REVIEW

Diagnostic value of phospholipase A₂ receptor in idiopathic membranous nephropathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Shou-Liang Hu · Dan Wang · Wen-Jun Gou · Qing-Feng Lei · Te-An Ma · Jun-Zhang Cheng

Received: 31 August 2013/Accepted: 21 October 2013/Published online: 6 February 2014 © Italian Society of Nephrology 2014

Abstract

Background Detection of M-type phospholipase A_2 receptor (PLA₂R) can be used in serologic diagnosis of idiopathic membranous nephropathy (IMN), but there are limited data about the sensitivity and specificity of its diagnostic values.

Methods and results Meta-analysis of diagnostic test studies assessing the values of PLA₂R in diagnosis of IMN. MEDLINE, EMBASE, and CENTRAL databases and congress abstracts were searched for studies reporting the value of PLA₂R to predict IMN. The quality of the studies was evaluated using the guidelines of the updated Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS-2) tool. The results are summarized as sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic odds ratio (OR). Data from 10 studies involving 1,550 participants were analyzed. Across all settings, the diagnostic OR for serum anti-PLA₂R level to predict IMN at different stages was 247.41, with sensitivity of 0.69 and specificity of 0.99. The estimated sensitivity and specificity of serum anti-PLA₂R level for diagnosis of IMN in the active stage were 74.0 and 95.0 %, respectively, with diagnostic OR of 54.22. The estimated sensitivity and specificity of biopsy anti-PLA₂R for diagnosis of

S.-L. Hu and D. Wang contributed equally to this work.

D. Wang

Central Laboratory, The First Hospital of JingZhou, Yangtze University, Hubei, JingZhou, China IMN at different stages was 73.0 and 83.0 %, respectively, with diagnostic OR of 13.75.

Conclusions This meta-analysis shows that serum anti-PLA₂R level is of diagnostic value for IMN in the active stage. Future large-cohort prospective studies are required to reveal the diagnostic value of circulating anti-PLA₂R antibodies versus PLA₂R antigens in kidney biopsy for IMN at different stages.

Keywords Anti-phospholipase A_2 receptor \cdot Idiopathic membranous nephropathy \cdot Diagnostic value \cdot Systematic review \cdot Meta-analysis

Introduction

Idiopathic membranous nephropathy (IMN) remains the major cause of adult nephrotic syndrome, with up to onethird of patients progressing to end stage renal disease (ESRD) [1, 2]. IMN has long been suspected of having an immune etiology, which may relate to the reaction of autoantibodies with a podocyte antigen, to form immune complexes in situ [3]. Such in situ formation in the subepithelial space of the glomerular basement membrane is responsible for the functional impairment of the glomerular capillary wall, which causes proteinuria. The heterogeneity of membranous nephropathy and a lack of reliable biomarkers make the treatment of IMN controversial and challenging [4].

Phospholipase A_2 receptor (PLA₂R) is a type I transmembrane glycoprotein of 180-200 kDa related to the C-type animal lectin family that includes the mannose receptor [5, 6]. Group IB secreted PLA₂ (sPLA₂-IB) acts as an endogenous PLA₂R ligand, and when binding to the receptor it will elicit a variety of biological responses,

S.-L. Hu · W.-J. Gou · Q.-F. Lei · T.-A. Ma · J.-Z. Cheng (⊠) Division of Nephrology, The First Hospital of JingZhou, Yangtze University, Hangkongstreet 8, Jingzhou 434000, Hubei, China e-mail: cjz_1954@126.com

including cell proliferation, cell migration, hormone release, and eicosanoid production [7]. More recently, PLA₂R was reported to be the target antigen of autoantibodies in adults with IMN [8]. The use of anti-PLA₂R as a sensitive and specific marker for IMN has been extensively evaluated. However, there is discrepancy in the results regarding the relationship between anti-PLA₂R level and clinical presentation. Thus, a systematic review and metaanalysis were performed to investigate the diagnostic values of anti-PLA₂R level for IMN.

