ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Psychometric properties and gender invariance of the simplified Chinese version of Night Eating Questionnaire in a large sample of mainland Chinese college students

Jinbo He^{1,2} · Feng Ji³ · Xiaoya Zhang⁴ · Xitao Fan⁵

Received: 19 January 2018 / Accepted: 21 July 2018 / Published online: 2 August 2018 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2018

Abstract

Purpose The Night Eating Questionnaire (NEQ) is the most frequently used instrument for assessing night eating syndrome (NES), and it has been translated to many languages, including a traditional Chinese version in Taiwan. However, significant differences exist in the social, linguistic, and cultural contexts between mainland China and Taiwan. For its use in mainland China, a simplified Chinese version is needed and its psychometric properties should be further evaluated. Thus, the current study aimed to obtain a simplified Chinese NEQ (SC-NEQ) and validate its psychometric properties.

Methods According to standard procedures, the SC-NEQ was obtained by language transformation from the traditional Chinese version of NEQ. The SC-NEQ was then administered to 1273 mainland Chinese college students recruited from three provinces in mainland China.

Results The four-factor structure of the original English NEQ (morning anorexia, evening hyperphagia, mood/sleep, and nocturnal ingestions) was confirmed in the current sample. Moreover, a second-order model also fitted the data well. The SC-NEQ showed good reliability with a Cronbach's alpha and omega of 0.70 and 0.83, respectively. The total score of the SC-NEQ was significantly and moderately correlated with eating pathology (r=.35, p < .01). Strong measurement invariance across gender groups was also supported.

Conclusions These findings suggest that the SC-NEQ can be a useful tool to assess NES in mainland China. **Level of evidence** V, descriptive (cross-sectional) study.

Keywords Night eating syndrome · Night eating questionnaire · Validation · Simplified Chinese

This article is part of the topical collection on Sleep and Obesity.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-018-0553-7) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

☑ Jinbo He anlfhe@gmail.com

> Feng Ji fengji@berkeley.edu

Xiaoya Zhang xyazhang@ucdavis.edu

Xitao Fan xtfan@cuhk.edu.cn

Introduction

Listed in the "Otherwise Specified Feeding and Eating Disorders" section of DSM-5 [1], night eating syndrome (NES) is characterized by evening hyperphagia, nocturnal ingestions, and sleep and mood disturbances [2], and it has been found to be prevalent among clinical population (e.g., 12.3% in a psychiatric population [3]) and also among college students (e.g., 9.5% in Turkey college students [4] and

- ¹ Tianjin University, Tianjin, China
- ² Hunan University, Hunan, China
- ³ University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA
- ⁴ University of California at Davis, Davis, CA, USA
- ⁵ Chinese University of Hong Kong (Shenzhen), Guangdong, China

2.8% in Chinese college students [5]). Moreover, NES is often associated with negative physical and psychological consequences, such as obesity [6], psychological distress [7], and functional impairment [8].

As the most widely used self-report instrument for screening NES [9, 10], the Night Eating Questionnaire (NEQ) covers the core behaviors and symptoms associated with NES [11], and it provides a convenient global score for NES severity [12]. Specifically, the NEQ contains of 14 items about NES symptoms, which are rated on a 5-point Likert scale. There are four factors established from the 14 items, namely, (1) nocturnal ingestions (i.e., waking at night to eat), (2) evening hyperphagia (i.e., overeating at night), (3) morning anorexia (i.e., not eating anything all morning), and (4) mood/sleep (i.e., mood and sleep disturbances). According to the study about the development of the original English version of the NEQ [13], the NEQ showed an acceptable reliability (Cronbach's alpha = 0.70), good construct validity (e.g., moderately correlated with EDE global score, r = .37) and good discriminant validity (e.g., NEQ scores for patients without NES were significantly lower than those of night eaters); thus, it was an efficient and valid measure of severity for NES.

To date, the English version of NEQ has been translated and validated in many languages, including Arabic (Egypt) [14], Hebrew (Israel) [15], Italian (Italy) [16], German (Germany) [9], Korean (Korea) [17], Portuguese (Brazil), Spanish (Spain) [18], Traditional Chinese (Taiwan) [10], and Turkish (Turkey) [19]. Based on the findings from these previous validation studies, the NEQ demonstrated to be a suitable tool for assessing NES across many different countries [9, 10, 15, 16, 18, 20].

According to the study conducted by Tu et al. [10], the English version of NEQ was translated into traditional Chinese (i.e., C-NEQ), and the C-NEQ demonstrated strong reliability and validity in a general Taiwanese sample. For example, internal consistency measured by Cronbach's alpha was 0.82, 2-week test-retest reliability was 0.85, and it showed moderate-high correlations (rs = .37-0.54) with eating disturbances measured by Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) [21].

However, it should be noted that significant differences exist in the social, linguistic, and cultural contexts between mainland China and Taiwan [22]. For example, the traditional characters are used in Taiwan, Hong Kong, and Macau, whereas the simplified characters are used in mainland China. Thus, many psychological or behavioral instruments that have been validated in Taiwan in traditional Chinese should not be directly used in mainland china without language transformation, and because of the social, linguistic, and cultural differences, the simplified Chinese versions should be further validated [23–25].

Moreover, previous studies have shown gender differences in the tendency to engage in night eating (e.g., males being more likely to have night eating than females) [7, 26, 27]. Therefore, it is important to examine whether the distinct responses to the items provided by males and females reflect true gender differences in the measured trait, or they are just the results of different meanings ascribed to questionnaire items by different groups [28].

Overall, based on the foregoing discussion, the aim of the present study was to examine the psychometric properties and to test the gender invariance of the simplified Chinese version of the NEQ among a large sample of mainland Chinese college students.

