RESEARCH CONTRIBUTION

On the Connection Between Irrationality Measures and Polynomial Continued Fractions

Nadav Ben David[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1797-5475) · Guy Nimri1 · Uri Mendlovic2 · Yahel Manor¹ · Carlos De la Cruz Mengual1 · Ido Kaminer¹

Received: 29 July 2023 / Revised: 10 March 2024 / Accepted: 26 April 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Linear recursions with integer coefficients, such as the recursion that generates the Fibonacci sequence $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$, have been intensely studied over millennia and yet still hide interesting undiscovered mathematics. Such a recursion was used by Apéry in his proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$, which was later named the Apéry constant. Apéry's proof used a specific linear recursion that contained integer polynomials (polynomially recursive) and formed a continued fraction; such formulas are called polynomial continued fractions (PCFs). Similar polynomial recursions can be used to prove the irrationality of other fundamental constants such as π and *e*. More generally, the sequences generated by polynomial recursions form Diophantine approximations, which are ubiquitous in different areas of mathematics such as number theory and combinatorics. However, in general it is not known which polynomial recursions create useful Diophantine approximations and under what conditions they can be used to prove irrationality. Here, we present general conclusions and conjectures about Diophantine approximations created from polynomial recursions. Specifically, we generalize Apéry's work from his particular choice of PCF to any general PCF, finding the conditions under which a PCF can be used to prove irrationality or to provide an efficient Diophantine approximation. To provide concrete examples, we apply our findings to PCFs found by the Ramanujan Machine algorithms to represent fundamental constants such as π , e , ζ (3), and the Catalan constant. For each such PCF, we demonstrate the extraction of its convergence rate and efficiency, as well as the bound it provides for the irrationality measure of the fundamental constant. We further propose new conjectures about Diophantine approximations based on PCFs. Looking forward, our findings could motivate a search for a wider theory on sequences created by any linear recursions with integer coefficients. Such results can help the development of systematic algorithms for finding Diophantine approximations of fundamental constants. Consequently, our study may contribute to ongoing efforts to answer open questions such as the proof of the irrationality of the Catalan constant or of values of the Riemann zeta function (e.g., $\zeta(5)$).

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Keywords Polynomial Continued Fractions · Irrationality Measure · The Ramanujan Machine · Diophantine Approximations · Apéry's Constant

1 Introduction

1.1 Apéry's Constant and His Polynomial Continued Fraction

In his paper [\[1,](#page-36-0) [2\]](#page-36-1), Apéry ingeniously presented a specific linear recursion with integer polynomial coefficients which is used to prove the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$. This polynomial recursion generated two sequences p_n , q_n (given different initial values) such that $p_n/q_n \to_{n \to \infty} 6/\zeta(3)$, i.e., which constituted a Diophantine approximation of $\zeta(3)$. Apéry then showed that this specific sequence p_n/q_n proved the irrationality of the number to which it converges. It is also demonstrated [\[2\]](#page-36-1) that the linear recursion is equivalent to the following polynomial continued fraction (PCF):

$$
\frac{6}{\zeta(3)} = 5 - \frac{1}{117 - \frac{64}{535 - \frac{729}{1463 \cdots - \frac{n^6}{34n^3 + 51n^2 + 27n + 5 \cdots}}}}.
$$

Apéry's paper inspired other researchers to apply related strategies to other problems in Diophantine approximations, to study irrationality measures of other constants, and to find applications in other fields [\[3](#page-36-2)[–10\]](#page-36-3).

Apéry's result hints at a more general question: Which PCFs prove the irrationality of the number to which they converge? In other words: Which pairs of integer polynomials (such as $-n^6$ and $34n^3 + 51n^2 + 27n + 5$ in Apéry's case) can be used to prove irrationality? This question is directly related to the intrinsic properties of PCFs, specifically, their rate of convergence and the properties of the Diophantine approximation sequences they create.

1.2 Polynomial Continued Fractions

In their most general form, PCFs are generalized continued fractions whose terms $a_n = a(n)$ and $b_n = b(n)$ are defined by two polynomials with integer coefficients, $a(n)$ and $b(n)$:

$$
PCF[a_n, b_n] = a_0 + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + \frac{b_2}{a_2 + \frac{b_3}{a_3 \cdots + \frac{b_n}{a_n \cdots}}}}
$$

$$
a_n = a(n), \quad b_n = b(n) \in \mathbb{Z}[n].
$$

Truncating a PCF at a finite step *n* produces a rational number

$$
\frac{p_n}{q_n} = a_0 + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + \frac{b_2}{a_2 + \frac{b_3}{a_3 \cdots + \frac{b_n}{a_n}}}},
$$

 $\textcircled{2}$ Springer

called the *n*-th convergent of the PCF. The *n*-th convergent also results as the quotient of the numbers p_n and q_n defined independently as the solutions of the following linear recursion of depth 2

$$
u_n = a_n u_{n-1} + b_n u_{n-2},
$$
\n(1)

with initial conditions,

$$
p_{-1} = 1,
$$
 $p_0 = a_0$
 $q_{-1} = 0,$ $q_0 = 1.$

We say that the value of the PCF is the limit of the sequence p_n/q_n , if it exists. There exist Mobius transformations with integer coefficients that transform between the limits of p_n/q_n for different initial conditions—for any two pairs of rational, linearly-independent initial values [\[11\]](#page-36-4).

PCFs appear in a wide range of fields of mathematics and are related to many special functions, including all trigonometric functions, exponentials, Bessel functions, generalized hypergeometric functions, the Riemann zeta function, and many other important functions such as erf and log [\[12–](#page-36-5)[14\]](#page-36-6). Moreover, any infinite sum can be converted into a continued fraction, known as Euler's continued fraction. The space of PCFs also contains all linear recursions of depth 2 with rational polynomial coefficients (and some of their generalizations). In his study, Apéry developed a linear recursion of depth 2 with rational polynomials, which can be converted to a PCF, using the standard definition above.

1.3 The Goals of this Paper

Looking at the bigger picture, it is interesting to generalize Apéry's PCF. Consider an arbitrary linear integer recursion (of any order) used to create the numerators and denominators in a sequence of rational numbers. In other words, provided two sets of initial conditions, for the numerator and denominator, the linear recursion creates a Diophantine approximation sequence. Each such sequence may provide an efficient representation of the limit of the sequence. Intuitively, the efficiency is described by the rate (as a function of n) at which the sequence converges relatively to the sizes of the denominators. What can be said about the resulting sequence? What condition should the linear recursion fulfill for the generated sequence to prove that its limit is irrational? More generally, what bounds on irrationality measures does each linear recursion create?

In this paper, we describe the construction of a systematic method to find, for each PCF, the efficiency of its limit approximation, i.e., the lower bound it provides for the irrationality measure (we address a lower bound that simultaneously provides an upper bound [\[2\]](#page-36-1)). We develop a criterion on the PCF for proving the irrationality of its limit. Specifically, Theorem [2](#page-7-0) states a formula for the irrationality bound for each PCF, yielding $\frac{ln|\alpha|-ln|B|+ln\lambda}{ln|\alpha|-ln\lambda}$, where α and B can be calculated directly from a_n 's and b_n 's coefficients and λ relates only to the growth rate of the PCF's greatest common

divisor, denoted as

$$
\mathrm{GCD}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{GCD}[p_n, q_n]
$$

(specifically, $\ln(\lambda) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\frac{GCD_n}{n!^{\text{deg}a}} \right)$ $\left(\frac{GCD_n}{n!^{\deg a_n}}\right)$). A major advantage of this formula is that it does not require the PCF's limit as its input. Moreover, Conjecture [1.1](#page-8-0) states that, for the growth rate of GCD_n to be sufficient for an irrationality proof, the polynomial b_n must have rational roots only.

PCFs that yield efficient Diophantine approximations are in general also better for computing more quickly the numbers to which they converge. Consequently, the results of our study could be used to develop faster means for high precision calculations of fundamental constants, such as attempts to compute more digits and study the normality of such constants [\[15](#page-36-7)[–22\]](#page-36-8).

Any mathematical expression that can be converted to PCFs, such as infinite sums used for the computation of fundamental constants [\[15](#page-36-7)[–17,](#page-36-9) [20,](#page-36-10) [21\]](#page-36-11), could be analyzed with the approach that we present in this paper. The conjectures that arise from our study hint at a general theory that goes beyond PCFs to any polynomial recursion, and maybe eventually beyond it to any linear recursion with rational coefficients.

Some of the conclusions of our study presented below go beyond PCFs and the motivation of irrationality proofs. In general, when given any linear recursive formula with integer coefficients, not necessarily one representing a PCF, it is interesting to study the greatest common divisor of two (or maybe more) sequences arising from the same recursion with different initial conditions. We find the solution for special cases of linear recursions, showing the rate of growth of GCD_n . We hope that our study will contribute to efforts toward finding the general rules for greatest common devisors of arbitrary linear recursions.

1.4 Motivation and Potential Applications

Many of the PCF formulas that led us to the conjectures and proofs in this paper were originally found by the Ramanujan Machine project $[10, 30]$ $[10, 30]$ $[10, 30]$, which employs computer algorithms to find conjectured formulas for fundamental constants. Various algorithms are being developed as part of that project, and so far, they all focus on formulas in the form of PCFs. Since the algorithms check candidate formulas by their numerical match to target constants, the results are always in the form of conjectures rather than proven theorems. The first algorithms succeeded in finding conjectured PCF formulas for π , *e*, values of the Riemann zeta function ζ , and the Catalan constant [\[10\]](#page-36-3). These latter formulas led to a new world record for the irrationality bound of the Catalan constant. The theorems and conjectures below can also help improve future algorithms that search for such conjectures.

We point out three interesting challenges that motivate this work, each having prospects in Diophantine approximations, as well as in experimental mathematics, i.e., computation-driven mathematical research (e.g., [\[10,](#page-36-3) [23,](#page-36-12) [24\]](#page-36-13)):

- 1. Given the polynomials a_n , b_n , determine whether $PCF[a_n, b_n]$ provides a bound on the irrationality measure of its limit (if it converges), and if so, find the bound analytically from *an*, *bn*.
- 2. Estimate the efficiency of each PCF for computing fundamental constants to high precision.
- 3. Develop faster algorithms to compute PCFs; more generally, compute any polynomial recursion more efficiently.

1.5 The Measure of Irrationality

The irrationality measure of a number L is the largest δ for which there exists an infinite sequence of rational numbers $p_n/q_n \neq L$ such that

$$
\left| L - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{|q_n|^{1+\delta}}.\tag{2}
$$

This maximal δ is called the irrationality measure of the number *L* [\[2,](#page-36-1) [15\]](#page-36-7), or the Liouville–Roth exponent. For irrational numbers, this maximum can be obtained by the *regular* continued fraction of *L*; however, its closed formula is often unknown (e.g., in the case of π). The Diophantine approximation is thought of as more *efficient* when δ is larger. Rational numbers have an irrationality measure 0, meaning that they cannot be approximated efficiently by other rational numbers. This property is part of the irrationality criterion: if there exists a sequence p_n/q_n for which the inequality in (Eq. [2\)](#page-4-0) holds for some $\delta > 0$, then *L* is irrational. Further, if there exists a sequence p_n/q_n for which this inequality holds for some $\delta > 1$, then *L* is transcendental by the Siegel–Roth theorem. Finally, if the inequality holds for arbitrarily large values of δ, then *L* is a Liouville number (infinite irrationality measure) [\[15\]](#page-36-7). Intuitively, for a sequence that proves irrationality, the growth of the denominator should be sufficiently slow in relation to the convergence rate of the PCF.

