




# Virtual Tools to Enable Management of Knee Osteoarthritis

Rana S. Hinman, BPhysio(Hons), PhD\*   
Belinda J. Lawford, BMedSci, BHLthSci(Hons), PhD  
Rachel K. Nelligan, BPhysio, PGCertPhysio, PhD  
Kim L. Bennell, BAppSci(Physio), PhD

## Address

\*Centre for Health, Exercise and Sports Medicine, Department of Physiotherapy, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine Dentistry & Health Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia  
Email: ranash@unimelb.edu.au

Published online: 11 March 2023

© The Author(s) 2023

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Osteoarthritis*

**Keywords** Knee osteoarthritis · Telehealth · Exercise · Digital health · mHealth · Internet

## Abstract

*Purpose of review* There is increasing recognition that virtual tools, enabled by the internet and telecommunications technology, can increase access to health care. We review evidence about the clinical effectiveness and acceptability of telephone-delivered and videoconferencing clinician consultations, websites and internet-delivered programs, and SMS and mobile applications in enabling the management of people with knee osteoarthritis (OA). We discuss barriers to using virtual tools and suggest strategies to facilitate implementation in clinical settings.

*Recent findings* An increasing number of systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and clinical trials provide evidence showing the effectiveness of virtual tools for improving knee OA management. Qualitative research shows that virtual tools increase patient access to knee OA care, are generally acceptable and convenient for patients, but can be associated with barriers to use from patient and clinician perspectives.

*Summary* Virtual tools offer new opportunities to enable people with knee OA to manage their condition and receive care that may otherwise be difficult or not possible to access. Telephone calls and videoconferencing can be used for real-time synchronous consultations between clinicians and patients, increasing the geographic reach of health services.

Websites and internet-based programs can be used to educate patients about their condition, as well as deliver exercise, weight management, and psychological interventions. Mobile apps can monitor and track OA symptoms, exercise, and physical activity, while SMS can facilitate positive behaviour changes for self-management over the long-term when sustained clinician contact may not be possible.

## Introduction

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is a major contributor to global disability [1] and the disease burden is set to escalate in the future [2, 3] as OA prevalence increases with the ageing of the population and rising obesity rates. It will become increasingly difficult for health services to manage people experiencing persistent knee pain and physical dysfunction typically associated with knee OA, and innovative approaches to delivering care at scale will be required. Clinical practice guidelines for knee OA emphasise the fundamental role of non-drug non-surgical strategies [4]. Self-management and patient-driven treatment options are the preferred approach, rather than clinician-delivered passive therapies. Advice and educational information for self-management, exercise and physical activity, and weight loss (for those who have overweight or obesity) are thus considered core management in all clinical guidelines [4] for people with knee OA.

People with knee OA may experience difficulty in accessing clinicians and healthcare services [5]. For example, people who live in rural or remote areas often experience geographical distance from health services or a shortage of health care professionals and/or services [6]. Even in metropolitan regions, travelling to visit a clinician in-person can be difficult for people who knee OA who experience restricted mobility outside the home [7]. For people with knee OA who are able to attend a health service in-person, consultations

with a clinician may be limited by waiting lists and/or funding constraints [5]. People with knee OA often desire or require more sustained and/or frequent support from healthcare services to assist them in making the positive behavioural changes necessary to engage in exercise and weight loss over the long-term [8–10]. Evolving technology provides opportunities to increase the accessibility of healthcare services via ‘virtual’ strategies (often also termed telehealth, eHealth, mHealth, or digital healthcare). The European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) advocates that remote care be considered in all parts of the patient pathway for self-management interventions for people with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases [11••]. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (UK) recommends digital and mobile health interventions be considered an option for any person who would benefit from improving their diet and/or increasing physical activity [12]. In particular, the guidelines advocate the important role of self-monitoring to review progress towards individual diet and physical activity goals. This review will focus on how virtual tools — including telephone and videoconferencing consultations, websites, internet-delivered programs, SMS, and mobile applications — may enable the management of people with knee OA. Challenges to using virtual tools in clinical practice will also be discussed.

## Videoconferencing consultations

Numerous recent systematic reviews have evaluated the effectiveness of virtual interventions for people with knee OA [13•, 14, 15••, 16, 17], collectively concluding that these models of service delivery can improve symptoms like joint pain and physical function. However, although videoconferencing

consultations (i.e. synchronous video calls with a clinician using software such as Zoom, WhatsApp, Teams) are a common method for delivering virtual clinical care [18, 19], there is surprisingly little research evaluating its effectiveness in people with knee OA. For example, only one RCT included in those systematic reviews involved videoconferencing consultations. That trial found that an intervention involving seven individual consultations with a physiotherapist over Skype for a knee strengthening program, as well as access to an online pain coping skills program, led to clinically significant improvements in pain, physical function, and quality of life, compared to an information-only control group [20]. Importantly, those participants, as well as the physiotherapists who provided care, described overall positive experiences with videoconferencing consultations [21].

Since those systematic reviews [13•, 14, 15••, 16, 17], two new RCTs evaluating the effectiveness of videoconferencing consultations for people with knee OA have been published. One 3-arm trial compared two 6-month exercise programs delivered by physiotherapists via Zoom, one with and one without a weight loss dietary program administered by dietitians via Zoom, compared to information-only control [22•]. Both interventions led to improved pain and physical function, with the combined exercise plus diet program conferring modest benefits over exercise only. Both programs were also found to be cost-effective [23], and qualitative research showed that both patients and dietitians had positive experiences using videoconferencing to receive/deliver the weight loss program [8]. Another 3-arm RCT in people with knee OA and obesity utilised a blended approach to delivering resistance exercise and dietary care [24]. Participants received initial in-person consultations with dietitians or sports medicine clinical staff and were then followed up once a week for 12 weeks via telephone or videoconferencing. This RCT showed that, compared to diet or exercise alone, the combined diet plus exercise intervention led to greater improvements in physical function, reduced cholesterol, and reduced triglyceride levels. Collectively, the existing evidence suggests that exercise and weight loss programs delivered via videoconferencing with qualified health professionals are effective for people with knee OA. However, no clinical trials have evaluated the effects of videoconferencing consultations in comparison to traditional in-person consultations, although an RCT is underway [25].

There is growing evidence that performance-based tests of strength, physical function, and balance can be administered reliably by clinicians via videoconferencing. Such tests are important to allow clinicians to evaluate treatment response and adjust treatment if required. Recent systematic reviews found that several performance-based tests have sufficient reliability and agreement with in-person test scores when administered via videoconferencing [26, 27, 28••]. However, only four of the studies included in those reviews focused on telehealth assessment of people with chronic lower limb musculoskeletal conditions [29–32], and the overall evidence was of low to very low quality. Furthermore, most studies utilised expensive and/or complex videoconferencing systems, which limits the generalisability of findings to ‘real-world’ clinical settings. Since those reviews, a recent study [33] investigated the test–retest reliability, and the agreement with scores in-person, of performance-based tests that are recommended by the Osteoarthritis Research

Society International for people with hip/knee OA [34]. That study utilised pragmatic methods (i.e. used freely available videoconferencing software and equipment found around the home) and found that many tests had acceptable test–retest reliability and acceptable agreement with scores obtained in-person (e.g. stair climb test, timed up and go, right leg timed single-leg stance, calf raise). However, some were found to have lower levels of reliability or agreement (e.g. fast-paced walk test).

## Telephone consultations

Compared to videoconferencing, more research has focused on telephone-delivered interventions for people with knee OA [13•, 14, 15••, 16, 17, 35]. Numerous RCTs have shown that telephone-delivered exercise can benefit people with knee OA. For example, prior trials have found no differences in clinical outcomes between physiotherapist-prescribed exercise programs delivered via telephone and delivered in-person, in terms of improvements in pain, function, and quality of life [36, 37]. Two RCTs have compared telephone-delivered exercise by a physiotherapist [38•] or a health educator [39] to information-only control groups, collectively reporting positive effects on pain and physical function post-intervention. However, as with many in-person delivered exercise programs, benefits were not sustained in the longer-term [38•]. Other exercise RCTs have evaluated interventions using a combination of in-person and telephone consultations. For example, two RCTs found that interventions involving initial in-person consultations of education and exercise, followed by telephone advice and support, led to greater improvements in physical activity compared to a wait list [40], and were no different to clinical outcomes following the same program delivered entirely in-person [41].