Method

Participants and procedure

Initially, 1462 university students from three provinces in mainland China (i.e., Hunan in central China, Shandong in northern China, and Shaanxi in western China) were contacted for this survey, and a total of 1237 (a response rate of 84.61%) agreed to participate, and they completed the consent form attached with the paper–pencil questionnaires.

Measures

Simplified Chinese version of Night Eating Questionnaire (SC-NEQ)

Developed by Allison et al. [13], the Night Eating Questionnaire (NEQ) consists of 14 items rated on a 5-point Likert scale, for assessing four correlated night eating constructs: morning anorexia, evening hyperphagia, mood/sleep, and nocturnal ingestions, with the total score representing the severity of NES [13]. Recently, the English version of the NEQ has been translated into Traditional Chinese (C-NEQ) [10]. Based on this version of traditional Chinese, the simplified Chinese version was obtained by language transformation. Specifically, two Chinese Ph.D. students (one majors in Clinical Psychology and the other one majors in Chinese linguistics) did the transformation. In this process, in addition to the differences between traditional and simplified Chinese characters, other differences in language usages between mainland China and Taiwan were also considered. After the transformation, the preliminary version of the simplified Chinese NEQ (SC-NEQ) was obtained and was then further reviewed by an expert in eating behaviors. After minor revisions were made, the SC-NEQ was finalized and used in the current study.

Chinese version of Eating Disorder Inventory (C-EDI)

The EDI [29] consists of 64 items comprising eight subscales with a 6-point Likert-like scale ranging from 1 ('Never') to 6 ('Always'). In the current study, among the eight subscales of EDI, the Bulimia scale, the Body dissatisfaction scale, and the Drive for thinness scale were used. Based on the discussion by Garner et al. [29], these three scales measured eating disturbances, whereas the others were general psychological scales that were relevant to, but not specific to, eating disorders. The Chinese version of EDI was previously translated and validated, and showed good reliability and validity in a sample of Chinese college students [30]. The value of Cronbach's alpha in the current sample for the Bulimia subscale, Body dissatisfaction scale, and Drive for thinness scale was 0.86, 0.87, and 0.90, respectively.

Data analysis

Table 1Demographiccharacteristics of the totalsample (n = 1237)

The factor structure was explored using principal component analysis (PCA) on a randomly-selected sample of 600 college students and the rest of sample (n = 637) was then used to conduct a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) to validate the factor structure derived from PCA.

Moreover, for the PCA, Promax rotation was used, and the rule of eigenvalues larger than one [31] and parallel analysis [32] were used to determine the number of factors extracted. Item loadings larger or equal to 0.3 were considered to load on a factor. It should be noted that the data in our sample were obviously non-normally distributed for item 10–14 (see Table 2) due to the two stop-criteria in the questionnaire which lead to a lot of zeros in the second half of the questionnaire (i.e., if a respondent chose 0 for item 9, there is no need for him/her to answer item 10–14, and if a respondent chose 0 for item 12, there is no need for him/her to answer item 13 and 14). Thus, to account for the violation of normality, based on the previous literature [33–36], we used the weighted least squares means and variance adjusted (WLSMV) estimator for conducting CFA rather than the commonly used maximum likelihood (ML) estimator which assumes data normality. Furthermore, the goodness of fit for the CFA model was evaluated by the comparative fit index (CFI), the Tucker–Lewis index (TLI), and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). In addition, the weighted root mean square residual (WRMR) was not reported, because it is not accurate with very large sample sizes [37]. Moreover, a good fit for RMSEA should be lower than 0.08, while for CFI and TLI, the values should be higher than 0.95 [38].

Reliability was examined using both Cronbach's alpha [39] and omega [40] with 0.7 indicating good internal consistency reliability. It should be noted that although Cronbach's alpha was the most widely used indicator of internal consistency reliability, it has numerous psychometric deficiencies documented in the previous literature, while omega overcomes some of the fundamental problems intrinsic to the calculation of alpha [41]. Thus, to have a better description of the reliability of the SC-NEQ, we used both Cronbach's alpha and omega.

Measurement invariance across gender was then examined. Model comparison for invariance analyses was also conducted to examine the property of invariance of this test on gender groups using a Δ CFI (a value less than 0.010 indicates invariance) [42] and Δ RMSEA (a value less than 0.015 indicates invariance) [43] in a sequential manner. In addition, it should be noted that the traditional indicator of invariance, $\Delta \chi^2$, has been found to be oversensitive to minor model difference with large sample size [42], so $\Delta \chi^2$ was not reported in the current study. All data analyses were carried out through the SPSS 23.0 (IBM SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), Mplus 7.4 (Muthén & Muthén, Los Angeles, CA, USA), and R package psych [44].

| | Mean (SD) | Median | Skewness | Kurtosis | Count (%) |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|--------------|
| Gender | | | | | |
| Female | | | | | 670 (54.2%) |
| Ethnicity | | | | | |
| Han | | | | | 1042 (84.2%) |
| Age (years) | 19.96 (1.36) | 20 | 0.75 | 4.88 | |
| Age > 20 | | | | | 389 (31.4%) |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 20.78 (2.71) | 20.28 | 1.17 | 2.14 | |
| Overweight (BMI>24) | | | | | 140 (11.3%) |

