### Review



# **Infuenza Vaccination in Solid Organ Transplant Recipients: Methods to Improve Immunogenicity and Future Directions**

*Erica Peak MD Lora Thomas MD, MPH\**

#### **Address**

\* Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Virginia Commonwealth University Health System, VMI Building, Suite 205, 1000 East Marshall Street, Richmond, VA 23298, USA Email: lora.thomas@vcuhealth.org

© The Author(s) 2024 Published online: 9 February 2024

**Keywords** Infuenza vaccination · Solid organ transplant · Immunogenicity · Adjuvant · Booster · mRNA

### **Abstract**

*Purpose of Review* To review the recent literature surrounding immune responses to infuenza vaccination in solid organ transplant (SOT) recipients, in addition to reviewing future directions for novel vaccine technologies to help improve immunogenicity in this vulnerable population.

*Recent Findings* While organ transplantation remains a lifesaving treatment for those with organ failure, infections account for many complications in the post-transplant period. Infuenza virus is the most common vaccine-preventable illness, but organ transplant recipients often mount an inferior immune response to vaccination compared to the general population. Due to their impaired immune responses to vaccinations, various studies have examined utilizing different strategies to increase immunogenicity to infuenza vaccines in this patient population, including the use of booster doses, high-dose vaccines, and vaccines with adjuvants. Trials with high-dose infuenza vaccines and booster doses have shown increased immunogenicity when compared to single standard-dose infuenza vaccine. Although these strategies may improve immune responses to infuenza vaccines to variable degrees, it is still unknown what the optimal method to provide protection against infuenza infection in SOT recipients is. While more studies need to be conducted in the

SOT population, there is promising new infuenza vaccine technology development with mRNA vaccines, universal infuenza vaccines, and monoclonal antibodies.

*Summary* As SOT recipients exhibit reduced immunity to vaccines, efforts to increase immunogenicity to infuenza vaccine in the transplant population have focused on adjuvanted vaccines, booster doses, and high-dose vaccines. Future directions in this feld include mRNA infuenza vaccines, universal infuenza vaccines, and monoclonal antibodies, but there is no defnitive timeline for these products to become available at this time. The authors of this study favor a high-dose infuenza vaccination approach for organ transplant recipients, due to ease of administration and demonstrated favorable safety profle.

### **Introduction**

Solid organ transplantation (SOT) offers lifesaving treatment for those with organ failure, with the number of transplantations performed annually in the USA continuing to increase. According to the United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS), there were 42,880 total solid organ transplants performed in the USA in 2022, with numbers for 2023 on a trajectory to exceed this [\[1\]](#page-7-0). Organ transplantation offers improved survival for those with organ failure, particularly for renal and liver recipients who have a mean lifetime survival of over 20 years after transplantation [[2](#page-7-1)]. Even though organ transplantation improves mortality, it carries signifcant risks associated with both the surgical transplant procedure and the immunosuppression regimens used to prevent rejection.

Infection continues to remain one of the most common complications after transplantation and one of the leading causes of mortality in recipients [[3](#page-7-2)–[5\]](#page-7-3). This phenomenon was particularly highlighted during the COVID-19 pandemic, where excess mortality rates in SOT recipients were up to seven times higher than the general population  $[6]$ .

One of the most common viral infections that impact organ transplant recipients is infuenza virus which remains the most frequent cause of vaccine-preventable illness in SOT recipients [\[7,](#page-7-5) [8\]](#page-7-6). Recipients of SOT are more susceptible to complications related to infuenza infection than immunocompetent hosts, including such complications as pneumonia, hospitalization, and mortality [\[9•](#page-7-7)]. This has led to an increased effort to optimize vaccine immunogenicity in this population. Current guidelines from the American Society of Transplantation recommend infuenza vaccination

