



# Normality of Two Families of Meromorphic Functions Concerning Partially Shared Values

Manish Kumar<sup>1</sup>

Received: 14 February 2023 / Revised: 27 June 2023 / Accepted: 25 August 2023 /  
Published online: 13 September 2023  
© Institute for Mathematical Sciences (IMS), Stony Brook University, NY 2023

## Abstract

In this paper, the normality of a family of meromorphic functions is deduced from the normality of a given family. Precisely, we have proved: Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be two families of meromorphic functions on a domain  $D$ , and  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  be three finite complex numbers such that  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq c$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}$  is normal in  $D$  such that no sequence in  $\mathcal{G}$  converges locally uniformly to infinity in  $D$ . If  $n \geq 3$  and for each function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  there exists  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $f' - af^n$  and  $g' - ag^n$  partially share the values  $b$  and  $c$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ . Further, examples are given to establish the sharpness of the result.

**Keywords** Normal family · Shared values · Meromorphic functions

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 30D45 · 30D30

## 1 Introduction and Main Results

Let  $D$  be a domain in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of meromorphic functions on  $D$  is said to be normal if from every sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , we can extract a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  which converges locally uniformly to  $f$  in  $D$  with respect to the spherical metric, where  $f$  is either a meromorphic function or identically equal to infinity in  $D$ . A family  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be normal at  $z_0 \in D$  if it is normal in some neighborhood of  $z_0$ ; thus,  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$  if and only if it is normal at each point  $z \in D$ . (see [14]).

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two meromorphic functions in  $D$  and let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . We shall denote by  $E(f, a)$  the set of zeros of  $f - a$  (ignoring multiplicities). We say that  $f$  and  $g$  share the value  $a$  if  $E(f, a) = E(g, a)$ . Further, if  $E(f, a) \subset E(g, a)$ , we say that  $f$  and  $g$  share the value  $a$  partially (see [18]).

---

✉ Manish Kumar  
manishbarmaan@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, Jammu 180006, India

According to Bloch's principle [14], any condition which reduces a meromorphic function in  $\mathbb{C}$  to a constant is likely to force a family of meromorphic functions in a domain  $D$  to be normal. Although this principle as well as its converse does not hold in general (see, for example [2, 13]), still it serves as a guiding principle for obtaining normality criteria corresponding to Picard-type theorems and vice versa (see [1]).

In 1959, Hayman [5] proved that *if  $f$  is a meromorphic function in the complex plane,  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and the differential polynomial  $f' - af^n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , does not assume a finite complex value in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $f$  is constant.* This result is not true for  $n = 3, 4$  as shown by Mues [10]. In view of Bloch's principle, Hayman [6] in 1967 conjectured that there exists a normality criterion corresponding to this Picard-type theorem. Over the next few decades, the following normality criterion was established thereby proving the Hayman's conjecture.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic (holomorphic) functions in a domain  $D$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a, b$  be two finite complex numbers such that  $n \geq 3$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and  $a \neq 0$ . If for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f' - af^n \neq b$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 for meromorphic functions is due to S. Li [8], X. Li [9] and Langley [7] for  $n \geq 5$ , Pang [11] for  $n = 4$ , Chen and Fang [3] and Zalcman [17] for  $n = 3$  independently and the proof of Theorem 1.1 for holomorphic functions is due to Drasin [4] for  $n \geq 3$  and Ye [16] for  $n = 2$ .

In 2008, Zhang [19] considered the idea of shared values and proved the following.

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic (holomorphic) functions in  $D$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a, b$  be two finite complex numbers such that  $n \geq 4$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and  $a \neq 0$ . If for each pair of functions  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $f' - af^n$  and  $g' - ag^n$  share the value  $b$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .*

In this paper, we consider the related problems concerning two families of meromorphic functions and prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be two families of holomorphic functions on a domain  $D$ , and  $a, b, c$  be three complex numbers such that  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq c$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}$  is normal in  $D$  such that no sequence in  $\mathcal{G}$  converges locally uniformly to infinity in  $D$ . If  $n \geq 2$  and for each function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $f' - af^n$  and  $g' - ag^n$  partially share the values  $b$  and  $c$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .*

In the following example, we show that the condition 'partial sharing of two values  $b$  and  $c$ ' in Theorem 1.3 cannot be reduced to one.

