FOOD ADDICTION (A MEULE, SECTION EDITOR)

Future Directions in "Food Addiction": Next Steps and Treatment Implications

Erica M. Schulte¹ · Michelle A. Joyner¹ · Emma T. Schiestl¹ · Ashley N. Gearhardt¹

Published online: 27 April 2017 © Springer International Publishing AG 2017

Abstract

Purpose of Review The purposes of this study were to examine future directions regarding food addiction and to discuss potential treatment implications.

Recent Findings Foods likely differ in their ability to trigger addictive-like eating, with highly processed foods being the most closely associated with addictive processes. Harm reduction approaches may be relevant to the treatment of addictivelike eating. Food addiction is more closely associated with wanting for food, rather than liking the taste of food. Exposure to food cues increases wanting for food and increasing strategies to cope with cravings may be an important treatment approach. Negative affect likely increases vulnerability to overeating. Increasing emotion regulation capabilities may be important in reducing signs of food addiction.

Summary The role of addictive mechanisms in problematic eating requires additional research, but an addiction perspective may also have important treatment implications.

Keywords Food addiction \cdot Obesity \cdot Cue reactivity \cdot Craving \cdot Negative affect

Introduction

Obesity is global pandemic. Although there have been some gains in reducing the rates of obesity, such as in children from

This article is part of the Topical Collection on Food Addiction

Ashley N. Gearhardt agearhar@umich.edu

low-income households in the USA [1], global rates of obesity around the world continue to rise [2]. Further, weight loss attempts have limited long-term success [3, 4]. Many factors contribute to obesity risk (e.g., physical inactivity, genetic risk), but one contributor to the difficulty of achieving and maintaining a healthy body weight is the potentially addictive nature of highly processed foods (i.e., foods with added refined carbohydrates and/or fat). Rising obesity rates are associated with environmental changes where highly processed foods become cheap, convenient, and easily accessible [2]. However, not all individuals appear to be equally vulnerable to the impact of these highly rewarding foods. In the current manuscript, we will review the emerging research about how foods may vary in their ability to trigger addictive responses. We will also discuss factors that may increase an individual's vulnerability to the development of addictive-like eating, specifically cue-triggered wanting and negative affect. Further, we will review the potential treatment implications of these perspectives.

The Contribution of the Food

Applying a substance use disorder framework to food would posit that certain foods exhibit an addictive potential, which interacts with a person's proneness for addiction and may result in a phenotype consistent with food addiction [5–7]. Previous research has demonstrated parallels in the characteristics shared among individuals endorsing addictive-like eating behavior on the Yale Food Addiction Scale (YFAS) [8, 9•] and persons with substance use disorders, such as greater impulsivity, reward dysfunction, and emotion dysregulation [10–15]. Additionally, evidence examining which foods may have an addictive potential, akin to drugs of abuse, is growing, providing support for the idea that the attributes of the food contribute importantly to the development of addictive-like



¹ Department of Psychology, University of Michigan, 530 Church St., Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

eating behavior. Previous research in animals and humans suggest that highly processed foods, with added amounts of fat and/or refined carbohydrates, (e.g., pizza, chocolate, cake, chips) are most closely associated with indicators of food addiction [16–20•].

Preliminary evidence for the food addiction framework was observed in animal models, demonstrating that rats experience addictive-like biological and behavioral responses to highly processed foods or ingredients added to highly processed foods (e.g., sugar). Bingeing on highly processed foods appears to alter reward-related neural functioning in a similar manner as repeated consumption of addictive drugs, such as downregulating dopamine receptors [16, 19]. Further, bingeprone rats with intermittent access to highly processed foods (e.g., Oreo cookie, cheesecake) demonstrate behavioral features of addiction, such as binge consumption, cue-induced motivation, increased consumption over time, and desire to seek out highly processed food despite negative consequences (e.g., foot shock) and having ample access to nutritionally balanced chow [16, 17, 19, 21]. Further, when sugar is removed from a rat's diet following prolonged, intermittent access, characteristic withdrawal symptoms are observed (e.g., teeth chattering, anxiety) [22]. Importantly, these biological and behavioral indicators of addiction do not occur in response to rats' nutritionally balanced chow [16, 17, 19], suggesting that highly processed foods play an important role in triggering addictive-like eating behavior. It may be that highly processed foods are similarly reinforcing as drugs of abuse, as one study found that rats were more likely to choose access to sugar than heroin or cocaine [23].