Beyond exercise, there is some evidence that other telephone-delivered interventions may benefit people with knee OA, including health/motivational coaching, cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), and dietary weight loss. Two RCTs evaluated the effects of adding coaching/motivational telephone calls to promote behaviour change and long-term adherence during/after a prescribed exercise program [42, 43]. Although one study found that the telephone group had higher physical activity, more global improvements, and better exercise adherence immediately post-intervention [42], neither study found any evidence of effects on adherence in the longer-term [42, 43]. Telephone-delivered CBT may also have benefits for people with knee OA, with a recent RCT finding that a telephone-delivered CBT for insomnia in adults with OA led to improved sleep, fatigue, and pain, compared to information-only control [44•] and was cost-effective [45]. Multi-component interventions (i.e. involving a physical activity program, weight management advice, and CBT strategies), delivered via telephone by a counsellor, have also been found to lead to small improvements in physical function, compared to usual care [46, 47]. In contrast, telephone-delivered generic weight management and healthy lifestyle advice does not appear to be effective for knee OA,

with one RCT showing no significant improvements in knee pain or body weight compared to usual care [48].

## Websites and online programs

The number of websites about OA is rapidly escalating [49], with many patients using the internet to seek information about their condition and treatment options and/or to undertake structured treatment programs for their OA symptoms. Various types of OA websites exist including academic/non-profit, physician, social, and commercial, the latter being the most common [50]. However, studies have shown that the quality, readability, and content of OA websites are variable [49, 51•], with differences between countries and between website types [50]. Of 27 freely available contemporary pain management websites identified in a scoping review, Arthritis Australia's MyJointPain (which is specifically for OA), Pain Canada's LivePlanBe, and the ACI Pain Management Network were the top ranked in terms of quality and best practice self-management support strategies [52]. Selected evidence-based website information/resources/programs for OA that may be of use in the clinical setting are outlined in Table 1.

Several structured self-directed web-based exercise programs have been developed for, and tested in, RCTs in people with knee OA. The results have been somewhat variable, which may reflect differences in program duration, type of exercise, individualisation, and use of behaviour change techniques and adherence. One RCT tested a 9-week behavioural graded activity program in 199 people with knee and/or hip OA [75]. Inconsistent benefits for function and physical activity levels were found, but only 46% reached the adherence threshold of completing 6 out of the 9 modules, highlighting a lack of engagement. Another program incorporated tailored progressive exercises based on an algorithm and inputted patient data, video demonstrations, automated reminders, and progress tracking [76]. Engagement with this program was also relatively low and most clinical outcomes were not different from physiotherapist treatment or wait list control. Better adherence and outcomes were noted with a 24-week self-directed web program including a strengthening exercise regimen, physical activity guidance, and information, supported by automated behaviour change text messages [53•]. Finally, an online self-directed 12-week yoga program of pre-recorded videos improved function, knee stiffness, quality of life, and arthritis self-efficacy, but not knee pain, compared to online education alone in 212 people with knee OA [54•]. Overall, the results suggest that self-directed web-based exercise programs can have beneficial effects in people with knee OA, although lack of engagement can be an issue. Qualitative evaluations reveal that facilitators include ease of use, functionality, and development by a reputable source [77, 78]. However, some users deemed human interaction to be preferable [77, 78], highlighting that a blended approach with clinician input may enhance patient outcomes with these structured online programs. Another option is to

**Table 1. Selected examples of English-language evidence-based virtual websites/programs/applications that may be used to support the management of people with knee osteoarthritis (OA)**

Virtual tool	Description	Supporting evidence
My Knee Exercise <a href="https://mykneexercise.org.au">https://mykneexercise.org.au</a>	Website with instructions for progressive home-based strengthening exercises, as well as educational information and downloadable documents to support exercise adherence	RCT in 206 people with knee OA showed the strengthening program, when combined with SMS support, reduced knee pain, and improved function compared to a control website with educational information only [53•]
My Joint Yoga <a href="https://myjointyoga.com.au">https://myjointyoga.com.au</a>	Website with a 12-week yoga program delivered in pre-recorded video format with an instructor and group class, as well as educational information	RCT in 212 people with knee OA showed the yoga program plus online education improved function, knee stiffness, quality of life, and arthritis self-efficacy compared to online education alone [54•]
My Exercise Messages Apple store and Google play	Mobile app that allows tracking of completed weekly exercise sessions and provides regular messages to facilitate weekly exercise and personalised messages to help overcome individual barriers to exercise participation	Adapted from an RCT in 110 people with knee OA, which showed that the behaviour change messages delivered via SMS improved adherence to home exercise at 24 weeks in people with knee OA [55•]. The behaviour change messages were designed using the Behaviour Change Wheel framework and developed to address key barriers and facilitators to exercise participation in adults with hip and/or knee OA [56]
ESCAPE-pain <a href="https://escape-pain.org">https://escape-pain.org</a>	Web program and app that supports the ESCAPE-pain program which is an in-person group rehabilitation program that integrates educational self-management and coping strategies with an individualised exercise regimen	A pragmatic program evaluation between 2014 and 2018 involving over 110 clinical and non-clinical sites and reaching over 9000 people with OA showed sustained clinical effectiveness and high levels of adherence within a range of real-world settings [57]
Walk with Ease Apple store and Google Play	Mobile app that outlines the US Arthritis Foundation's 6 week Walk with Ease program. Used in conjunction with the booklet and a Fitbit	Longitudinal cohort evaluations of the program (in classes or self-directed) in various settings/populations have shown it can increase distance walked and improve symptoms and self-management [58–60]
PhysiTrack <a href="https://www.physitrack.com.au">https://www.physitrack.com.au</a>	Web platform and mobile app for remote care delivery, including exercise programming system with exercise videos and instructions/dosages delivered to the patient's smartphone or computer	RCT in 305 people with acute or chronic musculoskeletal conditions showed that adherence to a physiotherapist-prescribed exercise program was greater when the web-based system was used compared with the therapists' usual methods [61]

Table 1. (continued)

Virtual tool	Description	Supporting evidence
Joint Academy <a href="https://www.jointacademy.com/us/en/">https://www.jointacademy.com/us/en/</a>	Web and app program of structured OA information and individualised neuromuscular exercise, supervised by a physiotherapist via asynchronous chat and/or telephone. Available in USA only	A longitudinal cohort study in 499 people with knee and/or hip OA showed that continuously participating in the web-based program was associated with improvements in pain and function [62]. RCT in 105 people with knee OA showed the app program reduced knee pain compared to routine self-management [63]
My Joint Pain <a href="https://myjointpain.org.au">https://myjointpain.org.au</a>	Website from Arthritis Australia which provides information about OA and treatments. Allows individuals to input information in order to receive a risk assessment, tailored management plan and weekly check-ups	Quasi-experimental design in 195 people with knee and/or hip OA showed significant improvements for users compared to non-users in self-management and weight reduction [64]
painTrainer <a href="http://www.paintrainer.org">www.paintrainer.org</a>	Interactive program that teaches cognitive and behavioural strategies to manage chronic pain. The program comprises 8 weekly sessions each lasting 30–45 min	RCT in 113 people with knee and/or hip OA showed the program led to improvements in pain and self-efficacy compared with no treatment control [65]. RCT in 148 people with knee OA showed the program, when combined with videoconferencing consultations with a physiotherapist for home exercise, led to improved pain and function compared to internet information [20]
Somryst (previously SHUTi) <a href="https://www.somryst.com">https://www.somryst.com</a>	Mobile app to treat insomnia in adult and elderly people. It is based on cognitive behavioural principles and involves a 6-week structured interactive fully automated intervention. Available in USA only	RCT in 303 adults with chronic insomnia showed improvements in various sleep indices which were maintained at 12-months, compared to online education control [66]
Better Knee, Better Me <a href="https://www.medibank.com.au/health-support/health-services/better-knee-better-me/">https://www.medibank.com.au/health-support/health-services/better-knee-better-me/</a>	12-month program that includes exercise and pain management supported by a physiotherapist, and weight loss (with ketogenic very low calorie diet and meal replacements) supported by a dietitian, via videoconferencing consultations. Available to eligible members of Australian private health insurer Medibank	RCT in 415 people with knee OA and overweight/obesity showed that the exercise and diet program improved pain and function, as well as average weight loss of 9 kg [22•]

