**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 



# Determinants of longevity and age at death in a practically extinct cohort of middle-aged men followed-up for 61 years

Alessandro Menotti<sup>1</sup> · Paolo Emilio Puddu<sup>1,2</sup> · Giovina Catasta<sup>3</sup>

Received: 20 October 2021 / Accepted: 17 December 2021 / Published online: 15 January 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

## Abstract

**Objectives** To explore possible determinants of longevity as a function of many personal characteristics in a cohort of middle-aged men followed-up until practical extinction.

**Materials and methods** In the Italian Rural Area of the Seven Countries Study, 1712 men aged 40–59 were examined in 1960 and 35 personal characteristics were measured. The subsequent follow-up for life status was of 61 years when only 3 men survived. A Kaplan–Meier curve was computed. A Cox model was solved with all-cause mortality as end-point and 35 potential determinants as covariates. A Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) model was also solved with the same covariates and age at death (AD) as end-point.

**Results** After 61 years, 99.8% of men had died and median age at death was 75. Beneficial risk factors for both models (p < 0.05) were: never smoker, vigorous physical activity, prudent and Mediterranean diets, arm circumference, subscapular skinfold, and vital capacity. Adverse risk factors (p < 0.05) were: mother early death, laterality/linearity index, systolic blood pressure, serum cholesterol, corneal arcus, xanthelasma, cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes, and chronic bronchitis. Some arbitrary combinations of selected risk factors were used to estimate AD as a function of coefficients of the MLR, showing large differences up to 10 years or more.

**Conclusions** Several personal characteristics of anthropometric, behavioral, biophysical, biochemical, and clinical nature are strongly associated with longevity when measured in middle-aged men and then followed up until extinction.

Keywords Longevity · Risk factors · Lifestyle behavior · Follow-up until extinction

# Introduction

In the first chapter of a book dealing with up-date in gerontology [1], a comprehensive review was made about centenarians and evidence was given of the increasing longevity and lifespan occurred worldwide during the last century. The number of centenarians is still limited but it represents the tip of the iceberg that is mainly made by "the elderly". The determinants of longevity are partly known, including

- <sup>1</sup> Association for Cardiac Research, Via Savoia, 78, 00198 Rome, Italy
- <sup>2</sup> EA 4650, Signalisation, Electrophysiologie et Imagerie des Lésions d'Ischémie Reperfusion Myocardique, UNICAEN, 14000 Caen, France
- <sup>3</sup> Centro di Ricerca per gli Alimenti e la Nutrizione, CREA-NUT, Rome, Italy

genetic, lifestyle, environment, and metabolic and endocrine functions. However, large documentation of personal characteristics measured in a cohort early enough to become potential predictors of longevity several decades ahead is rare if perhaps not-existing.

In the present analysis, we have the opportunity to present data on all-cause mortality and age at death (AD: survival) in a cohort of middle-aged men examined in the mid of last century with measurement of many personal characteristics, and then followed up for 61 years until its practical extinction.

# **Materials and methods**

## Study population and measurements

The study was run on the Italian Rural Areas (IRA) of the Seven Countries Study (SCS) made of 1712 men aged 40–59

Paolo Emilio Puddu puddu.pe@gmail.com

at enrollment in 1960, representing 98.7% of the selected population samples. More details can be found elsewhere [2].

A great number of measurements were taken at entry examination dealing with personal and social characteristics, lifestyle behaviors, risk factors, and major prevalent diseases for a total of over 50 variables. Among them, a selection was made choosing 35 variables after exclusion of those creating collinearity problems in multivariate analysis (for example, systolic versus diastolic blood pressure), those that were included into composite variables (for example, height and weight as components of body mass index), and a few others of minimal interest and/or uncertain interpretation. They are listed in Table 1 together with procedures and criteria, mean values, and references for measurements techniques [3–11]. About 3% of variables were missing and they were imputed by multivariate normal procedure.

It is necessary to provide some extra information about variables dealing with diet and physical activity, since the complex procedures could be found only in the bibliographic references reported in Table 1. A dietary history was collected and 18 food groups were identified and then used to produce an a-posteriori dietary score though the Principal Component Analysis that allowed to compute an individual factor score. This score had an inverse gradient with certain events (initially coronary heart disease), and then, it was divided into three classes (of equal size to be conservative) that showed differences in the intake of various food groups. The upper class (33.3%) had a higher use of cereals, bread, potatoes, vegetables, fish and lower use of meat, milk, butter, sugar, pastry, and alcohol, compared with the lower class (33.3%). The intermediate class (33.4%) had intermediate food groups' intake [5, 6]. The labels given to the three classes were an arbitrary choice (Mediterranean, Prudent, and Non-Mediterranean) and any other terms could have been equivalent. The score was not based on an a-priori procedure, and therefore, it was not influenced by the opinions of the investigators. The multivariate coefficients of both Cox and MLR models confirmed that Mediterranean diet was beneficial versus the reference (Non-Mediterranean) and that Prudent diet had a similar effect but to a lesser extent.

Physical activity classes were determined from engagement due to occupation matched with a few questions and divided into three classes, i.e., sedentary, moderate, and vigorous. This rough classification was validated by ergonometric tests and by the energy expenditure derived from the dietary history [4, 5].

The study population was followed up for life status and mortality during 61 years. Collection of data started before the era of the Helsinki Declaration, with consent implied by participation at the baseline examination, while, in subsequent surveys, verbal or written consent was obtained for collection of follow-up data.

