**EMERGING VECTOR BORNE DISEASES IN THE U.S. (JK PETERSON, SECTION EDITOR)**



# **Exploring and Mitigating Plague for One Health Purposes**

David A. Eads<sup>1</sup><sup>®</sup> [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4247-017X) Dean E. Biggins<sup>1</sup> · Jeffrey Wimsatt<sup>2</sup> · Rebecca J. Eisen<sup>3</sup> · B. Joseph Hinnebusch<sup>4</sup> · Marc R. Matchett<sup>5</sup> · Amanda R. Goldberg<sup>6</sup> · Travis M. Livieri<sup>7</sup> · Gregory M. Hacker<sup>8</sup> · Mark G. Novak<sup>8</sup> · Danielle E. Buttke<sup>9</sup> · Shaun M. Grassel<sup>10</sup> · John P. Hughes<sup>11</sup> · Linda A. Atiku<sup>12</sup>

Accepted: 19 July 2022 / Published online: 2 September 2022 This is a U.S. Government work and not under copyright protection in the US; foreign copyright protection may apply 2022

# **Abstract**

**Purpose of Review** In 2020, the Appropriations Committee for the U.S. House of Representatives directed the CDC to develop a national One Health framework to combat zoonotic diseases, including sylvatic plague, which is caused by the fea-borne bacterium *Yersinia pestis*. This review builds upon that multisectoral objective. We aim to increase awareness of *Y. pestis* and to highlight examples of plague mitigation for One Health purposes (i.e., to achieve optimal health outcomes for people, animals, plants, and their shared environment). We draw primarily upon examples from the USA, but also discuss research from Madagascar and Uganda where relevant, as *Y. pestis* has emerged as a zoonotic threat in those foci.

**Recent Findings** Historically, the bulk of plague research has been directed at the disease in humans. This is not surprising, given that *Y. pestis* is a scourge of human history. Nevertheless, the ecology of *Y. pestis* is inextricably linked to other mammals and feas under natural conditions. Accumulating evidence demonstrates *Y. pestis* is an unrelenting threat to multiple ecosystems, where the bacterium is capable of signifcantly reducing native species abundance and diversity while altering competitive and trophic relationships, food web connections, and nutrient cycles. In doing so, *Y. pestis* transforms ecosystems, causing "shifting baselines syndrome" in humans, where there is a gradual shift in the accepted norms for the condition of the natural environment. Eradication of *Y. pestis* in nature is difficult to impossible, but effective mitigation is achievable; we discuss fea vector control and One Health implications in this context.

**Summary** There is an acute need to rapidly expand research on *Y. pestis*, across multiple host and fea species and varied ecosystems of the Western US and abroad, for human and environmental health purposes. The fate of many wildlife species hangs in the balance, and the implications for humans are profound in some regions. Collaborative multisectoral research is needed to defne the scope of the problem in each epidemiological context and to identify, refne, and implement appropriate and efective mitigation practices.

**Keywords** Plague · *Yersinia pestis* · United States · Flea · Invasive species

 $\boxtimes$  David A. Eads deads@usgs.gov

- <sup>1</sup> U.S. Geological Survey, Fort Collins Science Center, 2150 Centre Avenue Building C, Fort Collins, CO 80526, USA
- Department of Medicine, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Division of Vector-Borne Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Fort Collins, CO, USA
- <sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Bacteriology, Rocky Mountain Laboratories, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Hamilton, MT, USA
- <sup>5</sup> U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Charles M. Russell National Wildlife Refuge, Lewistown, MT, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA
- <sup>7</sup> Prairie Wildlife Research, Stevens Point, WI, USA
- Vector-Borne Disease Section, California Department of Public Health, Sacramento, CA, USA
- <sup>9</sup> National Park Service Biological Resources Division and Office of Public Health, Fort Collins, CO, USA
- <sup>10</sup> Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD, USA
- <sup>11</sup> U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Black-Footed Ferret Conservation Center, Carr, CO, USA
- <sup>12</sup> Plague Unit, Uganda Virus Research Institute, Entebbe, Uganda

# **Introduction**

In 2017, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), and U.S. Department of the Interior held a "One Health Zoonotic Disease Prioritization Workshop for the United States." Plague, caused by the fea-borne bacterium *Yersinia pestis*, was prioritized as a zoonosis of national concern. Similarly, the Government of Uganda, CDC, U.S. Agency for International Development, and Preparedness and Response Project identifed plague as a zoonosis of national concern in Uganda [[1\]](#page-9-0). Previously, the World Health Organization described plague as a signifcant reemerging zoonotic threat in Madagascar [\[2\]](#page-9-1). In all cases above, partners (from federal, state, local, tribal, and territorial groups), non-proft and private sectors, and the public were invited to collaborate on projects aiming to increase the understanding of plague ecology and mitigation measures. A greater understanding of the impacts of *Y. pestis* on ecosystem function and integrity, and interactions with multiple stressors, including climate change, land use, and biodiversity loss, should facilitate efforts to mitigate plague for One Health purposes (i.e., to achieve optimal health outcomes for people, animals, plants, and their shared environment) [[3\]](#page-9-2). This review builds upon that multisectoral objective.

# **A Brief History of Plague and Its Introduction to North America**

From an evolutionary perspective, *Y. pestis* (Enterobacteriaceae) might be considered a newly evolved pathogen [[4\]](#page-9-3). It adapted to flea-borne transmission only ~ 3000–6000 years ago [[5](#page-9-4)]. Most evidence suggests *Y. pestis* originated in Asia [\[6\]](#page-9-5) and subsequently spread broadly to become a scourge of human history across much of Earth [[7\]](#page-9-6). Here, we concentrate on *Y. pestis* in the Western US, where the pathogen is classifed as a tier 1 biological agent on the U.S. Health and Human Services and USDA Select Agents and Toxins list. Since, in recent decades, the majority of human plague cases are reported from East and Central Africa and Madagascar [\[8](#page-9-7), [9](#page-9-8)], we also draw upon research from Madagascar and Uganda for a more encompassing current view of the bacterium's far-reaching infuence.

In the early 1900s, *Y. pestis* was introduced to North America on trading ships carrying fea-infested rats to seaports of Louisiana, Texas, California, and Washington [[10](#page-9-9), [11](#page-9-10)]. Continued introductions and brief associations with commensal rats and feas were mostly eliminated through inspection and sanitation of quarantined wharf ships and urban sanitation/rodent control [[12](#page-9-11)]. In 1903, health researchers in California suspected that *Y. pestis* was present in California ground squirrels (*Otospermophilus beecheyi*), and in 1908, the pathogen was also found in peridomestic rats, other free-ranging rodents, and fea vec-tors [[13,](#page-9-12) [14](#page-9-13)]. Eradication of *Y. pestis* proved to be difficult to impossible due to its presence in multiple host and fea species [[7,](#page-9-6) [10](#page-9-9), [15](#page-10-0)[–17\]](#page-10-1). *Y. pestis* quickly naturalized itself among native mammal and fea species of the Pacifc coast and interior California [[18](#page-10-2)]. Over time, *Y. pestis* invaded areas>2000 km eastward, expanding to multiple regions and ecosystems. Mammalian hosts, fea vectors, soil characteristics, weather, and climate played infuential roles in expansion to the  $100<sup>th</sup>$  meridian in the USA [[19](#page-10-3)–[23](#page-10-4)]. Similar scenarios of maritime *Y. pestis* invasion apply to its invasion of Africa [\[8,](#page-9-7) [24](#page-10-5)].

In a seminal book on ecological invasions, Elton [[25\]](#page-10-6) describes the spread of *Y. pestis* in the Western US as akin to invasions by other introduced organisms. Kugeler et al. [\[26](#page-10-7)] characterized three distinct eras of human plague in the USA: common but restricted outbreaks occurring in populous Pacifc port cities from 1900 to 1925, rapid geographic expansion among wildlife with a falling number of human cases from 1926 to 1964, and sporadic annual human cases, primarily in the rural Southwest combined with continued spread among wildlife from 1965 to 2012 (a trend continuing today, with an annual average of seven confrmed human plague cases). The scenario is diferent in other areas. From 2006 to 2015, about 97% of reported plague cases (11,247 of 11,598) were reported from Madagascar, the countries of Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), Tanzania, and Uganda [\[8](#page-9-7), [9](#page-9-8), [27](#page-10-8)].

#### **Plague Epidemiology**

*Yersinia pestis* remains a significant hazard to human health. Following the onset of symptoms, septicemic and pneumonic plagues are almost 100% fatal within 1 to 4 days without appropriate antimicrobial treatment [[28](#page-10-9), [29](#page-10-10)]. With early detection and diagnosis, some treatment protocols are highly efective (e.g., streptomycin) [\[7\]](#page-9-6). Strains of *Y. pestis* carrying resistance plasmids, or strains capable of acquiring resistance plasmids via horizontal gene transfer from other Enterobacteriaceae, remain an enduring threat [\[30](#page-10-11)[–32](#page-10-12)]. Likewise, the emergence of more adaptive changes is anticipated due to natural selection and, perhaps, artifcial experimentation in laboratories [\[33–](#page-10-13)[35\]](#page-10-14).

#### **Ecological Consequences of Plague**

Globally, *Y. pestis* transmission imposes extraordinary ecologic and evolutionary consequences. *Yersinia pestis* mostly circulates among rodents and their feas, but there is potential for spillover to several sympatric mammal species including carnivores, lagomorphs, and insectivores such as Eulipotyphla, raising concerns for wildlife conservation [\[36\]](#page-10-15). The bacterium can infect and kill nearly all susceptible mammals [[6,](#page-9-5) [36](#page-10-15), [37\]](#page-10-16) as it spreads rapidly during occasional but repeated epizootic outbreaks [[38–](#page-10-17)[40](#page-10-18)], colonizes new habitats [[8,](#page-9-7) [19\]](#page-10-3), and persists and kills hosts between epizootics [[41–](#page-10-19)[46\]](#page-10-20). *Yersinia pestis* has a demonstrated ability to transform ecosystems in the Western US by reducing native species abundance and diversity, altering competitive and trophic relationships, undercutting food web connections, distorting nutrient cycles, reducing ecosystem resilience, and depopulating imperiled species [\[18](#page-10-2), [36,](#page-10-15) [38,](#page-10-17) [48](#page-10-21)]. As discussed 20 years ago [\[36\]](#page-10-15) and still applicable today, *Y. pestis* has received little attention in ecological reviews of non-native organisms (see [[49](#page-10-22)] for a recent exception). New research suggests *Yersinia* murine toxin (Ymt) facilitates spillover among a variety of mammals, highlighting opportunities for ecological disruptions [[50\]](#page-10-23). Earlier *Y. pestis* strains lacking Ymt may have circulated mostly among brown rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) and their fleas [[50\]](#page-10-23). Acquisition of Ymt via horizontal gene transfer [[51](#page-10-24)] expanded the range of hosts considerably [\[50](#page-10-23)] and enhanced bacterial survival in feas [\[52](#page-11-0)], helping *Y. pestis* to become a sweeping threat.

We view *Y. pestis* as an infuential ecological entity of conservation concern and One Health importance [[18,](#page-10-2) [36,](#page-10-15) [42](#page-10-25), [47](#page-10-26), [48,](#page-10-21) [53–](#page-11-1)[57](#page-11-2)]. In this review, we aim to raise awareness of *Y. pestis*. We discuss the following topics, with citations and case examples for illustration: (1) descriptions of epizootic and enzootic plague manifestations, (2) mechanisms of *Y. pestis* transmission by feas and the evolution of hypervirulence to mammalian hosts, (3) "shifting baselines syndrome" caused by *Y. pestis*, and (4) an update on methods and tools for plague mitigation. We conclude by briefy discussing the need for more research on plague across varied ecosystems.

# **Epizootic and Enzootic Plague**

The literature commonly lacks explicit defnitions of ecological phenomena associated with plague, which may hinder scientifc progress. In this review, we consider *Y. pestis* transmission rates to occur along a continuum. We have defned epizootic plague (mainly in studies of prairie dogs, which are highly susceptible) as outbreaks resulting in the deaths of≥90% of hosts in a given population over a defned geographic area and within a short time span (often months; e.g., [[50](#page-10-23)]). In a dichotomous classifcation, enzootic plague includes all slower *Y. pestis* transmission rates afecting lesser proportions of hosts [\[50](#page-10-23), [54\]](#page-11-3) often on smaller spatial scales and over longer time intervals. Defnitions may vary by region or local rodent community, particularly in regions with species thought to be less susceptible to *Y. pestis*, and context-specifc defnitions are perhaps useful. This practical classifcation of *Y. pestis* transmission is defned by differential mortality with spatial and temporal limitations. In this sense, epizootic plague defnes the high mortality end of a spectrum and enzootic plague encompasses a large range of lesser transmission and host mortality rates [[58\]](#page-11-4); what is considered enzootic conditions in some regions may be considered epizootic in others.