Table 1. (continued)

Virtual tool	Description	Supporting evidence
Healthy Weight for Life <a href="https://healthyweightforlife.com.au/">https://healthyweightforlife.com.au/</a>	Digital program that includes a very low calorie meal replacement diet, exercise, and healthcare team to provide support via phone, SMS, email, and private online message board. Available in Australia only	Longitudinal cohort study of 1383 people with knee OA showed 94% of people achieved >2.5% loss of body weight, with greater weight loss associated with greater improvements in pain and function [67]
This Way Up. The Depression Program <a href="https://thiswayup.org.au/programs/depression-program/">https://thiswayup.org.au/programs/depression-program/</a>	Online program with 6 online lessons of best practice cognitive behavioural therapy plus regular homework assignments, reminder emails/texts, and access to supplementary resources	RCT in 69 people with knee OA and comorbid major depressive disorder showed reductions in depressive symptoms and psychological distress compared to usual care [68]
Physiotherapy Exercise and Physical Activity for Knee Osteoarthritis (PEAK) <a href="https://www.futurelearn.com/courses/peak">https://www.futurelearn.com/courses/peak</a>	Web-based 4-week educational course to guide physiotherapists in how to implement best-practice care to people with knee OA, delivered through one-to-one consultations, via videoconferencing (using the Zoom platform) or during face-to-face, in-person consultations. Contains downloadable patient resources and access to a video library of exercises. Also available in Spanish, Portuguese, and Chinese	Longitudinal cohort study in 1318 registrants completing the course showed increased confidence with videoconferencing and increased likelihood of using education, strengthening and physical activity in a knee OA treatment plan, compared to pre-course [69]. Qualitative study in 15 physiotherapists revealed positive experiences with the e-learning course and improved confidence with videoconferencing after course completion [70]
EduWeight: Weight Management for Adult Patients with Chronic Disease <a href="https://www.futurelearn.com/courses/eduweight">https://www.futurelearn.com/courses/eduweight</a>	Web-based 6-week educational course for health professionals to improve their confidence and skills in helping their patients living with chronic diseases manage their weight. Contains downloadable patient resources for use in clinical setting	RCT in 80 physiotherapists showed that clinicians allocated to the education course had greater improvements in confidence, knowledge and skills about weight management, and reduction in weight stigma, compared to the control group [71]
My Knee <a href="https://myknee.trekeeducation.org/">https://myknee.trekeeducation.org/</a>	Website containing a toolkit of evidence-based information and tools to support people with knee OA make informed decisions about their care and improve their self-management	Based on a systematic review of knee OA education interventions [72] and concept mapping studies involving people with knee OA [73] and physical therapists [74] that identified broad educational needs and priorities



use such exercise programs as the first step in a stepped care treatment model whereby patients only receive more intensive treatments, such as with clinician input, if they do not have clinically relevant improvements from the prior treatment step [79].

Numerous dietary weight loss programs are available via the internet. A systematic review of web-based interventions exclusively for weight loss identified 11 RCTs in adults with overweight or obesity [80]. Results of a meta-analysis found moderate quality evidence that the web-based interventions were not different to the use of off-line interventions in terms of weight or body mass index. However, despite weight loss being a core recommended treatment for knee OA, to our knowledge, there is only one study reporting on a web-based program focused on weight loss in this patient population [81]. This 18-week program (Healthy Weight for Life) includes a very low-calorie diet with meal replacement supplements, activity and exercise plan, personalised online progress tracking (phone and mail alternatives available), and 2-way personal motivation, support, and advice via phone, short message service/text message, e-mail, message board, or mail from a member of the care support team. A longitudinal study of 1383 people with knee OA who completed this program showed a mean weight loss of 8% of baseline body weight and a dose-response relationship between amount of weight loss and improvements in symptoms [81].

Psychological interventions delivered via the web can be used to address mental health impairments, pain coping, and insomnia associated with knee OA. A meta-analysis of 70 RCTs of self-guided internet-based psychological interventions in people with a range of chronic health conditions found small effects in terms of reducing symptoms of depression, anxiety, and distress, with slightly stronger effects for CBT approaches and for those incorporating a clinician [82]. However, there are limited trials of such programs in people with OA [65, 68] and only one that specifically recruited a sample with depression [68]. In that RCT, a 10-week self-directed web-based CBT program targeting depression in people with knee OA and comorbid major depressive disorder showed large improvements in depressive symptoms and distress post-intervention and at the 3-month follow-up compared to usual care [68]. Most intervention participants (84%) no longer met depression diagnostic criteria at follow-up. Further benefits of the CBT program included improved self-efficacy, pain, stiffness, and physical function. An 8-week automated online pain coping skills training program [65], translated from an effective in-person therapist-delivered program for knee OA [83], led to lower pain as well as increased self-efficacy for pain management compared to no treatment in people with knee OA. While there are many web-based CBT programs for insomnia, none have been tested for their efficacy in people with knee OA. However, there is systematic review and meta-analysis evidence from the general adult population that self-directed internet CBT programs that typically include cognitive restructuring, sleep restriction, stimulus control, relaxation, and sleep hygiene education are effective treatments for insomnia [84], whether this would lead to simultaneous improvements in pain in knee OA is not known, although this was not found for in-person CBT for insomnia [85].

## Mobile applications (apps)

A systematic search of app stores identified 94 smartphone apps relevant to knee and/or hip OA management [86•]. However, most lacked evidence to support their design, usability, and effectiveness. Considering this, it is unsurprising that apps that are designed specifically for OA are of lower quality with lower potential for behaviour change compared to apps for other chronic conditions [87]. Although features vary, apps to support OA self-management typically prescribe and/or monitor exercise and physical activity, support adherence (e.g. exercise reminders via notifications, visual comparisons of actual exercise completed versus exercise goals), and/or track joint symptoms (e.g. pain, stiffness, physical function).

Of the few apps that have been evaluated in RCTs in knee OA, results appear promising [63, 88, 89, 90•]. A systematic review of RCTs comparing app-delivered therapeutic exercise to exercise delivered via other modes (e.g. paper-based handouts) for musculoskeletal conditions showed app use reduced pain and improved physical function, particularly in people with knee OA [90•]. Similarly, an RCT that evaluated 6-week use of Joint Academy, a commercially available app that contains hip/knee OA education, exercise prescription, and physiotherapist contact via asynchronous chat, resulted in greater pain reduction in people with knee OA compared to a usual care control [63]. Another RCT evaluated a mobile app, OA GO, coupled with a wearable activity tracker [89]. The app provided a visual display of daily step count and prompted daily self-report of joint pain and mood. This study found participants using the activity tracker supported by the app had a greater increase in steps per day compared to participants using a blind activity tracker (i.e. no access to steps recorded by the tracker) at 90 days (mean increase 1199 steps/day compared to 467). As each additional 1000 steps/day has been linked to 16–18% reduction in incident functional limitation in knee OA over 2 years, these findings may be clinically relevant [91]. Finally, an RCT is underway evaluating if a freely available app, My Exercise Messages, can enhance clinical outcomes from physiotherapist-prescribed home exercise in people with knee OA [92]. The My Exercise Messages app was designed to support adherence to exercise and physical activity in people with hip/knee OA by tracking weekly exercise sessions, delivering regular notifications to facilitate weekly exercise and provides personalised messages to help overcome individual barriers to exercise participation, if encountered. App development was based on a behaviour change text message program [56] found to improve adherence to home exercise in people with knee OA [55•]. Table 1 presents a selection of evidence-informed mobile apps for knee OA.