Materials and methods

Search strategy

Medline/PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane databases were searched from inception until June 30 2013 to identify eligible studies using the IMN-related terms "idiopathic membranous nephropathy" and "primary membranous nephropathy" combined with the term "phospholipase A₂ receptor". The reference lists of the identified articles were also reviewed manually to identify additional articles.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Two reviewers (S.H. and D.W.) independently extracted data from all preliminary studies fulfilling the eligible criteria. Disagreement was discussed and settled using a third opinion (J.C.). The extracted information included: time and method of PLA₂R measurement, sample size, age, sex, country, biological material in which PLA₂R was measured (serum or biopsy), sensitivity, and specificity. The numbers of true-positive, false-positive, false-negative, and true-negative results in each included study were calculated.

One author independently assessed the quality of the included studies using the guidelines of the updated Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS)-2 tool [9]. This revised tool is a considerable improvement from the original tool as it allows for more transparent rating of bias and applicability of preliminary diagnostic accuracy studies.

Statistical analysis

All of the data were entered into the Meta DiSc 1.4 (Meta Analysis for Diagnostic and Screening Trials) software for analysis. Sensitivities, specificities, diagnostic odds ratios (ORs), or relevant 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) estimated for each study were combined across studies using a random effects model. Between-study heterogeneity of sensitivities and specificities was tested using the Mantel–

Haenszel Chi squared test with n-1 degrees of freedom (n is the number of studies). Statistical hypotheses (2-tailed) were tested at the significance level of 5 %.

Results

Search results and study characteristics

The preliminary search based on abstract and/or title yielded 125 articles, of which 104 were excluded because they were reviews, or irrelevant to the present analysis. Of the remaining 22 articles, after reading the full text, 12 were excluded because not focused on IMN (10 articles dealt with gene polymorphisms while 2 were investigating the diagnostic value of PLA₂R level in recurrent and *de novo* membranous nephropathy). Finally, 10 studies [8, 10–18] were included in the analysis (Fig. 1).

The characteristics of the included studies are listed in Table 1. All studies were published in English. They consisted of 6 prospective cohort studies [8, 10, 11, 14, 16, 18] and 4 cross-sectional studies [12, 13, 15, 17]. The studies were published within a period of 5 years, and involved PLA₂R measurements at two stages of MN: the active stage and the remission stage. PLA₂R was measured in both serum and biopsy in two studies [8, 13], only in serum in seven studies [10–12, 14, 15, 17, 18], and only in biopsy in one study [16]. PLA₂R was measured by western blotting in three studies [8, 11, 17], by immunofluorescence in five studies [10, 12, 13, 16, 18], and by enzyme-linked



Fig. 1 Flow chart of study selection. *IMN* idiopathic membranous nephropathy

Table 1 Characteristics of included studies

References	Country	Age (years)	Mals (%)	Sample size	PLA ₂ R measurement	PLA ₂ R assay	Blinding of investigators	
Beck [8]	England	NR	NR	89	Serum + biopsy	Western blotting	Yes	
Hoxha [10]	Germany	NR	NR	360	Serum	Immunofluorescence	NR	
Qin [11]	China	NR	NR	106	Serum	Western blotting	NR	
Ben	Australia	NR	NR	68	Serum	Immunofluorescence	NR	
Svobodova [13]	France	53.8	72.3	84	Serum + biopsy	Immunofluorescence	NR	
Behnert [14]	USA	NR	NR	265	Serum	IIF-CBA	Yes	
Kanigicherla [15]	England	54	71.0	163	Serum	ELISA	Yes	
Larsen [16]	USA	57.5	65.9	165	Biopsy	Immunofluorescence	NR	
Oh [17]	Korea	54.7	53.0	123	Serum	Western blotting	No	
Hofstra [18]	The Netherlands	NR	77.8	117	Serum	IIFT and ELISA	NR	

IIF-CBA indirect immunofluorescence cell based assay, ELISA enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, IIFT indirect immunofluorescence testing, NR not reported

Table 2 Quality assessment of individual studies	Studies	Risk of bias				Applicability concerns		
		Patients selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow and timing	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard
	Beck [8]	↑	↑	↑	?	1	1	1
	Hoxha [10]	1	↑	↑	$\uparrow\uparrow$	↑	↑	↑
	Qin [11]	1	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
	Ben 2012	1	↑	↑	$\uparrow\uparrow$	↑	↑	↑
	Svobodova [13]	↑	↑	↑	Ť	1	Î	1
	Behnert [14]	↑	1	↑	$\uparrow\uparrow$	↑	↑	1
	Kanigicherla [15]	↑	Ť	↑	↑	1	↑	↑
	Larsen [16]	↑	↑	↑	↑ ↑	↑	Ť	↑ ↑
	Oh [17]	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	Ť	1
↑ low risk, ↑↑ high risk, ?	Hofstra [18]	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	\uparrow

immunosorbent assay (ELISA) [15] and indirect immunofluorescence cell-based assay (IIF-CBA) [14], each in one study.