For each number *L*, there always exists a sequence that satisfy (Eq. [2\)](#page-4-0) with δ = 0 (for a rational *L*) or $\delta \geq 1$ (for an irrational *L*). However, the largest *known* δ can be smaller or larger than 0. To find even one explicit sequence that reaches the maximal value is challenging. This challenge continues to motivate searches for new sequences p_n/q_n , from which one can extract larger lower bounds for the irrationality measures of constants. Each constant for which the rationality or irrationality is still unknown has all its known sequences with $\delta \leq 0$ (as in the case of the Catalan constant [\[19](#page-36-14)[–22\]](#page-36-8)). Then, finding one sequence for which $\delta > 0$ will directly prove irrationality. When δ is known to be positive, as in π , it is still interesting to find better PCFs with larger δ values, because it improves the bounds on the constant's irrationality measure (e.g., π 's upper bound [\[25\]](#page-36-15) by Zeilberger and Zudilin). Therefore, it is of interest to find sequences for which δ is as large as possible, even when the value is negative.

In the rest of this paper, we use the symbol $\delta = \delta_{p_n, q_n}$ to denote the lower bounds on irrationality measures that we determine by analyzing sequences p_n/q_n generated by PCFs. We identify a necessary and sufficient condition for the growth rate of GCD_n, under which PCF[a_n , b_n] provides a nontrivial δ (larger than -1). Utilizing this criterion, we present an expression for δ and propose conjectures for its dependence on the choice of *an*, *bn*.

2 Results

2.1 Summary of the Main Results

Unless stated otherwise, we focus on "balanced-degree" PCFs, defined as those for which $\frac{\text{deg}b_n}{\text{deg}a_n} = 2$. This PCF type is arguably the most common in the literature related to mathematical constants (see ["Appendix A"](#page-18-0), Ref. [\[10\]](#page-36-3), and further references therein). We show that the growth rate (as a function of n) of GCD_n is key to the analysis of PCFs of this type. We find special interest in cases of $PCF[a_n, b_n]$ for which GCD_n upholds $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\frac{GCD_n}{n!^{\deg a_n}} \right)$ $\frac{GCD_n}{n!^{\deg a_n}}$ > $-\infty$. That is, while the denominator q_n can be shown to grow super-exponentially as $n!^{\deg a_n}$, the reduced denominator $\frac{q_n}{\text{GCD}_n}$ is of exponential order only. We call this phenomenon factorial reduction (FR).

Below, we prove that for a PCF to provide a nontrivial δ value, FR is necessary (Theorem [1\)](#page-6-0). We also derive formulas for this δ (Theorem [2\)](#page-7-0), which could help provide irrationality proofs. The other results of our work are conjectures which attempt to provide a complete characterization of PCFs with nontrivial δ s. All the conjectures are backed with extensive, computer-based, numerical tests and await formal proof.

Numerical tests show that FR is possible if and only if b_n has rational roots only (Conjecture [1.1\)](#page-8-0). We further conjecture that for every such b_n , the PCF[a_n , b_n] has FR for infinitely many choices of polynomials *an* with *rational* coefficients (Conjecture [1.2\)](#page-10-0). Other experiments revealed that, for the special case of $\text{deg}b_n = 2$ and $\text{deg}a_n = 1$, each b_n with all-rational roots has exactly two infinite families of integer polynomials a_n for which PCF[a_n , b_n] has FR (Conjecture [1.4](#page-11-0) presents the formula for the a_n , b_n pairs). A necessary and sufficient condition on a_n , b_n of arbitrary degrees for $PCF[a_n,$ b_n] to have FR still awaits discovery and a proof.

2.1.1 Example: Apéry's PCF

Let us explain how Apéry's PCF appears as a special case in our study. First, his PCF uses $b_n = -n^6$ which has only rational roots (a special case of Conjecture [1.1\)](#page-8-0). Second, Apéry's PCF has FR and provides a nontrivial δ (a special case of Theorem [1\)](#page-6-0), as he proved that a lower bound for the size of GCD_n is $n!^3/e^{3n}$. Third, Apéry proved that $\delta = \frac{\ln \alpha - 3}{\ln \alpha + 3}$, for $\alpha = 17 + 12\sqrt{2}$, which exactly matches our general expression (see Theorem [2\)](#page-7-0). Below, we generalize this process and conclusions to all PCFs, classify their different GCD_n s, and present a criterion for the PCF that allows us to prove irrationality.

2.2 Theorems About Factorial Reduction

We tested many PCFs for FR and identified a surprising phenomenon: despite the rarity of FR in an experimentally random PCF, we have so far found FR in *every* PCF that converges to a *fundamental constant* we tested (PCFs that converge to π , *e*, $\zeta(3)$, $\zeta(5)$, and the Catalan constant). Specifically, we tested *all* the PCFs found so far in the Ramanujan Machine project [\[10,](#page-36-3) [30\]](#page-37-0) and many other PCF formulas. This relation between FR and PCFs of fundamental constants is surprising because the algorithmic search in [\[10\]](#page-36-3) did not favor PCFs that have FR. This intriguing fact hints at an underlying structure of PCFs that is required for formulas that converge to certain mathematical constants.

Theorem 1 (The necessity of FR) Consider a balanced-degree PCF[a_n , b_n] satisfying $A^{2} + 4B > 0$. For the PCF to provide a nontrivial δ , it must have FR; i.e.,

$$
\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\ln\bigg(\frac{\text{GCD}_n}{n!^{\text{deg}a_n}}\bigg)>-\infty.
$$

In other words, GCD_n divided by $n!^{\deg a_n}$ is of exponential order, and so, the reduced denominators $\frac{q_n}{GCD_n}$ are of exponential order rather than factorial.

Satisfing $A^2 + 4B > 0$ ensures the PCF's convergence (see Appendices A and B).

Outline of the Proof An outline of the proof is presented here. For the complete proof See ["Appendix B"](#page-18-1).

- 1. Bound the finite calculation error $\frac{p_n}{q_n} - \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ by $\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n}b_i}{q_{n+1}q_n}$ (Lemma [2\)](#page-20-0)
- 2. Extract an expression for δ from $\left| \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i}{q_{n+1} q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{\left| \frac{q_n}{\text{GCD}_n} \right|}$ $\frac{1}{1+\delta}$ (Lemma [1\)](#page-20-1)
- 3. Estimate $\left| \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i \right|$ (Lemma [3\)](#page-21-0) and $|q_{n+1}q_n|$ (Lemmas 4, using Poincaré's [\[31\]](#page-37-1))
- 4. Conclude that if GCD_n does not grow super-exponentially as $n!^{\deg a_n}$, then $\delta = -1$

To size GCD_n for *any* PCF, we define λ as the exponential order of GCD_n divided by the factorial part, i.e. (denoting $ln0 = -\infty$),

$$
\ln(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \bigg(\frac{\text{GCD}_n}{n!^{\text{deg}a_n}} \bigg).
$$

Hence, FR in fact means that $\lambda > 0$. So, assuming the above limit converges, we can write

$$
\mathrm{GCD}_n \doteq \lambda^n \cdot n!^{\deg a_n}.
$$

The notation $x_n \doteq y_n$ represents that for some $\kappa \in \{-1,1\}$

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \kappa \frac{x_n}{y_n} = 0. \tag{3}
$$

 \mathcal{D} Springer

This is an equivalence relation implying that x_n and y_n exhibit identical exponential growth rates as *n* approaches infinity, although they may differ by sign, and factors that grow (or decay) more slowly than exponentials, such as polynomial factors ($n^r = 1$ for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$). This relation is particularly useful for comparing sequences in our analysis, where the exponential terms are the dominant component and sub-exponential terms are negligible. Also, note that we use the fact that $x_n \doteq y_n$ and $x'_n \doteq y_n$ $\stackrel{\text{...}}{=}$ *y*_n implies $x_n x'_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ $\dot{=}$ $y_n y'_n$ *n*.

Theorem 2 (A formula for δ) Consider a balanced-degree PCF[a_n , b_n] with $A^2 + 4B > 0$ converging to a number $L \in \mathbb{R}$. The irrationality measure of L is at least

$$
\delta = \frac{\ln|\alpha| - \ln|B| + \ln\lambda}{\ln|\alpha| - \ln\lambda},
$$

where *A* and *B* are the leading coefficients of a_n and b_n , respectively, and α is the larger solution in absolute value of the equation

$$
x^2 - Ax - B = 0.
$$

This formula establishes a link between the size of GCD_n and the value of δ . As anticipated, the larger GCD_n is, the greater δ becomes, i.e., larger values of λ imply larger values of δ , which is advantageous for proving irrationality. The minimal possible λ value is $\lambda = 0$ which implies no FR and a trivial $\delta = -1$, while the maximal value is $\lambda = |\alpha|$ (as ["Appendix B"](#page-18-1) shows $p_n \doteq q_n \doteq \alpha^n \cdot n!^{\deg a_n}$), which implies an infinite irrationality measure, i.e., a Liouville number. Any λ value exhibiting $\lambda > \left| \frac{B}{\alpha} \right|$ implies $\delta > 0$ and proves the irrationality of the PCF's limit.

Outline of the Proof Following Theorem [1,](#page-6-0) the formula for δ is derived from assuming GCD_n $\dot{=} \lambda^n \cdot n!^{\deg a_n}$ and inserting it into the expression from Lemma [1](#page-20-1) (step 2 at the outline of Theorem [1'](#page-6-0)s proof). For the complete proof See ["Appendix B"](#page-18-1). \Box

2.2.1 Examples: Different values of λ **and** δ

1. Apéry's: $b_n = -n^6$ and $a_n = 34n^3 + 51n^2 + 27n + 5$, and therefore, $\alpha = \frac{34+\sqrt{34^2-4}}{2}$. Apéry showed [\[1\]](#page-36-0) that $\left(\frac{n!}{\text{LCM}[n]}\right)^3 |\text{GCD}_n$ and thus $GCD_n \geq \left(\frac{n!}{LCM[n]}\right)^3$, where LCM[*n*] is the least common multiple (LCM) of 1,2... *n*, which satisfies LCM[*n*] $\doteq e^n$ (as it is equal to the exponent of the second Chebyshev function [\[2\]](#page-36-1)). Therefore, $\lambda \ge \frac{1}{e^3}$ and our formula provides $\delta = \frac{\ln|\alpha| - \ln|B| + \ln\lambda}{\ln|\alpha| - \ln\lambda} \ge 0.080529\dots$, which exactly matches the Apéry's result.

2. Other irrational limits: For any integer $k \geq 3$, take $b_n = -n^2$ and $a_n =$ $k(2n + 1)$; therefore, $\alpha = k + \sqrt{2}$ *k*(2*n* + 1); therefore, $\alpha = k + \sqrt{k^2 - 1}$. The proof in ["Appendix D"](#page-29-0) shows that $\frac{n!}{\text{LCM}[n]}$ (GCD_{*n*} · 2^{*n*}) and thus GCD_{*n*} ≥ $\frac{n!}{2^n \text{LCM}[n]}$. Therefore, $\lambda \ge \frac{1}{2e}$, providing $\delta \geq$ $\ln\left(k + \sqrt{k^2 - 1}\right) - \ln 2 - 1$ $\frac{1}{\ln(k+\sqrt{k^2-1})+\ln(2+1)}$ > 0, and proving irrationality for all these PCFs' lim-

its. Note that these PCFs satisfy the convergence condition $A^2 + 4B > 0$ from ["Appendix A"](#page-18-0).

