



Bergman Projections Induced by Doubling Weights on the Unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n

Juntao Du¹ · Songxiao Li² · Xiaosong Liu³ · Yecheng Shi⁴

Received: 6 April 2021 / Revised: 26 October 2021 / Accepted: 25 March 2022 /
Published online: 18 July 2022

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

Abstract

Let $p > 1$ and $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$. The boundedness of $P_\omega : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{B})$ and $P_\omega(P_\omega^+) : L^p(\mathbb{B}, \nu dV) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{B}, \nu dV)$ are investigated in this paper.

Keywords Weighted Bergman space · Bergman projection · Doubling weight

Mathematics Subject Classification 32A36 · 47B33

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{B} be the open unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n and \mathbb{S} the boundary of \mathbb{B} . When $n = 1$, \mathbb{B} is the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and always denoted by \mathbb{D} . Let $H(\mathbb{B})$ denote the space of all holomorphic functions on \mathbb{B} . For any two points

$$z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \quad \text{and} \quad w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$$

in \mathbb{C}^n , define $\langle z, w \rangle = z_1 \overline{w_1} + \dots + z_n \overline{w_n}$ and $|z| = \sqrt{\langle z, z \rangle} = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2}$.

Suppose ω is a radial weight (i.e., ω is a positive, measurable and integrable function on $[0, 1)$ and $\omega(z) = \omega(|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{B}$). Let $\hat{\omega}(r) = \int_r^1 \omega(t) dt$. We say that

- ω is a doubling weight, denoted by $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

Communicated by Pekka Koskela.

Songxiao Li was supported by Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (No. 2022A1515010317), Foundation for Scientific and Technological Innovation in Higher Education of Guangdong (No. 2021KTSCX182) and NNSF of China (No. 11720101003).

✉ Songxiao Li
jyulsx@163.com

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

$$\hat{\omega}(r) < C\hat{\omega}\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right), \quad \text{when } 0 \leq r < 1;$$

- ω is a regular weight, denoted by $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$, if ω is continuous and there exist $C > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C} < \frac{\hat{\omega}(t)}{(1-t)\omega(t)} < C, \quad \text{when } t \in (\delta, 1);$$

- ω is a rapidly increasing weight, denoted by $\omega \in \mathcal{J}$, if (see [10])

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\hat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)\omega(r)} = \infty;$$

- ω is a reverse doubling weight, denoted by $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$, if there exist $K > 1$ and $C > 1$, such that

$$\hat{\omega}(t) \geq C\hat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{1-t}{K}\right), \quad t \in (0, 1). \tag{1}$$

See [9, 10] and the references therein for more details about \mathcal{J} , \mathcal{R} , $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \hat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \check{\mathcal{D}}$. More information about $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ and \mathcal{D} can be found in [7, 14].

Let $d\sigma$ and dV be the normalized surface and volume measures on \mathbb{S} and \mathbb{B} , respectively. For $0 < p < \infty$, the Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{B})$ (or H^p) is the space consisting of all functions $f \in H(\mathbb{B})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H^p} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} M_p(r, f) < \infty,$$

where

$$M_p(r, f) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}} |f(r\xi)|^p d\sigma(\xi) \right)^{1/p}, \quad 0 < p < \infty.$$

H^∞ is the space consisting of all $f \in H(\mathbb{B})$ such that $\|f\|_{H^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}} |f(z)| < \infty$.

For any $f \in H(\mathbb{B})$, let $\Re f$ be the radial derivative of f , that is,

$$\Re f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z), \quad z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}.$$

Then the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{B})$ consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{B})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{B})} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}} (1 - |z|^2) |\Re f(z)| < \infty.$$

When $n = 1$, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})}$ differs from the norm defined in the classical way, but the two norms are equivalent. See [19] for example. We denote $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{B})$ by \mathcal{B} for simplicity.

Suppose μ is a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B} and $0 < p < \infty$. The Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{B}, d\mu)$ consists of all measurable complex functions f on \mathbb{B} such that $|f|^p$ is integrable with respect to μ , that is, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{B}, d\mu)$ if and only if

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}, d\mu)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

$L^\infty(\mathbb{B}, d\mu)$ consists of all measurable complex functions f on \mathbb{B} such that f is essentially bounded, that is, $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}, d\mu)$ if and only if

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}, d\mu)} = \inf_{E \subset \mathbb{B}, \mu(E)=0} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B} \setminus E} |f(z)| < \infty.$$

More details about $L^p(\mathbb{B}, d\mu)$ can be found in [18, 20]. For a positive and measurable function ω on \mathbb{B} , letting $d\mu(z) = \omega(z)dV(z)$, μ is a Borel measure on \mathbb{B} if $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{B}, dV)$. Then, we will write $L^p(\mathbb{B}, d\mu)$ as $L^p(\mathbb{B}, \omega dV)$. When $n = 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, let $dV(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}dA(z)$ be the normalized area measure on \mathbb{D} . Then we can define the Lebesgue space on the unit disk in the same way.

In [10], Peláez and Rättyä introduced a new class of weighted Bergman spaces $A_\omega^p(\mathbb{D})$, which is induced by rapidly increasing weights ω in \mathbb{D} . That is

$$A_\omega^p(\mathbb{D}) = L^p(\mathbb{D}, \omega dA) \cap H(\mathbb{D}), \quad \text{where } 0 < p < \infty.$$

See [9–13, 15, 16] for more results on $A_\omega^p(\mathbb{D})$ with $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$. In [4], we extended some results of the Bergman space $A_\omega^p(\mathbb{D})$ to the unit ball \mathbb{B} of \mathbb{C}^n . That is,

$$A_\omega^p(\mathbb{B}) = L^p(\mathbb{B}, \omega dA) \cap H(\mathbb{B}), \quad \text{where } 0 < p < \infty.$$

In brief, let $A_\omega^p = A_\omega^p(\mathbb{B})$. As a subspace of $L^p(\mathbb{B}, \omega dV)$, the norm on A_ω^p will be written as $\|\cdot\|_{A_\omega^p}$. It is easy to check that A_ω^p is a Banach space when $p \geq 1$ and a complete metric space with distance $\rho(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{A_\omega^p}^p$ when $0 < p < 1$. When $\alpha > -1$ and $c_\alpha = \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)/[\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)]$, if $\omega(z) = c_\alpha(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha$, the space A_ω^p becomes the classical weighted Bergman space A_α^p , and we write $dV_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dV(z)$. When $\alpha = 0$, $A_0^p = A^p$ is the standard Bergman space. See [18, 20] for the theory of H^p and A_α^p .