Quality assessment

The quality assessment of all included studies based on QUADAS-2 is shown in Table 2. All studies used a convenience sample, but the blinding of investigators was documented only in three studies [8, 14, 15]. Six [8, 10, 11, 14, 16, 18] of the nine studies were prospective, and four studies [12, 13, 15, 17] were retrospective in design.

Diagnostic value of serum anti-PLA₂R in IMN prediction

The diagnostic values of serum anti-PLA₂R level in predicting IMN at the active stage and the remission stage were investigated in nine studies [8, 10–15, 17, 18]. One study [13] was excluded because of too few people in the control group. As a result, a total of eight studies (9 data points because results for indirect immunofluorescence testing and ELISA were reported separately for the Hofstra study [18]). Across all settings, the estimated sensitivity was 69.0 % (95 % CI 65.0-72.0) and specificity was 99.0 % (95 % CI 98.0-99.0), with a diagnostic OR of 247.41 (95 % CI 67.51–906.69; p = 0.0198). Figures 2 and 3 show forest plots with the pooled sensitivities and specificities based on patients at different stages.

Three [11, 15, 17] of 7 studies provided the diagnostic value of serum anti-PLA₂R level in predicting IMN at active stage, with a sensitivity of 74.0 % (95 % CI 67.0-80.0), a specificity of 95.0 % (95 % CI 91.0-98.0), and a diagnostic OR of 54.22 (95 % CI 19.28-152.45; p = 0.2404). There was moderate heterogeneity between



pooled specificities of serum

two stages (active and

remission)



Fig. 4 Forest plot showing the pooled sensitivities of serum anti-PLA₂R level in patients at at the active stage

studies as evidenced by an I^2 of 29.8 % and Q test p = 0.2575.

Diagnostic value of biopsy PLA₂R antigens in IMN prediction

The diagnostic values of PLA₂R antigens of kidney biopsies in predicting IMN at two stage were investigated in three studies [8, 13, 16]. However, only two studies [13, 16] provided complete data for the meta-analysis. The estimated sensitivity was 73.0 % (95 % CI 65.0-80.0) and specificity 83.0 % (95 % CI 74.0-90.0),

with a diagnostic OR of 13.75 (95 % CI 7.11-26.62; p = 0.8185). Figures 4 and 5 show forest plots with the pooled sensitivities and specificities based on patients at the active stage.

One study [13] provided the diagnostic value of biopsy PLA₂R antigens in predicting IMN at the active stage. The number of true-positive, false-positive, false-negative and true-negative was 23, 3, 5, and 16, respectively. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) of biopsy of PLA₂R antigens in predicting IMN at the active stage were 82.1, 84.2, 88.5, and 76.2 %, respectively.



Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis focused on the diagnostic value of PLA_2R for detection of IMN. First, serum anti- PLA_2R level was found to be a useful predictor of IMN, with a high diagnostic value for IMN (moderate sensitivity and high specificity at different stages, high sensitivity and high specificity at the active stage). Second, the level of PLA_2 antigens in kidney biopsy showed a good diagnostic value with high sensitivity and specificity for IMN at different stages.

PLA₂R is a 185-kDa glycoprotein that is expressed in lung macrophages [19], leukocytes [20], and glomerular podocytes [8]. But it is unknown why the pathologic features are limited to the kidney. Reportedly, autoantibodies against PLA₂R not only play a direct pathogenic role but also serve as sensitive and specific markers for IMN [8, 10, 11, 21, 22]. However, there is discrepancy in the results regarding the relationship between anti-PLA₂R level and the clinical presentation.

