



# Triangulated Endofunctors of the Derived Category of Coherent Sheaves Which do not Admit DG Liftings

Vadim Vologodsky<sup>1</sup>

Received: 29 December 2018 / Revised: 29 March 2019 / Accepted: 1 June 2019 /  
Published online: 4 November 2019  
© Institute for Mathematical Sciences (IMS), Stony Brook University, NY 2019

## Abstract

In Rizzardo and Van den Bergh (An example of a non-Fourier–Mukai functor between derived categories of coherent sheaves, 2014), constructed an example of a triangulated functor between the derived categories of coherent sheaves on smooth projective varieties over a field  $k$  of characteristic 0 which is not of the Fourier–Mukai type. The purpose of this note is to show that if  $\text{char } k = p$  then there are very simple examples of such functors. Namely, for a smooth projective  $Y$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with the special fiber  $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ , we consider the functor  $Li^* \circ i_* : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(X)$  from the derived categories of coherent sheaves on  $X$  to itself. We show that if  $Y$  is a flag variety which is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  then  $Li^* \circ i_*$  is not of the Fourier–Mukai type. Note that by a theorem of Toën (Invent Math 167:615–667, 2007: Theorem 8.15) the latter assertion is equivalent to saying that  $Li^* \circ i_*$  does not admit a lifting to a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear DG quasi-functor  $D_{dg}^b(X) \rightarrow D_{dg}^b(X)$ , where  $D_{dg}^b(X)$  is a (unique) DG enhancement of  $D^b(X)$ . However, essentially by definition,  $Li^* \circ i_*$  lifts to a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -linear DG quasi-functor.

**Keywords** Derived category · Coherent sheaf

Given smooth proper schemes  $X_1, X_2$  over a field  $k$  and an object  $E \in D^b(X_1 \times_k X_2)$  of the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on  $X_1 \times_k X_2$  define a triangulated functor

$$\Phi_E : D^b(X_1) \rightarrow D^b(X_2) \quad (1)$$

sending a bounded complex  $M$  of coherent sheaves on  $X_1$  to  $Rp_{2*}(E \overset{L}{\otimes} p_1^*M)$ , where  $p_i : X_1 \times_k X_2 \rightarrow X_i$  are the projections. Recall that a triangulated functor

---

Dedicated to Rafail Kalmanovich Gordin on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

---

✉ Vadim Vologodsky  
vologod@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> National Research University “Higher School of Economics”, Moscow, Russia

$D^b(X_1) \rightarrow D^b(X_2)$  is said to be of the Fourier–Mukai type if it is isomorphic to  $\Phi_E$  for some  $E$ .

Let  $Y$  be a smooth projective scheme over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and let  $X$  be its special fiber,  $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$  the closed embedding. Consider the triangulated functor  $G : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(X)$  given by the formula

$$G = Li^* \circ i_*$$

We shall see that in general  $G$  is not of the Fourier–Mukai type.

**Theorem** *Let  $Z$  a smooth projective scheme over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $Y = Z \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Z$ ,  $X = Y \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$ . Assume that*

- (1) *The Frobenius morphism  $Fr : \bar{Z} \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ , where  $\bar{Z} = Z \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$ , does not lift modulo  $p^2$ .*
- (2)  *$H^1(X, T_X) = 0$ , where  $T_X$  is the tangent sheaf on  $X$ .*

*Then  $G = Li^* \circ i_* : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(X)$  is not of the Fourier–Mukai type.*

For example, let  $GL_n$  be the general linear group over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $B \subset GL_n$  a Borel subgroup. Then, by Theorem 6 from Buch et al. (1997), for any  $n > 2$ , the flag variety  $Z = GL_n/B$  satisfies the first assumption of the Theorem *i.e.*, the Frobenius  $Fr : \bar{Z} \rightarrow \bar{Z}$  does not lift on  $Z \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ . By Kumar et al. (1999), Theorem 2, we have that  $H^1(\bar{Z}, T_{\bar{Z}}) = H^1(\bar{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}}) = 0$ . It follows that  $H^1(X, T_X) = 0$ . Hence, by the Theorem, for  $n > 2$ ,  $G : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(X)$  is not of the Fourier–Mukai type.

