Canopy interception loss in a *Pinus sylvestris* var. *mongolica* forest of Northeast China

LI Yi¹, CAI Tijiu^{1,2*}, MAN Xiuling², SHENG Houcai², JU Cunyong²

¹ Center for Ecological Research, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin 150040, China;

² College of Forestry, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin 150040, China

Abstract: *Pinus sylvestris* var. *mongolica* is one of the main species to be afforested in deserts of China. But little work has been carried out on the canopy interception loss of this plant species. For researching the canopy interception loss of a natural *P. sylvestris* forest, we observed the gross precipitation, gross snowfall, throughfall and stemflow in a sample plot at the Forest Ecosystem Research Station of Mohe in the Great Khingan Mountains of Northeast China from July 2012 to September 2013. Considering the spatial variability of the throughfall, we increased the area rather than the number of collector and randomly relocated them once a week. The results demonstrated that the throughfall, stemflow, and derived estimates of rainfall and snowfall interception loss during the main rainy and snowy seasons were 77.12%±5.70%, 0.80%, 22.08%±5.51% and 21.39%±1.21% of the incident rainfall or snowfall, respectively. The stemflow didn't occur unless the accumulated rainfall reached up to 4.8 mm. And when the gross precipitation became rich enough, the stemflow increased with increasing tree diameters. Our analysis revealed that throughfall was not observed when rainfall was no more than 0.99 mm, indicating that the canopy storage capacity at saturation was 0.99 mm for *P. sylvestris* forest.

Keywords: rainfall; snowfall; throughfall; stemflow; Great Khingan Mountains

Citation: LI Yi, CAI Tijiu, MAN Xiuling, SHENG Houcai, JU Cunyong. 2015. Canopy interception loss in a *Pinus sylvestris* var. *mongolica* forest of Northeast China. Journal of Arid Land, 7(6): 831–840. doi: 10.1007/s40333-015-0013-4

Deserts cover an area of approximately 45×10^6 km², which occupy almost 30% of our planet's land surface, and a population close to 850×10^6 lives in desert regions (Shachnovich et al., 2008). A land area of 6×10^4 km² becomes deserts every year due to the spread of desertification (Lanly, 1982) in arid and semi-arid lands (ASAL), which is predominantly the result of overgrazing and indiscriminate tree felling (Mitchell et al., 1998). The systematic afforestation on denuded lands with appropriate tree species could help alleviate the desertification by increasing firewood availability and thereby decreasing the pressure on natural vegetation. In ASAL, as water is a limiting factor for vegetation development, it is therefore of importance to ascertain the impact of large-scale afforestation on the local water balance (Shachnovich et al., 2008).

The rainfall interception of forested areas is an im-

portant process in the catchment water balance (Herbst et al., 2008). It influences many hydrological processes, including infiltration, erosion, soil moisture distribution, sub-surface runoff and flood generation (Keim and Skaugset, 2003; Tsiko et al., 2012). But it is often disregarded or underestimated. Quantifying the amount of rainfall interception loss by tree canopy can be of considerable importance for the hydrological budgets in forested catchments (Whelan and Anderson, 1996). However, the accurate measurement of rainfall interception is difficultly carried out since it is influenced by many factors, including canopy structure, tree spacing, wind, rainfall intensity and evaporation (Hörmann et al., 1996; Gerrits and Savenije, 2011). Although the interception of rainfall has been modeled (e.g. Gash, 1979; Calder, 1996) to some extent, for implementing these models, a number of canopy

Received 2014-12-02; revised 2015-06-09; accepted 2015-06-16

^{*}Corresponding author: CAI Tijiu (E-mail: caitijiu1963@163.com)

[©] Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Science Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

characteristics and meteorological factors are necessary and these data are usually not available (Shachnovich et al., 2008). In order to determine the canopy interception loss, it is necessary to measure gross precipitation, throughfall and stemflow. Previous investigations demonstrated that canopy interception loss generally represents 9%–36% of gross precipitation (Hörmann et al., 1996; Roth et al., 2007; Levia et al., 2011), while it was estimated to be as much as 48% of gross precipitation in some coniferous forests (Rutter et al., 1975).