In humans, the YFAS operationalizes indicators of food addiction by applying the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder (DSM) diagnostic criteria for substance use disorders to consumption of certain foods [8]. Examples of YFAS food addiction symptoms include consumption in greater quantities than intended, use despite negative consequences, interference with social and professional role obligations, and withdrawal [8]. There have been few studies to date investigating which foods are most related to YFAS indicators of addictive-like eating behavior in humans, and this warrants future research attention. However, preliminary findings parallel animal models to suggest that not all foods are equally likely to be consumed in an addictive way, and highly processed foods appear to be most problematic.

A recent study instructed participants to first complete the YFAS then report how likely they are to experience problems, as described by the YFAS, with 34 foods that varied across nutritional characteristics (e.g., processing, fat, sugar) [20•]. Highly processed foods (e.g., chocolate, pizza, ice cream) were reported to be most associated with YFAS indicators of addictive-like eating, particularly for individuals who endorsed experiencing elevated YFAS food addiction symptomology [20•]. Further, a food's glycemic load, a

measure of how rapidly refined carbohydrates are absorbed by the bloodstream, was positively correlated with greater reports of addictive-like eating, especially for persons exhibiting greater YFAS food addiction symptoms [20•]. Notably, foods without added fats or refined carbohydrates (e.g., nuts, fruits, vegetables) were minimally related to YFAS indicators of food addiction [20•]. These findings suggest that the reinforcing nature of highly processed foods may be enhanced by the added, elevated amount of rewarding ingredients (added fats and/or refined carbohydrates), which may be rapidly absorbed by the body (e.g., high glycemic load). Notably, a concentrated "dose" and rapid absorption of an addictive agent are features that also increase the addictive potential for a drug of abuse (e.g., a 1.5 oz shot of hard liquor has a greater addictive potential than a 12 oz glass of beer) [24–26], suggesting that highly processed foods may be pharmacokinetically similar to drugs of abuse.

One other study provides further evidence for the close association between highly processed foods and addictive-like eating behavior, observing that individuals exhibiting YFAS indicators of food addiction reported more frequent consumption of highly processed foods, relative to those without addictive-like eating behavior [18]. Additionally, previous research has demonstrated that highly processed foods are more likely than foods without added fats or refined carbohydrates to be associated with addictive-like responses, such as binge consumption [27–29], loss of control over consumption, [29–31], craving [32–36], and consumption in greater quantities in response to negative affect [37–40].

In summary, previous research in animals and humans have demonstrated that all foods are not equally associated with addictive-like eating, and highly processed foods (e.g., pizza, chocolate, chips) appear to be most closely related to the food addiction phenotype. Thus, existing data supports using a substance use disorder framework to conceptualize food addiction, as it appears that the type of food (highly processed foods) importantly contributes to the development of addictive-like eating. It may be that highly processed foods are particularly reinforcing because they share features with drugs of abuse, such as an elevated "dose" of rewarding ingredients (e.g., fat, refined carbohydrates) that may be rapidly absorbed by the body (high glycemic load). Given that previous human studies examining the addictive nature of certain foods have been limited to self-report, future research is necessary to examine whether highly processed foods are capable of altering reward-related neural systems and driving forward compulsive consumption in a similar manner as drugs of abuse.

Treatment Implications

If evidence for food addiction continues to grow, then the effectiveness of interventions for substance use disorders may warrant empirical investigation for individuals experiencing addictive-like eating. Notably, existing treatment approaches like cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) for bingetype eating disorders already include components of addiction interventions, such as craving management and strategies for emotion regulation [41]. Thus, these skills may also be applied to clinical treatments of food addiction. However, there are distinct theoretical differences between eating disorders and substance use disorders that may inform novel interventions [42]. For instance, eating disorder treatments use the narrative that there are "no good or bad foods" and focus on helping individuals change their cognitions and behavior around triggering foods [43, 44]. In contrast, a substance-based food addiction perspective would acknowledge that certain foods (e.g., highly processed foods) directly contribute to addictivelike eating and should be addressed in treatment.

