#### **GENETICS & EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY - REVIEW ARTICLE**



# **Genetic and signaling pathways of fowering regulation in rice (***Oryza sativa* **L.)**

**Amir Sohail[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3352-4957)**

Received: 23 August 2022 / Revised: 16 June 2023 / Accepted: 17 July 2023 / Published online: 1 August 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Botanical Society of Sao Paulo 2023

#### **Abstract**

Flowering time is of great signifcance for crop reproduction, yield, and regional adaptability, which is intricately regulated by various environmental cues and endogenous signals. Photoperiod is a key fowering regulating factor due to its relatively high concern in the same geography, while other factors change year over year. Photoperiodic fowering time is controlled by a complex genetic network, which is regulated by forigen. RICE FLOWERING LOCUS T 1 (RFT1) is the closest homologue of Heading date 3a (Hd3a), which is thought to encode a mobile flowering signal and promote floral transition under short-day (SD) conditions in rice. Recent molecular genomic advancement revealed how to identify the molecular nature of forigen, its function, expression, and how to diversify its regulatory pathway. Here, we summarized the recent understanding of fowering time regulation mainly focused on rice Hd3a, its molecular function, expression, and diversifed photoperiodic regulatory pathways under short-day and long-day (LD) conditions.

**Keywords** Florigen · Genetic architecture · Hd3a · Photoperiod · Rice

# **1 Introduction**

Rice is one of the most important cereal crops and has wide adaptability in diverse climatic regions ranging from 44° N to 35° S and 6 ft below to 2700 ft above sea level (Molla [2022](#page-8-0)), which traits and mechanisms confer this adaptability is a major question in rice biology. Heading date (or fowering time) is a crucial trait for the regional and seasonal adaptation of rice and infuences grain yield. Rice is a facultative short-day (SD) plant; SD conditions promote, and long-day (LD) conditions inhibit fowering (Sohail et al. [2022\)](#page-8-1). Flowering time is a dramatic change from the vegetative stage to the reproductive stage and is predominantly controlled by genetic pathways, integrated with internal and external cues (Wu et al. [2018;](#page-9-0) Zhang et al. [2019\)](#page-9-1). Most plant species can initiate fowering for reproduction mainly depending on environmental changes in photoperiod and temperature (Song et al. [2015](#page-8-2); Tan et al. [2016\)](#page-8-3). Flowering time is

 $\boxtimes$  Amir Sohail amirsohail@aup.edu.pk; amirsohail@xtbg.ac.cn vital for seasonal changes, regional adaptability, and often affluent to perceive (Zhang et al.  $2017$ ). Plants are generally classifed into three categories regarding photoperiodic fowering initiation response; long-day plants (LDPs), initiate fowering when the day length becomes longer, short-day plants (SDPs), start fowering when the day length becomes shorter, while day-neutral plants (DNPs) are unafected by photoperiodic fuctuations. The molecular mechanism of photoperiodic FT has been comprehensively examined in a model LDP (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) (Song et al. [2015](#page-8-2)) and a traditional SDP (*Oryza sativa* L.) (Tsuji et al. [2011](#page-8-4); Shrestha et al. [2014](#page-8-5)). Flowering time regulation is controlled by several genes, which are suppressed/expressed in an association with environmental dynamics, *i.e.*, photoperiod and temperature (Huan et al. [2018\)](#page-7-0). Recent studies reported FLOW-ERING LOCUS T (FT) and Heading date 3a (Hd3a), as forigen in Arabidopsis and rice, respectively. These forigen moves in a form of mobile signals from the leaf to shoot apical meristem (SAM) and commence fowering (Goretti et al. [2017](#page-7-1)). More recently, the molecular mechanism of forigen function in shoot apical cells was revealed in rice. Hd3a forigen interacts with 14-3-3 proteins in the cytoplasm and forms a ternary complex with OsFD1 in the nucleus (Tsuji [2017\)](#page-8-6). The ternary complex is known as the forigen activation complex (FAC), which activates *OsMADS15*,

<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Tropical Plant Resources and Sustainable Use, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Menglun, Mengla 666303, Yunnan, China

homologue of *A. thaliana* APETALA1 (AP1), which leads to flowering (Camoni et al.  $2018$ ). FD is a basic leucine zipper (bZIP)-containing transcription factor, and its lossof-function mutants are late fowering (Taoka et al. [2011](#page-8-7)). These results indicate that 14-3-3 proteins act as intracellular receptors for florigen in shoot apical cells and offer new approaches to manipulate fowering in various crops and trees. Advancement in molecular genetics provides a clear concept of fowering time regulation mechanism in rice from molecular genetics, molecular biology, and comparative biology point of view. In this review article, we deliberate our understanding of fowering time, using the current fndings of a strong candidate for rice mobile fowering signals, forigen, and incorporated the presence of complex layers of gene networks integrated with the synthesis of forigen protein and its subsequent transport and perception.

### **2 Rice forigen**

Rice has two forigens, *Heading date 3a* (*Hd3a*) and *RICE FLOWERING LOCUS T 1* (*RFT1*), which are crucial for FT in rice. Regulations of these forigens involve a complex genetic network, which is discussed below.

### **3 Hd3a protein as rice forigen**

Florigen, a mobile signal that moves from leaves to SAM and induces fowering, has been elucidating since it was identifed 70 years ago. Understanding the nature of mobile

foral signals provides insight into the molecular mechanism of fowering induction (Brambilla and Fornara [2013\)](#page-7-3). Recent research identifed FT, encoding forigen in Arabidopsis, whereas Hd3a, an ortholog of FT, was reported in rice. which moves from leaves to SAM and causes flowering. These results showed that *Hd3a* is forigen in rice (Tamaki et al. [2007](#page-8-8)).

*Hd3a* was frst identifed as a quantity traits locus (QTL) that promotes fowering in rice under inductive short-day conditions (Yamamoto et al. [1998\)](#page-9-3). The overexpression of *Hd3a* with cumulative promoter promoted flowering time, while RNA interference (RNAi) of *Hd3a* suppressed flowering in rice. (Monna et al. [2002\)](#page-8-9).

The size of Hd3a/FT is about 22 kDa and their structures are similar to mammalian phosphatidylethanolaminebinding proteins (PEBPs) or Raf kinase inhibitor proteins (RKIP) (Ahn et al. [2006](#page-7-4)). However, it is not clear whether Hd3a/FT binds or not with phosphatidylethanolamine and kinase inhibitor. Recent molecular studies revealed that FT has a strong downstream effect on the flowering promotion of the known photoperiodic fowering pathway in *Arabidopsis* (Kobayashi and Weigel [2007](#page-7-5); Guo et al. [2020](#page-7-6); Zong et al. [2020](#page-9-4)). Arabidopsis and rice fowering time are assisted by LD and SD, respectively (Liu et al. [2020](#page-8-10)). FT mainly activates foral activity in Arabidopsis that expresses in leaves vascular tissue and transfers to SAM to induce flowering (Kobayashi et al. [1999;](#page-7-7) Shim and Jang [2020\)](#page-8-11). The interaction of FT with the bZIP transcription activation factor results in full activation of FLOWERING LOCUS T (FD) in SAM, this activation is necessary for foral promotion in rice (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) (Abe et al. [2008](#page-7-8); Peng et al. [2021](#page-8-12)).