Pinus sylvestris var. mongolica as a pinus species has the characteristics of evergreen, drought and barren resistance and strong adaptability. These characteristics make it be the main afforestation tree in the deserts, farmland protection forests of Northeast China and urban greening in China. But most of the previous studies about it were focused on well-bred seedlings and cultivation techniques. There are at least two remaining questions regarding this species, i.e. what is its ability related to canopy interception loss and whether it is appropriate or not for a choice as the afforestation tree in the Great Khingan Mountains. The Great Khingan Mountains is the cradle of the Heilongjiang River and is the only forest zone of China located in a cold temperate zone. There is nearly half a year covered with snow, and the snowmelt is the main water supply during the drought period in early spring. Therefore, the snowfall interception loss should be meaningfully studied in this region. This paper provides a description of the precipitation interception loss of the natural P. sylvestris forest in the Great Khingan Mountains. In this study, we observed gross precipitation and throughfall from July 2012 to September 2013 within a P. sylvestris forest in the Great Khingan Mountains of Northeast China.

The aims of this study were to: (1) examine the gross precipitation (including rainfall and snowfall), throughfall and stemflow of the natural *P. sylvestris* forest; (2) analyze the characteristics of the stemflow impacted by tree diameter; and (3) determine the canopy interception (rainfall and snowfall) loss of this forest.

1 Materials and methods

1.1 Study area

The study site is located at the north slope of the Great Khingan Mountains and is administratively affiliated to the Mohe county, Heilongjiang province, China (121°07′–124°20′E, 52°10′–53°33′N). Especially, it is also a part of the experimental area of an national forestry ecology system research station. As a member of Chinese Forest Ecosystem Research Network, the station was designed as an open study institution for all the researchers and was established and managed by Northeast Forestry University.

This site is dominated by the natural *P. sylvestris* forest and is approximately 8 km in length from east to west, 300 m in width from north to south (Fig. 1). Its southern side faces a road, and the other sides are adjacent to a natural broadleaved forest. This area has a long cold winter and short hot summer. Annual mean temperature is -4.94° C with the lowest temperature record of -53° C. Mean annual precipitation is 432 mm with relatively dry spring and winter, and moist summer and autumn. The main forest species are Xingan larch (*Larix gmelimii*), birch (*Betula platyphylla*), pine (*P. sylvestris*) and aspen (*Populus davidiana*). Brown coniferous forest soil is the dominant soil genus with a thickness of 10–30 cm (Xie et al., 2007).

Based on the following criteria: (i) representativeness of the stands; (ii) accessibility; and (iii) proximity to an



Fig. 1 The location of study region and the distribution area of *Pinus sylvestris* var. *mongolica* forest in Mohe county of Northeast China

open clearing where gross precipitation data could be fully collected $(53^{\circ}24'58''N, 122^{\circ}17'09''E)$, we established an experimental plot with size of 20 m×30 m at the core area of the forest. The plot has a relatively gentle slope (<10°), and the altitude of the plot is 570 m asl. A survey of the forest was conducted in May 2012. The mean stand density of the forest was 429 trees/hm². The mean diameter at 1.3 m above the ground (i.e. diameter at breast height; DBH) was 21.03 cm and the mean tree height is approximately 16 m. The leaf area index (LAI) from May to September, 2013 was 1.28, 1.34, 1.41, 1.47 and 1.33, respectively. The dominant understory vegetation species are *Rhododendron dauricum* L. and *Vaccinnium vitis-idaea* L.

1.2 Methods

The measurements in this study were implemented according to the Chinese Forestry Standards of "Observation Methodology for Long-term Forest Ecosystem Research" (State Forestry Administration of the People's Republic of China, 2011). In order to clarify the relationship between the precipitation characteristic and precipitation redistribution in this forest, we divided the gross precipitation into four magnitudes according to the Chinese National Standards "Grade of Precipitation" (National Meteorological Information Center of the People's Republic of China, 2012). The data were collected from 1 July 2012 to 30 September 2013.

1.2.1 Gross precipitation

Incident rainfall (P_r , mm) for the forest plot was automatically recorded using a tipping bucket rain gauge (Rain Collector II, Davis Instruments, USA; 0.2 mm per tip) located in a nearby clearing apart from a distance of 60 m. The orifices of the rain gauge were positioned at 100 cm above the ground avoiding ground splash effects.