## Short Message Services (SMS)

Mobile phone usage is high in both advanced and emerging economies [93], making SMS or mobile phone text messaging a widely accessible technology with fewer barriers to engagement than other forms of

digital communication. For example, SMS does not require expensive technology (e.g. smartphone), internet access, nor rely on technological literacy. SMS interventions have been found effective in the promotion of a range of health behaviours relevant to people with OA (e.g. physical activity, diet, and/or weight loss) [94–96]; however, few RCTs have evaluated SMS interventions specifically in knee OA [53•, 55•, 97]. One RCT showed that a 24-week tailored SMS intervention improved adherence to physiotherapist-prescribed home exercise at 24 weeks in people with knee OA [55•]. The automated SMS intervention was designed using the Behaviour Change Wheel framework and was developed to address key barriers and facilitators to exercise participation in adults with knee OA [56]. The same SMS intervention, combined with an unsupervised web-based strengthening exercise program, was evaluated in another RCT showing this combination improved knee OA clinical outcomes at 24 weeks, compared to an education control [53•]. A nested qualitative study showed that participants viewed the SMS intervention as a valuable exercise reminder that promoted accountability to the unsupervised exercise program [77]. Another RCT evaluated a psychological intervention delivered via automated SMS in people who had hip or knee joint replacement surgery postponed due to the COVID-19 pandemic [97]. It found that the intervention (two SMS per day for 14 days to encourage pain coping) led to meaningful clinical improvements at 2 weeks, compared to no contact.

## Challenges with virtual care

Barriers to implementing and engaging with virtual care exist and may explain why uptake was slow prior to the COVID-19 pandemic until public health orders and lockdowns forced a rapid shift to virtual care models. A systematic review of qualitative studies has explored the barriers from the patient's perspective amongst people with chronic pain, including OA [98•]. In this review, patients found virtual care to be impersonal if they were unable to develop a rapport with a clinician or there was no clinician contact. Lack of physical presence, inability of clinician to touch, and limited nonverbal communication were all viewed as contributors to a poor rapport. Patients also felt that telehealth was impersonal when interventions were delivered inflexibly with limited scope for individualisation. Lack of cultural tailoring (e.g. use of American accents in an online program delivered to Australian participants [99]) was also perceived as a barrier to engagement. Technological challenges, such as poor internet connection or malfunctioning app- or web-based programs, were found to be a barrier to videoconferencing in particular. A mismatch between patient needs and expectations of virtual care and the actual components/content of the virtual intervention (e.g. irrelevant or unhelpful content,

**Table 2. Patient barriers associated with implementation of, and engagement with, virtual care for knee osteoarthritis and potential solutions**

Barrier	Potential solutions
Reduced sense of rapport with clinician	<p>Prioritise videoconferencing over telephone for synchronous consultations to enable visual as well as verbal contact</p> <p>Consider asynchronous clinician check-in/follow-up with patients who have been recommended self-directed web- or app-based self-management programs</p> <p>Consider a blended approach to care (e.g. combining in-person and virtual care, synchronous clinician telehealth consultations with web-/app-based self-directed programs), where necessary</p>
Perception that intervention is not individualised	<p>Consider a blended approach to care — for example, an in-person visit first for thorough assessment — before implementing virtual intervention strategies</p> <p>Consider use of electronic patient-reported outcome measures and functional performance-based measures (synchronous or asynchronous via video recording) which can be administered/observed remotely</p> <p>Combine generic/less individualised online programs with individualised/more personal advice for home-based self-management</p>
Technological difficulties/failures	<p>Ensure clinician has optimal software and hardware for virtual consultations to minimise disruptions at the clinician end</p> <p>Educate patients how to optimise their internet speed/connection at home and set up their environment for optimal audio/video during consultations</p> <p>Provide clear instructions to the patient on how to set up and use the chosen virtual platform/application</p> <p>Clinician should be familiar with trouble-shooting solutions to assist the patient during synchronous virtual consultations</p>
Mismatch between expectations and actual intervention	<p>Educate patients about what specific virtual tools can and cannot offer them</p> <p>Ensure patient has choice in their treatment plans and provide adequate information about the advantages and disadvantages about all in-person and virtual treatment options so that they can make an informed decision</p>
Reduced digital literacy	<p>Determine patient confidence and competence with using the selected virtual tool prior to recommending it</p> <p>Encourage family member/carer support/assistance where feasible</p> <p>Follow-up with the patient to monitor engagement/use of recommended virtual tools and adjust treatment plans when necessary</p>

**Table 2.** (continued)

Barrier	Potential solutions
Privacy and data security concerns	Ensure all patient and clinician devices used for virtual care have up-to-date and high-quality anti-virus protection and use firewalls Ensure Wi-Fi networks used for virtual care are secure and password-protected using strong passwords Only use/recommend mobile apps that are developed from credible organisations and that are available from reputable app stores (e.g. Google play, Apple's App Store)
Concerns about trustworthiness of online information	Recommend and encourage use of high-quality websites, preferably those with Health on the Net code (HONcode) certification and from academic/non-profit sources

complex activities or information overload) can adversely affect patient motivation and engagement. Finally, limited digital literacy and lack of familiarity with the technology can also adversely hamper patient engagement with virtual interventions. Table 2 summarises important patient-level barriers to virtual care and outlines potential strategies for clinicians to overcome these.

Clinicians must also be accepting of, and willing to use, virtual tools for successful implementation of virtual care models. Clinicians are often not confident or familiar with conducting synchronous telehealth consultations [100••] and are sceptical about their effectiveness [100••, 101], which may contribute to a reluctance in implementing virtual care. Core capability frameworks [102, 103] for telephone- and video-delivered care have been developed to assist clinicians to identify areas of their own practice that may benefit from up-skilling and participation in professional development can help improve confidence and knowledge about delivery of videoconferencing consultations [69]. Clinicians often have reservations about their ability to establish therapeutic alliance virtually [101], despite research evidence suggesting a strong therapeutic alliance is possible [21, 104]. Clinicians are also uncomfortable with the inability to touch the patient [100••, 105], perceiving this as a barrier to effective diagnosis and effective treatment.

Although clinicians consider online resources to be a useful adjunct to support pain self-management [106], they have concerns about the potential for misinterpretation and misinformation, inadequate quality control and evidence-based information, insufficient comprehensiveness, and lack of individualization. These concerns are particularly relevant given that many people with knee OA will access information from the web independently and without consultation of or discussion with a health professional. While online OA information quality has improved over the last two decades, the mean quality is still only considered 'fair' and a large number of websites exceed the recommended 7–8th grade readability level [49, 107]. For example, an analysis of accessibility of online

self-management support webpages for people with OA showed that only 5 of 49 eligible webpages met the recommended reading level for health education literature [107]. For online knee OA information, research shows that few websites provide accurate and clear content aligned to important research evidence and that there is large variation in comprehensiveness and credibility [51•]. It is therefore important that clinicians develop and/or direct patients to readable, high-quality websites, preferably those with Health on the Net code (HONcode) certification and from academic/non-profit sources, as these are associated with better quality [49, 50].

Although beyond the scope of this paper, there are also system-level challenges with implementing virtual care. Barriers include restrictive funding models that do not necessarily reimburse telehealth-delivered care, patient privacy regulations, and geographical restrictions on clinician licensing [108]. While costs of delivering virtual/blended care may be lower than conventional care models [109], such care may not necessarily be more cost-effective from a societal or healthcare perspective. Although virtual care strategies have the potential to reduce healthcare inequalities in some groups (e.g. minority ethnic groups [110]), there is a risk that they can exacerbate inequality for others (e.g. people with low education or unemployed [110]). In low- and middle-income countries, system-level barriers to virtual care include unavailability of infrastructure (e.g. weak or slow internet connection), absent or unclear policies/regulations related to virtual care, and limited digital literacy in healthcare users [111].