Epizootics as we have defned them in prairie dogs, for instance, might be rare or absent in some mammal communities [[58\]](#page-11-4), and perceptions may lead to difering mitigation strategies. From a public health perspective, for instance, the threshold for epizootic transmission (and therefore increased risk of transmission to the public) may be much lower than for prairie dog systems. In the Sierra Nevada Mountains of California, numerous rodent species of variable susceptibility are involved in the enzootic maintenance and epizootic transmission of *Y. pestis*. Epizootic mortality (as defned here) is rarely detected partly because of complex variations in rodent density, diversity, and susceptibility (and other factors), but any transmission signifcantly above the baseline is considered epizootic and may need to be mitigated to decrease a risk of transmission to the public.

One of the most striking aspects of *Y. pestis* is its ability to spread explosively during epizootics [[55](#page-11-5)]. These generative, fulminating events [\[59\]](#page-11-6) kill enormous numbers of susceptible animals in an area, or multiple areas connected epidemiologically, sometimes in quick succession, for instance within days, weeks, or months [[14](#page-9-13), [39](#page-10-27)]. These epizootic periods represent times when humans are at greatest risk of acquiring plague infection. In addition, at least some *Y. pestis* transmission and host mortality can occur during longer periods of enzootic plague, when a lack of obvious mortality in some cases makes it seem as if *Y. pestis* has disappeared [\[55](#page-11-5)]. The bacterium actually persists or is maintained in a "plague triad" including hosts and their feas [\[41](#page-10-19)] with potential roles for soils, amoebas, and other factors in bacterial maintenance [\[55](#page-11-5)]. How *Y. pestis* persists during enzootic periods and what triggers transitions to epizootics are debated; adaptive strategies for *Y. pestis* to persist during enzootic periods and to spread rapidly during epizootics were reviewed previously in the literature [[60,](#page-11-7) [61](#page-11-8)]. Although the mechanism by which *Y. pestis* persists during inter-epizootic periods is largely unknown, from a public health perspective, early recognition of plague epizootics is paramount to reducing plague morbidity and mortality.

In the plague literature, host species have sometimes been characterized as enzootic "maintenance" and/or epizootic "amplifying" hosts [[62\]](#page-11-9). Efectively, *Y. pestis* might be maintained by sustained transmission among partially resistant enzootic hosts and their feas and occasionally spread to more highly susceptible epizootic hosts that, along with their feas, allow for *Y. pestis* amplifcation and epizootic or sustained enzootic spread. Although this dichotomy seems reasonable, evidence for separate enzootic and epizootic cycles is perhaps unconvincing, and epizootics may represent periods of greatly increased transmission among the same hosts and feas that support *Y. pestis* during enzootic periods [[6\]](#page-9-5) (additional review in [\[60](#page-11-7)]).

Epizootic outbreaks can be apparent among some conspicuous, susceptible rodents or populations, especially diurnal, colonial sciurids such as prairie dogs (*Cynomys* spp.) and ground squirrels, or rats in urban and rural settings [\[54](#page-11-3), [63](#page-11-10)]. The outbreaks are sometimes (but not always [\[64](#page-11-11)]) accompanied by detection of *Y. pestis* in hosts, carcasses, and/or feas. In contrast, due to the sequestered activity of enzootic plague, slow declines in host densities are typically not appreciated until late in the process, if at all, and *Y. pestis* is even more difficult to detect [\[42,](#page-10-25) [54\]](#page-11-3). Species declines from enzootic plague are more likely to be incorrectly attributed to familiar causes such as concurrent habitat destruction, hunting, food scarcity, and climatic events [\[65](#page-11-12)].

Ecologists and epidemiologists agree epizootic plague is a real phenomenon, whereas the concept of enzootic plague is debated. Colman et al. [[66](#page-11-13)] suggested confrmation of enzootic plague, as defned here, would require detection of *Y. pestis* with specifc PCR assays, particularly those targeting multiple regions of the *Y. pestis* genome (e.g., the *pla* and *F1* genes), in the absence of host mortality of epizootic proportions as defned by≥90% mortality criterion. Tests on multiple targets are important because the *pla* gene is not specifc to *Y. pestis*, and the presence of any one marker is not assured. During a 3-year capture-mark-recapture study of 4 prairie dog species on 58 plots in 7 western states [\[67](#page-11-14)], *Y. pestis* was positively detected via PCR testing of *pla* and *F1* genes from prairie dog carcasses (16 plot-year cases) and/or feas (15 plot-year cases) collected from plots lacking epizootic declines (Table [1](#page-3-0)). Detection in host carcasses was

L,

172 Current Tropical Medicine Reports (2022) 9:169–184

defned as "confrmed plague" [\[67\]](#page-11-14). Thus, under Colman et al.'s [\[66](#page-11-13)] recommendations, which might be considered stringent given *Y. pestis* must be detected [[64,](#page-11-11) [68,](#page-11-15) [69](#page-11-16)], our defnition of enzootic plague seems reasonable, even for purported amplifying hosts like prairie dogs. Additional support for the concept of enzootic plague comes from experiments involving vaccination (direct support) and fea control measures and intensive monitoring and testing of feas and hosts (indirect support) with highly susceptible mammals (prairie dogs [[54](#page-11-3)]; black-footed ferrets, *Mustela nigripes* [[42](#page-10-25)]; woodrats, *Neotoma* spp. [\[70](#page-11-17)]; ground squirrels, *Urocitellus* spp.; and yellow-pine chipmunks, *Neotamias amoenus* [\[57](#page-11-2)]; see also [\[21](#page-10-28), [45](#page-10-29), [71](#page-11-18)]). Regular serological surveillance of rodents and carnivores, combined with evaluation of other indicators of plague activity (e.g., carcasses, fea data, evidence of burrow abandonment), can provide useful information to determine the suspected magnitude (i.e., enzootic or epizootic) and/or extent of plague activity in an area [\[43](#page-10-30)].

Put simply, accumulating evidence demonstrates that fea-borne *Y. pestis* can actively kill hosts in the presence or absence of epizootic outbreaks regardless of how epizootic is defined  $[45, 72]$  $[45, 72]$  $[45, 72]$  $[45, 72]$ . This general concept is not new (e.g., [[73\]](#page-11-20)), and experiences in California demonstrate that it is not uncommon to see mortality (e.g., *Y. pestis* positive host carcasses) in highly and moderately susceptible rodent species without evidence of obvious population declines [[43\]](#page-10-30).

# **Flea‑Borne** *Yersinia pestis* **Transmission and Hypervirulence**

Generally speaking, feas are a "key" to *Y. pestis* trans-mission [[17](#page-10-1), [74–](#page-11-21)[78](#page-11-22)], though other modes of transmission occur (e.g., inhalation of respiratory droplets or consumption of infectious carcasses [[6](#page-9-5), [22\]](#page-10-31)). At least half of the *Y. pestis* life cycle occurs in the flea, which is another site of refuge, replication, gene sharing, and adaptation [[77,](#page-11-23)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Prairie dog population responses and levels of suspected *Y. pestis* circulation on plots with *Y. pestis*-positive host carcasses or fea pools during a 3-year capture-markrecapture study of 4 prairie dog species on 58 plots in 7 western states [[67](#page-11-14)]



<sup>a</sup>Change in catch-per-unit effort (*n* is the number of unique animals captured/number of trap days)

<sup>b</sup>Suspected *Y. pestis* circulation ( $\geq$  90% decline = epizootic, < 90% = enzootic)

c Samples tested for *pla* and *F1* genes using PCR

 $d$ Sampling unit=individual trapping plots by year

[78](#page-11-22)]. Multiple lines of evidence demonstrate that fea-borne transmission predominates, particularly with rodent hosts [\[4,](#page-9-3) [76,](#page-11-24) [79–](#page-11-25)[81](#page-11-26)]. Field experiments during enzootic and epizootic periods are revealing; in many cases, if fea populations are controlled with the use of insecticides, *Y. pestis* transmission is reduced or eliminated, thereby retaining or increasing host survival and population densities [[42,](#page-10-25) [47,](#page-10-26) [54](#page-11-3), [57](#page-11-2)]. For more on this topic, see also [\[82,](#page-11-27) [83\]](#page-11-28).

There are two main mechanisms of fea-borne *Y. pestis* transmission [[4](#page-9-3)]. The frst mechanism, termed early-phase transmission [\[84\]](#page-11-29), can occur when a newly infected fea next feeds on a naïve host. In this scenario, within a few hours after feas ingest blood from a host with high numbers of *Y. pestis*, the bacteria coalesce into multicellular aggregates that localize to the proventriculus, a valve in the flea foregut  $[76, 85]$  $[76, 85]$  $[76, 85]$  $[76, 85]$  $[76, 85]$ . These forming bacterial masses can be sufficient to interfere with blood flow into the midgut during the next feeding event, resulting in backfow of blood mixed with dislodged *Y. pestis* into the bite site [\[76,](#page-11-24) [85](#page-11-30)]. This early transmission phenomenon following a short extrinsic incubation period  $(\leq 4$  days post-infection) was originally called mass transmission because it depends on several infected feas feeding simultaneously on the same host, though early-phase transmission has also been demonstrated for a single fea [[86](#page-11-31)[–89\]](#page-12-0). It was long assumed to be due to mechanical transmission via contaminated mouthparts. However, *Y. pestis* survives for only a few hours on flea mouthparts [\[90\]](#page-12-1), and so is probably nonviable by the next feeding attempt. Several lines of evidence suggest that the early-phase mechanism involves regurgitation from a fouled foregut [[76](#page-11-24), [85\]](#page-11-30). Early-phase transmission potential is signifcantly lower in subsequent feeds [[60](#page-11-7), [91](#page-12-2)], presumably because the initial proventricular obstruction is transient; incoming blood during the frst post-infection feed eventually fushes most of the bacterial mass out of the proventriculus back into the midgut [[4,](#page-9-3) [16,](#page-10-32) [88](#page-11-32)]. Thus, infectiousness typically wanes over the frst few days following the fea's infectious feeding on a highly bacteremic host and may not recur unless the flea takes another infectious blood meal [[60](#page-11-7), [91](#page-12-2)].

The second phase of transmission ensues after *Y. pestis* establishes a cohesive bioflm in the proventriculus that is refractory to being fushed back into the midgut during blood feeding. This mode is referred to as proventricular bioflmdependent transmission or, more familiarly, the "blocked fea model" of transmission [[92\]](#page-12-3). As the bioflm grows and consolidates, it interferes with normal blood feeding and eventually completely blocks the passage of blood into the midgut. Complete blockage typically does not develop until 7 to 21 days or later after infection but can occur as early as 5 days [\[4](#page-9-3)]. Blocked feas are unable to feed to repletion, if at all, but persistently probe and strenuously attempt to feed throughout the few days before they starve to death [[92](#page-12-3)].

The altered, sustained feeding behavior of a blocked fea is a signifcant multiplier of transmission probability [[4\]](#page-9-3).

Unlike many arthropod-borne pathogens, which rely on a single vector species, *Y. pestis* is a generalist, able to infect and be transmitted by many diferent fea species via the mechanisms described above. The transmission potential of its many diferent fea vector species varies considerably, which is evident for both modes of transmission [\[16,](#page-10-32) [93](#page-12-4)]. This, together with the varying degrees of susceptibility of its many diferent wild rodent hosts, contributes to the complex ecology of plague. Notably, some important fea vector species do not develop proventricular blockage readily, leading to proposals that early-phase transmission is more important in some host populations (reviewed recently in [[94\]](#page-12-5)). Quantitative estimates of blockage rates have been based on diferent experimental conditions, making comparisons problematic and sometimes leading to discordant conclusions [\[93](#page-12-4)]. Chronic infectivity and subsequent blockage are sensitive to infectious dose and blood source [\[95](#page-12-6)]. Furthermore, the relative importance of early-phase vs. blockage-dependent mechanisms following a single infectious blood meal has yet to be systematically evaluated for any fea species. These signifcant unknowns merit further research [\[16\]](#page-10-32).