**Example 1.4** Consider the two families  $\mathcal{F} := \{f_j(z) = e^{jz} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\mathcal{G} := \{1\}$  of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Note that  $g'_j - g_j^2 \equiv -1$ . Therefore,  $f'_j - f_j^2 = -1 \Rightarrow g'_j - g_j^2 = -1$ . But  $\mathcal{F}$  fails to be normal at  $z = 0$ .

We demonstrate in the subsequent example that Theorem 1.3 fails to be true when  $n = 1$ . Therefore, the condition  $n = 2$  is the best possible for Theorem 1.3.

**Example 1.5** Consider the two families  $\mathcal{F} := \{f_j(z) = jz : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\mathcal{G} := \{-1\}$  of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then, clearly,  $f'_j(z) - f_j(z) = j(1 - z) \neq 0$ , and for each  $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists  $g_j \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $f'_j(z) - f_j(z) = 1 \Rightarrow g'_j(z) - g_j(z) = 1$ . But  $\mathcal{F}$  fails to be normal at  $z = 0$ .

The following example illustrates that Theorem 1.3 is not valid for the family of meromorphic functions when  $n = 2$ .

**Example 1.6** Consider the two families

$$\mathcal{F} := \left\{ f_j(z) = \frac{jz}{1 + jz^2} : j \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G} := \{1\}$$

of meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Take  $a = -1$ . Then, clearly,  $f'_j(z) - af_j^2(z) = \frac{j}{(1+jz^2)^2} \neq 0$  and for each  $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists  $g_j \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $f'_j(z) - af_j^2(z) = 1 \Rightarrow g'_j(z) - ag_j^2(z) = 1$ . But  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal at  $z = 0$  since  $f_j(0) = 0$  and for  $z \neq 0$ ,  $f_j(z) \rightarrow 1/z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

However, Theorem 1.3 can be extended to families of meromorphic functions provided that  $n \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 1.7** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be two families of meromorphic functions on a domain  $D$ , and  $a, b, c$  be three finite complex numbers such that  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq c$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}$  is normal in  $D$  such that no sequence in  $\mathcal{G}$  converges locally uniformly to infinity in  $D$ . If  $n \geq 3$  and for each function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $f' - af^n$  and  $g' - ag^n$  partially share the values  $b$  and  $c$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .

In the following example, we show that the condition ‘partial sharing of two values  $b$  and  $c$ ’ in Theorem 1.7 cannot be reduced to one.

**Example 1.8** Consider the two families

$$\mathcal{F} := \left\{ f_j(z) = \frac{1}{jz} : j \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G} := \left\{ \frac{1}{z + \frac{1}{j^2} - 1} : j \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

of meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then for each  $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists  $g_j \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $f'_j - f_j^3 = 0 \Rightarrow g'_j - g_j^3 = 0$ . Also,  $g_j(z) \rightarrow g(z) = \frac{1}{z-1} \neq \infty$ . But  $\mathcal{F}$  fails to be normal at  $z = 0$ .

For  $n = 2$ , we have the following weak version of the Theorem 1.7.

**Theorem 1.9** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be two families of meromorphic functions on a domain  $D$  such that each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  has neither simple zeros nor simple poles. Let  $a, b$  and  $c$  be three finite complex numbers such that  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq c$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}$  is normal in  $D$  such that no sequence in  $\mathcal{G}$  converges locally uniformly to infinity in  $D$ . If for each function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $f' - af^2$  and  $g' - ag^2$  partially share the values  $b$  and  $c$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .*

Note that Example 1.6 also shows that the condition ‘each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  has neither simple zeros nor simple poles’ in Theorem 1.9 can not be omitted.

### 2 Lemmas and Proof of the Results

To prove our results, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** [12] *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions on the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  such that all the zeros of  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  are of multiplicity at least  $p$  and all the poles of  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  are of multiplicity at least  $q$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal at  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then, for every  $\alpha \in (-p, q)$ , there exist*

- (a) points  $z_n$  in  $\mathbb{D} : z_n \rightarrow z_0$ ;
- (b) functions  $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (c) positive real numbers  $\rho_n : \rho_n \rightarrow 0$

such that the re-scaled sequence  $\{g_n(\zeta) = \rho_n^\alpha f_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta)\}$  converges spherically locally uniformly on  $\mathbb{C}$  to a non-constant meromorphic function  $g$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  of finite order.