When $p = 2$, the space A_ω^2 is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{A_\omega^2} = \int_{\mathbb{B}} f(z)\overline{g(z)}\omega(z)dV(z) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in A_\omega^2.$$

In a standard way, for every $z \in \mathbb{B}$, the point evaluation $L_z f = f(z)$ is a bounded linear functional on A_ω^2 . By Riesz’s Representation Theorem, we see that there exists a unique function B_z^ω such that

$$f(z) = \langle f, B_z^\omega \rangle_{A_\omega^2} = \int_{\mathbb{B}} f(w)\overline{B_z^\omega(w)}\omega(w)dV(w) \quad \text{for all } f \in A_\omega^2.$$

For any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{B}, \omega dV)$, the Bergman projection $P_\omega f$ is defined by

$$P_\omega f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} f(\xi) \overline{B_z^\omega(\xi)} \omega(\xi) dV(\xi),$$

while the maximal Bergman projection P_ω^+ is defined by

$$P_\omega^+(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} f(\xi) |B_z^\omega(\xi)| \omega(\xi) dV(\xi).$$

When $\omega(z) = c_\alpha(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha$ ($\alpha > -1$), P_ω and P_ω^+ will be denoted by P_α and P_α^+ , respectively.

The study of the Bergman projection has a long history. If $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Re s > -1$ for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{B}, dV)$, let

$$T_s f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n + s + 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(s + 1)} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^s}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+s}} f(w) dV(w).$$

Obviously, when $s = 0$, we have $T_0 = P_0$. In [5], Forelli and Rudin proved that T_s is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{B}, dV)$ if and only if $(1 + \Re s)p > 1$ under the assumption that $1 \leq p < \infty$. In [3], Choe proved that T_s is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{B}, dV_\alpha)$ if and only if $(1 + \Re s)p > 1 + \alpha$ when $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha > -1$. From [20, Thm. 2.11], we see that P_α is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{B}, dV_\beta)$ if and only if $p(\alpha + 1) > \beta + 1$ when $p \geq 1, \alpha, \beta \in (-1, \infty)$. In [8], Liu gave a sharp estimate for the norm of P_0 on $L^p(\mathbb{B}, dV)$.

In the setting of the unit disk, Bekollé and Bonami showed that, if $1 < p < \infty$, v is positive on \mathbb{D} and $\int_{\mathbb{D}} v(z) dA_\alpha(z) < \infty$, $P_\alpha : L^p(\mathbb{D}, v dA_\alpha) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{D}, v dA_\alpha)$ is bounded if and only if v satisfies the Bekollé–Bonami condition, see [1, 2]. The result was extended in [17] for some $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$. In [13], the Bergman projections P_ω and the maximal Bergman projection P_ω^+ on some function spaces on \mathbb{D} were studied when $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$. In [14], Peláez and Rättyä studied Bergman projections and the maximal Bergman projection P_ω^+ induced by radial weights ω on some function spaces on \mathbb{D} .

Motivated by [13, 14], in this paper we investigate the boundedness of $P_\omega : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}, dV) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{B})$ and $P_\omega(P_\omega^+) : L^p(\mathbb{B}, v dV) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{B}, v dV)$ on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n with $p > 1$ and $\omega, v \in \mathcal{D}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we recall some results and notation. In Sect. 3, we give some estimates for B_z^ω with $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$. In Sect. 4, we investigate the boundedness of P_ω and P_ω^+ with $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$.

Throughout this paper, the letter C will denote a constant which may differ from one occurrence to the other. The notation $A \lesssim B$ means that there is a positive constant C such that $A \leq CB$. The notation $A \approx B$ means $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

2 Preliminary Results

For any $\xi, \tau \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}$, let $d(\xi, \tau) = |1 - \langle \xi, \tau \rangle|^{1/2}$. Then $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the non-isotropic metric. For $r > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{S}$, let

$$Q(\xi, r) = \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{S} : |1 - \langle \xi, \eta \rangle| \leq r^2 \right\}.$$

$Q(\xi, r)$ is a ball in \mathbb{S} for all $\xi \in \mathbb{S}$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. More information about $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $Q(\xi, r)$ can be found in [18, 20].

For any $a \in \mathbb{B} \setminus \{0\}$, let $Q_a = Q(a/|a|, \sqrt{1 - |a|})$ and

$$S_a = S(Q_a) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{B} : \frac{z}{|z|} \in Q_a, |a| < |z| < 1 \right\}.$$

When $a = 0$, let $Q_a = \mathbb{S}$ and $S_a = \mathbb{B}$. We call S_a the Carleson block. See [4] for more information about the Carleson block. As usual, for a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{B}$, $\omega(E) = \int_E \omega(z) dV(z)$.

Lemma 1 *Let ω be a radial weight.*

(i) *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$;
- (b) *there is a constant $b > 0$ such that $\hat{\omega}(t)/(1 - t)^b$ is essentially increasing;*
- (c) *for all $x \geq 1$, $\int_0^1 s^x \omega(s) ds \approx \hat{\omega}(1 - 1/x)$.*

(ii) $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ *if and only if there is a constant $a > 0$ such that $\hat{\omega}(t)/(1 - t)^a$ is essentially decreasing.*

(iii) *If ω is continuous, then $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if there are $-1 < a < b < +\infty$ and $\delta \in [0, 1)$, such that*

$$\frac{\omega(t)}{(1 - t)^b} \nearrow \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\omega(t)}{(1 - t)^a} \searrow 0, \quad \text{when } \delta \leq t < 1. \tag{2}$$

Lemma 1 plays an important role in this research and can be found in many papers. Here, we refer to [7, Lem. B, Lem. C] and observation (v) in [10, Lem. 1.1].

For any radial weight ω , its associated weight ω^* is defined by

$$\omega^*(z) = \int_{|z|}^1 \omega(s) \log \frac{s}{|z|} s ds, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The following lemma gives some properties and applications of ω^* .

Lemma 2 *Let $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$. The following statements hold.*

- (i) $\omega^*(r) \approx (1 - r) \int_r^1 \omega(t) dt$ *when $r \in (1/2, 1)$.*
- (ii) *For any $\alpha > -2$, $(1 - t)^\alpha \omega^*(t) \in \mathcal{R}$.*

- (iii) $\omega(S_a) \approx (1 - |a|)^n \int_{|a|}^1 \omega(r) dr.$
- (iv) $\hat{\omega}(z) \approx \hat{\omega}(a),$ if $1/C < (1 - |z|)/(1 - |a|) < C$ for some fixed $C > 1.$

Proof (i) and (ii) are [10, Lem. 1.6, Lem. 1.7], respectively. (iii) was proved in [4]. (iv) can be proved directly by (i), (ii) and Lemma 1. For the benefit of readers, we give a proof here.