## Conclusions

It is increasingly difficult for people to access healthcare for knee OA. Evidence-based management requires people with knee OA to make behavioural changes to follow health advice and actively self-manage their condition using exercise, weight control, and psychological strategies. However, there is limited capacity in health services to provide people with knee OA the ongoing support needed to maintain positive behavioural changes and engage with these interventions over the long-term. An increasing number of systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and clinical trials provide evidence supporting the effectiveness of virtual tools in the management of knee OA. Qualitative research shows that virtual tools increase patient access to knee OA care, are generally acceptable and convenient for patients, but can be associated with barriers to use from patient and clinician perspectives. Clinicians should recognise the important role that virtual tools can play in the long-term management of knee OA and consider incorporating these, as appropriate, into the care of individual patients.

## Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by CAUL and its Member Institutions RSH is supported by a National Health & Medical Research Council Senior Research Fellowship (#1154217). KLB is supported by a National Health & Medical Research Council Investigator Grant (#1174431). Uni of Melbourne receives fees from FutureLearn for e-learning courses developed by the authors on management of osteoarthritis.

## Compliance with Ethical Standards

### Conflict of Interest

Rana S. Hinman declares that he has no conflict of interest. Belinda J. Lawford declares that she has no conflict of interest. Rachel K. Nelligan declares that she has no conflict of interest. Kim L. Bennell declares that she has no conflict of interest.

### Open Access

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance

1. Cieza A, Causey K, Kamenov K, Hanson SW, Chatterji S, Vos T. Global estimates of the need for rehabilitation based on the Global Burden of Disease study 2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet*. 2021;396(10267):2006–17. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32340-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32340-0).
2. Ackerman IN, Pratt C, Gorelik A, Liew D. Projected burden of osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis in Australia: a population-level analysis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2018;70(6):877–83. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.23414>.
3. Hitzl W, Stamm T, Kloppenburg M, Ritter M, Gaisberger M, van der Zee-Neuen A. Projected number of osteoarthritis patients in Austria for the next decades - quantifying the necessity of treatment and prevention strategies in Europe. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2022;23(1):133. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-022-05091-5>.
4. Overton C, Nelson AE, Neogi T. Osteoarthritis treatment guidelines from six professional societies: similarities and differences. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am*. 2022;48(3):637–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rdc.2022.03.009>.
5. Ackerman IN, Livingston JA, Osborne RH. Personal perspectives on enablers and barriers to accessing care for hip and knee osteoarthritis. *Phys Ther*. 2016;96(1):26–36. <https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20140357>.
6. Brundisini F, Giacomini M, DeJean D, Vanstone M, Winsor S, Smith A. Chronic disease patients' experiences with accessing health care in rural and remote areas: a systematic review and qualitative meta-synthesis. *Ontario Health Technology Assessment Series*. 2013;13(15):1–33.
7. Rantakokko M, Wilkie R. The role of environmental factors for the onset of restricted mobility outside the home among older adults with osteoarthritis: a prospective cohort study.

- BMJ Open. 2017;7(6):e012826. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012826>.
8. Lawford BJ, Bennell KL, Jones SE, Keating C, Brown C, Hinman RS. "It's the single best thing I've done in the last 10 years": a qualitative study exploring patient and dietitian experiences with, and perceptions of, a multi-component dietary weight loss program for knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2021;29(4):507–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2021.01.001>.
  9. Coetzee M, Giljam-Enright M, Morris LD. Rehabilitation needs in individuals with knee OA in rural Western Cape, South Africa: an exploratory qualitative study. *Prim Health Care Res Dev*. 2020;21:e7. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1463423620000043>.
  10. Jager M, Lindhardt MC, Pedersen JR, Dideriksen M, Nyberg M, Bricca A, et al. Putting the pieces together: a qualitative study exploring perspectives on self-management and exercise behavior among people living with multimorbidity, healthcare professionals, relatives, and patient advocates. *J Multimorb Comorb*. 2022;12:26335565221100172. <https://doi.org/10.1177/26335565221100172>.
  11. de Thurah A, Bosch P, Marques A, Meissner Y, Mukhtyar CB, Knitza J, et al. 2022 EULAR points to consider for remote care in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2022;81(8):1065–71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2022-222341>.
- EULAR points to consider when developing, prioritizing and implementing remote care and telehealth in people with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases.
12. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Behaviour change: digital and mobile health interventions. NICE guideline [NG183]. 2020.
  13. Corso M, Cancelliere C, Mior S, Salmi LR, Cedraschi C, Nordin M, et al. Are nonpharmacologic interventions delivered through synchronous telehealth as effective and safe as in-person interventions for the management of patients with nonacute musculoskeletal conditions? A systematic rapid review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2022;103(1):145–54. e11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2021.09.007>.
- A systematic review of the safety and efficacy of synchronous telehealth consultations for non-pharmacological interventions among those with chronic musculoskeletal conditions
14. McHugh C, Kostic A, Katz J, Losina E. Effectiveness of remote exercise programs in reducing pain for patients with knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review of randomized trials. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage Open*. 2022;4(3):100264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocarto.2022.100264>. eCollection 2022 Sep.
  15. Chen T, Or CK, Chen J. Effects of technology-supported exercise programs on the knee pain, physical function, and quality of life of individuals with knee osteoarthritis and/or chronic knee pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2021;28(2):414–23.
- A systematic review and meta-analysis of technology-supported exercise programs for people with knee OA
16. Yang Y, Li S, Cai Y, Zhang Q, Ge P, Shang S, et al. Effectiveness of telehealth-based exercise interventions on pain, physical function and quality of life in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Nurs*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.16388>. Online ahead of print.
  17. Marques A, Bosch P, de Thurah A, Meissner Y, Falzon L, Mukhtyar C, et al. Effectiveness of remote care interventions: a systematic review informing the 2022 EULAR Points to Consider for remote care in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. *RMD Open*. 2022;8(1). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002290>.
  18. Filbay S, Hinman RS, Lawford B, Fry R, Bennell K. Telehealth by allied health practitioners during the COVID19 pandemic: an Australian wide survey of clinicians and clients. The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia, [https://healthsciences.unimelb.edu.au/\\_data/assets/pdf\\_file/0009/3775923/Telehealth-by-allied-health-practitioners-during-the-COVID-19-pandemic-Report-April-2021.pdf2021](https://healthsciences.unimelb.edu.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0009/3775923/Telehealth-by-allied-health-practitioners-during-the-COVID-19-pandemic-Report-April-2021.pdf2021).
  19. Barton C, Ezzat A, Merolli M, Williams C, Haines T, Mehta N, et al. "It's second best": A mixed-methods evaluation of the experiences and attitudes of people with musculoskeletal pain towards physiotherapist delivered telehealth during COVID-19 pandemic. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract*. 2022;58:102500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2021.102500>. Epub 2021 Dec 30.
  20. Bennell KL, Nelligan R, Dobson F, Rini C, Keefe F, Kasza J, et al. Effectiveness of an internet-delivered exercise and pain-coping skills training intervention for persons with chronic knee pain: a randomised trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2017;166(7):453–62. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M16-1714>. (Epub 2017 Feb 21).
  21. Hinman R, Nelligan R, Bennell K, Delany C. "Sounds a bit crazy, but it was almost more personal": a qualitative study of patient and clinician experiences of physical therapist-prescribed exercise for knee osteoarthritis via Skype™. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2017;69(12):1834–44.
  22. Bennell KL, Lawford BJ, Keating C, Brown C, Kasza J, Mackenzie D, et al. Comparing video-based, telehealth-delivered exercise and weight loss programs with online education on outcomes of knee osteoarthritis: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2022;175(2):198–209. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M21-2388>. Epub 2021 Nov 30.
- A 3-arm RCT showing effectiveness of exercise and weight loss interventions delivered via videoconferencing for people with knee OA
23. Harris A, Hinman RS, Lawford BJ, Egerton T, Keating C, Brown C, et al. Cost effectiveness of telehealth-delivered exercise and dietary weight loss programs for knee osteoarthritis within a 12-month randomised trial. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.25022>. Online ahead of print.
  24. Hsu YI, Chen YC, Lee CL, Chang NJ. Effects of diet control and telemedicine-based resistance exercise intervention on patients with obesity and knee osteoarthritis: a randomized control trial. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(15). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18157744>.
  25. Hinman RS, Kimp AJ, Campbell PK, Russell T, Foster NE, Kasza J, et al. Technology versus tradition: a non-inferiority trial comparing video to face-to-face consultations with a physiotherapist for people with knee osteoarthritis. Protocol for the PEAK randomised controlled trial. *BMC*