Nonetheless, both modes of transmission are fairly ineffcient requiring a large number of feas to sustain epizootic transmission [[84](#page-11-29), [96](#page-12-7)]. During epizootics, as hosts die of infection, the average number of feas per remaining host typically increases  $[58]$ , thus increasing the efficiency of transmission during both phases of infection. During both enzootic and epizootic periods, the need for blocked feas to attempt multiple blood feeding opportunities increases the overall rates of transmission by individual feas. Together, early phase and blocked fea transmission combine to extend the infectious period of individual infected feas, but the rate of *Y. pestis* spread in a mammal population or community is dependent on contact rates between feas and hosts.

Plague endemicity and transmission rates might be explained by host and fea diversity, for instance with multiple fea species, of varying host preferences, facilitating persistence and transmission [[17\]](#page-10-1). Moreover, fea physiology and feeding preferences may infuence local transmission rates [[4](#page-9-3)]. Diferences in digestive tract physiology, foregut anatomy, and feeding frequency likely contribute to the varying degrees of vector competence among fea species [\[16](#page-10-32), [88,](#page-11-32) [93\]](#page-12-4). Recently, it has been recognized that the source of host blood afects the prevalence of infection and *Y. pestis* loads in fleas [[97\]](#page-12-8); subsequent work showed that the biochemical characteristics of host blood is important, independent of any factors intrinsic to the fea [[95\]](#page-12-6). Host blood with a poorly soluble hemoglobin molecule, such as rat and guinea pig blood, is digested more slowly by feas and correlates with a phenomenon termed post-infection esophageal refux [\[95](#page-12-6), [97](#page-12-8)]. When feas are infected using rat blood, the proventricular is colonized more aggressively and infected blood is found in the esophagus within a day after an infectious blood meal [[95\]](#page-12-6). In this case, the proventricular obstruction is more resistant to dislodgement, and *Y. pestis* is already present in the esophagus, which can enhance regurgitative early-phase transmission [\[95](#page-12-6)]. Because postinfection esophageal refux helps to stabilize proventricular colonization, *Y. pestis* may quickly develop a protective biofilm, producing overlap with the second phase of transmission in feas.

Fleas are integral to the process, but they are not especially efficient at transmitting *Y. pestis* [\[96](#page-12-7)]. In many cases, the dose of *Y. pestis* needed to infect an individual fea is high (ID<sub>50</sub>=4.8×10<sup>3</sup> *Y. pestis*), at least partly because *Y*. *pestis* does not adhere to or invade the midgut epithelium and may be eliminated rapidly through peristalsis and excretion in fea feces [\[96](#page-12-7)]. Consequently, it seems feas must feed on a mammalian host with terminal septicemia to become infected (e.g.,  $> 10^8$  *Y. pestis/ml peripheral blood [[96](#page-12-7)]).* Moreover, if fea infection occurs, the number of *Y. pestis* colony-forming units (CFUs) transmitted per individual blocked flea bite is highly variable  $(0 \text{ to} > 1000 \text{ CFUs}$  and transmission rate of ~ 40 to 50% for *Xenopsylla cheopis* [[96\]](#page-12-7)) even though infected fleas may contain  $4.8 \times 10^5$  or more *Y. pestis* organisms. The transmission rate of individual early-phase fleas infected using rat blood is  $\sim$  5 to 10% [\[94\]](#page-12-5); the number of CFUs transmitted per flea has not been determined but appears to be even lower than for blocked fleas  $[93, 95]$  $[93, 95]$  $[93, 95]$  $[93, 95]$  $[93, 95]$ . Inefficient flea infection and transmission of few *Y. pestis* (or fewer than needed) reduces transmission efficiency.

Poor flea vector efficiency coupled with the need for feas to imbibe highly bacteremic blood to reliably become infected [\[77](#page-11-23), [98\]](#page-12-9) may provide the evolutionary explanation for *Y. pestis*' high virulence (i.e., host killing capacity [[96,](#page-12-7) [99](#page-12-10)]). Reliance on the blood-feeding fea for transmission has naturally selected for *Y. pestis* strains that produce an aggressive infection and high virulence in mammals [\[76,](#page-11-24) [100](#page-12-11)]. Host deaths encourage live infectious feas to quest for new hosts, resulting in more host deaths, host-seeking by more "focking" feas, and further host deaths, and so forth, perpetuating *Y. pestis* transmission and epizootic spread in a positive feedback cycle [[58\]](#page-11-4) with varying degrees of plague mortality among host species and populations of difering density/susceptibility and fea communities/densities.

In some cases, as an epizootic subsides, plague dynamics transition into the largely occult, enzootic phase, during which *Y. pestis* is perhaps "hiding in plain sight," is often undetected [\[42](#page-10-25), [54\]](#page-11-3), even with targeted surveillance [\[101](#page-12-12)], while killing hosts or even "cooling off" when few to no live feas or (perhaps preferred) hosts are available (e.g., persisting in soils, or even plants or amoebas, or host carcasses, or moving elsewhere on the landscape [[55,](#page-11-5) [60](#page-11-7), [61,](#page-11-8) [102](#page-12-13)[–105](#page-12-14)]. Inapparent, latent long-term infections have often been documented within rodent populations, and feas may also assist in maintaining prolonged prevalence of *Y. pestis* in locales [[60,](#page-11-7) [61,](#page-11-8) [106,](#page-12-15) [107](#page-12-16)]. Where and how *Y. pestis* persists during such periods is poorly understood and a top research priority. When conditions allow in some host species or mammal communities, or a trigger is pulled or a match is sparked, so to speak, yet another epizootic event may ensue and endure until conditions dampen transmission rates back to enzootic proportions (the latter of which might actually be the modus operandi of *Y. pestis* [\[58](#page-11-4)]). In essence, the effects of *Y. pestis* are perhaps unrelenting. Yet, human perceptions of plague's far-reaching infuence on natural ecosystems may shift over time.

# *Yersinia pestis* **and Shifting Baseline Syndrome**

"Any measure of change in a natural ecosystem must be grounded upon a well-defned natural standard or benchmark against which potential changes are measured and evaluated in relation to natural variation in the system"~Dayton et al. [[108\]](#page-12-17).

The defnition of a meaningful benchmark of abundance or distributions is perhaps impossible for *Y. pestis*-susceptible mammal species. Humans had already caused signifcant ecologic change before *Y. pestis* invaded the Western US, for instance, and the invasion itself preceded collections of benchmark data for many species. Thus, the efects of plague can only be measured relative to an already altered state. This sort of scenario allows for shifting and sliding ecologic benchmarks and baselines.

Pauly [[109\]](#page-12-18) was perhaps the first scientist to use the term shifting baseline syndrome, in relation to fsheries, defning the syndrome as occurring because each new generation of fsheries scientists accepts as a baseline the conditions that occurred at the beginning of their careers, and they use this baseline to evaluate changes. As ecosystems change over time, past ecosystem states are sometimes forgotten. Consequently, the baseline used shifts, perhaps to a more and more degraded state [\[110](#page-12-19)].

*Yersinia pestis* may be contributing to a shifting baseline syndrome. In this context, we discuss prairie dogs, which can serve a noticeable role in amplifying *Y. pestis* in the grasslands of western North America [\[18](#page-10-2)]. Independent estimates place total prairie dog occupancy around 40 million ha in the early 1900s [[111](#page-12-20)]. *Yersinia pestis* was detected among prairie dogs in 1932 [[112\]](#page-12-21) and thereafter devastated their populations  $[18]$ . The impacts to prairie dogs have been profound [[111](#page-12-20)]. Admittedly, however, estimates of prairie dog densities before European settlement and the invasion of *Y. pestis* are incomplete [[113\]](#page-12-22).

Prairie dogs are classified into two subgenera, each ecologically unique [[114](#page-12-23), [115\]](#page-12-24). Neither subgenus exhibits functional resistance to *Y. pestis* [[36](#page-10-15), [116](#page-12-25)]. Opportunities for *Y. pestis* transmission might be reduced in colonies of prairie dogs from the "white-tail" subgenus *Leucocrossuromys* (*Cynomys gunnisoni*, *Cynomys leucurus*, and *Cynomys parvidens*) which typically occur at lower densities, and in more fragmented distributions within colonies, than prairie dogs in the "black-tail" subgenus *Cynomys* (in particular, black-tailed prairie dogs [\[117](#page-12-26)]). If a host species maintains low densities and patches of hosts are spatially isolated, *Y. pestis* may spread more slowly [\[117](#page-12-26)], suggesting *Leucocrossuromys* prairie dogs would experience plague epizootics less frequently or at diferent scales. Similar arguments have been proposed for rodents on other continents [\[118](#page-12-27), [119](#page-12-28)].

Nevertheless, members of the white-tail subgenus of prairie dogs are substantially afected by *Y. pestis*. For example, between 1984 and 1997, plague nearly extirpated Gunnison's prairie dogs (*C. gunnisoni*) from the Moreno Valley, New Mexico [[117](#page-12-26)], and between 1941 and 1977 plague eliminated them from South Park, Colorado [\[120](#page-12-29)]. *Yersinia pestis* has persisted in white-tailed prairie dogs (*C. leucurus*) near Meeteetse, Wyoming, since at least 1984 or 1985 [[36\]](#page-10-15). *Yersinia pestis* is repeatedly detected (during targeted studies) in colonies of Utah prairie dogs (*C. parvidens*) throughout much of their range and is considered one of the primary threats to this listed species [\[18](#page-10-2), [54](#page-11-3)].

If prairie dogs or other mammals persist on landscapes under the infuence of *Y. pestis*, their colonies (or subpopulations) often become smaller and more isolated and may exist as "metapopulations" [\[121](#page-12-30), [122](#page-12-31)]. Occupancy patterns may include extinctions followed by recolonization of some, but not all sites in a manner consistent with plague [\[123,](#page-12-32) [124\]](#page-12-33). The white-tailed subgenus of prairie dogs is often characterized as having patchy distributions of populations at low densities compared to black-tailed prairie dogs, but we cannot assess whether this phenomenon was historically normal, or a result of decades of persistent plague. Before *Y. pestis*' arrival, both *Leucocrossuromys* and *Cynomys*, while ecologically diferent, might have occurred at similar densities and distributions in regions with comparable rates of primary production and predation [\[125](#page-12-34)].

The historic structure and functioning of plague-afected ecosystems can be partially restored if the disease is efectively and operationally managed for conservation purposes [\[125\]](#page-12-34). However, shifting baseline syndrome and the resulting moving target, sometimes of reduced expectations [\[108](#page-12-17)], may stimulate proposals for management actions that maintain an altered state. In some cases, it has been argued that mammals of the Western US, including prairie dogs, should be managed in a manner that promotes metapopulation structure, because metapopulations sometimes persist under plague pressure (depending on specifc details of those metapopulations [\[121\]](#page-12-30)). For instance, focus areas of mammal conservation might be identified as areas with sufficient numbers and distributions of colonies to be considered a metapopulation. At least two points are important to the application of such an approach:

- 1. Metapopulation approaches in this context assume that the underlying subpopulations operate independently [\[126](#page-12-35)]. As discussed in the next section, climatic patterns create spatial synchrony in the occurrence of plague epizootics, sometimes over broad landscapes in the Western US [\[40](#page-10-18), [127\]](#page-12-36), thereby forcing multiple mammal subpopulations or populations into plague outbreaks during the same general timeframe [\[61](#page-11-8), [128](#page-13-0)[–130](#page-13-1)]. This synchrony limits the applicability of the metapopulation concept when used in the context of plague mitigation. The fates of mammals in neighboring areas are often correlated [[129,](#page-13-2) [131,](#page-13-3) [132\]](#page-13-4).
- 2. Even if mammals can sustain metapopulations under pressure from *Y. pestis*, their densities can be chronically reduced by plague [[36](#page-10-15), [54,](#page-11-3) [133\]](#page-13-5). Repeated bouts of extinction and recolonization may allow for continued taxonomic representation of such mammal species, but the corresponding habitat fragmentation, continued oscillations in abundance, and chronically struggling subpopulations subjected to enzootic plague can inhibit them from serving their ecologic functions, sometimes as keystone species or ecosystem engineers [\[18](#page-10-2), [134](#page-13-6)].