for all organ transplant recipients over 6 months of age, who are at least 1 month from transplant, with consideration for high-dose vaccine or same-season booster doses in the post-transplant period [[10](#page-7-8)[–12\]](#page-8-0). Immunogenicity of infuenza vaccination is characterized by multiple methods. All infuenza vaccines currently available in the USA are quadrivalent, containing strains of A/H1N1, A/H3N2, B/Victoria, and B/Yamagata. Hemagglutination inhibition (HI) antibody titers to the specifc strains in the vaccine are considered the standard measurement of humoral immunogenicity for infuenza vaccines, with seroconversion being defined as a fourfold increase from baseline pre-vaccine HI titers. Seroprotection is defned as an HI titer>1:40 and correlates with a 50% reduction in contracting the infuenza infection in a susceptible population  $[13–15]$  $[13–15]$  $[13–15]$  $[13–15]$  $[13–15]$ . Several studies have demonstrated reduced immunogenicity to infuenza vaccination in SOT recipients compared to the general population [[16](#page-8-3)–[18](#page-8-4)]. Multiple factors have been shown to impact immunogenicity including age, timing of vaccination post-transplant, and the use of certain immunosuppression medications, including mycophenolate mofetil [\[19,](#page-8-5) [20\]](#page-8-6). Recent studies investigating SOT recipient antibody responses to various forms of infuenza vaccines have been conducted to help determine ways to improve immunogenicity in this population. Most studies evaluating methods to increase immunogenicity of infuenza vaccines in SOT recipients have focused on one of the following strategies: (1) same-season booster dose, (2) use of a vaccine with an adjuvant, or (3) vaccines with higher doses of antigen. We aim to review the recent literature

surrounding influenza vaccination in SOT recipients, including possible strategies to increase immunogenicity, as well as provide an overview of future directions for infuenza vaccination.

# **Improving infuenza vaccine immunogenicity in SOT recipients**

### **Infuenza vaccines with adjuvant**

Adjuvants in vaccines serve to augment the immune response to the target antigens. After the 2009 H1N1 infuenza virus pandemic, multiple studies involving the H1N1 infuenza vaccine using the ASO3 adjuvant described an increase in acute cellular rejection rates and development of human leukocyte antigen (HLA) autoantibodies in solid organ recipients who had been vaccinated [\[21](#page-8-7)–[23](#page-8-8)]. These reports highlighted concerns regarding adjuvanted vaccines enhancing the alloimmune response in transplant recipients. An adjuvanted infuenza vaccine is currently approved for use in the USA for individuals over 65 years of age. This vaccine contains the oil-in-water emulsion squalene-based adjuvant MF59® (Novartis). A more recent study in kidney transplant recipients who were randomized to receive the 2012–2013 trivalent infuenza vaccine, either with or without the MF59 adjuvant, showed seroconversion to at least 1 of 3 infuenza antigens in 71% of the recipients who received adjuvanted vaccine, compared to 55.2% of the recipients who received nonadjuvanted vaccine, but this was not statistically signifcant. Geometric mean titer (GMT) and seroprotection rates were also similar between the two groups; however, a subgroup analysis of younger patients between ages 18 and 64 did note a signifcant increase in seroconversion rates after receipt of adjuvanted vaccine, which conferred potential beneft in this age group. Furthermore, HLA alloantibodies were not increased in people who received adjuvant vaccinations in this study [[24•](#page-8-9)]. A new study published in 2023 by Mombelli et al. [[25](#page-8-10)••] demonstrated signifcantly increased seroconversion rates in SOT recipients who received quadrivalent MF59 adjuvant vaccine compared to standard-dose infuenza vaccine (60% vs. 42%), while also demonstrating minimal vaccine-associated adverse events.

### **Booster dosing of infuenza vaccines**

As stated previously, the American Society of Transplantation vaccination guidelines suggest that booster dose strategies may lead to improved vaccine serologic responses in SOT recipients. A recent study was published by Cordero et al. in 2017 [\[26•](#page-8-11)•], which randomized SOT recipients to receive standard-dose trivalent infuenza vaccine, either a single dose or two doses separated by 5 weeks. The results demonstrated that patients who received two doses had signifcantly higher rates of seroconversion compared to the single-dose control group for infuenza A H1N1, infuenza A H3N2, and infuenza B. In addition, there was no increase in adverse effects from the booster dose, which indicates that same-season booster doses are safe and effective.

