

Nutritional Aspects of Food Addiction

Kirrilly M. Pursey^{1,2} · Caroline Davis³ · Tracy L. Burrows^{1,2}

Published online: 2 May 2017
© Springer International Publishing AG 2017

Abstract

Purpose of Review Behavioural and neurobiological similarities have been identified between the consumption of certain foods and addiction-related disorders. However, few studies have investigated what components of food may promote an addictive-like response in humans. This review evaluates recent research concerning the nutritional aspects of addictive-like eating.

Recent Findings Based on the current evidence base, highly processed, hyper-palatable foods with combinations of fat and sugar appear most likely to facilitate an addictive-like response. Total fat content and glycaemic index also appear to be important factors in the addictive potential of foods. Despite public interest and evidence from animal studies, few studies have reported an association between sugar and addictive-like eating.

Summary Due to the paucity of studies, it is difficult to conclusively identify a specific food or ingredient as capable of triggering an addictive-like response in humans. Future studies using validated dietary assessment tools are essential and may inform the development of novel strategies to treat maladaptive eating behaviours.

Keywords Food addiction · Nutrition · Diet · Eating behaviour · Substance-related disorder · Behavioural addiction

Introduction

Over recent decades, the food environment has changed dramatically, paralleling the rise in the prevalence of obesity [1]. Globally, 36.9% of males and 38.0% of females are classified as overweight or obese [2], and projections estimate that 58% of the population will be overweight or obese by the year 2030 [3]. Alongside this, there has been an increased availability of energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods that can be produced and purchased inexpensively, when compared to healthier options [4, 5]. Globally, dietary intake patterns have shifted towards higher energy-density diets [6], with a 450-kcal/day increase in the availability of calories per capita worldwide from the 1960s to the 1990s [7], and even greater increases (600 kcal/day) per capita in the USA [8]. Increases in certain food portion sizes [9, 10], snacking frequency [11] and density of fast food restaurants [12] have also been documented. The omnipresent nature of hyper-palatable foods may contribute to problematic eating behaviour and potential weight gain in vulnerable individuals [13, 14].

A burgeoning body of evidence suggests that there are behavioural [15, 16], neurobiological [16–18] and genetic [19–21] similarities between the consumption of certain foods and addiction-related disorders and that these may have the potential to facilitate overeating and weight gain in susceptible individuals [16, 22]. The relationship between dietary patterns, brain function and mental disorders has been the subject of intense research over recent years [23•]. However, while the term “food” addiction has been well-accepted by the general public [24], few studies have sought to investigate the specific components of food that promote an

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Food Addiction*

✉ Kirrilly M. Pursey
Kirrilly.pursey@newcastle.edu.au

- ¹ School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medicine, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW, Australia
- ² Priority Research Centre in Physical Activity and Nutrition, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW, Australia
- ³ School of Kinesiology and Health Sciences, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada

addictive-like response in humans. Such a gap in our knowledge has prompted the question of whether addictive-like eating is better conceptualised as a *substance-related* or *behavioural* addiction [13, 25]. This review aims to evaluate current research concerning the nutritional aspects of addictive-like eating.

Food Selection

Food selection and dietary patterns are influenced by a variety of factors, including physiological or homeostatic needs [26], the sensory characteristics of the food [27], environmental exposure [28, 29], socioeconomic status [30], as well as social and cultural norms [31, 32]. Eating is intrinsically rewarding and reinforcing, and food consumption is known to activate the dopaminergic reward-related centres in the brain due to its palatability and post-ingestive increases in glucose and insulin [18]. These same brain areas are also involved in reward processing of addictive substances [33]. Foods can be manipulated in a variety of ways to enhance consumer sales and consumption. To remain competitive in an ever changing environment, the “bliss point” of a food—that is, the optimal amount of fat, sugar and salt to maximise palatability, texture and aroma—is highly sought after by the food industry [34]. Food colours and glazes, stimulating packaging and the use of food advertisements can also improve the visual appeal of food [35]. These alterations enhance the rewarding qualities of the food and may also have the potential to enhance the addictive properties of certain foods and beverages.

Characteristics of Food Associated with Addictive-Like Eating

The concept of food addiction has generated considerable interest and debate in both the media and the scientific arenas [36–38]. While there is no universal definition for food addiction, the construct has been operationalised according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders IV (DSM) criteria for substance dependence [16] and more recently to the DSM-5 [39]. Schulte and colleagues [25] have discussed the substance-related model of food addiction, arguing that not all foods have the same addictive potential. Other authors have suggested that addictive-like eating may be better conceptualised as a behavioural addiction [13, 40, 41]. This viewpoint proposes that while hyper-palatable foods are rewarding, it does not necessarily follow that a specific component of the food is driving this process; rather it may be related to continuous, compulsive overeating of a variety of foods, irrespective of nutritional composition. Further research is necessary to untangle these apparently opposing viewpoints.

Identifying potentially addictive components of food is a challenging enterprise, as we typically consume a combination of ingredients in the food we eat. Animal models have been useful in investigating the mechanisms and possible ingredients associated with addictive-like eating. Evidence from animal studies suggests that sugar is the most likely agent to facilitate an addictive-like response due its activation of opioid receptors [42], as well as its ability to foster tolerance, withdrawal, cross-sensitisation and neurochemical changes in a similar way to addictive drugs [43]. However, addictive-like adaptations to sugar intake have not always been associated with weight gain [44], limiting the application to human obesity [40]. Another problem with the extrapolation from animal studies to humans is that in animal research, sugar is generally added to water, while the solid food (chow) remains balanced and not enhanced [45]. Intermittent access to a high-fat diet has been shown to induce binge eating behaviour in rats [46], but not opioid-induced withdrawal symptoms [42]. Diets with a combination of sugar and fat can induce both weight gain and addictive-like symptoms [47, 48], but addictive-like behaviours occurred to a lesser degree than when the diet was supplemented with sugar only [40]. However, animal models are limited in their generalisability as they do not account for social and environmental influences on human eating behaviour. Nevertheless, these findings have encouraged the investigation of which food components are potentially capable of triggering an addictive-like response in humans.

