TECHNICAL PAPER



Computational study of a newly developed parabolic blade profile of a Savonius wind rotor

Man Mohan¹ · Ujjwal K. Saha¹

Received: 9 February 2023 / Accepted: 9 September 2023 / Published online: 28 September 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to The Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering 2023

Abstract

The two-dimensional (2D) computational analysis is done on a newly proposed parabolic blade profile of a Savonius wind rotor that is specifically designed for the small-scale power generation. The geometry of the blade profile is obtained by optimizing the section cut angle (θ) of a parabola that varies from 27.5° to 45°. The simulations are performed using ANSYS Fluent software to solve the Reynolds averaged Navier–Stokes (RANS) equations. The two-equation eddy viscosity shear stress transport (SST) *k*– ω model is solved to find the torque and power coefficients ($C_{\rm T}$, $C_{\rm p}$) of the blade profile. The effects of tip speed ratio (TSR) and Reynolds number (Re) on the performance are also investigated. The blade profile generated at θ =32.5° has showcased an increment of performance coefficient ($C_{\rm pmax}$) by 20% against the conventional semicircular blade profile. The parabolic profile has shown an improvement of drag coefficient ($C_{\rm Dmax}$) by 18.18% over its semicircular counterpart. The best performance of the parabolic profile is obtained at TSR of 0.8 and at Re of 0.97×10^5 .

Keywords Wind energy · Savonius rotor · Parabolic blade profile · Tip speed ratio · Power coefficient · Reynolds number

List of symbols			
Α	Swept area (m ²)		
AR	Aspect ratio (–)		
C _D	Drag coefficient (–)		
$C_{\rm L}$	Lift coefficient (–)		
C_{T}	Torque coefficient (–)		
C_{P}	Power coefficient (–)		
CFD	Computational fluid dynamics (-)		
D	Diameter of the rotor (m)		
D _e	End-plate diameter (m)		
Η	Rotor height (m)		
HAWT	Horizontal-axis Wind Turbine (-)		
L	Radius of the rotor (m)		
OR	Overlap ratio (–)		
P _{available}	Available wind power (W)		
P _{turbine}	Turbine shaft power (W)		
RANS	Reynolds averaged Navier-Stokes (-)		

Technical Editor: Daniel Onofre de Almeida Cruz.

Ujjwal K. Saha saha@iitg.ac.inMan Mohan

man18@iitg.ac.in

Re	Reynolds number (-)
SST	Shear stress transport (–)
Т	Torque (N m)
t	Thickness of profile (m)
TSR	Tip speed ratio (–)
и	Rotor speed (m/s)
V	Inlet velocity (m/s)
VAWT	Vertical-axis wind turbine (-)
Greek let	ters
α	Angle of attack (°)
ρ	Density of air (kg/m ³)
ω	Rotational speed of the rotor (rad/s)

- θ Sectional cut angle (degree)
- ε Turbulence dissipation rate (m²/s³)
- k Turbulent kinetic energy (m^2/s^2)

1 Introduction

Increased usage of fossil fuels leads to extreme CO_2 emissions, climate change, and destabilization of global energy prices [1–4]. To mitigate this problem, renewable energy systems that are characterized by their reliability [5], efficiency [6], and sustainability [7] have found their usage. Hybrid and electric vehicles have become significantly important [8]. Presently, several countries around the world

¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati, Assam 781039, India

are investing in renewable energy systems either on a pilot scale or an industrial scale. Since early 1970s, significant progress has been made in the area of various renewable energy systems such as solar panels, wave energy converters, wind turbines, hydrokinetic turbines, and others [9, 10]. Among these, wind and hydro turbines have found their applications in off-grid power generation [11]. Usually, the wind turbines can be categorized into (a) horizontal-axis wind turbines (HAWTs) that rotates around a horizontal axis with the rotor positioned parallel to the flow direction and (b) vertical-axis wind turbine (VAWTs) that rotate about a vertical axis that is perpendicular to the flow direction [12, 13]. In general, the HAWTs are more efficient in harvesting wind energy; however, the materials and manufacturing methods have made them more expensive for small-scale power generation [14, 15]. Various types of VAWTs such as Darrius, Gorlov, and Savonius rotors [16-20] have found their applications in small-scale power generation. Among