SD standard deviation, BMI body mass index

| Items | Total sample | | | Female | Male | Male | |
|---|--------------|--------|----------|----------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| | Mean (SD) | Median | Skewness | Kurtosis | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | t |
| 1. How hungry are you usually in the morning? | 2.34 (0.92) | 2.00 | - 0.46 | - 0.19 | 2.34 (0.91) | 2.35 (0.94) | 0.32 |
| 2. When do you usually eat for the first time? | 0.61 (0.79) | 0 | 1.27 | 1.59 | 0.59 (0.89) | 1.19 (1.02) | 6.98** |
| 3. Do you have cravings or urges to eat snacks after supper, but before bedtime? | 1.82 (0.83) | 2.00 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 1.86 (0.81) | 1.78 (0.85) | - 1.50 |
| 4. How much control do you have over your eating between supper and bedtime? | 1.64 (0.99) | 2.00 | 0.41 | - 0.32 | 1.60 (0.96) | 1.69 (1.02) | 1.55 |
| 5. How much of your daily food intake do you consume after suppertime? | 1.09 (0.56) | 1.00 | 1.14 | 4.05 | 1.03 (0.54) | 1.15 (0.56) | 3.71** |
| 6. Are you currently feeling blue or down in the dumps? | 1.08 (0.76) | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.32 | 1.11 (0.77) | 1.03 (0.75) | - 1.89 |
| 7. When you are feeling blue, is your mood lower in the? | 2.03 (1.36) | 2.00 | - 0.32 | - 1.21 | 2.08 (1.35) | 1.96 (1.38) | - 1.38 |
| 8. How often do you have trouble getting to sleep? | 1.23 (0.83) | 1.00 | 1.11 | 1.48 | 1.28 (0.83) | 1.16 (0.82) | - 2.42* |
| 9. Other than only to use the bathroom, how often do you get up at least once in the middle of the night? | 0.56 (0.87) | 0 | 1.78 | 2.83 | 0.50 (0.84) | 0.64 (0.90) | 2.78** |
| 10. Do you have cravings or urges to eat snacks when you wake up at night? | 0.28 (0.60) | 0 | 2.15 | 3.99 | 0.21 (0.52) | 0.37 (0.67) | 4.78** |
| 11. Do you need to eat in order to get back to sleep when you awake at night? | 0.14 (0.46) | 0 | 3.71 | 15.85 | 0.10 (0.36) | 0.20 (0.54) | 4.05** |
| 12. When you get up in the middle of the night, how often do you snack? | 0.16 (0.49) | 0 | 3.50 | 13.78 | 0.10 (0.38) | 0.24 (0.58) | 4.87** |
| 14. How much control do you have over your eating while you are up at night? | 0.18 (0.63) | 0 | 3.63 | 12.73 | 0.11 (0.49) | 0.28 (0.76) | 4.31** |
| Total score of the CS-NEQ | 13.18 (4.56) | 13.00 | 0.73 | 1.05 | 12.72 (4.26) | 13.71 (4.84) | 3.62** |

 Table 2
 Distributions of SC-NEQ scores by each item

SC-NEQ simplified Chinese version of night eating questionnaire, SD standard deviation

p* < .05, *p* < .01

Results

Descriptive analysis

From the 1237 participants, demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity, and self-reported height and weight) was collected. Results showed that around half of these participants (54.2%) were female, a majority of them (84.2%) were Han ethnicity (vs. minorities), whereas a small percent of them (31.4%) were younger than 20 years (i.e., the median of their ages), and a few of them (11.3%) were overweight (BMI greater than 24 kg/m² [45]). The demographic characteristics of the sample are presented in Table 1.

The descriptive results for the CS-NEQ scores by each item are presented in Table 2. Then, t tests were used to explore the potential gender differences for each item and the total scores of the SC-NEQ. It should be noted that, for two-group comparisons, normality assumption is not that critical for the selection of an appropriate statistical test [46], so t tests were used. The results indicated that there were statistically significant gender differences for 8 items (i.e., item 2, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 14) and the total scores of the SC-NEQ.

Principal component analysis

Results showed that the Barlett's test of sphericity was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 2195.28$, df = 78, p < .01) and the Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin value was 0.80, indicating that the 13 items from the SC-NEQ were appropriate for factor analysis. The results of PCA with Promax rotation revealed a four-factor structure explaining 60.37% of the total variance. The number of four factor was also confirmed by parallel analysis (Appendix A). The Eigenvalues of the four extracted factors were 3.77, 1.77, 1.30, and 1.01 for nocturnal ingestions, mood/sleep, evening hyperphagia, and morning anorexia, respectively (the eigenvalue of the first factor not extracted was 0.97). The factor loadings and the correlations among the four factors are shown in Table 3.

Confirmatory factor analysis

The results of CFA showed acceptable model fit, with CFI = 0.96, TLI = 0.95, and RMSEA = 0.09 (90% CI 0.09–0.10; probability RMSEA less than 0.05=0), indicating that the four-factor model derived by the PCA fitted the

Table 3 Rotated component matrix of PCA using Promax and factor correlation matrix (n=600)

