Galerkin finite element schemes with fractional Crank–Nicolson method for the coupled time-fractional nonlinear diffusion system

Dileep Kumar[1] · Sudhakar Chaudhary[2,3] · V. V. K. Srinivas Kumar[1]

Abstract

This paper deals with two fractional Crank–Nicolson–Galerkin finite element schemes for coupled time-fractional nonlinear diffusion system. The first scheme is iterative and is based on Newton's method, while the other one is a linearized scheme. Existence-uniqueness results of the fully discrete solution for both schemes are discussed. In addition, *a priori* bounds and *a priori* error estimates are derived for proposed schemes using a new discrete fractional Grönwall-type inequality. Both the schemes yield $O(\Delta t^2)$ accuracy in time and hence, superior to $O(\Delta t^{2-\alpha})$ accurate L1 scheme existing in the literature. Moreover, three different numerical examples are provided to illustrate the theoretical estimates .

1 Introduction

Time-fractional parabolic partial differential equations (TFPDEs) model many real-world problems like anomalous diffusion in the transport process, entropy, hydrology, and single-molecular protein dynamics (Dumitru et al. 2012; Hajipour et al. 2019; Jin et al. 2018; Kou 2008). In this paper, we consider the following coupled time-fractional nonlinear diffusion system with unknowns u and v.

✉ Dileep Kumar
dilipkmr832@gmail.com

1 Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, India

2 Department of Mathematics, Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

3 Present Address: Institute of Infrastructure Technology Research and Management (IITRAM), Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

Find $(u, v) = (u(x, t), v(x, t))$, $x \in \Omega$ and $t > 0$, such that

$$^{C}D_t^{\alpha} u - \Delta u = F_1(u, v) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0, T], \tag{1a}$$

$$^{C}D_t^{\alpha} v - \Delta v = F_2(u, v) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0, T], \tag{1b}$$

$$u(x, t) = v(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \times (0, T], \tag{1c}$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0, \quad v(x, 0) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{1d}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ ($d = 1, 2, 3$) with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, T is a positive constant, and $F_1(u, v)$, $F_2(u, v)$ are forcing terms. The following hypotheses are made throughout the paper on forcing terms F_1, F_2.

$H1 : F_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous with $|F_i(u_1, v_1) - F_i(u_2, v_2)| \leq L_i\big(|u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2|\big)$ for $u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $L_i > 0, i = 1, 2$.

$H2 : F_i \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Here, $^{C}D_t^{\alpha}\varphi$ denotes the αth order ($0 < \alpha < 1$) Caputo fractional derivative of the function $\varphi(t)$ and it is defined as: (Kilbas et al. 2006):

$$^{C}D_t^{\alpha}\varphi(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{-\alpha} \frac{\partial \varphi(s)}{\partial s} \mathrm{d}s,$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the Gamma function.

The following relation can be utilized to express Caputo fractional derivatives in terms of Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives: (Kilbas et al. 2006)

$$^{C}D_t^{\alpha}\varphi(t) = {}^{R}D_t^{\alpha}\big(\varphi(t) - \varphi(0)\big), \tag{2}$$

where the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative $^{R}D_t^{\alpha}\varphi$ is defined as:

$$^{R}D_t^{\alpha}\varphi(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_0^t (t - s)^{-\alpha} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d}s.$$

Note that when $\varphi(0) = 0$, Caputo and Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives coincide. Therefore, based on the relation (2), we can also express (1a)–(1d) in terms of Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative.

The above coupled time-fractional nonlinear diffusion system (1a)–(1d) can be considered as an extension of the scalar time-fractional nonlinear diffusion equation studied by Jin et al. (2018), Li et al. (2018), Liao et al. (2019), and Liao et al. (2018). Consequently, this problem can be employed to describe several natural phenomena in engineering, biology, and physics (Kilbas et al. 2006; Li et al. 2015; Podlubny 1999; West 2007).

There are various papers in the literature which focus on problems involving more than one unknown function. Sun et al. (2017) and Li et al. (2018) solved the classical coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system and space-fractional coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system, respectively, with different numerical techniques. Recently, the nonlocal coupled parabolic problem was studied by applying classical Crank–Nicolson method in temporal direction and finite element method (FEM) in the spatial direction (Chaudhary 2018).

The fractional Crank–Nicolson method was first time developed by Dimitrov (2014) for solving time-fractional diffusion equation. This method is popular for recovering $O(\Delta t^2)$ accuracy in time. Moreover, Gao et al. (2015) applied this method to address other time-fractional diffusion equations. Furthermore, Wang et al. (2016) solved nonlinear fractional cable equation using fractional Crank–Nicolson–Galerkin FEM. Later on, Jin et al. (2017) analyzed this method and obtained $O(\Delta t^2)$ accuracy in time for subdiffusion equation in case of both smooth and nonsmooth problem data.