#### **Statistical analysis**

A Kaplan–Meier survival curve was computed to describe overall survival trend. A Cox proportional hazard model was solved with all-cause deaths as end-point and the 35 personal characteristics as covariates (32 variables plus 3 reference variables for specific groups of them). A multiple linear regression model (MLR) was also solved using AD (the age at the time of death or when the subject was last seen alive) as dependent variable (survival) and the same personal characteristics used in the Cox model as covariates. Men still alive or lost to follow up (for total of 4 units) were treated as censored in the Cox model.

For both models, the standardized coefficients—that allow to compare their predictive power—were computed and partially reported beyond the original ones. They were computed as the product of a coefficient times the standard deviation of its respective variable divided by the standard deviation of the events. Both Cox and MLR models were replicated including only the risk factors that were significant in both analyses. Then, those risk factors were used to solve, separately for the two approaches, the same models (reduced models), and a comparison was made between the coefficients produced by the full models with those of the reduced models.

A side analysis consisted in solving another MLR model with the addition of the square of Body Mass Index to test to hypothesis of a curvilinear relationship with AD.

Using the intercept and the coefficients of the reduced model of MLR, estimates of AD were computed for a small and arbitrary number of combinations of different levels of the various risk factors.

Finally, baseline mean levels of entry risk factors were tabulated for men divided into three classes of AD, i.e., <70 years, 70 to 80 years, and > 80 years.

## Results

Personal characteristics listed in Table 1 reflect the levels of middle-aged men in rural environments in Italy in the mid of last century.

During 61 years of follow-up, in the original cohort of 1712 men, there were 1708 deaths (99.8%), 3 survivors aged between 102 and 106 years, and 1 lost to follow-up after 50 years when he was aged 91 years.

A Kaplan–Meier curve was produced and is reported in Fig. 1 when the decline of survival showed a moderate increase of mortality during the first 10 years that then tended to accelerate until about year 40 when the acceleration faded out.

#### Table 1 Risk factors measured at entry, definitions, unit of measurement, and mean levels and selection

Risk factor	Definition or details	Unit of measurement	Mean and (SD) or proportion (%) and (SE)	Bib- liographic reference	Notes
Age	Approximated to the near- est birthday	Years	49.1 (5.1)	[3]	
Father history	Father dead < 65 years for non-infectious nor violent causes	0 = no 1 = yes	21.1 (0.99) %	[3]	From questionnaire
Mother history	Mother dead < 65 years for non-infectious nor violent causes	0 = no 1 = yes	20.6 (0.98) %	[3]	From questionnaire
Family history of heart attack	History of myocardial infarction, or equiva- lent term in first degree siblings	0 = no 1 = yes	37.9 (1.17) %	[3]	From questionnaire
Marital status	Presently married (first marriage)	0 = no 1 = yes	90.5 (0.71) %	[3]	From questionnaire
High SES	Professional, business, public administrators, foreman and high rank clerks	0 = no 1 = yes	11.0 (0.76) %	[3]	
Sedentary physical activity	Job related derived from questions matched with reported occupation	0 = no 1 = yes	9.7 (0.7) %	[4, 5]	Dummy Reference for physical activity
Moderate physical activity	Job related derived from questions matched with reported occupation	0 = no 1 = yes	22.1 (1.0) %	[4, 5]	
Vigorous physical activity	Job related derived from questions matched with reported occupation	0 = no 1 = yes	68.2 (1.1) %	[4, 5]	
Cigarette smoking	Smokers	0 = no 1 = yes	61.1 (1.2) %	[4]	Dummy reference for smoking habits
Cigarette smoking	Ex-smokers	0 = no 1 = yes	13.6 (0.8) %	[4]	
Cigarette smoking	Never smokers	0 = no 1 = yes	25.4 (1.1) %	[4]	
Non-Mediterranean diet	Dietary history	0 = no 1 = yes	33.4 (1.1) %	[ <mark>6</mark> , 7]	Dummy Reference for dietary habits
Prudent diet	Dietary history	0 = no 1 = yes	33.2 (1.1) %	[ <mark>6</mark> , 7]	
Mediterranean diet	Dietary history	0 = no 1 = yes	33.4 (1.1) %	[6, 7]	
Body mass index	Weight/height squared	kg / m <sup>2</sup>	25.2 (3.7)	[8]	
Trunk/height ratio	(sitting height/height)*100	Ratio	53.3 (1.5)	[8]]	
Shoulder/pelvis shape (ratio)	Biacromial diameter/bicris- tal diameter	Ratio	1.36 (0.1)	[8]	
Laterality/linearity index	(Sum of 2 diameters/ height)*100		40.9 (1.8)	[8]	
Subscapular skinfold	Harpenden calliper. Below tip of right scapula	mm	11.8 (5.8)	[8]	
Mid-arm circumference	Right arm-Mathematically cleaned from skin and subcutaneous tissue using the value of tricipital skinfold thickness	mm	268.6 (23.6)		
Systolic blood pressure	Supine Average of two measure- ments	mm Hg	143.6 (21.0)	[8]	
Heart rate	From ECG, average rate in lead I and $V_6$	beats/minute	71.3 (12.9)		