Given the emergence of the novel SARS-CoV-2 virus and resulting global pandemic in 2019, most of the recent vaccine literature regarding immunogenicity in SOT recipients focuses on COVID-19 vaccinations, including studies on booster doses leading to increased immune response [[27](#page-8-12)–[30\]](#page-8-13). For example, a systematic review with meta-analysis conducted in 2021 including data from 11,713 SOT recipients showed that only 10.4% of recipients had a positive humoral antibody response for anti-spike protein antibodies after a single dose of COVID-19 mRNA vaccine. This value improved to 63.1% of recipients after three doses [[29](#page-8-14)•].

There are several barriers to using the same-season booster dose in clinical practice, namely adherence to vaccination schedule [[31–](#page-9-0)[33\]](#page-9-1). For example, a study by Felzer et al. [[31](#page-9-0)•] investigated infuenza vaccination rates among 468 SOT recipients living in four different counties in Minnesota and found that only 57–63% of SOT recipients were adherent to the recommendation for single-dose annual infuenza vaccination. Furthermore, they identifed that rural location and receipt of lung or liver grafts were associated with reduced rates of infuenza vaccination. Another study conducted by Harboe et al. [[33](#page-9-1)] that investigated infuenza vaccination rates and hospital admissions for infuenza pneumonia among 5745 SOT recipients living in Denmark showed that while infuenza vaccination was effective in reducing hospital admissions and complications secondary to infuenza virus, only 48% of recipients received annual vaccination. An additional barrier for implementing same-season booster doses is the concern regarding increased risk of antibody-mediated graft rejection (AMR) after vaccination. A multicenter prospective cohort study conducted by Cordero et al. [[34](#page-9-2)•] evaluated AMR in SOT recipients that received infuenza vaccination between 2009 and 2013. Results showed that receipt of infuenza vaccination was not associated with clinical graft rejection; however, in the 136 participants that received two doses in the same season, the proportion of recipients with detectable HLA class I antibodies increased from 3.8% after one dose to 14.6% after two doses.

#### **High‑dose infuenza vaccines**

The use of high-dose influenza vaccine, which contains four times the amount of influenza antigen as the standard-dose trivalent influenza vaccine, is currently only approved for use in patients 65 years and older. This approval was based on a large efficacy trial conducted by DiazGranados et al. [[35](#page-9-3)••] that compared high-dose vaccination to standard-dose influenza vaccination in elderly patients and successfully demonstrated a 24.2 relative efficacy advantage in preventing laboratory-confirmed influenza infection. High-dose influenza vaccine also produced superior GMT ratio for influenza A strains and non-inferior GMT ratio for influenza B antigen. A randomized controlled trial comparing high-dose influenza vaccination to standard-dose influenza vaccination in the transplant population was conducted by Natori et al.  $[36\bullet\bullet]$  $[36\bullet\bullet]$ , which compared immunogenicity and safety outcomes in 161 SOT recipients. Results demonstrated significantly higher seroconversion rates and GMT ratios in those that received highdose vaccination for all influenza strains tested (A H1N1, A H3N2, and B). In addition, they demonstrated that use of lower doses of mycophenolate (< 2 g daily) was an independent factor associated with seroconversion to at least one vaccine strain. A follow-up study conducted by the same research group [[37\]](#page-9-5) collected peripheral blood pre- and post-immunization from 30 high-dose vaccine recipients and 30 standard-dose vaccine recipients from the Natori 2018 study. They reported that the highdose vaccine elicited significantly increased influenza-specific CD4 + and CD8 + T-cell responses, which provided a novel cellular explanation for the increased immunogenicity reported in their prior study.