There are also flaws inherent to implied (or unintentional) arguments for the creation of fragmented metapopulations of mammals at low densities. These arguments fail to recognize that in many cases, spatial isolation does not necessarily reduce the vulnerability of mammalian hosts to *Y. pestis* [[120,](#page-12-29) [121,](#page-12-30) [128](#page-13-0), [135](#page-13-7)] nor do low host densities [\[58\]](#page-11-4). In fact, with prairie dogs, evidence suggests *Y. pestis* persists on or very near their colonies, perhaps eliminating any spatial isolation. Indeed, once *Y. pestis* invades an area, it appears to locally persist in many cases [[44](#page-10-33), [46\]](#page-10-20) but can remain undetected. It is also likely that the inter-colony habitats are occupied by other rodent species that may maintain plague. Moreover, habitat constraints reduce connectivity among subpopulations, thereby reducing the rate at which mammals recolonize extirpated sites, especially in the case of smaller and relatively sedentary species of limited dispersal capabilities [\[126\]](#page-12-35). There is evidence to suggest some rodent subpopulations may evolve some, or perhaps locally strong, resistance to *Y. pestis* [\[136](#page-13-8)[–138\]](#page-13-9), but opportunities for such adaptive responses are reduced for less dense and more genetically isolated subpopulations [[116\]](#page-12-25). Furthermore, isolated populations are more vulnerable to extirpation due to additional threats such as unusual weather patterns, fre, and high predation pressure, which are occurring with increasing frequency and intensity as the climate changes [\[126](#page-12-35)].

The metapopulation and isolation strategies illustrate contradictions and trade-ofs. Plague converts some mammal populations (e.g., of some rodents) into fragmented metapopulations, thereby creating smaller, more isolated subpopulations that are chronically afected, and in some cases, extirpated by *Y. pestis* [\[47](#page-10-26), [54](#page-11-3), [123,](#page-12-32) [124,](#page-12-33) [132](#page-13-4)]. In fact, patchy distributions of highly *Y. pestis*-susceptible territorial hosts might even favor long-term *Y. pestis* maintenance [[45,](#page-10-29) [70](#page-11-17), [124](#page-12-33), [139](#page-13-10)].

# **Integrated Plague Mitigation Toolbox**

Generally speaking, plague might be managed under an Integrated Pest Management strategy, with *Y. pestis* defned as the pest [[140](#page-13-11)]. Ecological disruptions caused by *Y. pestis* and the complexity of interactions make simple silver-bullet solutions mostly unattainable. Available methods and tools for plague mitigation are numerous, and their efficacy varies by context, sometimes widely. In any given system, plague mitigation is facilitated by an increased understanding of the particular hosts and feas involved [[141–](#page-13-12)[147](#page-13-13)].

The goals of plague mitigation vary widely. From a public health perspective, the primary objectives are to recognize epizootics prior to the onset of human cases, or to understand where humans were exposed to *Y. pestis* to prevent subsequent infections, primarily through a combination of education, habitat manipulation, and limited use of insecticides for fea control [[41\]](#page-10-19). In Uganda, for instance, where human health is of primary interest, the goal typically is not to eradicate *Y. pestis* or even to prevent epizootics, but rather to disrupt transmission to humans [[147](#page-13-13)]. In the Western US, *Y. pestis* has a vast geographic range and surveillance is commonly focused on areas where human contact with infected feas and rodents is elevated (e.g., popular campgrounds [\[43,](#page-10-30) [148\]](#page-13-14)).

Following the recognition of increased transmission, an epizootic among rodents, or human plague cases, public education assists in increasing awareness of *Y. pestis*, transmission pathways, rodent die-ofs, and efective mitigation strategies that prompt people to alter their behavior in ways to reduce exposure [[147](#page-13-13), [149,](#page-13-15) [150](#page-13-16)]. Community education also helps to emphasize the importance of seeking care rapidly if plague symptoms occur [\[151,](#page-13-17) [152\]](#page-13-18). Including One Health messages can improve public health outcomes and conservation ethic [[153\]](#page-13-19). Vector control is implemented on a limited basis when the potential for human contact with infectious feas justifes use [\[149,](#page-13-15) [154](#page-13-20)]; for instance, in California, fea control is typically conducted when *Y. pestis* has been detected in areas with increased human risk (e.g., campgrounds) and fea densities are greater than one fea per rodent. Efective antibiotics are available for human treatment, notwithstanding the importance of quick diagnosis, response, and treatment [\[7](#page-9-6), [155](#page-13-21)]. Improvements in spatial and climate modeling and mapping of potential exposure sites aid in targeting limited public health resources dedicated to plague prevention [\[156](#page-13-22)].

Rodent control is not commonly implemented as a means of plague control. As discussed previously, thinning of native rodent populations is unlikely to be efective in plague mitigation. In fact, this sort of approach has proven inefective many times [\[155](#page-13-21)]. However, thinning of rodent populations might be deemed an appropriate means of preventing human exposure to infected rodents and feas, particularly in domestic or peridomestic settings where invasive rodent species pose multiple risks to human health (e.g., *Rattus* spp.: [[157,](#page-13-23) [158](#page-13-24)]) or at recreational sites (e.g., campgrounds). Even so, multiple trap types may be required to capture and remove (or kill) rodents [\[147](#page-13-13), [158\]](#page-13-24) and connectedness of the landscape might prove to be an impediment [\[159](#page-13-25)]. Moreover, rodent control without prior or concurrent fea control encourages infectious feas to quest from carcasses to susceptible hosts and, discouragingly, thinning of rat densities may lead to increased host movement, facilitating *Y. pestis* spread and higher disease prevalence [[7\]](#page-9-6). Habitat modifcation, including reducing food or refuge for rodents in and around human habitations and public use areas (e.g., campgrounds and trails), is often recommended as a prevention strategy [[28\]](#page-10-9). Judicious application of insecticides (e.g., limiting application to areas when and where epizootics have been confrmed) can limit costs and potentially slow the evolution of insecticide resistance in feas; targeting such response activities may be guided by monitoring rodent populations for epizootics [\[150\]](#page-13-16).

In the context of wildlife conservation, the goal of plague mitigation is to prevent epizootics and dampen or eliminate enzootic transmission [\[47](#page-10-26)]. Efective mitigation necessitates targeted intervention with insecticides or vaccines for individual and/or population protection. Injectable F1 or F1-V (*Y. pestis* antigen) vaccines have shown good efficacy in target species [\[42](#page-10-25), [57](#page-11-2), [70](#page-11-17)]. Unfortunately, such applications remain time and labor intensive for large-scale use and reagents are limited in supply. Hence, these vaccines have been mostly limited to experimental uses, such as proof-of-concept studies [[160\]](#page-13-26), but also were very efective for targeted investigations of plague effects on host populations  $[42, 57, 57]$  $[42, 57, 57]$  $[42, 57, 57]$  $[42, 57, 57]$  $[42, 57, 57]$ [70](#page-11-17)]. F1-V fusion protein, however, has been used widely to protect black-footed ferrets [\[42](#page-10-25), [161](#page-13-27)] and requires trapping and injection which can be efectively completed, for instance as demonstrated by annual trapping and vaccination efforts at a ferret reintroduction site in South Dakota [[162\]](#page-14-0).

A new raccoonpox-vectored oral bait vaccine that stimulates production of similar antigens has thus far shown limited promise in protecting prairie dogs from plague [[47,](#page-10-26) [67](#page-11-14), [69,](#page-11-16) [163\]](#page-14-1). Seasonality in the timing of oral baiting and other factors may have partially infuenced the results [\[164](#page-14-2)]. Also, attaining sufficient overall immunity in the field may be unlikely in some systems, because the vaccine is inefective with plague-susceptible deer mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus*), which are largely ubiquitous [\[165](#page-14-3)] but with potentially varying roles in plague ecology across the Western US [\[166](#page-14-4)]. In addition, only a portion of target rodent populations become vaccinated due to imperfect bait uptake and other factors [[167](#page-14-5)]. Finally, new additions of nonvaccinated juveniles occur in years with successful reproduction [\[69](#page-11-16)].

When using host plague vaccines, protection relies on hosts developing functionally protective antibodies against *Y. pestis* antigen(s). Assuming the vaccine has no effect on fea populations (as found, for instance, with prairie dogs and the oral vaccine noted above [\[69,](#page-11-16) [168\]](#page-14-6)), fea populations may remain unrestrained, which may allow for continued *Y. pestis* transmission to unvaccinated hosts (which could remain abundant). To illustrate this point, consider the oral vaccine experiment noted above. Over 5000 fea pools combed from live-trapped prairie dogs were tested for *Y. pestis* via PCR assays (*pla* and *F1* genes). In total, 39 of 64 (61%) *Y. pestis*-positive fea pools were collected from sites treated with the vaccine [[168](#page-14-6)]; overall, *Y. pestis* was more prevalent among flea pools on plots treated with the vaccine than on plots treated with placebo baits (chi-square  $P=0.0782$ , an interesting trend given inherent difficulties with *Y. pestis* detection noted previously.

Investigating a wide range of potential plague control methods could support the goal of meeting unique applications and challenges, with economic, social, public health, and ecological sustainability as important considerations. Arguably, the most efective approach to plague mitigation may involve fea vector control. Even small decreases in vector survival and abundance can cause large reductions in transmission [[169](#page-14-7)]. Chemical insecticides have been employed for fea control with varying success in varied applications historically [[24](#page-10-5), [170](#page-14-8)[–172](#page-14-9)]. In some cases, these insecticides are mainstays of public health and conservation action plans [[28,](#page-10-9) [162](#page-14-0), [173\]](#page-14-10). For applications in wildlife habitat over large areas, the timing [\[174](#page-14-11)], dose [\[175](#page-14-12)], and duration of efficacy  $[176]$  $[176]$  can affect costs and remain important considerations. Adding to these concerns is recent evidence demonstrating that repeated applications of insecticides can lead to resistance in feas, manifested as shorter periods of efficacy, leading to increased costs and, in some cases, mammal population losses or limitations on the compounds that can be used efectively to prevent human plague cases [\[177–](#page-14-14)[179\]](#page-14-15).

Insecticide application methods for rodents historically have included baited insecticide dusting boxes and dispensing tubes, and infusing ("dusting") insecticide directly into burrows [[171](#page-14-16), [180](#page-14-17)[–183\]](#page-14-18). Agents proven effective in some contexts include synthetic pyrethroids, organochlorines, and carbamates [[54](#page-11-3), [154](#page-13-20), [184](#page-14-19), [185](#page-14-20)]. Chitin-inhibiting insecticides (fuazuron, pyriproxyfen, and lufenuron) have also been tested on fleas with good initial effect in some cases [\[186–](#page-14-21)[188\]](#page-14-22) but had little residual action. Recently, fipronil, a GABA receptor antagonist, has gained some favor for feld applications in edible bait form [\[176](#page-14-13), [189–](#page-14-23)[193\]](#page-14-24). Compared to other agents tested, fipronil allows higher initial  $(1<sup>st</sup> hour)$ fea engorgement rates after application, facilitating uptake by, and suppression of, blood feeding adult feas [\[194](#page-14-25)]. Fipronil resistance has not yet been identifed in the feld (though *Ctenocephalides felis* cat feas may exhibit some cross-resistance to dieldrin and fpronil [[195](#page-14-26)]). Data suggest fpronil and metabolites excreted in host feces may have prolonged efects on larval fea life stages [\[176](#page-14-13)]. In addition to potential mammal toxicity from over-exposure to insecticides, secondary efects to the local biota remain a potential downside of burrow and host applications of chemical insecticides (label specifcations and other use limitations help to reduce non-target effects). Additional means of flea control, such as insect pathogenic fungi, may be of value but require further study [\[140\]](#page-13-11). With any tool, the scale, scope, and targeted species may dictate the efectiveness of mitigation measures.