3. Additional values in Table [1.](#page-9-0)

These examples emphasize the strength of our approach: the determination of an irrationality measure δ without a need to find an explicit formula for a PCF's sequence or to find its limit.

2.3 Conditions for the Existence of Factorial Reduction

Theorems [1](#page-6-0) and [2](#page-7-0) raise two critical questions: (1) which PCFs have FR and if so, then (2) what their exponential orders λ are. Following many computer tests, the following conjecture is an effort to answer the first question. The second will be discussed later.

Conjecture 1.1 *Given a polynomial* b_n *, there exists an* a_n *for which* $PCF[a_n, b_n]$ *has FR if and only if* b_n *is decomposable to linear factors over* \mathbb{Q} *.*

2.3.1 Examples: The roots of b_n and their effect on FR

For the case where $b_n = n^2 - 2$, which possesses irrational roots, we did not discovere any a_n for which PCF[a_n , b_n] has FR. Similarly, in scenarios where b_n has nonreal roots, such as $b_n = n^2 + 1$, we observed the same outcome (see ["Appendix E"](#page-32-0)).

In the case of $b_n = n^2 - 2$, which has irrational roots, we found no a_n such that $PCF[a_n, b_n]$ has FR, and similarly in the case where b_n has nonreal roots, such as $b_n = n^2 + 1$ (see ["Appendix E"](#page-32-0)).

On the other hand, we found numerically that for $b_n = 8n^2 - 2 = 2(2n + 1)(2n - 1)$, there exist choices of *a_n* that provide PCFs with FR, for example:

$$
PCF[7n+3, 8n^2-2] = 3 + \frac{6}{10 + \frac{30}{17 + \frac{70}{24...}}}. \tag{4}
$$

Another example for $b_n = -n^4 = -(n^2)(n^2)$ is

$$
PCF[2n^2 + 2n + 13, -n^4] = 13 - \frac{1}{17 - \frac{16}{25 - \frac{81}{37...}}}. \tag{5}
$$

 $Table 1$ PCF examples with different GCD. formulas **Table 1** PCF examples with different GCD*n* formulas

2.3.2 Families of *an* **s for Which the PCFs Have Factorial Reduction**

The above a_n choices are part of infinite families (see the following examples). In fact, our computer tests always find the a_n s to belong to infinite families that all have FR, and we propose the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1.2 *For each bnthat is decomposable to linear factors over* Q*, there exists at least one infinite family of ans for which every* PCF[*an*, *bn*]*has FR. Such a family is presented in* Conjecture [1.3](#page-10-1)*.*

This conjecture means that each $PCF[a_n, b_n]$ with FR could be generalized to an infinite family of PCFs with the same b_n and different a_n s. An interesting question is whether there would always exist members of this family that prove the irrationality of the constants to which they converge (see Theorem [3](#page-15-0) for more information).

2.3.3 Example of an infinite family of PCFs for $b_n = -n^4$ (found empirically).

The PCF in (Eq. [5\)](#page-8-1) can be generalized, and considered as a special case of the following family of PCFs: For $b_n = -n^4$, and any *k* of the form $k = m^2 - m + 1$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following PCF has FR:

$$
\text{PCF}\left[2n^2 + 2n + k, \ -n^4\right] = k - \frac{1}{4 + k - \frac{16}{12 + k - \frac{81}{24 + k...}}}
$$

2.3.4 Example of an infinite family of PCFs for any general *bn* **(found empirically)**

Conjecture 1.3 *For any d* ∈ $\mathbb N$ *, and B, x*₁*, ..., x*_{2*d*} ∈ $\mathbb Q$ *, the following* PCF[a_n *, bn*]*has FR:*

$$
b_n = B \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{2d} (n - x_i)
$$

$$
a_n = \frac{B}{m} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d} (n + 1 - x_i) - m \cdot \prod_{i=d+1}^{2d} (n - x_i)
$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, \pm \sqrt{|B|}\}\$ (since then $B = -A^2/4$, or $A = 0$).

2.3.5 The Complete Structure for $\text{deg}b_n = 2$ and $\text{deg}a_n = 1$

Having performed many numerical tests, we propose the next general conjecture for the a_n families for which the PCF has FR for a given reducible b_n of degree 2.

Conjecture 1.4 *For every b_n of the form b_n* = $B(n - x_1)(n - x_2)$ *with* $B, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ *,* PCF[*an*, *bn*]*has FR if and only if anbelongs to one (or more) of the families*

$$
a_n^{(k)} = \left(\frac{B}{m} - m\right)n + k,\tag{6}
$$

$$
a_n^{(k)} = k(2n - x_1 - x_2 + 1),\tag{7}
$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, and $m \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, \pm \sqrt{|B|}\}.$

We emphasize that the above conjecture is formulated with rational parameters (*B*, *k*, and *m*), yielding rational PCFs. Alternatively, an equivalent conjecture can be formulated using integer parameters and yielding integer PCFs. The equivalence is achieved by multiplying a_n and b_n by a constant (see inflation process in "Appendix") [C"\). For the sake of simplicity and coherence, the examples below are chosen to be](#page-26-0) integers ($B \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, and $m|B$). However, the conjecture is presented in the most general form, using rational numbers.

Numerical experiments show that these two families of PCFs, and only them, have FR for a given reducible b_n of degree 2. Based on these numerical tests, we found exponentially tight (\doteq) formulas for GCD_n of some cases (["Appendix E"](#page-32-0)). Interestingly, the families share additional properties, e.g., their GCD*n* s are always found to be closely related (we do not yet understand the general structure).

2.3.6 Example of the Complete Structure for $b_n = 8n^2 - 2$

For $b_n = 8n^2 - 2$, the first family (Eq. [6\)](#page-11-1) has the following PCFs for $m = 1$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ [note that (Eq. [4\)](#page-8-2) is a special case with $k = 3$]

$$
\text{PCF}\left[7n+k, 8n^2-2\right] = 0+k+\frac{6}{7+k+\frac{30}{14+k+\frac{70}{21+k...}}},
$$

and for $m = 2$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\text{PCF}\Big[2n+k, 8n^2-2\Big] = 0+k+\frac{6}{2+k+\frac{30}{4+k+\frac{70}{6+k...}}}.
$$

The second family (Eq. [7\)](#page-11-2) contains for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\text{PCF}\left[k(2n+1), \, 8n^2 - 2\right] = k + \frac{6}{3k + \frac{30}{5k + \frac{70}{7k...}}}
$$

We tested many of these PCFs numerically and indeed they all have FR, while other PCFs with the same $b_n = 8n^2 - 2$ and different a_n s do not.

2.4 Summary of Our Main Conjectures Regarding PCFs and Factorial Reduction

The above examples summarize the four aspects of our conjectures so far:

- 1. FR of PCF[\cdot , b_n]: an a_n exists if and only if b_n has rational roots only.
- 2. a_n families: for every such b_n , there exist several infinite families of a_n s for which the PCFs have FR.
- 3. Each a_n belongs to (at least) one of such families.
- 4. PCFs of the same family have closely related GCD*n* s.

2.4.1 A Hint for the Structure of Arbitrary Degrees

We expect similar results for any b_n of degree ≥ 2 and explain why. For any b_n , of any degree, we always find a_n s with leading coefficients $\left(\frac{B}{m} - m\right)$ for some *m*, same as the first family (Eq. [6\)](#page-11-1) for b_n of degree = 2. For example, PCF[$11n^2 - 2n - 1$, $12n^4$], $PCF[4n^2 - 4n - 2, 12n^4]$, and $PCF[n^2 - 6n - 3, 12n^4]$ have FR, corresponding to $B = 12$ and $m = 1,2, 3$, respectively. See ["Appendix E"](#page-32-0) for more examples. We do not yet know what conditions must be satisfied by the other coefficients of the *an* s to have FR.

There exist other *an* s of a different and still unknown form, such as Apéry's and the example of Conjecture [1.2](#page-10-0) shown above. Furthermore, the form of the leading coefficient $A = \left(\frac{B}{m} - m\right)$ can be obtained by the following criterion: *A* is of this form if and only if the equation $m^2 = A \cdot m + B$ has a rational root. It is interesting to try generalizing the above conjectures to discover the most general rules of this mathematical structure. Additional hints of the mathematical complexity of the yet unknown general structure are related to the existence of generalized Pythagorean triples (see Sect. [2.7](#page-29-0) below).

2.5 Closed-Form Formula of GCD*n* **and the Exponential Order** *-*

The goal of the next sections is to predict exponentially tight formulas for GCD_n s, i.e., up to a slower than exponential factor. For each $PCF[a_n, b_n]$, we aim to find both the exponential order λ and the closed-form expression for GCD_n that yields this λ. Representative examples are provided in Table [1](#page-9-0) below. This table includes PCF examples of different sorts, some for which we found (numerically) exponentially tight formulas and others for which we did not.

The term "exponentially coprime to GCD*n*" generalizes the idea of a coprime and means that the highest powers of p dividing GCD_n for the terms in the sequence increase sub-exponentially. This statement implies that a certain prime does not affect the reduction.

Generalizing from these examples and many more (["Appendix E"](#page-32-0)), a conjectured structure of the exact GCD_n forms (up to sub-exponential factors) is presented next. Note that there exist multiple equivalent ways to present some of the forms, for example

using $(2n - 1)! \cdot \frac{2^n}{LCM[2n]} = n!$ $\left(\frac{2n}{0ptn}\right)$ $\frac{\sqrt{oppin}}{\text{LCM}[2n]}$ (as shown in Table [1\)](#page-9-0). Also, the notation

 $f_n = \Theta(g_n)$ mean that *f* is bounded both above and below by *g* asymptotically, i.e., there exist positive constants *c*, $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $c \cdot g_n \leq f_n \leq C \cdot g_n$ for large enough *n*s.

Conjecture 2 (The exact forms of GCD_n) *We can represent every* GCD_n *as a multiple of two parts, a factorial and an exponential expression:*

• The factorial part in general appears in the form $\prod_{i=1}^{n} P(i)$, where *P* is an integer polynomial of degree deg*an*

(The special case of *n*! corresponds to $P(x) = x$ and deg(a_n) = 1).

- The exponential part takes one of the following forms or their multiples:
	- Power of a prime: $p^{\Theta(n)}$
		- In the numerator: We found only integer or half-integer powers, such as 5*ⁿ* and $7^{n/2}$. In some PCFs, these powers can be explained by inflation (see Appendix C).
		- In the denominator: Only primes *p* raised to the power of $\frac{n}{p-1}$ deg(*a_n*)*, such* as $11^{-\frac{n}{10}}$ and 2^n . Note that this exponent $p^{-\frac{n}{p-1}}$ conforms to the highest power of *p* that divides the factorial expression $(un + v)!^{(u)}$ (when *u* and *p* are coprime). Hence, when this exponent appears in the denominator, GCD_n is exponentially coprime with *p.*
		- When part of the expression is a "Zebra" (see below), we find more complicated fractional powers in the numerator, such as $2^{L}^{3n/4}$.
- LCM $[f \cdot n]$ for some $f \in \mathbb{N}$: For example, the Apéry's work has LCM $[n]$, and Table [1](#page-9-0) shows a case of LCM[2*n*]. This is seen only in denominators.
- Zebra: There is an additional pattern for which we lack an explicit formula. We find this pattern in the denominators. We can identify this pattern in many PCFs but do not entirely understand it. The investigation of the Zebra pattern is left to future work.