A study conducted by Mombelli et al. [[38\]](#page-9-6) compared immunogenicity outcomes in kidney and liver transplants randomized to receive either standard-dose influenza vaccine or a double dose of the standard-dose formulation given at the same time. Results of this study were promising and showed a trend toward increased seroconversion rates and GMT with the double-dose vaccine, but these were not statistically significant. However, the incidence of adverse effects was minimal, and there were no cases of vaccine-associated graft rejection, which suggests that while there needs to be further studies investigating this vaccination strategy, it is safe and could be considered when high-dose vaccine formulations are not available. As mentioned during discussion of MF59 adjuvants, the more recent study conducted by the same group  $[25\bullet]$  $[25\bullet]$  $[25\bullet]$  compared seroconversion rates in SOT recipients who received high-dose inactivated influenza quadrivalent vaccine to standard-dose inactivated quadrivalent vaccine and demonstrated that high-dose vaccination led to significantly increased seroconversion rates (66% vs 42%). One potential barrier to this vaccination strategy is that the high-dose influenza vaccination is not commercially approved for use in patients less than 65 years of age, which could lead to a lack of insurance coverage and subsequent cost barriers for off-label use of this product in transplant recipients less than 65 years old.

# **Future directions**

As demonstrated by vaccine development during the COVID-19 global pandemic, the emergence of mRNA vaccine technology has allowed for rapid development of vaccines, and subsequent editing toward varying targets, in addition to favorable safety profile and high efficacy. As previously outlined, there have been several studies showing favorable

immunogenicity responses to COVID-19 mRNA vaccinations in SOT recip ients, particularly with use of booster doses. Although not yet studied in immunocompromised populations, there is promising new data from the Moderna-funded phase 1 and 2 trials using mRNA-1010 influenza vac cine in healthy adults. This is a quadrivalent influenza vaccine encoding hemagglutinin surface glycoproteins for A H1N1, A H3N2, B Victoria, and B Yamagata strains. The data published by Lee et al. [[39](#page-9-7)•] demonstrates that a single dose of mRNA vaccination at 25, 50, or 100 mg dose elicits higher hemagglutination inhibition titers than a single standard dose of inactivated seasonal influenza vaccine. While the incidence of solicited adverse effects was higher in the mRNA vaccine cohort in a dose-depend ent fashion, there were few safety concerns identified. This product is currently undergoing phase 3 clinical trials. While there will need to be dedicated studies for immunocompromised populations and SOT recipi ents, this remains a promising technology for increasing vaccine efficacy against seasonal influenza in this population.

Another technology currently in development for preventing infuenza infection is use of broadly neutralizing monoclonal antibodies directed at the hemagglutinin stalk, which is shared between the varying infuenza strains. This is primarily being developed toward infuenza A infections. For example, a monoclonal antibody product by Crucell called CR8020 is currently in phase 2 trials looking at this product both for prevention and treatment of infuenza A infections [[40](#page-9-8), [41\]](#page-9-9). These products have an unknown timeline to reach approval for clinical use in healthy adults, so therefore remain a distant future possibility for prevention of infuenza infection in SOT recipients.

Currently, seasonal vaccines contain three to four strains of influenza which are chosen using variable methods to determine which influenza virus strains are most likely to spread and cause illness during the upcom ing influenza season. However, there are also efforts to develop a universal influenza vaccine, which targets the conserved hemagglutinin stem, rather than the hemagglutinin head, which varies with each strain of influenza virus. These vaccines are also in the early stages of development, with results of the phase 1 trial for a H1 hemagglutinin stabilized stem nan oparticle (H1ssF) recently published in April 2023 [[42,](#page-9-10) [43](#page-9-11)]. The data published by Andrews et al.  $[42]$  $[42]$  found that memory B cell responses elicited by H1ssF vaccination were broadly cross-reactive and targeted two conserved epitopes on the H1 stem, while data published by Widge et al. [[43\]](#page-9-11) demonstrated that immunization with one or two doses of an H1 hemagglutinin stabilized stem nanoparticle (H1ssF) vaccine was safe in recipients and elicited durable neutralizing antibody responses. The National Institutes of Allergy and Immunology are also currently recruit ing participants for a phase 1 clinical trial for an mRNA version of the H1ssF vaccine [[44\]](#page-9-12). This demonstrates that the field of vaccine development remains eager to develop more effective and tolerable vaccinations against influenza virus.