#### Table 1 (continued)

Risk factor	Definition or details	Unit of measurement	Mean and (SD) or proportion (%) and (SE)	Bib- liographic reference	Notes
Vital capacity	Best of two tests Adjusted (divided) for height <sup>2</sup>	L / m <sup>2</sup>	1.65 (0.24)	[8]	
Serum cholesterol	Method of Abel-Kendal modified by Anderson and Keys. Casual blood sample	mg/dl	201.6 (40.8)	[10]	
Urine protein	Spot urines. Semiquan- titative method by stix. Definite present	0 = absent 1 = present	7.8 (0.6) %		
Corneal arcus	Clinical judgement	0 = no 1 = yes	13.9 (0.8) %		
Xanthelasma	Clinical judgement	0 = no 1 = yes	1.5 (0.3) %		
Diagnosis of any cardiovascular disease	Any clinically evident cardiovascular disease as by combining history, physical examination, ECG findings, Seven Countries criteria	0 = no 1 = yes	4.4% (0.5) %	[11]	
Diagnosis of cancer	Clinical judgement based on diagnosis and specific treatment	0 = no 1 = yes	0.3 (0.1) %		
Diagnosis of diabetes	History of diabetes or use of anti-diabetic diet or drugs use or definite urine glucose present	0 = no 1 = yes	4.7 (0.5) %		
Diagnosis of chronic bronchitis	Clinical judgement, based on questionnaire and physical examination	0 = no 1 = yes	6.3 (0.6) %		
History of other major diseases	Clinical judgement	0 = no 1 = yes	31.7 (1.1) %		
Silent ECG abnormalities	From Minnesota code. In the absence of clinical diagnosis of heart disease Codes 1.1 or 1.2 or 4.1 or 4.2, or 5.1 or 5.2 or 6.1 or 6.2, or 7.1 or 7.2 or 7.4 or 8.3	0=no 1=yes	4.0 (0.5) %	[3]	
ECG abnormalities after exercise: 3 min step test	From Minnesota Code. Codes 11.1-2 or 12.1-2 or 13.1-2 or 14.1-2 or 15.1-2	0 = no 1 = yes	2.2 (0.4) %	[3]	

The Cox proportional hazard model with all-cause mortality as end-point and 32 risk factors (plus 3 reference factors) is reported in detail in Table 2. Out of the 32 variables, 18 were statistically significant (with  $p \le 0.05$ ) as shown by the hazard ratio and their 95% confidence limits. Taking into account the standardized coefficients, the five most directly related to events were: age, systolic blood pressure, cancer, serum cholesterol, and laterality/linearity index. The five most inversely related were: vital capacity, Mediterranean diet, vigorous physical activity, never smoker, and Prudent diet. The MLR model (Table 3) had AD as end-point and was based on the same risk factors, but the algebraic signs of coefficients were expected to be inverse compared to those of the Cox model. The overall *R* of the model was 0.42 ( $R^2$  0.18) and the significant risk factors (with  $p \le 0.05$ ) were 19. For this model, we present the original coefficients, instead of the hazard ratios, because these coefficients directly represent the number of years gained or lost (depending on the algebraic sign) as a function of one unit of measurements of the corresponding risk factor.





Again, using the standardized coefficients, the five most inversely related factors were: systolic blood pressure, cancer, serum cholesterol, body mass index, and mother early death. The five most directly related factors were: subscapular skinfold, vital capacity, arm circumference, Mediterranean diet and never smoker. Among them, subscapular skinfold, usually considered an indicator of obesity, was unexpectedly directly related to AD. Within the rank of 5 risk factors favoring longevity and inversely related to mortality, those present in both models were: Mediterranean diet, never smoker and vital capacity. Within the rank of five risk factors directly related to mortality and inversely related to longevity, there were: cancer, systolic blood pressure, and serum cholesterol.

The Cox model can be solved also using AD as time variable, and in a test, we found that the coefficients of covariates were not significantly different from those of the standard Cox model except that of age in its role of covariate. The number of significant coefficients was the same (i.e., 19).

In a side analysis, we re-computed the multiple linear regression model adding the square of Body Mass Index to test the hypothesis of a possible curvilinear relationship with AD. Both linear and quadratic terms were statistically significant and the outcome allowed to produce the curve depicted in Fig. 2. A level of around 26 units of Body Mass Index was associated with the highest survival that, on the contrary, declined for higher or lower levels.

Comparing the Cox model with the MLR regression, we found that the same 18 risk factors were significant in both models and are marked in Tables 2 and 3. Coefficients of the reduced models based on those 18 risk factors were compared, for each approach, with those of the correspondent full model and no significant differences were detected. Overall, the reduced Cox model had a worse performance than the full model, since the difference of their log-likelihood statistics, after having taken into account the different number of covariates, was statistically significant (p of difference = 0.0027). The R of the reduced MLR model, instead, was not significantly different from that produced by the full model (p = 0.6301).

The MLR model had other advantages versus the Cox model, since it dealt with a continuous end-point ranging from 41 to 106 years and offered a simple interpretation of multivariate coefficients of risk factors that represent the number of years gained (if positive) or lost (if negative). This approach is immediate for dichotomic variables, while the coefficients of continuous variables refer to one unit of measurement and must simply be multiplied by the desired amount of difference. For example, the coefficient of systolic blood pressure has a value of -0.01046 and refers to 1 mmHg, but multiplied by a difference of 20 mmHg it becomes -2.92 that are the years lost for an increase of 20 mmHg. In this model, the coefficient of age is positive which means that being older increases the chances to become even older.

The two multivariate models included all deaths occurred in 61 years, but it is probable that some causes of death might have nothing to do with the risk factors considered in the analysis. Therefore, we computed two new models (Cox and MLR) after excluding deaths due to infectious diseases and violence of any type. The outcome was very similar and this could be expected since the excluded events covered only 5% of all deaths.