Fig. 1 A typical two-bladed Savonius wind rotor

Table 1	Selected investigations
on vario	ous Savonius rotor blade
profiles	

Blade profiles	Investigators	Year	TSR	Methods	$C_{\rm pmax}$
Semicircular	Ogawa [30]	1984	0.0	Theoretical	0.170
Bach	Kacprzak et al. [31]	2013	0.8	CFD (2D)	0.178
Fish-ridged	Song et al. [34]	2013	0.5	CFD (2D)	0.230
Elliptical	Kacprzak et al. [31]	2013	0.8	CFD (2D)	0.172
Bronzinus	Mucolo and Molfino [37]	2014	-	CFD (2D)	0.25
Airfoil shape	Tartuferi et al. [38]	2015	0.65	CFD (2D)	0.220
New elliptical	Alom et al. [35]	2016	0.8	CFD (2D)	0.330
Spline-curved	Mari et al. [36]	2017	1	CFD (2D)	0.247
Spline-curved	Mohan and Saha [39]	2021	0.8	CFD (2D)	0.283

these, the drag-based Savonius rotor has become popular due to its simplicity, ease of fabrication, directional independence [10, 11], lower cost, and low noise level [21-24]. However, the Savonius rotor suffers from low efficiency [25], and this enables the researchers to investigate further to improve its performance [26].

A conventional two-bladed Savonius wind rotor is shown in Fig. 1. It works on the principle of drag force that arises between the concave and the convex sides of the blade. It is evident from the literature that the advancing blade experiences higher drag than the returning blade, thereby resulting in a net positive torque. This net positive torque further produces the rotational motion to the rotor. On the other side, the lift force also contributes to the net torque production, but it is not significant compared to the drag force [10]. However, the drag produced by the returning blade is significant enough to reduce the performance of the rotor blade. In view of this, the attention must be drawn to minimize the negative torque produced by the returning blade. In this regard, several researches have been made to enhance the power coefficient (C_n) by enhancing the design of parameters, such as arc angle of the blade and various others geometric parameters [27–30]. While the past two decades have witnessed various new blade profiles study, notable among them are as follows: Bach [31], Benesh [32], twisted [33], fish-ridge [34], new elliptical [35] spline [36], and other types (Table 1). It is evident that the blade profiles play a major role in minimizing the negative torque produced by the returning blade, and this can improve the C_p of the Savonius rotor. This happens due to fact that the wake formation on the concave side of the returning blade is found to be smaller. Furthermore, the flow separation also gets delayed due to higher curvature at the leading edge of the blade [32-35].

1.1 Present objective

Although the available Savonius rotor designs have showcased an enhancement in the C_{p} , there is always a scope to evolve newer blade profiles. In view of this, the present objective is to develop a new blade profile using a parabolic curve. This type of parabolic profile for the design of blades in a Savonius rotor has not been attempted till date. In this work, a 2D computational fluid dynamics (CFD) study is performed on the new parabolic blade profile using ANSYS Fluent software [40]. The torque and power coefficients (C_T and C_p) values are studied at different tip speed ratios (TSRs) and Reynolds numbers (Re). In addition to this, drag and lift coefficients (C_D and C_L) are also studied. On the basis of all the above parameters, the performance of the parabolic profile is compared with that of a conventional semicircular profile under similar environment.

2 Parameters defined

2.1 Torque coefficient (CT)

The ratio of the torque (T) produced by the turbine shaft to the torque available in wind is termed as torque coefficient [41, 42]. It can be expressed by Eq. (1).

$$C_T = \frac{T}{\frac{1}{2}\rho A V^2 R} \tag{1}$$

where T = torque (Nm), $\rho =$ air density (kg/m³), A = swept area (m²), V = wind velocity (m/s), R = effective turbine radius (m).

2.2 Power coefficient (C_p)

The ratio of turbine shaft power (P_{turbine}) to the available wind power ($P_{\text{available}}$) is termed as power coefficient [41, 42]. It can be expressed by Eq. (2).

$$C_{\rm p} = \frac{P_{\rm turbine}}{P_{\rm available}} = \frac{T}{\frac{1}{2}\rho A V^3}$$
(2)

where T = torque (Nm), $\omega = \text{angular velocity (rad/s)}$, $\rho = \text{air density (kg/m^3)}$, $A = \text{swept area (m^2)}$, V = wind velocity (m/s).