Li et al. (2018) solved nonlinear TFPDEs by L1-Galerkin FEM. Subsequently, Jin et al. (2018) considered the same nonlinear problem and proved the existence-uniqueness and regularity of solution at continuous as well as discrete levels. Moreover, they utilized the L1 method and backward Euler convolution quadrature with FEM to solve the problem. In these two works, error analysis is done in terms of newly developed fractional Grönwall-type inequality. It is well known that, for a sufficiently smooth solution, the L1 scheme is $O(\Delta t^{2-\alpha})$ accurate (Lin and Xu 2007) and backward Euler convolution quadrature [Grünwald–Letnikov (GL) approximation] is $O(\Delta t)$ accurate (Podlubny 1999). To recover $O(\Delta t^2)$ accuracy, Kumar et al. (2018) have solved nonlinear TFPDEs using fractional Crank–Nicolson–Galerkin FEM. Furthermore, for error analysis, they also established a new discrete fractional Grönwall-type inequality for backward Euler convolution quadrature.

Motivated by the above-mentioned literature, we solve coupled time-fractional nonlinear diffusion system by two different fully discrete schemes. The first scheme is based on Newton's method (iterative) and the second scheme is a linearized scheme (noniterative). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to consider Galerkin finite element schemes with fractional Crank–Nicolson method for solving the coupled time-fractional diffusion system. We study the well-posedness results for iterative and noniterative schemes in detail. To obtain *a priori* bound and *a priori* error estimate for the iterative scheme, we use discrete fractional Grönwall inequality developed by Kumar et al. (2018). Furthermore, we generalize the discrete fractional Grönwall inequality (Kumar et al. 2018) to derive these estimates for the linearized scheme. The generalized Grönwall inequality in this paper can be utilized in the analysis of other linearized schemes based on backward Euler convolution quadrature.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the fractional Crank–Nicolson–Galerkin finite element scheme based on Newton's method and discuss its well-posedness results and an error estimate. In Sect. 3, we propose another linearized Crank–Nicolson–Galerkin finite element scheme for solving the problem (1a)–(1d). Existence-uniqueness results are studied, and *a priori* bound, convergence estimate are derived in terms of new discrete fractional Grönwall inequality. Section 4 demonstrates the performance of the given schemes through three numerical examples. Section 5 concludes the paper.

Throughout the paper, $C > 0$ denotes a generic constant which can take different values at different occurrences, but is independent of step size h and Δt.

2 Fractional Crank–Nicolson–Galerkin finite element scheme based on Newton's method

Let $\mathcal{T}_h$ be a quasi-uniform shape regular triangulation of domain Ω. In addition, let X_h be the finite-dimensional subspace of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ consisting of continuous functions on closure $\bar{\Omega}$ of Ω which are linear in each triangle $T_k \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and vanish on $\partial\Omega$, that is:

$$X_h := \{v \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : v_{|T_k} \text{ is a linear polynomial } \forall \ T_k \in \mathcal{T}_h, \text{ and } v_{|\partial\Omega} = 0\}.$$

Let $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^M$ be the interior vertices of $\mathcal{T}_h$ and $\phi_i(x)$ be the pyramid function in X_h which takes the value 1 at each interior vertex but vanishes at other vertices. Then, $\{\phi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^M$ form a basis for the space X_h. Furthermore, assume that $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 \ldots < t_N = T$ be a given partition of time interval $[0, T]$ with step length $\Delta t = \frac{T}{N}$ for some positive integer N.

Based on the discussion in Gao et al. (2015), the following second-order approximation to the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative at point $(t_n - \frac{\alpha \Delta t}{2})$ holds:

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha \varphi(t_n) = {}^R D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha \varphi(t) + O\left(\Delta t^2\right), \tag{3}$$

where $^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha$ is the discrete fractional differential operator defined as

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha \, \varphi(t_n) := \Delta t^{-\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^n w_{n-i}^{(\alpha)} \, \varphi(t_i), \tag{4}$$

and the weights $w_i^{(\alpha)}$ are given by $w_i^{(\alpha)} = (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+1)\Gamma(\alpha-i+1)}$. More details about applications of the approximation (3) can be found in Gao et al. (2015), Kumar et al. (2018), Liu et al. (2018), and Wang et al. (2016).

Remark 1 The approximation (3) gives $O(\Delta t^2)$ convergence order under the following regularity assumption and certain compatibility conditions (Gao et al. 2015) on the function φ:

$$\varphi \in C^3[0, T], \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(0) = 0, \; \varphi_t(0) = 0, \; \varphi_{tt}(0) = 0. \tag{5}$$

In general, for smooth problem data, the exact solution of time-fractional partial differential equations may be weakly singular near $t = 0$. Therefore, straightforward implementation (without regularity assumption and compatibility conditions) of schemes based on approximation (3) provides $O(\Delta t)$ accuracy (Jin et al. 2017). In this work, we obtain $O(\Delta t^2)$ accuracy in time under the regularity assumption and compatibility conditions given in (5) on the solution (u, v) of the problem (1a)–(1d).