## **Summary and Conclusions**

The host range of *Y. pestis* is impressive. A recent (albeit under) estimate included 354 mammal species worldwide, 279 of which are rodents [[196](#page-14-27)]. Epizootics have been documented in many rodent taxa of the Western US (e.g., prairie dogs, chipmunks, woodrats, and ground squirrels [[55\]](#page-11-5)). More than half the rodents of conservation concern in North America have ranges overlapping the invasive range of *Y. pestis* [[36](#page-10-15)]. Persistent enzootic mortality is expected for many species (including and in addition to rodents) and has been detected in multiple published experiments (e.g., three prairie dog species [[54\]](#page-11-3); black-footed ferrets [\[42\]](#page-10-25); Mexican woodrats, *Neotoma mexicana* [[56,](#page-11-33) [70\]](#page-11-17); yellow-pine chipmunks, *Neotamias amoenus*; northern Idaho ground squirrels, *Urocitellus brunneus*; and Columbian ground squirrels, *Urocitellus columbianus* [[57](#page-11-2)]). Signifcantly, there are multiple reasons to believe the efects of enzootic plague were underestimated in these controlled feld experiments [[57,](#page-11-2) [70](#page-11-17)]. In certain areas (e.g., California) where detection of enzootic/epizootic mortality is often cryptic, long-term serological surveillance of rodents and carnivores is an important tool used by public health agencies to evaluate local/regional changes in *Y. pestis* activity.

The implications of plague could be profound for a variety of host species that play critical roles in *Y. pestis*

maintenance and spread, but also susceptible spillover hosts that play less critical roles. For example, spillover hosts might include lagomorphs (e.g., *Sylvilagus* rabbits and *Ochotona* pikas). Ostensibly, the phrase spillover host might seem to suggest the efects of plague are minimal, or even entirely fortuitous for such species. However, persistence of *Y. pestis* in some hosts is not only costly for those hosts but also associated spillover species that are susceptible but perhaps inconsequential to disease maintenance [[70](#page-11-17)]. Low or moderate rates of mortality, even due to enzootic plague as defned herein, may substantially alter ecosystem function and structure over the long term [[70\]](#page-11-17).

From a top-down trophic level perspective, *Y. pestis* is a tertiary "predator" [[197\]](#page-14-28) that reduces prey biomass for other predators. The bacterium also directly kills a variety of carnivores, with unknown population efects in most cases (e.g., Canadian lynx, *Lynx canadensis* [\[198](#page-15-0)]) but with known signifcant efects in others (e.g., black-footed ferrets [\[42](#page-10-25)]). Widespread detection of *Y. pestis* among carnivores is commonly used by state and local public health agencies to inform or direct targeted surveillance activities. Bevins et al. [\[38](#page-10-17)] documented *Y. pestis* exposure in 18 wildlife species of the Western US from 2015 to 2018 (44,857 samples), including coyotes (*Canis latrans*), bobcats (*Lynx rufus*), and black bears (*Ursus americanus*), with *Y. pestis* detections in every state of the contiguous Western US.

*Y. pestis* is an invasive killer of a variety of mammals, and an ecosystem transformer throughout much of the Western US and abroad, with attendant One Health implications [[33,](#page-10-13) [149](#page-13-15), [199,](#page-15-1) [200\]](#page-15-2). Expanded research is needed to identify and quantify the efects of *Y. pestis* on a variety of host species and populations, to identify the roles of diferent fea species in plague cycles, and to determine how plague perturbations may cascade or "vortex" through ecosystems [[201](#page-15-3)], causing widespread, unrelenting conservation challenges. In this context, scientists might be considered "detectives" (sensu [[202](#page-15-4)]) and partial "justice" may involve increased recognition and awareness of *Y. pestis* and the devastation and perturbations it causes. Increased awareness may lead to more informative research and efective mitigation measures, favoring a benefcial feedback cycle that counters the destructive, pernicious positive feedback cycles *Y. pestis* imposes on wildlife. Eradication of plague is difficult to impossible, but effective mitigation is achievable. Identification of species and ecosystems negatively impacted by *Y. pestis*, and their unique ecologies, can allow for strategic mitigation and risk reduction approaches aimed at improving the resilience of these populations to this and other population-level stressors. This treatise functions to stimulate thinking and innovation on this front, for the enhancement of individuals, subpopulations, metapopulations, and populations of all species involved, and humans, wildlife communities, and ecosystems broadly.

**Acknowledgements** Many colleagues helped to shape this review. We wish to express a sincere gratitude to our colleagues for sharing their thoughts and for their efforts to better understand and manage plague for One Health purposes. We thank J. K. Peterson and J. Boulerice for the constructive reviews of the manuscript. Any use of trade, frm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. The fndings and conclusions in this article are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of the California Department of Public Health, the California Health and Human Services Agency, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, or the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. This work was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the NIAID, NIH.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of Interest** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent** This review article does not contain any new studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

### **References**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1. Sekamatte M, Krishnasamy V, Bulage L, Kihembo C, Nantima N, Monje F, et al. Multisectoral prioritization of zoonotic diseases in Uganda, 2017: a One Health perspective. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(5):e0196799.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>2. World Health Organization. Inter-regional meeting on prevention and control of plague. Antananarivo, Madagascar, 1–11 April 2006. WHO/HSE/EPR/2008.3, Geneva, 2006.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>3. Chivian E, Bernstein AS. Embedded in nature: human health and biodiversity. Environ Health Perspect. 2004;112(1):A12–3.
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>4. Hinnebusch BJ, Jarrett CO, Bland DM. Molecular and genetic mechanisms that mediate transmission of *Yersinia pestis* by feas. Biomolecules. 2021. [https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11020210.](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11020210)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>5. Achtman M, Zurth K, Morelli G, Torrea G, Guiyoule A, Carniel E. *Yersinia pestis*, the cause of plague, is a recently emerged clone of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1999;96(24):14043–8.
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>6. Gage KL, Kosoy MY. Natural history of plague: perspectives from more than a century of research. Annu Rev Entomol. 2005;50:505–28.
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>7. Vallès X, Stenseth NC, Demeure C, Horby P, Mead PS, Cabanillas O, et al. Human plague: an old scourge that needs new answers. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020;14(8):e0008251.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>8. Neerinckx S, Bertherat E, Leirs H. Human plague occurrences in Africa: an overview from 1877 to 2008. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2010;104(2):97–103.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>9. Bertherat E. Plague around the world, 2010–2015/La peste a travers le monde: 2010–2015. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 2016;91(8):89–94.
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>10. Eskey CRHV. Plague in the western part of the United States. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office; 1940.
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>11. Pollitzer R. A review of recent literature on plague. Bull World Health Organ. 1960;23(2–3):313–400.
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>12. Link VB. Plague in the United States of America. Public Health Rep. 1955;70(3):335–6.
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>13. Wherry WB. Plague among the ground squirrels of California. J Infect Dis. 1908:485–506
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>14. McCoy GW. Plague among ground squirrels in America. Epidemiol Infect. 1910;10(4):589–601.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>15. Poland JD, Barnes AM. Plague. In: Steele JF, editor. CRC handbook series in zoonoses, section A: bacterial, rickettsial, and mycotic diseases. CRC Press, Boca Raton: Florida; 1979. p. 515–97.
- <span id="page-10-32"></span>16. Eisen RJ, Eisen L, Gage KL. Studies of vector competency and efficiency of North American fleas for *Yersinia pestis*: state of the feld and future research needs. J Med Entomol. 2009;46(4):737–44.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>17. Eisen RJ, Borchert JN, Mpanga JT, Atiku LA, MacMillan K, Boegler KA, et al. Flea diversity as an element for persistence of plague bacteria in an East African plague focus. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(4):e35598.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>18. Eads DA, Biggins DE. Plague bacterium as a transformer species in prairie dogs and the grasslands of western North America. Conserv Biol. 2015;29(4):1086–93.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>19. Adjemian JZ, Foley P, Gage KL, Foley JE. Initiation and spread of traveling waves of plague, *Yersinia pestis*, in the Western United States. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007;76(2):365–75.
- 20. Nakazawa Y, Williams R, Peterson AT, Mead P, Staples E, Gage KL. Climate change efects on plague and tularemia in the United States. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2007;7(4):529–40.
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>21. Mize EL, Britten HB. Detections of *Yersinia pestis* east of the known distribution of active plague in the United States. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2016;16(2):88–95.
- <span id="page-10-31"></span>22. Barbieri R, Signoli M, Chevé D, Costedoat C, Tzortzis S, Aboudharam G, et al. *Yersinia pestis*: the natural history of plague. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2020;34(1):e00044-e119.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>23. Carlson CJ, Bevins SN, Schmid BV. Plague risk in the Western United States over seven decades of environmental change. Glob Chang Biol. 2022;28(3):753–69.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>24. Chanteau S, Ratsifasoamanana L, Rasoamanana B, Rahalison L, Randriambelosoa J, Roux J, et al. Plague, a reemerging disease in Madagascar. Emerg Infect Dis. 1998;4(1):101–4.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>25. Elton CS. The ecology of invasions by animals and plants. London: Methuen; 1958.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>26. Kugeler KJ, Staples JE, Hinckley AF, Gage KL, Mead PS. Epidemiology of human plague in the United States, 1900–2012. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21(1):16–22.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>27. Forrester JD, Apangu T, Grifth K, Acayo S, Yockey B, Kaggwa J, et al. Patterns of human plague in Uganda, 2008–2016. Emerg Infect Dis. 2017;23(9):1517–21.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>28. Dennis DT, Gage KL, Gratz NG, Poland JD, Tikhomirov E, World Health Organization. Plague manual: epidemiology, distribution, surveillance and control. World Health Organization, WHO/CDS/CSR/EDC/99.2; 1999.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>29. Nelson CA, Meaney-Delman D, Fleck-Derderian S, Cooley KM, Yu PA, Mead PS. Antimicrobial treatment and prophylaxis of plague: recommendations for naturally acquired infections and bioterrorism response. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2021;70(3):1–27.
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>30. Galimand M, Guiyoule A, Gerbaud G, Rasoamanana B, Chanteau S, Carniel E, et al. Multidrug resistance in *Yersinia pestis* mediated by a transferable plasmid. New England J Med. 1997;337(10):677–81.
- 31. Guiyoule A, Gerbaud G, Buchrieser C, Galimand M, Rahalison L, Chanteau S, et al. Transferable plasmid-mediated resistance to streptomycin in a clinical isolate of *Yersinia pestis*. Emerg Infect Dis. 2001;7(1):43–8.
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>32. Welch TJ, Fricke WF, McDermott PF, White DG, Rosso M-L, Rasko DA, et al. Multiple antimicrobial resistance in plague: an emerging public health risk. PLoS ONE. 2007;2(3):e309.
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>33. D'ortenzio E, Lemaître N, Brouat C, Loubet P, Sebbane F, Rajerison M, et al. Plague: bridging gaps towards better disease control. Medecine et maladies infectieuses. 2018;48(5):307–17.
- 34. Barbieri R. Origin, transmission, and evolution of plague over 400 y in Europe. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021;118(39):e2114241118.
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>35. Baril L, Vallès X, Stenseth NC, Rajerison M, Ratsitorahina M, Pizarro-Cerdá J, et al. Can we make human plague history? A call to action. BMJ Glob Health. 2019;4(6):e001984.
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>36. Biggins DE, Kosoy MY. Infuences of introduced plague on North American mammals: implications from ecology of plague in Asia. J Mammal. 2001;82(4):906–16.
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>37. Pollitzer R. Plague. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1954.
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>38. Bevins SN, Chandler JC, Barrett N, Schmit BS, Wiscomb GW, Shriner SA. Plague exposure in mammalian wildlife across the Western United States. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2021;21(9):667–74.
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>39. Elton CS. Plague and the regulation of numbers in wild mammals. Epidemiol Infect. 1925;24(2):138–63.
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>40. Eisen RJ, Gage KL. North American plague models of enzootic maintenance, epizootic spread, and spatial and temporal distributions. In: Carniel E, Hinnebusch BJ, editors. *Yersinia*: systems biology and control. Norfolk, UK: Caister Academic Press; 2012. p. 169–82.
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>41. Enscore RE, Babi N, Amatre G, Atiku L, Eisen RJ, Pepin KM, et al. The changing triad of plague in Uganda: invasive black rats (*Rattus rattus*), indigenous small mammals, and their feas. J Vector Ecol. 2020;45(2):333–55.
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>42. Matchett MR, Biggins DE, Carlson V, Powell B, Rocke T. Enzootic plague reduces black-footed ferret (*Mustela nigripes*) survival in Montana. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2010;10(1):27–35.
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>43. Smith CR, Tucker JR, Wilson BA, Clover JR. Plague studies in California: a review of long-term disease activity, fea-host relationships and plague ecology in the coniferous forests of the Southern Cascades and northern Sierra Nevada mountains. J Vector Ecol. 2010;35(1):1–12.
- <span id="page-10-33"></span>44. Lowell JL, Antolin MF, Andersen GL, Hu P, Stokowski RP, Gage KL. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms reveal spatial diversity among clones of *Yersinia pestis* during plague outbreaks in Colorado and the Western United States. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2015;15(5):291–302.
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>45. Kosoy M, Reynolds P, Bai Y, Shef K, Enscore RE, Montenieri J, et al. Small-scale die-ofs in woodrats support long-term maintenance of plague in the US southwest. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2017;17(9):635–44.
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>46. Vogler AJ, Andrianaivoarimanana V, Telfer S, Hall CM, Sahl JW, Hepp CM, et al. Temporal phylogeography of *Yersinia pestis* in Madagascar: insights into the long-term maintenance of plague. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(9):e0005887.
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>47. Biggins DE, Godbey JL, Eads DA. Epizootic plague in prairie dogs: correlates and control with deltamethrin. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2021;21(3):172–8.
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>48. Antolin MF, Gober P, Luce B, Biggins DE, Van Pelt WE, Seery DB, et al. The infuence of sylvatic plague on North American wildlife at the landscape level, with special emphasis on blackfooted ferret and prairie dog conservation. In: Rahm J, editor., et al., Transactions of the sixty-seventh North American wildlife and natural resources conference. Washington, DC: US Fish and Wildlife Service; 2002. p. 104–27.
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>49. Poland TM, Patel-Weynand T, Finch DM, Miniat CF, Hayes DC, Lopez VM. Invasive species in forests and rangelands of the United States: a comprehensive science synthesis for the United States forest sector. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer International Publishing; 2021. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45367-1.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45367-1)
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>50. Bland DM, Miarinjara A, Bosio CF, Calarco J, Hinnebusch BJ. Acquisition of yersinia murine toxin enabled *Yersinia pestis* to expand the range of mammalian hosts that sustain fea-borne plague. PLoS Pathog. 2021;17(10):e1009995.
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>51. Lindler LE, Plano GV, Burland V, Mayhew GF, Blattner FR. Complete DNA sequence and detailed analysis of the *Yersinia pestis* KIM5 plasmid encoding murine toxin and capsular antigen. Infect Immun. 1998;66(12):5731–42.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>52. Hinnebusch BJ, Rudolph AE, Cherepanov P, Dixon JE, Schwan TG, Forsberg Å. Role of *Yersinia* murine toxin in survival of *Yersinia pestis* in the midgut of the flea vector. Science. 2002;296(5568):733–5.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>53. Zeppelini CG, de Almeida AMP, Cordeiro-Estrela P. Zoonoses as ecological entities: a case review of plague. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10(10):e0004949.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>54. Biggins DE, Godbey JL, Gage KL, Carter LG, Montenieri JA. Vector control improves survival of three species of prairie dogs (*Cynomys*) in areas considered enzootic for plague. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2010;10(1):17–26.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>55. Gage KL, Kosoy MY. Recent trends in plague ecology. Recovery of the black-footed ferret: progress and continuing challenges. In: Roelle JE, Miller BJ, Godbey JL, Biggins DE, editors. Recovery of the black-footed ferret—progress and continuing challenges. U.S. Geological Survey Scientifc Investigations Report 2005– 5293; 2006. 213–231.
- <span id="page-11-33"></span>56. Ramakrishnan S. Impact of enzootic plague on *Neotoma mexicana* in Northern New Mexico. Las Vegas, New Mexico: New Mexico Highlands University; 2017.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>57. Goldberg AR, Conway CJ, Biggins DE. Efects of experimental fea removal and plague vaccine treatments on survival of northern Idaho ground squirrels and two coexisting sciurids. Global Ecol Conserv. 2021;26:e01489.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>58. Biggins DE, Eads DA. Prairie dogs, persistent plague, focking feas, and pernicious positive feedback. Front Vet Sci. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2019.00075>.
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>59. Baltazard M. The conservation of plague in inveterate foci. J Hyg Epidemiol Microbiol Immunol. 1964;120:409–21.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>60. Eisen RJ, Gage KL. Adaptive strategies of *Yersinia pestis* to persist during inter-epizootic and epizootic periods. Vet Res. 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres:2008039>.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>61. Wimsatt J, Biggins DE. A review of plague persistence with special emphasis on feas. J Vector Borne Dis. 2009;46(2):85–99.
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>62. Poland JD, Barnes AM. Current status of plague and plague control in the United States. Proceedings of the 4th Vertebrate Pest Conference. 1970; [https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/vpcfour/10.](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/vpcfour/10)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>63. Andrianaivoarimanana V, Kreppel K, Elissa N, Duplantier J-M, Carniel E, Rajerison M, et al. Understanding the persistence of plague foci in Madagascar. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7(11):e2382.
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>64. Eads DA, Matchett MR, Poje JE, Biggins DE. Comparison of fea sampling methods and *Yersinia pestis* detection on prairie dog colonies. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2021;21(10):753–61.
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>65. Biggins DE, Ramakrishnan S, Goldberg AR, Eads DA. Blackfooted ferrets and recreational shooting infuence the attributes of black-tailed prairie dog burrows. West N Am Nat. 2012;72(2):158–71.
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>66. Colman RE, Brinkerhoff RJ, Busch JD, Ray C, Doyle A, Sahl JW, et al. No evidence for enzootic plague within black-tailed prairie dog (*Cynomys ludovicianus*) populations. Integr Zool. 2021. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12546.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12546)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>67. Rocke TE, Tripp DW, Russell RE, Abbott RC, Richgels KL, Matchett MR, et al. Sylvatic plague vaccine partially protects prairie dogs (Cynomys spp) in field trials. Ecohealth. 2017;14(3):438–50.
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>68. Eads DA. Swabbing prairie dog burrows for feas that transmit *Yersinia pestis*: influences on efficiency. J Med Entomol. 2017;54(5):1273–7.
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>69. Matchett MR, Stanley TR, Mccollister MF, Eads DA, Boulerice JT, Biggins DE. Oral sylvatic plague vaccine does not adequately protect prairie dogs (Cynomys spp) for endangered black-footed ferret (Mustela nigripes) conservation. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2021;21(12):921–40.
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>70. Biggins DE, Ramakrishnan S, Rocke TE, Williamson JL, Wimsatt J. Enzootic plague reduces survival of