Foods with a Combination of Ingredients

In 1956, addictive-like eating behaviours were linked to foods such as corn, wheat, milk, potatoes and coffee [49]. Over time, developments in agricultural practices and food processing have led to more efficient and cost effective production and the development of novel foods. Correspondingly, the types of foods associated with addictive-like eating have evolved alongside changes in the food environment. It is now thought that processed foods, with added fat and refined carbohydrate (i.e. sugar), are more likely to trigger an addictive response compared to naturally grown foods [36, 50, 51]. These foods, or food-like substances, more easily override biological satiety mechanisms and facilitate an addictive-like eating process in vulnerable individuals [18]. Foods, such as chocolate, cookies and chips, are often reported as highly craved [52] and involved in typical binge eating episodes [53], compared to fruit and vegetables. However, the intensity and duration of food cravings are typically experienced to a lesser degree than drug craving [54]. Humans are biologically driven to seek energy-dense foods as a potent energy source to maximise energy stores in times of famine. In nature, energy-dense foods are often hard to obtain; however, now they are abundant in Westernised food systems and in many developing nations [55]. One of the major critiques of the substance-related model

is that unlike drugs, food is necessary for human survival [38, 56]. While food is necessary for survival, energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods are clearly not an essential part of a healthy balanced diet [57].

Scientific studies investigating addictive-like eating tendencies have often relied on tools that target single aspects of addiction, such as craving and impulsivity [58–60], or self-identification, such as “chocoholics” [61–63] and “carb cravers” [64]. In 2009, Gearhardt and colleagues [65] developed the *Yale Food Addiction Scale* (YFAS), a 25-item tool that assesses the behavioural indicators of food addiction according to the DSM-IV. More recently, the YFAS 2.0 has been developed according to the DSM-5, with similar psychometric properties and prevalence to the original YFAS [66]. However, studies are yet to use this tool in combination with specific foods or nutrients.

In an Australian study of predominantly young females [67•], higher YFAS food addiction scores were associated with higher percentage energy intake (%E) from energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods, such as confectionary and take-out foods, assessed via a validated food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). “Junk” foods, such as candy, chocolate, cookies, ice-cream and cakes, were also associated with addictive-like eating in self-reported food addicts [68]. These findings have been echoed in the study by Schulte and colleagues [69•] during a forced-rank task of a pre-selected list of 35 foods chosen by the authors, which varied in levels of processing. Palatable processed foods, with added fats and refined carbohydrates, including pizza and ice-cream, were reported as more likely to be associated with addictive-like eating behaviours, while fruit and vegetables were ranked as those foods that were least likely. This is similar to a study by Meule and colleagues [70] who used a predefined list of foods specified in the YFAS, with the most frequently self-selected addictive foods including chocolate, candy, cookies and chips. However, these two studies only investigated a predetermined list of foods in developed countries and therefore may not be reflective of foods across a wider range of countries or cultural groups. Addictive-like eating, assessed via the YFAS, has also been associated with increased visual attention [71] and accelerated reaction times [72] to energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods.

Brain-imaging techniques such as positron emission tomography (PET) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) have provided another method to assess the addictive potential of certain foods. Imaging studies have identified the activation of reward-related dopaminergic brain regions by the sight [73], smell [74, 75] and taste [76, 77] of food in a similar way to addictive substances. However, in many published studies, the nutrient composition has not been reported, and often subjective descriptions such as “palatable” or “disgusting” foods have been used [78]. Therefore, it remains unclear as to what specific characteristic of the food may elicit this response. One study has used fMRI in combination with the

YFAS to investigate the activation of brain regions in response to a palatable milkshake (ice-cream, milk and chocolate syrup) compared to an unflavoured beverage [22]. In this study, individuals with higher addictive-like eating tendencies displayed greater activation of reward circuitry in response to visual food cues, while food intake reduced activation of inhibitory regions, in a pattern similar to that seen in other addiction disorders [22]. Future studies are essential to tease out what nutritional component of the milkshake may have the capacity to activate neural circuitry in this way.

One of the major limitations in existing food addiction research is the lack of validated dietary assessment tools. Collecting accurate, comprehensive dietary intake data that reflects usual intake is challenging due to the reliance on self-report and recall in retrospective methods such as FFQ’s and alterations in food intake during the reporting period in prospective methods such as weighed food records [79]. Newer technology-based methods assist in reducing both researcher and participant burden in the collection and analysis of dietary data [80]. Research of this kind is necessary to advance the food addiction field, identify possible dietary treatment targets and inform future nutrition-related policy and treatment programs.

It is highly unlikely that all foods are equally capable of triggering an addictive-like response [36]. However, other than caffeine, there is currently insufficient human evidence to label a specific food, nutrient, ingredient or combination of ingredients addictive [13, 81]. From the limited number of studies to date, it appears that if any foods are addictive, it is likely to be energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods with a combination of fat and sugar. However, there is a lack of high-quality, well-powered trials that recruit representative samples. Further research using randomised controlled designs is essential to identify the characteristics, combinations and concentrations of ingredients which are potentially addictive.

Macronutrients

Carbohydrates

Carbohydrates encompass a range of substances in food, including sugars, starches and fibres. Humans have evolved to prefer sweet foods as they are a rapid source of energy and sweet taste typically signals that the food is not poisonous [82]. Following results from animal studies, sugar may be the most likely macronutrient to facilitate an addictive process in susceptible humans, and as such “sugar addiction” has received the most attention in scientific research [43, 81, 83•] and from the media [84, 85].

The relationship between food addiction and carbohydrate intake has been investigated in a small number of human studies [67•, 69•, 86•, 87]; however, only one has reported a significant association [86•]. Higher total carbohydrate per kilogramme of body weight, assessed via a FFQ, was

consumed in a sample of obese individuals classified as food addicted compared to their control counterparts [86•]. The relationship between YFAS scores and brain activation in response to a high- and low-sugar beverage has also been investigated in adolescents [88]. Addiction-related neural pathways were activated across all participants when tasting the high-sugar compared to the low-sugar beverage. However, no relationship was identified between YFAS scores and brain response to the high sugar beverage [88]. In addition, no relationship was identified between intake of total sugars and food addiction in a sample of young Australians [67••]; however, this study did not discriminate between added sugars (e.g. sugar added to processed foods such as sugar-sweetened beverages) and naturally occurring sugars (e.g. lactose in milk). It is proposed that those refined, added sugars are those that increase the addictive potential of foods, due to the higher dose compared to naturally sourced food [69••].