With the above approximation (3) to the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative in time and standard Galerkin FEM in space, we present fully discrete fractional Crank–Nicolson–Galerkin finite element scheme to approximate the solution $(u(t_n), v(t_n))$ of problem (1a)–(1d) by $(U_h^n, V_h^n), n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N$. For convenience, we set $U_h^{n,\alpha} = (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})U_h^n + \frac{\alpha}{2}U_h^{n-1}$ and $V_h^{n,\alpha} = (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})V_h^n + \frac{\alpha}{2}V_h^{n-1}$, then the fractional Crank–Nicolson finite element scheme is used to seek $U_h^n, \, V_h^n \in X_h$, such that

$$\langle ^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha U_h^n, w_h \rangle + \langle \nabla U_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w_h \rangle = \langle F_1(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha}), w_h \rangle, \quad \forall w_h \in X_h,$$

$$\langle ^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha V_h^n, \omega_h \rangle + \langle \nabla V_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \omega_h \rangle = \langle F_2(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha}), \omega_h \rangle, \quad \forall \omega_h \in X_h, \tag{6}$$

$$U_h^0 = 0, \; V_h^0 = 0.$$

Observe that, for $\alpha = 1$, scheme (6) recovers the classical Crank–Nicolson scheme for the coupled diffusion system. Thus, fractional Crank–Nicolson scheme can be seen as an extension of classical Crank–Nicolson scheme for TFPDEs.

The discrete fractional operator $^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha$ can be employed to re-write scheme (6) as follows:

$$\Delta t^{-\alpha} w_0^{(\alpha)} \langle U_h^n, w_h \rangle + \langle \nabla U_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w_h \rangle = \langle F_1(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha}), w_h \rangle - \Delta t^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \langle U_h^j, w_h \rangle,$$

$$\tag{7}$$

$$\Delta t^{-\alpha} w_0^{(\alpha)} \langle V_h^n, \omega_h \rangle + \langle \nabla V_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \omega_h \rangle = \langle F_2(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha}), \omega_h \rangle - \Delta t^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \langle V_h^j, \omega_h \rangle.$$

The fully discrete formulation (6) (or (7)) provides us a system of nonlinear equations. There are several iterative techniques to deal with the above system of nonlinear equations. In

particular, we use Newton's method to solve the system (6) (or (7)). With the help of M-dimensional basis of X_h, $\{\phi_i\}_{1 \le i \le M}$, associated with nodes of $\mathcal{T}_h$, we can write solution (U_h^n, V_h^n) of (6) [or (7)] as

$$U_h^n = \sum_{i=1}^M \beta_i^n \phi_i, \quad V_h^n = \sum_{i=1}^M \gamma_i^n \phi_i, \quad \text{for } \beta_i^n, \gamma_i^n \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{8}$$

Define $\boldsymbol{\beta^n} := [\beta_1^n, \beta_2^n, \ldots, \beta_M^n]'$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma^n} := [\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n, \ldots, \gamma_M^n]'$.

Using the value of U_h^n, V_h^n from (8) into (7), we get the following nonlinear algebraic equations:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1i}(\boldsymbol{\beta^n}, \boldsymbol{\gamma^n}) = H_{1i}(U_h^n, V_h^n) = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le M, \\ H_{2i}(\boldsymbol{\beta^n}, \boldsymbol{\gamma^n}) = H_{2i}(U_h^n, V_h^n) = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le M, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1i}(U_h^n, V_h^n) &= \Delta t^{-\alpha} w_0^{(\alpha)} \langle U_h^n, \phi_i \rangle + \langle \nabla U_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \phi_i \rangle - \langle F_1(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha}), \phi_i \rangle \\ &\quad + \Delta t^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \langle U_h^j, \phi_i \rangle, \\ H_{2i}(U_h^n, V_h^n) &= \Delta t^{-\alpha} w_0^{(\alpha)} \langle V_h^n, \phi_i \rangle + \langle \nabla V_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \phi_i \rangle - \langle F_2(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha}), \phi_i \rangle \\ &\quad + \Delta t^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \langle V_h^j, \phi_i \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Utilizing Newton's method in (9), the following matrix system is obtained for the correction term:

$$J \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta^n} \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma^n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A1 & B1 \\ C1 & D1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta^n} \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma^n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{H_1} \\ \boldsymbol{H_2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