| Factors | Factor loadings | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Items | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | | | |
| F1. Morning anorexia | | | | | | | |
| 1. How hungry are you usually in the morning? | 0.70 | -0.38 | -0.12 | 0.02 | | | |
| 2. When do you usually eat for the first time? | 0.61 | 0.38 | -0.08 | -0.09 | | | |
| F2. Evening hyperphagia | | | | | | | |
| 3. Do you have cravings or urges to eat snacks after supper, but before bedtime? | -0.01 | 0.77 | 0.08 | -0.17 | | | |
| 4. How much control do you have over your eating between supper and bedtime? | 0.08 | 0.60 | -0.25 | 0.08 | | | |
| 5. How much of your daily food intake do you consume after suppertime? | -0.13 | 0.50 | 0.05 | 0.25 | | | |
| F3. Mood/sleep | | | | | | | |
| 6. Are you currently feeling blue or down in the dumps? | -0.08 | 0.01 | 0.84 | 0.09 | | | |
| 7. When you are feeling blue, is your mood lower in the? | -0.08 | -0.12 | 0.90 | -0.13 | | | |
| 8. How often do you have trouble getting to sleep? | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.40 | 0.08 | | | |
| F4. Nocturnal ingestions | | | | | | | |
| 9. Other than only to use the bathroom, how often do you get up at least once in the middle of the night? | 0.21 | -0.06 | 0.26 | 0.54 | | | |
| 10. Do you have cravings or urges to eat snacks when you wake up at night? | -0.02 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.81 | | | |
| 11. Do you need to eat in order to get back to sleep when you awake at night? | -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.03 | 0.89 | | | |
| 12. When you get up in the middle of the night, how often do you snack? | -0.05 | -0.01 | -0.08 | 0.92 | | | |
| 14. How much control do you have over your eating while you are up at night? | -0.05 | -0.01 | -0.10 | 0.83 | | | |
| Variance% | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.08 | | | |
| | Factor cor | relations | | | | | |
| | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | | | |
| F1. Morning anorexia | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| F2. Evening hyperphagia | 0.09 | 1.00 | | | | | |
| F3. Mood/sleep | 0.06 | 0.24 | 1.00 | | | | |
| F4. Nocturnal ingestions | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 1.00 | | | |

Items with loadings higher than 0.3 were highlighted in bold

PCA principal component analysis

data acceptably with another sample. In addition, for comparison purpose, a one-factor model was also tested, and the results showed unsatisfactory model fit, with CFI=0.91, TLI=0.89, and RMSEA=0.14 (90% CI 0.13–0.14; probability RMSEA less than 0.05=0), which further confirmed the four-factor structure derived from PCA. Moreover, for assessing the suitability of using a total score of the SC-NEQ, a second-order factor model was examined, and acceptable model fit was found, with CFI=0.96, TLI=0.95, RMSEA=0.09 (90% CI 0.08–0.10; probability RMSEA less than 0.05=0). The factor loadings of the first-order and second-order models and the correlations among the factors are shown in Table 4.

Reliability

Internal consistency was examined by both Cronbach's alpha and omega, and results showed that Cronbach's alpha and omega was 0.70 and 0.83, respectively, for the whole scale. With regard to the four subscales, Cronbach's alpha was 0.19, 0.41, 0.60, and 0.88 for morning anorexia, evening hyperphagia, mood/sleep, and nocturnal ingestions, respectively; and omega was 0.30, 0.44, 0.69, and 0.91 for morning anorexia, evening hyperphagia, mood/sleep, and nocturnal ingestions, respectively.

Correlations with BMI and three subscales of EDI

Results (Table 5) showed that the SC-NEQ total scores were positively and significantly correlated to its four subscales, with r = .34 (p < .01) for morning anorexia, r = .55 (p < .01) for evening hyperphagia, r = .67 (p < .01) for mood/sleep, and r = .59 (p < .01) for nocturnal ingestions. Furthermore, the correlation between SC-NEQ and BMI was close to zero. However, the SC-NEQ total scores were positively and significantly correlated with EDI-Bulimia (r = .35, p < .01), EDI-body dissatisfaction

| Table 4 | Factor | loadings | of CFA | and f | actor | correlation | matrix | (n = 637) |) |
|---------|--------|----------|--------|-------|-------|-------------|--------|-----------|---|
|---------|--------|----------|--------|-------|-------|-------------|--------|-----------|---|

| Factors | | | | | First-order factor loadings | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|------|------|-----------------------------|------|--------------|------|--|
| Items | | | | | F3 | F4 | factor loadi | ings | |
| F1. Morning anorexia | | | | | | | 0.27 | | |
| 1. How hungry are you usually in the | morning? | | 0.31 | | | | | | |
| 2. When do you usually eat for the fir | st time? | | 0.31 | | | | | | |
| F2. Evening hyperphagia | | | | | 0.80 | | | | |
| 3. Do you have cravings or urges to e | at snacks after supper, but be | efore bedtime? | | 0.48 | | | | | |
| 4. How much control do you have ov | er your eating between suppo | er and bedtime? | | 0.29 | | | | | |
| 5. How much of your daily food intal | ke do you consume after sup | pertime? | | 0.69 | | | | | |
| F3. Mood/sleep | | | | | | | 0.52 | | |
| 6. Are you currently feeling blue or d | own in the dumps? | | | | 0.92 | | | | |
| 7. When you are feeling blue, is your | mood lower in the? | | | | 0.64 | | | | |
| 8. How often do you have trouble get | ting to sleep? | | | | 0.50 | | | | |
| F4. Nocturnal ingestions | | | | | | | 0.55 | | |
| 9. Other than only to use the bathroom middle of the night? | m, how often do you get up a | at least once in the | | | | 0.81 | | | |
| 10. Do you have cravings or urges to | eat snacks when you wake u | p at night? | | | | 0.94 | | | |
| 11. Do you need to eat in order to get | back to sleep when you awa | ake at night? | | | | 0.95 | | | |
| 12. When you get up in the middle of | f the night, how often do you | snack? | | | | 0.97 | | | |
| 14. How much control do you have o | ver your eating while you are | e up at night? | | | | 0.92 | | | |
| | Factor correlation | ons | | | | | | | |
| | F1 | F2 | | | F3 | | F | 74 | |
| F1. Morning anorexia | 1.00 | | | | | | | | |
| F2. Evening hyperphagia | 0.03 | 1.00 | | | | | | | |
| F3. Mood/sleep | 0.06 | 0.44 | | | 1.00 | | | | |
| F4. Nocturnal ingestions | 0.28 | 0.44 | | | 0.28 | | 1 | .00 | |