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** The forigen (Hd3a/FT) model of rice and *Arabidopsis*. Hd3a/FT is induced in leaf vascular tissue and shifts through phloem tissue. These forigens are unloaded from vascular tissue and enter into SAM

Anatomical research showed that the product of FT is the main site for floral expression. If FT codes florigen and can act as a mobile floral agent via transcripts (mRNA) or protein, either of which interacts with FD in SAM. Now the question is whether mRNA or protein acts as a mobile agent for florigen activity (Zeevaart [2006\)](#page-9-5). So the question was responded with rice as a model SD plant to elucidate the nature of florigen activity. Firstly, to determine the exact Hd3a transcription site and accumulation of mRNA. Using transgenic plants, the promoter activity of β-glucuronidase (GUS) was expressed in the presence of the Hd3a promoter in leaf blades with no expression in SAM. FT expression is tissue-specific in *Arabidopsis,* while this expression is promoted by FT in LD (*Arabidopsis*) and Hd3a in SD (rice) (Kobayashi and Weigel [2007\)](#page-7-5). *Hd3a* mRNA stores more in leaf blade but lower in leaf sheet and much lower than  $\frac{1}{4}$  in SAM under inductive SD conditions. *Hd3a* expression is specific to the vascular tissue of leaf blades. Hence, it is different from *Hd3a* mRNA, which transfers a significant amount from leaves to SAM (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)) (Jang et al. [2008](#page-7-9)).

Secondly, the tissue localization of Hd3a was studied from rice transgenic plants driven from the Hd3a promoter expressed as Hd3a-GFP fusion protein. Hd3a-GFP is located in the vascular tissue of leaf blades just below SAM where the node is localized. Thus, Hd3a-GFP protein is produced in the vascular tissue of the leaf blade and conveyed to the phloem where it is unloaded from vascular tissue into meristem tissue just below the SAM (Tamaki et al. [2007](#page-8-8)). Necessarily, the location of Hd3a-GFP was tested in other vascular tissues using different tissue-specific promoters conforming to the expression of Hd3a-GFP, revealing that Hd3a protein transmits from leaves and vasculature to SAM. This discussion revealed that Hd3a acts as a mobile flowering signal in rice and Hd3a/FT long-distance mobility is observed from rice proteome phloem sap (Aki et al. [2008\)](#page-7-10). Hd3a mobility has probably not been detected because of photoperiod conditions, and sampling time was unsuitable for Hd3a detection. However, Hd3a/FT protein has been noticed in the phloem sap tissue of CmFTL2, an Hd3a/FT ortholog of *Cucurbita maxim*, which moves from graft union and induces flowering (Lin et al. [2007\)](#page-8-13). Phloem sap acquired from the *Brassica napus* inflorescence also contains FT protein (Giavalisco et al. [2006](#page-7-11)). The above discussion provides additional information that Hd3a/FT is a florigen protein. *Arabidopsis* behavior also showed that FT is florigen. Phloem expresses FT-GFP and Hd3a-GFP transfer to SAM and promotes flowering but FT nuclear localization does not because of immobilization (Mathieu et al. [2007](#page-8-14); Jaeger and Wigge [2007](#page-7-12); Wu et al. [2020\)](#page-9-6).

#### **4** *RFT1* **is essential for fowering in rice**

*RFT1* is also the second florigen and the closest homolog of *Hd3a* and 13 FT-like proteins in rice. *RFT1* is located on chromosome 6, 11.5 kb away from *Hd3a*. *RFT1* is 91% similar to *Hd3a* and most likely produced by tandem duplication (Sun et al. [2014;](#page-8-15) Hori et al. [2016](#page-7-13)). Tissuespecifc expression of *RFT1* and *Hd3a* is the same under SD. Therefore, *RFT1* may function with *Hd3a* as the second forigen in rice. The RFT1 involvement as forigen is examined from a detailed analysis of Hd3a suppression by RNAi rice plants. The fowering time of Hd3a RNAi plants was more than 30 days late, while RFT1 RNAi flowering time was normal under SD conditions, indicating that RFT1 did not promote fowering on its own. Both Hd3a and RFT1 were suppressed in double RNAi plants and did not fower earlier than 300 days of germination. Thus, RFT1/Hd3a is involved completely in the regulation of rice fowering time. The expression level of RFT1 is relatively low in wild rice as compared to Hd3a RNAi and is associated with the reproductive transition in SAM of rice plants. The upregulation of *RFT1* is associated with an abundance of histone-3-acetylation (H3A) near the transition induction site (Tan et al. [2016](#page-8-3); Zhu et al. [2017](#page-9-7)). The above discussion suggests that the expression of *RFT1* enhances in the absence of *Hd3a*, and *RFT1* is *Hd3a* complementary in function. Therefore, RFT1 acts as the second forigen in rice fowering time regulation under specifc conditions (Nemoto et al. [2016](#page-8-16)).

# **5 Gene network of fowering regulation in rice**

Recently, several flowering genes have been cloned, which play an important role in photoperiodic flowering regulation (Zhang et al. [2019\)](#page-9-1). Rice photoperiodic fowering is monitored by two independent signaling pathways. The *GIGANTEA-Heading date-1-Heading date 3a* (*OsGI–Hd1–Hd3a*) pathway is evolutionarily associated with the *Arabidopsis GIGANTEA-CONSTANS-FLOWERING LOCUS T* (*GI–CO–FT*) pathway (Song et al. [2015\)](#page-8-2). The evolutionarily unique pathway, *Early heading date 1-Hd3a/Rice FT1* (*Ehd1-Hd3a/RFT1*), controlled photoperiodic fowering in rice but does not function in *Arabidopsis* due to the lack of an *Ehd1* ortholog (Doi et al. [2004](#page-7-14); Song et al. [2015](#page-8-2)). *Heading date 3a* (*Hd3a*) and *RICE FLOWERING LOCUS T1* (*RFT1*) are two forigens, which regulate fowering time under short-day (SD) and long-day (LD) conditions in rice (Tsuji et al. [2013\)](#page-9-8). *Hd3a* and *RFT1*expression are activated under SD and LD conditions, respectively (Chardon and Damerval [2005;](#page-7-15) Komiya et al. [2009\)](#page-7-16). Hd3a/RFT1 is mainly regulated by *Hd1* and *Ehd1* under both SD and LD conditions (Gao et al. [2013\)](#page-7-17). *Ehd1* is upregulated by Early heading date 2 (*Ehd2*) (Matsubara et al. [2008\)](#page-8-17), *Ehd3* (Matsubara et al. [2011](#page-8-18)), *Ehd4* (Gao et al. [2013\)](#page-7-17), *Oryza sativa* GIGENTA (*OsGI*) (Park et al. [2008](#page-8-19)), *Ghd8* (Yan et al. [2011](#page-9-9)), *OsMADS51* (Ryu et al. [2009](#page-8-20)), *OsMFT1* (Song et al. [2018](#page-8-21)), and *OsMADS51* (Kim et al. [2007](#page-7-18)), while downregulated by CONSTANS-Like 4 (*OsCOL4*) (Lee et al. [2010](#page-7-19)), *OsCOL9* (Liu et al. [2016\)](#page-8-22), and *OsCOL15* (Wu et al. [2018](#page-9-0)) under SD. In contrast, *Ehd1* is upregulated by *Ehd4* (Gao et al. [2013](#page-7-17)), *OsMADS56, OsMADS51* (Ryu et al. [2009](#page-8-20)), *Ghd8* (Yan et al. [2011](#page-9-9)), *LEC2, and FUSCA3- Like 1* (*OsLFL1*) (Peng et al. [2008\)](#page-8-23), and *OsVIL2* (*O. Sat iva* VIN3‐LIKE 2) (Hori et al. 2013), while downregulated by *OsCOL4* (Wu et al. [2018](#page-9-0))*, OsCOL9* (Liu et al. [2016\)](#page-8-22)*, OsCOL10* (Tan et al. [2016\)](#page-8-3)*, OsCOL13* (Sheng et al. [2016\)](#page-8-24), *OsCOL15* (Wu et al. [2018](#page-9-0)), OsCOL16 (Wu et al. [2017](#page-9-10)), *DTH8* (Zhu et al. [2017](#page-9-7))*, and* OsELF3 (Yang et al. [2013](#page-9-11)) (*Se13, OsTrx1* under LD conditions. Similarly, *Hd1* is upregulated by *OsGI* under both SD and LD conditions (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)) (Hori et al. 2013).