2.3 Tip speed ratio (TSR)

The ratio of tangential speed of the rotor to the inlet wind speed is terms as tip speed ratio. It can be expressed by Eqs. (3) and (4).

$$TSR = \frac{R\omega}{V}$$
(3)

$$C_{\rm p} = C_{\rm T} \times {\rm TSR} \tag{4}$$

3 Generation of the new profile

The present parabola can be drawn by following the equation $x^2 = 4ay$ as shown in Fig. 2. In the present study, only one parabola with focus (F = 0, 0) and the vertex point (O = 0, -2) is chosen arbitrarily to generate the blade profile. However, there may be an infinite number of parabolas that can be optimized by using optimization algorithm. The present work deals with unfolding the potential of a new blade profile for which an arbitrary parabola with section cut angle (θ) is chosen.

The standard parabolic equation with vertex (0, -2) and directrix length (a=2) is given by Eq. (5).

$$(x-h)^2 = 4a(y-k)$$
 (5)

Thus, the present parabolic equation can be written as:

$$x^2 = 8y + 16$$
 (6)

Beside this, the line *AB* can be expressed as

$$y = -\tan\theta \cdot x + l - 2 \tag{7}$$

where l = 0.164D.

Considering that points A and B meet both Eqs. (6) and (7), the arc AOB can be expressed as a function of θ , as given by Eq. (8).

$$x^{2} = 8y + 16\left(\frac{-8\tan\theta - \sqrt{64\tan^{2}\theta + 1049.6}}{2} + 1049.6\right)$$

$$< x < \frac{-8\tan\theta + \sqrt{64\tan^{2}\theta + 1049.6}}{2}\right)$$
(8)

The geometry of the blade profile is generated on SOLID-WORKS. The θ is made by the line *AB* with respect to the



Fig. 2 The section cut angle (θ) on the parabola

x axis on the parabola. The line *AB* dissects the parabola to create an arc *AOB* that gives one-half of the blade profile. The line *AB* intersects the y-axis at point *C* about which θ can be varied and optimized. The length *OC* thus becomes the depth of cut (*l*) on the parabola, and this is 0.164*D* from point *O*. The θ is dependent on *l*, i.e., for each θ , there is a fixed value of *l* to get the required chord length (*L*) of the blade profile. The flowchart adopted to get the optimum profile is shown in Fig. 3.

3.1 Geometric details of the tested profiles

The diameter (D) and thickness (t) of the blade profile is taken as 200 and 2 mm, respectively. The diameter of the semicircular profile is kept similar to that of the parabolic profile (Fig. 4). The overlap ratio (OR) is kept at 0.0 for both the profiles [43, 44].

4 Numerical Simulation Aspect

This section deals with the details of the computational domain, meshing, solver setup, grid and time independence tests followed by the validation of the 2D numerical model.

4.1 Computational domain

The computational domain $(15D \times 22.D)$ can be categorized as rotational and non-rotational zones. The rotational zone consists of the rotor blades that is coinciding with the origin. The overall diameter of the rotor blade is *D*, and the corresponding rotational zone is 2*D* [43, 44], while the nonrotational zone is surrounded by a rectangle (Fig. 5).

4.2 Meshing of the domain

The meshing of the domain is shown in Fig. 6. The ANSYS Fluent 20.2 software [40] meshing is used to discretize the computational domain. The domain is divided into small control volumes and discretized to solve the Reynolds averaged Navier–Stokes (RANS) equations. Due to subsonic



Fig. 5 Domain and boundary conditions







flow, equal accuracy can be expected in both the structured and the non-structured meshes [45]. However, the unstructured mesh can be easily made in the case of a complex geometry. In addition, due to the faster generation of grids, the unstructured mesh with tri-elements is used to discretize the rotor domain [43, 44], while the outer stator domain is discretized by quadrilateral elements [9]. The sliding mesh option is chosen at the 'Int' between the rotational and the non-rotational zones. With a first layer thickness from the wall of 0.05 mm and a growth rate of 1.2, the inflation layer is provided for increased precision around the blade profile perimeter. The maximum number of inflation layers is 10 and the growth rate is 1.2 can be seen in Fig. 6. In the numerical model, the value of the dimensionless wall distance y + in the region of the cohesive sublayer is found to be less than unity [9, 41].

4.3 Boundary conditions

For setting the boundary conditions, the upper and lower walls are equidistant from the center and are found to have symmetrical behavior. Both the walls are at a distance of 7.5D from the origin (Fig. 5) and are named as symmetry

[43]. The vertical left edge (Inlet) is situated at 7.5*D* from the origin, and at the same time, the right vertical edge (Outlet) is situated at 15*D* from the origin as shown in Fig. 5. The inlet velocity (*V*) of 7.3 m/s is provided in the positive *x* axis direction with a maximum turbulence of 1% is given as the boundary condition [43]. The 'Pressure outlet' is taken as an output boundary condition with the same amount of turbulence intensity. In the solver setup, the air is taken as the working fluid, and hence, the standard atmospheric conditions are provided [44] (Fig. 6).