Suppose $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}.$ Then there exist $a, b > -1$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that (2) holds for $\omega^*.$ Then, for all $\delta < x \leq y < 1$ such that $1/C \leq (1 - x)/(1 - y) \leq C,$ we have

$$1 \approx \left(\frac{1 - x}{1 - y}\right)^a \leq \frac{\omega^*(x)}{\omega^*(y)} \leq \left(\frac{1 - x}{1 - y}\right)^b \approx 1.$$

If $x \leq \delta$ and $1/C \leq (1 - x)/(1 - y) \leq C, \hat{\omega}(x) \approx \hat{\omega}(y)$ is obvious. So,

$$\hat{\omega}(z) \approx \hat{\omega}(a), \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{C} < \frac{1 - |z|}{1 - |a|} < C.$$

The proof is complete. □

For a Banach space or a complete metric space X and a positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{B},$ we say that μ is a q -Carleson measure for X if the identity operator $I_d : X \rightarrow L^q_\mu$ is bounded. When $0 < p \leq q < \infty$ and $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}},$ a characterization of the q -Carleson measure for A^p_ω was given in [4].

Theorem A *Let $0 < p \leq q < \infty, \omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}},$ and μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{B}.$ Then μ is a q -Carleson measure for A^p_ω if and only if*

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{B}} \frac{\mu(S_a)}{(\omega(S_a))^{q/p}} < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, if μ is a q -Carleson measure for $A^p_\omega,$ then the identity operator $I_d : A^p_\omega \rightarrow L^q_\mu$ satisfies

$$\|I_d\|_{A^p_\omega \rightarrow L^q_\mu}^q \approx \sup_{a \in \mathbb{B}} \frac{\mu(S_a)}{(\omega(S_a))^{q/p}}.$$

3 Some Estimates About B_z^ω with $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$

In this section, we consider the reproducing kernel of A^2_ω and give some estimates for it. Let's recall some notations. For all $f \in H(\mathbb{B}),$ the Taylor series of f at origin, which converges absolutely and uniformly on each compact subset of $\mathbb{B},$ is

$$f(z) = \sum_m a_m z^m, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}.$$

Here the summation is over all multi-indexes $m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$, m_k is a non-negative integer and $z^m = z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \dots z_n^{m_n}$. Let $|m| = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n$, $m! = m_1! m_2! \dots m_n!$ and $f_k(z) = \sum_{|m|=k} a_m z^m$. Then the Taylor series of f can be written as $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty f_k(z)$, which is called the homogeneous expansion of f .

Lemma 3 *Let $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$. Then*

$$B_z^\omega(w) = \frac{1}{2n!} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(n-1+k)!}{k! \omega_{2n+2k-1}} \langle w, z \rangle^k$$

and

$$\|B_z^\omega\|_{\mathbb{B}} \approx \frac{1}{\omega(S_z)} \approx \|B_z^\omega\|_{H^\infty}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}.$$

Here and henceforth, $\omega_s = \int_0^1 r^s \omega(r) dr$.

Proof Suppose $f \in A_\omega^2$ and $f(z) = \sum_m a_m z^m$, $z \in \mathbb{B}$. For any fixed $z \in \mathbb{B}$, let

$$B_z^\omega(w) = \sum_m b_m(z) w^m.$$

By [20, Lem. 1.8, Lem. 1.11], we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} f(w) \overline{B_z^\omega(w)} \omega(w) dV(w) \\ &= 2n \sum_m \frac{(n-1)! m!}{(n-1+|m|)!} a_m \overline{b_m(z)} \int_0^1 r^{2n+2|m|-1} \omega(r) dr \\ &= 2n! \sum_m \frac{m!}{(n-1+|m|)!} a_m \overline{b_m(z)} \omega_{2n+2|m|-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$z^m = \frac{2n! m!}{(n-1+|m|)!} \omega_{2n+2|m|-1} \overline{b_m(z)}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} B_z^\omega(w) &= \frac{1}{2n!} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(n-1+k)!}{k! \omega_{2n+2k-1}} \sum_{|m|=k} \frac{|m|!}{m!} w^m \overline{z^m} \\ &= \frac{1}{2n!} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(n-1+k)!}{k! \omega_{2n+2k-1}} \langle w, z \rangle^k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\Re B_z^\omega(w) = \frac{1}{2n!} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(n-1+k)!}{(k-1)! \omega_{2n+2k-1}} (w, z)^k.$$

By Stirling’s estimate and Lemma 1, when $1/2 \leq |z| < 1$, we obtain

$$|B_z^\omega(w)| \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{k^{n-1} |z|^k}{\omega_{2n+2k-1}} \approx \sum_{k=n}^\infty \frac{(k+1)^{n-1} |z|^k}{\omega_{2k+1}}$$

and

$$|\Re B_z^\omega(z)| \approx \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{k^n |z|^{2k}}{\omega_{2n+2k-1}} \approx \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \frac{(k+1)^n |z|^{2k}}{\omega_{2k+1}}.$$

Let $\hat{\omega}_\alpha(t) = (1-t)^\alpha \hat{\omega}(t)$ for any fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Using [13, eq. (20)] and Lemma 2, we get

$$\sum_{k=n}^\infty \frac{(k+1)^{n-1} |z|^k}{\omega_{2k+1}} \approx \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}_{n+1}(t)} dt \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^n \hat{\omega}(z)} \approx \frac{1}{\omega(S_z)}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \frac{(k+1)^n |z|^{2k}}{\omega_{2k+1}} \approx \int_0^{|z|^2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{n+2} \hat{\omega}(t)} dt.$$

By Lemma 1, there exists a constant $b > 0$ such that $\hat{\omega}(t)/(1-t)^b$ is essentially increasing. So, by Lemma 2,

$$\int_0^{|z|^2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{n+2} \hat{\omega}(t)} dt \gtrsim \frac{(1-|z|^2)^b}{\hat{\omega}(|z|^2)} \int_0^{|z|^2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{n+2+b}} dt \gtrsim \frac{1}{(1-|z|)\omega(S_z)}.$$

Therefore, when $1/2 \leq |z| < 1$, we have

$$\|B_z^\omega\|_{H^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{\omega(S_z)}, \quad \frac{1}{\omega(S_z)} \lesssim \|B_z^\omega\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \tag{4}$$

When $|z| < 1/2$, since $\omega(S_z) \approx 1$, $\|B_z^\omega\|_{\mathcal{B}} \geq |B_z^\omega(0)| \gtrsim 1$, and

$$|B_z^\omega(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2n!} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(n-1+k)!}{k! \omega_{2n+2k-1}} \frac{1}{2^k} < \infty,$$

(4) also holds. By the fact that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^\infty}$, we obtain the desired result. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 4 *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $\omega, \nu \in \hat{\mathbb{D}}$. Then the following assertions hold.*

(i) *When $|rz| > 1/4$,*

$$M_p^p(r, B_z^\omega) \approx \int_0^{|rz|} \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{np-n+1}} dt$$

and

$$M_p^p(r, \Re B_z^\omega) \approx \int_0^{|rz|} \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{(n+1)p-n+1}} dt.$$

(ii) *When $|z| > 6/7$,*

$$\|B_z^\omega\|_{A_\nu^p}^p \approx \int_0^{|z|} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{np-n+1}} dt$$

and

$$\|\Re B_z^\omega\|_{A_\nu^p}^p \approx \int_0^{|z|} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{(n+1)p-n+1}} dt.$$

Proof When $n = 1$, the lemma was proved in [13], so we always assume $n \geq 2$. Since we will use some results on $A_\omega^p(\mathbb{D})$, for brief, the symbol A_ω^p only means $A_\omega^p(\mathbb{B})$ with $n \geq 2$. Meanwhile, let $B_z^{\omega,1}$ denote the reproducing kernel of $A_\omega^2(\mathbb{D})$. Recall that, on the unit disk, $dA_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z)$, where $dA(z)$ is the normalized area measure on \mathbb{D} .