- Musculoskelet Disord. 2020;21(1):522. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-03523-8>.
26. Mani S, Sharma S, Omar B, Paungmali A, Joseph L. Validity and reliability of Internet-based physiotherapy assessment for musculoskeletal disorders: a systematic review. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2017;23(3):379–91. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633x16642369>.
  27. Zischke C, Simas V, Hing W, Milne N, Spittle A, Pope R. The utility of physiotherapy assessments delivered by telehealth: a systematic review. *J Glob Health*. 2021;11:04072. <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.11.04072>. (eCollection 2021).
  28. •• Barry Walsh C, Cahalan R, Hinman RS, O'Sullivan K. Psychometric properties of performance-based measures of physical function administered via telehealth among people with chronic conditions: a systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2022;17(9):e0274349.
- A systematic review summarising evidence about the psychometric properties of performance-based measures of physical function via telehealth among people with chronic conditions.
29. Russell TG, Blumke R, Richardson B, Truter P. Telerehabilitation mediated physiotherapy assessment of ankle disorders. *Physiother Res Int*. 2010;15(3):167–75.
  30. Richardson BR, Truter P, Blumke R, Russell TG. Physiotherapy assessment and diagnosis of musculoskeletal disorders of the knee via telerehabilitation. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2017;23(1):88–95. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633x15627237>.
  31. Cottrell MA, O'Leary SP, Swete-Kelly P, Elwell B, Hess S, Litchfield M-A, et al. Agreement between telehealth and in-person assessment of patients with chronic musculoskeletal conditions presenting to an advanced-practice physiotherapy screening clinic. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract*. 2018;38:99–105.
  32. Cabana F, Boissy P, Tousignant M, Moffet H, Corriveau H, Dumais R. Interrater agreement between telerehabilitation and face-to-face clinical outcome measurements for total knee arthroplasty. *Telemed J E Health*. 2010;16(3):293–8. <https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2009.0106>.
  33. Lawford BJ, Dobson F, Bennell KL, Merolli M, Graham B, Haber T, et al. Clinician-administered performance-based tests via telehealth in people with chronic lower limb musculoskeletal disorders: test-retest reliability and agreement with in-person assessment. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2022;1357633X221137387. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X221137387>. Online ahead of print.
  34. Dobson F, Hinman RS, Roos EM, Abbott JH, Stratford P, Davis AM, et al. OARSI recommended performance-based tests to assess physical function in people diagnosed with hip or knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil*. 2013;21(8):1042–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2013.05.002>. Epub 2013 May 13.
  35. O'Brien KM, Hodder RK, Wiggers J, Williams A, Campbell E, Wolfenden L, et al. Effectiveness of telephone-based interventions for managing osteoarthritis and spinal pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PeerJ*. 2018;6:e5846. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5846>.
  36. Odole AC, Ojo OD. Is telephysiotherapy an option for improved quality of life in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee? *Int J Telemed Appl*. 2014;2014:903816. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/903816>.
  37. Azma K, RezaSoltani Z, Rezaeimoghaddam F, Dadarkhah A, Mohsenolhosseini S. Efficacy of tele-rehabilitation compared with office-based physical therapy in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2018;24(8):560–5. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X17723368>. (Epub 2017 Aug 3).
  38. • Hinman RS, Campbell PK, Lawford BJ, Briggs AM, Gale J, Bills C, et al. Does telephone-delivered exercise advice and support by physiotherapists improve pain and/or function in people with knee osteoarthritis? *Telecare randomised controlled trial*. *Br J Sports Med*. 2020;54(13):790–7. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2019-101183>.
  39. Allen KD, Oddone EZ, Coffman CJ, Datta SK, Juntilla KA, Lindquist JH, et al. Telephone-based self-management of osteoarthritis: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010;153(9):570–9. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-153-9-201011020-00006>.
  40. Li LC, Feehan LM, Xie H, Lu N, Shaw CD, Gromala D, et al. Effects of a 12-week multifaceted wearable-based program for people with knee osteoarthritis: randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2020;8(7):e19116.
  41. Cuperus N, Hoogeboom TJ, Kersten CC, den Broeder A, Vlieland TV, van den Ende CH. Randomized trial of the effectiveness of a non-pharmacological multidisciplinary face-to-face treatment program on daily function compared to a telephone-based treatment program in patients with generalized osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2015;23(8):1267–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2015.04.007>. (Epub 2015 Apr 14).
  42. Bennell KL, Campbell PK, Egerton T, Metcalf B, Kasza J, Forbes A, et al. Telephone coaching to enhance a home-based physical activity program for knee osteoarthritis: a randomised clinical trial. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2016;69(1):84–94.
  43. Baker K, LaValley MP, Brown C, Felson DT, Ledingham A, Keysor JJ. Efficacy of computer-based telephone counseling on long-term adherence to strength training in elderly patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized trial. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2020;72(7):982–90. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.23921>.
  44. • McCurry SM, Zhu W, Von Korff M, Wellman R, Morin CM, Thakral M, et al. Effect of telephone cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia in older adults with osteoarthritis pain: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2021;181(4):530–8. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.9049>.
  45. Yeung K, Zhu W, McCurry SM, Von Korff M, Wellman R, Morin CM, et al. Cost-effectiveness of telephone cognitive behavioral therapy for osteoarthritis-related insomnia. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2022;70(1):188–99. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.17469>.
  46. Allen KD, Oddone EZ, Coffman CJ, Jeffreys AS, Bosworth HB, Chatterjee R, et al. Patient, provider, and combined interventions for managing osteoarthritis in primary care: a cluster randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2017;166(6):401–11.