This analysis showed that serum anti-PLA₂R level does not perform as well for detecting IMN at different stages, with a diagnostic sensitivity of 0.69 (95 % CI 65.0-72.0), probably because anti-PLA₂R titres can affect at different stages. Reportedly in IMN patients, the level of serum anti-PLA₂R is related to the activity of IMN [23] and usually dramatically decreases in treatment responsive patients [21]. The prevalence of anti-PLA₂R in IMN patients who had not received immunosuppressive treatment was higher than in those who entered the remission stage. Moreover, anti-PLA₂R detected during initial diagnosis disappeared when the patients entered the remission stage, although autoantibodies were still persistently detected in nonremission patients [17]. In order to identify the relationship between anti-PLA₂R levels and clinical parameters of disease activity, we investigated the role of anti-PLA₂R in predicting active IMN. Findings showed that serum anti-PLA₂R level was of diagnostic value for active IMN, with a sensitivity of 0.74 (95 % CI 0.67-0.80). Therefore, we conclude that serum anti-PLA₂R level may perform as well for predicting IMN at the active stage as at remission stage.

Because of the few included studies in the present metaanalysis, large cohort prospective studies on the relationship between anti-PLA₂R level and activity of IMN are needed.

Analysis showed that PLA₂R antigen in biopsy specimens was effective for detecting IMN at different stages, with a diagnostic sensitivity of 0.73. But only one study provided the diagnostic value of PLA₂R antigen of kidney biopsy in predicting IMN at the active stage. Though PLA₂R antigen in biopsy specimens was more sensitive than the serological test for the diagnosis of PLA₂R-related MN [13], we were unable to draw a similar conclusion. The reasons may be that: (1) the number of included studies is small; (2) the periods of PLA₂R measurement are different; (3) some patients had circulating anti-PLA₂R antibodies but did not have detectable PLA_2R in glomerular deposits [13, 24] while, on the contrary, some patients were negative for circulating anti-PLA₂R antibodies despite PLA₂R antigen positivity in the kidney biopsy [13]; (4) besides PLA_2R , there may be other target antigens, such as superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD₂) [25] and alpha enolase [26]. Due to these reasons, we were unable to conclude that the assessed PLA₂R antigen in biopsy specimens was superior to serological test for diagnosis of IMN. Further investigations should focus on comparing the diagnostic value of PLA₂R in kidney biopsy with circulating anti-PLA₂R antibodies in larger cohorts in prospective protocols.

One major limitation of our analysis is the heterogeneity of across-study sensitivity ($I^2 = 96.4$ %), probably due to the small number of studies, small sample size, low quality of the studies as assessed by QUADAS-2, different periods of PLA₂R measurement, and different assays used to assess the predictive value of PLA₂R. Then subgroup analysis was performed to further explore the sources of heterogeneity, and the measurement time was found to be a possible explanation. Early serum anti-PLA₂R level or PLA₂R antigens in biopsy specimens (at active stage) was of diagnostic value in predicting IMN, which is useful in clinical settings.

In conclusion, the present meta-analysis demonstrates that serum anti-PLA₂R level is of diagnostic value for IMN

in the active stage. But more properly designed investigations should be performed to reveal the diagnostic value of circulating anti-PLA₂R antibodies versus PLA₂R antigens in kidney biopsy. The included studies were nonrandomized and potential confounders could not be strictly controlled. The final conclusion about the appearance of anti-PLA₂R levels in patients with primary MGN can, however, only be confirmed by a large-cohort prospective study, which considers the degree of proteinuria, immunosuppressive treatment, time of observation, and repetitive measurements of anti-PLA₂R levels.

Conflict of interest The authors report no conflict of interest or relevant disclosures.