4.4 Turbulence model and solver setup

In the solver setup, the air is taken as the working fluid, and hence, the standard atmospheric conditions are provided. As the flow around the rotor blade is turbulent, it is important to choose a turbulence model accordingly. Previous numerical studies with various turbulence models suggest the use of the SST $k-\omega$ turbulence model for its good predictive power [46, 47]. The *SST* $k-\omega$ model is thus chosen to get the average properties of turbulence near the turbine wall region. This model incorporates the feature of calculating the averaged properties of the flow within and outside the boundary layer.

Table 2

different



Fig. 7 Grid independency test



Fig. 8 Time independency test

The sliding mesh (reference frame motion) is chosen for all the numerical simulations. The time step size is found based on 1°/step rotation of the rotor blade at TSR = 0.8. The timestep size is assumed to be 0.0002988577 s and the total number of time steps is 1800 for 2D simulations. Numerical simulations are performed for 5 complete rotations of the rotor. Though the dynamic steady state is reached after the first rotation, still the last rotation is chosen for the study. A maximum of 20 iterations per time step with convergence criterion 10^{-4} (for stopping the solution) is chosen to stop the solution. The conservative and temporal terms are discretized using a second-order upwind scheme. The semiimplicit method for the pressure-linked equation is chosen for the pressure-velocity coupling to increase the stability of the solution.

Averaged $C_{\rm T}$ at t degrees of rotation	Rotation (°)	C _T
0	0.5°	0.3882
	1°	0.3991
	1.5°	0.3712
	2°	0.35



Fig. 9 Variation of C_p with TSR

4.5 Mesh and time dependency test

The mesh independence and the time step independence tests performed on the 2D study are shown in Figs. 7 and 8. The number of grid elements are varied from 71,790 to 203,372. While moving from grid elements 71,790 to 120,849, there is an increase in C_T by 7.1%. This C_T is not changing much (about 0.4%) while moving from grid elements 120,849 to 203,372. Thus, the number of grid elements of 120,849 is chosen for the 2D simulation. Similarly, the time independence test is done to check the temporal stability. It is seen that the higher the degree/step $(1.5^{\circ}/\text{level})$ and $2^{\circ}/\text{level})$, the lower is the average $C_{\rm T}$ (Table 2). This happens due to the flow being not captured properly near the rotor wall. While the time step sizes of 0.5°/step and 1°/step are set to capture the flow correctly near the rotor wall, however, the step size of 1°/step is adopted for the ease the computational effort [35].

4.6 Computational validation

The results of the numerical simulation obtained in this study are validated with the reported work of Alom et al. [35] as depicted in Fig. 9. The present test model is selected in such a way that it has the same AR (=1.0) as that of the reported numerical model. Reynold's number considered in the validation study is taken as 0.89×10^5 to replicate the

published work [35]. The numerical results are found to be consistent with published data (Fig. 9).

5 Results and discussion

In this section, results of the new parabolic profile at different θ are reported. Results of the semicircular profile are also projected for a direct comparison. Thereafter, for the optimum profile, the effect of Re and TSR are studied besides evaluating the variation of $C_{\rm D}$ and $C_{\rm L}$. This is followed by velocity magnitude, total pressure magnitude, and turbulence intensity contours.

5.1 Selection of the optimum parabolic blade profile

A series of 2D unsteady numerical simulations are performed to understand the aerodynamics of a parabolic profile to arrive at the optimum profile by $C_{\rm T}$ and $C_{\rm p}$ analyses. Thus, eight different profiles are generated at different θ (ranges from 27.5° to 45°). The variation of C_T with respect to the angle of attack (α) of the parabolic profiles is shown in Fig. 10. The TSR is kept 0.8 for the selection of optimum blade profile [35, 39, 43]. The parabolic profile at $\theta = 32.5^{\circ}$ shows the maximum $C_{\rm T}$ as compared to other values of θ besides the semicircular profile. However, the comparison of $C_{\rm T}$ for all the eight profiles becomes overlapped. Therefore, a direct comparison (in terms of C_p) of the parabolic profile for various θ is shown in Fig. 11. The C_p of the parabolic profile at $\theta = 32.5^{\circ}$ is found to be the maximum among all. This parabolic profile with $\theta = 32.5^{\circ}$ is chosen for further analysis.