# **Conclusions**

The number of organ transplantations performed is increasing annually, with infections comprising the majority of post-transplant complications. Infuenza infection remains the most common vaccine-preventable illness affecting transplant recipients; however, adherence to annual vaccination recommendations and suboptimal immune response compared to the general population serve to reduce vaccines' ability to prevent infection. There are several studied methods to increase immunogenicity in solid-organ transplant recipients, including same-season booster doses, high-dose vaccines, and adjuvanted vaccines. We believe that the most effective strategy currently available to increase vaccine immunogenicity in solid organ transplant recipients includes the high-dose quadrivalent vaccine or the adjuvanted quadrivalent vaccine, which have been shown to have good safety profles in this population and do not appear to increase the risk of allograft rejection. Sameseason infuenza vaccine booster dosage also improves immunogenicity, but this strategy may be limited by requiring multiple encounters for vaccination in the same season, when adherence to single annual vaccination is already suboptimal compared to recommendations. While there are many exciting technologies in the pipeline, such as mRNA infuenza vaccines, monoclonal antibodies for both prevention and treatment of infuenza, as well as universal infuenza vaccination targeting the common hemagglutinin stem, these technologies require further clinical trials to demonstrate safety and effcacy, and the timeline for licensure is currently unknown.

## **Author contributions**

EP and LT wrote the main manuscipt text. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

### **Declarations**

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

### **Confict of interest**

The authors declare no competing interests.

### **Human and animal rights and informed consent**

All reported studies/experiments with human or animal subjects performed by the authors have been previously published and complied with all applicable ethical standards (including the Helsinki declaration and its amendments, institutional/national research committee standards, and international/national/ institutional guidelines).

### **Open Access**

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

### **References**

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- •• Of major importance
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>1. United Network for Organ Sharing. US Organ Transplantation. [https://unos.org/.](https://unos.org/) Accessed 28 June 2023.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>2. Graham C, Watson C, Barlev A, et al. Mean lifetime survival estimates following solid organ transplantation in the US and UK. J Med Econ. 2022;25(1):230–7.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>3. Fishman J. Infection in organ transplantation. Am J Transplant. 2017;17(4):856–79.
- 4. Dharnidharka V, Stablein D, Harmon W. Post-Cause For Hospitalization: A report of the NAPRTCS. Am J Transplant. 2004;4(3):384–9.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>5. Riella L. Understanding the causes of mortality posttransplantation – there is more than meets the eye. Brazilian J Nephrol. 2018;40(2):102–4.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>6. Clarke J, Wiemken T, Korenblat K. Excess mortality among solid organ transplant recipients in the United States during the COVID-19 pandemic. Transplantation. 2022;106(12):2399–407.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>7.• Walti L, Mugglin C, Membelli M, et al. Vaccinepreventable infections among solid organ transplant recipients in Switzerland. JAMA Network Open 2023:6(4):E2310687.

Study from Switzerland that investigated incidence of vaccine-preventable illness (VPI) after SOT. VPI included hepatitis A/B, diphtheria, Hib, infuenza virus, measles, mumps, rubella, pertussis, pneumococcus, and VZV. 593/4967 study participants experienced at least one VPI. VPI incidence higher in SOT recipients compared to general population (30.57/1000 person-years vs 0.71 per 1000

person-years). Infuenza was the most common VPI accounting for 16.55/1000 person-years.

- <span id="page-7-6"></span>8. Waller D, De La Mata N, Wyburn D, et al. Notifable infectious diseases among organ transplant recipients: a data-linked cohort study, 2000–2015. Open Forum Infectious Diseases 2022:9(8).
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>9.• Kumar D, Ferreira V, Blumberg E, et al. A 5-year prospective multicenter evaluation of infuenza infection in transplant recipients. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2018:67(9):1322-1329.