# **6 Photoperiods regulate the molecular genetic pathways of rice fowering**

It is clear from the molecular study of Hd3a/FT protein that the induction of fowering is mainly measured from the expression level of Hd3a/FT genes that promote rice flowering. Recent studies provide the molecular understanding of *Hd3a* photoperiod regulation and the important features of this day-length regulation are LD suppression and SD promotion of *Hd3a* (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)).

# **7** *Hd3a* **promotion under SD**

Induction of *Hd3a* expression is at its peak about 30 days before the floral transition occurs under SD conditions in rice (Komiya et al. 2008). The circadian peak of *Hd3a* activity and mRNA production correspond at dawn, referring to an important unit of *Hd3a* transcriptional regulation (Ishikawa et al. [2005](#page-7-20); Tamaki et al. [2007](#page-8-8)). *Hd3a* expression is mainly regulated by *Hd1* and *Ehd1* (Izawa [2007](#page-7-21)). *Hd1* was frst reported as a major photoperiodic-sensitive QTL, it translates



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Flowering regulatory genic web in rice. Rice forigen (Hd3a/RFT1) is mainly regulated by *Hd1* and *Ehd1* under both SD and LD conditions. The arrows and bar indicate up and downregulation, respectively

B-box zinc fnger protein with C-terminal of CCT (CON-STANS, CONSTANS-LIKE, and TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION1) Domain (Yano et al. [2000\)](#page-9-12). During SD, the loss-of-function allele of *Hd1* results in suppression of fowering time and decreases *Hd3a* mRNA production (Izawa et al. [2002](#page-7-22); Ishikawa et al. [2005\)](#page-7-20). Phylogenetic studies revealed that *Hd1* is a CO ortholog of *Arabidopsis*, which is a key activator of FT (Izawa et al. [2003](#page-7-23)). *Hd1* involvement in *Hd3a* transcription is not so coherent, but the diferences in spatiotemporal pattern of *Hd3a* and *Hd1* mRNA contribute to a more complex mechanism (Hayama et al. [2003](#page-7-24)). *Hd1* mRNA expression level is maximum at midnight, while *Hd3a* mRNA level peaks after 4–6 h of *Hd1* (Izawa et al. [2002](#page-7-22); Shikawa et al. [2005\)](#page-8-25).

*OsGI* is an ortholog of *Arabidopsis thaliana* GIGANTEA (GI) and a basic upstream regulator of *Hd1* expression (Hayama et al. [2002](#page-7-25)). OsGI is a big protein existing both in the nucleus and cytoplasmic matrix of cells in rice. Inhibition of *OsGI* expression by RNAi causes a delay in fowering, which reduces mRNA cumulating under SD conditions (Hayama et al. [2003](#page-7-24); Kim et al. [2007\)](#page-7-18). The *OsGI* expression is on peaks at dusk, while *Hd1* peaks at midnight, referring to the involvement of somewhat unknown mechanisms, which make the differences in the time of their expression (Sawa et al. [2007\)](#page-8-26).

During circadian rhythm, the *OsGI* expression is regulated upstream of the circadian clock (Hayama et al. [2002](#page-7-25); Mizoguchi et al. [2005\)](#page-8-27). In *Arabidopsis*, circadian clock mutant GI has abnormal expression (Niwa et al. [2007\)](#page-8-28), and GI itself is also considered a clock-associated protein (Locke et al. [2006;](#page-8-29) Zeilinger et al. [2006](#page-9-13)). *Ehd1* is another major gene that regulates the expression of *Hd3a*. *Ehd1* is identifed from the cross of the T65 cultivar and Nipponbare (Doi et al. [2004\)](#page-7-14). *Ehd1* encodes B-type regulation response, which reduces affinity for the target DNA sequence. Mutation suppresses the expression of *Ehd1*, which reduces the expression of *Hd3a* under SD, refecting that *Ehd1* acts as an upstream regulator of *Hd3a* (Kim et al. [2007](#page-7-18)). *Ehd1* expression peaks before and after dawn under SD conditions, and the expression of *Hd3a* is like *Ehd1* in the absence of *Hd1* functional allele (Doi et al. [2004\)](#page-7-14).

*Ehd1* expression is controlled by two factors, *OsMADS51* and *Ghd7*. *OsMADS51* is the only activator of *Ehd1* under SD and LD conditions, while *Ghd7* suppresses *Ehd1* expression only under LD conditions (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). *OsMADS51* activity is also suppressed by upregulation of *OsGI* because RNAi suppression of *OsGI* decreased *Ehd1* and *OsMADS51* expression (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). *OsMADS51* and *OsGI* exhibited similar circadian rhythms, peaking at dusk under SD conditions (Lee and An [2015](#page-7-26)).

#### **8** *Hd3a* **suppression under LD**

In many developmental stages, the *Hd3a* expression is low under LD conditions as compared to SD conditions. *Hd1* also plays a role in the suppression of *Hd3a* under LD, while promoting it under SD conditions. Prior to *Hd1* cloning, it was considered that mutant of *Hd3a* near-isogenic lines (NILs) delay fowering time under SD conditions and promote fowering under inductive LD, indicating that *Hd1* suppress the fowering time under SD condition and day-length modify the expression level of *Hd1* (Lin et al. [2000\)](#page-7-27).