Fig. 10 Variation of $C_{\rm T}$ with α



Fig. 11 Variation of Cp with θ

5.2 Effect of TSR

The effect of TSR on the performance of the optimum parabolic profile (θ =32.5°) is studied in terms of $C_{\rm T}$ and $C_{\rm p}$ at an inlet velocity of 6.7 m/s (Figs. 12, 13). The results of the semicircular profile are also shown for a direct comparison. The rotor speed (*u*) is found to be decreasing with the increase in load, and thereby $C_{\rm T}$ decreases with the increase in TSR (Fig. 12). On the other side, $C_{\rm p}$ increases up to TSR=0.8 and then decreases, indicating the optimum TSR to be 0.8. The parabolic profile shows a $C_{\rm pmax}$ of 0.319 at TSR=0.8, while the semicircular profile shows the $C_{\rm pmax}$ of 0.266 at the same TSR (Fig. 13). Thus, the parabolic profile provides an improvement of $C_{\rm p}$ by 20% over the semicircular profile. Hence, TSR=0.8 is taken for further analysis.



Fig. 12 Variation of $C_{\rm T}$ with TSR



Fig. 13 Variation of $C_{\rm P}$ with TSR



Fig. 14 C_D of the optimum parabolic and semicircular profiles at TSR = 0.8

5.3 Lift and drag coefficient analysis

Figures 14 and 15 show the variations of C_D and C_L of the optimum parabolic and semicircular profiles. For the parabolic profile, the C_{Dmax} is found to be 2.34 at $\alpha = 184^{\circ}$ and C_{Dmin} of 0.45 at $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, while for the semicircular profile, the C_{Dmax} is found to be 1.98 at $\alpha = 182^{\circ}$ and C_{Dmin} of 0.3 at $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ and 270°. Thus, the parabolic profile shows an increment of C_{Dmax} by 18.18% against the semicircular profile. On the other hand, the C_{Lmax} for the parabolic and semicircular profiles are found to be 2.4 (at $\alpha = 133^{\circ}$) and 2.13 (at $\alpha = 130^{\circ}$), respectively. This analysis demonstrates an improved performance of the parabolic profile against the semicircular profile in terms of C_D and C_L .



Fig. 15 C_L of the optimum parabolic and semicircular profiles at TSR = 0.8

Table 3 $C_{\rm p}$ of the test profiles at different Re

Re	Profile	Profile		
	$C_{\rm p}$, parabolic	$C_{\rm p}$, semicircular		
0.86×10^{5}	0.284	0.240		
0.9×10^{5}	0.301	0.258		
0.93×10^{5}	0.319	0.266		
0.97×10^{5}	0.324	0.260		
1.014×10^{5}	0.298	0.248		
1.117×10^5	0.286	0.240		

5.4 Effect of Reynolds number

In the present work, lower range of Reynolds number (Re) is taken to check its dependency on the C_p . The Re is calculated using formula, $\text{Re} = \frac{\rho VD}{\mu}$, [35, 48, 49] where ρ is the density of air (kg/m³), V is the inlet velocity (m/s), μ is the dynamic viscosity of the air (kg/(m s). Numerical simulations at six different Reynolds numbers as shown in Table 3 have been carried out for the optimum parabolic ($\theta = 32.5^{\circ}$) and semicircular profiles at TSR = 0.8. The literature suggests that the C_p of the blade profile usually increases with an increase in Re [48, 49]. Therefore, the Re study is done on the parabolic profile along with the semicircular profile to arrive at the optimum Re. Thus, simulation results obtained in this regard are shown in Table 3. It can be seen that as Re increases, the C_p also increases in the range of tested Re. This happens because, for a constant rotor diameter, the increase in wind speed delays the flow separation

around the rotor profile and it generally occurs on the lower side of the returning blade. The flow separation occurs earlier in the semicircular profile due to its sharp curvature which results in lesser C_p against the new parabolic profile. As a result, the lift force also contributes to the pressure recovery which further contributes to the enhancement of C_T and C_p of the blade profile. Though there is a pressure recovery at higher wind speeds, still the C_p increases up to the optimum Re. Here in the present study, the optimum Re is different for both the profiles. The parabolic and semicircular profiles show an optimum Re of 0.97×10^5 and 0.93×10^5 , respectively, with the corresponding C_p of 0.324 and 0.266. The optimum Re corresponding to the semicircular profile is chosen for further study. Hence, the

corresponding inlet velocity of 6.7 m/s is taken for the TSR study.