Transplant Infections Now Exceed Acute Rejection As Multicenter prospective cohort study of 616 patients with confrmed infuenza, both SOT and stem cell transplant recipients, that showed association between same-season infuenza vaccine and reduction in infuenza pneumonia and need for ICU care. Early antiviral therapy within 48 h of symptoms was also associated with improved outcomes.

> <span id="page-7-8"></span>10.•• Danziger-Isakov L, Kumar D. Vaccination of solid organ transplant candidates and recipients: guidelines from the American Society of Transplantation Infectious Diseases community of practice. Clinical Transplantation 2019:33(9).

> Most recent update from American Society of Transplantation regarding vaccine guidelines in SOT recipients. Includes recommendation that same-season booster dose or high-dose vaccination may lead to increased immune response to infuenza vaccination.

11. Uyeki T, Bernstein H, Bradley J, et al. Clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America: 2018 update on diagnosis, treatment, chemoprophylaxis and institutional outbreak

management of seasonal infuenza. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;68(6):895–902.

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>12. Grohskopf L, Blanton L, Ferdinands J, et al. Morbidity and mortality weekly report prevention and control of seasonal infuenza with vaccines: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices – United States, 2022–23 Infuenza Season. MMWR Recommend Reports. 2022;71(1):1–28.
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>13. Coudeville L, Bailleux F, Riche B, et al. Relationship between haemagglutination-inhibiting antibody titres and clinical protection against infuenza: development and application of Bayesian random-effects model. BMC Medical Research Methodoloy 2010: 10(18).
- 14. Beyer W, Palache A, Lüchters G, et al. Seroprotection rate, mean fold increase, seroconversion rate: which parameter adequately expresses seroresponse to infuenza vaccination? Virus Research 2004: 125–132.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>15. Haddadin Z, Krueger K, Thomas L, et al. Alternative strategies of posttransplant infuenza vaccination in adult solid organ transplant recipients. Am J Transplant. 2021;21(3):938–49.
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>16. Birdwell K, Ikizler M, Wang L, et al. Seasonal maintenance of infuenza vaccine-induced antibody response in kidney transplant recipients. Am J Nephrol. 2012;36(3):201–7.
- 17. Duchim A, Hendry RM, Nyberg LM, et al. Immune response to infuenza vaccine in adult liver transplant recipients. Liver Transpl. 2011;7(4):311–3.
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>18. Eckerle I, Rosenberger K, Zwahlen M, et al. Serologic vaccination response after solid organ transplantation: a systematic review. Public Library of Sciences ONE. 2013;8(2):E56974.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>19. Candon S, Thervet E, Lebon P, et al. Humoral and cellular immune reponses after infuenza vaccination in kidney transplant recipients. Am J Transplant. 2009;9(10):2346–54.
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>20. Scharpe J, Evenepoel P, Maes B, et al. Infuenza vaccination is effcacious and safe in renal transplant recipients. Am J Transplant. 2008;8(2):332–7.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>21. Schaffer SA, Husain S, Delgado D, et al. Impact of adjuvanted H1N1 vaccine on cell-mediated rejection in heart transplant recipients. Am J Transplant. 2011;11(12):2751–4.
- 22. Fairhead T, Hendren E, Tinckam K, et al. Poor seroprotection but allosensitization after adjuvanted pandemic infuenza H1N1 vaccine in kidney transplant recipients. Transplant Infectious Diseases. 2012;14(6):575–83.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>23. Katerinis I, Hadaya K, Duquesnoy R, et al. De novo anti-HLA antibody after pandemic H1N1 and seasonal infuenza immunization in kidney transplant recipients. Am J Transplant. 2011;11(8):1727–33.
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>24.• Kumar D, Campbell P, Hoschler K, et al. Randomized controlled trial of adjuvanted versus nonadjuvanted infuenza vaccine in kidney transplant recipients. Transplantation 2016: 100(3): 662-669.

Randomized controlled trial looking at adjuvanted infuenza vaccine vs standard infuenza vaccine. Showed signifcantly

lower seroconversion rates with both groups in patients taking MMF > 2g daily. Signifcantly increased seroconversion rate with adjuvanted vaccines in patients 18–64 years old. No increased anti-HLA antibodies with adjuvanted vaccine.