For computational simplicity, most of our numerical analysis is focused on PCFs of deg $b_n = 2$ and deg $a_n = 1$. Based on this analysis and additional simulations, we conjecture that the above description captures any GCD_n sequence of a PCF, also of the higher order a_n , b_n . Furthermore, we expect analogous mathematical structures to exist in greatest common divisors of any linear recursion with polynomial coefficients, the investigation of which remains for future work. Note that, for PCFs without FR, numerical analyses show that $\Theta(\pi(n))$ primes are exponentially coprime to GCD_n , where $\pi(n)$ is the prime-counting function.

2.6 Fast Calculation of PCFs Using Simplified Recursion Formulas and Factorial Reduction

In this section, we discuss an application of the ability to predict the exact formulas of FR and other forms of reduction. Provided we have a closed-form formula for GCD_n , we can apply the reduction straight to the recursion, so that the computation is performed with smaller integer values. Such simplified recursions enable faster estimation of the PCF limit. The computation advantage of such recursion is substantial with FR: it requires only manipulating sequences that grow exponentially with the PCF depth (instead of super-exponentially).

2.6.1 Example: A Simple Recursion for the Reduced Numerator and Denominator

For $b_n = 2n^2 + n$, $a_n = n$, we (numerically) find that $GCD_n \doteq \frac{n!}{2^n}$, and therefore, there exist integer sequences p'_n and q'_n such that

$$
p_n = \frac{n!}{2^n} \cdot p'_n
$$

$$
q_n = \frac{n!}{2^n} \cdot q'_n.
$$

Thus, $GCD[p'_n, q'_n] \doteq 1$ so that the majority of the original GCD_n is being used in this reduction. We can substitute in the recursion (Eq. [1\)](#page-2-0) that p_n and q_n both uphold, and yield a recursion for p'_n and q'_n (after simple manipulations)

$$
n(n-1)u'_{n} = 2nu'_{n-1} + 4u'_{n-2},
$$

which has rational coefficients. This recursion generates the reduced numerator and denominator sequences. In fact, for any integer initial values, this recursion generates an integer sequence (or rational with sub-exponential denominators). Additional pairs of polynomials a_n , b_n with the same properties are presented in ["Appendix E"](#page-32-0).

2.7 Hints for a Deeper Mathematical Structure

This section provides additional examples of special mathematical properties that we found numerically and hint at a much wider theory that still awaits discovery.

For every $b_n^{(x)} = x^2(2n^2 + n)$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist families of a_n for which PCF[a_n , b_n] has FR. These a_n families include

$$
a_n = z^{(x)} \cdot n + k,
$$

where *k* is an integer, but the options for integer $z^{(x)}$ are finite. These $z^{(x)}$ s are precisely the integers for which there exists a (-6) -Pythagorean triple (x, y, z) for some *y*; i.e., the Diophantine equation $z^2 = x^2 + y^2 + 6xy$ has a solution. This was discovered with the help of OEIS [\[27\]](#page-36-16). This result is a special case that coincides with the general structure we discovered for $\text{deg}b_n = 2$ (see Conjecture [1.4\)](#page-11-0).

Apéry wrote two more pairs of polynomials, the PCFs of which prove the irrationality of $\zeta(2)$ and ln2. After considering Conjecture [1.2](#page-10-0) (infinite families for a given b_n), we looked for these families with the others a_n s. For ln2, where $b_n = -n^2$, we discovered $a_n = k(2n + 1)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ as the particular structure for deg $b_n = 2$ predicts. Moreover, for odd *k* s, such as the Apéry's ($k = 3$), we get $GCD_n \doteq \frac{n!}{LCM[n]}$, and for

even *k* s, $GCD_n \doteq \frac{n!}{2^n LCM[n]}$. A generalized proof for this case, even without knowing the PCFs limits, is available in ["Appendix D"](#page-29-0). The theorem in the next section shows how almost any *k* constructs a PCF that proves the irrationality of its limit, although, apart from ln2, the identity of these irrational limits is still unknown to us.

2.8 Infinite *an***s That Prove Irrationality for a Given** *bn*

This next section shows infinite families of PCFs that prove irrationality of certain numbers. Specifically, we conjecture that for any b_n , there exists an infinite set of a_n s such that each constructs a PCF that proves the irrationality of its limit.

Theorem 3 *We consider families of balanced-degree* PCFs *that have FR and are created from a constant* b_n , and $a_n^{(k)}$ *that are multiples of a single polynomial, i.e.,* $a_n^{(k)} \in \left\{ k \cdot a_n^{(1)} | k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Assuming that λ , the exponential orders of GCD_n , is bounded *as a sequence in k(based on part 4 of Conjecture 1's summary), we find*

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \delta = 1.
$$

In particular, for large enough *k* s, the limit will be irrational since $\delta > 0$.

Proof (Straightforward) If $k \to \infty$, then the leading coefficient of $a_n^{(k)}$ uphold $A_k \to \infty$ ∞ and the characteristic equation $\alpha^2 = A_k \cdot \alpha + B$ has a solution that certifies $\alpha_k \to$ ∞ . Substituting in Theorem [2](#page-7-0) with a constant *B*, while assuming ln λ is bounded, we have

$$
\delta = \frac{\ln|\alpha| - \ln|B| + \ln\lambda}{\ln|\alpha| - \ln\lambda} \to \frac{\ln|\alpha|}{\ln|\alpha|} = 1.
$$

Observation: Combining this theorem and Conjecture [1.4,](#page-11-0) we expect that, for any b_n of degree 2 with rational-only roots, there exists an infinite set of a_n s such that $PCF[a_n, b_n]$ proves the irrationality of its limit. As for higher degrees, we conjecture the existence of similar structures.

2.9 Additional Properties of the Greatest Common Divisor

We investigate additional results that can help prove properties about GCD_n , for all PCFs cases, either with or without FR. Thus far in our paper we analyzed the growth rate of the sequence GCD_n as a function of the PCF's depth, *n*. One property that we examined and believe could be useful for proving some of our conjectures is whether GCD_n divides its consecutive GCD_{n+1} . We find numerically that in many of the fractions this does not hold for the definition of GCD_n sequence, i.e., GCD_n GCD_{n+1} for some *n* s.

The above observation motivates the study of the greatest common divisor of two consecutive numerators and denominators, defined as

$$
\text{GCD2}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{GCD}[p_n, q_n, p_{n-1}, q_{n-1}].
$$

By this definition and the recursion formula (Eq. [1\)](#page-2-0) for p_n and q_n , one can show that for all *n*

$$
\text{GCD2}_n|\text{GCD2}_{n+1}.
$$

Since $GCD2_n|GCD_n$, part of the reduction may be explained by $GCD2_n$. It remains to be seen what part of the FR and its exponential part is contained in GCD2*n*. Having inspected many PCFs numerically, with or without FR, we conjecture the following.

Conjecture 3 *For any* PCF*:*

$$
\text{GCD2}_n \doteq \text{GCD}_n,
$$

The fact that both GCD_n and $GCD2_n$ exhibit the same exponential order means that all the theorems and conjectures presented here may also apply to GCD2*n*. Specifically, if FR exists, then both the factorial and the exponential part of GCD_n will exist in $GCD2_n$. The important consequence is that we can use either GCD_n or $GCD2_n$ for purposes of irrationality proofs, as Theorem [2.](#page-7-0)

This conjecture enables us to treat $GCD2_n$ as a growing product of some integer series and, at a given depth *n*, calculate and reduce only one integer term: $\frac{GCD2_n}{GCD2_{n-1}}$. For example, if $GCD2_n = \frac{n!}{LCM[n]}$, we can reduce the numerators and the denominators at each depth *n* by the factor n/p if *n* is a power of some prime number *p* and by *n* if it is not.

Moreover, this definition is advantageous because $\text{GCD2}_n|\text{GCD}_n|$ for all *n*, and it thus sorts out sub-exponential factors that have no effect on proving irrationality. This observation facilitates the numerical analysis and helps identify the exact formula for the GCD2_n .

As a side note, Conjecture 3 helps show that GCD_n s of PCFs that have FR always have a factorial term such as (*n*!) *^d* for some integer *d*, rather than a term such as *nd*·*ⁿ* (which also grows like $(n!)^d$ up to an exponential factor by Stirling's approximation). In fact, all the PCFs with FR that we encountered could be written as $(n!)^d \cdot \frac{S_n}{R_n}$ with S_n and R_n being integer sequences that grow exponentially. Some cases are more complex, such as when $GCD_n \doteq (3n + 1)!$!!, but these do not contradict the above statement. It would be interesting to try to prove this phenomenon.

3 Discussion and Open Questions

3.1 Outlook and Motivation

By their further development, the conjectures presented can provide useful tools for irrationality proofs, as well as for fast calculations of polynomial integer recursions of mathematical constants.

Specifically, the results related to FR can be applied to shrink the search space of the Ramanujan Machine algorithms [\[10,](#page-36-3) [30\]](#page-37-0). By focusing on PCFs with FR, the algorithms would have a better chance to find new conjectures that are simultaneously of a relatively fast computation time and have nontrivial δs that we can extract. That is, removal of the cases that have no FR avoids all the hard-to-compute PCFs that also provide trivial δ s.

Looking forward, we believe that by generalizing the mathematical structure of PCFs with FR, it would be possible to find universal structures in PCFs made from arbitrary-degree polynomials. As a more ambitious step, it is interesting to consider deeper linear recursions (beyond depth 2), which can also be harnessed to find new conjectures. One can search for analogous algebraic structures and ideas as presented above.

In the following, we present several ideas and open questions that arise from our mathematical experiments and from our conjectures. These open questions may be simple or complex, and we hope that they can engender more ideas for future research in different communities.

3.2 Implications of Factorial Reduction for a Faster Computation of PCFs

Once a closed formula for GCD*n* has been found, numerical calculations of PCFs will become easier and faster since the FR considerably decreases the numbers participating in the arithmetic operations. In particular, PCFs with FR benefit greatly from this reduction since p_n and q_n decrease from a super-exponentially (factorial) growth to exponential growth. In other words, finding the exact formula for the reduction enables one to construct a simpler recursion formula that directly gives the reduced numerators and denominators.

3.3 Families of PCFs

Following Conjecture [1.2,](#page-10-0) it is natural to try to generalize the families of a_n, b_n for higher degrees. What affects the number of families and subfamilies? Conjecture [1.4](#page-11-0) claims that only two families exist for the discussed degrees, and one of them is branched into several subfamilies. In this case, the number of subfamilies depends merely on the number of divisors of *B* (the leading coefficient of b_n). We do not yet have a solid and more general conjecture that relates to all degrees. Another question regarding families of a_n or b_n is whether a relation exists between the limits of any sibling PCFs. For example, if this relation hints that the limits are equivalent, for proofs of irrationality, it will suffice to find just one limit and use Theorem [3](#page-15-0) (infinite *an*s that proves irrationality).

3.4 Finding and Proving the Exact Form of GCD*n*

We did not find the exact form of the GCD_n , but nevertheless tried to list the different types of expressions that comprise it. The motivation to find the closed form of GCD*n* is the possibility of writing a reduced recursion that yields the reduced numerators and denominators, which can simplify any numerical calculation of the PCF. Moreover, a closed-form formula would also directly predict the bound δ given by the PCF.

We note that Apéry proved his case by finding an explicit expression for the PCF at each depth *n*, i.e., p_n/q_n . As an example of taking a more general approach, in "Appendix D " we address various GCD_n s and bypass the need for an explicit expression. As examples that can promote future research, we present in ["Appendix E"](#page-32-0) a set of unproven examples that precisely yield same simplified recurrence relations.

3.5 Predicting the Exponential Order λ

To search for conjectures in the form of PCFs that prove the irrationality of constants, it suffices to predict only the exponential order λ . Using this value, Theorem [2](#page-7-0) calculates the bound δ on the irrationality measure. It remains to find a direct relation from a_n , b_n to λ .

