arc-SWAT. J Water Resour Hydraul Eng 5(1):29–35
- Siva Prasad Y, Venkateswara Rao B, Surinaidu L (2020) Groundwater flow modeling and prognostics of Kandivalasa river sub-basin, Andhra Pradesh. Environment, Development and Sustainability, In Press, India
- Sobeih MM, El-Arabi NE, Helal EEDY, Awad BS (2017) Management of water resources to control groundwater levels in the southern area of the western Nile delta, Egypt. Water Science 31(2):137–150
- Srivastava SK, Chand R, Raju SS, Jain R, Kingsly I, Sachdeva J, Singh J, Kaur AP (2015) Unsustainable groundwater use in Punjab agriculture: insights from cost of cultivation survey. Indian J Agric Econ 70(3):365–378
- Todd DK (1980) Groundwater hydrology. John Willey Sons, New York
- Toews MW, Allen DM (2009) Evaluating different GCMs for predicting spatial recharge in an irrigated arid region. J Hydrol 374(3–4):265–281
- Tubau I, Vázquez-Suñé E, Carrera J, Valhondo C, Criollo R (2017) Quantification of groundwater recharge in urban environments. Sci Total Environ 592:391–402
- Vadiati M, Adamowski J, Beynaghi A (2018) A brief overview of trends in groundwater research: progress towards sustainability. J Environ Manage 223:849–851
- Vij S, Moors E, Kujawa-Roeleveld K, Lindeboom REF, Singh T, de Kreuke MK (2021) From pea soup to water factories: wastewater paradigms in India and the Netherlands. Environ Sci Policy 115:16–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2020.09.015
- Wang Y, Yang J, Chang J (2019) Development of a coupled quantityquality-environment water allocation model applying the optimization-simulation method. J Clean Prod 213:944–955
- Wichelns D (2017) The water-energy-food nexus: is the increasing attention warranted, from either a research or policy perspective? Environ Sci Policy 69:113–123
- Yang T, Long R, Cui X, Zhu D, Chen H (2017) Application of the public–private partnership model to urban sewage treatment. J Cleaner Prod 142:1065–1074. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro. 2016.04.152

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.