<span id="page-8-10"></span>25.•• Mombelli M, Neofytos D, Hyunh-Do U, et al. Immunogenicity of high-dose versus MF59-adjuvanted versus standard infuenza vaccine in solid organ transplant recipients: the Swiss/Spanish Trial in Solid Organ Transplantation on Prevention of Infuenza (STOP-FLU Trial). Clinical Infectious Diseases 2023: 1–9. inactivated infuenza vaccine to MF59-adjuvanted quadrivalent vaccine to high-dose inactivated quadrivalent vaccine in solid organ transplant recipients (majority were kidney). Showed signifcantly increased rate of seroconversion in both MF59-adjuvant vaccine recipients compared to standard (60% vs 42%) and high-dose inactivated quadrivalent recipients compared to standard (66% vs 42%).

Receipt of intervention vaccine had no major vaccine-associated adverse events. While seroconversion rates were signifcantly improved compared to standard vaccination rates, there was no signifcant difference in the amount of clinical infuenza infection between the three groups.

<span id="page-8-11"></span>26.•• Cordero E, Roca-Oporto C, Bulnes-Ramos A, et al. Two doses of inactivated infuenza vaccine improve immune response in solid organ transplant recipients: results of TRANSGRIPE 1-2, a randomized controlled clinical trial. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2017: 64(7): 829-838.

Multicenter randomized controlled trial of 499 SOT recipients randomized to receive either two doses of inactivated infuenza vaccine separated by 5 weeks or standard single dose. Showed signifcantly higher seroconversion rates in those who received booster doses (53.8% vs 37.6% for infuenza AH1N1; 48.1% vs 32.3% for infuenza AH3N2; and 90.7% vs 75% for infuenza B. P < .05 of 499 SOTRs were enrolled. Seroprotection at 10 weeks was also higher in booster dose population.

- <span id="page-8-12"></span>27. Boutin C, Alamri M, Ison M. Update on Covid-19: vaccines, timing of transplant after COVID-19 infection and use of positive donors. Curr Opin Organ Transplant. 2023;28(2):76–84.
- 28. Safa C, Kotton C. COVID-19 vaccines and solid organ transplantation: more doses, more protection. Transplantation. 2023;107(1):21–2.
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>29.• Manothummetha K, Chuleerarux N, Sanguankeo A, et al. Immunogenicity and risk factors associated with poor humoral immune response of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in recipients of solid organ transplant: a systemic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Network Open 2022: E226822.

Although this is a COVID-19 study, good systemic review and meta-analysis that associated older age at transplant, deceased donor status, antimetabolite use, recent rituximab exposure, and recent antithymocyte globulin exposure with poor humoral immune response to COVID-19 vaccination.

<span id="page-8-13"></span>30. Kamar N, Abravanel F, Marion O, et al. Three doses of an mRNA Covid-19 vaccine in solid-organ transplant recipients. N Engl J Med. 2021;385(7):661–2.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>31.• Felzer J, Finney Rutten L, Wi C, et al. Disparities in vaccination rates in solid organ transplant patients. Transplant Infectious Disease 2023: 25: e14010.

Investigated rates of infuenza and pneumococcal vaccination of SOT recipients living in four different counties in Minnesota. Adherence with recommended infuenza vaccine was suboptimal compared to national guidelines with only 57–63% of recipients being vaccinated. Patients in rural areas were less likely to be vaccinated than those from urban areas.

- 32. Shapiro Ben David S, Goren I, Mourad V, et al. Vaccination coverage among immunocompromised patients in a large health maintenance organization: fndings from a Novel Computerized Registry. Vaccines 2022: 10(10).
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>33. Harboe Z, Modin D, Gustafsson F, et al. Effect of infuenza vaccination in solid organ transplant recipients: a nationwide population-based cohort study. Am J Transplant. 2022;22(10):2409–17.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>34.• Cordero E, Bulnes-Ramos A, Aguilar-Guisado M, et al. Effect of infuenza vaccination inducing antibody mediated rejection in solid organ transplant recipients. Frontiers in Immunology 2020: 11: 1917.