Appendix A: Classification of PCFs

All PCFs can be split into three cases by the ratio of the degrees of the polynomials a_n , b_n (Table [2\)](#page-19-0). This ratio determines the PCF's convergence rate and the growth rate of p_n and q_n . In most of this paper, we focused on Case 2, balanced-degree PCFs, where $\frac{d_b}{d_a} = 2$ (3rd column in the table below). PCFs of this case are those that prove the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$, and $\zeta(2)$ in the Apéry's work [\[1,](#page-36-0) [2\]](#page-36-1), as well as many more mathematical constants.

In this section, the other cases are presented and analyzed to ensure completeness and to lay the groundwork for future research. Interestingly, the analysis of the three cases demonstrates that not only Case 2 but also Cases 1 and 3 can provide expressions for δ used for irrationality proofs. These cases are generally less interesting because δ is independent of the size of GCD_n , and thus provide proofs of irrationality only under stricter conditions. This comparison underscores the importance of PCFs of Case 2, where the size of GCD_n (both factorial and exponential components) significantly influences δ and its analysis is pivotal in the pursuit of irrationality proofs. Refer to the 5th row in Table [2](#page-19-0) for further details.

 $\underline{\textcircled{\tiny 2}}$ Springer

convergence. Also, note that PCFs might converge to infinity (see Lemma [4](#page-23-0) in ["Appendix](#page-18-1) B"). ²This condition coincides with Tietze's criterion [\[26\]](#page-36-17)

Appendix B: Proof for Theorems [1](#page-6-0) and [2](#page-7-0)

The goal of this appendix is to provide a provide a proof for Theorems [1](#page-6-0) and [2](#page-7-0) from the main text. For convenience, we collect our notation at this point.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be two fixed polynomials of degrees d_a, d_b and leading coefficients *A*, *B*, respectively. We write $a_n = a(n)$ and $b_n = b(n)$ for any integer *n*, and consider the polynomial continued fraction $PCF[a_n, b_n]$ as introduced in Sect. [1.2.](#page-1-0) The numbers p_n and q_n denote the numerator and denominator of the *n*-th convergent p_n/q_n of $PCF[a_n, b_n]$, satisfying the recursion $u_n = a_n u_{n-1} + b_n u_{n-2}$. We will assume that $b_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to guarantee that the PCF is infinite.

We also denote by $L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ the limit of the PCF—assumed to exist for the sake of Theorems [1](#page-6-0) and also finite for Theorem [2.](#page-7-0) For integer sequences p_n , q_n generating a rational sequence $p_n/q_n \neq L$ converging to L, we define

$$
\delta_{p_n,q_n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \bigg\{ \delta \in [-1,\infty] \bigg| \bigg| L - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \bigg| < \frac{1}{|q_n|^{1+\delta}} \quad \text{for infinitely many } n \bigg\}.
$$

We will prove a lower bound on the irrationality measure of *L* by bounding the above expression.

Without loss of generality, we will assume that $A > 0$. Otherwise, one could consider PCF[$-a_n$, b_n], whose limit is $-L$ and convergents are $-p_n/q_n$, as can be proven using inflation (see ["Appendix C"](#page-26-0)) by the constant sequence -1 .

Step 1: A Lower Bound on the Irrationality Measure

The first step towards proving our theorems consists of producing a controllable lower bound on $\delta_{p'_n, q'_n}$ where $(p'_n, q'_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\frac{p_n}{\text{GCD}_n}, \frac{q_n}{\text{GCD}_n}\right)$.

Lemma 1 With the notation above, we have the inequality

$$
\delta_{p'_n,q'_n} \geq \delta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln|q_{n+1}| - \ln\left|\prod_{i=1}^n b_i\right| + \ln \text{GCD}_n}{\ln|q_n| - \ln \text{GCD}_n}.
$$

This is a consequence of the subsequent lemma.

Lemma 2 The following inequality holds for sufficiently large n

$$
\left|L-\frac{p'_n}{q'_n}\right|=\left|L-\frac{p_n}{q_n}\right|\leq \left|\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n b_i}{q_{n+1}q_n}\right|.
$$

Proof See [\[29\]](#page-36-18) at ["Appendix D"](#page-29-0). -

Proof of Lemma [1](#page-20-1) Observe that the expression defining δ can be obtained by

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

$$
\delta = \sup \left\{ d \in [-1, \infty] \middle| \left| \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i}{q_{n+1} q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{\left| \frac{q_n}{\text{GCD}_n} \right|^{1+d}} \text{ for infinitely many } n \right\}.
$$
\n
$$
= \sup \left\{ d \in [-1, \infty] \middle| d < \frac{\ln|q_{n+1}| - \ln \left| \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i \right| + \ln \text{GCD}_n}{\ln|q_n| - \ln \text{GCD}_n} \text{ for infinitely many } n \right\}.
$$

In combination with Lemma [2,](#page-20-0) this equality establishes $\delta_{p'_n, q'_n} \geq \delta$.

Also, we conjecture this lower bound to be tight, suggesting that the largest lower bound provided by this PCF on the irrationality measure of L is the δ we defined.

Step 2: Exponentially Tight Estimation for $\prod_{i=1}^n b_i$

Lemma 3 For any polynomial b_n

$$
\prod_{i=1}^n b_i \doteq B^n \cdot n!^{d_b}.
$$

Proof We claim that $\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i$ and $B^n \cdot n!^{d_b}$ differ by factors that grow (or decay) more slowly than exponentials, and possibly a constant sign. To show that claim, assume first that $B > 0$, and define some positive integer k such that

$$
\begin{vmatrix} b_n - B \cdot n^{d_b} \end{vmatrix} \le k \cdot B \cdot n^{d_b - 1},
$$

$$
\begin{vmatrix} a_n - A \cdot n^{d_a} \end{vmatrix} \le k \cdot A \cdot n^{d_a - 1},
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for $n > k$, we have

$$
0 < B \cdot n^{d_b} \left(\frac{n-k}{n} \right) \le b_n \le B \cdot n^{d_b} \left(\frac{n+k}{n} \right),\tag{B1}
$$

$$
0 < A \cdot n^{d_a} \left(\frac{n-k}{n} \right) \le a_n \le A \cdot n^{d_a} \left(\frac{n+k}{n} \right) \tag{B2}
$$

[we will use (Eq. [B2\)](#page-21-1) later]. Thus,

$$
\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i}{B^n \cdot n!^{d_b}} \le \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} b_i}{B^k k!} \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{i+k}{i} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} b_i}{B^k k!} \frac{k!}{(2k)!} \cdot \frac{(n+k)!}{n!} = \Theta\left(n^k\right),
$$

$$
\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i}{B^n \cdot n!^{d_b}} \ge \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} b_i}{B^k k!} \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{i-k}{i} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} b_i}{B^k k!} k! \cdot \frac{(n-k)!}{n!} = \Theta\left(n^{-k}\right).
$$

These bounds show that $\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i$ and $B^n \cdot n!^{d_b}$ differ by (up to) polynomial factors, and perhaps a constant sign, as required for the relation " $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ ", defined at (Eq. [3\)](#page-6-1).

Note that minor adjustments are required for establishing similar bounds to (Eq. [B1\)](#page-21-2) when $B < 0$.

Step 3: Exponentially Tight Estimation for *qn*

Our first step towards estimating the behavior of q_n as $n \to \infty$ will be to understand the asymptotic behavior of the quotient q_n/q_{n-1} . The situation for this quotient is particularly simple in the case of constant coefficients $a'_n = A'$ and $b'_n = B'$, which we briefly describe here.

Example The recursion $q'_n = A'q'_{n-1} + B'q'_{n-2}$ can be re-written in a matrix form as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} q'_n \\ q'_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{T} \begin{pmatrix} q'_{n-1} \\ q'_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} = T^n \begin{pmatrix} q'_0 \\ q'_{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

In terms of the Möbius action, this translates to the equality

$$
\frac{q'_n}{q'_{n-1}} = T^n \left(\frac{q'_0}{q'_{-1}} \right) \tag{B3}
$$

for every *n*. Observe now that the characteristic polynomial of *T* is $x^2 - A'x - B'$, and that

$$
T = P\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_- & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_+ \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} \text{for } P = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_- & \lambda_+ \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

where $\lambda_{\pm} = (A' \pm \sqrt{A'^2 + 4B'})/2$ are the roots of the characteristic polynomial. In virtue of the assumptions $A' > 0$ and $A'^2 + 4B' > 0$, the roots are real, distinct, and $|\lambda_+| > |\lambda_-|$. Combining this diagonalization statement with (Eq. [B3\)](#page-22-0), we conclude that

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}q'_n/q'_{n-1}=\lambda_+
$$

for all initial conditions (q'_0, q'_{-1}) , except if $\frac{q'_0}{q'_{-1}} = \lambda_-,$ in which case $\lim_{n\to\infty} q'_n/q'_{n-1} = \lambda_-.$

In words, the example above shows that the quotient q'_n/q'_{n-1} converges to the dominant (larger in absolute value) eigenvalue λ_{+} of the characteristic polynomial for generic initial conditions (q'_0, q'_{-1}) , under the assumption of constant coefficients. The following theorem by Poincaré [\[31\]](#page-37-1) states that an similar behavior is also expected when the coefficients are not necessarily constant, yet admit finite limits as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem A (Poincare 1885) Let $u_n = a'_n u_{n-1} + b'_n u_{n-2}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a linear recurrence with real-valued coefficients a'_n , b'_n and initial conditions $(u_0, u_{-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}.$ Assume that a'_n , b'_n have limits $\lim_{n\to\infty} a'_n = A'$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} b'_n = B'$ with $A'^{2} + 4B' > 0$, and λ_{+} , λ_{-} are two distinct real solutions of the equation $x^2 - A'x - B' = 0$. Then, every non-zero solution *u_n* of the recurrence fulfills.

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{u_n}{u_{n-1}} = z \in \{\lambda_+, \lambda_-\}.
$$
\n(B4)

Moreover, if $|\lambda_+| > |\lambda_-|$, there exists at most one number $c \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\}\$ such that

 $z = \lambda_+$

for all initial conditions (u_0, u_{-1}) except if $\frac{u_0}{u_{-1}} = c$.

About the proof Equation [\(B4\)](#page-23-1) is a theorem due to Poincaré [\[31\]](#page-37-1) (see also [Sect. 2.14] as a secondary reference). The remaining statement follows from $(Eq, B4)$ $(Eq, B4)$, the equality

$$
\frac{u_n}{u_{n-1}} = S_n \left(\frac{u_0}{u_{-1}} \right)
$$
 with $S_n = T_n \cdots T_1$ and $T_k = \begin{pmatrix} a'_k & b'_k \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

for every *n*, and a theorem by Piranian and Thron [\[28\]](#page-36-19), Theorem 1], regarding the pointwise limit of sequences of Möbius transformations, applied to the sequence *Sn*. - \Box

Relying on Theorem [A,](#page-22-1) we will now prove the lemma below. Following the reasoning at "Appendix A ", we split in its statement all PCFs into three cases by the ratio $\frac{d_b}{d_a}$ of the degrees of the polynomials.