**Lemma 2.2** [3] *Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $n$  be a positive integer. If  $f^n f'$  does not assume a non-zero finite complex number in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $f$  is constant.*

**Lemma 2.3** [15] *Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $b$  be a non-zero complex number. If  $f$  has neither simple zero nor simple pole and  $f'(z) \neq b$ , then  $f$  is constant.*

**Proof of the Theorem 1.3** We may consider  $D$  to be an open unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ . Suppose that the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal at  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then by Lemma 2.1, there exist points  $z_j \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $z_j \rightarrow z_0$ , a sequence of positive numbers  $\rho_j \rightarrow 0$  and a sequence of functions  $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$F_j(\zeta) = \rho_j^{\frac{1}{n-1}} f_j(z_j + \rho_j \zeta) \rightarrow F(\zeta) \tag{2.1}$$

is locally uniformly on  $\mathbb{C}$ , where  $F$  is a non-constant entire function of finite order. From (2.1), we have

$$\rho_j^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \{(f'_j - af_j^n)(z_j + \rho_j \zeta) - b\} = (F'_j - aF_j^n)(\zeta) - \rho_j^{\frac{n}{n-1}} b \rightarrow F'(\zeta) - aF^n(\zeta) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\rho_j^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \{(f'_j - af_j^n)(z_j + \rho_j \zeta) - c\} = (F'_j - aF_j^n)(\zeta) - \rho_j^{\frac{n}{n-1}} c \rightarrow F'(\zeta) - aF^n(\zeta) \tag{2.3}$$

locally uniformly on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For each  $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists  $g_j \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $f'_j - af_j^n$  and  $g'_j - ag_j^n$  share the values  $b$  and  $c$  partially in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is normal, there exists a subsequence in  $\{g_j\}$ , again denoted by  $\{g_j\}$ , that converges uniformly to a holomorphic function  $g(z) \neq \infty$  in some neighborhood of  $z_0$ .

Suppose  $(F' - aF^n) \neq 0$  otherwise  $\frac{-1}{n-1} \frac{1}{F^{n-1}} \equiv a\zeta + d$ , for some  $d \in \mathbb{C}$ , which contradicts to the fact that  $F$  is an entire function and  $n \geq 2$ . Further, suppose that  $(F' - aF^n)(\zeta) \neq 0, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\frac{F'}{F^n} \neq a$ . By setting  $F = 1/\phi$ , we have  $\phi^{n-2}\phi' \neq -a$ . When  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\phi$  is constant by Lemma 2.2 and when  $n = 2$ ,  $\phi$  is again constant by Hayman's alternative since  $\phi \neq 0$  and  $\phi' \neq -a$ . In both cases,  $\phi$  is constant. This implies that  $F$  is constant, a contradiction. Thus,  $(F' - aF^n)$  has at least one zero.

Now we have two cases:

**Case-I.**  $(g' - ag^n)(z_0) \neq b$ .

Suppose that  $(F' - aF^n)(\zeta_0) = 0$ , for some  $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . From (2.2), by Hurwitz's theorem, there exists a sequence  $\{\zeta_j\}$  with  $\zeta_j \rightarrow \zeta_0$  such that for sufficiently large  $j$

$$(F'_j - aF_j^n)(\zeta_j) - \rho_j^{\frac{n}{n-1}} b = 0,$$

and thus

$$(f'_j - af_j^n)(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j) = b.$$

By hypothesis, we have  $(g'_j - ag_j^n)(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j) = b$  and so  $(g' - ag^n)(z_0) = b$ , a contradiction.

**Case-II.**  $(g' - ag^n)(z_0) = b$ .

By using (2.3) instead of (2.2) in Case-I, we obtain  $(g' - ag^n)(z_0) = c (\neq b)$  which is not true. This completes the proof. □

**Proof of the Theorem 1.7** We may consider  $D$  to be an open unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ . Suppose that the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal at  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$  which has no locally convergent subsequence at  $z_0$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.1, there exist points  $z_j \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $z_j \rightarrow z_0$ , a sequence of positive numbers  $\rho_j \rightarrow 0$ , and a sequence of functions in  $\{f_j\}$  again denoted by  $\{f_j\}$  such that

$$F_j(\zeta) = \rho_j^{\frac{1}{n-1}} f_j(z_j + \rho_j \zeta) \rightarrow F(\zeta) \tag{2.4}$$

locally uniformly on  $\mathbb{C}$  with respect to spherical metric, where  $F$  is a non-constant meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  of finite order.