where $\boldsymbol{H_1} = [H_{11}, H_{12}, \ldots, H_{1M}]'$, $\boldsymbol{H_2} = [H_{21}, H_{22}, \ldots, H_{2M}]'$ and entries of $A1 = A1_{(M \times M)}$, $B1 = B1_{(M \times M)}$, $C1 = C1_{(M \times M)}$, $D1 = D1_{(M \times M)}$ are given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (A1)_{li} &= \frac{\partial H_{1i}(U_h^n, V_h^n)}{\partial \beta_l^n} = \Delta t^{-\alpha} w_0^{(\alpha)} \langle \phi_l, \phi_i \rangle + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \langle \nabla \phi_l, \nabla \phi_i \rangle \\ &\quad - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \left\langle \frac{\partial F_1(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha})}{\partial U_h^n} \phi_l, \phi_i \right\rangle, \\ (B1)_{li} &= \frac{\partial H_{1i}(U_h^n, V_h^n)}{\partial \gamma_l^n} = -\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \left\langle \frac{\partial F_1(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha})}{\partial V_h^n} \phi_l, \phi_i \right\rangle, \\ (C1)_{pi} &= \frac{\partial H_{2i}(U_h^n, V_h^n)}{\partial \beta_p^n} = -\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \left\langle \frac{\partial F_2(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha})}{\partial U_h^n} \phi_p, \phi_i \right\rangle, \\ (D1)_{pi} &= \frac{\partial H_{2i}(U_h^n, V_h^n)}{\partial \gamma_p^n} = \Delta t^{-\alpha} w_0^{(\alpha)} \langle \phi_p, \phi_i \rangle + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \langle \nabla \phi_p, \nabla \phi_i \rangle \\ &\quad - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \left\langle \frac{\partial F_2(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha})}{\partial V_h^n} \phi_p, \phi_i \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \le i, l, p \le M$.

The matrices $A1$ and $D1$ take the similar form as Galerkin matrix for the following semi-linear elliptic equation:

$$\langle u, v \rangle + k_1 \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle = k_2 \langle f(u), v \rangle, \tag{12}$$

where k_1, k_2 are positive constants. Therefore, $A1$ and $D1$ are sparse matrices. In addition, it is not difficult to check that $B1$, $C1$ are sparse matrices too, and consequently, J is a sparse matrix. Thus, for a given initial guess and an initial solution, we can solve the above matrix system using the Sherman–Morrison Woodbury formula and block elimination algorithm (Chaudhary 2018; Govaerts and Pryce 1990).

Now, in the following, we prove the existence-uniqueness of the fully discrete solution (U_h^n, V_h^n). The following proposition is required to prove the existence of solution (U_h^n, V_h^n) for the scheme (6) [or (7)].

Proposition 1 (Chaudhary 2018; Thomée 1984) *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $| \cdot |$. Let $S : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a continuous map, such that*

$$\langle S(v), v \rangle > 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{H} \quad with \quad |v| = \rho, \quad \rho > 0.$$

Then, there exists $w \in \mathcal{H}$, such that

$$S(w) = 0 \quad and \quad |w| < \rho.$$

Theorem 1 *Let $U_h^0, \ U_h^1, \dots, U_h^{n-1}$ and $V_h^0, \ V_h^1, \dots, V_h^{n-1}$ are given. Then for all $1 \le n \le N$, there exists a unique solution (U_h^n, V_h^n) of the problem (6).*

Proof We know X_h is the finite-dimensional Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For $(X, Y) \in X_h \times X_h$, let

$$\langle (X, Y), (X, Y) \rangle_{X_h \times X_h} = \langle X, Y \rangle + \langle X, Y \rangle.$$

Clearly, $\left(X_h \times X_h, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{X_h \times X_h} \right)$ is the product Hilbert space.

From (7), we have

$$\langle U_h^n, w_h \rangle + \Delta t^\alpha \langle \nabla U_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w_h \rangle - \Delta t^\alpha \langle F_1(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha}), w_h \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \langle U_h^j, w_h \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle V_h^n, \omega_h \rangle + \Delta t^\alpha \langle \nabla V_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \omega_h \rangle - \Delta t^\alpha \langle F_2(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha}), \omega_h \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \langle V_h^j, \omega_h \rangle = 0. \tag{13}$$

Multiplying by $(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})$ in (13) to get

$$\langle U_h^{n,\alpha}, w_h \rangle + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \Delta t^\alpha \langle \nabla U_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w_h \rangle - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \Delta t^\alpha \langle F_1 \left(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha} \right), w_h \rangle$$

$$+ \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \langle U_h^j, w_h \rangle - \frac{\alpha}{2} \langle U_h^{n-1}, w_h \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle V_h^{n,\alpha}, \omega_h \rangle + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \Delta t^\alpha \langle \nabla V_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \omega_h \rangle - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \Delta t^\alpha \langle F_2 \left(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha} \right), \omega_h \rangle$$

$$+ \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \langle V_h^j, \omega_h \rangle - \frac{\alpha}{2} \langle V_h^{n-1}, w_h \rangle = 0. \tag{14}$$

Equations (13) and (14) are equivalent in the sense that the solution of (13) is the solution of (14) and vice versa.