One possible addiction treatment approach that could be adapted to addictive-like eating is harm reduction. This intervention helps individuals assess their personal risk associated with substances and environmental contexts, in order to reduce the likelihood of problematic consumption [45]. Rather than promoting abstinence, a harm reduction approach helps clients identify situations that may be safe to use the substance or too risky and should be avoided. For example, an individual with alcohol-use disorder may recognize that they can have one glass of wine while at dinner with friends without experiencing problems but should not consume hard liquor while alone. This may translate to the treatment of food addiction by helping individuals determine the risk of consuming certain foods in various contexts. For instance, a person may realize that they are most prone to addictive-like eating with highly processed foods, particularly in the evenings or when alone, though have minimal problems consuming highly processed foods at special events (e.g., cake at a birthday party). Thus, harm reduction treatment for food addiction would focus on reducing exposure to high-risk situations and equipping the patient with skills (e.g., craving management) to reduce the likelihood of addictive-like eating, while not requiring individuals to remain abstinent.

Incentive-Sensitization and the Role of Cues

Though the properties of certain foods likely cause them to have a greater addictive potential, not all individuals experience problematic consumption of these foods. The incentive-sensitization theory of addiction may explain why certain individuals are more prone to developing an addictive response to these foods [46•, 47]. This theory describes two driving forces behind eating and other consummatory behavior. "Liking," refers the hedonic pleasure derived from a substance, and may manifest as enjoyment of the taste and experience of eating a certain food [48]. "Wanting" refers to the intense desire and motivation to consume a substance and may manifest as craving for a certain food or exerting effort to obtain a certain food [49]. "Wanting" in particular is theorized to be triggered by related cues in the environment, for example, the smell of freshly baked cookies, advertisements for palatable foods, or walking into a fast food restaurant [47]. After repeated exposure to certain foods, related cues begin to take on extra incentive salience, meaning the individual becomes particularly attuned to them and likely to focus on them over other stimuli [46•]. This sensitization results in increased wanting and reward-seeking behavior in response to cues [50, 51]. Though a substance such as food is often both liked and wanted at the beginning, as sensitization occurs, wanting becomes stronger, while liking remains at the same level or even decreases [47, 52]. Thus, in individuals displaying an addictive response to a substance such as food, wanting is thought to be the driving force underlying problematic consumption [46•].

Recent research testing aspects of the incentivesensitization theory as applied to eating behavior provides support for the greater role of wanting relative to liking in contributing to overconsumption. Craving of highly processed foods is associated with more food addiction symptoms according to the YFAS, while liking of these foods is not [53•, 54]. Wanting and liking also appear to be differentially affected by an environment rich in food cues. In a simulated fast food restaurant laboratory, individuals experience greater hunger and craving for highly processed foods (e.g., cheeseburger, French fries) and consume more calories than do individuals in a neutral environment [55]. However, individuals across these two contexts do not differ in their report of liking for the foods [55]. Thus, the presence of cues appears to impact wanting, but not liking, and elevated wanting appears to be associated with greater consumption. Additionally, cues may have the ability to impact perception of hunger in the absence of homeostatic need [56, 57]. Given that cuetriggered wanting is often implicit [52], it may manifest as a feeling of hunger, triggering overconsumption when in a state of satiety. The above research suggests that cues are a powerful driving influence on overeating, particularly in those susceptible to an addictive response to highly processed foods.

Treatment Implications

Cues for unhealthy food are ubiquitous in the Western food environment, thus identifying and responding to cues may be an effective target for interventions aimed at reducing overeating and obesity. Interventions focused on identifying triggers and developing coping strategies to respond to them are used effectively in treatment of substance use disorders [58]. For patients experiencing symptoms of food addiction, strengthening awareness of the food cues they encounter daily and their response to them may be an important component of effective treatment. In fact, training people to inhibit a response to food cues is shown to decrease subsequent consumption [59]. Additionally, given the substantial role of cue-triggered wanting, developing strategies to manage cravings may reduce the tendency to consume excessively in circumstances when people are faced with food-relevant cues. For example, the mindfulness-based approach of "urge surfing," which teaches to ride out their cravings without giving in to them, has shown effectiveness in treating problem substance use as well as reducing consumption of chocolate [60–62]. As liking appears to hold less influence over problem eating behavior, targeting the pleasure derived from food is unlikely to be an effective treatment approach. Rather than attempting to reduce the pleasure and enjoyment derived from food, successful interventions may provide education on the effect of cues and skills to identify and cope with the cravings one may experience in their presence.