Incident snowfall (P_s , mm) was collected by a home-made PVC gutter (100 cm×100 cm) which is set up near the rain gauge in clearing apart and also with a height of 100 cm above the ground. After each snowfall event, we measured the snowfall depth and the snowfall density at four points. The snow water equivalent (SWE) is the depth of the water of melted snowfall. It was calculated by the following equation:

$$P_s = SWE = \frac{\rho_s \times S \times SD}{\rho_w \times S} \times 10 = \frac{\rho_s \times SD}{\rho_w} \times 10 .$$
(1)

Where *SWE* is the snow water equivalent (mm), *S* is the area of the gutter (cm²), *SD* is the snowfall depth (mm), ρ_s is the mean snowfall density (g/cm³) and ρ_w is the water density (g/cm³).

1.2.2 Throughfall

The throughfall (T_f) was continuously recorded using three tilted gutters (100 cm×20 cm) in the plot and five homemade rain gauges (20 cm in diameter and 40 cm in height). Similarly, the gutters and rain gauges were set up 100 cm above the forest floor for avoiding ground splash and understory effects. Furthermore, the gutters were also set at an angle of $5^{\circ}-10^{\circ}$ to the horizontal, thus the drainage became easy. Each gutter was equipped with a standard rain gauge at its lower end. The measured volumes were corrected for gutter inclination before they were further analyzed. In addition, the gutters were cleaned once a week and regularly treated with silicon solution to prevent clogging by organic debris and to minimize wetting losses, respectively. It is notable that before the homemade gauges was set and used formally, their reliability was examined by comparing them with a standard rain gauge (Rain Collector II, Davis Instruments, USA; 0.2 mm per tip) in terms of independent trials carried out in an open field. As a result, a linear relationship with a slope of 0.94 (not significantly different from 1) was found between the results of standard and homemade rain gauges and the determination coefficient of the linear equation got an $R^2=0.97$ (P<0.001). According to this relationship, data measured by the homemade gauges were corrected.

The throughfall of snowfall precipitation was collected and recorded using five tilted PVC gutters (100 cm \times 100 cm) in the plot. They were also set up 100 cm above the forest floor. We also measured the depth and the density of the snowfall in the gutters after each snowfall event at four different points.

In this study, due to budgetary constraints, we used a larger collection area instead of a larger number of collectors to reduce spatial variability. In addition, the collectors were also randomly relocated once a week to minimize the effects of spatial variability on the magnitude of average throughfall (Lloyd and De O Marques-Filho, 1988).

1.2.3 Stemflow

After the investigation, we divided all the trees into four diameter classes (<25, 25–30, 30–35, 35–40 cm) Then we selected four average diameter sample trees with average diameters of 18.1, 27.7, 31.7 and 38.8 cm, respectively representing the four diameter classes. Total stemflow was monitored at breast heights using collectors made from plastic rings sealed with silicone rubber, providing a watertight junction between the ring and the tree trunk. A pipe connected the ring to the rain gauge (Rain Collector II, Davis Instruments, USA; 0.2 mm per tip). Manfroi et al. (2004) and Holwerda et al. (2006) found that diameter at breast height relationships for up-scaling to the stand level. For this reason, stemflow at the plot level was estimated by the Eq. 3.

1.2.4 Calculation of canopy interception loss

Canopy interception loss (I_r for rainfall and I_s for snowfall) is the difference between the gross precipitation (P_{g} , including rainfall and snowfall) falling on top of the canopy (or in an open area) and the net precipitation (P_n) falling through the canopy. Net precipitation (P_n) consists of two components: throughfall (T_j) and stemflow (S_j). Because the stemflow is zero for snowfall, the net precipitation is equal to the snow-throughfall. Hence, the overall canopy interception loss was calculated by the following equations:

$$I_r = P_g - P_n = P_g - (T_f + S_f),$$
 (2)

$$S_{f} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{S_{fn}}{K_{n}} M_{n}, \qquad (3)$$

$$I_s = P_s - P_n = P_s - T_f . \tag{4}$$

Where S_f is the stemflow volume (mm), M is the number of the trees per unit area (trees/m²), and S_{fn} is the stemflow of the sample tree in each diameter class (mm). n is the number of trees in its diameter classes. K_n is the average projection area of the sample tree (m²), while M_n is the number of the trees in its diameter class (in tree). P_g is the depth of rainfall (mm) and P_s is the water depth of melted snowfall (mm). T_f is throughfall and measured by using the throughfall collectors (mm).