References

- 1. Glassock RJ (2010) The pathogenesis of idiopathic membranous nephropathy: a 50-year odyssey. Am J Kidney Dis 56(1):157–167
- Segal PE, Choi MJ (2012) Recent advances and prognosis in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. Adv Chronic kidney Dis. 19(2):114–119
- Ronco P, Debiec H (2005) Molecular pathomechanisms of membranous nephropathy: from Heymann nephritis to alloimmunization. J Am Soc Nephrol 16(5):1205–1213
- Waldman M, Austin HA 3rd (2009) Controversies in the treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropathy. Nat Rev Nephrol. 5(8):469–479
- Ohara O, Ishizaki J, Arita H (1995) Structure and function of phospholipase A₂ receptor. Prog Lipid Res 34(2):117–138
- Ishizaki J, Hanasaki K, Higashino K et al (1994) Molecular cloning of pancreatic group I phospholipase A₂ receptor. J Biol Chem 269(8):5897–5904
- Hanasaki K, Arita H (2002) Phospholipase A₂ receptor: a regulator of biological functions of secretory phospholipase A₂. Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat 68–69:71–82
- Beck LH Jr, Bonegio RG, Lambeau G et al (2009) M-type phospholipase A₂ receptor as target antigen in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. N Engl J Med 361(1):11–21
- Whiting P, Rutjes AWS, Westwood ME et al (2011) QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. Ann Intern Med 155(8):529–536
- Hoxha E, Harendza S, Zahner G et al (2011) An immunofluorescence test for phospholipase-A(2)-receptor antibodies and its clinical usefulness in patients with membranous glomerulonephritis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 26(8):2526–2532
- Qin W, Beck LH Jr, Zeng C et al (2011) Anti-phospholipase A₂ receptor antibody in membranous nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 22(6):1137–1143
- McGettigan B, Bundell C, Webb-Smith E, Hollingsworth P (2012) Anti-phospholipase A₂ receptor antibodies-an evaluation

of the clinical utility of a transfected cell based indirect immunofluorescence assay in the diagnosis of idiopathic membranous nephropathy. Intern Med J 42(Suppl 4):11

- Svobodova B, Honsova E, Ronco P, Tesar V, Debiec H (2012) Kidney biopsy is a sensitive tool for ret of PLA₂R-related membranous nephropathy. Nephrol Dial Transplant 28(7): 1839–1844
- 14. Behnert A, Fritzler MJ, Teng B et al (2013) An anti-phospholipase A_2 receptor quantitative immunoassay and epitope analysis in membranous nephropathy reveals different antigenic domains of the receptor. PLoS ONE 8(4):e61669
- 15. Kanigicherla D, Gummadova J, McKenzie EA et al (2013) Anti-PLA₂R antibodies measured by ELISA predict long-term outcome in a prevalent population of patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy. Kidney Int 83(5):940-948
- Larsen CP, Messias NC, Silva FG, Messias E, Walker PD (2013) Determination of primary versus secondary membranous glomerulopathy utilizing phospholipase A₂ receptor staining in renal biopsies. Mod Pathol 26(5):709–715
- 17. Oh YJ, Yang SH, Kim DK, Kang SW, Kim YS (2013) Autoantibodies against phospholipase A_2 receptor in korean patients with membranous nephropathy. PLoS ONE 8(4):e62151
- Hofstra JM, Debiec H, Short CD et al (2012) Antiphospholipase A2 receptor antibody titer and subclass in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 23(10):1735–1743
- Granata F, Petraroli A, Boilard E et al (2005) Activation of cytokine production by secreted phospholipase A₂ in human lung macrophages expressing the M-type receptor. J Immunol. 174(1):464–474
- Silliman CC, Moore EE, Zallen G et al (2002) Presence of the M-type sPLA(2) receptor on neutrophils and its role in elastase release and adhesion. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 283(4):C1102– C1113
- 21. Beck LH Jr, Fervenza FC, Beck DM et al (2011) Rituximabinduced depletion of anti-PLA₂R autoantibodies predicts response in membranous nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 22(8):1543-1550
- Beck LH Jr, Salant DJ (2010) Membranous nephropathy: recent travels and new roads ahead. Kidney Int 77(9):765–770
- Hofstra JM, Beck LH Jr, Beck DM, Wetzels JF, Salant DJ (2011) Anti-phospholipase A₂ receptor antibodies correlate with clinical status in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 6(6):1286–1291
- Debiec H, Ronco P (2011) PLA₂R autoantibodies and PLA₂R glomerular deposits in membranous nephropathy. N Engl J Med 364(7):689–690
- Prunotto M, Carnevali ML, Candiano G et al (2010) Autoimmunity in membranous nephropathy targets aldose reductase and SOD2. J Am Soc Nephrol 21(3):507–519
- Bruschi M, Carnevali ML, Murtas C et al (2011) Direct characterization of target podocyte antigens and auto-antibodies in human membranous glomerulonephritis: alfa-enolase and borderline antigens. J Proteomics. 74(10):2008–2017