Define operator $G : X_h \times X_h \to X_h \times X_h$, such that

$$G(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}) := (G_1(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}), G_2(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha})), \tag{15}$$

where $G_1 : X_h \times X_h \to X_h$ and $G_2 : X_h \times X_h \to X_h$ are defined by the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle G_1(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}), w_1 \rangle := & \langle X_1^{n,\alpha}, w_1 \rangle + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Delta t^\alpha \langle \nabla X_1^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w_1 \rangle \\
& - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Delta t^\alpha \langle F_1(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}), w_1 \rangle \\
& + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \langle U_h^j, w_1 \rangle \\
& - \frac{\alpha}{2} \langle U_h^{n-1}, w_1 \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle G_2\left(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}\right), w_2 \rangle := & \langle X_2^{n,\alpha}, w_2 \rangle + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Delta t^\alpha \langle \nabla X_2^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w_2 \rangle \\
& - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Delta t^\alpha \langle F_2\left(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}\right), w_2 \rangle \\
& + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \langle V_h^j, w_2 \rangle \\
& - \frac{\alpha}{2} \langle V_h^{n-1}, w_2 \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}
$$

Since G_1 and G_2 are continuous maps, therefore, G is also continuous. By choosing $w_1 = X_1^{n,\alpha}$ in Eq. (16), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle G_1\left(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}\right), X_1^{n,\alpha} \rangle = & \langle X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_1^{n,\alpha} \rangle + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Delta t^\alpha \langle \nabla X_1^{n,\alpha}, \nabla X_1^{n,\alpha} \rangle \\
& - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Delta t^\alpha \langle F_1\left(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}\right), X_1^{n,\alpha} \rangle \\
& + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \langle U_h^j, X_1^{n,\alpha} \rangle \\
& - \frac{\alpha}{2} \langle U_h^{n-1}, X_1^{n,\alpha} \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}
$$

From the hypothesis $H1$, we have

$$\|F_i(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha})\| \le L_i(\|X_1^{n,\alpha}\| + \|X_2^{n,\alpha}\|) + \|F_i(0, 0)\|, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

which implies that

$$\|F_i(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}\| \le a_i(1 + \|X_1^{n,\alpha}\| + \|X_2^{n,\alpha}\|), \quad a_i > 0, \ i = 1, 2. \tag{19}$$

Using (19) and $w_j^{(\alpha)} < 0$, $1 \le j \le n$ in (18) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle G_1(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}), X_1^{n,\alpha} \rangle &\ge \|X_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Delta t^\alpha \|\nabla X_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 \\
&\quad - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Delta t^\alpha a_1 (1 + \|X_1^{n,\alpha}\| + \|X_2^{n,\alpha}\|) \|X_1^{n,\alpha}\| \\
&\quad + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \|U_h^j\| \|X_1^{n,\alpha}\| - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|U_h^{n-1}\| \|X_1^{n,\alpha}\|.
\end{aligned}
\tag{20}
$$

Since $(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \Delta t^\alpha \|\nabla X_i^n\| > 0$, we write (20) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle G_1(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}), X_1^{n,\alpha} \rangle &\ge \left(\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\Delta t^\alpha a_1\right) \|X_1^{n,\alpha}\| - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\Delta t^\alpha a_1(1 + \|X_2^{n,\alpha}\|) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \|U_h^j\| - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|U_h^{n-1}\| \right) \|X_1^{n,\alpha}\|.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the similar manner, we get the following inequality for G_2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle G_2(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}), X_2^{n,\alpha} \rangle &\ge \left(\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\Delta t^\alpha a_2\right) \|X_2^{n,\alpha}\| - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\Delta t^\alpha a_2(1 + \|X_1^{n,\alpha}\|) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \|V_h^j\| - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|V_h^{n-1}\| \right) \|X_2^{n,\alpha}\|.
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that if the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Delta t^\alpha a_1\right) \|X_1^{n,\alpha}\| - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Delta t^\alpha a_1 \left(1 + \|X_2^{n,\alpha}\|\right) \\
&\quad + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \|U_h^j\| - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|U_h^{n-1}\| > 0, \\
&\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Delta t^\alpha a_2\right) \|X_2^{n,\alpha}\| - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Delta t^\alpha a_2 \left(1 + \|X_1^{n,\alpha}\|\right) \\
&\quad + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \|V_h^j\| - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|V_h^{n-1}\| > 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\langle G_i(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}), X_i^{n,\alpha} \rangle > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Now, choosing $\Delta t^\alpha < \frac{1}{(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})(a_1+a_2)}$, then $\exists\, X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|X_1^{n,\alpha}\| &> \frac{1}{\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\Delta t^\alpha (a_1 + a_2)\right)} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\Delta t^\alpha a_1 \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
&\quad \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \|V_h^j\| + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|V_h^{n-1}\| \Big) \\
&\quad - \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\Delta t^\alpha a_2\right)\left(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \|U_h^j\| - \frac{\alpha}{2}\|U_h^{n-1}\|\right)\Big), \\
\|X_2^{n,\alpha}\| &> \frac{1}{\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\Delta t^\alpha (a_1 + a_2)\right)} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\Delta t^\alpha a_2 \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \|U_h^j\| + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|U_h^{n-1}\|\Big)$$