47. Allen KD, Yancy WS Jr, Bosworth HB, Coffman CJ, Jeffreys AS, Datta SK, et al. A combined patient and provider intervention for management of osteoarthritis in veterans: a randomized clinical trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;164(2):73–83. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M15-0378>.
48. O'Brien K, Wiggers J, Williams A, Campbell E, Hodder R, Wolfenden L, et al. Telephone-based weight loss support for patients with knee osteoarthritis: a pragmatic randomised controlled trial. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2018;26(4):485–94.
49. Murray KE, Murray TE, O'Rourke AC, Low C, Veale DJ. Readability and quality of online information on osteoarthritis: an objective analysis with historic comparison. *Interact J Med Res*. 2019;8(3):e12855. <https://doi.org/10.2196/12855>.
50. Varady NH, Dee EC, Katz JN. International assessment on quality and content of internet information on osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2018;26(8):1017–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2018.04.017>.
51. Goff AJ, Barton CJ, Merolli M, Zhang Quah AS, Ki-Cheong Hoe C, De Oliveira Silva D. Comprehensiveness, accuracy, quality, credibility and readability of online information about knee osteoarthritis. *Health Inf Manag*. 2022;18333583221090579. <https://doi.org/10.1177/18333583221090579>.
- A systematic appraisal of website content about knee OA with regard to comprehensiveness, accuracy and clarity, quality of information, credibility and readability.
52. Devan H, Perry MA, van Hattem A, Thurlow G, Shepherd S, Muchemwa C, et al. Do pain management websites foster self-management support for people with persistent pain? A scoping review *Patient Educ Couns*. 2019;102(9):1590–601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2019.04.009>.
53. Nelligan RK, Hinman RS, Kasza J, Crofts SJC, Bennell KL. Effects of a self-directed web-based strengthening exercise and physical activity program supported by automated text messages for people with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2021;181(6):776–785. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.0991>.
- First RCT evidence that web-based self-directed exercise program with SMS support and no clinician interaction is effective for pain and function
54. Bennell KL, Schwartz S, Teo PL, Hawkins S, Mackenzie D, McManus F, et al. Effectiveness of an unsupervised online yoga program on pain and function in people with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2022;175(10):1345–55. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M22-1761>.
55. Bennell K, Nelligan RK, Schwartz S, Kasza J, Kimp A, Crofts SJ, et al. Behavior change text messages for home exercise adherence in knee osteoarthritis: randomized trial. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020;22(9):e21749. <https://doi.org/10.2196/21749>.
- First RCT evaluation of automated mobile phone text messages to enhance adherence to physiotherapist-prescribed strengthening exercise.
56. Nelligan RK, Hinman RS, Atkins L, Bennell KL. A short message service intervention to support adherence to home-based strengthening exercise for people with knee osteoarthritis: intervention design applying the Behavior Change Wheel. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2019;7(10):e14619. <https://doi.org/10.2196/14619>.
57. Walker A, Boaz A, Gibney A, Zambelli Z, Hurley MV. Scaling-up an evidence-based intervention for osteoarthritis in real-world settings: a pragmatic evaluation using the RE-AIM framework. *Implement Sci Commun*. 2020;1:40. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43058-020-00032-6>.
58. Callahan LE, Shreffler JH, Altpeter M, Schoster B, Hootman J, Houenou LO, et al. Evaluation of group and self-directed formats of the Arthritis Foundation's Walk With Ease Program. *Arthritis Care Res*. 2011;63(8):1098–107. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.20490>.
59. Conte KP, Odden MC, Linton NM, Harvey SM. Effectiveness of a scaled-up arthritis self-management program in Oregon: Walk With Ease. *Am J Public Health*. 2016;106(12):2227–30. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2016.303478>.
60. Silverstein RP, VanderVos M, Welch H, Long A, Kabore CD, Hootman JM. Self-directed Walk With Ease Workplace Wellness Program - Montana, 2015–2017. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2018;67(46):1295–9. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6746a3>.
61. Bennell KL, Marshall CJ, Dobson F, Kasza J, Lonsdale C, Hinman RS. Does a web-based exercise programming system improve home exercise adherence for people with musculoskeletal conditions? Randomized controlled trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2019;98(10):850–8. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0000000000001204>.
62. Dahlberg LE, Dell'Isola A, Lohmander LS, Nero H. Improving osteoarthritis care by digital means - effects of a digital self-management program after 24- or 48-weeks of treatment. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(3):e0229783. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229783>.
63. Gohir SA, Eek F, Kelly A, Abhishek A, Valdes AM. Effectiveness of internet-based exercises aimed at treating knee osteoarthritis: the iBEAT-OA randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(2):e210012-e. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.0012>.
64. Umapathy H, Bennell K, Dickson C, Dobson F, Fransen M, Jones G, et al. The web-based osteoarthritis management resource My Joint Pain improves quality of care: a quasi-experimental study. *J Med Internet Res*. 2015;17(7):e167. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.4376>.
65. Rini C, Porter LS, Somers TJ, McKee DC, DeVellis RF, Smith M, et al. Automated internet-based pain coping skills training to manage osteoarthritis pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Pain*. 2015;156(5):837–48. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000121>.
66. Ritterband LM, Thorndike FP, Ingersoll KS, Lord HR, Gonder-Frederick L, Frederick C, et al. Effect of a web-based cognitive behavior therapy for insomnia intervention with 1-year follow-up: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiat*. 2017;74(1):68–75. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.3249>.
67. Atukorala I, Makovey J, Lawler L, Messier SP, Bennell K, Hunter DJ. Is there a dose-response relationship between weight loss and symptom improvement in persons with knee osteoarthritis? *Arthritis Care Res*. 2016;68(8):1106–14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.22805>.

68. O'Moore KA, Newby JM, Andrews G, Hunter DJ, Bennell K, Smith J, et al. Internet cognitive-behavioral therapy for depression in older adults with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis Care Res*. 2018;70(1):61–70. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.23257>.
69. Jorge AES, Bennell KL, Kimp AJ, Campbell PK, Hinman RS. An e-learning program for physiotherapists to manage knee osteoarthritis via telehealth during the COVID-19 pandemic: real-world evaluation study using registration and survey data. *JMIR Med Educ*. 2021;7(4):e30378. <https://doi.org/10.2196/30378>.
70. Jones SE, Campbell PK, Kimp AJ, Bennell K, Foster NE, Russell T, et al. Evaluation of a novel e-learning program for physiotherapists to manage knee osteoarthritis via telehealth: qualitative study nested in the PEAK (Physiotherapy Exercise and physical Activity for Knee osteoarthritis) randomized controlled trial. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021;23(4):e25872. <https://doi.org/10.2196/25872>.
71. Allison K, Jones S, Hinman RS, Briggs AM, Sumithran P, Quicke J, et al. Effects of an online education program on physiotherapists' confidence in weight management for people with osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.24828>. Online ahead of print.
72. Goff AJ, de Oliveira SD, Ezzat AM, Bell EC, Crossley KM, O'Halloran P, et al. Knee osteoarthritis education interventions in published trials are typically unclear, not comprehensive enough, and lack robust development: ancillary analysis of a systematic review. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2022;52(5):276–86. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2022.10771>.
73. Goff AJ, Donaldson A, de Oliveira SD, Crossley KM, Barton CJ. People with knee osteoarthritis attending physical therapy have broad education needs and prioritize information about surgery and exercise: a concept mapping study. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2022;52(9):595–606. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2022.11089>.
74. Goff AJ, Donaldson A, de Oliveira SD, Crossley KM, Barton CJ. Physical therapists prioritize providing education about exercise therapy and to dispel misconceptions about radiology for people with knee osteoarthritis: a concept mapping study. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2022;52(9):607–19. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2022.11090>.
75. Bossen D, Veenhof C, Van Beek KE, Spreuwenberg PM, Dekker J, De Bakker DH. Effectiveness of a web-based physical activity intervention in patients with knee and/or hip osteoarthritis: randomized controlled trial. *J Med Internet Res*. 2013;15(11):e257. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.2662>.
76. Allen KD, Arbeeveva L, Callahan LF, Golightly YM, Goode AP, Heiderscheid BC, et al. Physical therapy vs internet-based exercise training for patients with knee osteoarthritis: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Osteoarthritis Cartil*. 2018;26(3):383–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2017.12.008>.
77. Nelligan RK, Hinman RS, Teo PL, Bennell KL. Exploring attitudes and experiences of people with knee osteoarthritis toward a self-directed eHealth intervention to support exercise: qualitative study. *JMIR Rehabil Assist Technol*. 2020;7(2):e18860. <https://doi.org/10.2196/18860>.
78. Bossen D, Buskermolen M, Veenhof C, de Bakker D, Dekker J. Adherence to a web-based physical activity intervention for patients with knee and/or hip osteoarthritis: a mixed method study. *J Med Internet Res*. 2013;15(10):e223. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.2742>.
79. Allen KD, Woolson S, Hoenig HM, Bongiorno D, Byrd J, Caves K, et al. Stepped exercise program for patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2021;174(3):298–307. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-4447>.
80. Belegoli AM, Andrade AQ, Cancado AG, Paulo MN, Diniz MFH, Ribeiro AL. Web-based digital health interventions for weight loss and lifestyle habit changes in overweight and obese adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Med Internet Res*. 2019;21(1):e298. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.9609>.
81. Atukorala I, Makovey J, Lawler L, Messier S, Bennell K, Hunter DJ. Is there a dose response relationship between weight loss and symptom improvement in persons with knee osteoarthritis? *Arthritis Care Res*. 2016;68(8):1106–14.
82. White V, Linardon J, Stone JE, Holmes-Truscott E, Olive L, Mikocka-Walus A, et al. Online psychological interventions to reduce symptoms of depression, anxiety, and general distress in those with chronic health conditions: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Psychol Med*. 2022;52(3):548–73. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291720002251>.
83. Keefe FJ, Blumenthal J, Baucom D, Affleck G, Waugh R, Caldwell DS, et al. Effects of spouse-assisted coping skills training and exercise training in patients with osteoarthritic knee pain: a randomized controlled study. *Pain*. 2004;110(3):539–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2004.03.022>.
84. Soh HL, Ho RC, Ho CS, Tam WW. Efficacy of digital cognitive behavioural therapy for insomnia: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Sleep Med*. 2020;75:315–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2020.08.020>.
85. Ho KKN, Ferreira PH, Pinheiro MB, Aquino Silva D, Miller CB, Grunstein R, et al. Sleep interventions for osteoarthritis and spinal pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Osteoarthritis Cartil*. 2019;27(2):196–218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2018.09.014>.
86. Hensley CP, Witte MM, Cai J, Gruenke A, Pecze J, Mangel-frida A, et al. Assessment of mobile health applications for management of knee and/or hip osteoarthritis using the Mobile Application Rating Scale. *J Clin Rheumatol*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1097/RHU.0000000000001896>. Online ahead of print. A systematic review evaluating the quality of publicly available mobile health apps for people with knee and/or hip OA.
87. Bricca A, Pellegrini A, Zangger G, Ahler J, Jäger M, Skou ST. The quality of health apps and their potential to promote behavior change in patients with a chronic condition or multimorbidity: systematic search in App Store and Google Play. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2022;10(2):e33168. <https://doi.org/10.2196/33168>.