2 Results

2.1 Rainfall

We recorded 55 rainfall events from July 2012 to

September 2013. Total rainfall amount was 825.47 mm, in which the largest amount was 78.24 mm, the smallest was 0.4 mm. We divided the rainfall into four magnitudes: drizzle ($0 \le P_r \le 10 \text{ mm}$), moderate rain (10 mm $< P_r \le 30$ mm), heavy rain (30 mm $< P_r \le 50$ mm) and rainstorm ($P_r > 50$ mm). A total of 37 rainfall events (67.27%) did not exceed 10 mm. Eight rainfall events (14.55%) were between 10 and 30 mm. There were only four rainfall events (7.27%) that exceeded 50 mm, though their combined rainfall was 250.25 mm and accounted for 30.32% of the total rainfall. The rainfall intensity values (derived from 5-min periods) ranged from 1.2 to 67.6 mm/h, and more than 60% of the events were drizzle-like with a rainfall intensity of <5.0 mm/h (Fig. 2). In drizzle events, the ratio of the evaporation rate to the rainfall rate is often large, which increased the total canopy interception loss. However, the influence of varying evaporation rates on canopy interception loss was not quantified in this study.

2.2 Throughfall

The throughfall amount was 636.63±47.08 mm during the study period and accounted for 77.12%±5.70% of the rainfall. The percentage values of T_{t}/P_{r} (Throughfall/rainfall) ranged from 39.27%±4.17% to 86.84%± 3.56%, and the mean value was 69.21%±7.03%. After analyzing the relevant rainfall data, we confirmed that the T_f and T_f/P_r increased with the increase of rainfall magnitude. The average percentage values of T_{f}/P_{r} of drizzle, moderate rain, heavy rain and rainstorm were 64.50%±7.51%, 77.96%±9.03%, 77.16%± 4.80% and 83.41%±2.90%, respectively. When the rainfall amount was less than 7.4 mm, the T_{ℓ}/P_r ranged from 39.27%±4.17% to 70.58%±11.56% and the coefficient of variation was larger. However, a very strong and significant linear correlation ($R^2=1.00$) existed between the average throughfall and rainfall (Fig. 3a), while the logarithmic correlation (R^2 =0.67) can better describe the relationship between rainfall and T_{f}/P_{r} (Fig. 3b). Besides, throughfall was not observed when rainfall was no more than 0.99 mm. In other words, the canopy storage capacity at saturation was 0.99 mm.

2.3 Stemflow

The plot-level estimate of the stemflow was 6.594 mm and accounted for 0.80% of the total precipitation. The total stemflow of the four diameter classes were 1.374, 0.828, 2.951 and 1.441 mm, accounted for 20.83%,

12.56%, 44.75% and 21.86% of the total stemflow, respectively. The stemflow was strongly correlated to rainfall (R^2 =0.79) (Fig. 4a). Except for the diameter of 38.8 cm, stemflow increased with the increase of di-

ameters, and the stemflow of each sample tree was also strongly correlated to rainfall (Fig. 4b). Our results also implied that stemflow occurred when the gross precipitation exceeded 4.8 mm.



Fig. 2 Frequency distributions of size (a), duration (b) and intensity (c) of rainfall events at the study plot







Fig. 4 Correlations between rainfall and stemflow of the plot (a), rainfall and stemflow amount of different diameters (b)

2.4 Rainfall interception

According to our observations, the amount of rainfall interception loss was 182.25±45.46 mm, which accounted for 22.08%±5.51% of the rainfall. Given single rainfall event, the value of rainfall interception loss ranged from 12.97%±3.56% to 60.72%±4.17%, with an average value of 30.49%±7.00%. Furthermore, the rainfall interception loss presented a decreasing trend with an increasing rainfall magnitude (Table 1). Monthly rainfall interception loss analysis showed that there were no seasonal trends in the rainfall interception loss (Fig. 5c), which is consistent with the fact that LAI changes are negligible over the rainy season in this forest. There was a significant linear correlation $(R^2=0.92)$ between the rainfall interception loss and rainfall (Fig. 5a) but a logarithmic correlation $(R^2=0.69)$ between the rate of rainfall interception loss and rainfall (Fig. 5b).