By Lemma 3,

$$\Re B_z^\omega(w) = \frac{1}{2n!} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(n-1+k)!}{(k-1)! \omega_{2n+2k-1}} (w, z)^k.$$

Let $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. When $|rz| > 0$, by a rotation transformation and [20, Lem. 1.9], we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_p^p(r, \Re B_z^\omega) &= M_p^p(r, \Re B_{|z|e_1}^\omega) = \frac{1}{2n!} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(n-1+k)!}{(k-1)! \omega_{2n+2k-1}} (r\eta, |z|e_1)^k \right|^p d\sigma(\eta) \\ &\approx \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(n-1+k)!}{(k-1)! \omega_{2n+2k-1}} (r|z|\xi)^k \right|^p (1 - |\xi|^2)^{n-2} dA(\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{|rz|^{(n-1)p}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{|rz|^{n+k-1} (\xi^{n+k-1})^{(n)}}{\omega_{2(n+k-1)+1}} \right|^p |\xi|^p dA_{n-2}(\xi) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{|rz|^{(n-1)p}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{|rz|^k (\xi^k)^{(n)}}{\omega_{2k+1}} \right|^p dA_{n-2}(\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{|rz|^{(n-1)p}} \|(B_{r|z|}^{\omega,1})^{(n)}\|_{A_{n-2}^p(\mathbb{D})}^p. \end{aligned}$$

When $r|z| > 1/4$, by [13, Thm. 1],

$$M_p^p(r, \mathfrak{R}B_z^\omega) \approx \int_0^{r|z|} \frac{(1-t)^{n-1}}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{p(n+1)}} dt = \int_0^{r|z|} \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt.$$

Therefore, when $|z| > 6/7$, by Fubini’s theorem we obtain

$$\|\mathfrak{R}B_z^\omega\|_{A_v^p}^p \approx \int_{1/2}^1 r^{2n-1} \nu(r) M_p^p(r, \mathfrak{R}B_z^\omega) dr = \int_0^{|z|} \frac{\int_{\max\{t/|z|, 1/2\}}^1 r^{2n-1} \nu(r) dr}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt.$$

When $0 \leq t \leq |z|/2$,

$$\int_{\max\{t/|z|, 1/2\}}^1 r^{2n-1} \nu(r) dr = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 r^{2n-1} \nu(r) dr \approx 1 \approx \hat{\nu}(t). \tag{5}$$

When $|z|/2 \leq t \leq |z|$, we get

$$\int_{\max\{t/|z|, 1/2\}}^1 r^{2n-1} \nu(r) dr = \int_{\frac{t}{|z|}}^1 r^{2n-1} \nu(r) dr \leq \hat{\nu}(t). \tag{6}$$

By Lemma 1 and the fact that $\nu \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, there exists a constant $b > 0$ such that $\frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{(1-t)^b}$ is essentially increasing. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|z|/2}^{|z|} \frac{\int_{\max\{t/|z|, 1/2\}}^1 r^{2n-1} \nu(r) dr}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt &\gtrsim \int_{|z|/2}^{2|z|-1} \frac{\hat{\nu}(\frac{t}{|z|})}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt \\ &\gtrsim \int_{|z|/2}^{2|z|-1} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} \left(\frac{1-t/|z|}{1-t}\right)^b dt \\ &\gtrsim \int_{|z|/2}^{2|z|-1} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where the last estimate follows from

$$\frac{1}{|z|} \frac{|z|-t}{1-t} \geq \frac{1}{|z|} \frac{|z|-(2|z|-1)}{1-(2|z|-1)} \gtrsim 1 \quad \text{for all } t \in \left(\frac{|z|}{2}, 2|z|-1\right) \text{ and } |z| > \frac{6}{7}.$$

Meanwhile, $\omega, \nu \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ and Lemma 2 imply

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|z|/2}^{2|z|-1} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt &\geq \int_{4|z|-3}^{2|z|-1} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt \\ &\approx \frac{\hat{\nu}(2|z|-1)}{\hat{\omega}(2|z|-1)^p(1-|z|)^{p(n+1)-n}} \\ &\approx \int_{2|z|-1}^{|z|} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Then (7) and (8) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|z|/2}^{|z|} \frac{\int_{\max\{t/|z|, 1/2\}}^1 r^{2n-1} \nu(r) dr}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p (1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt &\gtrsim 2 \int_{|z|/2}^{2|z|-1} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p (1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt \\ &\gtrsim \left(\int_{|z|/2}^{2|z|-1} + \int_{2|z|-1}^{|z|} \right) \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p (1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt \\ &= \int_{|z|/2}^{|z|} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p (1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

So, if $|z| > 6/7$, by (5) and (6),

$$\int_0^{|z|} \frac{\int_{\max\{t/|z|, 1/2\}}^1 r^{2n-1} \nu(r) dr}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p (1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt \lesssim \int_0^{|z|} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p (1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt.$$

By (5) and (9), we get

$$\int_0^{|z|} \frac{\int_{\max\{t/|z|, 1/2\}}^1 r^{2n-1} \nu(r) dr}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p (1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt \gtrsim \int_0^{|z|} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p (1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\Re B_z^\omega\|_{A_b^p}^p \approx \int_0^{|z|} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p (1-t)^{p(n+1)-n+1}} dt.$$

The rest of the lemma can be proved in the same way. The proof is complete. □

4 Main Results and Proofs

In this section, we give the main results and proofs of this paper. We note that

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}, \omega dV)} = \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}, dV)},$$

when $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$. So, let $L^\infty = L^\infty(\mathbb{B}, \omega dV) = L^\infty(\mathbb{B}, dV)$ in this section.