**Proof** Assume the contrary and let  $E \in D^b(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} X)$  be a Fourier–Mukai kernel of  $G$ . By definition, for every  $M \in D^b(X)$  we have a functorial isomorphism

$$G(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} Rp_{2*}(E \overset{L}{\otimes} p_1^*M). \tag{2}$$

By the projection formula (Hartshorne 1966, Chapter II, Prop. 5.6) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} i_* \circ Li^* \circ i_*(M) &\xrightarrow{\sim} i_*(M) \overset{L}{\otimes} i_*(\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} i_*(M) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} i_*(M) \oplus i_*(M)[1] \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $M$  is a coherent sheaf then  $\underline{H}^i(G(M)) \simeq M$  for  $i = 0, -1$  and  $\underline{H}^i(G(M)) = 0$  otherwise. Applying this observation and formula (2) to skyscraper sheaves,  $M = \delta_x$ ,  $x \in X(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , we conclude that the coherent sheaves  $\underline{H}^i(E)$  are set theoretically supported on the diagonal  $\Delta_X \subset X \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} X$ . Applying the same formulas to  $M = \mathcal{O}_X$  we see that  $p_{2*}(\underline{H}^i(E)) = \mathcal{O}_X$  for  $i = 0, -1$  and  $p_{2*}(\underline{H}^i(E)) = 0$  otherwise. In fact, every coherent sheaf  $F$  on  $X \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} X$  which is set theoretically supported on the diagonal and such that  $p_{2*}F = \mathcal{O}_X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}$ . It follows that  $\underline{H}^0(E) = \underline{H}^{-1}(E) = \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}$ . In the other words,  $E$  fits into an exact triangle in  $D^b(X \times X)$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}[1] \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}[2] \tag{3}$$

for some  $\beta \in Ext^2_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{F}_p X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X})$ . We wish to show that the second assumption in the Theorem implies that  $\beta = 0$ , while the first one implies that  $\beta \neq 0$ . For every  $M \in D^b(X)$ , (3) gives rise to an exact triangle

$$M[1] \xrightarrow{\alpha_M} G(M) \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\beta_M} M[2] \tag{4}$$

Our main tool is the following result.

**Lemma** *For a coherent sheaf  $M$  on  $X$  the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\beta_M = 0$ .
- (2)  $G(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} M \oplus M[1]$ .
- (3) *There exists a morphism  $\lambda : G(M) \rightarrow M[1]$  such that  $\lambda \circ \alpha_M$  is an isomorphism.*
- (4)  *$M$  admits a lift modulo  $p^2$  i.e., there is a coherent sheaf  $\tilde{M}$  on  $Y$  flat over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $i^*\tilde{M} \simeq M$ .*

**Proof** The equivalence of (1), (2) and (3) is immediate. Let us check that (3) is equivalent to (4). By adjunction a morphism  $\lambda : G(M) \rightarrow M[1]$  gives rise to a morphism  $\gamma : i_*M \rightarrow i_*M[1]$ . Note that  $\tilde{M} := (\text{cone } \gamma)[-1]$  is a coherent sheaf on  $Y$  which is an extension of  $i_*M$  by itself:

$$0 \longrightarrow i_*M \xrightarrow{v} \tilde{M} \xrightarrow{u} i_*M \longrightarrow 0. \tag{5}$$

It suffices to prove that  $\lambda \circ \alpha_M : M[1] \rightarrow M[1]$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\tilde{M}$  is flat over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ .

The exact sequence (5) gives rise to an exact triangle

$$Li^*i_*M \rightarrow Li^*\tilde{M} \rightarrow Li^*i_*M \rightarrow Li^*i_*M[1].$$

This, in turn, yields a long exact sequence of the cohomology sheaves

$$0 = L_2i^*i_*M \rightarrow L_1i^*i_*M \xrightarrow{L_1i^*(v)} L_1i^*\tilde{M} \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\lambda \circ \alpha_M[-1]} M \rightarrow i^*\tilde{M} \xrightarrow{L_1i^*(u)} M \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that the morphism  $\lambda \circ \alpha_M$  is an isomorphism if and only if the morphisms  $v$  and  $u$  from exact sequence (5) induce isomorphisms  $i_*M \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(\tilde{M} \xrightarrow{p} \tilde{M})$ ,  $\text{Coker}(\tilde{M} \xrightarrow{p} \tilde{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} i_*M$ . The latter condition is equivalent to the flatness of  $\tilde{M}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ . □

We have a spectral sequence converging to  $Ext^*_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{F}_p X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X})$  whose second page is  $H^*(X, Ext^*_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{F}_p X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}))$ . In particular, we have a homomorphism

$$Ext^2_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{F}_p X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}) \rightarrow H^0(X, Ext^2_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{F}_p X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X})) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(X, \wedge^2 T_X).$$