Photochromic signaling disturbs the day-length conversation of *Hd1* function because this action was not noted in phytochrome deficient plants; the flowering of rice double mutant *se5* and *Hd1* (which lack both phytochrome A and B and also *Hd1* gene), which is slightly later than single *se5* mutant (lacks only phytochromes) (Izawa et al. [2002\)](#page-7-22). Furthermore, the *Hd3a* transcript production is low in *se5* and *Hd1* as compared to the *se5* mutant plant. The day length also modifes the function of *Hd1*, which was supported by manipulation of *OsGI* expression resulting in alteration in the expression of *Hd3* and *Hd1* expression (Hayama et al. [2003](#page-7-24)). Overexpression of *OsMTS1* and *OsGI* delay fowering under both SD and LD, indicating that *OsMTS1* and *OsGI* act as inhibitors of flowering under both conditions. In *OsGI* overexpression plants, the *Hd1* mRNA expression was increased under LD and SD conditions, while mRNA of *Hd3a* and *Hd1* is negatively associated with each other, revealing that *Hd1* suppresses *Hd3a* expression when it is extremely induced by *OsGI* overexpression line. *OsGI* overexpression also promotes *Hd3a* expression through upregulation of *Ehd1* of *OsGI-OsMADS51* pathway, but this expression is probably not observed due to strong inhibitor activity of *Hd1*. A diurnal temporal expression of *Hd3a* and *Hd1* showed a high level of *Hd3a* suppressed by *Hd1* during the light period. Under SD conditions, the *Hd1* expression probably increases at the start of dust, thus maintaining *Hd1* as an activator. However, *OsGI* overexpression accumulates a high level of *Hd1* and converts into a repressor during the light period. Under LD conditions, *Hd1* expression is high during the day and converts *Hd1* into a suppressor (Hayama et al. [2003](#page-7-24)). Therefore, the external coincidence model can be applied to rice; a circadian clock regulates external light signals intermediated by phytochrome, and *Hd1* expression generate a specifc response to day length (Izawa [2007\)](#page-7-21).

The suppressor behavior of *Hd1* is not noted in association *with Arabidopsis thaliana CO,* showing that no different mechanisms that deliver this function*.* A photoperiodic sensitive QTL *Hd2* may better explain this mechanism. *Hd2* was identified as QTL from crossing *indicia* cultivar Kasalath and *japonica* cultivar Nipponbare (Yamamoto et al. [1998](#page-9-3)). *Hd2* required a functional allele of *Hd1* to delay flowering time under SD (Lin et al. [2000\)](#page-7-27). Furthermore, *Hd2* is also necessary for *Hd6*, a photoperiodic sensitive QTL that delays flowering time under LD (Yamamoto et al. [2000](#page-9-14)).

*Ehd1* expression suppresses under LD conditions, which results in low *Hd3a* expression. *Gh7* is also necessary for *Ehd1* suppression (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0). *Ghd7* contains a CCT domain, which is about 60% similar to *Hd1*, but Hd7 does not have a zinc finger motif like *Hd1*. *Ghd7* does not affect *Ghd1* expression but intensely suppresses *Ehd1* expression. The expression of *Ghd7* is higher under inductive LD conditions in leaf vascular tissues and peaks significantly at dusk (Tsuji et al. [2013](#page-9-8)).

## **9 Genes contribute to Hd3a/FT signaling in SAM**

The recognition of Hd3a/FT as forigen discloses the window for researchers to study the molecular mechanism of forigen in SAM. In this section, we will focus on FD, CEN/ TFL1, MADS-box transcription factor (FUL/CAL/AP1), and MADS-box transcription factor (SOC1). The genes of above-mentioned categories are brief in below Table [1.](#page-5-0)

**FD** – In rice SAM, the interaction of *Hd3a* with bZIB transcription factor, which is orthologous of *Arabidopsis* FD results in the transformation of the vegetative phase into the reproductive phase. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, FD accumulates on the edges of SAM where fower primordial produced. Its initiation starts in the vegetative stage before the arrival of FT. FD induces foral meristem identity gene *FRUIT-FULL (FUL)* and its paralog *APETALA1 (AP1)* in the presence of the FT gene (Wigge et al. 2005; Abe et al. [2005](#page-7-28)). FD functions in not reported in rice. There are about seven FD orthologous (*OsbZIP24, OsbZIP27, OsbZIP54,* 

Groups	Gene name	Locus	Specie	Domain	Note	References
Hd3a/FT	Hd3a	Os06g0157700	Rice	Raf kinase inhibitor	Florigen; flowering promoter	Kojima et al. (2002)
	FT	Atlg65480	Arabidopsis		Florigen; flowering promoter	Kobayashi et al. (1999)
	<b>FTL</b>	Os01g0218500	Rice		Flowering promoter	Izawa et al. $(2002)$
	RFT	Os06g0157500	Rice		Flowering promoter	Izawa et al. $(2002)$
<b>CEN/TFL1</b>	<b>RCN1</b>	Os11g0152500	Rice	Raf kinase inhibitor	Flowering inhibitor	Nakagawa et al. (2002)
	RCN <sub>2</sub>	Os02g0531600	Rice		Flowering inhibitor	Nakagawa et al. (2002)
	RCN3	Os12g0152000	Rice		Flowering inhibitor	Zhang et al. $(2005)$
	OsCEN3	Os04g0411400	Rice		n.d	Zhang et al. $(2005)$
	<b>TFL1</b>	At5g03840	Arabidopsis		Flowering inhibitor	Bradley et al. (1997)
	<b>TSF</b>	AT4g20370	Arabidopsis		Flowering promoter	Yamaguchi et al. (2005)
<b>FD</b>	<b>FD</b>	At4g35900	Arabidopsis	bZIP	Flowering promoter	Wigge et al. $(2005)$
	OsbZIP27	Os03g0306700	Rice		n.d	Nijhawan et al. (2008)
	OsbZIP54	Os06g0719500	Rice		n.d	Nijhawan et al. (2008)
	OsbZIP55	Os06g0720900	Rice		n.d	Nijhawan et al. (2008)
	OsbZIP56	Os06g0724000	Rice		n.d	Nijhawan et al. (2008)
	OsbZIP69	Os08g0549600	Rice		n.d	Nijhawan et al. (2008)
	OsbZIP77	Os09g0540800	Rice		n.d	Nijhawan et al. (2008)
	OsbZIP24	Os02g0833600	Rice		n.d	Nijhawan et al. (2008)
MADS-box transcription factor (FUL/ AP1/CAL)	OsMADS14	Os03g0752800	Rice	MADS-box	Flowering promoter	Kyozuka et al. (2000)
	<b>FUL</b>	At5g60910	Arabidopsis		Flowering promoter	Gu et al. (1998)
	OsMADS15	Os07g0108900	Rice		n.d	Kyozuka et al. (2000)
	API	Atlg69120	Arabidopsis		n.d	Mandel et al. $(1992)$
MADS-box tran-	OsMADS50	Os03g0122600	Rice	MADS-box	Flowering promoter	Lee et al. $(2004)$
scription factor (SOC1)	SOC1	At2g45660	Arabidopsis		Flowering promoter	Samach et al. (2000)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 1** Flowering time genes involved in Hd3a/FT flowering signaling in SAM

*n.d.* not identifed

*OsbZIP55*, *OsbZIP56*, *OsbZIP69*, and *OsbZIP77*) in rice whose function is not identified till now (Table [1](#page-5-0)). Among them, the *OsbZIP24* mRNA level is comparatively more in SAM. *OsbZIP54* mRNA distribute in the whole plant, epically SAM where the other FD-like bZIB factors is below the detection level (Nijhawan et al. [2008](#page-8-31)). Still, it is essential to study if there is any unclear functional diferentiation among FD proteins.