The contribution of the various risk factors to AD can be evaluated considering some blocks of them: combining the three best behaviors (never smoker, vigorous physical activity, and Mediterranean diet), there is a benefit of 7.9 years; combining the four major diseases, the adverse

Risk factor	Delta	HR	95% CI	p of coefficient	Rank of standard- ized coefficient	Significant also in MLR model
Age	5	1.61	1.52 1.71	< 0.0001	First direct	Х
High SES	1	0.87	0.73 1.04	0.1256		
Father early death	1	1.09	0.97 1.23	0.1625		
Mother early death	1	1.18	1.05 1.33	0.0074		Х
Family history of heart attack	1	1.03	0.93 1.14	0.5692		
Marital status	1	0.86	0.72 1.01	0.0694		
Sedentary physical activity	Reference	-	-	-		
Moderate physical activity	1	0.83	0.69 1.01	0.0580		
Vigorous physical activity	1	0.75	0.62 0.89	0.0015	Third inverse	Х
Smoker	Reference	-	-	_		
Ex-smoker	1	0.87	0.75 1.01	0.0583		
Never smoker	1	0.74	0.66 0.83	< 0.0001	Fourth inverse	Х
Non-Mediterranean diet	Reference			-		
Prudent diet	1	0.77	0.68 0.87	< 0.0001	Fifth inverse	Х
Mediterranean diet	1	0.74	0.64 0.85	< 0.0001	Second inverse	Х
Body mass index	4	0.97	0.87 1.09	0.6227		
Trunk/height ratio	1.5	1.03	0.98 1.09	0.2647		
Shoulder/pelvis shape	0.07	1.04	0.99 1.09	0.1473		
Laterality/linearity index	1.8	1.10	1.04 1.16	0.0005	Fifth direct	Х
Subscapular skinfold	6	0.88	0.81 0.96	0.0049		Х
Arm circumference	24	0.90	0.84 0.97	0.0038		Х
Systolic blood pressure	20	1.21	1.14 1.28	< 0.0001	Second direct	Х
Heart rate	13	1.02	0.97 1.08	0.4040		
Vital capacity	0.24	0.86	0.81 0.90	< 0.0001	First inverse	Х
Serum cholesterol	40	1.11	1.06 1.17	< 0.0001	Fourth direct	Х
Urine protein	1	1.13	0.94 1.37	0.1942		
Corneal arcus	1	1.18	1.02 1.37	0.0255		Х
Xanthelasma	1	1.88	1.26 2.81	0.0019		Х
Cardiovascular diseases	1	1.38	1.08 1.75	0.0090		Х
Cancer	1	10.11	4.15 24.65	< 0.0001	Third direct	Х
Diabetes	1	1.29	1.02 1.64	0.0353		Х
Chronic bronchitis	1	1.49	1.22 1.82	0.0001		Х
Other major diseases	1	0.97	0.87 1.08	0.5396		
Silent ECG abnormalities	1	1.16	0.90 1.49	0.2501		
Post exercise ECG abnormalities	1	1.36	0.98 1.89	0.0655		

 Table 2
 Cox proportional hazard model with all-cause mortality as dependent variable and 32 risk factors (plus 3 references) as covariates. Units of measurement as in Table 1

Delta for computation of HR of continuous variables roughly corresponds to 1 standard deviation. Units of measurements as from Table 1. HR hazard ratios CI confidence interval

effect corresponds to 28.7 years, although in the original data no man had more than two major diseases; combining the increase of 1 standard deviation of systolic blood pressure and serum cholesterol and a decrease of 1 standard deviation of vital capacity, the adverse effect is of 4.6 years compared with the original mean values (for not saying the possible effect on incoming diseases).

Estimates of AD as a function of different levels of risk factors produce, theoretically, an enormous matrix with

infinite combinations due to the presence of some continuous variables. An attempt to present an arbitrary series of combinations of risk factors and their effect on AD using selected variables and options is given in Table 4 using the MLR reduced model including 18 risk factors. Note that these, as well as those quoted in the previous paragraph, are only theoretical estimates of AD, based on the multiple linear regression and not a description of facts. They correspond to the estimates of cardiovascular risk that can be Table 3Multiple linearregression model with all-causemortality as dependent variableand 32 risk factors (plus 3references) as covariates. Unitsofmeasurement as in Table 1

Risk factor	Coefficient	SE	<i>n</i> of coefficient	Rank of	Significant
		51	p or coefficient	standardized coefficient	also in Cox model
Intercept	92.6497	_	_	_	_
Age	0.2220	0.0570	< 0.0001		Х
High SES	1.7985	0.9483	0.0581		
Father early death	-1.1216	0.6378	0.0788		
Mother early death	-1.9607	0.6453	0.0024	5th inverse	Х
Family history of heart attack	-0.2693	0.5349	0.6148		
Marital status	2.1197	0.8963	0.0182		
Sedentary physical activity	Reference				
Moderate physical activity	1.3892	1.0053	0.1672		
Vigorous physical activity	2.387	0.9643	0.0133		Х
Smoker	Reference			_	_
Ex-smoker	1.1009	0.7935	0.1655		
Never smoker	2.8146	0.6296	< 0.0001	Fifth direct	Х
Non-Mediterranean diet	Reference				
Prudent diet	1.9772	0.6658	0.0030		Х
Mediterranean diet	2.7084	0.7595	0.0004	Fourth direct	Х
Body mass index	-0.2433	0.1493	0.1034	Fourth inverse	
Trunk/height ratio	-0.2477	0.1877	0.1873		
Shoulder/pelvis shape	-6.9664	3.5931	0.0527		
Laterality/linearity index	-0.3732	0.1655	0.0242		Х
Subscapular skinfold	0.2831	0.0766	0.0002	First direct	Х
Arm circumference	0.0627	0.0150	< 0.0001	Third direct	Х
Systolic blood pressure	-0.1046	0.0142	< 0.0001	First inverse	Х
Heart rate	-0.0126	0.0225	0.5766		
Vital capacity	6.6226	1.1847	< 0.0001	Second direct	Х
Serum cholesterol	-0.0237	0.0067	0.0004	Third inverse	Х
Urine protein	-1.3911	0.9930	0.1614		
Corneal arcus	-1.7985	0.7703	0.0197		Х
Xanthelasma	-4.6550	2.1336	0.0293		Х
Cardiovascular diseases	-3.1005	1.2775	0.0153		Х
Cancer	-20.0951	4.7783	< 0.0001	Second inverse	Х
Diabetes	-2.4757	1.2362	0.0454		Х
Chronic bronchitis	-3.0471	1.0769	0.0047		Х
Other major diseases	0.0435	0.5577	0.9378		
Silent ECG abnormalities	-1.2297	1.3331	0.3565		
Post exercise ECG abnormalities	-1.8751	1.7595	0.2867		