Lemma 4 Let α be the solution of the equation $x^2 - Ax - B = 0$ with larger absolute value, assume that $A^2 + 4B > 0$ if $d_b/d_a = 2$, and that $B > 0$ if $d_b/d_a > 2$. Then

$$
q_n \doteq \begin{cases} B^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot n!^{\frac{d_b}{2}} & \text{if } \frac{d_b}{d_a} > 2 \text{ (Case 1)}\\ \alpha^n \cdot n!^{d_a} & \text{if } \frac{d_b}{d_a} = 2 \text{ (Case 2)}\\ A^n \cdot n!^{d_a} & \text{if } \frac{d_b}{d_a} < 2 \text{ (Case 3)} \end{cases}
$$

Proof Let $d \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\left\{d_a, \frac{d_b}{2}\right\}$. Applying the substitution $q'_n = \frac{q_n}{n!^d}$ to the original recurrence, we obtain the new recurrence

$$
q'_n = a'_n q'_{n-1} + b'_n q'_{n-2}
$$

where a'_n $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{a_n}{n^d}$ and b'_n $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{b_n}{n^d (n-1)}$ $\frac{b_n}{n^d (n-1)^d}$ for $n \ge 2$ (see inflation process at "Appendix C"), and *q*−1, *q*0, *q*¹ [are as in the original recurrence. Observe that the new recurrence](#page-26-0) satisfies the condition of Theorem [A,](#page-22-1) and the dominant eigenvalue λ_+ equals

$$
\lambda_{+} = \begin{cases}\nB^{1/2} & \text{if } d_b/d_a > 2 \text{ (Case 1)} \\
\alpha & \text{if } d_b/d_a = 2 \text{ (Case 2)} \\
A & \text{if } d_b/d_a < 2 \text{ (Case 3)}\n\end{cases}
$$

Then, (Eq. [B4\)](#page-23-1) yields

$$
\frac{q'_n}{q'_{n-1}} \to z \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$

This implies that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist positive constants $r, R \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\kappa \in \{-1,1\}$ such that for large enough *n*

$$
r(1-\epsilon)^n \leq \kappa \frac{q_n'}{z^n} = \kappa \frac{q_n}{z^n \cdot n!^d} \leq R(1+\epsilon)^n.
$$

If $z = \lambda_+$, then the above proves that $\ln(1 - \epsilon) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \kappa \frac{q_n}{\lambda_+^n \cdot n!^d} \le \ln(1 + \epsilon)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, implying $q_n \doteq \lambda_+^n$ and establishing the lemma. On the other hand, if $z = \lambda_-,$ then, given $c = q_0/q_{-1} \neq p_0/p_{-1}$, we find $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$. $\doteq \Big(\frac{\lambda_+}{\lambda_-}$ \int_0^n , resulting in a PCF that does not have a finite limit, which was excluded from our discussion. \Box

Step 4: Combining These Results with the Irrationality Criterion

Now, for each case, we insert the estimation we found for q_n into the expression

$$
\delta = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln|q_{n+1}| - \ln\left|\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i\right| + \ln GCD_n}{\ln|q_n| - \ln GCD_n}.
$$

For convenient, define for some sub-exponential pre-factor as E_n^b $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i}{B^n n!^{d_b}}$ $Bⁿn!$ ^{*d_b*} . $\doteq 1,$ allowing us to use the exact equality $\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i = E_n^b \cdot B^n n!^{d_b}$ (and not just " $\stackrel{\cdots}{\div}$ "). Similarly, define E_n^{GCD} for GCD_n and E_n^q for q_n with its exponentially tight estimations at each case from Lemma [4.](#page-23-0) Also, note that $ln n! \in \Theta(nln n)$.

Case 1: $\frac{d_b}{d_a} > 2$, $q_n \doteq B^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot n!^{\frac{d_b}{2}}$ Note that

$$
\left|\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n b_i}{q_{n+1}q_n}\right| \doteq \frac{B^n \cdot n!^{d_b}}{\left(B^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot n!^{\frac{d_b}{2}}\right)^2} \doteq 1,
$$

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

so we do not have a bound on the convergence rate. By numerical tests, we conjecture the converge rate to be polynomial. For this reason, to provide a nontrivial δ , GCD_n must be exponentially equal to q_n , so that both sides of the inequality $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}$ $\left| \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i}{q_{n+1} q_n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\left| \frac{q_n}{\text{GCD}_n} \right|}$ $\frac{1}{1+\delta}$ will decrease sub-exponentially. This requires a more delicate

analysis that is outside the scope of this paper. In conclusion, we conjecture the condition

$$
\text{GCD}_n \doteq q_n \doteq B^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot n!^{\frac{d_h}{2}}
$$

to be necessary but not sufficient for yielding a nontrivial δ in this case.

 $\int_{a}^{b} \text{Case 2: } \frac{d_b}{d_a} = 2, q_n \doteq \alpha^n \cdot n!^{d_a}$

Note that

$$
\left|\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n b_i}{q_{n+1}q_n}\right| \doteq \left|\frac{B^n \cdot n!^{d_b}}{\left(\alpha^n \cdot n!^{d_a}\right)^2}\right| = \left|\frac{B}{\alpha^2}\right|^n,
$$

and since $|B| < \alpha^2$, the convergence rate is exponential. For the expression for δ , we have

$$
\frac{\ln|q_{n+1}| - \ln\left|\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i\right| + \ln GCD_n}{\ln|q_n| - \ln GCD_n}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{(n+1)\ln|\alpha| + d_a(n+1)\ln(n+1) + \ln\left|E_{n+1}^q\right| - n\ln B - 2d_a n \ln n - \ln\left|E_n^b\right| + \ln GCD_n}{n \ln|\alpha| + d_a n \ln n + \ln\left|E_n^q\right| - \ln GCD_n}
$$

Dominant terms that determine the behavior of the expression are highlighted in bold. Here comes the crucial part of the proof for Theorem [1:](#page-6-0) To provide a nontrivial δ, the limsup of this expression must not be −1. Thus, GCD*ⁿ* must contain a superexponential factor at the size $n!^{d_a}$ —i.e., factorial reduction, proving Theorem [1.](#page-6-0) Note that a bigger super-exponential factor is not possible since $p_n \doteq q_n$. $\dot{=} \alpha^n \cdot n!^{d_a}.$

Now, to prove Theorem [2,](#page-7-0) inserting $GCD_n \doteq \lambda^n \cdot n!^{d_a}$ into the last expression yields

$$
\frac{(n+1)\ln|\alpha| + d_a(n+1)\ln(n+1) + \ln \left| E_{n+1}^q \right| - n\ln|B| - 2d_a n \ln n - \ln \left| E_n^b \right| + n\ln \lambda + d_a n \ln n + \ln \left| E_n^{\text{GCD}} \right|}{n\ln|\alpha| + d_a n \ln n + \ln \left| E_n^q \right| - n\ln \lambda - d_a n \ln n - \ln \left| E_n^{\text{GCD}} \right|}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\ln|\alpha| - \ln|B| + \ln \lambda}{\ln|\alpha| - \ln \lambda}.
$$

The terms containing multiples of *nlnn* canceled out and the ones determining the behavior of this expression are highlighted in bold. This matches the expression for δ in Theorem [2](#page-7-0) and proves it.

Case 3: $\frac{d_b}{d_a} < 2$, $A^n \cdot n!$

Note that

$$
\left|\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n b_i}{q_{n+1}q_n}\right| \doteq \left|\frac{B^n \cdot n!^{d_b}}{\left(A^n \cdot n!^{d_a}\right)^2}\right| = \left|\frac{B}{A^2}\right|^n \cdot n!^{d_b-2d_a},
$$

and since $d_b - 2d_a < 0$, the convergence rate is super-exponential. For the expression for δ , even if $GCD_n = 1$, we have

$$
\frac{\ln|q_{n+1}| - \ln\left|\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i\right| + \ln GCD_n}{\ln|q_n| - \ln GCD_n}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{(n+1)\ln|A| + d_a (n+1)\ln(n+1) + \ln|E_n^q| - n\ln B - d_b n \ln n - \ln|E_n^b| + 0}{d_a n \ln n + n|A| + \ln|E_n^q| - 0}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{d_a - d_b}{d_a},
$$

which is positive, and proves irrationality, if and only if $d_a > d_b$.

For GCD_n to modify the limit above, it must be of factorial order. If so, and the factorial power is of size $n!^r$ (the exponential factors have no effect), then

$$
\frac{\ln|q_{n+1}| - \ln\left|\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i\right| + \ln GCD_n}{\ln|q_n| - \ln GCD_n}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{(n+1)\ln|A| + d_a (n+1)\ln(n+1) + \ln|E_n^q| - n\ln B - d_b n \ln n - \ln|E_n^b| + 0}{d_a n \ln n + n|A| + \ln|E_n^q| - 0}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{d_a - d_b + r}{d_a - r},
$$

which is better (larger) than before. In conclusion, it is not necessary or sufficient for GCD_n to be of factorial order to provide a nontrivial δ in this case.

Appendix C: Inflation and Deflation of Continued Fractions

In his paper, Apéry showed a linear recursion of depth 2 with rational function coefficients (ratio of two polynomial) and a related PCF is presented in [\[2\]](#page-36-1). The direct translation of the Apéry's recursion into a continued fraction has a_n and b_n as rational functions and not integer polynomials. However, they can be converted to a PCF form. To see the conversion, we multiply a_n and b_n by a non-zero sequence, thus converting them to integer polynomials without changing the limit or the number p_n/q_n at each *n*. We call this process "inflation". This process is also needed when some rational coefficient is used in Conjecture [1.4.](#page-11-0)

Conversely, any PCF that has been multiplied by a non-zero sequence can be simplified by removing that sequence. We call this process "deflation". Deflating makes the PCFs' b_n and a_n smaller (possibly of a lower degree), and most importantly, helps simplify GCD_n s, despite not changing the induced δ . This process can explain some powers of prime in Table [1.](#page-9-0)

.

Property 1 (Inflation and deflation of continued fractions). Let c_n be a sequence of non-zero numbers. For every n

$$
a_0 + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + \frac{b_2}{a_2 + \frac{b_3}{a_3 \cdots + \frac{b_n}{a_n}}}} = a_0 + \frac{c_1 b_1}{c_1 a_1 + \frac{c_1 c_2 b_2}{c_2 a_2 + \frac{c_2 c_3 b_3}{c_3 a_3 \cdots + \frac{c_n - c_n a_n}{c_n a_n}}}}
$$

i.e., if p_n and q_n are the numerator and denominator of the left hand side and p'_n and q'_n are those of the right hand side, then for every *n*,

$$
\frac{p_n}{q_n}=\frac{p'_n}{q'_n}.
$$

Example: the relation between Apéry's recursion and his PCF

Setting $a_n = \frac{34n^3 + 51n + 27n + 5}{(n+1)^3}$ and $b_n = -\frac{n^3}{(n+1)^3}$, Apéry used the recursion

$$
u_{n+1} = a_{n+1}u_n + b_{n+1}u_{n-1}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
p_{-1} = 1,
$$
 $p_0 = 5$
 $q_{-1} = 0,$ $q_0 = 1,$

which generates the following rational continued fraction:

$$
\frac{6}{\zeta(3)} = 5 - \frac{\frac{1}{8}}{\frac{117}{8} - \frac{\frac{8}{27}}{\frac{535}{27} - \frac{27}{4}} \cdot \frac{n^3}{\frac{(n+1)^3}{64} \cdots - \frac{n^3}{34n^3 + 51n + 27n + 5}}}.
$$

Applying Property [1,](#page-27-0) we can inflate this continued fraction using the denominators of a_n and b_n , i.e., the sequence $c_n = (n+1)^3$, and obtain the PCF

$$
\frac{6}{\zeta(3)} = 5 - \frac{\frac{1}{8} \cdot 2^3}{\frac{117}{8} \cdot 2^3 - \frac{\frac{8}{27} \cdot 2^3 \cdot 3^3}{\frac{535}{27} \cdot 3^3 - \frac{\frac{27}{64} \cdot 4^3 \cdot 3^3}{\frac{1463}{64} \cdot 4^3 \dots - \frac{n^3}{34n^3 \cdot 51n + 27n + 5} \cdot (n+1)^3}}
$$

² Springer

$$
=5-\frac{1}{117-\frac{64}{535-\frac{64}{1463...-\frac{n^{6}}{34n^{3}+51n^{2}+27n+5}}}},
$$

that is, [\[2\]](#page-36-1)'s PCF, which is presented in our introduction.