2.5 Snowfall interception

We observed a total of 14 snowfall events. The snowfall events were also divided into four magnitudes: light snow ($0 \le P_s \le 2.5$ mm), moderate snow (2.5 mm $\le P_s \le 5$ mm), heavy snow (5 mm $\le P_s \le 10$ mm) and blizzard ($P_s \ge 10$ mm). The amount of the snowfall interception loss was 16.20 ± 0.91 mm, which accounted for 21.39% $\pm 1.21\%$ of the snowfall. There was also a liner correlation ($R^2=0.94$) between the snowfall interception loss and the snowfall (Fig. 6a). We found that the snowfall interception loss was higher in the heavy snow than in the other three magnitudes. The snowfall interception for the four magnitudes accounted for 34.09% \pm 4.22%, 18.12% $\pm 4.00\%$, 21.31% $\pm 1.52\%$ and 21.98% \pm 1.86% of the snowfall precipitation, respectively (Fig. 6b).

 Table 1
 The characteristics of rainfall interception loss at different rainfall magnitudes

Rainfall magnitude	Rainfall (mm)	Rainfall interception loss (mm)	Rainfall interception loss rate (%)
Drizzle	206.70	64.23±16.79	31.07±4.70
Moderate rain	154.41	32.68±13.47	21.16±8.72
Heavy rain	213.91	47.35±9.98	22.14±4.66
Rainstorm	250.45	37.99±7.17	15.17±2.86



Fig. 5 Correlations between rainfall and rainfall interception loss (a), rainfall and rainfall interception loss rate (b). Characteristics of rainfall amount and rainfall interception loss rate in different months (c).

3 Discussion

3.1 Throughfall

As the species of our research is a pine forest, the throughfall has a higher spatial variability. At present, it is not possible to accurately estimate the throughfall



Fig. 6 (a) The correlation between snowfall precipitation and snowfall interception loss; and (b) the characteristics of snowfall interception loss in different snowfall magnitudes

or canopy interception loss using a minimum number of collectors because of difficulties in achieving a robust spatial representation of throughfall measurements (Lawrence and Fernandez, 1993). Rodrigo and Avila (2001) found that under a dense, coppiced holm oak canopy (which, in terms of density and structure, can to some extent be compared to a hawthorn hedge) 10 gauges with a diameter of 10 cm were sufficient to ensure that net rainfall was measured with less than 10% error and pointed out that an obvious increase in funnel size would reduce this error. Calder and Rosier (1976) also recommended that the use of rainfall collectors covering a large area and thereby accounting for the spatial variation of net rainfall in order to improve the representativeness of measurements was also recommended. In this study, accordingly, we used two methods to reduce the potential errors caused by the high spatial variability, i.e. we expanded the area of the collectors and randomly relocated the collectors once a week to minimize the effects of spatial variability on the magnitude of average T_f (Lloyd and De O Margues-Filho, 1988). So the array and the size of rain gauges used in the present study can be considered as suitable to account for random spatial variability of throughfall. Above the rain collectors that received consistently much more throughfall than the average, we observed a downward curving branch that acted as a funnel and led to the high level of collected throughfall. This type of canopy feature is not easy to parameterize but may strongly affect the spatial distribution of the

throughfall.

3.2 Stemflow

There is no protocol existed to measure stemflow (Levia and Frost, 2003) and stemflow has large spatial variability in forests. Compared with throughfall, stemflow representes a small component of the cumulative plot-scale understory precipitation flux. A review of the literature suggests that stemflow averages 3%-6% of season-long or annual gross precipitation in foliated deciduous forests (Helvey and Patric, 1965; Neary and Gizyn, 1994; Carlyle-Moses and Price, 1999). However, stemflow production in Larix gmelinii forest of the study region has been found to be inconsequential with a value of 0.14% of gross precipitation being measured by Sheng et al. (2014). Nonetheless, Herwitz (1987) thought stemflow was an important water flux in forest ecosystems, delivering an average of 21 times more water to the base of these plants than the gross precipitation equivalent. The stemflow is produced only when the canopy, bark and trunk are all wet and the rainfall is continuous. Therefore, stemflow occurs when the rainfall amount is beyond the critical value (Martinez-Meza and Whitford, 1996; Aboal et al., 1999). In our study, the critical value of the P_r was 4.8 mm and was smaller than those of other species in previous studies (Gong et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2012). The smaller value could be attributed to the structure, the canopy or the tree height of different forests. We also confirmed that when the rainfall is abundant, the stemflow amount increased with the increase of diameters (except the