The Role of Negative Affect

In studies of traditional substance use disorder, strong emotions are a common antecedent to the consumption of addictive substances. Specifically, substance abusers reference the alleviation of negative affect, or relief from negative emotions, as a major motivating factor in the consumption of drugs and alcohol [63, 64]. Furthermore, many substance abusers attempting to reduce or eliminate their substance use cite negative affect as a primary factor leading to relapse [65-67]. As such, several theories of addiction posit negative affect as an influential antecedent to substance use. For example, the affect regulation model of substance abuse hypothesizes that, over time, substance users learn that the consumption of addictive substances leads to reduced negative affect. As such, negative affect becomes a trigger for substance use. Thus, the relationship between negative affect and substance use becomes cyclical in that substance use is triggered by but also serves to alleviate negative affect, a process which is then sustained by negative reinforcement [68•, 69, 70].

Negative affect has also been gaining attention in the growing field of food addiction research. As with traditional substance use, when self-report measures are utilized to collect data on binge eating episodes and other forms of maladaptive eating, negative affect is consistently associated with overconsumption [68•, 71]. Similarly, when examining lapses in dieting behavior and weight loss programs, individuals cite negative affect as a common precipitating factor to diet violations [72]. Based on these self-report studies of maladaptive eating and weight loss, theories speculating the role of negative affect in food addiction have been developed that parallel the theories specifying the role of negative affect in traditional substance use disorders.

Although not specifically developed to explain the role of negative affect in food addiction, upwards of a dozen theories have been generated to explain the role of negative affect in the overconsumption of highly palatable foods. Of these theories, the most popular include the *affective regulation model* which posits that negative affect triggers binge eating which in turn ameliorates negative affect [68•, 73], *the theory of emotional eating* which views overeating as a coping strategy in response to emotional distress [74], and *emotional arousal theory* which postulates that overconsumption is induced in order to downregulate high levels of emotional arousal [75]. Despite differences in the origin of negative affect and how exactly negative affect triggers overconsumption, all affective theories of overconsumption and maladaptive eating behaviors maintain that negative affect is an important precipitant for binge eating behavior, and either during the act of overconsumption or following overconsumption, these negative emotions are diminished [76].

However, these theories have come into question due to their reliance on evidence derived from retrospective recall. That is, the self-report procedures used to build the above theories ask participants to remember binge episodes and instances of overconsumption from the past to determine which emotions were most often experienced before maladaptive eating behaviors [68•, 71, 77]. Mounting evidence indicates that retrospective recall is systematically biased by a variety of factors [71, 78]. Most importantly, research suggests that the emotions an individual experiences while recalling past events can influence how the individual remembers the original event [67, 71, 78]. In regard to food addiction, this is problematic because data about emotions occurring before binge eating is often collected following the binge episode. Thus, the largely negative emotions an individual experiences in response to having binged or in response to breaking their diet may influence how the individual remembers the emotions they experienced prior to eating.

Fortunately, advances in portable technology now allow researchers to examine eating behavior in real time by asking participants to report on their affect immediately before food consumption [79]. Many real-time eating studies provide support in favor of influential role of negative affect. Heron, et al. [80] found evidence of increased negative affect immediately preceding loss-of-control eating, and Elliston, et al. [79] discovered that, when making eating decisions, negative affect was the highest during the decision to eat a high-calorie snack and the lowest during the decision to eat a low-calorie snack. Interestingly, additional studies have demonstrated that in addition to negative affect, increased positive affect may serve as a trigger for dietary relapse [72].

However, while research using real-time self-report technology suggests that negative affect is higher preceding bingelike eating behavior potentially supporting the affect regulation model of consumption, additional studies have demonstrated that negative affect remains high following binge-like eating episodes [81] Such findings suggest that while an individual may be motivated to consume food in a binge-like manner in order to alleviate negative affect, eating in such a way can actually result in even greater negative affect following a binge-like eating episode and is an ineffective emotion regulation strategy.

Treatment Implications

Based on the preliminary, albeit mixed, evidence supporting the role of negative affect in maladaptive eating behavior, it may be important to consider emotional regulation techniques in the treatment of food addiction. Emotion regulation can be characterized as any attempt to moderate which emotions are experienced, how they are experienced, and how they can be reacted to [76]. Thus, when an individual with food addiction experiences negative affect, they can learn to self-regulate their emotional state with adaptive psychological techniques rather than relying on food to blunt their affect.