No human studies have investigated specific subtypes of sugar, such as monosaccharides (e.g. fructose, glucose) or disaccharides (e.g. sucrose), in relation to addictive-like eating. Consumption of high fructose corn syrup (42–55% fructose), particularly from sugar-sweetened beverages, has increased dramatically and appears to contribute to weight gain and obesity [89]. Fructose has received attention in relation to dysregulation of appetite control, hyperphagia and weight gain in animal models [45, 90]. However, such studies have used fructose in concentrations higher than consumed in the normal human diet [91, 92], while other studies have found that there is no significant difference between fructose and other types of sugars such as glucose or sucrose on human appetite [93–95]. Differentiating between added and naturally occurring sugars, as well as specific types of sugar, is an important area of future food addiction research. In addition, the form in which sugar and other nutrients are found in the diet (e.g. food vs beverages) requires investigation, with sugar in liquid form resulting in poor satiety compared to solid food, leading to overconsumption [96, 97].

Despite public perception, scientific evidence supporting the addictive potential of carbohydrates, and more specifically simple sugars, in humans is not compelling [81, 83•]. However, this does not mean that it should be dismissed due to the limited evidence base. The results from animal studies encourage further human investigation.

Fats

Fat contributes to the flavour, smell, mouthfeel and energy density of food [98]. Evidence also suggests that fat may be an important factor in the addictive potential of certain foods. In young adults, %E from total fat was positively associated with YFAS symptom scores and higher odds of food addiction diagnosis [67••]. High-fat foods are also more likely to be perceived as addictive [69••]. In two studies by Pedram and

colleagues, %E from fat was significantly higher in food-addicted individuals compared to non-addicted counterparts in both a community sample [87] and an obese sample [86•]. Self-reported food addicts also consumed a greater number of calories from high-fat foods and greater energy intake overall in a laboratory task [99]. With further investigation into the specific types of fat associated with addictive-like eating, two studies have found a significant positive relationship between YFAS food addiction and saturated and monounsaturated fat [67••, 86•]. The significant relationship with saturated fats is particularly concerning, with national recommendations to limit these in the diet [100].

It is important to note that in many cases, fat is not necessarily palatable on its own, but is often combined with other ingredients to improve the flavour profile. The relationships reported between fat intake and food addiction may be reflective of the way in which fat is consumed in the diet, often in energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods in combination with other nutrients such as carbohydrates and salt (e.g. fried foods, pastries). Such an occurrence provides further support for the hypothesis that the combination of these ingredients in hyper-palatable, highly processed foods may be the most likely to facilitate addictive-like eating episodes.

Protein

Protein has received little attention in food addiction research. A single study reported that energy from protein was positively related to YFAS scores in a community-based sample [87]; however, this has not been replicated in other studies [67••, 86•]. While these findings are interesting, they are rather counterintuitive given that protein induces satiety via hormonal mechanisms and modulation of the brain reward pathways [101–103]. Therefore, protein may play an important role in future treatment strategies to prevent overconsumption.

Micronutrients

Sodium, added to food in the form of salt, is the micronutrient that has received the most attention in food addiction research [104]. Salt can be added to processed foods to improve palatability, as well as to preserve perishables and improve texture [104, 105]. Salt is not always associated with savoury foods, since many sweet foods also contain high concentrations of added salt. One study has reported a relationship between symptoms of food addiction and dietary sodium [86•]. A self-identified group of female food addicts also reported salty foods to be perceived as addictive and highly craved [68]. However, not all studies have identified a relationship between sodium and addictive-like eating [67••]. The YFAS does not assess the frequency of addictive-like eating episodes, so periodic or infrequent addictive-like eating episodes may not impact dietary intake at the micronutrient level.

Alternatively, there may not be a specific dietary pattern followed by all individuals displaying addictive-like eating, but rather overeating of foods with differing composition, resulting in no single micronutrient excesses or deficiencies.

Food Additives and Non-nutritive Components

To date, there have been no studies investigating the addictive potential of certain food additives and non-nutritive components, except for caffeine [106]. Although caffeine addiction is not recognised as a clinical diagnosis, caffeine-related disorders have been highlighted in the DSM-5 as an important focus of future study due to similar behavioural and physiological effects as drugs of abuse [107]. A number of food additives and components may be significant in the context of addictive-like eating, such as sugar substitutes, artificial colours and flavouring agents. Glutamates, added to some take-out and processed foods as a taste enhancer in the form of monosodium glutamate (MSG) [108], naturally occur in some foods, so it may be likened to added sugars in that the added form may increase the potency. Food additives may have the potential to enhance the addictive properties of certain food or nutrients as do chemicals added to cigarettes to enhance the addictive potential of tobacco [36, 57] and therefore require further investigation.

Food Processing

Emerging research highlights that it is important not only to look at dietary patterns and food composition but also how food is processed and prepared. It has been hypothesised that the degree of processing and refinement increases the addictive potential of foods [36, 50, 51]. These manufacturing processes increase the concentration of rewarding food ingredients (e.g. fat and sugar) and often remove healthful components (e.g. fibre), from naturally sourced food [55]. The effect of these processed foods on the body are thought to mimic the pharmacokinetic properties of drugs of abuse, leading to a rapid, transient rise in blood sugar levels [57, 69••]. These food properties have been likened to the purification of other psychotropic plants into illicit drugs, such as the coca plant to produce cocaine [55].

In the study by Schulte and colleagues, the glycaemic load and degree of processing were related to the perceived addictive potential of a predefined list of foods, particularly the addition of fat and refined carbohydrates [69••]. In addition, individuals with new-onset substance use disorder following gastric bypass surgery perceived foods with a high glycaemic index (GI) as more addictive [109]; however, this study did not assess food addiction specifically. Lennerz and colleagues [110] reported that a high GI meal, compared to an isocaloric low GI meal, activated brain regions associated with reward and craving in the late postprandial period in males. While

food processing is often associated with negative connotations and used to refer to energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods, it can have a range of beneficial effects including improved digestibility, preventing food spoilage, nutrient fortification [111] and improved nutrient bioavailability [112]. It appears that food processing, particularly where the GI is increased, is likely to be associated with the addictive potential of foods. The by-products produced by certain dry heat preparation methods (e.g. advanced glycation end-products) are also worth consideration in future nutritional psychiatry research [23•].