From (2.4), we have

$$(F'_j - aF^n_j)(\zeta) - \rho_j^{\frac{n}{n-1}} b = \rho_j^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \{(f'_j - af^n_j)(z_j + \rho_j \zeta) - b\} \rightarrow F'(\zeta) - aF^n(\zeta) \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$(F'_j - aF^n_j)(\zeta) - \rho_j^{\frac{n}{n-1}} c = \rho_j^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \{(f'_j - af^n_j)(z_j + \rho_j \zeta) - c\} \rightarrow F'(\zeta) - aF^n(\zeta) \tag{2.6}$$

spherically locally uniformly on  $\mathbb{C}$  except possibly at the poles of  $F$ .

For each  $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists  $g_j \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $f'_j - af^n_j$  and  $g'_j - ag^n_j$  partially share the values  $b$  and  $c$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is normal, there exists a subsequence in  $\{g_j\}$ , again denoted by  $\{g_j\}$ , that converges uniformly to a meromorphic function  $g(z) \not\equiv \infty$  in some neighborhood of  $z_0$ .

**Claim.**  $(F' - aF^n)(\zeta_0) = 0$ , for some  $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Suppose that  $(F' - aF^n)(\zeta) \neq 0$ . Then  $\frac{F'}{F^n} \neq a$ . By setting  $F = 1/\phi$ ,  $\phi^{n-2}\phi' \neq -a$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $\phi$  and so  $F$  is constant, a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Now we have three cases:

**Case-I.**  $(g' - ag^n)(z_0) \neq b, \infty$ .

By Claim,  $(F' - aF^n)(\zeta_0) = 0$ , for some  $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $(F' - aF^n) \not\equiv 0$ , otherwise  $\frac{-1}{n-1} \frac{1}{F^{n-1}} \equiv a\zeta + d$ , for some  $d \in \mathbb{C}$ , which contradicts to the fact that  $F$  is a non-constant meromorphic function and  $n \geq 3$ , by (2.5), there exists a sequence  $\{\zeta_j\}$  with  $\zeta_j \rightarrow \zeta_0$  such that for sufficiently large  $j$ ,  $(f'_j - af^n_j)(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j) = b$ . By assumption, we have  $(g'_j - ag^n_j)(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j) = b$  and so  $(g' - ag^n)(z_0) = b$ , a contradiction.

**Case-II.**  $(g' - ag^n)(z_0) = b$ .

Using (2.6) instead of (2.5) in Case-I, we obtain  $(g' - ag^n)(z_0) = c (\neq b)$ , which is not true.

**Case-III.**  $(g' - ag^n)(z_0) = \infty$ .

Then, clearly,  $g(z_0) = \infty$ . Suppose that  $z_0$  is a pole of  $g$  with multiplicity  $k \geq 1$ . Then, for sufficiently large  $j$ ,  $g_j$  has exactly  $l \leq k$  distinct poles  $z^1_j, \dots, z^l_j$  in  $D(z_0, r)$  with multiplicities  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$  respectively such that  $z^i_j \rightarrow z_0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, l$ ) and  $\sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i = k$ . Renumbering if possible, we may assume that the number  $l$  and multiplicities  $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, l$  are independent of  $j$ . Now set