### **10 MADS‑box transcription factors**

The genic web acting downstream of FT in *Arabidopsis* involved *MADS-box* transcription factors. FT along with FD and LEAFY is essential for the induction of *FUL* and *AP1* in SAM. (Abe et al. [2005](#page-7-28); Michaels et al. [2005](#page-8-34); Teper-Bamnolker and Samach [2005\)](#page-8-35). The regulation of FT-dependent gene has also been detected in Arabidopsis leaves. The expressions of *FUL* and S*EPALATA3* were promoted by overexpression of *FT* in leaves and decreased in *fd* and *ft* mutants (Teper-Bamnolker and Samach [2005](#page-8-35)). Therefore, both leaves and SAM share the same regulatory mechanisms. *OsMADS14/RAP1B*, an orthogonal of *AP1* and *OsMADS15* is upregulated by *Hd3a* in the leaves under SD (Komiya et al. 2008). This results shows that the similar regulatory mechanism involved in SAM and *OsMADS14* is expressed in apical meristem during a reproductive stage (Giavalisco et al. [2006](#page-7-11); Furutani et al. 2006) and its ectopic behavior quickly endorses rice fowering (Jeon et al. 2000). AP1 MADS-box proteins are serving as key mediators of Hd3a/FT activity in cereal crops fowering time. *OsMADS14* also acts as an activator of *Hd3a* in leaves (Kim et al. [2007](#page-7-18); Lee et al. 2007). MADS-box protein suppresses the overexpression of Constants1 (SOC1) in Arabidopsis. The latest study showed that FT mediates SOC1 activation downstream of CO. SOC1 interacts directly with AGL24-MADS-box proteins in the SAM tips, and the direct attachment of AGL24-SOC1 forms a complex with the promoter of AGL24 and SOC1 and upregulates their expression level (Liu et al. 2008), whereas the regulatory mechanism and expression site is distinct in rice. Inserted T-DNA of *OsMADS50* reduces the transcription of *Hd3a* in the leaves, while RNAi inhibition of *Hd3a* or *Hd3a* and *RFT1* do not cause any modifcations in the expression of *OsMADS50* (Table [1\)](#page-5-0). Therefore, *OsMADS50* functions upstream of *Hd3a* in rice leaves (Lee et al. [2004](#page-7-33); Komiya et al. 2008).

**CEN/TFL1** – CENTORADIALIS (CEN)/TERMINAL FLOWER 1 (TFL1) protein provides an interesting understanding of Hd3a/FT signals in SAM. CEN/TFL1 is homologous of Hd3a/FT, which encodes RKIP proteins, however, the CEN/TFL1 protein inhibits fowering. The TFL1 mRNA

of Arabidopsis is restricted to the central inforescence shoot meristem cells, and the TFL1 protein moves to the external cells. The suppression of fowering was comprehensively studied by Arabidopsis FT and TFL1 (Hanzawa et al. 2005; Ahn et al. [2006](#page-7-4)). Proteins carrying individual scums or alternatives to specifc sections of FT and TFL1 are overexpressed in double *ft tf1* mutants to draw residues or regions, that give the specifc activity of both proteins. The crystal structure of TFL1 and FT were matched with specifc map regions. These analyses showed that the consequent Tyr85 in His88 and FT in TFL1 are vital for the contrasting function of FT and TFL1 (Hanzawa et al. 2005), and the section liable for the diference is confned in 14 amino acid segments of the external loop of proteins at C-termini.

– There are about four CEN/TFL1-like genes in the rice genome (Chardon et al. 2005). *RICE CENTRORA-DIALS1* (*RCN1*), *RCN2,* and *RCN3* can suppress fowering initiation and afect panicle structure when overexpressed (Table [1](#page-5-0)) (Nakagawa et al. [2002;](#page-8-30) Zhang et al. [2005](#page-9-15)). The RCN3 protein can be observed in the phloem sap of rice, which suggests that the protein signals are linked between diferent parts (Aki et al. [2008](#page-7-10)). An attractive assumption that explains the diferences between CEN/TFL1 and Hd3a/ FT controlling activity is that the external loop introduces diferent partners to CEN/TFL1 or Hd3a/FT to defne the capabilities of the complex. Screening analysis of protein interaction using a precise external loop provides a deeper understanding of Hd3a/FT signals and promotes fower promotion.

### **11 Conclusions and perspective**

Decades of research on fowering time expand our understanding of the molecular mechanism of fowering time regulation in rice. A molecular control network is becoming complex day by day due to novel genes and their regulatory mechanisms. Florigen is also referred to as a mobile fowering hormone because of its mobility and fowering activation nature. For fowering time regulation in rice, it is necessary to explore Hd3a/FT synthesis, identifcation, transport, receptor activity, and cellular signaling pathway. Recent advances confrmed that still very less is known about Hd3a trafficking activity, the direction of Hd3a in the phloem, how Hd3a in companion cells is transported into the sieve element system, and how Hd3a targets SAM after unloading from the upper end of the phloem are all open questions for discussion. Flowering time research has also identifed many important genes as a resources for breeding. Natural variation and artifcial selection of fowering genes that are/were vanished through the domestication process should not only contribute to exploiting the mechanism of fowering time gene regulation but also facilitate future breeding programs.

**Data Availability** The data that support this study cannot be publicly shared due to privacy reasons and may be shared upon reasonable request to the corresponding author if appropriate.

### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare no confict of interest.