Similarly, because some theories posit the use of overconsumption as a coping mechanism to alleviate negative affect, it may also be important to incorporate adaptive coping techniques into the treatment of food addiction. Research shows that coping mechanisms such as distraction, problem-solving, and reappraisal are effective in preventing relapse and substance use in traditional addictive disorders [72, 82•, 83]. Future research should examine the impact of adaptive coping strategies in food addiction in order to determine how best to treat individuals with food addiction symptoms and other disorders characterized by excessive food consumption.

Conclusions

Scientific inquiry into the role of addictive processes in overeating is growing. Future research is needed to more fully evaluate the validity of the "food addiction" construct; however, evidence is emerging that foods differ in their likelihood of being consumed in an addictive manner. In particular, highly processed foods (with added fats and/or refined carbohydrates) appear to be the most implicated in addictive-like eating [20•]. Treatment approaches that acknowledge that foods vary in their contribution to addictive-like eating, combined with strategies to assist in reducing harm around consumption of these foods, may be an important future direction. Further, environmental cues appear to be potent triggers for food craving, and addictive-like eating is associated with increased food cravings [53•, 54, 55]. Intervention approaches that aim to identify triggering food cues and improve the ability to successfully respond to food cravings are also likely important for reducing addictive-like eating behavior. Finally, although future research is needed, negative affect may increase the propensity to eat in an addictive-like manner [68•, 71, 77]. Clinically, increasing the effectiveness of emotion regulation strategies is a promising avenue.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest Ms. Erica M. Schulte, Ms. Michelle A. Joyner, Miss Emma T. Schiestl, and Dr. Ashley N. Gearhardt declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- · Of importance
- Control CfD, Prevention. Vital signs: obesity among low-income, preschool-aged children—United States, 2008-2011. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2013;62(31):629.
- Malik VS, Willett WC, Hu FB. Global obesity: trends, risk factors and policy implications. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2013;9(1):13–27.
- Fildes A, Charlton J, Rudisill C, Littlejohns P, Prevost AT, Gulliford MC. Probability of an obese person attaining normal body weight: cohort study using electronic health records. Am J Public Health. 2015;105(9):e54–e9.
- Dombrowski SU, Knittle K, Avenell A, Araujo-Soares V, Sniehotta FF. Long term maintenance of weight loss with non-surgical interventions in obese adults: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. 2014.
- Ahmed SH, Avena NM, Berridge KC, Gearhardt AN, Guillem K. Food addiction. Neuroscience in the 21st Century. Springer; 2013. p. 2833–57.
- Davis C, Carter JC. Compulsive overeating as an addiction disorder. A review of theory and evidence. Appetite. 2009;53(1):1–8.
- Gearhardt AN, Davis C, Kuschner R, Brownell KD. The addiction potential of hyperpalatable foods. Current drug abuse reviews. 2011;4(3):140–5.
- Gearhardt AN, Corbin WR, Brownell KD. Preliminary validation of the Yale Food Addiction Scale. Appetite. 2009;52(2):430–6.
- 9.• Gearhardt AN, Corbin WR, Brownell KD. Development of the Yale Food Addiction Scale Version 2.0. Psychology of addictive behaviors : journal of the Society of Psychologists in Addictive Behaviors. 2016;30(1):113–21. The Yale Food Addiction Scale 2.0 is the most recent version of the only existing tool used to operationalize indicators of addictive-like eating in humans. This measure applies the current diagnostic criteria for substance-use disorders to certain foods (e.g., highly processed foods).
- Gearhardt AN, White MA, Masheb RM, Grilo CM. An examination of food addiction in a racially diverse sample of obese patients with binge eating disorder in primary care settings. Compr Psychiatry. 2013;54(5):500–5.
- Gearhardt AN, White MA, Masheb RM, Morgan PT, Crosby RD, Grilo CM. An examination of the food addiction construct in obese patients with binge eating disorder. The International journal of eating disorders. 2012;45(5):657–63.
- Gearhardt AN, Yokum S, Orr PT, Stice E, Corbin WR, Brownell KD. Neural correlates of food addiction. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2011;68(8):808–16.
- Meule A, Lutz A, Vogele C, Kubler A. Women with elevated food addiction symptoms show accelerated reactions, but no impaired

inhibitory control, in response to pictures of high-calorie food-cues. Eat Behav. 2012;13(4):423–8.