 $\circled{2}$  Springer

Mexican woodrats (*Neotoma mexicana*) in Colorado. Ecosphere. 2021;12(2):e03371.

- <span id="page-11-18"></span>71. Hanson DA, Britten HB, Restani M, Washburn LR. High prevalence of *Yersinia pestis* in black-tailed prairie dog colonies during an apparent enzootic phase of sylvatic plague. Conserv Genetics. 2007;8(4):789–95.
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>72. Liccioli S, Stephens T, Wilson SC, McPherson JM, Keating LM, Antonation KS, et al. Enzootic maintenance of sylvatic plague in Canada's threatened black-tailed prairie dog ecosystem. Ecosphere. 2020;11(5):e03138.
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>73. Low RB. Reports and papers on bubonic plague: an account of the progress and difusion of plague throughout the world, 1898–1901, and of the measures employed in diferent countries for repression of this disease. London: HM Stationery Office; 1902.
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>74. Lehane B. The compleat fea. London: Murray; 1969.
- 75. Krasnov BR. Functional and evolutionary ecology of feas: a model for ecological parasitology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2008.
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>76. Hinnebusch BJ, Jarrett CO, Bland DM. "Fleaing" the plague: adaptations of *Yersinia pestis* to its insect vector that lead to transmission. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2017;71:215–32.
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>77. Engelthaler DM, Gage KL. Quantities of *Yersinia pestis* in feas (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae, Ceratophyllidae, and Hystrichopsyllidae) collected from areas of known or suspected plague activity. J Med Entomol. 2000;37(3):422–6.
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>78. Antolin MF. Unpacking β: within-host dynamics and the evolutionary ecology of pathogen transmission. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst. 2008;39:415–37.
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>79. Foley JE, Zipser J, Chomel B, Girvetz E, Foley P. Modeling plague persistence in host-vector communities in California. J Wildl Dis. 2007;43(3):408–24.
- 80. Buhnerkempe MG, Eisen RJ, Goodell B, Gage KL, Antolin MF, Webb CT. Transmission shifts underlie variability in population responses to *Yersinia pestis* infection. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(7):e22498.
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>81. Richgels KL, Russell RE, Bron GM, Rocke TE. Evaluation of *Yersinia pestis* transmission pathways for sylvatic plague in prairie dog populations in the Western US. EcoHealth. 2016;13(2):415–27.
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>82. Wilkening JL, Ray C. Characterizing predictors of survival in the American pika (*Ochotona princeps*). J Mammal. 2016;97(5):1366–75.
- <span id="page-11-28"></span>83. Eads DA, Abbott RC, Biggins DE, Rocke TE. Flea parasitism and host survival in a plague-relevant system: theoretical and conservation implications. J Wildl Dis. 2020;56(2):378–87.
- <span id="page-11-29"></span>84. Eisen RJ, Bearden SW, Wilder AP, Montenieri JA, Antolin MF, Gage KL. Early-phase transmission of *Yersinia pestis* by unblocked feas as a mechanism explaining rapidly spreading plague epizootics. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2006;103(42):15380–5.
- <span id="page-11-30"></span>85. Dewitte A, Bouvenot T, Pierre F, Ricard I, Pradel E, Barois N, et al. A refned model of how *Yersinia pestis* produces a transmissible infection in its flea vector. PLoS Pathog. 2020;16(4):e1008440.
- <span id="page-11-31"></span>86. Burroughs AL. Sylvatic plague studies: the vector efficiency of nine species of feas compared with *Xenopsylla cheopis*. Epidemiol Infect. 1947;45(3):371–96.
- 87. Kartman L, Prince FM, Quan SF. Studies on Pasteurella pestis in fleas VII The plague-vector efficiency of Hystrichopsylla linsdalei compared with Xenopsylla cheopis under experimental conditions. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1958;7(3):317–22.
- <span id="page-11-32"></span>88. Bosio CF, Jarrett CO, Scott DP, Fintzi J, Hinnebusch BJ. Comparison of the transmission efficiency and plague progression dynamics associated with two mechanisms by which feas transmit *Yersinia pestis*. PLoS Pathog. 2020;16(12):e1009092.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>89. Eisen RJ, Wilder AP, Bearden SW, Montenieri JA, Gage KL. Early-phase transmission of *Yersinia pestis* by unblocked *Xenopsylla cheopis* (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae) is as efficient as transmission by blocked fleas. J Med Entomol. 2007;44(4):678–82.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>90. Bibikova V. Contemporary views on the interrelationships between feas and the pathogens of human and animal diseases. Annu Rev Entomol. 1977;22(1):23–32.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>91. Eisen RJ, Lowell JL, Montenieri JA, Bearden SW, Gage KL. Temporal dynamics of early-phase transmission of *Yersinia pestis* by unblocked feas: secondary infectious feeds prolong efficient transmission by *Oropsylla montana* (Siphonaptera: Ceratophyllidae). J Med Entomol. 2007;44(4):672–7.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>92. Bacot AW, Martin CJ. LXVII Observations on the mechanism of the transmission of plague by feas. J Hyg. 1914;13:423–39.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>93. Hinnebusch BJ, Bland DM, Bosio CF, Jarrett CO. Comparative ability of *Oropsylla montana* and *Xenopsylla cheopis* feas to transmit *Yersinia pestis* by two diferent mechanisms. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(1):e0005276.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>94. Eisen RJ, Dennis DT, Gage KL. The role of early-phase transmission in the spread of *Yersinia pestis*. J Med Entomol. 2015;52(6):1183–92.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>95. Bland DM, Jarrett CO, Bosio CF, Hinnebusch BJ. Infectious blood source alters early foregut infection and regurgitative transmission of *Yersinia pestis* by rodent feas. PLoS Pathog. 2018;14(1):e1006859.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>96. Lorange EA, Race BL, Sebbane F, Joseph HB. Poor vector competence of feas and the evolution of hypervirulence in *Yersinia pestis*. J Infect Dis. 2005;191(11):1907–12.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>97. Eisen RJ, Vetter SM, Holmes JL, Bearden SW, Montenieri JA, Gage KL. Source of host blood afects prevalence of infection and bacterial loads of *Yersinia pestis* in feas. J Med Entomol. 2008;45(5):933–8.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>98. Boegler KA, Graham CB, Johnson TL, Montenieri JA, Eisen RJ. Infection prevalence, bacterial loads, and transmission efficiency in *Oropsylla montana* (Siphonaptera: Ceratophyllidae) one day after exposure to varying concentrations of *Yersinia pestis* in blood. J Med Entomol. 2016;53(3):674–80.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>99. Hinnebusch BJ. The evolution of fea-borne transmission in *Yersinia pestis*. Curr Issues Mol Biol. 2005;7(2):197–212.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>100. Perry RD, Fetherston JD. *Yersinia pestis*: etiologic agent of plague. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1997;10(1):35–66.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>101. Grifn KA, Martin DJ, Rosen LE, Sirochman MA, Walsh DP, Wolfe LL, et al. Detection of *Yersinia pestis* DNA in prairie dog–associated feas by polymerase chain reaction assay of purifed DNA. J Wildl Dis. 2010;46(2):636–43.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>102. Ayyadurai S, Houhamdi L, Lepidi H, Nappez C, Raoult D, Drancourt M. Long-term persistence of virulent *Yersinia pestis* in soil. Microbiol. 2008;154(9):2865–71.
- 103. Easterday WR, Kausrud KL, Star B, Heier L, Haley BJ, Ageyev V, et al. An additional step in the transmission of *Yersinia pestis*? ISME J. 2012;6(2):231–6.
- 104. Benavides-Montaño JA, Vadyvaloo V. *Yersinia pestis* resists predation by *Acanthamoeba castellanii* and exhibits prolonged intracellular survival. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2017;83(13):e00593-e617.
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>105. Markman DW, Antolin MF, Bowen RA, Wheat WH, Woods M, Gonzalez-Juarrero M, et al. *Yersinia pestis* survival and replication in potential ameba reservoir. Emerg Infect Dis. 2018;24(2):294–302.
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>106. Meyer KF. Sylvatic plague. Am J Public Health Nations Health. 1938;28(10):1153–64.
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>107. Meyer K, Holdenried R, Burroughs A, Jawetz E. Sylvatic plague studies: IV Inapparent, latent sylvatic plague in ground squirrels in central California. J Infect Dis. 1943;73(2):144–57.
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>108. Dayton PK, Tegner MJ, Edwards PB, Riser KL. Sliding baselines, ghosts, and reduced expectations in kelp forest communities. Ecol Appl. 1998;8(2):309–22.
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>109. Pauly D. Anecdotes and the shifting baseline syndrome of fsheries. Trends Ecol Evol. 1995;10(10):430.
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>110. Klein ES, Thurstan RH. Acknowledging long-term ecological change: the problem of shifting baselines. In: Schwerdtner Máñez K, Poulsen B, editors. Perspectives on oceans past. Dordrecht: Springer; 2016. p. 11–29.
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>111. Forrest S. Getting the story right: a response to Vermeire and colleagues. Bioscience. 2005;55(6):526–30.
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>112. Eskey CR, Haas VH. Plague in the western part of the United States: infection in rodents, experimental transmission by feas, and inoculation tests for infection. Public Health Rep. 1939:1467–81.
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>113. Biggins DE, Sidle JG, Seery DB, Ernst AE, Hoogland J. Estimating the abundance of prairie dogs. In: Hoogland JL, editor. Conservation of the black-tailed prairie dog: saving North America's western grasslands. Washington, DC: Island Press; 2006. p. 94–107.
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>114. Hoogland JL. The black-tailed prairie dog: social life of a burrowing mammal. University of Chicago Press; 1995.
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>115. Slobodchikoff CN, Perla BS, Verdolin JL. Prairie dogs: communication and community in an animal society. Harvard University Press; 2009.
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>116. Russell RE, Tripp DW, Rocke TE. Diferential plague susceptibility in species and populations of prairie dogs. Ecol Evol. 2019;9(20):11962–71.
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>117. Cully JF Jr, Williams ES. Interspecifc comparisons of sylvatic plague in prairie dogs. J Mammal. 2001;82(4):894–905.
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>118. Davis S, Begon M, De Bruyn L, Ageyev VS, Klassovskiy NL, Pole SB, et al. Predictive thresholds for plague in Kazakhstan. Science. 2004;304(5671):736–8.