$$H_j(z) := g_j(z) \prod_{i=1}^l (z - z^i_j)^{\alpha_i}.$$

Then the functions  $H_n$  are holomorphic in  $D(z_0, r)$  and  $H_n \rightarrow H$  on  $D(z_0, r/2) \setminus \{z_0\}$ , where  $H(z) = g(z)(z - z_0)^k$  is holomorphic on  $D(z_0, r)$ . Note that  $H(z_0) \neq 0, \infty$ . Hence by maximum principle,  $H_n \rightarrow H$  on  $D(z_0, r/2)$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g'_j(z) &= \left( H_j(z) \prod_{i=1}^l (z - z_j^i)^{-\alpha_i} \right)' \\
 &= H'_j(z) \prod_{i=1}^l (z - z_j^i)^{-\alpha_i} - H_j(z) \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i (z - z_j^i)^{-\alpha_i-1} \prod_{s \neq i} (z - z_j^s)^{-\alpha_s} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^l (z - z_j^i)^{-\alpha_i-1} \left( H'_j \prod_{i=1}^l (z - z_j^i) - H_j(z) \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i \prod_{s \neq i} (z - z_j^s) \right). \tag{2.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$g'_j(z) - ag_j^n(z) - b = K_j(z) \prod_{i=1}^l (z - z_j^i)^{-\alpha_i-1}, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_j(z) &= H'_j \prod_{i=1}^l (z - z_j^i) - H_j(z) \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i \prod_{s \neq i} (z - z_j^s) \\
 &\quad - aH_j^n(z) \prod_{i=1}^l (z - z_j^i)^{-\alpha_i(n-1)+1} - b \prod_{i=1}^l (z - z_j^i)^{\alpha_i+1}. \tag{2.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $H(z_0) \neq 0, \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_j(z) &\rightarrow H'(z)(z - z_0)^l - H(z)k(z - z_0)^{l-1} - \frac{aH^n(z)}{(z - z_0)^{k(n-1)-l}} - b(z - z_0)^{k+l} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^{k(n-1)-l}} \left\{ H'(z)(z - z_0)^{k(n-1)} - kH(z)(z - z_0)^{k(n-1)-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - aH^n(z) - b(z - z_0)^{nk} \right\} \tag{2.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left( H'(z)(z - z_0)^{k(n-1)} - kH(z)(z - z_0)^{k(n-1)-1} - aH^n(z) - b(z - z_0)^{nk} \right)_{z=z_0} \\
 &= -aH^n(z_0) \neq 0. \tag{2.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $K_j(z)$  and so  $g'_j(z) - ag_j^n(z) - b$  has no zeros in some neighborhood of  $z_0$ . By assumption, we find that  $f'_j(z) - af_j^n(z) - b$  has no zero in some neighborhood of  $z_0$ . By Theorem 1.1, the sequence  $\{f_j\}$  is normal at  $z_0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proof of the Theorem 1.9** Following the proof of Theorem 1.7, we only need to prove that  $F' - aF^2 \not\equiv 0$  and  $F' - aF^2$  has at least one zero. Suppose that  $F' - aF^2 \equiv 0$ . Then  $(\frac{1}{F})' \equiv a$  which implies that  $\frac{1}{F} \equiv a\zeta + d$ , for some  $d \in \mathbb{C}$ , which contradicts the fact that  $F$  has no simple pole. Next, suppose that  $F' - aF^2 \neq 0$ . Then  $\frac{F'}{F^2} \neq a$ . We set  $F = 1/\phi$ ,  $\phi' \neq -a$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\phi$  and so  $F$  is a constant, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** The author is grateful to the anonymous reviewer for his/her careful reading and valuable comments which have improved the clarity and readability of the paper.

## Declarations

**Data Availability** Not applicable.

**Conflict of interest** The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Bergweiler, W.: Bloch's principle. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **6**(1), 77–108 (2006)
2. Charak, K.S., Rieppo, J.: Two normality criteria and the converse of the Bloch principle. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **353**(1), 43–48 (2009)
3. Chen, H., Fang, M.: On the value distribution of  $f^n f'$ . *Sci. China Ser. A* **38**, 789–798 (1995)
4. Drasin, D.: Normal families and Nevanlinna theory. *Acta Math.* **122**, 231–263 (1969)
5. Hayman, W.K.: Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives. *Ann. Math.* **70**(1), 9–42 (1959)
6. Hayman, W.K.: *Research Problems in Function Theory*. Athlone Press of Univ. of London, London (1967)
7. Langley, J.: On normal families and a result of Drasin. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **98**, 385–393 (1984)
8. Li, S.Y.: On normality criterion of a class of the functions. *J. Fujian Normal Univ.* **2**, 156–158 (1984)
9. Li, X.J.: Proof of Hayman's conjecture on normal families. *Sci. China Ser. A* **28**, 596–603 (1985)
10. Mues, E.: Über ein problem von Hayman. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **164**(3), 239–259 (1979)
11. Pang, X.: On normal criterion of meromorphic functions. *Sci. China Ser. A* **33**, 521–527 (1990)
12. Pang, X.C., Zalcman, L.: Normal families and shared values. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **32**, 325–331 (2000)
13. Rubel, L.A.: Four counterexamples to Bloch's principle. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98**(2), 257–260 (1986)
14. Schiff, J.: *Normal Families*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1993)
15. Wang, Y., Fang, M.: Picard values and normal families of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros. *Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.)* **14**(1), 17–26 (1998)
16. Ye, Y.: A new criterion and its application. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* **12**(suppl.), 44–49 (1991)
17. Zalcman, L.: On some questions of Hayman, unpublished manuscript, (1994)
18. Zalcman, L.: Normal families: new perspectives. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **35**(3), 215–230 (1998)
19. Zhang, Q.: Normal families of meromorphic functions concerning shared values. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **338**(1), 545–551 (2008)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.