- Murphy CM, Stojek MK, MacKillop J. Interrelationships among impulsive personality traits, food addiction, and Body Mass Index. Appetite. 2014;73:45–50.
- Pivarunas B, Conner BT. Impulsivity and emotion dysregulation as predictors of food addiction. Eat Behav. 2015;19:9–14.
- Johnson PM, Kenny PJ. Dopamine D2 receptors in addiction-like reward dysfunction and compulsive eating in obese rats. Nat Neurosci. 2010;13(5):635–41.
- Oswald KD, Murdaugh DL, King VL, Boggiano MM. Motivation for palatable food despite consequences in an animal model of binge eating. Int J Eat Disord. 2011;44(3):203–11.
- Pursey KM, Collins CE, Stanwell P, Burrows TL. Foods and dietary profiles associated with 'food addiction'in young adults. Addictive Behaviors Reports. 2015;2:41–8.
- Robinson MJ, Burghardt PR, Patterson CM, Nobile CW, Akil H, Watson SJ, et al. Individual differences in cue-induced motivation and striatal systems in rats susceptible to diet-induced obesity. Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology. 2015;40(9):2113–23.
- 20. Schulte EM, Avena NM, Gearhardt AN. Which foods may be addictive? The roles of processing, fat content, and glycemic load. PLoS One. 2015;10(2):e0117959. This study is the first to systematically identify that highly processed foods, with added amounts of fat and/or refined carbohydrates, (e.g., pizza, chocolate, chips) are most closely related to behavioral indicators of food addiction in humans.
- Eikelboom R, Hewitt R. Intermittent access to a sucrose solution for rats causes long-term increases in consumption. Physiol Behav. 2016;165:77–85.
- Avena NM, Bocarsly ME, Rada P, Kim A, Hoebel BG. After daily bingeing on a sucrose solution, food deprivation induces anxiety and accumbens dopamine/acetylcholine imbalance. Physiol Behav. 2008;94(3):309–15.
- Madsen HB, Ahmed SH. Drug versus sweet reward: greater attraction to and preference for sweet versus drug cues. Addict Biol. 2015;20(3):433–44.
- Henningfield JE, Keenan RM. Nicotine delivery kinetics and abuse liability. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1993;61(5):743–50.
- Klatsky AL, Armstrong MA, Kipp H. Correlates of alcoholic beverage preference: traits of persons who choose wine, liquor or beer. Br J Addict. 1990;85(10):1279–89.
- Verebey K, Gold MS. From coca leaves to crack: the effects of dose and routes of administration in abuse liability. Psychiatr Ann. 1988;18(9):513–20.
- Yanovski SZ, Leet M, Yanovski JA, Flood M, Gold PW, Kissileff HR, et al. Food selection and intake of obese women with bingeeating disorder. Am J Clin Nutr. 1992;56(6):975–80.
- Rosen JC, Leitenberg H, Fisher C, Khazam C. Binge-eating episodes in bulimia nervosa: the amount and type of food consumed. Int J Eat Disord. 1986;5(2):255–67.
- Vanderlinden J, Dalle Grave R, Vandereycken W, Noorduin C. Which factors do provoke binge-eating? An exploratory study in female students. Eat Behav. 2001;2(1):79–83.
- Arnow B, Kenardy J, Agras WS. Binge eating among the obese: a descriptive study. J Behav Med. 15(2):155–70.
- Waters A, Hill A, Waller G. Internal and external antecedents of binge eating episodes in a group of women with bulimia nervosa. The International journal of eating disorders. 2001;29(1):17–22.
- 32. Gilhooly C, Das S, Golden J, McCrory M, Dallal G, Saltzman E, et al. Food cravings and energy regulation: the characteristics of craved foods and their relationship with eating behaviors and weight change during 6 months of dietary energy restriction. Int J Obes. 2007;31(12):1849–58.