5.5 Contours analysis

From the visualization of total pressure, and turbulent intensity contours, an attempt has been made to draw some meaningful inferences in this subsection.

5.5.1 Total pressure contours

The total pressure contours of the parabolic and semicircular profiles are shown in Figs. 16 and 17. The total pressure of the advancing side of the parabolic profile



Fig. 16 Total pressure contours of the parabolic profiles at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ and 90°



Fig. 17 The total pressure contours of the semicircular profile at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ and 90°

ranges between 21 and 56 N/m², while the total pressure of the advancing side of the semicircular profile lies between 7 and 45 N/m². The low-pressure region forming the recirculation behind the advancing side of the parabolic profile is lower than the semicircular profile. Moreover, the high-pressure region on the convex side of the returning side of the parabolic profile is smaller than the semicircular profile thereby improving the net torque. As the semicircular profile is characterized by a higher curvature, the flow separation is likely to occur earlier than in the parabolic profile. For the parabolic profile, the adverse pressure gradient is much lower than the semicircular profile. The parabolic profile thus has a higher $C_{\rm D}$ and $C_{\rm p}$.

5.5.2 Turbulence intensity contours

Turbulence intensity is the property of turbulence that measures the degree of turbulence in the flow. The contours of turbulence intensity for the parabolic and semicircular profiles are shown in Figs. 18 and 19. The turbulence intensity is found to be low near the blade region in the parabolic



Fig. 18 Turbulence intensity contours of the parabolic profile at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ and 90°



Fig. 19 Turbulence intensity contours of the semicircular profile at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ and 90°

profile ranging from 0.03 to 0.12%; however, it is found to range from 0.06 to 0.18% for the semicircular profile. As the magnitude of intensity is much lower in the parabolic profile, the vortex formation downstream of the profile is reduced. Further, a smoother flow field behind the returning side of the parabolic profile is observed as compared to its semicircular counterpart. This causes a higher C_p for the parabolic profile.

6 Conclusion

A series of 2D unsteady numerical simulations are carried out for a newly developed parabolic profile at different section cut angle (θ) using SST k- ω turbulence model. The results obtained in the present study show an optimum performance of the parabolic profile at $\theta = 32.5^{\circ}$. The effect of the Re study suggests that with the increase in Re, the C_{p} also increases. The newly developed parabolic profile is found to have a C_{pmax} of 0.324 at a Re = 0.97×10^5 , while for the semicircular profile, it is 0.266. Moreover, the parabolic profile shows higher $C_{\rm p}$ values than the semicircular profile throughout the tested range of Re. On the other hand, TSR = 0.8 has shown the potential to harness more power than the other TSRs. Apart from this, the numerical results show that the optimum parabolic profile harnesses power with a peak C_p of 0.319 at TSR = 0.8 at velocity of 6.7 m/s, whereas the semicircular profile shows a peak $C_{\rm p}$ of 0.2663 at the same TSR and velocity. Thus, there is an improvement of C_{pmax} by around 20% in the 2-bladed parabolic profile in comparison to the semicircular profile. From the analysis of lift and drag coefficients, it is noted that the C_{Dmax} in the case of the parabolic profile is 2.34 which is 18.18% more than the semicircular profile ($C_{\text{Dmax}} = 1.98$). The C_{Lmax} for the parabolic and the semicircular profiles are found to be 2.4 and 2.13, respectively. The present study shows the superiority of the parabolic profile with $\theta = 32.5^{\circ}$ as compared to the conventional semicircular profile. As the parabolic profile is characterized by a lower curvature, the flow separation on this profile is likely to get delayed as compared its semicircular counterpart. This enhances the pressure recovery thereby reducing the negative torque and improving the performance of the rotor. In order to find the feasibility of the new design, 3D numerical studies and wind tunnel experiments need to be conducted prior to the field tests and prototype development.

Acknowledgements During the period of this study, the scholarship extended to the first author by the Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, India, is gratefully acknowledged.

Funding The research work reported did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies.