sample tree of D=38.8 cm). The reason for this is that larger trees need heavier rainfall to generate high stemflow volumes and to increase their contribution to total stemflow in the plot (Manfroi et al., 2004). However, there were not enough heavier rainfall events during our observation period. Thus, a little strange result occurred at the sample tree of D=38.8 cm. Since the canopy, bark and tree trunk might be different in different diameter classes, the critical value of P_r might not be the same. Given the difference in diameter is not significant in our plot, we can arbitrarily conclude that the critical values of the P_r in different diameter classes are the same.

3.3 Rainfall interception loss

We noticed that when $P_r < 9.4$ mm, the rainfall interception loss rate declined faster with the increase of the rainfall amount. We can regard this value as the inflection point at which the rainfall interception rate experiences a significant change. The accumulated canopy interception loss for this forest was 22.08%± 5.51%. However, the present estimates are comparable with preliminary values reported for similar forest types in other different regions of China. Compared with the values (18.86%, 18.80% and 21.71%) of the dominant Larix gmelinii forest in other regions (Zhou, 2003; Sheng et al., 2009, 2010), the rainfall interception loss of P. sylvestris was higher. This higher value may be resulted from two reasons. First, more drizzles occurred during our study period, and the rainfall interception loss in drizzles is much higher than those in the other magnitudes of precipitation. In addition, the canopy of *P. sylvestris* is larger than that of L. gmelinii, and the leaves are longer and can adsorb more rainfall. Rainfall adsorbed by bark is always ignored and combined into the rainfall interception loss. However, P. svlvestris has thicker bark than that of L. gmelinii, which also led to the high value of rainfall interception loss. Compared with the Moso bamboo forest in the subtropics (29.4%)(Huang et al., 2009) and Picea crassifolia forest (34.8%) (Gong et al., 2005), the P. sylvestris has the lower inteception loss. The canopy density of the Moso bamboo forest is higher, and the canopy of the P. crassifolia is thicker, therefore, the rainfall interception loss of such both forests is higher than that of P. sylvestris forest. Since the LAI of P.

sylvestris forest was similar from May to September, the T_f fraction was depended on the stem density. And the lower T_f was most likely resulted from the higher evaporation rates during rainfall events, which caused more intercepted rainfall water back to air and thus reduced throughfall through the canopy. However, for this particular arid region, a very large fraction of the rainfall reaching the ground is necessary for the development of trees. Therefore, a suitable stem density may be important in afforestation of arid region.

3.4 Snowfall interception loss

The *P. sylvestris* is the only evergreen tree in the north slope of the Great Khingan Mountains. In this region, there is nearly half a year of winter. In the early spring, the type of water supply is snowmelt water. Therefore, the observation of snowfall interception loss should be meaningful. In our study, we determined that the snowfall interception loss rate was 21.39%±1.21%, which was only slightly lower than the rainfall interception loss rate (22.08%±5.51%). The lower temperature in winter than in summer, may lead to a slower canopy evaporation in winter compared to summer. As this is the first time that the snowfall interception losses are being measured in the Great Khingan Mountains, our present estimates are only comparable with preliminary values reported for similar forest types in the Lesser Khingan Mountains. Because of the leaves of P. sylvestris minimally fell in winter compared with the snowfall interception loss rate of the original broad-leaved Pinus koraiensis forest (21.2%), Betula platyphylla forest (8.5%) and L. gmelinii forest (16.3%) (Liu et al., 2012), the value of our studied forest is higher. However, the value is lower than those of P. koraiensis (28.2%) and Picea abies forests (39.7%) (Liu et al., 2012), that was caused by the higher densities of those two forests (1,500 trees/hm² for *P. koraiensis* forest and 475 trees/hm² for *Picea abies* forest) and their larger canopies. As the canopy interception loss of the snowfall in our study is preliminary, there may be some errors in our results due to the limited number of snowfall events. In future studies, we will observe snowfall events over a longer period of time. And we only observed the canopy snowfall interception loss and paid no attention to the

melting speed of the snow in the forest and the duration of snowmelt runoff. All these questions should be addressed in our future studies.