Loadings for item 1 and item 2 were constrained to be equal for achieving model convergence

CFA confirmatory factor analysis

Table 5 Correlations between night eating and BMI and eating disturbances (n = 1237)

| Variable | Mean (SD) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| 1. SC-NEQ total score | 13.18 (4.56) | 1.00 | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Morning anorexia | 2.96 (1.64) | 0.33** | 1.00 | | | | | | | |
| 3. Evening hyperphagia | 4.55 (1.64) | 0.55** | 0.02 | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| 4. Mood/sleep | 4.33 (2.25) | 0.65** | -0.01 | 0.17** | 1.00 | | | | | |
| 5. Nocturnal ingestions | 1.33 (2.46) | 0.73** | 0.10** | 0.19** | 0.18** | 1.00 | | | | |
| 6. BMI | 20.78 (2.71) | -0.01 | 0.05 | -0.04 | -0.01 | 0.01 | 1.00 | | | |
| 7. EDI-bulimia | 10.95 (4.62) | 0.35** | 0.07* | 0.24** | 0.14** | 0.34** | 0.12** | 1.00 | | |
| 8. EDI-body dissatisfaction | 31.34 (9.74) | 0.09** | 0.02 | 0.10** | 0.12** | -0.01 | 0.39** | 0.21** | 1.00 | |
| 9. EDI-drive for thinness | 15.10 (7.76) | 0.16** | 0.03 | 0.07* | 0.15** | 0.10** | 0.27** | 0.48** | 0.61** | 1.00 |

SD standard deviation, SC-NEQ simplified Chinese version of night eating questionnaire, BMI body mass index, EDI eating disorder inventory *p < .05, **p < .01

(r = .09, p < .01), and EDI-Drive for thinness (r = .16, p < .01).

Gender invariance tests

To test gender invariance, the correlated four-factor model was first tested for males and female, and results showed

 Table 6
 Fit indices of gender

 invariance tests
 Invariance tests

| Model | χ^2 | df | CFI | TLI | RMSEA (90% CI) | ΔCFI | ΔRMSEA |
|-----------------------|----------|-----|-------|-------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Male | 372.38** | 66 | 0.963 | 0.952 | 0.099 (0.089, 0.109) | _ | _ |
| Female | 316.23** | 66 | 0.959 | 0.947 | 0.080 (0.071, 0.089) | - | - |
| Configural invariance | 671.93** | 120 | 0.963 | 0.952 | 0.087 (0.081, 0.094) | - | - |
| Metric invariance | 670.24** | 129 | 0.964 | 0.956 | 0.084 (0.077, 0.090) | 0.001 | - 0.003 |
| Scalar invariance | 731.52** | 168 | 0.962 | 0.965 | 0.075 (0.069, 0.080) | - 0.002 | - 0.009 |

 $\Delta \chi^2$ change in relative to the preceding model, ΔCFI change in CFI relative to the preceding model, $\Delta RMSEA$ change in RMSEA relative to the preceding model

***p* < .01

that the model could fit the data acceptably for both gender groups. Then, configural invariance model, metric invariance model, and scalar invariance model were tested in a sequential manner. Results showed that the Δ CFI and Δ RMSEA between configural invariance and metric invariance models, and the Δ CFI and Δ RMSEA between metric invariance and scalar invariance models were less than the cut-off values for invariance (i.e., 0.010 for Δ CFI, and 0.015 for Δ RMSEA), indicating that both weak invariance and strong invariance across gender groups were supported. The results of gender invariance tests are shown in Table 6.

Discussion

This study transformed the traditional Chinese version of NEQ (C-NEQ) into simplified Chinese (SC-NEQ), and empirically examined the psychometric properties and gender invariance of the SC-NEQ in a large sample of Chinese college students. The results showed that the SC-NEQ had generally good psychometric properties and it was also strongly invariant across gender groups.

In the current study, PCA yielded a four-factor structure which replicated that of the original English version [13] and the traditional Chinese version of NEQ [10]. However, there were several items (i.e., item 1, item 2, and item 8) loading on two factors. The presence of cross-loading items is not unusual, when considering that cross-loading items were commonly presented in previous validation studies of NEQ [10, 13, 15, 18, 19]. However, for the current study, it should be noted that, although these three items showed cross-loadings, larger loadings from these items went to the intended factors, and the lower loadings were for other factors; moreover, the lower loadings for these factors were less than 0.40 (one of the widely used cut-off values of factor loading). Thus, these items with cross-loadings did not affect the factor structural similarity of the SC-NEQ to the original English version of NEQ. In addition, although the use of PCA to explore the factor structure of the SC-NEQ in the current study was in line with all previous validation studies of the NEQ [9, 10, 13, 15, 18], it should be noted that

PCA is not a real factor analysis [47]; thus, future studies may explore the factor structure via true methods of factor analysis (e.g., principal axing factoring) and further test the alternative factor structures of the NEQ derived from true methods of factor analysis.

Regarding the results of CFA, it is worth noting that the values of CFI and TLI for the CFA models were greater than the suggested cut-off of 0.95 [38], whereas the values of RMSEA were slightly over the recommended value of 0.08 [38]. According to a previous simulation study exploring the performance of RMSEA in non-normally distributed data [48], RMSEA was found to be inflated with non-normality. However, in contrast to RMSEA, CFI and TLI are less likely to be affected by non-normality [49]. Thus, because of the scoring of the NEQ, the data of SC-NEQ in the current study were non-normally distributed (see Table 2), which could have contributed to the inflated values of RMSEA (i.e., over 0.08). Furthermore, considering that CFI and TLI are more robust than RMSEA in non-normality [49], we believe the CFA models in our study fitted the data well.