To prove Property [1,](#page-27-0) and examine effect of this process on GCD_n , we present the following lemma.

Lemma 5 Consider the recursions

$$
u'_{n} = a'_{n}u'_{n-1} + b'_{n}u'_{n-2}
$$

$$
u_{n} = a_{n}u_{n-1} + b_{n}u_{n-2},
$$

where $a'_n = c_n \cdot a_n$ and $b'_n = c_{n-1}c_n \cdot b_n$ for some non-zero sequence c_n . If the recursion have the same initial values, we have

$$
u'_n = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n c_i\right) \cdot u_n.
$$

Proof Initializing the induction at $n = -1.0$, is trivial since the product is empty.

To prove the induction at $n + 1$, write

$$
u'_{n+1} = a'_{n+1}u'_{n} + b'_{n+1}u'_{n-1} = c_{n+1}a_{n+1}u'_{n} + c_{n}c_{n+1}b_{n+1}u'_{n-1}
$$

\n
$$
= c_{n+1}a_{n+1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \right) u_{n} + c_{n}c_{n+1}b_{n+1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} c_{i} \right) u_{n-1}
$$

\n
$$
= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} c_{i} \right) (a_{n+1}u_{n} + b_{n+1}u_{n-1}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} c_{i} \right) u_{n+1}.
$$

As a corollary, we can prove Property [1](#page-27-0) since

$$
\frac{p'_n}{q'_n} = \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n c_i\right) p_n}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n c_i\right) q_n} = \frac{p_n}{q_n}.
$$

Also, we state the following property, showing effect of this process on GCD_n . \Box

Property 2. Using Lemma [5](#page-28-0) notation, for an inflated PCF, or any inflated rational GCF,

$$
\text{GCD}[p'_n, q'_n] = \text{GCD}\bigg[\left(\prod_{i=1}^n c_i\right) p_n, \left(\prod_{i=1}^n c_i\right) q_n\bigg] = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n c_i\right) \text{GCD}[p_n, q_n].
$$

² Springer

Example Considering the following PCF from Table [1:](#page-9-0)

$$
PCF[a'_n, b'_n] = 1 + \frac{3}{3 + \frac{15}{5 + \frac{35}{7 \cdots + \frac{(3n+1)(3n-2)}{3n+1}}}},
$$

and observe that it is inflated by the sequence $c_n = 3n + 1$. By deflating it, we obtain the *regular* continued fraction of the golden ratio φ :

$$
1+\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{1\cdots +\frac{1}{1}}}},
$$

which upholds $p_n = F_{n+2}$, $q_n = F_{n+1}$, where F_n is the *n*th Fibonacci number. Therefore, for the original PCF we obtain

$$
\text{GCD}[p'_n, q'_n] = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n c_i\right) \text{GCD}[p_n, q_n] = \prod_{i=1}^n (3i+1) = (3n+1)!!!
$$

since consecutive Fibonacci numbers are coprime.

Inflation by "√*p***"**

An interesting special case of deflation occurs when for some integer *r*, we have $r|a_n$, $r | b_n$, but $r^2 \nmid b_n$ (so we do not have a trivial inflation by $c_n = r$). In this case, GCD_n is divided by powers of *r* of the forms $r^{n/2}$. For instance, for PCF $\left[3n + 6, 3n^2 + 9n\right]$, we found (numerically)

$$
\text{GCD}_n \doteq n! \cdot \sqrt{3}^n
$$

We call this phenomenon inflation with \sqrt{p} , and it can be explained by considering inflation with the following sequence

$$
c_n = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.
$$

Appendix D: Analysis and Proof of GCD*ⁿ* **Formula for Some PCFs**

This section concentrate on various PCFs for which we identified and proven an explicit part of GCD*n*. As example 2 in Sect. [2.2](#page-6-2) shows, for certain families of PCFs this part prove the existence of FR with sufficiently large λ for proving irrationality. This proof is presented here hoping it will promote future research of additional proofs.

Theorem 5 If $PCF[a_n, b_n]$ satisfies for all n

$$
a_n = -a_{-1-n}, \tag{D1}
$$

$$
b_n = b_{-n},\tag{D2}
$$

$$
b_0 = 0,\t\t(D3)
$$

then for all *n*

$$
\frac{n!}{\text{LCM}[n]}\bigg|\text{GCD}_n\cdot 2^n.
$$

Note that conditions in (Eqs. [D1,](#page-30-0) [D2\)](#page-30-1) involves negative-indexed coefficients that are not used (or defined) by the PCF. Nevertheless, since a_n and b_n are given by polynomials, we can extend them to all values of *n*.

Proof Recall that both p_n and q_n satisfy the recursion

$$
u_n = a_n u_{n-1} + b_n u_{n-2}.
$$
 (D4)

We first prove that for any odd prime p, u_n is divisible by p for all $n \geq 2p$. We do so by analyzing the sequence u_n modulo p .

Let $p = 2h + 1$, we prove by induction that for all $-1 \le m < h$

$$
u_{h+m} = u_{h-m-2} \cdot b_h \cdot b_{h-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot b_{h-m} \pmod{p}.
$$
 (D5)

Initializing the induction at $m = -1$ is trivial since $u_{h-1} = u_{h-1}$.

Initializing the induction at $m = 0$ requires $u_h = u_{h-2}b_h \pmod{p}$. To prove that we start by using (Eq. [D1\)](#page-30-0) at $n = h$, i.e.,

$$
a_h = -a_{-1-h}.
$$

Note that for modulo *r*, every polynomial has a period of $p = 2h + 1$, namely

$$
-a_{-1-h}=-a_h=0 \pmod{p}.
$$

Substituting this into the recursion (Eq. $D4$) at $n = h$, we obtain as required

$$
u_h = a_h u_{h-1} + b_h u_{h-2} = b_h u_{h-2} (\bmod p).
$$

To prove the induction at $m + 1$, write (Eqs. [D1,](#page-30-0) [D2\)](#page-30-1) for $n = h + m + 1$

$$
a_{h+m+1} + a_{-h-m-2} = 0,
$$

$$
b_{h+m+1} - b_{-h-m-1} = 0.
$$

² Springer

Using periodicity modulo $p = 2h + 1$,

$$
a_{-h-m-2} = a_{h-m-1} \pmod{p},
$$

$$
b_{-h-m-1} = b_{h-m} \pmod{p},
$$

and combining this with the symmetries, we obtain

$$
a_{h+m+1} = -a_{h-m-1} \pmod{p},
$$

$$
b_{h+m+1} = b_{h-m} \pmod{p}.
$$

Now, substitute these into the recursion (Eq. [D4\)](#page-30-2) at $n = h + m + 1$ gets

$$
u_{h+m+1} = a_{h+m+1}u_{h+m} + b_{h+m+1}u_{h+m-1} = -a_{h-m-1}u_{h+m} + b_{h-m}u_{h+m-1}(\text{mod }p).
$$

Using the induction assumption at *m* and $m - 1$, we have

$$
u_{h+m+1} = -a_{h-m-1}u_{h-m-2}b_hb_{h-1}\dots b_{h-m} + b_{h-m}u_{h-m-1}b_hb_{h-1}\dots b_{h-m+1}(\text{mod }p),
$$

and by rearranging, we get

$$
u_{h+m+1}=(-a_{h-m-1}u_{h-m-2}+u_{h-m-1})b_hb_{h-1}\ldots b_{h-m}(\text{mod }p).
$$

Substituting the recursion (Eq. [D4\)](#page-30-2) at $n = h - m - 1$ achieve the induction at $m + 1$

$$
u_{h+m+1} = u_{h-m-3}b_h b_{h-1} \dots b_{h-m-1} \pmod{p},
$$

completing the proof of the induction.

Using the periodicity of a_n and b_n modulo p , the relation

$$
u_{h+m} = u_{h-m-2} \cdot b_h \cdot b_{h-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot b_{h-m} \pmod{r}
$$

can be proven also after shifting by *p*, i.e.,

$$
u_{p+h+m}=u_{p+h-m-2}\cdot b_{p+h}\cdot b_{p+h-1}\cdot\ldots\cdot b_{p+h-m}(\text{mod }p).
$$

Now, seth $\leq m < p + h$. Then b_p exists among the multipliers of the right hand side. Combining periodicity and (Eq. [D3\)](#page-30-3), implying $b_p = b_0 = 0 \pmod{p}$, we finally have $u_{p+h+m} = 0 \pmod{p}$. So in other words, *p* divides u_n starting at $n = p + 2h =$ $2r - 1$.

We now explain why this result suffices to prove the theorem. We proved that an odd prime *p* divides both p_n and q_n for $n ≥ 2p − 1$, and therefore, it divides GCD_n, in line with the fact that *p* divides $\frac{n!}{\text{LCM}[n]}$ for $n \ge 2p$. Furthermore, since u_n is divisible by *p* for $n > 2p - 1$, we can set a new sequence

$$
u'_n=\frac{u_{n+2p}}{p},
$$

which is well defined for $n \ge -1$. Since the sequence is obtained by shifting (by a multiple of *p*) and scaling u_n , it satisfies the same recursion (D.4) at $n \geq 1$. However, unlike the original sequence, u'_n in fact also satisfies the recursion also at $n = 0$. This follows from the fact that $b_0 = 0$, and therefore the recursion at $n = 0$ does not involve u'_{-2} . Note that the original sequence *u_n* has an arbitrary initial condition at *u*₀ and *u*_{−1} that may not satisfy the recursion. This is not the case for u'_n since it was generated by the recursion even at $n = 0$.

Following that, we apply the above induction result (Eq. [D5\)](#page-30-4) and prove that *p* divides u'_n starting at $n = p - 1$ (instead of $n = 2p - 1$ as in the original u_n). In other words, p^2 divides the original u_n starting at $n = 3p - 1$. In general, p^k divides u_n starting at $n = (k + 1)p - 1$. Note that for $p > \sqrt{n}$, p^k divides $\frac{n!}{2^l L CM[n]}$ starting at $n = (k+1)p$, and therefore, the requirement is met. Moreover, *n*! obtains an additional *p* factor at $n = k \cdot p^2$. For $k = 1$, this factor is canceled by the denominator's LCM[*n*], but for $k > 1$ we must prove this additional factor of GCD_n .

To do that, observe that the original proof that *p* divides u_n for $n \geq 2p - 1$ was valid also when substituting *p* with any power of prime. Thus, at $n = 2p^2 - 1$, the sequence u_n in fact obtain a factor of p^2 instead of the expected single factor of p . Appling this for any prime power fulfills the requirements of the theorem. \Box

Appendix E: Additional Examples of PCFs with Factorial Reduction

One may wonder whether the conjectures discovered in this study are indeed mathematical truth or merely mathematical coincidences that break down at higher degrees or larger coefficients. However, the method employed in this study makes it fairly unlikely that the conjectures will break down. Nevertheless, such an assumption does not replace the need for formal proof. We believe that many (if not all) of the new conjectures are indeed truths awaiting rigorous proof, relying on vast search spaces examined in this work. To strengthen our conjectures, we give additional examples abundantly. Moreover, this appendix addresses three further causes:

- 1. Visualizing the results.
- 2. Present a piece of the yet unknown general structure toward revealing it whole.
- 3. Lay the groundwork and provide more data for proofs or additional conjectures.