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>119. Schmid B, Jesse M, Wilschut L, Viljugrein H, Heesterbeek J. Local persistence and extinction of plague in a metapopulation of great gerbil burrows. Kazakhstan Epidemics. 2012;4(4):211–8.
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>120. Fitzgerald JP. The ecology of plague in Gunnison's prairie dogs and suggestions for the recovery of black-footed ferrets. In: Oldemeyer JL BD, Miller BJ, Crete R, editors. Proceedings of the symposium on the management of prairie dog complexes for the reintroduction of the black-footed ferret. Biological Report 13. Washington, DC: US Fish and Wildlife Service; 1993. 50–9.
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>121. George DB, Webb CT, Pepin KM, Savage LT, Antolin MF. Persistence of black-tailed prairie-dog populations afected by plague in northern Colorado. USA Ecology. 2013;94(7):1572–83.
- <span id="page-12-31"></span>122. Shoemaker KT, Lacy RC, Verant ML, Brook BW, Livieri TM, Miller PS, et al. Efects of prey metapopulation structure on the viability of black-footed ferrets in plague-impacted landscapes: a metamodelling approach. J Appl Ecol. 2014;51(3):735–45.
- <span id="page-12-32"></span>123. Lomolino MV, Smith GA, Vidal V. Long-term persistence of prairie dog towns: insights for designing networks of prairie reserves. Biol Conserv. 2004;115(1):111–20.
- <span id="page-12-33"></span>124. Antolin M, Savage L, Eisen R. Landscape features infuence genetic structure of black-tailed prairie dogs (*Cynomys ludovicianus*). Landsc Ecol. 2006;21(6):867–75.
- <span id="page-12-34"></span>125. McDonald LL, Stanley TR, Otis DL, Biggins DE, Stevens PD, Koprowski JL, et al. Recommended methods for range-wide monitoring of prairie dogs in the United States. U.S. Geological Survey Scientifc Investigations Report 2011–5063.
- <span id="page-12-35"></span>126. Hanski IA, Gaggiotti OE. Ecology, genetics and evolution of metapopulations. Academic Press; 2004.
- <span id="page-12-36"></span>127. Gage KL. Factors affecting the spread and maintenance of plague. In: de Almeida AMP, Leal NC. Advances in *Yersinia* research. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 954. New York: Springer Science. 2012; 79–94.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>128. Stapp P, Antolin MF, Ball M. Patterns of extinction in prairie dog metapopulations: plague outbreaks follow El Niño events. Front Ecol Environ. 2004;2(5):235–40.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>129. Savage LT, Reich RM, Hartley LM, Stapp P, Antolin MF. Climate, soils, and connectivity predict plague epizootics in black-tailed prairie dogs (*Cynomys ludovicianus*). Ecol Appl. 2011;21(8):2933–43.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>130. Keuler KM, Bron GM, Griebel R, Richgels KL. An invasive disease, sylvatic plague, increases fragmentation of black-tailed prairie dog (*Cynomys ludovicianus*) colonies. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(7):e0235907.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>131. Collinge SK, Johnson WC, Ray C, Matchett R, Grensten J, Cully JF Jr, et al. Landscape structure and plague occurrence in blacktailed prairie dogs on grasslands of the Western USA. Landsc Ecol. 2005;20(8):941–55.
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>132. Cully JF Jr, Johnson TL, Collinge SK, Ray C. Disease limits populations: plague and black-tailed prairie dogs. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2010;10(1):7–15.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>133. Hartley LM, Detling JK, Savage LT. Introduced plague lessens the effects of an herbivorous rodent on grassland vegetation. J Appl Ecol. 2009;46(4):861–9.
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>134. Duchardt CJ, Porensky LM, Pearse IS. Direct and indirect efects of a keystone engineer on a shrubland-prairie food web. Ecology. 2021;102(1):e03195.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>135. Cully JF. Plague, prairie dogs, and black-footed ferrets. In: Oldemeyer J, Biggins, DE, Miller, BJ, Crete, R, editor. Proceedings of the symposium on the management of prairie dog complexes for the reintroduction of the black-footed ferret. Biological Report 13. Washington, DC: US Fish and Wildlife Service. 1993; 38–49
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>136. Thomas R, Barnes A, Quan T, Beard M, Carter L, Hopla C. Susceptibility to *Yersinia pestis* in the northern grasshopper mouse (*Onychomys leucogaster*). J Wildl Dis. 1988;24(2):327–33.
- 137. Rocke TE, Williamson J, Cobble KR, Busch JD, Antolin MF, Wagner DM. Resistance to plague among black-tailed prairie dog populations. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2012;12(2):111–6.
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>138. Busch JD, Van Andel R, Stone NE, Cobble KR, Nottingham R, Lee J, et al. The innate immune response may be important for surviving plague in wild Gunnison's prairie dogs. J Wildl Dis. 2013;49(4):920–31.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>139. Wilschut LI, Laudisoit A, Hughes NK, Addink EA, de Jong SM, Heesterbeek HA, et al. Spatial distribution patterns of plague hosts: point pattern analysis of the burrows of great gerbils in Kazakhstan. J Biogeogr. 2015;42(7):1281–92.
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>140. Eads DA, Jaronski ST, Biggins DE, Wimsatt J. Insect pathogenic fungi for biocontrol of plague vector feas: a review. J Integr Pest Manag. 2021;12(1):30:1–10.
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>141. Borchert JN, Mach JJ, Linder TJ, Angualia S. Invasive rats and bubonic plague in northwest Uganda. In: Witmer GW, Pitt WC, Fagerstone KA, editors. Managing vertebrate invasive species: proceedings of an international symposium. USDA/APHIS Wildlife Services, National Wildlife Research Center, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA. 2007; 283–93.
- 142. Amatre G, Babi N, Enscore RE, Ogen-Odoi A, Atiku LA, Akol A, et al. Flea diversity and infestation prevalence on rodents in a plague-endemic region of Uganda. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2009;81(4):718–24.
- 143. Rahelinirina S, Duplantier JM, Ratovonjato J, Ramilijaona O, Ratsimba M, Rahalison L. Study on the movement of *Rattus rattus* and evaluation of the plague dispersion in Madagascar. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2010;10(1):77–84.
- 144. Moore SM, Monaghan A, Borchert JN, Mpanga JT, Atiku LA, Boegler KA, et al. Seasonal fuctuations of small mammal and fea communities in a Ugandan plague focus: evidence to implicate Arvicanthis niloticus and Crocidura spp as key hosts in Yersinia pestis transmission. Parasit Vectors. 2015;8(1):1–15.
- 145. Eads DA, Biggins DE, Gage KL. Ecology and management of plague in diverse communities of rodents and feas. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2020;20(12):888–96.
- 146. Goldberg AR, Conway CJ, Biggins DE. Flea sharing among sympatric rodent hosts: implications for potential plague efects on a threatened sciurid. Ecosphere. 2020;11(2):e03033.
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>147. Eisen RJ, Atiku LA, Enscore RE, Mpanga JT, Acayo S, Mead PS, et al. Epidemiology, ecology and prevention of plague in the est Nile Region of Uganda: the value of long-term feld studies. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2021;105(1):18–23.
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>148. Davis RM, Smith RT, Madon MB, Sitko-Cleugh E. Flea, rodent, and plague ecology at Chuchupate campground, Ventura County. California J Vector Ecol. 2002;27:107–27.
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>149. Danforth M, Novak M, Petersen J, Mead P, Kingry L, Weinburke M, et al. Investigation of and response to 2 plague cases, Yosemite National Park, California, USA, 2015. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22(12):2045–53.
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>150. Boegler KA, Atiku LA, Enscore RE, Apangu T, Mpanga JT, Acayo S, et al. Rat fall surveillance coupled with vector control and community education as a plague prevention strategy in the West Nile Region. Uganda Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2018;98(1):238–47.
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>151. Eisen RJ, MacMillan K, Atiku LA, Mpanga JT, Zielinski-Gutierrez E, Graham CB, et al. Identifcation of risk factors for plague in the West Nile region of Uganda. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2014;90(6):1047–58.
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>152. Kugeler KJ, Apangu T, Forrester JD, Grifth KS, Candini G, Abaru J, et al. Knowledge and practices related to plague in an endemic area of Uganda. Int J Infect Dis. 2017;64:80–4.
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>153. Buttke DE, Decker DJ, Wild MA. The role of one health in wildlife conservation: a challenge and opportunity. J Wildl Dis. 2015;51(1):1–8.
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>154. Enscore RE, Bai Y, Osikowicz LM, Sexton C, O'Leary DR. Evaluation of a liquid carbaryl formulation to control burrow fleas following a die-off of black-tailed prairie dogs (*Cynomys ludovicianus*) caused by plague (*Yersinia pestis*) in Converse County. Wyoming J Vector Ecol. 2021;46(2):230–2.
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>155. Jones SD, Atshabar B, Schmid BV, Zuk M, Amramina A, Stenseth NC. Living with plague: lessons from the Soviet Union's antiplague system. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2019;116(19):9155–63.
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>156. Eisen L, Eisen RJ. Using geographic information systems and decision support systems for the prediction, prevention, and control of vector-borne diseases. Annu Rev Entomol. 2011;56:41–61.
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>157. Eisen RJ, Enscore RE, Atiku LA, Zielinski-Gutierrez E, Mpanga JT, Kajik E, et al. Evidence that rodent control strategies ought to be improved to enhance food security and reduce the risk of rodent-borne illnesses within subsistence farming villages in the plague-endemic West Nile region. Uganda Int J Pest Manag. 2013;59(4):259–70.
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>158. Eisen RJ, Atiku LA, Boegler KA, Mpanga JT, Enscore RE, Mac-Millan K, et al. An evaluation of removal trapping to control rodents inside homes in a plague-endemic region of rural northwestern Uganda. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2018;18(9):458–63.
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>159. Rahelinirina S, Scobie K, Ramasindrazana B, Andrianaivoarimanana V, Rasoamalala F, Randriantseheno LN, et al. Rodent control to fght plague: feld assessment of methods based on rat density reduction. Integr Zool. 2021. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12529) [1749-4877.12529](https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12529).
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>160. Heath DG, Anderson GW Jr, Mauro JM, Welkos SL, Andrews GP, Adamovicz J, et al. Protection against experimental bubonic and pneumonic plague by a recombinant capsular F1-V antigen fusion protein vaccine. Vaccine. 1998;16(11–12):1131–7.
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>161. Rocke TE, Smith S, Marinari P, Kreeger J, Enama JT, Powell BS. Vaccination with F1-V fusion protein protects black-footed