Potential Nutrition-Related Implications of Food Addiction

It has been suggested that addictive-like eating could be an important factor contributing obesity in vulnerable individuals [15, 33, 113–115]; however, other authors argue that most obese individuals do not display a convincing behavioural or neurobiological addictive profile [38]. Numerous studies have reported that YFAS assessed food addiction is associated with higher body mass index [116] and, more recently, visceral fat, a sensitive marker of cardiometabolic risk [117]. Higher YFAS scores and diagnosis have also been associated with an elevated BMI in type 2 diabetics [118, 119]. In addition, framing obesity in the context of food addiction resulted in the attribution of less stigma and blame to obese individuals [120]. As obesity is a modifiable risk factor, it may be important to identify and intervene in addictive-like eating early so as not to progress to chronic conditions.

Given the multifaceted nature of the proposed food addiction construct, novel treatment approaches may be necessary, involving a multidisciplinary team including psychologists, dietitians and medical management to optimise treatment outcomes, where other more traditional weight loss approaches have had limited success [121–124]. There are a number of popularised self-help treatments available (e.g. Overeaters Anonymous) [125, 126] based on principles similar to that of Alcoholics Anonymous, with membership now estimated at 60,000 worldwide [127]. However, the efficacy of these types of programs is yet to be scientifically evaluated. Future treatments may include the incorporation of therapy employed in addictive therapy, such as cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) or harm reduction strategies, into existing models of nutritional counselling and weight loss advice. In a single case report of a 40-year-old female with cola dependency, CBT and guidance to reduce cola intake reduced addictive-like symptoms and mental function was improved [128]. Nutrition treatment may also focus on the modification of specific dietary patterns, foods or nutrients associated with food addiction.

The identification of potentially addictive foods also has the potential to inform better nutrition public health policy [129, 130], for example taxes on sugar-sweetened beverages,

which have been shown to reduce consumption [131, 132]. In addition, changes to food labelling and marketing may assist individuals to make more informed food choices, particularly for vulnerable groups such as children. Alternatively, behavioural approaches may focus more on behaviour modification at the individual level, rather than emphasising changes for the food industry.

Conclusions and Future Directions

Due to the paucity of studies in this area, there is currently little evidence to conclusively identify that a specific food, nutrient or ingredient is capable of triggering an addictive-like response in humans. However, preliminary investigations suggest that highly processed, hyper-palatable foods with combinations of fat, sugar and salt are those that have the greatest addictive potential. Future studies should use validated dietary assessment tools in combination with behavioural indicators (e.g. YFAS or YFAS 2.0) and/or neuroimaging techniques to characterise the foods or food properties associated with addictive-like eating. The identification of a specific food or component as addictive may warrant the development of strategies or interventions to minimise maladaptive eating behaviours, as well as reduce exposure and consumption of specific foods.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest Dr. Kirrilly M. Pursey, Professor Caroline Davis and Associate Professor Tracy L. Burrows declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance

1. Popkin BM, Adair LS, Ng SW. Now and then: the global nutrition transition: the pandemic of obesity in developing countries. *Nutr Rev.* 2012;70(1):3–21. doi:10.1111/j.1753-4887.2011.00456.x.
2. Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, Thomson B, Graetz N, Margono C, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet.* 2014;384(9945):766–81. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(14)60460-8.
3. Kelly T, Yang W, Chen CS, Reynolds K, He J. Global burden of obesity in 2005 and projections to 2030. *Int J Obes.* 2008;32(9):1431–7. <http://www.nature.com/ijo/journal/v32/n9/suppinfo/ijo2008102s1.html>
4. Rao M, Afshin A, Singh G, Mozaffarian D. Do healthier foods and diet patterns cost more than less healthy options? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open.* 2013;3(12). doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004277.
5. Jones NRV, Conklin AI, Suhrcke M, Monsivais P. The growing price gap between more and less healthy foods: analysis of a novel longitudinal UK dataset. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(10):e109343. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109343.
6. Drewnowski A, Popkin BM. The nutrition transition: new trends in the global diet. *Nutr Rev.* 1997;55(2):31–43.
7. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Global and regional food consumption patterns and trends. FAO. 2003. <http://www.fao.org/docrep/005/ac911e/ac911e05.htm>.
8. United States Department of Agriculture. Nutrient content of the U.S. food supply, 1909–2010: food calories and macronutrients per capita per day. USDA Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion. 2014. <http://www.cnpp.usda.gov/USFoodSupply-1909-2010>.
9. Young LR, Nestle M. The contribution of expanding portion sizes to the US obesity epidemic. *Am J Public Health.* 2002;92(2):246–9.
10. Rolls BJ. The supersizing of America: portion size and the obesity epidemic. *Nutr Today.* 2003;38(2):42–53.
11. Piernas C, Popkin BM. Snacking increased among U.S. adults between 1977 and 2006. *J Nutr.* 2010;140(2):325–32. doi:10.3945/jn.109.112763.
12. Li F, Harmer P, Cardinal BJ, Bosworth M, Johnson-Shelton D. Obesity and the built environment: does the density of neighborhood fast-food outlets matter? *Am J Health Promot.* 2009;23(3):203–9. doi:10.4278/ajhp.071214133.
13. Hebebrand J, Albayrak Ö, Adan R, Antel J, Dieguez C, de Jong J, et al. “Eating addiction”, rather than “food addiction”, better captures addictive-like eating behavior. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 47:295–306. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.08.016.
14. Gearhardt AN, Yokum S, Stice E, Harris JL, Brownell KD. Relation of obesity to neural activation in response to food commercials. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci.* 2014;9(7):932–8. doi:10.1093/scan/nst059.
15. Gearhardt AN, Corbin WR, Brownell KD. Food addiction: an examination of the diagnostic criteria for dependence. *J Addict Med.* 2009;3(1):1–7. doi:10.1097/ADM.0b013e318193c993.
16. Volkow ND, O’Brien CP. Issues for DSM-V: should obesity be included as a brain disorder? *Am J Psychiatry.* 2007;164(5):708–10. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.164.5.708.
17. Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Tomasi D, Baler RD. Obesity and addiction: neurobiological overlaps. *Obes Rev.* 2013;14(1):2–18. doi:10.1111/j.1467-789X.2012.01031.x.
18. Kenny PJ. Common cellular and molecular mechanisms in obesity and drug addiction. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2011;12(11):638–51. doi:10.1038/nrn3105.
19. Davis C, Loxton NJ. Addictive behaviors and addiction-prone personality traits: associations with a dopamine multilocus genetic profile. *Addict Behav.* 2013;38(7):2306–12. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2013.02.012.
20. Davis C, Loxton NJ. A psycho-genetic study of hedonic responsiveness in relation to “food addiction”. *Nutrients.* 2014;6(10):4338–53. doi:10.3390/nu6104338.
21. Carlier N, Marshe VS, Cmorejova J, Davis C, Muller DJ. Genetic similarities between compulsive overeating and addiction phenotypes: a case for “food addiction”? *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2015;17(12):96. doi:10.1007/s11920-015-0634-5.
22. Gearhardt AN, Yokum S, Orr PT, Stice E, Corbin WR, Brownell KD. Neural correlates of food addiction. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2011;68(8):808–16. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.32.