4 Conclusions

In this study, we measured precipitation, throughfall and stemflow in a natural Pinus sylvestris var. mongolica forest, and utilized two methods to reduce the potential errors caused by the spatial variability of throughfall. As a result, the measured throughfall, stemflow and derived estimates of rainfall and snowfall interception loss during the main rainy and seasons were 77.12%±5.70%, 0.80%. snowy 22.08%±5.51% and 21.39%±1.21% of incident rainfall or snowfall, respectively. The interception loss accounted for 22% of gross precipitation. As for stemflow, it happened only if the rainfall reached 4.8 mm, furthermore, the larger diameter brought the higher amount of stemflow. For a particular region, a very large fraction of rainfall reaching the ground is necessary for the development of trees. So a suitable stem density may be important for afforestation in arid regions. Certainly, for a comprehensive assessment of water conservation capacity of P. sylvestris forest, the changes in transpiration of planted forest and soil infiltration capacity with time after reforestation need to be studied as well in the future.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31370460). The study was also supported by the CFERN (Chinese Forest Ecosystem Research Network) & GENE Award Funds for Ecological Papers.

References

- Aboal J R, Morales D, Hernández M, et al. 1999. The measurement and modelling of the variation of stemflow in a laurel forest in Tenerife, Canary Islands. Journal of Hydrology, 221(3–4): 161–175.
- Calder I R, Rosier P T W. 1976. The design of large plastic-sheet net-rainfall gauges. Journal of Hydrology, 30(4): 403–405.
- Calder I R. 1996. Dependence of rainfall interception on drop size: 1. Development of the two-layer stochastic model. Journal of Hydrology, 185(1–4): 363–378.
- Carlyle-Moses D E, Price A G. 1999. An evaluation of the Gash interception model in a northern hardwood stand. Journal of Hydrology, 214(1-4): 103–110.

Chen S J, Chen C G, Zou B C, et al. 2012. Time lag effects and rainfall

redistribution traits of the canopy of natural secondary *Pinus tabulaeformis* on precipitation in the Qinling Mountains, China. Acta Ecological Sinica, 32(4): 1142–1150. (in Chinese)

- Gash J H C. 1979. An analytical model of rainfall interception by forests. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 105(443): 43–45.
- Gerrits A M J, Savenije H H G. 2011. Interception. In: Peter W. Treatise on Water Science, 2. Oxford: Academic Press, 89–101.
- Gong H D, Wang K Y, Yang W Q, et al. 2005. Throughfall and stemflow in a primary spruce forest in the subalpine of western Sichuan. Scientia Silvae Sinicae, 41(1): 198–201. (in Chinese)
- Helvey J D, Patric J H. 1965. Canopy and litter interception of rainfall by hardwoods of eastern United States. Water Resources Research, 1(2): 193–206.
- Herbst M, Rosier P T W, McNeil D D, et al. 2008. Seasonal variability of interception evaporation from the canopy of a mixed deciduous forest. Agriculture and Forest Meteorology, 148(11): 1655–1667.
- Herwitz S R. 1987. Raindrop impact and water flow on the vegetative surfaces of trees and the effects on stemflow and throughfall generation. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 12(4): 425–432.
- Holwerda F, Scatena F N, Bruijnzeel L A. 2006. Throughfall in a Puerto Rican lower montane rain forest: a comparison of sampling strategies. Journal of Hydrology, 327(3–4): 592–602.
- Hörmann G, Branding A, Clemen T, et al. 1996. Calculation and simulation of wind controlled canopy interception of a beech forest in Northern Germany. Agriculture and Forest Meteorology, 79(3): 131–148.
- Huang J, Hu H B, Zhang J Y, et al. 2009. Canopy interception characteristics of bamboo forests in northern semitropics. Journal of Nanjing Forestry University: Natural Science Edition, 33(2): 31–34. (in Chinese)
- Keim R F, Skaugset A. 2003. Modelling effects of forest canopies on slope stability. Hydrological Processes, 17(7): 1457–1467.
- Lanly J. 1982. Tropical forest resources. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) paper no. 30. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. [2014-09-10]. http://www.ciesin.org/docs/002-113/002-113.html.
- Lawrence G B, Fernandez I J. 1993. A reassessment of areal variability of throughfall deposition measurements. Ecological Applications, 3(3): 473–480.
- Levia D F, Jr, Frost E E. 2003. A review and evaluation of stemflow literature in the hydrologic and biogeochemical cycles of forested and agricultural ecosystems. Journal of Hydrology, 274(1–4): 1–29.
- Levia D F, Carlyle-Moses D, Tanaka T. 2011. Forest Hydrology and Biogeochemistry. New York: Springer Science+Business Media, 407–425.
- Liu H L, Cai T J, Man X L, et al. 2012. Effects of major forest types of Xiaoxing'an Mountains on the process of snowfall, snow cover and snow melting. Journal of Beijing Forestry University, 34(2): 20–25. (in Chinese)
- Lloyd C R, De O Marques-Filho A. 1988. Spatial variability of throughfall and stemflow measurements in Amazonian rainforest. Agriculture and Forest Meteorology, 42(1): 63–73.
- Manfroi O J, Koichiro K, Nobuaki T, et al. 2004. The stemflow of trees in a Bornean lowland tropical forest. Hydrological Processes,