FR and Rational Roots

Conjecture [1.1](#page-8-0) states that, for a given b_n , there exists an a_n such that PCF[a_n , b_n] has FR if and only if b_n has only rational roots. Table 3 shows a classification, by numerical tests, of *all* the b_n polynomials of degree 2 and with integer coefficients between 1 and 4. For each such b_n , we search for a_n polynomials in the integer coefficient range $1 - 5$.

Have FR			Do not have FR			
b_n	b_n 's roots	a_n example	b_n	b_n 's roots	a_n example	
$n^2 + 2n + 1$	-1 -1	$3 + 2n$	$n^2 + 4n + 2$	$-2 - \sqrt{2}$ $-2 + \sqrt{2}$	None found	
$2n^2 + 3n + 1$	-1 $-1/2$	$n + 1$	$n^2 + 4n + 1$	$-2 - \sqrt{3}$ $-2 + \sqrt{3}$	None found	
$3n^2 + 4n + 1$	-1 $-1/3$	$1 + 2$	$n^2 + 1$	\mathbf{i} $-i$	None found	
$4n^2 + 4n + 1$	$-1/2$ $-1/2$	$n + 1$	$n^2 + 2n + 2$	$-1-i$ $-1+i$	None found	
$n^2 + 3n + 2$	-2 -1	$2n + 4*$	$4n^2 + 4n + 2$	$-1/2 - i/2$ $-1/2 + i/2$	None found	
$2n^2 + 4n + 2$	-1 -1	$n + 1$	$n^2 + 2n + 3$	$-1 - i\sqrt{2}$ $-1 + i\sqrt{2}$	None found	
$n^2 + 4n + 3$	-3 -1	$5 + 2n$	Everything else	Not rational	None found	
$n^2 + 4n + 4$	-2 -2	$2n + 5$.	.	\cdots	

Table 3 b_n from degree 2 and coefficients 1–4, classified by the existence of FR

We can see that, for degree 2, only the b_n polynomials with FR have all-rational roots and vice versa. Further, note that all the a_n examples belong to the conjectured complete structure for $\text{deg}b_n = 2$, $\text{deg}a_n = 1$ (Conjecture [1.4\)](#page-11-0)

*This PCF is an inflation of the *regular* continued fraction of $\sqrt{2} + 1$

A Piece of Structure for All Degrees

In this section we urge a generalizing of Conjecture [1.4,](#page-11-0) that deals only with b_n s of degree 2, by demonstrating families of balanced-degree PCFs with b_n s of degrees > 2 and that have FR (Table [4\)](#page-34-0).

More Rational Recurrence Relations That Yield Integer Sequences

As follows from Sect. [2.6,](#page-27-1) a conjectured GCD_n formula can be proven to be true if the following recurrence yields coprime integer sequences for any linearly-independent

Table 4 b_n polynomials and (numerically) found a_n s for which PCF(a_n , b_n) has FR **Table 4** *bn* polynomials and (numerically) found *an* s for which PCF[*an*, *bn*] has FR

$GCD_n = n!$				$GCD_n \doteq n!/2^n$				
$\frac{1}{n}(n-1)u'_{n} = (n-1)a_{n}u'_{n-1} + b_{n}u'_{n-2}$			$\frac{1}{n}(n-1)u'_{n} =$ $2(n-1)a_nu'_{n-1} + 2b_nu'_{n-2}$					
a_n	b_n	a_n	b_n	a_n	b_n	a_n	b_n	
$3n + 1$	$4n^2 + 2n$	$n + 3$	$2n^2 + 4n$	$n-2$	$2n^2 - n$	$3n + 1$	$-2n^2-1$	
$-n+1$	$6n^2 + 12n$	$2n + 4$	$3n^2 + 9n$	$3n-2$	$4n^2 - n$	$-n+2$	$2n^2 - n$	
$n-1$	$6n^2 + 12n$	$7n + 3$	$8n^2 + 4n$	$-n-2$	$6n^2 + 3n$	$5n + 1$	$6n^2 + 9n$	
$7n + 1$	$8n^2 + 2n$	$8n + 2$	$9n^2 + 3n$	$7n-2$	$8n^2 - n$	$-2n + 1$	$8n^2 + 2n$	
$3n + 1$	$10n^2 + 20n$	$15n + 1$	$16n^2 + 2n$	$2n-1$	$8n^2 + 2n$	$4n + 1$	$12n^2 + 6n$	
$-n+1$	$12n^2 + 6n$	$15n + 3$	$16n^2 + 4n$	$n-2$	$12n^2 + 3n$	$-n+2$	$12n^2 + 3n$	
$n-1$	$12n^2 + 6n$	$17n + 5$	$18n^2 + 6n$	$15n - 2$	$16n^2 - n$	$6n - 1$	$16n^2 + 2$	

Table 5 a_n and b_n examples for special simplified recurrence relations yielding integer sequences

A proof of this property also proves the formula for GCD*n*. Note that these are special cases as Sect. [2.6,](#page-27-1) and can be generalized to all GCD_n forms. ¹If the expressions used are only exponentially equal to GCD_n , and not exactly equal, the simplified recursions formulas might generate rational sequences with sub-exponential denominators

pairs of integer initial values:

$$
u'_{n} = \frac{GCD_{n-1}}{GCD_{n}} a_{n} u'_{n-1} + \frac{GCD_{n-2}}{GCD_{n}} b_{n} u'_{n-2}.
$$

We address the community to prove this property for simple GCD_n s with the following examples. Furthermore, we request general conditions on a_n and b_n so that this property holds, either for the presented special cases or hopefully for other cases (of Conjecture [2\)](#page-13-0) (Table [5\)](#page-35-0).

Acknowledgements Special thanks should be given to Dr. Yaar Solomon and Prof. Yair Glasner from the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev for their mathematical guidance and constructive recommendations on writing this paper.

Funding Open access funding provided by Technion - Israel Institute of Technology.

Data availability The PCF examples pivotal to our research are drawn from direct listings in the manuscript, added description within the appendice, and selections from the Ramanujan Machine project's website, which is cited accordingly. These examples are readily accessible for review and verification purposes. For any specific data or further clarification, we welcome direct requests from interested parties.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line

to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

References

- 1. Apéry, R.: Irrationalité de ζ (2) et ζ (3). Astérisque **61**, 11–13 (1979)
- 2. van der Poorten, A., Apéry, R.: A proof that Euler missed. Math. Intell. **1**, 195–203 (1979)
- 3. Cuyt, A.A., Petersen, V., Verdonk, B., Waadeland, H., Jones, W.B.: Handbook of Continued Fractions for Special Functions. Springer, London (2008)
- 4. Miller, S.J., Takloo-Bighash, R.: An Invitation to Modern Number Theory. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2020)
- 5. Zudilin, W.V.: One of the numbers ζ(5), ζ(7), ζ(9), ζ(11) is irrational. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **56**, 49–150 (2001)
- 6. Beukers, F.: A note on the irrationality of ζ (2) and ζ (3). Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **1979**, 1 (1979)
- 7. Rhin, G., Viola, C.: The group structure for ζ (3). Acta Arith. Warszawa **97**, 269–293 (2001)
- 8. Zeilberger, D.: A holonomic systems approach to special functions identities. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **32**, 321–368 (1990)
- 9. Stanley, R.P.: Differentiably finite power series. Eur. J. Comb. **1**, 175–188 (1980)
- 10. Raayoni, G., Gottlieb, S., Manor, Y., Pisha, G., Harris, Y., Mendlovic, U., Haviv, D., Hadad, Y., Kaminer, I.: Generating conjectures on fundamental constants with the Ramanujan machine. Nature **590**, 67–73 (2021)
- 11. Hurwitz, A.: "Ueber die angenäherte Darstellung der Irrationalzahlen durch rationale Brüche" [On the approximate representation of irrational numbers by rational fractions]. Math. Ann. **39**, 279–284 (1891). (**in German**)
- 12. Bowman, D., Laughlin, J.M.: Polynomial continued fractions. Acta Arith. **103**, 329–342 (2002)
- 13. Laughlin, J.M., Wyshinski, N.J.: Real numbers with polynomial continued fraction expansions. Acta Arith. **116**, 63–79 (2005)
- 14. Scott, J.F.: The Mathematical Work of John Wallis (1616–1703). Taylor and Francis, London (1938)
- 15. Hardy, G.H., Wright, E.M.: An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 5th edn. Oxford University, Oxford (1980)
- 16. Borwein, J., Borwein, P., Bailey, D.: Ramanujan, modular equations, and approximations to pi or how to compute one billion digits of pi. Am. Math. Mon. **96**, 201–219 (1989)
- 17. Bailey, D., Broadhurst, D.J.: Parallel integer relation detection: techniques and applications. Math. Comput. **70**, 1719–1737 (2000)
- 18. Zudilin, W.: Well-poised hypergeometric service for diophantine problems of zeta values. J. Théor. Nombr. Bordeaux **15**, 593–626 (2003)
- 19. Zudilin, W.: An Apéry-like difference equation for Catalan's constant. Electron. J. Comb. **10**, 14 (2003)
- 20. Pilehrood, K.H., Pilehrood, T.H.: Series acceleration formulas for beta values. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. (DMTCS) **12**, 223–236 (2010)
- 21. Nesterenko, Y.V.: On Catalan's constant. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **292**, 153–170 (2016)
- 22. Kim, S.: Normality analysis of current world record computations for Catalan's constant and arc length of a lemniscate with $a = 1$ (2019). [arXiv:1908.08925](http://arxiv.org/abs/1908.08925)
- 23. Bailey, D., Borwein, J., Girgensohn, R.: Experimental evaluation of Euler sums. Exp. Math. **3**, 17–30 (1994)
- 24. Wolfram, S.: A New Kind of Science, vol. 5. Wolfram Media, Champaign (2002)
- 25. [Zeilberger, D., Zudilin, W.: The irrationality measure of Pi is at most 7.103205334137… \(2019\).](http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.06345) arXiv: 1912.06345
- 26. Laughlin, J.M.C., Wyshinsk, N.J.: Real numbers with polynomial continued fraction expansions. Acta Arith. **116**, 63–79 (2005)
- 27. Sequence A196392 in the OEIS. www.oeis.org/A196392
- 28. Agarwal, R.P.: Difference Equations and Inequalities, 2nd edn. Marcel Dekker, New York (1992)
- 29. Raayoni, G., Gottlieb, S., Pisha, G., Harris, Y., Manor, Y., Mendlovic, U., Haviv, D., Hadad, Y., Kaminer, I.: The Ramanujan machine: automatically generated conjectures on fundamental constants (2020). [arXiv:1907.00205](http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.00205)
- 30. The Ramanujan Machine. www.ramanujanmachine.com
- 31. Poincaré, H.: Sur les equations lineaires aux differentielles ordinaires et aux differences finies. Am. J. Math. **7**, 203–258 (1885)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Authors and Affiliations

Nadav Ben David[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1797-5475) · Guy Nimri1 · Uri Mendlovic2 · Yahel Manor¹ · Carlos De la Cruz Mengual1 · Ido Kaminer¹

B Nadav Ben David nadavben@campus.technion.ac.il

- ¹ Technion Israel Institute of Technology, 3200003 Haifa, Israel
- ² Google Inc., 6789141 Tel Aviv, Israel