23. Logan AC, Jacka FN. Nutritional psychiatry research: an emerging discipline and its intersection with global urbanization, environmental challenges and the evolutionary mismatch. *J Physiol Anthropol.* 2014;33:22. doi:10.1186/1880-6805-33-22. **Review of nutritional psychiatry research. Implicates nutrition as an important factor in brain function and risk of mental health disorders**
24. DePierre JA, Puhl RM, Luedicke J. Public perceptions of food addiction: a comparison with alcohol and tobacco. *J Subst Use.* 2014;19(1–2):1–6. doi:10.3109/14659891.2012.696771.
25. Schulte EM, Potenza MN, Gearhardt AN. A commentary on the “eating addiction” versus “food addiction” perspectives on addictive-like food consumption. *Appetite.* doi:10.1016/j.appet.2016.10.033.
26. Saper CB, Chou TC, Elmquist JK. The need to feed. *Neuron.* 2002;36(2):199–211. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00969-8.
27. Sorensen LB, Moller P, Flint A, Martens M, Raben A. Effect of sensory perception of foods on appetite and food intake: a review of studies on humans. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 2003;27(10):1152–66. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0802391.
28. Swinburn BA, Sacks G, Hall KD, McPherson K, Finegood DT, Moodie ML, et al. The global obesity pandemic: shaped by global drivers and local environments. *Lancet.* 378(9793):804–14. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60813-1.
29. Lake A, Townshend T. Obesogenic environments: exploring the built and food environments. *J R Soc Promot Heal.* 2006;126(6):262–7.
30. Irala-Estevez JD, Groth M, Johansson L, Oltersdorf U, Prattala R, Martinez-Gonzalez MA. A systematic review of socio-economic differences in food habits in Europe: consumption of fruit and vegetables. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2000;54(9):706–14.
31. Higgs S, Thomas J. Social influences on eating. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences.* 2016;9:1–6. doi:10.1016/j.cobeha.2015.10.005.
32. Kumanyika SK. Environmental influences on childhood obesity: ethnic and cultural influences in context. *Physiol Behav.* 2008;94(1):61–70. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2007.11.019.
33. Volkow ND, Wise RA. How can drug addiction help us understand obesity? *Nat Neurosci.* 2005;8(5):555–60.
34. Moss M. Salt, sugar, fat: how the food giants hooked us. Random House; 2013.
35. Harris JL, Bargh JA, Brownell KD. Priming effects of television food advertising on eating behavior. *Health Psychology: official journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American Psychological Association.* 2009;28(4):404–13. doi:10.1037/a0014399.
36. Gearhardt AN, Davis C, Kuschner R, Brownell KD. The addiction potential of hyperpalatable foods. *Curr Drug Abuse Rev.* 2011;4(3):140–5.
37. Food Addiction Institute. What is food addiction? 2016. <http://foodaddictioninstitute.org/what-is-food-addiction/>.
38. Ziauddeen H, Farooqi I, Fletcher PC. Obesity and the brain: how convincing is the addiction model? *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2012;13(4):279–86.
39. Meule A, Gearhardt AN. Food addiction in the light of DSM-5. *Nutrients.* 2014;6(9):3653–71. doi:10.3390/nu6093653.
40. Hone-Blanchet A, Fecteau S. Overlap of food addiction and substance use disorders definitions: analysis of animal and human studies. *Neuropharmacology.* 2014;85C:81–90. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2014.05.019.
41. Albayrak O, Hebebrand J. Eating addiction—a behavioral addiction? *Psychother Psychosom Med Psychol.* 2015;65(1):39–41. doi:10.1055/s-0034-1394412.
42. Bocarsly ME, Berner LA, Hoebel BG, Avena NM. Rats that binge eat fat-rich food do not show somatic signs or anxiety associated with opiate-like withdrawal: implications for nutrient-specific food addiction behaviors. *Physiol Behav.* 2011;104(5):865–72. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.05.018.
43. Avena NM, Rada P, Hoebel BG. Evidence for sugar addiction: behavioral and neurochemical effects of intermittent, excessive sugar intake. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2008;32(1):20–39. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2007.04.019.
44. Avena NM, Bocarsly ME, Rada P, Kim A, Hoebel BG. After daily bingeing on a sucrose solution, food deprivation induces anxiety and accumbens dopamine/acetylcholine imbalance. *Physiol Behav.* 2008;94(3):309–15. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2008.01.008.
45. Bocarsly ME, Powell ES, Avena NM, Hoebel BG. High-fructose corn syrup causes characteristics of obesity in rats: increased body weight, body fat and triglyceride levels. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2010;97(1):101–6. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2010.02.012.
46. Corwin RL, Wojnicki FHE, Fisher JO, Dimitriou SG, Rice HB, Young MA. Limited access to a dietary fat option affects ingestive behavior but not body composition in male rats. *Physiol Behav.* 1998;65(3):545–53. doi:10.1016/S0031-9384(98)00201-7.
47. Avena NM, Rada P, Hoebel BG. Sugar and fat bingeing have notable differences in addictive-like behavior. *J Nutr.* 2009;139(3):623–8. doi:10.3945/jn.108.097584.
48. Avena NM. The study of food addiction using animal models of binge eating. *Appetite.* 2010;55(3):734–7. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2010.09.010.
49. Randolph TG. The descriptive features of food addiction; addictive eating and drinking. *Q J Stud Alcohol.* 1956;17(2):198–224.
50. Ifland J, Preuss HG, Marcus MT, Rourke KM, Taylor W, Theresa WH. Clearing the confusion around processed food addiction. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 2015;34(3):240–3. doi:10.1080/07315724.2015.1022466.
51. Ifland JR, Preuss HG, Marcus MT, Rourke KM, Taylor WC, Burau K, et al. Refined food addiction: a classic substance use disorder. *Med Hypotheses.* 2009;72(5):518–26. doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2008.11.035.
52. Weingarten HP, Elston D. Food cravings in a college population. *Appetite.* 1991;17(3):167–75.
53. Rosen JC, Leitenberg H, Fisher C, Khazam C. Binge-eating episodes in bulimia nervosa: the amount and type of food consumed. *Int J Eat Disord.* 1986;5(2):255–67. doi:10.1002/1098-108X(198602)5:2<255::AID-EAT2260050206>3.0.CO;2-D.
54. Rogers PJ, Smit HJ. Food craving and food “addiction”: a critical review of the evidence from a biopsychosocial perspective. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2000;66(1):3–14.
55. Davis C. Evolutionary and neuropsychological perspectives on addictive behaviors and addictive substances: relevance to the “food addiction” construct. *Subst Abuse Rehabil.* 2014;5:129–37. doi:10.2147/sars.s56835.
56. Long CG, Blundell JE, Finlayson G. A systematic review of the application and correlates of YFAS-diagnosed ‘food addiction’ in humans: are eating-related ‘addictions’ a cause for concern or empty concepts? *Obes Facts.* 2015;8(6):386–401.
57. Schulte EM, Joyner MA, Potenza MN, Grilo CM, Gearhardt AN. Current considerations regarding food addiction. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2015;17(4). doi:10.1007/s11920-015-0563-3.
58. Nijs IMT, Franken IHA, Muris P. The modified trait and state food-cravings questionnaires: development and validation of a general index of food craving. *Appetite.* 2007;49(1):38–46. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2006.11.001.
59. Cepeda-Benito A, Gleaves DH, Williams TL, Erath SA. The development and validation of the state and trait food-cravings questionnaires. *Behav Ther.* 2000;31(1):151–73. doi:10.1016/S0005-7894(00)80009-X.
60. White MA, Whisenhunt BL, Williamson DA, Greenway FL, Netemeyer RG. Development and validation of the food-craving inventory. *Obes Res.* 2002;10(2):107–14. doi:10.1038/oby.2002.17.
61. Hetherington MM, MacDiarmid JI. “Chocolate addiction”: a preliminary study of its description and its relationship to problem