Theorem 1 *When $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$, $P_\omega : L^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is bounded and onto.*

Proof For all $f \in L^\infty$, by Lemma 4,

$$|\Re(P_\omega f)(z)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(w)| |\Re B_z^\omega(w)| \omega(w) dV(w) \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|\Re B_z^\omega\|_{A_b^1} \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}{1-|z|}.$$

So, $P_\omega : L^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is bounded.

By [4, eq. (14)], we see that

$$\|f\|_{A_\omega^2}^2 = \omega(\mathbb{B})|f(0)|^2 + 4 \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{|\Re f(z)|^2}{|z|^{2n}} \omega^{n^*}(z) dV(z),$$

where

$$\omega^{n^*}(z) := \int_{|z|}^1 r^{2n-1} \log \frac{r}{|z|} \omega(r) dr.$$

So, for $f, g \in A_{\omega}^2$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{A_{\omega}^2} = \omega(\mathbb{B})f(0)\overline{g(0)} + 4 \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{\Re f(z)\overline{\Re g(z)}}{|z|^{2n}} \omega^{n^*}(z) dV(z). \tag{10}$$

Let

$$W_1(t) := \frac{\hat{\omega}(t)}{1-t}, \quad \text{and } W_1(z) := W_1(|z|).$$

Since $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$, by Lemma 1, there are constants $a, b > 0$ such that $\hat{\omega}(t)/(1-t)^a$ is essentially decreasing and $\hat{\omega}(t)/(1-t)^b$ is essentially increasing. Thus,

$$\int_r^1 \frac{\hat{\omega}(t)}{1-t} dt \lesssim \frac{\hat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^a} \int_r^1 (1-t)^{a-1} dt \approx \hat{\omega}(r)$$

and

$$\int_r^1 \frac{\hat{\omega}(t)}{1-t} dt \gtrsim \frac{\hat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^b} \int_r^1 (1-t)^{b-1} dt \approx \hat{\omega}(r).$$

Then,

$$\hat{W}_1(r) = \int_r^1 \frac{\hat{\omega}(t)}{1-t} dt \approx \hat{\omega}(r) = (1-r)W_1(r).$$

Therefore, $W_1 \in \mathcal{R}$. By Lemma 2 and Theorem A, $\|\cdot\|_{A_{\omega}^p} \approx \|\cdot\|_{A_{W_1}^p}$. Then for all $p > 0$, by [6, Thm. 1], we get

$$\|f\|_{A_{\omega}^p}^p \approx \|f\|_{A_{W_1}^p}^p \approx |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\Re f(z)|^p (1-|z|)^p W_1(z) dV(z). \tag{11}$$

For any $f \in H(\mathbb{B})$ and $|z| \leq 1/2$, let $f_r(z) = f(rz)$ for $r \in (0, 1)$. By Cauchy’s fomula, see [20, Thm. 4.1] for example, we have

$$f(z) = f_{3/4} \left(\frac{4z}{3} \right) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} \frac{f_{3/4}(\eta)}{(1 - \langle \frac{4z}{3}, \eta \rangle)^n} d\sigma(\eta).$$

After a calculation, when $|z| \leq 1/2$,

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \|f\|_{A_{\omega}^1}, \quad |\Re f(z)| \lesssim |z| \|f_{3/4}\|_{H^{\infty}}, \quad \text{and } |\Im f(z)| \lesssim |z| \|f\|_{A_{\omega}^1}.$$

We note that, when $|z| \geq 1/2$,

$$\omega^{n*}(z) = \int_{|z|}^1 t^{2n-1} \log \frac{t}{|z|} \omega(t) dt \approx \int_{|z|}^1 t \log \frac{t}{|z|} \omega(t) dt = \omega^*(z).$$

So, when $g \in \mathcal{B}$ and $f \in A_\omega^1$, by (10), (11) and Lemma 2, there exists a $C = C(n, \omega, g)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f_r, g \rangle_{A_\omega^2}| &\leq C \left(\|f_r\|_{A_\omega^1} + \|f_r\|_{A_\omega^1} \int_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}} \frac{\omega^{n*}(z)}{|z|^{2n-2}} dV(z) + \int_{\mathbb{B} \setminus \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}} \frac{|\Re f_r(z) \Re g(z)|}{|z|^{2n}} \omega^{n*}(z) dV(z) \right) \\ &\approx \|f_r\|_{A_\omega^1} + \int_{\mathbb{B} \setminus \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}} |\Re f_r(z) \Re g(z)| (1 - |z|) \hat{\omega}(z) dV(z) \\ &\leq \|f_r\|_{A_\omega^1} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\Re f_r(z)| \hat{\omega}(z) dV(z) \\ &\approx \|f_r\|_{A_\omega^1} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\Re f_r(z)| (1 - |z|) W_1(z) dV(z) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{A_\omega^1} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}} \|f\|_{A_\omega^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $g \in \mathcal{B}$ induces a bounded linear functional on A_ω^1 defined by $F_g(f) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \langle f_r, g \rangle_{A_\omega^2}$ for all $f \in A_\omega^1$.

On the other hand, the Hahn–Banach theorem and the well known fact (see [19, Thm. 1.1] for example) that

$$(L^1(\mathbb{B}, \omega dV))^* \simeq L^\infty(\mathbb{B}, \omega dV)$$

guarantee the existence of $\varphi \in L^\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \langle f_r, g \rangle_{A_\omega^2} = F_g(f) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} f(z) \overline{\varphi(z)} \omega(z) dV(z) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}} f_r(z) \overline{\varphi(z)} \omega(z) dV(z)$$

for all $f \in A_\omega^1$. Since P_ω is self-adjoint and $P_\omega(f_r) = f_r$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} f_r(z) \overline{\varphi(z)} \omega(z) dV(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} P_\omega(f_r)(z) \overline{\varphi(z)} \omega(z) dV(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} f_r(z) \overline{P_\omega(\varphi)(z)} \omega(z) dV(z).$$

By the first part of the proof, $P_\omega \varphi \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus, $g - P_\omega \varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ and represents the zero functional. So, $g = P_\omega \varphi$. The proof is complete. □

Remark 1 By the above proof, we see that $P_\omega : L^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is bounded when $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$.