## **References**

- <span id="page-7-28"></span>Abe M, Kobayashi Y, Yamamoto S, Daimon Y, Yamaguchi A, Ikeda Y, Ichinoki H, Notaguchi M, Goto K, Araki T (2005) FD, a bZIP protein mediating signals from the foral pathway integrator FT at the shoot apex. Sci 309:1052–1056
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>Abe M, Fujiwara M, Kurotani K, Yokoi S, Shimamoto K (2008) Identifcation of dynamin as an interactor of rice GIGANTEA by tandem affinity purification (TAP). Plant Cell Physiol 49:420–432
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>Ahn JH, Miller D, Winter VJ, Banfeld MJ, Lee JH, Yoo SY, Henz SR, Brady RL, Weigel D (2006) A divergent external loop confers antagonistic activity on foral regulators FT and TFL1. EMBO J 25:605–614
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>Aki T, Shigyo M, Nakano R, Yoneyama T, Yanagisawa S (2008) Nano scale proteomics revealed the presence of regulatory proteins including three FT-like proteins in Phloem and Xylem saps from rice. Plant Cell Physiol 49:767–790
- <span id="page-7-30"></span>Bradley D, Ratclife O, Vincent C, Carpenter R, Coen E (1997) Inforescence commitment and architecture in *Arabidopsis*. Science 275:80–83
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>Brambilla V, Fornara F (2013) Molecular control of fowering in response to day length in rice. J Integr Plant Bio 5:4–12
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>Camoni L, Visconti S, Aducci P, Marra M (2018) 14-3-3 Proteins in plant hormone signaling: doing several things at once. Front Plant Sci 9:297
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>Chardon F, Damerval C (2005) Phylogenomic analysis of the PEBP gene family in cereals. J Mol Evol 61:579–590
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>Doi K, Izawa T, Fuse T, Yamanouchi U, Kubo T, Shimatani Z, Yano M, Yoshimura A (2004) *Ehd1*, a B-type response regulator in rice, confers short-day promotion of fowering and controls FT-like gene expression independently of *Hd1*. Genes Dev 18:926–936
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>Gao H, Xiao MZ, Guilin F, Jun C, Mingna J, Yulong R, Weixun W, Zhou K, Sheng P, Zhou F, Jiang L, Wang J, Zhang X, Guo X, Wang J, Cheng Z, Wu C, Wang H, Wan JM (2013) *Ehd4* encodes a novel and Oryza-genus-specifc regulator of photoperiodic fowering in rice. PLoS Genet 9:1–12
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>Giavalisco P, Kapitza K, Kolasa A, Buhtz A, Kehr J (2006) Towards the proteome of *Brassica napus* phloem sap. Proteom 6:896–909
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>Goretti D, Martignago D, Landini M, Brambilla V, Gomez AJ, Gnesutta N, Galbiati F, Collani S, Takagi H, Terauchi R, Mantovani R, Fornara F (2017) Transcriptional and post-transcriptional mechanisms limit Heading Date 1 (*Hd1*) function to adapt rice to high latitudes. PLoS Genet 13:1006530
- <span id="page-7-32"></span>Gu Q, Ferrandiz C, Yanofsky MF, Martienssen R (1998) The FRUIT-FULL MADS-box gene mediates cell differentiation during Arabidopsis fruit development. Dev 125:1509–1517
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>Guo T, Mu Q, Wang J, Vanous AE, Onogi A, Iwata H, Yu J (2020) Dynamic efects of interacting genes underlying rice foweringtime phenotypic plasticity and global adaptation. Genome Res 30:673–683
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>Hayama R, Izawa T, Shimamoto K (2002) Isolation of rice genes possibly involved in the photoperiodic control of fowering by a fuorescent diferential display method. Plant Cell Physiol 43:494–504
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>Hayama R, Yokoi S, Tamaki S, Yano M, Shimamoto K (2003) Adaptation of photoperiodic control pathways produces short day fowering in rice. Nature 422:719–722
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>Hori K, Matsubara K, Yano M (2016) Genetic control of fowering time in rice: integration of Mendelian genetics and genomics. Theor Appl Genet 129:2241–2252
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Huan Z, Shanshan Z, Tianzhen L, Chunming W, Zhijun C, Xin Z, Liping C, Peike S, Maohong C, Chaonan L, Jiachang W, Zhe Z, Juntao C, Liang Z, Cailin L, Xiuping GZ, Jiulin W, Jie W, Ling J, Chuanyin W, Jianmin W (2018) Delayed heading date1 interacts with OSHAP5C/D, delays fowering time and enhances yield in rice. Plant Biotec J 17:531–539
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>Ishikawa R, Tamaki S, Yokoi S, Inagaki N, Shinomura T, Takano M, Shimamoto K (2005) Suppression of the foral activator *Hd3a* is the principal cause of the night break efect in rice. Plant Cell 17:3326–3336
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>Izawa T (2007) Day length measurements by rice plants in photoperiodic short-day fowering. Int Rev Cytol 256:191–222
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>Izawa T, Oikawa T, Sugiyama N, Tanisaka T, Yano M, Shimamoto K (2002) Phytochrome mediates the external light signal to repress *FT* orthologs in photoperiodic flowering of rice. Genes Dev 16:2006–2020
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>Izawa T, Takahashi Y, Yano M (2003) Comparative biology comes into bloom: genomic and genetic comparison of fowering pathways in rice and Arabidopsis. Curr Opin Plant Biol 6:113–120
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>Jaeger KE, Wigge PA (2007) FT protein acts as a long-range signal in Arabidopsis. Cur Biol 17:1050–1054
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>Jang S, Marchal V, Panigrahi KCS, Wenkel S, Soppe W, Deng XW, Valverde F, Coupland G (2008) Arabidopsis COP1 shapes the temporal pattern of CO accumulation conferring a photoperiodic fowering response. EMBO J 27:1277–1288
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>Kim SL, Lee S, Kim HJ, Nam HG, An G (2007) *OsMADS51* is a short day fowering promoter that functions upstream of *Ehd1*, *OsMADS14*, and *Hd3a*. Plant Physiol 145:1484–1494
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>Kobayashi Y, Weigel D (2007) Move on up, it's time for change-mobile signals controlling photoperiod-dependent fowering. Genes Dev 21:2371–2384
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>Kobayashi Y, Kaya H, Goto K, Iwabuchi M, Araki T (1999) A pair of related genes with antagonistic roles in mediating fowering signals. Science 286:1960–1972
- <span id="page-7-29"></span>Kojima S, Takahashi Y, Kobayashi Y, Monna L, Sasaki T, Araki T, Yano M (2002) *Hd3a*, a rice ortholog of the Arabidopsis *FT* gene, promotes transition to fowering downstream of *Hd1* under short day conditions. Plant Cell Physiol 243:1096–1105
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>Komiya R, Yokoi S, Shimamoto K (2009) A gene network for long-day flowering activates *RFT1* encoding a mobile flowering signal in rice. Dev 136:3443–3450
- <span id="page-7-31"></span>Kyozuka J, Kobayashi T, Morita M, Shimamoto K (2000) Spatially and temporally regulated expression of rice MADS box genes with similarity to Arabidopsis class A, B and C genes. Plant Cell Physiol 41:710–718
- <span id="page-7-26"></span>Lee, An (2015) Regulation of fowering time in rice. J Plant Biol 58:353–360
- <span id="page-7-33"></span>Lee SY, Kim J, Han JJ, Han MJ, An GH (2004) Functional analyses of the fowering time gene OsMADS50, the putative *SUPPRES-SOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CO 1*/*AGAMOUS-LIKE 20* (*SOC1*/*AGL20*) ortholog in rice. Plant J 38:754–764
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>Lee YS, Dong HJ, Dong YL, Jakyung Y, Gynheung A (2010) *OsCOL4* is a constitutive fowering repressor upstream of *Ehd1* and downstream of *OsphyB*. Plant J 63:18–30
- <span id="page-7-27"></span>Lin HX, Yamamoto T, Sasaki T, Yano M (2000) Characterization and detection of epistatic interactions of 3 QTLs, *Hd1*, *Hd2*, and *Hd3*,

controlling heading date in rice using nearly isogenic lines. Theor Appl Genet 101:1021–1028