$$-\Big(1 - \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\Delta t^\alpha a_1\Big)\Big(\Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{n-j}^{(\alpha)} \|V_h^j\| - \frac{\alpha}{2}\|V_h^{n-1}\|\Big)\Big),$$

which implies that $\langle G_i(X^{n,\alpha}, X^{n,\alpha}), X_i^{n,\alpha}\rangle > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, with $\|(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha})\|_{X_h \times X_h} = \sqrt{\|X_1^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|X_2^{n,\alpha}\|^2} = \rho$, we have

$$\langle G(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}), (X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha})\rangle_{X_h \times X_h} = \langle G_1(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}), X_1^{n,\alpha}\rangle$$
$$+ \langle G_2(X_1^{n,\alpha}, X_2^{n,\alpha}), X_2^{n,\alpha}\rangle > 0.$$

Hence, Proposition 1 guarantees the existence of fully discrete solution (U_h^n, V_h^n).

Next, we prove the uniqueness of the solution $(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha})$ for problem (14). Let $(U_{h1}^{n,\alpha}, V_{h1}^{n,\alpha})$ and $(U_{h2}^{n,\alpha}, V_{h2}^{n,\alpha})$ be two solutions of problem (14). For simplicity, we denote $U_1 = U_{h1}^{n,\alpha}$, $V_1 = V_{h1}^{n,\alpha}$, $U_2 = U_{h2}^{n,\alpha}$, and $V_2 = V_{h2}^{n,\alpha}$. Then, from (14), we obtain

$$\langle U_1 - U_2, w_h\rangle + \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\Delta t^\alpha\langle\nabla(U_1 - U_2), \nabla w_h\rangle = \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\Delta t^\alpha\Big(\langle F_1(U_1, V_1), w_h\rangle$$
$$- \langle F_1(U_2, V_2), w_h\rangle\Big),$$
$$\langle V_1 - V_2, \omega_h\rangle + \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\Delta t^\alpha\langle\nabla(V_1 - V_2), \nabla \omega_h\rangle = \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\Delta t^\alpha\Big(\langle F_2(U_1, V_1), \omega_h\rangle \tag{21}$$
$$- \langle F_2(U_2, V_2), \omega_h\rangle\Big).$$

Setting $w_h = U_1 - U_2 = r$, $\omega_h = V_1 - V_2 = s$ in (21) and using hypothesis $H1$, we obtain

$$\|r\|^2 \leq \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\Delta t^\alpha L_1(\|r\| + \|s\|)\|r\|, \tag{22}$$
$$\|s\|^2 \leq \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\Delta t^\alpha L_2(\|r\| + \|s\|)\|s\|. \tag{23}$$

Adding (22) and (23), we get

$$\|r\|^2 + \|s\|^2 \leq \frac{\Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\Delta t^\alpha}{2}\Big((3L_1 + L_2)\|r\|^2 + (L_1 + 3L_2)\|s\|^2\Big).$$

Taking $\Delta t^\alpha < 1$ sufficiently small, such that $\Big(1 - \frac{(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})\Delta t^\alpha}{2}\max\{(3L_1 + L_2), (L_1 + 3L_2)\}\Big) > 0$, we get

$$\|r\|^2 + \|s\|^2 \leq 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

2.1 Error analysis

Here, we derive *a priori* bound and *a priori* error estimate for the fully discrete scheme (6). In this regard, we first recall some known results.

Lemma 1 (Kumar et al. 2018) *Let* $\{a^n, b^n | n \geq 0\}$ *be nonnegative sequences and* μ_1 *and* μ_2 *be nonnegative constants. For* $a^0 = 0$ *and*

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha a^n \leq \mu_1 a^n + \mu_2 a^{n-1} + b^n, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{24}$$

there exists a positive constant Δt^*, such that, when $\Delta t \leq \Delta t^*$,

$$a^n \leq 2\left(\frac{t_n^\alpha}{\alpha} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} b^i\right) E_\alpha(2\Gamma(\alpha)\mu t_n^\alpha), \quad 1 \leq n \leq N,$$

where $E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(1+j\alpha)}$ is the Mittag–Leffler function and $\mu = \mu_1 + \frac{\mu_2}{\alpha}$.