Theorem 2 Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $q = p/(p - 1)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $P_\omega^+ : L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\nu^p$ is bounded;
- (ii) $P_\omega : L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\nu^p$ is bounded;
- (iii) $M := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \frac{\hat{\nu}(r)^{1/p}}{\hat{\omega}(r)} \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{\nu(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{1/q} < \infty$;

$$(iv) \ N := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left(\int_0^r \frac{\nu(s)}{\hat{\omega}(s)^p} s^{2n-1} ds + 1 \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{\nu(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Proof When $n = 1$, the theorem was first proved in [13] and improved in [7, 14]. So, we always assume that $n \geq 2$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). It is obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose that (ii) holds. Let P_ω^* be the adjoint of P_ω with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2_\nu}$. For all $f, g \in L^\infty$, by Fubini's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, P_\omega^* g \rangle_{L^2_\nu} &= \langle P_\omega f, g \rangle_{L^2_\nu} = \int_{\mathbb{B}} P_\omega f(z) \overline{g(z)} \nu(z) dV(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} f(\xi) \overline{B_z^\omega(\xi)} \omega(\xi) dV(\xi) \right) \overline{g(z)} \nu(z) dV(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \overline{g(z) B_z^\omega(\xi)} \nu(z) dV(z) \right) f(\xi) \omega(\xi) dV(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left(\frac{\omega(\xi)}{\nu(\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{B}} g(z) B_z^\omega(\xi) \nu(z) dV(z) \right) f(\xi) \nu(\xi) dV(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Since L^∞ is dense in L^p_ν and L^q_ν , by the last equality we get

$$P_\omega^*(g)(\xi) = \frac{\omega(\xi)}{\nu(\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{B}} g(z) B_z^\omega(\xi) \nu(z) dV(z), \quad g \in L^q_\nu. \tag{12}$$

By the assumption, P_ω^* is bounded on L^q_ν . Let $g_j(z) = z^j$, where $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. By [20, Lem. 1.11] and Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\omega^*(g_j)(\xi) &= \frac{\omega(\xi)}{\nu(\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{B}} g_j(z) B_z^\omega(\xi) \nu(z) dV(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n!} \frac{\omega(\xi)}{\nu(\xi)} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(n-1+k)!}{k! \omega_{2n+2k-1}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} g_j(z) \langle \xi, z \rangle^k \nu(z) dV(z) \\ &= \frac{2n}{2n!} \frac{\omega(\xi)}{\nu(\xi)} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(n-1+k)!}{k! \omega_{2n+2k-1}} \int_0^1 r^{2n+k+j-1} \nu(r) dr \int_{\mathbb{S}} \eta_1^j \langle \xi, \eta \rangle^k d\sigma(\eta) \\ &= \xi_1^j \frac{\omega(\xi)}{\nu(\xi)} \frac{\nu_{2n+2j-1}}{\omega_{2n+2j-1}} \frac{(n-1+j)!}{j!(n-1)!} \frac{(n-1)!j!}{(n-1+j)!} \\ &= \xi_1^j \frac{\omega(\xi)}{\nu(\xi)} \frac{\nu_{2n+2j-1}}{\omega_{2n+2j-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 1 and 2, we obtain

$$\|g_j\|_{L^q_\nu}^q = \int_{\mathbb{B}} |z_1|^{qj} \nu(z) dV(z) = 2n \int_0^1 r^{2n+qj-1} \nu(r) dr \int_{\mathbb{S}} |\eta_1|^{qj} d\sigma(\eta)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2n\nu_{2n+qj-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}} |\eta_1|^{qj} d\sigma(\eta) \\
 &\approx \nu_{2n+2j-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}} |\eta_1|^{qj} d\sigma(\eta),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|P_{\omega}^*(g_j)\|_{L_v^q}^q &= \left(\frac{\nu_{2n+2j-1}}{\omega_{2n+2j-1}}\right)^q \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\xi_1|^{jq} \frac{\omega^q(\xi)}{\nu^{q-1}(\xi)} dV(\xi) \\
 &\approx \left(\frac{\nu_{2n+2j-1}}{\omega_{2n+2j-1}}\right)^q \int_0^1 r^{2n+qj-1} \frac{\omega^q(r)}{\nu^{q-1}(r)} dr \int_{\mathbb{S}} |\eta_1|^{jq} d\sigma(\eta) \\
 &\gtrsim \|g_j\|_{L_v^q}^q \frac{\nu_{2n+2j-1}^{q-1}}{\omega_{2n+2j-1}^q} \int_{1-\frac{1}{2j+1}}^1 \frac{\omega^q(r)}{\nu^{q-1}(r)} r^{2n-1} dr \\
 &\approx \|g_j\|_{L_v^q}^q \frac{\nu_{2j+1}^{q-1}}{\omega_{2j+1}^q} \int_{1-\frac{1}{2j+1}}^1 \frac{\omega^q(r)}{\nu^{q-1}(r)} r^{2n-1} dr.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $r_j = 1 - 1/(2j + 1)$. We get

$$\|P_{\omega}^*(g_j)\|_{L_v^q}^q \gtrsim \|g_j\|_{L_v^q}^q \frac{\hat{\nu}(r_j)^{q-1}}{\hat{\omega}(r_j)^q} \int_{r_j}^1 \frac{\omega^q(r)}{\nu^{q-1}(r)} r^{2n-1} dr.$$

Let

$$H(t) = \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)^{q-1}}{\hat{\omega}(t)^q} \int_t^1 \frac{\omega^q(r)}{\nu^{q-1}(r)} r^{2n-1} dr.$$

When $r_j \leq t < r_{j+1}$, $H(t) \lesssim H(r_j)$. Thus, by the assumption, we get $\sup_{t \geq 0} H(t) < \infty$, as desired.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that (iii) holds. For $z \in \mathbb{B}$, let

$$h(z) = \nu(z)^{1/p} \left(\int_{|z|}^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{\nu(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{1/(pq)}.$$

By the assumption we have

$$\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{h(s)}\right)^q s^{2n-1} ds = q \left(\int_t^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{\nu(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{1/q} \lesssim M \frac{\hat{\omega}(t)}{\hat{\nu}(t)^{1/p}}. \tag{13}$$

If $r|z| \leq 1/4$, by Lemma 3,

$$M_1(r, B_z^\omega) \leq \|B_{rz}^\omega\|_{H^\infty} \approx \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(S_{rz})} \approx 1.$$

If $r|z| > 1/4$, by Lemma 1, there exists a constant $a > 0$ such that $\hat{\omega}(t)/(1-t)^a$ is essentially decreasing. Then by Lemma 4,

$$M_1(r, B_z^\omega) \lesssim \int_0^{r|z|} \frac{dt}{\hat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \lesssim \frac{(1-r|z|)^a}{\hat{\omega}(r|z|)} \int_0^{r|z|} \frac{dt}{(1-t)^{a+1}} \approx \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(r|z|)}.$$

So, for all $r \in (0, 1)$ and $z \in \mathbb{B}$,

$$M_1(r, B_z^\omega) \lesssim 1 + \int_0^{r|z|} \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} dt \lesssim \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(r|z|)}. \tag{14}$$