For the reliability of the SC-NEQ, the Cronbach's alpha and omega were 0.70 and 0.83, respectively, which were greater than 0.70, indicating good reliability of the SC-NEQ as an overall scale. For the four subscales, the Cronbach's alpha and omega were acceptable (greater than 0.60) for Mood/sleep and Nocturnal ingestions; however, the Cronbach's alpha and omega ranged from 0.19 to 0.44 for Morning anorexia and Evening hyperphagia, with Morning anorexia showing the lowest reliability. Thus, the reliability of the overall scale of the SC-NEQ and its two subscales (i.e., mood/sleep and nocturnal ingestions) was acceptable, whereas the reliability for its other two subscales (morning anorexia and evening hyperphagia) was unacceptable. These findings of reliability are in line with previous studies showing good reliability for the overall scale but not for certain subscales (e.g., morning anorexia) [9, 13, 17]. Thus, in line with the recommendation given by the authors of validating the Arabic version of NEQ [14], we also recommend to use the total score of the SC-NEQ rather than using subscales with low reliability as standalone measures.

Consistent with previous studies [9, 16], in the current study, Morning anorexia was also found to be nearly unrelated to the other subscales (with r ranging from .01 to .10) and also showed the smallest correlation with the total score of the SC-NEQ. Thus, this finding may confirm that Morning Anorexia might not contribute a lot to the construct of NES [50], and it might be a descriptor but not a core feature of NES [51].

Moreover, the correlation between BMI and NES was close to zero, indicating there was nearly no association between these two variables. According to the previous literature, some studies found a significant positive association between NES and BMI [4, 26, 52], while several other studies reported no relationship between NES and BMI [16, 53, 54], and there was even one study reporting that those with a history of being underweight were more likely to have NES [2]. Thus, the association, or lack thereof, between NES and BMI remains unclear. From a different perspective, a negligible correlation between BMI and NES could be considered positive evidence for the psychometric characteristics of SC-NEQ, as this could be construed as evidence of discriminant validity (i.e., lack of relationship between two unrelated constructs).

Furthermore, our finding of a moderate correlation, r = .35, between the SC-NEQ and the EDI-Bulimia was consistent with the previous literature [8, 18]. For instance, Allison et al. [18] reported a correlation of 0.37 between the total scores of the NEQ and the global score of EDE, and moreover, Meule et al. [9] also reported a similar correlation of 0.38 between the scores on the NEQ and the EDE-Q total score. Thus, this finding of moderate correlation between the SC-NEQ and the EDI-Bulimia supports the construct validity of the SC-NEQ.

However, some previous studies [9, 10] reported moderate to high correlations (i.e., 0.36–0.54) between the NEQ and weight/shape concern measured by the EDE-Q. But in the current study, weak correlations were found between the SC-NEQ and the EDI-Body dissatisfaction (r=.09) and the EDI-Drive for thinness (r=.16). However, it should be noted that, in the current study, the EDI, but not EDE-Q, was used to measure weight/shape-related concerns in the current study, which might have contributed to the observed inconsistencies as described above.

Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, the current study was the first study that tested the gender invariance of the NEQ in a community sample, and our results showed that the SC-NEQ was strongly invariant across gender groups. This invariance finding indicates that the SC-NEQ measures the same construct (i.e., night eating) across gender groups. Thus, the gender difference of NES revealed in the current study was less likely to be resulted from the measurement aspects of the SC-NEQ. Future studies may use the SC-NEQ to explore what factors may have contributed the gender difference of NES among mainland Chinese college students.

Limitations

There were several limitations of the current study. First, the test-retest reliability of the SC-NEQ was not examined; thus, future studies are highly recommended to explore the test-retest reliability of the SC-NEQ. Second, the non-normality of the data might have affected the values of model fit indicators (e.g., RMSEA) in the current study; thus, future researchers trying to further validating the SC-NEQ may consider using data transformation or other methods to minimize the influence from data non-normality on the values of model fit indicators. Third, the current sample only consists of mainland college students, which limits the generalization of the findings from the current study to other populations; therefore, future studies are needed to further replicate the current study in other populations in mainland China (e.g., patients with eating disorders). Fourthly, the construct validity of the SC-NEO was only tested by the C-EDI, and future studies are recommended to use many other measures, such as a measure of night eating episodes, for a more comprehensive assessment of the construct validity of the SC-NEO. Finally, Brown [36] recommended that the sample size of the groups for measurement invariance tests to be as balanced as possible, because the results of invariance tests can be largely affected by the unequal sample sizes between groups. Thus, considering the quite unequal sample sizes for ethnicity, age and BMI, we did not conduct measurement invariance tests for these demographic variables. However, future studies are highly recommended to explore whether the SC-NEQ is still invariant in terms of these demographic variables.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the simplified Chinese version of NEQ (SC-NEQ) demonstrated adequate psychometric properties and showed strong measurement invariance across gender groups. These results suggest that the SC-NEQ could be considered as a useful instrument that is suitable for use among Chinese mainland college students for assessing night eating.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest All authors have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval The study protocol was approved by the research office in Hunan Institute of Technology that is responsible for research

ethics, and all procedures in this study were in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and later amendments.

Informed consent Written consent forms were obtained from all participants.