Lemma 2 (Kumar et al. 2018) *For any sequence $\{e^k\}_{k=0}^N \subset X_h$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\left\langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha e^k, \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)e^k + \frac{\alpha}{2}e^{k-1}\right\rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha \|e^k\|^2, \quad for \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \tag{25}$$

In the following theorem, we provide *a priori* bound for the fully discrete solution (U_h^n, V_h^n).

Theorem 2 *Let (U_h^n, V_h^n) be the solution of fully discrete scheme (6). Then, there exists a positive constant Δt^*, such that when $\Delta t \leq \Delta t^*$, the solution (U_h^n, V_h^n) satisfies*

$$\|U_h^n\| + \|V_h^n\| \leq C, \tag{26}$$

where $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and C is a positive constant independent of h and Δt.

Proof From (6), we have

$$\langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha U_h^n, w_h\rangle + \langle \nabla U_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w_h\rangle = \langle F_1(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha}), w_h\rangle, \quad \forall w_h \in X_h. \tag{27}$$

Setting $w_h = U_h^{n,\alpha}$ in (27) to obtain

$$\langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha U_h^n, U_h^{n,\alpha}\rangle + \|\nabla U_h^{n,\alpha}\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\|F_1(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha})\|^2 + \|U_h^{n,\alpha}\|^2\right). \tag{28}$$

Using (19) in (28) to get

$$\langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha U_h^n, U_h^{n,\alpha}\rangle + \|\nabla U_h^{n,\alpha}\|^2 \leq C\left((1 + \|U_h^{n,\alpha}\| + \|V_h^{n,\alpha}\|)^2 + \|U_h^{n,\alpha}\|^2\right). \tag{29}$$

For $a, b \geq 0$, using the fact $(a + b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)$ in (29), we have

$$\langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha U_h^n, U_h^{n,\alpha}\rangle \leq C\left(1 + \|U_h^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|V_h^{n,\alpha}\|^2\right). \tag{30}$$

Using Lemma 2 in (30), we have

$${}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha \|U_h^n\|^2 \leq C\left(1 + \|U_h^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|V_h^{n,\alpha}\|^2\right). \tag{31}$$

Similarly, the estimate for V_h^n is given by

$${}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha \|V_h^n\|^2 \leq C\left(1 + \|V_h^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|U_h^{n,\alpha}\|^2\right). \tag{32}$$

Adding (31) and (32) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha (\|U_h^n\|^2 + \|V_h^n\|^2) &\leq C\left(1 + \|U_h^{n,\alpha}\|^2 + \|V_h^{n,\alpha}\|^2\right) \\
&= C\left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2(\|U_h^n\|^2 + \|V_h^n\|^2)\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2(\|U_h^{n-1}\|^2 + \|V_h^{n-1}\|^2)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Using Lemma 1 in (33), one can find a positive constant Δt^*, such that when $\Delta t \leq \Delta t^*$, then

$$\|U_h^n\|^2 + \|V_h^n\|^2 \leq C,$$
$$\|U_h^n\| + \|V_h^n\| \leq C.$$

This completes the proof. $\qquad\square$

Next, we derive the error estimate for the scheme (6). Direct comparison between $u(x, t_n) = u^n(x)$ and U_h^n, $v(x, t_n) = v^n(x)$ and V_h^n may not yield optimal convergence. Therefore, we need to define Ritz projection $R_h : H_0^1(\Omega) \to X_h$ which satisfies (Thomée 1984):

$$\langle \nabla w, \nabla v_h \rangle = \langle \nabla R_h w, \nabla v_h \rangle, \quad \forall w \in H_0^1(\Omega), \; v_h \in X_h. \tag{34}$$

We now mention the following approximation results for R_h which is significant in the derivation of *a priori* error estimate.

Theorem 3 (Rannacher and Scott 1982) *There exists a positive constant C, independent of h, such that*

$$\|w - R_h w\|_j \le \mathrm{Ch}^{i-j} \|w\|_i, \quad \forall w \in H^i \cap H_0^1, \quad j = 0, \; 1; \; i = 1, \; 2. \tag{35}$$

Using an intermediate projection R_h, we can write the error as follows:

$$u^n(x) - U_h^n = u^n - U_h^n = (u^n - R_h u^n) + (R_h u^n - U_h^n) = \rho_{1h}^n + \theta_{1h}^n,$$
$$v^n(x) - V_h^n = v^n - V_h^n = (v^n - R_h v^n) + (R_h v^n - V_h^n) = \rho_{2h}^n + \theta_{2h}^n.$$

In the following theorem, we give the detailed proof of *a priori* error estimate for the fully discrete scheme (6).