18(13): 2455-2474.

- Martinez-Meza E, Whitford W G. 1996. Stemflow, throughfall and channelization of stemflow by roots in three Chihuahuan desert shrubs. Journal of Arid Environments, 32(3): 271–287.
- Mitchell D J, Fullen M A, Trueman I C, et al. 1998. Sustainability of reclaimed desertified land in Ningxia, China. Journal of Arid Environments, 39(2): 239–251.
- National Meteorological Information Center of the People's Republic of China. 2012. Grade of Precipitation. Beijing: China Zhijian Publishing House, 1–8. (in Chinese)
- Neary A J, Gizyn W I. 1994. Throughfall and stemflow chemistry under deciduous and coniferous forest canopies in south-central Ontario. Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 24(6): 1089–1100.
- Rodrigo A, Àvila A. 2001. Influence of sampling size in the estimation of mean throughfall in two Mediterranean holm oak forests. Journal of Hydrology, 243(3–4): 216–227.
- Roth B E, Slatton K C, Cohen M J. 2007. On the potential for high-resolution lidar to improve rainfall interception estimates in forest ecosystems. Frontiers in Ecology and Environment, 5(8): 421–428.
- Rutter A J, Morton A J, Robins P C. 1975. A predictive model of rainfall interception in forests. II. Generalization of the model and comparison with observations in some coniferous and hardwood stands. Journal of Applied Ecology, 12(1): 367–380.
- Sheng H C, Cai T J, Zhu D G, et al. 2009. Rainfall redistribution and hydrochemical characteristics in the Larch plantation. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 23(2): 79–83. (in Chinese)
- Sheng H C, Cai T J, Li Y, et al. 2014. Rainfall redistribution in Larix

gmelinii forest on northern of Daxing'an Mountains, Northeast of China. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 28(6): 101–105. (in Chinese)

- Sheng X J, Wang S G, Guan D X, et al. 2010. Canopy interception in larch plantations: Measurement and modeling in Eastern Liaoning Mountainous Region. Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology, 21(12): 3021–3028. (in Chinese)
- State Forestry Administration of the People's Republic of China. 2011. Observation Methodology for Long-term Forest Ecosystem Research. Beijing: Phoenix Press. [2014-11-8]. http://www.cfern.org/ wjpicture/upload/bzgf/bzgf2011-10-10-8-13-39.pdf. (in Chinese)
- Tsiko C T, Makurira H, Gerrits A M J, et al. 2012. Measuring forest floor and canopy interception in a savannah ecosystem. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C, 47–48: 122–127.
- Whelan M J, Anderson J M. 1996. Modelling spatial patterns of throughfall and interception loss in a Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) plantation at the plot scale. Journal of Hydrology, 186(1–4): 335–354.
- Xie F J, Xiao D N, Li X Z. 2007. Forest crown density restoration and influencing factors in the burned area of northern Great Hing'an Mountains of China. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 27(3): 879–888. (in Chinese)
- Shachnovich Y, Berliner P R, Bar P. 2008. Rainfall interception and spatial distribution of throughfall in a pine forest planted in an arid zone. Journal of Hydrology, 349(1–2): 168–177.
- Zhou M. 2003. Research on the hydrological process and laws of *Larix gmelini* ecosystem at the Greater Xing'an Mountains. PhD Dissertation. Beijing: Beijing Forestry University. (in Chinese)