Let us check that the image  $\mu$  of  $\beta$  under this map is 0. To do this we apply the Lemma to skyscraper sheaves  $\delta_x$ , where  $x$  runs over closed points of  $X$ . On the

one hand, the evaluation of the bivector field  $\mu$  at  $x$  is equal to the class of  $\beta_{\delta_x}$  in  $Ext^2_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\delta_x, \delta_x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^2 T_{x,X}$ . On the other hand, by the Lemma,  $\beta_{\delta_x} = 0$  since  $\delta_x$  is liftable modulo  $p^2$ . Next, the assumption that  $H^1(X, T_X) = 0$  implies that  $\beta$  lies in the image of the map

$$v : H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(X, Ext^0_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X})) \rightarrow Ext^2_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}). \tag{6}$$

The map (6) has a left inverse  $u : Ext^2_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  which takes  $\beta$  to  $\beta_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ . But, by the Lemma, the later class is equal to 0 since  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is liftable modulo  $p^2$ . It follows that  $\beta$  is 0.

On the other hand, let  $\Gamma \subset X = \overline{Z} \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{Z}$  be the graph of the Frobenius morphism  $Fr : \overline{Z} \rightarrow \overline{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  the structure sheaf of  $\Gamma$  viewed as a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . Then, by our first assumption, the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$  is not liftable modulo  $p^2$ . Hence, by the Lemma,  $\beta_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}$  is not 0. This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark** Let  $X$  be a smooth proper scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . The bounded derived category  $D^b(X)$  of coherent sheaves on  $X$  has a natural dg enhancement  $L_{parf}(X)$  which is a dg category over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  whose homotopy category  $Ho(L_{parf}(X))$  is equivalent to  $D^b(X)$  (see, for example, Toën 2007, Sect. 8.3). One has a functor

$$Ho(R\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(L_{parf}(X))) \rightarrow \text{End}(D^b(X)) \tag{7}$$

from the homotopy category of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear dg quasi-endofunctors of  $L_{parf}(X)$  to the category of triangulated endofunctors of  $D^b(X)$ . According to (Toën 2007, Theorem 8.15) the dg category  $R\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(L_{parf}(X), L_{parf}(X))$  is homotopy equivalent to the dg category  $L_{parf}(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} X)$ , so that the essential image of (7) consists of triangulated endofunctors of the Fourier–Mukai type. On the other hand, any dg category over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  can be viewed as a dg category over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . In particular, one can consider the dg category  $R\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(L_{parf}(X), L_{parf}(X))$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -linear dg quasi-endofunctors of  $L_{parf}(X)$ . Functor (7) factors as follows.

$$Ho(R\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(L_{parf}(X))) \rightarrow Ho(R\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(L_{parf}(X))) \rightarrow \text{End}(D^b(X)). \tag{8}$$

Given a flat lifting  $Y$  of  $X$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  one can view the functor  $Li^*i_*$  as an object of the category  $Ho(R\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(L_{parf}(X)))$ . The construction from this paper is inspired by the simple observation that for any  $X$  and  $Y$  (for example, one can take  $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p, Y = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$ ) the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -linear dg quasi-functor  $Li^*i_*$  is not in the image of  $Ho(R\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(L_{parf}(X)))$ .

**Acknowledgements** I would like to thank Alberto Canonaco and Paolo Stellari: their interest prompted writing this note. Also, I am grateful to Alexander Samokhin for stimulating discussions and references. I would like to thanks the referee for his comments which helped to improve the exposition. The author was partially supported by the Laboratory of Mirror Symmetry NRU HSE, RF government grant, ag. No. 14.641.31.0001.

## References

- Buch, A., Thomsen, J.F., Lauritzen, N., Mehta, V.: The Frobenius morphism on a toric variety. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **49**(3), 355–366 (1997)
- Hartshorne, R.: Residues and duality. *LNM* **20**, (1966)
- Kumar, S., Lauritzen, N., Thomsen, J.F.: Frobenius splitting of cotangent bundles of flag varieties. *Invent. Math.* **136**, 603–62 (1999)
- Rizzardo, A., Van den Bergh, M.: An example of a non-Fourier-Mukai functor between derived categories of coherent sheaves (2014). [arXiv:1410.4039](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.4039)
- Toën, B.: The homotopy theory of dg-categories and derived Morita theory. *Invent. Math.* **167**, 615–667 (2007)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.