- Weingarten HP, Elston D. Food cravings in a college population. Appetite. 1991;17(3):167–75.
- Yanovski SZ. Sugar and fat: cravings and aversions. J Nutr. 2003;133(3):835S–7S.
- Ifland JR, Preuss HG, Marcus MT, Rourke KM, Taylor WC, Burau K, et al. Refined food addiction: a classic substance use disorder. Med Hypotheses. 2009;72(5):518–26.
- White MA, Grilo CM. Psychometric properties of the food craving inventory among obese patients with binge eating disorder. Eat Behav. 2005;6(3):239–45.
- Zellner DA, Loaiza S, Gonzalez Z, Pita J, Morales J, Pecora D, et al. Food selection changes under stress. Physiol Behav. 2006;87(4): 789–93.
- Oliver G, Wardle J. Perceived effects of stress on food choice. Physiol Behav. 1999;66(3):511–5.
- Epel E, Lapidus R, McEwen B, Brownell K. Stress may add bite to appetite in women: a laboratory study of stress-induced cortisol and eating behavior. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2001;26(1):37–49.
- Oliver G, Wardle J, Gibson EL. Stress and food choice: a laboratory study. Psychosom Med. 2000;62(6):853–65.
- Gearhardt AN, White MA, Potenza MN. Binge eating disorder and food addiction. Current drug abuse reviews. 2011;4(3):201–7.
- Schulte EM, Grilo CM, Gearhardt AN. Shared and unique mechanisms underlying binge eating disorder and addictive disorders. Clin Pesychol Rev. 2016.
- Fairburn CG. Cognitive behavior therapy and eating disorders. Guilford Press. 2008.
- 44. Grilo CM, Mitchell JE. The treatment of eating disorders: a clinical handbook. Guilford Press. 2011.
- 45. Marlatt GA. Harm reduction: come as you are. Addict Behav. 1996;21(6):779–88.
- 46.• Berridge KC, Robinson TE. Liking, wanting, and the incentivesensitization theory of addiction. Am Psychol. 2016;71(8):670–9. This article reviews the most recent research examining the incentive-sensitization theory, discussing how it may be expanded to apply to behavioral addictions and other forms of psychopathology (e.g., depression, Parkinson's disease).
- Berridge KC, Robinson TE. Liking, wanting, and the incentivesensitization theory of addiction. Am Psychol. 2016;71(8):670–9.
- Berridge KC, Ho CY, Richard JM, DiFeliceantonio AG. The tempted brain eats: pleasure and desire circuits in obesity and eating disorders. Brain Res. 2010;1350:43–64.
- Berridge KC. 'Liking' and 'wanting' food rewards: brain substrates and roles in eating disorders. Physiol Behav. 2009;97(5):537–50.
- Temple JL, Bulkley AM, Badawy RL, Krause N, McCann S, Epstein LH. Differential effects of daily snack food intake on the reinforcing value of food in obese and nonobese women. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;90(2):304–13.
- Robinson TE, Flagel SB. Dissociating the predictive and incentive motivational properties of reward-related cues through the study of individual differences. Biol Psychiatry. 2009;65(10):869–73.
- Finlayson G, King N, Blundell J. The role of implicit wanting in relation to explicit liking and wanting for food: implications for appetite control. Appetite. 2008;50(1):120–7.
- 53.• Polk SE, Schulte EM, Furman CR, Gearhardt AN. Wanting and liking: separable components in problematic eating behavior? Appetite. 2016. This study underscores a distinction between "wanting" and "liking" in the consumption of palatable foods, providing further support for the applicability of incentivesensitization theory to eating behavior.
- Meule A, Kubler A. Food cravings in food addiction: the distinct role of positive reinforcement. Eat Behav. 2012;13(3):252–5.
- Joyner MA, Kim S, Gearhardt AN. Investigating an incentivesensitization model of eating behavior: impact of a simulated fastfood laboratory. Manuscript submitted for publication. 2017.