Units of measurements as from Table 1

made by many softwares elaborated for prediction of risk, the only difference being that here we make estimates of AD. Therefore, any even apparently impossible combination may make sense and can be met in practical applications.

The rationale beyond the choice of the nine combinations and their interpretations are listed below:

- Age was kept fixed at 50 years except when explicitly mentioned, while a number of arbitrary variations were hypothesized for the other factors;
- Combination 1. This is only theoretical, since the dichotomic variables were expressed as proportions, and not as 0 or 1; as a consequence, the estimate is valuable for a population group but not necessarily for single individuals;
- Combination 2. This represents the effect of having the same levels of risk factors as in Combination 1 but at age 60, offering an advantage, since the coefficient for age is positive and favors the reach of older ages, with an expected increase of 2.4 years;

**Fig. 2** Curvilinear association of body mass index with age at death, adjusted for 33 other risk factors in a 61-year follow-up derived from a dedicated MLR model



Table 4Theoretical estimatesof age at death based onmultiple regression model with18 risk factors using arbitrarycombinations of risk factorslevels

Combination	Chosen levels of risk factors	Estimated age at death
1	Mean level of all risk factors	74.9
2	Mean of all risk factors, age 60 years	77.2
3	Mean of all risk factors, age 70 years	79.5
4	Modal values for corneal arcus, xanthelasma, smoking habits, physical activity, dietary habits, four major diseases Mean for all other factors	74.7
5	Mediterranean diet, vigorous physical activity, never smoking Average levels for all other risk factors	78.3
6	Arm circumference 280 mm; Systolic blood pressure 125 mmHg; Cholesterol 180 mg/dl; Vital capacity 1.80 L/m squared Mediterranean diet; never smoker; vigorous physical activity No mother early death No corneal arcus; no xanthelasma; no major diseases Average levels of all other risk factors	83.6
7	Cardiovascular disease, chronic bronchitis No cancer, no diabetes Average levels for all other risk factors	68.9
8	Non-Mediterranean diet, current smoker, sedentary physical activity Systolic blood pressure 160 mmHg; Cholesterol 280 mg/dl Vital capacity 1.40 L/m squared Average of other risk factors	65.3
9	Mother early death Chronic bronchitis, diabetes Non-Mediterranean diet, current smoker, sedentary physical activity Corneal arcus, xanthelasma Systolic blood pressure 160 mmHg Cholesterol 280 mg/dL Vital capacity 1.40 L/m squared Corneal arcus, xanthelasma Average levels of all other risk factors	52.0

- Combination 3. This represents the effect of having the same levels of risk factors as in Combination 1 but at age 70, offering an advantage, since the coefficient for age is positive and favors the reach of older ages, with an expected increase of 5.8 years;
- Combination 4. This is still referred to the overall population situation (as in combination 1) but probably is more realistic, since dichotomic variables were expressed using their mode;
- Combination 5. This is the effect of healthy lifestyle behaviors actually representing an underestimate, since they should be accompanied by beneficial levels of some other risk factors;
- Combination 6. This is the effect of healthy lifestyle behaviors accompanied by good levels of major risk factors;
- Combination 7. This is the adverse effect of the presence of two major and rather common diseases together with mean levels of the other risk factors;
- Combination 8. This is the effect of bad lifestyle, and bad levels of 3 major risk factors;
- Combination 9. This combination produces one of the worst possible effects due to the early death of mother, 2 major diseases, bad lifestyle habits, presence of clinical signs of dyslipidemia, and adverse levels of some major risk factors, eventually associated with a loss of more than 20 years in AD.

In general, when the estimates include mean levels of discrete variables, the value of the estimated AD is more theoretical than practical and does not apply to single individuals. An easier, simpler, rough but more realistic way to look at the same problem is reported in Table 5 where baseline mean levels of entry risk factors were tabulated for men distributed into three classes of age at death, i.e. <70 years, 70-80 years, and > 80 years. It is clear that differences, although small, in mean levels of most risk factors are associated in a graded way with AD.

Test of ANOVA for continuous variables and the chisquared for discrete variables provided significant p values  $\leq 0.05$  for 21 risk factors largely coincident with those identified in this way in multivariate models. However, this more understandable presentation suffers from the absence of any adjustment across variables that only multivariate models can provide and this explains some of the differences versus the Cox and MLR models.

## Discussion

This analysis represents, at least partially, a replica of those published in 2013 and 2018 [3, 12] on the same material with 50 instead of 61 years of follow-up. The use of the

Cox model was based on its traditional role in estimating the probabilities of events as a function of possible determinants, although in this case, the survivors were too few and the outcome documented only the strength of risk factors coefficients. The use of AD, as expression of longevity, in the role of dependent variable in an MLR model was more rationale and promising. Incidentally, AD is presently considered a valuable metrics for the study of longevity when cohorts are close to extinction [13–16]. The two models performed in a relatively similar way in identifying the predictive power of risk factors, but for practical purposes, the MLR was more valuable.