References

- American Psychiatric Association (2013) Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5[®]). American Psychiatric Publishing, New York
- Runfola CD, Allison KC, Hardy KK, Lock J, Peebles R (2014) Prevalence and clinical significance of night eating syndrome in university students. J Adolesc Health 55(1):41–48. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.11.012
- Lundgren JD, Allison KC, Crow S, O'Reardon JP, Berg KC, Galbraith J, Martino NS, Stunkard AJ (2006) Prevalence of the night eating syndrome in a psychiatric population. Am J Psychiatry 163(1):156–158. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.163.1.156
- Sevincer GM, Ince E, Taymur I, Konuk N (2016) Night eating syndrome frequency in university students: association with impulsivity, depression, and anxiety. Bull Clin Psychopharmacol 26(3):238–247. https://doi.org/10.5455/bcp.20160322093750
- He J, Huang F, Yan J, Wu W, Cai Z, Fan X (2018) Prevalence, demographic correlates, and association with psychological distress of night eating syndrome among Chinese college students. Psychol Health Med 23(5):578–584. https://doi. org/10.1080/13548506.2017.1400669
- Colles SL, Dixon J, O'brien P (2007) Night eating syndrome and nocturnal snacking: association with obesity, binge eating and psychological distress. Int J Obes 31(11):1722–1730. https://doi. org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0803664
- Must A, Eliasziw M, Phillips SM, Curtin C, Kral TV, Segal M, Sherwood NE, Sikich L, Stanish HI, Bandini LG (2017) The effect of age on the prevalence of obesity among US Youth with autism spectrum disorder. Child Obes 13(1):25–35. https://doi. org/10.1089/chi.2016.0079
- Striegel-Moore RH, Rosselli F, Wilson GT, Perrin N, Harvey K, DeBar L (2010) Nocturnal eating: association with binge eating, obesity, and psychological distress. Int J Eat Disord 43(6):520– 526. https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.20735
- Meule A, Allison KC, Platte P (2014) A German version of the Night Eating Questionnaire (NEQ): psychometric properties and correlates in a student sample. Eat Behav 15(4):523–527. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2014.07.002
- Tu C-Y, Tseng M-CM, Chang C-H, Lin C-C (2017) Comparative validity of the Internet and paper-and-pencil versions of the Night Eating Questionnaire. Compr Psychiatry 75:53–61. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.comppsych.2017.03.001
- Allison KC (2015) Night Eating Syndrome History Inventory (NESHI)/Night Eating Questionnaire (NEQ). Encyclop Feed Eat Disord. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-287-087-2_87-1
- Nolan LJ, Geliebter A (2017) Validation of the Night Eating Diagnostic Questionnaire (NEDQ) and its relationship with depression, sleep quality, "food addiction", and body mass index. Appetite 111:86–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.12.027
- Allison KC, Lundgren JD, O'Reardon JP, Martino NS, Sarwer DB, Wadden TA, Crosby RD, Engel SG, Stunkard AJ (2008) The Night Eating Questionnaire (NEQ): psychometric properties of a measure of severity of the night eating syndrome. Eat Behav 9(1):62–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2007.03.007

- Elsadek AM, Hamid MS, Allison KC (2014) Psychometric characteristics of the Night Eating Questionnaire in a Middle East population. Int J Eat Disord 47(6):660–665. https://doi.org/10.1002/ eat.22285
- Latzer Y, Tzischinsky O, Hason MR, Allison K (2014) Reliability and cross-validation of the Night Eating Questionnaire (NEQ): Hebrew version. Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci 51(1):68–73
- Aloi M, Rania M, De Fazio P, Vinai P, Tagliabue A, Allison KC, Segura-Garcia C (2017) Validation of the Italian version of the Night Eating Questionnaire (I-NEQ). J Psychopathol 23:137–144
- Kim B, Kim I, Choi H (2016) Psychometric properties and item evaluation of Korean version of Night Eating Questionnaire (KNEQ). J Korean Acad Nurs 46(1):109–117. https://doi. org/10.4040/jkan.2016.46.1.109
- Moizé V, Gluck ME, Torres F, Andreu A, Vidal J, Allison K (2012) Transcultural adaptation of the Night Eating Questionnaire (NEQ) for its use in the Spanish population. Eat Behav 13(3):260–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2012.02.005
- Atasoy N, Saraçlı Ö, Konuk N, Ankaralı H, Güriz SO, Akdemir A, Sevinçer GM, Atik L (2014) The reliability and validity of Turkish version of The Night Eating Questionnaire in psychiatric outpatient population. Anatol J Psychiatry 15(3):238–247. https ://doi.org/10.5455/apd.39829
- Dantas GM, Pinto TF, Pereira EDB, Magalhã RM, Bruin VMSD, Bruin PFCD (2012) Validation of a new Brazilian version of the. Sleep Sci 5 (1):7–13
- Luce KH, Crowther JH (1999) The reliability of the eating disorder examination—self-report questionnaire version (EDE-Q). Int J Eat Disord 25(3):349–351. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-108X(199904)25:3<349::AID-EAT15>3.0.CO;2-M
- 22. Tao Y, Hung K (2014) Comparing the differences between Taiwan and China in business simulation game learning. Int Works Learn Technol Educ Cloud. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10671 -7_18
- Wang W, Lopez V, Thompson D (2006) A Chinese Mandarin translation and validation of the Myocardial Infarction Dimensional Assessment Scale (MIDAS). Qual Life Res 15(7):1243– 1249. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-006-0065-1
- Cen C, Liang Y, Chen Q, Chen K, Deng H, Chen B, Zou X (2017) Investigating the validation of the Chinese mandarin version of the Social Responsiveness Scale in a Mainland China child population. BMC Psychiatry 17(1):17–51. https://doi.org/10.1186/s1288 8-016-1185-y
- 25. Li M, Wang C, Gu S, He S, Zhu X, Zhao Y, Zhang J (2009) Adapted simplified Chinese (Mainland) version of Scoliosis Research Society-22 Questionnaire. Spine 34(12):1321–1324. https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e31819812b7
- Colles SL, Dixon JB, O'Brien PE (2007) Night eating syndrome and nocturnal snacking: association with obesity, binge eating and psychological distress. Int J Obes 31(11):1722–1730. https://doi. org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0803664
- Aronoff NJ, Geliebter A, Zammit G (2001) Gender and body mass index as related to the night-eating syndrome in obese outpatients. J Acad Nutr Diet 101(1):102–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002 -8223(01)00022-0
- Milfont TL, Fischer R (2010) Testing measurement invariance across groups: applications in crosscultural research. Int J Psychol Res 3(1):111–121. https://doi.org/10.21500/20112084.857
- Garner, D. M., Olmstead, M. P., & Polivy, J. (1983). Development and validation of a multidimensional eating disorder inventory for anorexia nervosa and bulimia. Int J Eat Disord 2(2):15–34. https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-108X(198321)2:2<15::AID-EAT2260020203>3.0.CO;2-6
- Lee S, Lee AM, Leung T, Yu H (1997) Psychometric properties of the eating disorders inventory (EDI-1) in a nonclinical Chinese population