- Cohen DA. Obesity and the built environment: changes in environmental cues cause energy imbalances. Int J Obes. 2008;32(Suppl 7):S137–42.
- Johnson AW. Eating beyond metabolic need: how environmental cues influence feeding behavior. Trends Neurosci. 2013;36(2):101–9.
- 58. Marlatt GA. Cue exposure and relapse prevention in the treatment of addictive behaviors. Addict Behav. 1990;15:395–9.
- 59. Houben K, Jansen A. Training inhibitory control. A recipe for resisting sweet temptations. Appetite. 2011;56(2):345–9.
- Bowen S, Marlatt A. Surfing the urge: brief mindfulness-based intervention for college student smokers. Psychol Addict Behav. 2009;23(4):666–71.
- Witkiewitz K, Bowen S, Donovan DM. Moderating effects of a craving intervention on the relation between negative mood and heavy drinking following treatment for alcohol dependence. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2011;79(1):54–63.
- Jenkins KT, Tapper K. Resisting chocolate temptation using a brief mindfulness strategy. Br J Health Psychol. 2014;19(3):509–22.
- Shiffman S. Assessing smoking patterns and motives. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1993;61(5):732.
- Cooper ML, Agocha VB, Sheldon MS. A motivational perspective on risky behaviors: the role of personality and affect regulatory processes. J Pers. 2000;68(6):1059–88.
- Pomerleau O, Adkins D, Pertschuk M. Predictors of outcome and recidivism in smoking cessation treatment. Addict Behav. 1978;3(2):65–70.
- Baer JS, Karmack T, Lichtenstein E, Ransom CC. Prediction of smoking relapse: analyses of temptations and transgressions after initial cessation. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1989;57(5):623.
- Shiffman S, Waters AJ. Negative affect and smoking lapses: a prospective analysis. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2004;72(2):192.
- 68.• Berg KC, Crosby RD, Cao L, Crow SJ, Engel SG, Wonderlich SA, et al. Negative affect prior to and following overeating-only, loss of control eating-only, and binge eating episodes in obese adults. Int J Eat Disord. 2015;48(6):641–53. Global negative affect significantly increased prior to and subsequently diminished following binge eating behavior. Results are consistent with the affective regulation model, suggesting that negative emotions trigger overconsumption, which in turn alleviates negative affect.
- Shiffman S, Balabanis MH, Gwaltney CJ, Paty JA, Gnys M, Kassel JD, et al. Prediction of lapse from associations between smoking and situational antecedents assessed by ecological momentary assessment. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2007;91(2):159–68.

- 70. Shiffman S. Conceptual issues in the study of relapse. 1989.
- Grilo CM, Shiffman S, Wing RR. Relapse crises and coping among dieters. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1989;57(4):488.
- Carels RA, Douglass OM, Cacciapaglia HM, O'Brien WH. An ecological momentary assessment of relapse crises in dieting. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2004;72(2):341.
- 73. Polivy J, Herman CP. Etiology of binge eating: psychological mechanisms. 1993.
- Bennett J, Greene G, Schwartz-Barcott D. Perceptions of emotional eating behavior. A qualitative study of college students. Appetite. 2013;60:187–92.
- Pine CJ. Anxiety and eating behavior in obese and nonobese American Indians and White Americans. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1985;49(3):774.
- Leehr EJ, Krohmer K, Schag K, Dresler T, Zipfel S, Giel KE. Emotion regulation model in binge eating disorder and obesity—a systematic review. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2015;49:125–34.
- Goldschmidt AB, Crosby RD, Cao L, Engel SG, Durkin N, Beach HM, et al. Ecological momentary assessment of eating episodes in obese adults. Psychosom Med. 2014;76(9):747.
- Shiffman S. Ecological momentary assessment (EMA) in studies of substance use. Psychol Assess. 2009;21(4):486.
- Elliston KG, Ferguson SG, Schüz N, Schüz B. Situational cues and momentary food environment predict everyday eating behavior in adults with overweight and obesity. 2016.
- Heron KE, Scott SB, Sliwinski MJ, Smyth JM. Eating behaviors and negative affect in college women's everyday lives. Int J Eat Disord. 2014;47(8):853–9.
- Wegner KE, Smyth JM, Crosby RD, Wittrock D, Wonderlich SA, Mitchell JE. An evaluation of the relationship between mood and binge eating in the natural environment using ecological momentary assessment. Int J Eat Disord. 2002;32(3):352–61.
- 82.• Moore TM, Seavey A, Ritter K, McNulty JK, Gordon KC, Stuart GL. Ecological momentary assessment of the effects of craving and affect on risk for relapse during substance abuse treatment. Psychol Addict Behav. 2014;28(2):619. Individuals who engage in coping via distraction and behavioral disengagement, compared to other coping methods, are at an increased risk of relapse when they experience negative affect and craving.
- Weiss NH, Bold KW, Sullivan TP, Armeli S, Tennen H. Testing bidirectional associations among emotion regulation strategies and substance use: a daily diary study. Addiction. 2016.