We used a smaller number of variables compared with previous analyses dealing with 50-year follow-up and the procedure was slightly different, but the basic findings were very similar. Perhaps, this outcome could be expected, since the number of deaths in the last 11 years increased only by 39 units (from 1669 to 1708) and the death rates from 97.5% to 98.8%. Many risk factors had the role of determinants of AD and longevity, although their single strength, expressed by the coefficients of the multiple linear regression, was not exceedingly high except the case of cancer. It was confirmed that some behavioral risk factors, some traditional mainly cardiovascular risk factors, and the prevalence of major diseases provide an important contribution to the prediction of AD, that is of expectancy of life starting at middle-age of men around the mid of last century. They were: being a never smoker, having a vigorous physical activity, following a Mediterranean diet, having low blood pressure and serum cholesterol, having high arm circumference and vital capacity, and being free from clinical signs of dyslipidemia and from a few major diseases.

The theoretical outcome was clearly more favorable when several beneficial determinants were combined together, as shown in Table 4, with gains in AD up to 10 years or more.

A limitation of this study is that only men are available and that several examinations repeated during the follow-up included only a small subgroup of the measurements taken at baseline. During the follow-up, one of the most relevant changes was the decline of smoker's prevalence that after 30 years (when the survivors were 39% of the original cohort) dropped to 16% from the initial 61%. Despite that, the adverse role of smoking habits remained present for the entire follow-up period. The corresponding changes of systolic blood pressure were, on average, from 143 to 160 mmHg and that of serum cholesterol from 201 to 208 mg/dl. Again, this study did not consider a number of measurements that became available or simply standardized only many years after the entry examination.

Finally, this cohort was probably little benefited by modern drugs, sophisticated surgical procedures, and preventive measures. This is only an opinion that cannot be demonstrated, but if we take the year 1990 as an arbitrary date for **Table 5**Average levels of riskfactors in three classes of ageat death

Risk factor	Age at death $<70$ years $N=577$	Age at death 70–80 years $N = 555$	Age at death > 80 years N=576	p ANOVA or Chi-squared
Age	49.3 (4.9)	49.7 (5.3)	48.3 (4.9)	< 0.0001
High SES (*)	10.2 (1.3)	13.0 (1.4)	10.0 (1.2)	0.2087
Father early death (*)	23.7 (1.8)	23.1 (1.8)	16.6 (1.5)	0.0048
Mother early death (*)	24.4 (1.8)	20.2 (1.7)	17.3 (1.6)	0.0107
Family history of heart attack (*)	37.7 (2.0)	39.3 (2.1)	36.6 (2.0)	0.6503
Marital status (*)	89.1 (1.3)	91.2 (1.2)	91.4 (1.2)	0.3476
Sedentary physical activity (**)	13.1 (1.4)	8.6 (1.2)	7.3 (1.1)	0.0019
Moderate physical activity (**)	23.5(1.8)	23.8 (1.8)	19.0 (1.6)	0.0891
Vigorous physical activity (**)	63.3 (2.0)	67.6 (2.0)	73.7 (1.1)	0.0006
Smoker (**)	65.2 (2.0)	65.4 (2.0)	52.8 (2.1)	< 0.0001
Ex-smoker (**)	13.7 (1.4)	11.7 (1.4)	15.2 (1.5)	0.2290
Never smoker (**)	21.1 (1.7)	22.9 (1.8)	32.0 (1.9)	< 0.0001
Non-Mediterranean diet (**)	41.5 (2.1)	33.7 (2.0)	24.9 (1.8)	< 0.0001
Prudent diet (**)	33.0 (2.0)	31.2 (2.0)	35.6 (2.0)	0.2864
Mediterranean diet (**)	25.4 (1.8)	35.1 (2.0)	39.6 (2.0)	< 0.0001
Body mass index	25.3 (4.2)	25.1 (3.5)	25.1 (3.3)	0.6151
Trunk/height ratio	53.3 (1.5)	53.3 (1.6)	53.3 (1.5)	0.8452
Shoulder/pelvis shape	1.36 (0.1)	1.36 (0.1)	1.36 (0.1)	0.9244
Laterality/linearity index	40.9 (2.0)	41.0 (1.8)	40.8 (1.8)	0.3526
Subscapular skinfold	11.9 (6.1)	11.8 (5.7)	11.6 (5.5)	0.6462
Arm circumference	265.7 (25.8)	268.0 (24.0)	272.0 (20.4)	< 0.0001
Systolic blood pressure	149.9 (23.8)	143.0 (19.8)	137.9 (16.8)	< 0.0001
Heart rate	73.8 (14.2)	71.0 (12.5)	69.1 (11.4)	< 0.0001
Vital capacity	1.60 (0.25)	1.64 (0.24)	1.70 (0.21)	< 0.0001
Serum cholesterol	205.7 (42.8)	203.9 (40.6)	195.3 (38.3)	< 0.0001
Urine protein (*)	10.9 (1.3)	7.7 (1.1)	4.5 (0.9)	0.0002
Corneal arcus (*)	18.5 (1.6)	14.8 (1.5)	8.5 (1.2)	< 0.0001
Xanthelasma (*)	2.8 (0.7)	1.4 (0.5)	0.3 (0.2)	< 0.0001
Cardiovascular diseases (*)	6.9 (1.1)	3.4 (0.8)	2.9 (0.7)	0.0016
Cancer (*)	0.7(0.3)	0.2 (0.2)	0 (0)	0.0777
Diabetes (*)	6.6 (1.0)	4.9 (0.9)	2.8 (0.7)	0.0093
Chronic bronchitis (*)	9.2 (1.2)	5.6 (1.0)	4.0 (0.8)	0.0009
Other major diseases (*)	32.0 (1.9)	31.4 (2.0)	31.6 (1.9)	0.9718
Silent ECG abnormalities (*)	4.7 (0.9)	4.5 (0.9)	2.8 (0.7)	0.1856
Post exercise ECG abnormalities (*)	2.2 (0.6)	2.9 (0.7)	1.6 (0.5)	0.0029