in Hong Kong. Int J Eat Disord 21(2):187–194. https://doi.org/10.1002/ (SICI)1098-108X(199703)21:2<187::AID-EAT10>3.0.CO;2-#

- Kaiser HF (1960) The application of electronic computers to factor analysis. Educ Psychol Meas 20(1):141–151
- 32. Horn JL (1965) A rationale and test for the number of factors in factor analysis. Psychometrika 30(2):179–185
- Bandalos DL (2014) Relative performance of categorical diagonally weighted least squares and robust maximum likelihood estimation. Struct Equ Model Multidiscip J 21(1):102–116. https:// doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2014.859510
- Lubke G, Muthén B (2004) Factor-analyzing Likert scale data under the assumption of multivariate normality complicates a meaningful comparison of observed groups or latent classes. Struct Equ Model 11:514–534
- Flora DB, Curran PJ (2004) An empirical evaluation of alternative methods of estimation for confirmatory factor analysis with ordinal data. Psychol Methods 9(4):466–491. https://doi. org/10.1037/1082-989X.9.4.466
- 36. Brown TA (2014) Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research. The Guilford Press, New York
- DiStefano C, Liu J, Jiang N, Shi D (2018) Examination of the weighted root mean square residual: evidence for trustworthiness?. Struct Equ Model Multidiscip J 25(3), 453–466. https:// doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1390394
- Hu L, Bentler PM (1999) Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives. Struct Equ Model Multidiscip J 6(1):1–55. https://doi. org/10.1080/10705519909540118
- Santos JRA (1999) Cronbach's alpha: a tool for assessing the reliability of scales. J Extens 37(2):1–5
- McDonald RP (2013) Test theory: a unified treatment. Psychology Press, Routledge
- Dunn TJ, Baguley T, Brunsden V (2014) From alpha to omega: a practical solution to the pervasive problem of internal consistency estimation. Br J Psychol 105(3):399–412. https://doi.org/10.1111/ bjop.12046
- Cheung GW, Rensvold RB (2002) Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. Struct Equ Model 9(2):233–255. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0902_5
- Chen FF (2007) Sensitivity of goodness of fit indexes to lack of measurement invariance. Struct Equ Model 14(3):464–504. https ://doi.org/10.1080/10705510701301834

- 44. Revelle WR (2017) psych: procedures for personality and psychological research
- 45. Zhou B (2002) Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference to risk factors of related diseases in Chinese adult population. Zhonghua liu xing bing xue za zhi 23 (1):5–10
- Poncet A, Courvoisier DS, Combescure C, Perneger TV (2016) Normality and sample size do not matter for the selection of an appropriate statistical test for two-group comparisons. Methodology 12:61–71. https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-2241/a000110
- Costello AB, Osborne JW (2005) Best practices in exploratory factor analysis: four recommendations for getting the most from your analysis. Pract Assess Res Eval 10(7):1–9
- Nevitt J, Hancock GR (2000) Improving the root mean square error of approximation for nonnormal conditions in structural equation modeling. J Exp Educ 68(3):251–268. https://doi. org/10.1080/00220970009600095
- Ainur A, Sayang M, Jannoo Z, Yap B (2017) Sample size and non-normality effects on goodness of fit measures in structural equation models. Pertanika J Sci Technol 25(2):575–586
- Allison KC, Engel SG, Crosby RD, de Zwaan M, O'Reardon JP, Wonderlich SA, Mitchell JE, West DS, Wadden TA, Stunkard AJ (2008) Evaluation of diagnostic criteria for night eating syndrome using item response theory analysis. Eat Behav 9(4):398–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2008.04.004
- Allison KC, Lundgren JD, O'reardon JP, Geliebter A, Gluck ME, Vinai P, Mitchell JE, Schenck CH, Howell MJ, Crow SJ (2010) Proposed diagnostic criteria for night eating syndrome. Int J Eat Disord 43(3):241–247. https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.20693
- 52. Uher I, Bukova A, Hancova M, Rimárová K (2013) The nighteating syndrome, physical activity and BMI relationship in university students. Prace Naukowe Akademii im Jana Długosza w Częstochowie Kultura Fizyczna 12(2):225–233
- Nolan LJ, Geliebter A (2012) Night eating is associated with emotional and external eating in college students. Eat Behav 13(3):202–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2012.02.002
- Striegel-Moore RH, Franko DL, Thompson D, Affenito S, Kraemer HC (2006) Night eating: prevalence and demographic correlates. Obesity 14(1):139–147. https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2006.17