ferrets (*Mustela nigripes*) against plague upon oral challenge with *Yersinia pestis*. J Wildl Dis. 2008;44(1):1–7.

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>162. Phillips P, Livieri TM, Swanson BJ. Genetic signature of disease epizootic and reintroduction history in an endangered carnivore. J Mammal. 2020;101(3):779–89.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>163. Tripp DW, Rocke TE, Runge JP, Abbott RC, Miller MW. Burrow dusting or oral vaccination prevents plague-associated prairie dog colony collapse. EcoHealth. 2017;14(3):451–62.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>164. Tripp DW, Rocke TE, Streich SP, Brown NL, Fernandez JR-R, Miller MW. Season and application rates afect vaccine bait consumption by prairie dogs in Colorado and Utah, USA. J Wildl Dis. 2014;50(2):224–34.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>165. Bron GM, Smith SR, Williamson JD, Tripp DW, Rocke TE. Moderate susceptibility to subcutaneous plague (*Yersinia pestis*) challenge in vaccine-treated and untreated Sonoran deer mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus sonoriensis*) and northern grasshopper mice (*Onychomys leucogaster*). J Wildl Dis. 2021;57(3):632–6.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>166. Danforth M, Tucker J, Novak M. The deer mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus*) as an enzootic reservoir of plague in California. EcoHealth. 2018;15(3):566–76.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>167. Abbott RC, Russell RE, Richgels KL, Tripp DW, Matchett MR, Biggins DE, et al. Factors infuencing uptake of sylvatic plague vaccine baits by prairie dogs. EcoHealth. 2018;15(1):12–22.
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>168. Russell RE, Abbott RC, Tripp DW, Rocke TE. Local factors associated with on-host fea distributions on prairie dog colonies. Ecol Evol. 2018;8(17):8951–72.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>169. Dye C. The analysis of parasite transmission by bloodsucking insects. Annu Rev Entomol. 1992;37(1):1–19.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>170. Borchert JN, Enscore RE, Eisen RJ, Atiku LA, Owor N, Acayo S, et al. Evaluation of rodent bait containing imidacloprid for the control of feas on commensal rodents in a plague-endemic region of northwest Uganda. J Med Entomol. 2010;47(5):842–50.
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>171. Miarinjara A, Rahelinirina S, Razafmahatratra NL, Girod R, Rajerison M, Boyer S. Field assessment of insecticide dusting and bait station treatment impact against rodent fea and house fea species in the Madagascar plague context. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13(8): e0007604.
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>172. Eisen RJ, Atiku LA, Mpanga JT, Enscore RE, Acayo S, Kaggwa J, et al. An evaluation of the fea index as a predictor of plague epizootics in the West Nile region of Uganda. J Med Entomol. 2020;57(3):893–900.
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>173. California Department of Public Health. California compendium of plague control. [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/CAPlagueCompendium.pdf) [DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/CAPlagueCompend](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/CAPlagueCompendium.pdf) [ium.pdf;](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/CAPlagueCompendium.pdf) 2021.
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>174. Tripp DW, Streich SP, Sack DA, Martin DJ, Griffin KA, Miller MW. Season of deltamethrin application afects fea and plague control in white-tailed prairie dog (*Cynomys leucurus*) colonies, Colorado, USA. J Wildl Dis. 2016;52(3):553–61.
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>175. Eads DA, Biggins DE. Plague management of prairie dog colonies: degree and duration of deltamethrin fea control. J Vector Ecol. 2019;44(1):40–7.
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>176. Eads DA, Biggins DE, Bowser J, Broerman K, Livieri TM, Childers E, et al. Evaluation of fve pulicides to suppress feas on black-tailed prairie dogs encouraging long-term results with systemic 0.005% fipronil. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2019;19(6):400–6.
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>177. Boyer S, Miarinjara A, Elissa N. *Xenopsylla cheopis* (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae) susceptibility to deltamethrin in Madagascar. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(11):e111998.
- 178. Miarinjara A, Boyer S. Current perspectives on plague vector control in Madagascar: susceptibility status of *Xenopsylla cheopis* to 12 insecticides. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10(2):e0004414.
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>179. Eads DA, Biggins DE, Bowser J, McAllister JC, Griebel RL, Childers E, et al. Resistance to deltamethrin in prairie dog
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>Wildl Dis. 2018;54(4):745–54. 180. Kartman L. An insecticide-bait-box method for the control of sylvatic plague vectors. Epidemiol Infect. 1958;56(4):455–65.
- 181. Tirador DF, Miller BE, Stacy J, Martin AR, Kartman L, Collins RN, et al. An emergency program to control plague. Public Health Rep. 1967;82(12):1094–100.
- 182. Boegler KA, Atiku LA, Mpanga JT, Clark RJ, Delorey MJ, Gage KL, et al. Use of insecticide delivery tubes for controlling rodentassociated feas in a plague endemic region of West Nile. Uganda J Med Entomol. 2014;51(6):1254–63.
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>183. Bronson LR, Smith CR. Use of liquid deltamethrin in modifed, host-targeted bait tubes for control of feas on sciurid rodents in northern California. J Vector Ecol. 2002;27(1):55–62.
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>184. Ratovonjato J, Duchemin J, Duplantier J, Chanteau S. *Xenopsylla cheopis* (Siphonaptera: Xenopsyllinae), feas in rural households in the Hautes Terres region in Madagascar: level of susceptibility to DDT, to pyrethroids and to carbamates after 50 years of chemical control. Arch Inst Pasteur Madagascar. 2000;66(1–2):9–12.
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>185. Luo Q-s, He S-y, Tian Z-m. Observation on the efect of cyhalothrin against the dissociated fea on the ground. Chinese J Vector Biol Control. 2003;14(5):364–7.
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>186. Kumar K, Sharma SK, Gill KS, Katyal R, Biswas S, Lal S. Entomological and rodent surveillance of suspected plague foci in agro-environmental and feral biotopes of a few districts in Maharashtra and Gujarat states of India. Japanese J Med Sci Biol. 1997;50(6):219–26.
- 187. Karhu R, Anderson S. Efects of pyriproxyfen spray, powder, and oral bait treatments on the relative abundance of feas (Siphonaptera: Ceratophyllidae) in black-tailed prairie dog (Rodentia: Sciuridae) towns. J Med Entomol. 2000;37(6):864–71.
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>188. Davis RM, Cleugh E, Smith RT, Fritz CL. Use of a chitin synthesis inhibitor to control feas on wild rodents important in the maintenance of plague, *Yersinia pestis*. California J Vector Ecol. 2008;33(2):278–84.
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>189. Leirs H, Larsen K, Lodal J. Palatability and toxicity of fpronil as a systemic insecticide in a bromadiolone rodenticide bait for rat and fea control. Med Vet Entomol. 2001;15(3):299–303.
- 190. Poché DM, Hartman D, Polyakova L, Poché RM. Efficacy of a fpronil bait in reducing the number of feas (Oropsylla spp) infesting wild black-tailed prairie dogs. J Vector Ecol. 2017;42(1):171–7.
- 191. Poché D, Clarke T, Tseveenjav B, Torres-Poché Z. Evaluating the use of a low dose fpronil bait in reducing black-tailed prairie dog (*Cynomys ludovicianus*) feas at reduced application rates. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl. 2020;13:292–8.
- 192. Rajonhson DM, Miarinjara A, Rahelinirina S, Rajerison M, Boyer S. Efectiveness of fpronil as a systemic control agent against *Xenopsylla cheopis* (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae) in Madagascar. J Med Entomol. 2017;54(2):411–7.
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>193. Eads DA, Livieri TM, Dobesh P, Childers E, Noble LE, Vasquez MC, et al. Fipronil pellets reduce fea abundance on black-tailed prairie dogs: potential tool for plague management and blackfooted ferret conservation. J Wildl Dis. 2021;57(2):434–8.
- <span id="page-14-25"></span>194. Franc M, Cadiergues M. Antifeeding efect of several insecticidal formulations against *Ctenocephalides felis* on cats. Parasite. 1998;5(1):83–6.
- <span id="page-14-26"></span>195. Bass C, Schroeder I, Turberg A, Field LM, Williamson MS. Identifcation of the Rdl mutation in laboratory and feld strains of the cat fea, *Ctenocephalides felis* (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae). Pest Manag Sci. 2004;60(12):1157–62.
- <span id="page-14-27"></span>196. Mahmoudi A, Kryštufek B, Sludsky A, Schmid BV, De Almeida AM, Lei X, et al. Plague reservoir species throughout the world. Integr Zool. 2021;16(6):820–33.
- <span id="page-14-28"></span>197. Eads DA, Biggins DE, Grassel SM, Livieri TM, Licht DS. Interactions among American badgers, black-footed ferrets, and

prairie dogs in the grasslands of western North America. In: Proulx G, Do Linh San E, editors. Badgers: systematics, biology, conservation and research techniques. Alberta, Canada: Alpha Wildlife Research. 2016; 198–218.

- <span id="page-15-0"></span>198. Wild MA, Shenk TM, Spraker TR. Plague as a mortality factor in Canada lynx (*Lynx canadensis*) reintroduced to Colorado. J Wildl Dis. 2006;42(3):646–50.
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>199. Kwit N, Nelson C, Kugeler K, Petersen J, Plante L, Yaglom H, et al. Human plague—United States, 2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2015;64(33):918–9.
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>200. Campbell SB, Nelson CA, Hinckley AF, Kugeler KJ. Animal exposure and human plague, United States, 1970–2017. Emerg Infect Dis. 2019;25(12):2270–3.
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>201. Ray C, Collinge SK. Introducing the trophic vortex: response to Stapp. EcoHealth. 2007;4(2):122–4.
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>202. Hilborn R, Mangel M. The ecological detective. Princeton University Press; 1997.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.