Data availability The data associated with this manuscript can be available from the author with a request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Han D, Heo YG, Choi NJ, Nam SH, Choi KH, Kim KC (2018) Design, fabrication, and performance test of a 100-W helicalblade vertical-axis wind turbine at a low tip-speed ratio. Energies 11(6):1517
- Alipour R, Alipour R, Rahimian Koloor SS, Petrů M, Ghazanfari SA (2020) On the performance of small-scale horizontal axis tidal current turbines. Part 1: One single turbine. Sustainability 12(15):5985
- Roy S, Saha UK (2013) Review of experimental investigations into the design, performance, and optimization of the Savonius rotor. Proc Inst Mech Eng Part A J Power Energy 227(4):528–542
- Ramar SK, Seralathan S, Hariram V (2018) Numerical analysis of different blade shapes of a Savonius style vertical axis wind turbine. Int J Renew Energy Res 8(3):1657–1666
- Mercier P, Grondeau M, Guillou S, Thiébot J, Poizot E (2020) Numerical study of the turbulent eddies generated by the seabed roughness. Case study at a tidal power site. Appl Ocean Res 97:102082
- Mohamed MH, Janiga G, Pap E, Thévenin D (2011) Optimal blade shape of a modified Savonius turbine using an obstacle shielding the returning blade. Energy Convers Manag 52(1):236–242
- Owusu PA, Asumadu-Sarkodie S (2016) A review of renewable energy sources, sustainability issues, and climate change mitigation. Cogent Eng 3(1):1167990
- Chatzirodou A, Karunarathna H, Reeve DE (2019) 3D modeling of the impacts of in-stream horizontal-axis Tidal Energy Converters (TECs) on offshore sandbank dynamics. Appl Ocean Res 91:101882
- Shukla A, Alom N, Saha UK (2022) Spline-bladed Savonius wind rotor with porous deflector: a computational investigation. J Braz Soc Mech Sci Eng 44(10):1–17
- Roy S, Saha UK (2013) Numerical investigation to assess an optimal blade profile for the drag-based vertical axis wind turbine. Paper No. IMECE2013-64001, ASME international mechanical engineering congress and exposition, Nov 15 –2, San Diego, USA
- Talukdar PK, Sardar A, Kulkarni V, Saha UK (2018) Parametric analysis of model Savonius hydrokinetic turbines through experimental and computational investigations. Energy Convers Manag 158:36–49
- 12. Liu J, Lin H, Purimitla SR, Mohan-Dass ET (2017) The effects of blade twist and nacelle shape on the performance of horizontal axis tidal current turbines. Appl Ocean Res 64:58–69
- Michelet N, Guillou N, Chapalain G, Thiebot J, Guillou S, Brown AG, Neill SP (2020) Three-dimensional modeling of turbine wake interactions at a tidal stream-energy site. Appl Ocean Res 95:102009
- Alipour R, Nejad AF (2016) Creep behavior characterization of a ferritic steel alloy based on the modified theta-projection data at an elevated temperature. Int J Mater Res 107(5):406–412
- Najarian F, Alipour R, Razavykia A, Nejad AF (2019) Hole quality assessment in drilling process of basalt/epoxy composite laminate subjected to the magnetic field. Mech Ind 20(6):620
- Khan MJ, Bhuyan G, Iqbal MT, Quaicoe JE (2009) Hydrokinetic energy conversion systems and assessment of horizontal and vertical axis turbines for river and tidal applications: a technology status review. Appl Energy 86(10):1823–1835