Hence, by (13), (14), Fubini’s theorem and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |B_z^\omega(\xi)| \left(\frac{\omega(\xi)}{h(\xi)} \right)^q dV(\xi) &= 2n \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\omega(r)}{h(r)} \right)^q r^{2n-1} M_1(r, B_z^\omega) dr \\ &\lesssim \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\omega(r)}{h(r)} \right)^q r^{2n-1} \left(1 + \int_0^{r|z|} \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} dt \right) dr \\ &\lesssim M \frac{\hat{\omega}(0)}{\hat{\nu}(0)^{1/p}} + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \int_{\frac{t}{|z|}}^1 \left(\frac{\omega(r)}{h(r)} \right)^q r^{2n-1} dr dt \\ &\leq M \frac{\hat{\omega}(0)}{\hat{\nu}(0)^{1/p}} + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(r)}{h(r)} \right)^q r^{2n-1} dr dt \\ &\lesssim M + M \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{\hat{\nu}(t)^{1/p}(1-t)} dt \lesssim \frac{M}{\hat{\nu}(|z|)^{1/p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Hölder’s inequality and Fubini’s theorem imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\omega^+(f)\|_{L^p_\nu}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \nu(z) \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} f(\xi) |B_z^\omega(\xi)| \omega(\xi) dV(\xi) \right|^p dV(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(\xi)|^p h(\xi)^p |B_z^\omega(\xi)| dV(\xi) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} |B_z^\omega(\xi)| \left(\frac{\omega(\xi)}{h(\xi)} \right)^q dV(\xi) \right)^{p/q} \nu(z) dV(z) \\ &\lesssim M^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(\xi)|^p h(\xi)^p |B_z^\omega(\xi)| dV(\xi) \right) \frac{\nu(z)}{\hat{\nu}(z)^{1/q}} dV(z) \\ &= M^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(\xi)|^p h(\xi)^p \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} |B_z^\omega(\xi)| \frac{\nu(z)}{\hat{\nu}(z)^{1/q}} dV(z) \right) dV(\xi). \tag{15} \end{aligned}$$

Since $|B_z^\omega(\xi)| = |B_\xi^\omega(z)|$, by (14) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{B} \setminus |\xi| \mathbb{B}} |B_z^\omega(\xi)| \frac{\nu(z)}{\hat{\nu}(z)^{1/q}} dV(z) \lesssim \int_{|\xi|}^1 \frac{\nu(r)}{\hat{\nu}(r)^{1/q}} M_1(r, B_\xi^\omega) dr \lesssim \frac{\hat{\nu}(\xi)^{1/p}}{\hat{\omega}(\xi)}, \tag{16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi| \in \mathbb{B}} |B_z^\omega(\xi)| \frac{v(z)}{\hat{v}(z)^{1/q}} dV(z) &\lesssim \int_0^{|\xi|} \frac{v(r)}{\hat{v}(r)^{1/q}} \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(r|\xi|)} r^{2n-1} dr \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{|\xi|} \frac{v(r)}{\hat{v}(r)^{1/q} \hat{\omega}(r)} r^{2n-1} dr. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By the assumption, we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds < \infty, \quad \int_0^{1/2} \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt > 0, \quad \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt > 0. \tag{18}$$

When $r \leq 1/2$,

$$\hat{\omega}(r) \approx 1 \approx \hat{v}(r)^{1/p} \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(t)^q}{v(t)^{q-1}} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/q}.$$

When $r > 1/2$, by Hölder’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\omega}(r) &= \int_r^1 \omega(t) dt \leq \hat{v}(r)^{1/p} \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(t)^q}{v(t)^{q-1}} dt \right)^{1/q} \\ &\approx \hat{v}(r)^{1/p} \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(t)^q}{v(t)^{q-1}} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for all $r \in (0, 1)$,

$$\frac{\hat{\omega}(r)^p}{\hat{v}(r)} \int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \lesssim \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(t)^q}{v(t)^{q-1}} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{p/q} \int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt. \tag{19}$$

Now, we claim that

$$K_* := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(t)^q}{v(t)^{q-1}} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \tag{20}$$

Take this for granted for a moment. Using (19) and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{|\xi|} \frac{v(r)}{\hat{v}(r)^{1/q} \hat{\omega}(r)} r^{2n-1} dr &\leq \int_0^{|\xi|} \frac{v(r)}{\hat{\omega}(r)} \left(\frac{K_*^p}{\hat{\omega}(r)^p \int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt} \right)^{1/q} r^{2n-1} dr \\ &= K_*^{p-1} \int_0^{|\xi|} \frac{v(r)}{\hat{\omega}(r)^p} \left(\int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{-1/q} r^{2n-1} dr \\ &\approx K_*^{p-1} \left(\int_0^{|\xi|} \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

By (16), (17), (20) and (21),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h(\xi)^p \int_{\mathbb{B} \setminus |\xi| \mathbb{B}} |B_z^\omega(\xi)| \frac{v(z)}{\hat{v}(z)^{1/q}} dV(z) \\
 & \lesssim v(\xi) \left(\int_{|\xi|}^1 \frac{\omega(t)^q}{v(t)^{q-1}} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/q} \frac{\hat{v}(\xi)^{1/p}}{\hat{\omega}(\xi)} \leq M v(\xi), \tag{22}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h(\xi)^p \int_{|\xi| \mathbb{B}} |B_z^\omega(\xi)| \frac{v(z)}{\hat{v}(z)^{1/q}} dV(z) \\
 & \lesssim K_*^{p-1} v(\xi) \left(\int_{|\xi|}^1 \frac{\omega(t)^q}{v(t)^{q-1}} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^{|\xi|} \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \leq K_*^p v(\xi). \tag{23}
 \end{aligned}$$

So, by (15), (22) and (23),

$$\|P_\omega^+(f)\|_{L_v^p}^p \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(\xi)|^p v(\xi) dV(\xi) = \|f\|_{L_v^p}^p.$$

Now, we prove that (20) holds. Assume $r > 1/2$. An integration by parts and Hölder’s inequality give

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt & \leq \int_0^{1/2} \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} dt + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} dt \\
 & \lesssim 1 + \int_{1/2}^r \frac{\hat{v}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} \frac{\omega(t)}{v(t)^{1/p}} \frac{v(t)^{1/p}}{\hat{\omega}(t)} t^{2n-1} dt \\
 & \leq 1 + \left(\int_0^r \left(\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} \frac{\omega(t)}{v(t)^{1/p}} \right)^q t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/p} \\
 & = 1 + J_1^{1/q} \left(\int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/p},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_1 = \int_0^r \left(\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} \frac{\omega(t)}{v(t)^{1/p}} \right)^q t^{2n-1} dt.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 & = \int_0^r \left(\frac{\hat{v}(t)^{1/p}}{\hat{\omega}(t)} \left(\int_t^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{1/q} \right)^{pq} \frac{\frac{\omega(t)^q}{v(t)^{q-1}}}{\left(\int_t^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \\
 & \lesssim \frac{M^{pq}}{\left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{p-1}},
 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \lesssim 1 + M^p \left(\int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{-p/q^2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/p} \\ & \lesssim 1 + M \left(\int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/p^2} \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{-1/q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the expression by $\left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{1/q}$, we have

$$J_2(r) \lesssim \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{1/q} + M J_2(r)^{1/p},$$

where

$$J_2(r) = \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

Using (18), we get

$$J_2(r)^{1/q} \lesssim \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{1/q^2} \left(\int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{-1/p^2} + M < \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{r>1/2} \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^r \frac{v(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p} t^{2n-1} dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

When $r \leq 1/2$, (20) holds obviously.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Using (18) and (20), we get the desired result.