Units of measurements as from Table 1. In parentheses are standard deviation for continuous variables; standard error for discrete variables. p of ANOVA for continuous variables, of chi-squared for discrete variables

Continuous variable are presented as means and standard deviations

\*Dichotomic variables are presented as percent of positive cases. Negative cases are equal to 100 minus the reported percent

\*\*Variables with three choices (smoking habits, physical activity, and dietary scores) are presented each as percent. This means that in the specific class of age at death there were three types of variables represented by the corresponding percent

the spread of "modern medicine", at that time, the survivors were less than 40% and were aged 70–90 years; therefore, we doubt that much attention in terms of prevention and even

advanced therapeutic approaches were provided to them during the residual years of life.

The literature offers rare contributions of any type that mention very long follow-up, say 50 years or so [17–25].

However, among them, only exceptionally the structure of the analysis gives a baseline measurement of possible determinants that are tested for their possible role in predicting long-term events. The best example was the British Doctors Smoking study [24].

Other more specific studies related to all-cause mortality showed a variety a characteristics [26-38]. A study was limited to evaluate the influence on longevity of quality of medical care plus some behavioral risk factors [33]. Many others dealt almost exclusively with classical lifestyle behaviors such as smoking and dietary habits and physical activity where non-smoking, being physically active, and following a healthy diet were accompanied, mainly when combined together, by a reduced all-cause mortality in follow-up periods that usually did not exceed 25 years [27–31, 33, 34, 36, 37].

In the reported literature, a few papers are more similar to our analysis, involving more risk factors of different type [26, 35, 38]. In an old Chicago Study in Industry [26] combining several population groups of adults of both genders and with a follow-up of 12 to 30 years, low levels of traditional cardiovascular risk factors including smoking habits, blood pressure, serum cholesterol, and a low intake of dietary cholesterol were associated with an increased longevity. In a study run in Sweden on business people of both genders, followed up for 16 years, healthy intake of alcohol, fish, fruit, vegetables, processed meat (low), having a vigorous physical activity, and refrain from smoking, all adjusted for educational level and body mass index, were associated with clear benefits in terms of all-cause mortality [35]. In a large US study [38], risk factors associated with lower probabilities of reaching the age of 90 years were genetic liability of type 2 diabetes, genetically predicted systolic and diastolic blood pressure, body mass index, LDL cholesterol, and smoking habits; on the other hand, genetically increased HDL cholesterol and high educational levels were associated with higher probabilities of reaching the age of 90 years.

During the last decades, a lot of knowledge was piled up in terms of genetics of longevity [39], but clearly, our study could not exploit this novel approach, since in 1960, valuable and standardized test was not available for field operations.

Nevertheless, our study offered a unique opportunity to evaluate the role of many risk factors and personal characteristics in their association with longevity. Among the significant ones there are, beyond age, some family health profile (likely much related to genetics), lifestyle behaviors, anthropometric measurements, circulatory and respiratory measurements, traditional risk factors, clinical signs of dyslipidemia, and major chronic diseases. All this was projected through an extremely and unusual follow-up of 61 years when the study population was practically extinct. Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the pioneer role of the late Prof Vittorio Puddu and the late Prof Flaminio Fidanza who were the initiators of the Italian participation to the Seven Countries Study of Cardiovascular Diseases.

#### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

**Statement of human and animal rights** All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations at the time of the field examinations, performed in early 1960s. In particular, since baseline data were collected before the era of the Helsinki Declaration (June 1964), with consent implied by participation in the examinations, there were no expressed approvals from institutional or licensing Committees.

**Informed consent** There were subsequently verbal or written consents given by all informed participants to collect follow-up data.

## References

- 1. Heshmati H (2021) Centenarians. In: Amornyotin S (ed) Up-date in geriatrics. IntechOpen Publ, London, pp 1–21
- Menotti A, Puddu PE (2020) How the seven countries study contributed to the launch and development of cardiovascular epidemiology in Italy. A historical perspective. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 30:368–383
- Menotti A, Puddu PE, Maiani G et al (2018) Age at death as a useful indicator of healthy aging at population level: a 50-year follow-up of the Italian rural areas of the seven countries study. Aging Exp Clin Res 30:901–911
- Menotti A, Puddu PE, Lanti M et al (2014) Lifestyle habits and mortality from all and specific causes of death: 40-year follow-up in the Italian Rural Areas of the Seven Countries Study. J Nutr Health Aging 18:314–321
- Menotti A, Seccareccia F (1985) Physical activity at work and job responsibility as risk factors for fatal coronary heart disease and other causes of death. J Epidemiol Commun Health 39:325–329
- Menotti A, Alberti-Fidanza A, Fidanza F et al (2012) Factor analysis in the identification of dietary patterns and their predictive role in morbid and fatal events. Public Health Nutr 5:1232–1239
- 7. Menotti A, Puddu PE (2018) Comparison of four dietary scores as determinants of coronary heart disease mortality. Sci Rep 8:15001
- Rose G, Blackburn H (1968) Cardiovascular survey methods. World Health Organization, Geneva, pp 1–188
- Hemsfield SB, MacManus C, Smith J et al (1982) Anthropometric measurement of muscle mass: revised equations for calculating bone-free arm muscle area. Am J Clin Nutr 36:680–690
- Anderson JT, Keys A (1956) Cholesterol in serum and lipoprotein fractions: its measurement and stability. Clin Chem 2:145–159
- 11. Keys A (ed) Blackburn H, Menotti A, Buzina R et al (1970) Coronary heart disease in seven countries. Circulation 41:1–211
- Menotti A, Puddu PE, Lanti M et al (2013) Cardiovascular risk factors predict survival in middle-aged men during 50 years. Eur J Intern Med 24:67–74
- 13. Canudas-Romo V (2008) The modal age at death and the shifting mortality hypothesis. Demogr Res 19:1179–1204
- 14. Tuljapurkar S (2010) The final inequality variance in age at death. In: Shoven JB (ed) Demography and the economy, from