- eating. *Appetite*. 1993;21(3):233–46. doi:10.1006/appe.1993.1042.
62. Macdiarmid JJ, Hetherington MM. Mood modulation by food: an exploration of affect and cravings in ‘chocolate addicts’. *Br J Clin Psychol*. 1995;34(Pt 1):129–38.
 63. Tuomisto T, Hetherington MM, Morris MF, Tuomisto MT, Turjanmaa V, Lappalainen R. Psychological and physiological characteristics of sweet food “addiction”. *Int J Eat Disord*. 1999;25(2):169–75.
 64. Spring B, Schneider K, Smith M, Kendzor D, Appelhans B, Hedeker D et al. Abuse potential of carbohydrates for overweight carbohydrate cravers. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2008.
 65. Gearhardt AN, Corbin WR, Brownell KD. Preliminary validation of the Yale food addiction Scale. *Appetite*. 2009;52(2):430–6. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2008.12.003.
 66. Gearhardt AN, Corbin WR, Brownell KD. Development of the Yale Food Addiction Scale Version 2.0. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2016;30(1):113.
 67. Pursey KM, Collins CE, Stanwell P, Burrows TL. Foods and dietary profiles associated with ‘food addiction’ in young adults. *Addict Behav Rep*. 2015;2:41–8. doi:10.1016/j.abrep.2015.05.007. **Addictive-like eating associated with greater intakes of energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods such as confectionary and take-out foods**
 68. Curtis C, Davis C. A qualitative study of binge eating and obesity from an addiction perspective. *Eat Disord*. 2014;22(1):19–32. doi:10.1080/10640266.2014.857515.
 69. Schulte EM, Avena NM, Gearhardt AN. Which foods may be addictive? The roles of processing, fat content, and glycemic load. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(2):e0117959. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117959. **The glycaemic load, degree of processing and fat content of foods was associated with problematic, addictive-like eating behaviours**
 70. Meule A, Vogele C, Kubler A. German translation and validation of the Yale Food Addiction Scale. *Diagnostica*. 2012;58(3):115–26. doi:10.1026/0012-1924/a000047.
 71. Frayn M, Sears CR, von Ranson KM. A sad mood increases attention to unhealthy food images in women with food addiction. *Appetite*. 2016;100:55–63. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2016.02.008.
 72. Meule A, Lutz A, Vogele C, Kubler A. Women with elevated food addiction symptoms show accelerated reactions, but no impaired inhibitory control, in response to pictures of high-calorie food-cues. *Eat Behav*. 2012;13(4):423–8. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2012.08.001.
 73. Brooks SJ, Cedernaes J, Schioth HB. Increased prefrontal and parahippocampal activation with reduced dorsolateral prefrontal and insular cortex activation to food images in obesity: a meta-analysis of fMRI studies. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(4):e60393. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060393.
 74. Bragulat V, Dziedzic M, Bruno C, Cox CA, Talavage T, Considine RV, et al. Food-related odor probes of brain reward circuits during hunger: a pilot FMRI study. *Obesity*. 2010;18(8):1566–71. doi:10.1038/oby.2010.57.
 75. Eiler WJ 2nd, Dziedzic M, Case KR, Considine RV, Kareken DA. Correlation between ventromedial prefrontal cortex activation to food aromas and cue-driven eating: an fMRI study. *Chemosens Percept*. 2012;5(1):27–36. doi:10.1007/s12078-011-9112-6.
 76. Stice E, Spoor S, Bohon C, Veldhuizen M, Small D. Relation of reward from food intake and anticipated food intake to obesity: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2008;117(4):924–35. doi:10.1037/a0013600.
 77. Stice E, Yokum S, Burger KS, Epstein LH, Small DM. Youth at risk for obesity show greater activation of striatal and somatosensory regions to food. *J Neurosci*. 2011;31(12):4360–6. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.6604-10.2011.
 78. Pursey K, Stanwell P, Callister RJ, Brain K, Collins CE, Burrows TL. Neural responses to visual food cues according to weight status: a systematic review of functional magnetic resonance imaging studies. *Frontiers in Nutrition*. 2014;1 doi:10.3389/fnut.2014.00007.
 79. Shim J-S, Oh K, Kim HC. Dietary assessment methods in epidemiologic studies. *Epidemiol Health*. 2014;36:e2014009. doi:10.4178/epih/e2014009.
 80. Rollo ME, Williams RL, Burrows T, Kirkpatrick SI, Bucher T, Collins CE. What are they really eating? A review on new approaches to dietary intake assessment and validation. *Current Nutrition Reports*. 2016;5(4):307–14. doi:10.1007/s13668-016-0182-6.
 81. Benton D. The plausibility of sugar addiction and its role in obesity and eating disorders. *Clin Nutr*. 2010;29(3):288–303. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2009.12.001.
 82. Lieberman LS. Dietary, evolutionary, and modernizing influences on the prevalence of type 2 diabetes. *Annu Rev Nutr*. 2003;23:345–77. doi:10.1146/annurev.nutr.23.011702.073212.
 83. Westwater ML, Fletcher PC, Ziauddeen H. Sugar addiction: the state of the science. *Eur J Nutr*. 2016;55(2):55–69. doi:10.1007/s00394-016-1229-6. **A review of recent animal and human studies of sugar addiction, concluding that current evidence of sugar addiction is not compelling**
 84. Steen J. 9 easy ways to beat your pesky sugar addiction. *Huffinton Post*. 2017; <http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2017/01/08/9-easy-ways-to-beat-your-pesky-sugar-addiction/>
 85. Thomson K. 15 signs you could be a sugar addict. *Daily Mail*. 2016; <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/femail/article-3673233/The-15-signs-sugar-addict.html>
 86. Pedram P, Sun G. Hormonal and dietary characteristics in obese human subjects with and without food addiction. *Nutrients*. 2014;7(1):223–38. Doi:10.3390/nu7010223. Reports differences in micronutrient and hormonal levels between food addicted and non addicted obese individuals.
 87. Pedram P, Wadden D, Amini P, Gulliver W, Randell E, Cahill F et al. Food addiction: its prevalence and significant association with obesity in the general population. *Plos One*. 2013;8(9). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074832.
 88. Feldstein Ewing SW, Claus ED, Hudson KA, Filbey FM, Yakes Jimenez E, Lisdahl KM, et al. Overweight adolescents’ brain response to sweetened beverages mirrors addiction pathways. *Brain Imaging and Behavior*. 2016:1–11. doi:10.1007/s11682-016-9564-z.
 89. Bray GA, Nielsen SJ, Popkin BM. Consumption of high-fructose corn syrup in beverages may play a role in the epidemic of obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;79(4):537–43.
 90. van Buul VJ, Tappy L, Brouns FJPH. Misconceptions about fructose-containing sugars and their role in the obesity epidemic. *Nutr Res Rev*. 2014;27(1):119–30. doi:10.1017/S0954422414000067.
 91. Rippe JM, Angelopoulos TJ. Sucrose, high-fructose corn syrup, and fructose, their metabolism and potential health effects: what do we really know? *Advances in Nutrition: An International Review Journal*. 2013;4(2):236–45. doi:10.3945/an.112.002824.
 92. Rippe JM. The metabolic and endocrine response and health implications of consuming sugar-sweetened beverages: findings from recent randomized controlled trials. *Advances in Nutrition: An International Review Journal*. 2013;4(6):677–86. doi:10.3945/an.113.004580.
 93. Melanson KJ, Angelopoulos TJ, Nguyen V, Zukley L, Lowndes J, Rippe JM. High-fructose corn syrup, energy intake, and appetite regulation. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008;88(6):1738S–44S. doi:10.3945/ajcn.2008.25825E.
 94. Moran TH. Fructose and satiety. *J Nutr*. 2009;139(6):1253S–6S. doi:10.3945/jn.108.097956.