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii). Assume that (iv) holds, that is,

$$N := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left(\int_0^r \frac{v(s)}{\hat{\omega}(s)^p} s^{2n-1} ds + 1 \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Since $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$, by Lemma 1, there exists $b > 0$ such that $\hat{\omega}(r)^p / (1-r)^b$ is essentially increasing. Then

$$\int_0^r \frac{v(s)}{\hat{\omega}(s)^p} s^{2n-1} ds \gtrsim \frac{(1-r)^b}{\hat{\omega}(r)^p} \int_0^r \frac{v(s)}{(1-s)^b} s^{2n-1} ds.$$

Since $v \in \mathcal{D}$, there exist $C > 1$ and $K > 1$ such that

$$\hat{v}(r) \geq C \hat{v} \left(1 - \frac{1-r}{K} \right).$$

Let $r_k = 1 - K^{-k}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. For any $r_2 \leq r < 1$, there is an integer $x = x(r)$ such that $r_x \leq r < r_{x+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1-r)^b \int_0^r \frac{v(s)}{(1-s)^b} s^{2n-1} ds &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{x-1} \int_{r_k}^{r_{k+1}} \left(\frac{1-r}{1-s} \right)^b v(s) s^{2n-1} ds \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{x-1} r_k^{2n-1} \left(\frac{1-r_{x+1}}{1-r_k} \right)^b (\hat{v}(r_k) - \hat{v}(r_{k+1})) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{x-1} r_k^{2n-1} \frac{C-1}{CK^{(x+1-k)b}} \hat{v}(r_k) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{x-1} r_k^{2n-1} \frac{(C-1)C^{x-1-k}}{K^{(x+1-k)b}} \hat{v}(r_x) \\ &\geq \hat{v}(r) \frac{C-1}{C^2} \sum_{s=2}^{x+1} r_{x+1-s}^{2n-1} \left(\frac{C}{K^b} \right)^s \\ &\geq r_{x-1}^{2n-1} \hat{v}(r) \frac{C-1}{K^{2b}} \geq r_1^{2n-1} \hat{v}(r) \frac{C-1}{K^{2b}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, when $r \geq r_2$,

$$\int_0^r \frac{v(s)}{\hat{\omega}(s)^p} s^{2n-1} ds \gtrsim \frac{\hat{v}(r)}{\hat{\omega}(r)^p}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{r_2 \leq r < 1} \frac{\hat{v}(r)^{1/p}}{\hat{\omega}(r)} \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\omega(s)^q}{v(s)^{q-1}} s^{2n-1} ds \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

When $r < r_2$, (iii) holds obviously. The proof is complete. □

Acknowledgements The authors thank the referees for useful remarks and comments that led to the improvement of this paper.

References

1. Bekollé, D.: Inégalités á poids pour le projecteur de Bergman dans la boule unité de \mathbb{C}^n . *Stud. Math.* **71** (1981/82), 305–323
2. Bekollé, D., Bonami, A.: Inégalités á poids pour le noyau de Bergman. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **286**, 775–778 (1978)
3. Choe, B.: Projections, the weighted Bergman spaces and the Bloch space. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **108**, 127–136 (1990)
4. Du, J., Li, S., Liu, X., Shi, Y.: Weighted Bergman spaces induced by doubling weights in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n . *Anal. Math. Phys.* **10**(2020), Paper No. 64
5. Forelli, F., Rudin, W.: Projections on spaces of holomorphic function in balls. *Indiana U. Math. J.* **24**, 593–602 (1974)
6. Hu, Z.: Extended Cesàro operators on Bergman spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **296**, 435–454 (2004)
7. Korhonen, T., Peláez, J., Rättyä, J.: Radial two weight inequality for maximal Bergman projection induced by regular weight. *Potential Anal.* **54**, 561–574 (2021)
8. Liu, C.: Sharp Forelli–Rudin estimates and the norm of the Bergman projection. *J. Funct. Anal.* **268**, 255–277 (2015)
9. Peláez, J.: Small weighted Bergman spaces. In: *Proceedings of the Summer School in Complex and Harmonic Analysis, and Related Topics* (2016)
10. Peláez, J., Rättyä, J.: Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **227**, 1066 (2014)
11. Peláez, J., Rättyä, J.: Embedding theorems for Bergman spaces via harmonic analysis. *Math. Ann.* **362**, 205–239 (2015)
12. Peláez, J., Rättyä, J.: Trace class criteria for Toeplitz and composition operators on small Bergman space. *Adv. Math.* **293**, 606–643 (2016)
13. Peláez, J., Rättyä, J.: Two weight inequality for Bergman projection. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **105**, 102–130 (2016)
14. Peláez, J., Rättyä, J.: Bergman projection induced by radial weight. *Adv. Math.* **391**(2021), Paper No. 107950
15. Peláez, J., Rättyä, J., Sierra, K.: Embedding Bergman spaces into tent spaces. *Math. Z.* **281**, 215–237 (2015)
16. Peláez, J., Rättyä, J., Sierra, K.: Berezin transform and Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces induced by regular weights. *J. Geom. Anal.* **28**, 656–687 (2018)
17. Peláez, J., Rättyä, J., Wick, B.: Bergman projection induced by kernel with integral representation. *J. Anal. Math.* **138**, 325–360 (2019)
18. Rudin, W.: *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n* . Springer, New York (1980)
19. Zhu, K.: *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, 2nd edn. *Math. Surveys and Monographs* (2007)
20. Zhu, K.: *Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball*, GTM226. Springer, New York (2005)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Authors and Affiliations

Juntao Du¹ · Songxiao Li² · Xiaosong Liu³ · Yecheng Shi⁴

Juntao Du
jtdu007@163.com

Xiaosong Liu
gdxsliu@163.com

Yecheng Shi
09ycshi@sina.cn

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Shantou University, Guangdong 515063, Shantou, People's Republic of China
- ² Institute of Fundamental and Frontier Sciences, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, Sichuan, People's Republic of China
- ³ Department of Mathematics, Jiaying University, Guangdong 514015, Meizhou, People's Republic of China
- ⁴ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lingnan Normal University, Guangdong 524048, Zhanjiang, People's Republic of China