the national bureau of economic research. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp 209–221

- 15. Wrycza TF, Missov TI, Baudisch A (2015) Quantifying the shape of aging. PLoS ONE 10:e0119163
- Horiuchi S, Ouellette N, Cheung SLK et al (2013) Modal age at death: lifespan indicator in the era of longevity extension. Vienna Yearbook Popul Res 2:37–69
- 17. Carter G, Jancar J (1983) Mortality in the mentally handicapped: a 50 year survey at the Stoke Park group of hospitals (1930–1980). J Ment Defic Res 27:143–156
- Lucas AR, Beard CM, O'Fallon WM et al (1991) 50-year trends in the incidence of anorexia nervosa in Rochester, Minn.: a population-based study. Am J Psychiatry 148:917–922
- 19. Egolf B, Lasker J, Wolf S et al (1992) The Roseto effect: a 50-year comparison of mortality rates. Am J Public Health 82:1089–1092
- Lee KA, Vaillant GE, Torrey WC et al (1995) A 50-year prospective study of the psychological sequelae of World War II combat. Am J Psychiatry 152:516–522
- Satin KP, Wong O, Yuan LA et al (1996) A 50-year mortality follow-up of a large cohort of oil refinery workers in Texas. J Occup Environ Med 38:492–506
- Lamont DW, Parker L, Cohen MA et al (1998) Early life and later determinants of adult disease: a 50-year follow-up study of the Newcastle Thousand Families cohort. Public Health 112:85–93
- Koch-Henriksen N (1999) The Danish Multiple Sclerosis Registry: a 50-year follow-up. Mult Scler 5:293–296
- Doll R, Peto R, Boreham J et al (2004) Mortality in relation to smoking: 50 years' observations on male British doctors. Br Med J 328:1519
- Grytten N, Glad SB, Aarseth JH et al (2006) A 50-year followup of the incidence of multiple sclerosis in Hordaland County, Norway. Neurology 66:182–186
- Stamler J, Dyer AR, Shekelle RB et al (1993) Relationship of baseline major risk factors to coronary and all-cause mortality, and to longevity: findings from long-term follow-up of Chicago cohorts. Cardiology 82:191–222
- Davis MA, Neuhaus JM, Moritz DJ et al (1994) Health behaviors and survival among middle-aged and older men and women in the NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. Prev Med 23:369–376
- Knoops KTB, de Groot LCPGM, Kromhout D et al (2004) Mediterranean Diet, lifestyle factors, and 10-year mortality in elderly European men and women: the HALE project. JAMA 292:1433–1439

- van Dam RM, Li T, Spiegelman D et al (2008) Combined impact of lifestyle factors on mortality: prospective cohort study in US women. Br Med J 337:a1440
- 30. Kvaavik E, Batty GD, Ursin G et al (2010) Influence of individual and combined health behaviors on total and cause-specific mortality in men and women: the United Kingdom health and lifestyle survey. Arch Intern Med 170:711–718
- Nechuta SJ, Shu XO, Li HL et al (2010) Combined impact of lifestyle-related factors on total and cause-specific mortality among Chinese women: prospective cohort study. PLoS Med 7:9
- Lichtenberg FR (2011) The quality of medical care, behavioral risk factors, and longevity growth. Int J Health Care Fin Econ 11:1–34
- Loef M, Walach H (2012) The combined effects of healthy lifestyle behaviors on all-cause mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Prev Med 55:163–170
- Yun JE, Won S, Kimm H et al (2012) Effects of a combined lifestyle score on 10-year mortality in Korean men and women: a prospective cohort study. BMC Public Health 20:673
- 35. Carlsson AC, Wändell PE, Gigante B et al (2013) Seven modifiable lifestyle factors predict reduced risk for ischemic cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality regardless of body mass index: a cohort study. Int J Cardiol 30:946–952
- Behrens G, Fischer B, Kohler BS et al (2013) Healthy lifestyle behaviors and decreased risk of mortality in a large prospective study of U.S. women and men. Eur J Epidemiol 28:361–372
- Larsson SC, Kaluza J, Wolk A (2017) Combined impact of healthy lifestyle factors on lifespan: two prospective cohorts. J Intern Med 282:209–219
- Van Oort S, Beulens JWJ, van Ballegooijen AJ et al (2021) Cardiovascular risk factors and lifestyle behaviours in relation to longevity: a Mendelian randomization study. J Intern Med 289:232–243
- Brooks-Wilson AR (2013) Genetics of healthy aging and longevity. Hum Genet 132:1323–1338

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.