95. Soenen S, Westerterp-Plantenga MS. No differences in satiety or energy intake after high-fructose corn syrup, sucrose, or milk preloads. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2007;86(6):1586–94.
96. Malik VS, Schulze MB, Hu FB. Intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain: a systematic review. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2006;84(2):274–88.
97. DiMeglio DP, Mattes RD. Liquid versus solid carbohydrate: effects on food intake and body weight. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 2000;24(6):794–800.
98. Drewnowski A, Almiron-Roig E. 11 human perceptions and preferences for fat-rich foods. Fat detection: taste, texture, and post ingestive effects. 2009;265.
99. Ruddock HK, Field M, Hardman CA. Exploring food reward and calorie intake in self-perceived food addicts. *Appetite.* doi:10.1016/j.appet.2016.12.003.
100. Eckel RH, Jakicic JM, Ard JD, de Jesus JM, Houston Miller N, Hubbard VS et al. 2013 AHA/ACC guideline on lifestyle management to reduce cardiovascular risk. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2014;63(25 Part B):2960–84. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2013.11.003.
101. Journel M, Chaumontet C, Darcel N, Fromentin G, Tomé D. Brain responses to high-protein diets. *Advances in Nutrition: an international review journal.* 2012;3(3):322–9. doi:10.3945/an.112.002071.
102. Paddon-Jones D, Westman E, Mattes RD, Wolfe RR, Astrup A, Westerterp-Plantenga M. Protein, weight management, and satiety. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2008;87(5):1558S–61S.
103. Veldhorst M, Smeets A, Soenen S, Hochstenbach-Waelen A, Hursel R, Diepvens K, et al. Protein-induced satiety: effects and mechanisms of different proteins. *Physiol Behav.* 2008;94(2):300–7. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2008.01.003.
104. Cocores JA, Gold MS. The salted food addiction hypothesis may explain overeating and the obesity epidemic. *Med Hypotheses.* 2009;73(6):892–9. doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2009.06.049.
105. Albarracín W, Sánchez IC, Grau R, Barat JM. Salt in food processing; usage and reduction: a review. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology.* 2011;46(7):1329–36. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2621.2010.02492.x.
106. Hughes JR, Oliveto AH, Liguori A, Carpenter J, Howard T. Endorsement of DSM-IV dependence criteria among caffeine users. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 1998;52(2):99–107.
107. Meredith SE, Juliano LM, Hughes JR, Griffiths RR. Caffeine use disorder: a comprehensive review and research agenda. *Journal of Caffeine Research.* 2013;3(3):114–30. doi:10.1089/jcr.2013.0016.
108. Yeomans MR, Gould NJ, Mobini S, Prescott J. Acquired flavor acceptance and intake facilitated by monosodium glutamate in humans. *Physiol Behav.* 2008;93(4–5):958–66. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2007.12.009.
109. Fowler L, Ivezaj V, Saules KK. Problematic intake of high-sugar/low-fat and high glycemic index foods by bariatric patients is associated with development of post-surgical new onset substance use disorders. *Eat Behav.* 2014;15(3):505–8. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2014.06.009.
110. Lennerz BS, Alsop DC, Holsen LM, Stern E, Rojas R, Ebbeling CB, et al. Effects of dietary glycemic index on brain regions related to reward and craving in men. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2013;98(3):641–7.
111. Zealand FSAN. Folic acid fortification. Food Standards Australia New Zealand. 2016. <http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/consumer/nutrition/folicmandatory/Pages/default.aspx>.
112. Gärtner C, Stahl W, Sies H. Lycopene is more bioavailable from tomato paste than from fresh tomatoes. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1997;66(1):116–22.
113. Pelchat ML. Food addiction in humans. *J Nutr.* 2009;139(3):620–2. doi:10.3945/jn.108.097816.