 Investigated formation of anti-HLA antibodies after receipt of infuenza vaccination. No differences of anti-HLA antibodies were found after immunization in patients that received the adjuvanted vaccine or standard vaccine within the frst 6 months post-transplantation. However, a second dose of standard infuenza vaccine increased the percentage of patients positive for anti-HLA class I signifcantly compared with patients with one dose (14.6% vs. 3.8%;  $P = 0.003$ 

<span id="page-9-3"></span>35.•• DiazGranados C, Dunning A, Kimmel A, et al. Effcacy of high-dose versus standard-dose infuenza vaccine in older adults. New England Journal of Medicine 2014: 371(7): 635-645.

Large effcacy trial comparing high-dose vaccination to standard-dose infuenza vaccination in elderly patients and successfully demonstrated a 24.2 relative efficacy advantage in preventing laboratory-confrmed infuenza infection. High-dose infuenza vaccine also produced superior GMT ratio for infuenza A strains and non-inferior GMT ratio for infuenza B antigen. Although not in SOT recipients, frst large trial that demonstrated increased effcacy with high-dose infuenza vaccine.

<span id="page-9-4"></span>36.•• Natori Y, Shiotsuka M, Slomovic J, et al. A double-blind, randomized trial of high-dose vs standard-dose infuenza vaccine in adult solid-organ transplant recipients.

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2018: 66(11): 1698–1704. A randomized controlled trial comparing high-dose infuenza vaccination to standard-dose infuenza vaccination in SOT recipients. Showed signifcantly higher seroconversion rates and

GMT ratios in those that received high-dose vaccination for all infuenza strains tested (A H1N1, A H3N2, and B).

- <span id="page-9-5"></span>37. L'Huillier A, Ferreira V, Hirzel C, et al. Cell-mediated immune responses after infuenza vaccination of solid organ transplant recipients: secondary outcomes analyses of a randomized clinical trial. J Infect Dis. 2020;221(1):53–62.
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>38. Mombelli M, Rettby N, Perreau M, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of double versus standard dose of the seasonal infuenza vaccine in solid organ transplant recipients: a randomized controlled trial. Vaccine. 2018;36(41):6163–9.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>39.• Lee I, Nachbagauer R, Ensz D, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a phase ½ randomized clinical trial of a quadrivalent, mRNA-based seasonal infuenza vaccine (mRNA-1010) in healthy adults: interim analysis.

Phase 1–2 study of an mRNA quadrivalent infuenza vaccine encoding hemagglutinin surface glycoproteins for A H1N1, A H3N2, B Victoria, and B Yamagata strains. Single dose of 25, 50, or 100-μg dose elicits higher hemagglutination inhibition titers than a single standard dose of inactivated seasonal infuenza vaccine. No major safety concerns identifed.

- <span id="page-9-8"></span>40. Sparrow E, Friede M, Sheikh M, et al. Passive immunization for infuenza through antibody therapies, a review of the pipeline, challenges, and potential applications. Vaccine. 2016;34(45):5442–8.
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>41. Tharakaraman K, Subramanian V, Cain D, et al. Broadly neutralizing infuenza hemagglutinin stemspecifc antibody CR8020 targets residues that are prone to escape due to host selection pressure. Cell Host Microbe. 2014;15(5):644–51.
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>42. Andrews S, Cominsky L, Shimberg G, et al. An infuenza H1 hemagglutinin stem-only immunogen elicits a broadly cross-reactive B cell response in humans. Science Translational Medicine 2023: 15(692).
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>43. Widge A, Hofstetter A, Houser K, et al. An infuenza hemagglutinin stem nanoparticle vaccine induces cross-group 1 neutralizing antibodies in healthy adults. Science Translational Medicine 2023: 15(692).
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>44. ClinicalTrials.Gov, NCT05755620. Accessed 26 Jul 2023

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affliations.