88. Timmers T, Janssen L, Pronk Y, van der Zwaard BC, Koëter S, van Oostveen D, et al. Assessing the efficacy of an educational smartphone or tablet app with subdivided and interactive content to increase patients' medical knowledge: randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2018;6(12):e10742. <https://doi.org/10.2196/10742>.
89. Skrepnik N, Spitzer A, Altman R, Hoekstra J, Stewart J, Toselli R. Assessing the impact of a novel smartphone application compared with standard follow-up on mobility of patients with knee osteoarthritis following treatment with Hylan G-F 20: a randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2017;5(5):e64. <https://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.7179>.
90. Thompson D, Rattu S, Tower J, Egerton T, Francis J, Merolli M. Mobile app use to support therapeutic exercise for musculoskeletal pain conditions may help improve pain intensity and self-reported physical function: a systematic review. *J Physiother*. 2023;69(1):23–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphys.2022.11.012>. Epub 2022 Dec 15.
- A systematic review with meta-analysis evaluating the effects of mobile apps supporting therapeutic exercise/physical activity on clinical and other outcomes in people with musculoskeletal pain conditions
91. White DK, Tudor-Locke C, Zhang Y, Fielding R, LaValley M, Felson DT, et al. Daily walking and the risk of incident functional limitation in knee osteoarthritis: an observational study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2014;66(9):1328–36. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.22362>.
92. Hinman RS, Nelligan RK, Campbell PK, Kimp AJ, Graham B, Merolli M, et al. Exercise adherence Mobile app for Knee Osteoarthritis: protocol for the MappKO randomised controlled trial. *BMC Musculoskel Dis*. 2022;23(1):874. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-022-05816-6>.
93. Taylor K, Silver L. Smartphone ownership is growing rapidly around the world, but not always equally. Pew Research Center; 2019.
94. Hall AK, Cole-Lewis H, Bernhardt JM. Mobile text messaging for health: a systematic review of reviews. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2015;36:393–415. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031914-122855>.
95. de Jongh T, Guroi-Urganci I, Vodopivec-Jamsek V, Car J, Atun R. Mobile phone messaging for facilitating self-management of long-term illnesses. *The Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;12:Cd007459. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007459.pub2>.
96. Smith DM, Duque L, Huffman JC, Healy BC, Celano CM. Text message interventions for physical activity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Preventive Med*. 2020;58(1):142–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2019.08.014>.
97. Anthony CA, Rojas E, Glass N, Keffala V, Noiseux N, Elkins J, et al. A psychological intervention delivered by automated mobile phone messaging stabilized hip and knee function during the COVID-19 pandemic: a randomized controlled trial. *J Arthroplasty*. 2022;37(3):431–7.e3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2021.12.006>.
98. Fernandes LG, Devan H, Fioratti I, Kamper SJ, Williams CM, Saragiotto BT. At my own pace, space, and place: a systematic review of qualitative studies of enablers and barriers to telehealth interventions for people with chronic pain. *Pain*. 2022;163(2):e165–e81. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002364>.
- A systematic review of qualitative studies of people with chronic pain that synthesise the enablers of, and barriers to, telehealth/virtual care.
99. Lawford BJ, Hinman RS, Nelligan RK, Keefe F, Rini C, Bennell KL. "I could do it in my own time and when I really needed it": perceptions of online pain coping skills training for people with knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2020;72(12):1736–46. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.24093>.
100. Malliaras P, Merolli M, Williams CM, Caneiro JP, Haines T, Barton C. 'It's not hands-on therapy, so it's very limited': telehealth use and views among allied health clinicians during the coronavirus pandemic. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract*. 2021;52:102340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2021.102340>.
- Cross-sectional international survey of allied health clinicians about use and views of telehealth to manage musculoskeletal conditions during the coronavirus pandemic.
101. Lawford BJ, Delany C, Bennell KL, Hinman RS. "I was really pleasantly surprised": firsthand experience and shifts in physical therapist perceptions of telephone-delivered exercise therapy for knee osteoarthritis—a qualitative study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2019;71(4):545–57. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.23618>.
102. Davies L, Hinman RS, Russell T, Lawford B, Bennell K. An international core capability framework for physiotherapists delivering telephone-based care. *J Physiother*. 2022;68(2):136–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphys.2022.02.002>.
103. Davies L, Hinman RS, Russell T, Lawford B, Bennell K, International Videoconferencing Steering Group. An international core capability framework for physiotherapists to deliver quality care via videoconferencing: a Delphi study. *J Physiother*. 2021;67(4):291–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphys.2021.09.001>.
104. Lawford BJ, Bennell KL, Campbell PK, Kasza J, Hinman RS. Therapeutic alliance between physical therapists and patients with knee osteoarthritis consulting via telephone: a longitudinal study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2020;72(5):652–60. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.23890>.
105. Bennell KL, Lawford BJ, Metcalf B, Mackenzie D, Russell T, van den Berg M, et al. Physiotherapists and patients report positive experiences overall with telehealth during the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed-methods study. *J Physiother*. 2021;67(3):201–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphys.2021.06.009>.
106. Areli E, Godfrey HK, Perry MA, Hempel D, Saibe B, Grainger R, et al. 'I think there is nothing ... that is really comprehensive': healthcare professionals' views on recommending online resources for pain self-management. *Br J Pain*. 2021;15(4):429–40. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2049463720978264>.
107. Chapman L, Brooks C, Lawson J, Russell C, Adams J. Accessibility of online self-management support websites for people with osteoarthritis: a text content analysis.

- Chronic Illn. 2019;15(1):27–40. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1742395317746471>.
108. Shachar C, Engel J, Elwyn G. Implications for telehealth in a postpandemic future: regulatory and privacy issues. *JAMA*. 2020;323(23):2375–6. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.7943>.
109. Kloek CJJ, van Dongen JM, de Bakker DH, Bossen D, Dekker J, Veenhof C. Cost-effectiveness of a blended physiotherapy intervention compared to usual physiotherapy in patients with hip and/or knee osteoarthritis: a cluster randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*. 2018;18(1):1082. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5975-7>.
110. Turnbull S, Cabral C, Hay A, Lucas PJ. Health equity in the effectiveness of web-based health interventions for the self-care of people with chronic health conditions: systematic review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020;22(6):e17849. <https://doi.org/10.2196/17849>.
111. Mahmoud K, Jaramillo C, Barteit S. Telemedicine in low- and middle-income countries during the COVID-19 pandemic: a scoping review. *Front Public Health*. 2022;10:914423. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.914423>.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.