- 17. Antheaume S, Maître T, Achard JL (2008) Hydraulic Darrieus turbines efficiency for free fluid flow conditions versus power farms conditions. Renew Energy 33(10):2186–2198
- Rossetti A, Pavesi G (2013) Comparison of different numerical approaches to the study of the H-Darrieus turbines start-up. Renew Energy 50:7–19
- Gorlov A (1998) Development of the helical reaction hydraulic turbine. Final technical report, July 1, 1996--June 30, 1998 (No. DOE/EE/15669-T1). Northeastern University, Boston, MA (United States)
- Al-Dabbagh MA, Yuce MI (2018) Simulation and comparison of helical and straight-bladed hydrokinetic turbines. Int J Renew Energy Res 8(1):504–513
- 21. Afungchui D, Kamoun B, Helali A, Djemaa AB (2010) The unsteady pressure field and the aerodynamic performances of a Savonius rotor based on the discrete vortex method. Renew Energy 35(1):307–313
- Kumar A, Saini RP (2016) Performance parameters of Savonius type hydrokinetic turbine: a review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 64:289–310
- Ferrari G, Federici D, Schito P, Inzoli F, Mereu R (2017) CFD study of Savonius wind turbine: 3D model validation and parametric analysis. Renew Energy 105:722–734
- Akwa JV, Vielmo HA, Petry AP (2012) A review on the performance of Savonius wind turbines. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 16(5):3054–3064
- Menet JL, de Rezende T (2013) Static and dynamic study of a conventional Savonius rotor using a numerical simulation. In: 21è Congrès Français de Mécanique (CFM)
- 26. Sivasegaram S (1978) Secondary parameters affecting the performance of resistance-type vertical-axis wind rotors. Wind Eng 2:49–58
- Fujisawa N, Gotoh F (1994) Experimental study on the aerodynamic performance of a Savonius rotor. ASME J Sol Energy Eng 116:148–152
- Mahmoud NH, El-Haroun AA, Wahba E, Nasef MH (2012) An experimental study on improvement of Savonius rotor performance. Alex Eng J 51(1):19–25
- 29. Wenehenubun F, Saputra A, Sutanto H (2015) An experimental study on the performance of Savonius wind turbines related with the number of blades. Energy Procedia 68:297–304
- Ogawa T (1984) Theoretical study on the flow about Savonius rotor. ASME J Fluids Eng 106(1):85–91
- Kacprzak K, Liskiewicz G, Sobczak K (2013) Numerical investigation of conventional and modified Savonius wind turbines. Renew Energy 60:578–585
- 32. Benesh AH, Benesh AH (1996) Wind turbine with Savonius-type rotor. U.S. Patent 5,494,407
- Grinspan AS, Saha UK (2005) Experimental investigation of twisted-bladed Savonius wind turbine rotor. Int Energy J 5:1–9
- 34. Song L, Yang ZX, Deng RT, Yang XG (2013) Performance and structure optimization for a new type of vertical axis wind turbine. In: Proceedings of the international conference on advanced mechatronic systems. IEEE, pp 687–692
- 35. Alom N, Kolaparthi SC, Gadde SC, Saha UK (2016) Aerodynamic design optimization of elliptical-bladed Savonius-style wind turbine by numerical simulations. Paper No. OMAE2016-55095, ASME 35th international conference on offshore mechanics and arctic engineering, June 19–24, Busan, South Korea

- Mari M, Venturini M, Beyene A (2017) A novel geometry for vertical axis wind turbines based on the Savonius concept. ASME J Energy Resour Technol 139(6):061202
- Muscolo GG, Molfino R (2014) From Savonius to Bronzinus: a comparison among vertical wind turbines. Energy Procedia 50:10–18
- Tartuferi M, D'Alessandro V, Montelpare S, Ricci R (2015) Enhancement of Savonius wind rotor aerodynamic performance: a computational study of new blade shapes and curtain systems. Energy 79:371–384
- 39. Mohan M, Saha UK (2021) Overlap ratio as the design variable for maximizing the efficiency of a Savonius wind rotor: an optimization approach. Paper No. IMECE2021-69930, ASME international mechanical engineering congress and exposition, vol 85642, p V08BT08A025
- 40. ANSYS Inc (2015) ANSYS fluent 12.0 theory guide
- 41. Roy S, Ducoin A (2016) Unsteady analysis on the instantaneous forces and moment arms acting on a novel Savonius-style wind turbine. Energy Convers Manag 121:281–296
- Fujisawa N (1992) On the torque mechanism of Savonius rotors. J Wind Eng Ind Aerodyn 40(3):277–292
- Chan CM, Bai HL, He DQ (2018) Blade shape optimization of the Savonius wind turbine using a genetic algorithm. Appl Energy 213:148–157
- 44. Agrawal A, Kansagara DD, Sharma D, Saha UK (2019) Savonius wind turbine blade profile optimization by coupling CFD simulations with simplex search technique. Paper No. GTIndia2019-2442, ASME gas turbine India conference, Dec 5–6, 2019, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India
- 45. Alakashi AM, Bambang B (2014) Comparison between structured and unstructured grid generation on two-dimensional flows based on Finite Volume Method (FVM). Int J Min Metall Mech Eng 2(2):97–103
- 46. Song C, Zheng Y, Zhao Z, Zhang Y, Li C, Jiang H (2015) Investigation of meshing strategies and turbulence models of computational fluid dynamics simulations of vertical axis wind turbines. J Renew Sustain Energy 7(3):033111
- 47. Alom N, Saha UK, Dewan A (2021) In the quest of an appropriate turbulence model for analyzing the aerodynamics of a conventional Savonius (S-type) wind rotor. J Renew Sustain Energy 13(2):023313
- Kamoji MA, Kedare SB, Prabhu SV (2009) Experimental investigations on single-stage modified Savonius rotor. Appl Energy 86(7–8):1064–1073
- 49. Emmanuel B, Jun W (2011) Numerical study of a six-bladed Savonius wind turbine. ASME J Sol Energy Eng 133(4):044503

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.