- <span id="page-8-13"></span>Lin MK, Belanger H, Lee YJ, Varkonyi GE, Taoka KI, Miura E, Xoconostle CB, Gendler K, Jorgensene RA, Phinney B, Lough TJ, Lucas WJ (2007) *FLOWERING LOCUS T* protein may act as the long-distance forigenic signal in the cucurbits. Plant Cell 19:1488–1506
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>Liu H, Gu F, Dong S, Liu W, Wang H, Chen Z, Wang J (2016) Constans-like 9 (COL9) delays the fowering time in *Oryza sativa* by repressing the ehd1 pathway. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 479:173–178
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>Liu J, Gong J, Wei X, Yang S, Huang X, Li C, Zhou X (2020) Dominance complementation of *Hd1* and *Ghd8* contributes to extremely late fowering in two rice hybrids. Mol Breed 40:76
- <span id="page-8-29"></span>Locke JCW, Kozma BL, Gould PD, Feher B, Kevei E, Nagy F, Turner MS, Hall A, Millar AJ (2006) Experimental validation of a predicted feedback loop in the multi-oscillator clock of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol Syst Biol 2:59
- <span id="page-8-32"></span>Mandel MA, Gustafson BC, Savidge B, Yanofsky MF (1992) Molecular characterization of the Arabidopsis foral homeotic gene APETALA1. Nature 360:273–277
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>Mathieu J, Warthmann N, Küttner F, Schmid M (2007) Export of FT protein from phloem companion cells is sufficient for floral induction in Arabidopsis. Cur Biol 17:1055–1060
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>Matsubara K, Yamanouchi U, Wang ZX, Minobe Y, Izawa T, Yano M (2008) *Ehd2*, a rice ortholog of the maize INDETERMINATE1 gene, promotes fowering by up-regulating *Ehd1*. Plant Physiol 148:1425–1435
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>Matsubara K, Yamanouchi U, Nonoue Y, Sugimoto K, Yano M (2011) *Ehd3*, encoding a plant homeodomain fnger-containing protein, is a critical promoter of rice fowering. Plant J 66:603–612
- <span id="page-8-34"></span>Michaels SD, Himelblau E, Kim SY, Schomburg FM, Amasino RM (2005) Integration of fowering signals in winter-annual *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 137:149–156
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>Mizoguchi T, Wright L, Fujiwara S, Cremer F, Lee K, Onouchi H, Mouradov A, Fowler S, Kamada H, Putterill J, Coupland G (2005) Distinct roles of GIGANTEA in promoting fowering and regulating circadian rhythms in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 17:2255–2270
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Molla AK (2022) Flowering time and photoperiod sensitivity in rice: Key players and their interactions identifed. Plant Cell 34:3489–3490
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>Monna L, Lin HX, Kojima S, Sasaki T, Yano M (2002) Genetic dissection of a genomic region for a quantitative trait locus, *Hd3*, into two loci, *Hd3a* and *Hd3b*, controlling heading date in rice. Theor Appl Genet 104:772–780
- <span id="page-8-30"></span>Nakagawa M, Shimamoto K, Kyozuka J (2002) Overexpression of RCN1 and RCN2, rice TERMINAL FLOWER 1/CENTRORA-DIALIS homologs, confers delay of phase transition and altered panicle morphology in rice. Plant J 29:743–750
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>Nemoto Y, Nonoue Y, Yano M, Izawa T (2016) *Hd1*, a CONSTANS ortholog in rice, functions as an *Ehd1* repressor through interaction with monocot-specifc CCT-domain protein Ghd7. Plant J 86:221–233
- <span id="page-8-31"></span>Nijhawan A, Jain M, Tyagi AK, Khurana JP (2008) Genomic survey and gene expression analysis of the basic leucine zipper transcription factor family in rice. Plant Physiol 146:333–350
- <span id="page-8-28"></span>Niwa Y, Ito S, Nakamichi N, Mizoguchi T, Niinuma K, Yamashino T, Mizuno T (2007) Genetic linkages of the circadian clock-associated genes, TOC1, CCA1 and LHY, in the photoperiodic control of fowering time in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Physiol 48:925–937
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>Park SJ, Song LK, Shinyoung L, Byoung IJ, Hai LP, Sung HP, Chu MK, Choong HR, Su HP, Yuan HX, Joseph C, Gynheung A, Chang DH (2008) Rice Indeterminate 1 (*OsId1*) is necessary for the expression of *Ehd1* (*Early heading date 1*) regardless of photoperiod. Plant J 56:1018–1029
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>Peng LT, Shi ZY, Li L, Shen GZ, Zhang JL (2008) Overexpression of transcription factor *OsLFL1* delays fowering time in *Oryza sativa*. J Plant Physiol 165:876–885
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>Peng Q, Zhu C, Liu T, Zhan S, Feng S, Wu C (2021) Phosphorylation of *OsFD1* by *OsCIPK3* promotes the formation of *RFT1* containing forigen activation complex for long-day fowering in rice. Mol Plant 14:1135–1148
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>Ryu CH, Lee S, Cho LH, Kim SL, Lee YS, Choi SC, Jeong HJ, Yi J, Park SJ, Han CD, An G (2009) *OsMADS50* and *OsMADS56* function antagonistically in regulating long day (LD)-dependent fowering in rice. Plant Cell Environ 32:1412–1427
- <span id="page-8-33"></span>Samach A, Onouchi H, Gold SE, Ditta GS, Schwarz SZ, Yanofsky MF, Coupland G (2000) Distinct roles of CONSTANS target genes in reproductive development of Arabidopsis. Science 288:1613–1616
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>Sawa M, Nusinow DA, Kay SA, Imaizumi T (2007) FKF1 and GIGANTEA complex formation is required for day-length measurement in *Arabidopsis*. Sci 318:261–265
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>Sheng P, Wu F, Tan J, Zhang H, Ma W, Chen L, Wang J, Wang J, Zhu S, Guo X, Wang J, Zhang X, Cheng Z, Bao Y, Wu C, Liu X, Wan J (2016) A CONSTANS-liketranscriptional activator, *OsCOL13*, functions as a negative regulator of fowering downstream of *OsphyB* and upstream of *Ehd1* in rice. Plant Mol Biol 92:209–222
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>Shikawa R, Tamaki S, Yokoi S, Inagaki N, Shinomura T, Takano M, Shimamoto K (2005) Suppression of the foral activator Hd3a is the principal cause of the night break efect in rice. Plant Cell 17:3326–3336
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>Shim JS, Jang G (2020) Environmental signal-dependent regulation of fowering time in Rice. Int J Mol Sci 21:6155
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>Shrestha R, Gomez AJ, Brambilla V, Fornara F (2014) Molecular control of seasonal fowering in rice, *Arabidopsis* and temperate cereals. Ann Bot 114:1445–1458