114. Corsica JA, Pelchat ML. Food addiction: true or false? *Curr.* 2010;26(2):165–9. doi:10.1097/MOG.0b013e328336528d.
115. Liu Y, von Deneen KM, Kobeissy FH, Gold MS. Food addiction and obesity: evidence from bench to bedside. *J Psychoactive Drugs.* 2010;42(2):133–45.
116. Pursey KM, Stanwell P, Gearhardt AN, Collins CE, Burrows TL. The prevalence of food addiction as assessed by the Yale Food Addiction Scale: a systematic review. *Nutrients.* 2014;6(10):4552–90. doi:10.3390/nu6104552.
117. Pursey KM, Gearhardt AN, Burrows TL. The relationship between “food addiction” and visceral adiposity in young females. *Physiol Behav.* 2016; doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.01.018.
118. Raymond K-L, Kannis-Dymand L, Lovell GP. A graduated food addiction classification approach significantly differentiates obesity among people with type 2 diabetes. *J Health Psychol.* 2016; doi:10.1177/1359105316672096.
119. Raymond K-L, Lovell GP. Food addiction symptomology, impulsivity, mood, and body mass index in people with type two diabetes. *Appetite.* 2015;95:383–9. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2015.07.030.
120. Latner JD, Puhl RM, Murakami JM, O’Brien KS. Food addiction as a causal model of obesity. Effects on stigma, blame, and perceived psychopathology. *Appetite.* 2014;77:79–84. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2014.03.004.
121. Franz MJ, VanWormer JJ, Crain AL, Boucher JL, Histon T, Caplan W, et al. Weight-loss outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of weight-loss clinical trials with a minimum 1-year follow-up. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2007;107(10):1755–67. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2007.07.017.
122. Dansinger ML, Tatsioni A, Wong JB, Chung M, Balk EM. Meta-analysis: the effect of dietary counseling for weight loss. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;147(1):41–50.
123. Lemmens VE, Oenema A, Klepp KI, Henriksen HB, Brug J. A systematic review of the evidence regarding efficacy of obesity prevention interventions among adults. *Obes Rev.* 2008;9(5):446–55. doi:10.1111/j.1467-789X.2008.00468.x.
124. Brown T, Avenell A, Edmunds LD, Moore H, Whittaker V, Avery L, et al. Systematic review of long-term lifestyle interventions to prevent weight gain and morbidity in adults. *Obes Rev.* 2009;10(6):627–38. doi:10.1111/j.1467-789X.2009.00641.x.
125. Weiner S. The addiction of overeating: self-help groups as treatment models. *J Clin Psychol.* 1998;54(2):163–7. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4679(199802)54:2<163::AID-JCLP5>3.0.CO;2-T.
126. Russell-Mayhew S, von Ranson KM, Masson PC. How does overeaters anonymous help its members? A qualitative analysis. *Eur Eat Disord Rev.* 2010;18(1):33–42. doi:10.1002/erv.966.
127. Overeaters Anonymous. About OA. 2016. <http://www.oa.org/newcomers/about-oa/>. Accessed 04/02/2016.
128. Kromann CB, Nielsen CT. A case of cola dependency in a woman with recurrent depression. *BMC Res Notes.* 2012;5:692. doi:10.1186/1756-0500-5-692.
129. Gearhardt AN, Grilo CM, DiLeone RJ, Brownell KD, Potenza MN. Can food be addictive? Public health and policy implications. *Addiction.* 2011;106(7):1208–12. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2010.03301.x.
130. Carter A, Hendrikse J, Lee N, Yücel M, Verdejo-Garcia A, Andrews Z et al. The neurobiology of “food addiction” and its implications for obesity treatment and policy. *Annu Rev Nutr.* 2016;36(1). doi:10.1146/annurev-nutr-071715-050909.
131. Brownell KD, Farley T, Willett WC, Popkin BM, Chaloupka FJ, Thompson JW, et al. The public health and economic benefits of taxing sugar-sweetened beverages. *New Engl J Med.* 2009;361(16):1599–605. doi:10.1056/NEJMp0905723.
132. Cabrera Escobar MA, Veerman JL, Tollman SM, Bertram MY, Hofman KJ. Evidence that a tax on sugar sweetened beverages reduces the obesity rate: a meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health.* 2013;13:1072. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-13-1072.