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Sohail A, Shah L, Liu L, Islam A, Yang Z, Yang Q, Anis GB, Xu P, Khan RM, Li J et al (2022) Mapping and validation of *qHD7b*: major heading-date QTL functions mainly under long-day conditions. Plants 11:2288
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>Song YH, Shim JS, Kinmonth SHA, Imaizumi T (2015) Photoperiodic fowering: time measurement mechanisms in leaves. Annu Rev Plant Biol 66:441–464
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>Song S, Wang G, Hu Y, Liu H, Bai X, Qin R (2018) *OsMFT1* is regulated by *Ghd7* and *OsLFL1* to increase spikelets per panicle and delay heading date in rice. J Exp Bot 69:4283–4293
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>Sun C, Chen D, Fang J, Wang P, Deng X, Chu C (2014) Understanding the genetic and epigenetic architecture in complex network of rice fowering pathways. Protein Cell 5:889–898
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>Tamaki S, Matsuo S, Wong HL, Yokoi S, Shimamoto K (2007) Hd3a protein is a mobile flowering signal in rice. Science 316:1033–1036
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>Tan J, Jin M, Wang J, Wu F, Sheng P, Cheng Z, Wang J, Zheng X, Chen L, Wang M, Zhu S, Guo X, Zhang X, Liu X, Wang C, Wang H, Wu C, Wan J (2016) *OsCOL10*, a CONSTANS-like gene, functions as a fowering time repressor downstream of *Ghd7* in rice. Plant Cell Physiol 57:798–812
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>Taoka KI, Izuru O, Tsuji H, Furuita K, Hayashi K, Yanase T, Yamaguchi M, Nakashima C, Purwestri YA, Tamaki S (2011) 14-3-3 proteins act as intracellular receptors for rice Hd3a forigen. Nature 476:332–335
- <span id="page-8-35"></span>Teper-Bamnolker P, Samach A (2005) The fowering integrator FT regulates SEPALLATA3 and FRUITFULL accumulation in Arabidopsis leaves. Plant Cell 17:2661–2675
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>Tsuji H (2017) Molecular function of forigen. Breed Sci 67:327–332
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>Tsuji H, Taoka K, Shimamoto K (2011) Regulation of fowering in rice: two forigen genes, a complex gene network, and natural variation. Curr Opin Plant Biol 14:45–52
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>Tsuji H, Taoka K, Shimamoto K (2013) Florigen in rice: complex gene network for forigen transcription, forigen activation complex, and multiple functions. Curr Opin Plant Biol 16:228–235
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>Wu W, Zheng XM, Chen D, Zhang Y, Ma W, Zhang H, Sun L, Yang Z, Zhao C, Zhan X, Shen X, Yu P, Fu Y, Zhu S, Cao L, Chenga S (2017) *OsCOL16*, encoding a CONSTANS-like protein, represses fowering by upregulating *Ghd7* expression in rice. Plant Sci 260:60–69
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Wu W, Zhang Y, Zhang M, Zhan X, Cao L (2018) The rice constanslike protein *OsCOlL5* suppresses flowering by promoting *Ghd7* and repressing *RID1*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 495:1349
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>Wu CC, Wei FJ, Chiou WY, Tsai YC, Wu HP, Gotarkar D, Hsing YIC (2020) Studies of rice *Hd1* haplotypes worldwide reveal adaptation of fowering time to diferent environments. PLoS ONE 15:e0239028
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>Yamaguchi A, Kobayashi Y, Goto K, Abe M, Araki T (2005) TWIN SISTER OF FT (TSF) acts as a foral pathway integrator redundantly with FT. Plant Cell Physiol 46:1175–1189
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Yamamoto T, Kuboki Y, Lin SY, Sasaki T, Yano M (1998) Fine mapping of quantitative trait loci *Hd-1, Hd-2* and *Hd-3*, controlling heading date of rice, as single Mendelian factors. Theor Appl Genet 97:37–44
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>Yamamoto T, Lin HX, Sasaki T, Yano M (2000) Identifcation of heading date quantitative trait locus *Hd6* and characterization of its epistatic interactions with Hd2 in rice using advanced backcross progeny. Genetics 154:885–891
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>Yan WH, Wang P, Chen HX, Zhou HJ, Li QP, Wang CR, Ding ZH, Zhang YS, Yu SB, Xing YZ, Zhang QF (2011) A Major QTL, *Ghd8*, plays pleiotropic roles in regulating grain productivity, plant height, and heading date in rice. Mol Plant 4:319–330
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>Yang Y, Peng Q, Chen GX, Li XH, Wu CY (2013) *OsELF3* is involved in circadian clock regulation for promoting fowering under longday conditions in Rice. Mol Plant 1:202–215
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>Yano M, Katayose Y, Ashikari M, Yamanouchi U, Monna L, Fuse T, Baba T, Yamamoto K, Umehara Y, Nagamura Y, Sasaki T (2000) *Hd1*, a major photoperiod sensitivity quantitative trait locus in rice, is closely related to the Arabidopsis fowering time gene CONSTANS. Plant Cell 12:2473–2483
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>Zeevaart JAD (2006) Florigen coming of age after 70 years. Plant Cell 18:1783–1789
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>Zeilinger MN, Farre EM, Taylor SR, Kay SA, Doyle FJ (2006) A novel computational model of the circadian clock in Arabidopsis that incorporates PRR7 and PRR9. Mol Syst Biol 2:58
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>Zhang SH, Hu WJ, Wang LP, Lin CF, Cong B, Sun CR, Luo D (2005) *TFL1*/*CEN*-like genes control intercalary meristem activity and phase transition in rice. Plant Sci 168:1393–1408
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Zhang Z, Hu W, Shen G, Liu H, Hu Y, Zhou X, Liu T (2017) Alternative functions of *Hd1* in repressing or promoting heading are determined by Ghd7 status under long-day conditions. Sci Rep 7:5388
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Zhang H, Zhu S, Liu T, Wang C, Cheng Z, Zhang X, Chen L, Sheng P, Cai M, Li C, Wang J, Zhang Z, Chai J, Zhou L, Lei C, Guo X, Wang J, Wang J, Jiang L, Wu C, Wan J (2019) *DELAYED HEAD-ING DATE1* interacts with OsHAP5C/D, delays fowering time and enhances yield in rice. Plant Biot J 17:531–539
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Zhu S, Wang J, Cai M, Zhang H, Wu F, Xu Y, Li C, Cheng Z, Zhang X, Guo X, Sheng P, Wu M, Wang J, Lei C, Wang J, Zhao Z, Wu C, Wang H, Wan J (2017) The OsHAPL1-DTH8-Hd1 complex functions as the transcription regulator to repress heading date in rice. J Exp Bot 68:553–568
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Zong W, Ren D, Huang M, Sun K, Feng J, Zhao J, Guo J (2020) Strong photoperiod sensitivity is controlled by cooperation and competition among *Hd1, Ghd7* and *DTH8* in rice heading. New Phytol 229:1635–1649

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.