Theorem 4 *Let (u^n, v^n) be the solution of (1a)–(1d) and (U_h^n, V_h^n) be the solution of fully discrete scheme (6). Then, there exists a positive constant Δt^*, such that when $\Delta t \le \Delta t^*$, the solution (U_h^n, V_h^n) satisfies*

$$\|u^n - U_h^n\| + \|v^n - V_h^n\| \le C\left(\Delta t^2 + h^2\right), \tag{36}$$

where $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and C is a positive constant independent of h and Δt.

Proof From (1a)–(1b), it is easy to see that the exact solution (u^n, v^n) satisfies the following equations with truncation errors E_1^n, E_2^n:

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} u^n - \Delta u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = F_1\left(u^{n,\alpha}, v^{n,\alpha}\right) + E_1^n, \tag{37}$$
$$^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} v^n - \Delta v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = F_2\left(u^{n,\alpha}, v^{n,\alpha}\right) + E_2^n, \tag{38}$$

where E_1^n and E_2^n are given by

$$E_1^n = {}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} u^n - {}^R D_{\Delta t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\alpha} u + F_1\left(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) - F_1(u^{n,\alpha}, v^{n,\alpha}),$$
$$E_2^n = {}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} v^n - {}^R D_{\Delta t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\alpha} v + F_2(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - F_2(u^{n,\alpha}, v^{n,\alpha}).$$

Moreover

$$\|E_1^n\| \le \|{}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} u^n - {}^R D_{\Delta t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\alpha} u\| + \|F_1(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - F_1(u^{n,\alpha}, v^{n,\alpha})\|,$$
$$\|E_2^n\| \le \|{}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} v^n - {}^R D_{\Delta t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\alpha} v\| + \|F_2(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - F_2(u^{n,\alpha}, v^{n,\alpha})\|. \tag{39}$$

Using (3), hypothesis $H1$, and simple application of Taylor expansion in (39), errors are bounded by

$$\|E_1^n\| \le C(\Delta t^2), \quad \|E_2^n\| \le C(\Delta t^2). \tag{40}$$

Furthermore, for any $w_h \in X_h$, the estimate for θ_{1h}^n is given by

$$\langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} \theta_{1h}^n, w_h \rangle + \langle \nabla \theta_{1h}^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w_h \rangle = \langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} R_h u^n, w_h \rangle + \langle \nabla R_h u^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w_h \rangle$$
$$- \langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} U_h^n, w_h \rangle - \langle \nabla U_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w_h \rangle.$$

Based on (34), (6), and (37), we get

$$\langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} \theta_{1h}^n, w_h \rangle + \langle \nabla \theta_{1h}^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w_h \rangle = \langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} (R_h u^n - u^n), w_h \rangle + \langle \nabla (u^{n,\alpha} - u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}), \nabla w_h \rangle$$
$$+ \langle F_1(u^{n,\alpha}, v^{n,\alpha}) - F_1(U_h^{n,\alpha}, V_h^{n,\alpha}), w_h \rangle + \langle E_1^n, w_h \rangle. \tag{41}$$

Setting $w_h = \theta_{1h}^{n,\alpha}$ in (41) to get

$$\langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} \theta_{1h}^n, \theta_{1h}^{n,\alpha} \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \| {}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} \rho_{1h}^n \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \theta_{1h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \nabla (u^{n,\alpha} - u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \|^2$$
$$+ \frac{L_1}{2} \left(\| u^{n,\alpha} - U_h^{n,\alpha} \| + \| v^{n,\alpha} - V_h^{n,\alpha} \| \right)^2 + \frac{L_1}{2} \| \theta_{1h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \| E_1^n \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \theta_{1h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{L_1}{2} + 1 \right) \| \theta_{1h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2 + 2L_1 \left(\| \theta_{1h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2 + \| \rho_{1h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2 + \| \theta_{2h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2 + \| \rho_{2h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2 \right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \| {}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} \rho_{1h}^n \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \nabla (u^{n,\alpha} - u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| E_1^n \|^2. \tag{42}$$

Note that

$$\| {}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} \rho_{1h}^n \| \leq \| {}^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} \rho_{1h}^n - {}^R D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\alpha} \rho_{1h} \| + \| {}^R D_{t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\alpha} \rho_{1h} \| \leq C(\Delta t^2 + h^2), \tag{43}$$

and

$$\| \nabla (u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - u^{n,\alpha}) \| \leq \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \Delta t \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \| \nabla u_{tt}(s) \| ds \leq C \Delta t^2. \tag{44}$$

Using (40), (43), and (44) in (42), we get

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} \| \theta_{1h}^n \|^2 \leq (5L_1 + 2) \| \theta_{1h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2 + 4L_1 \| \theta_{2h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2 + C(\Delta t^2 + h^2)^2. \tag{45}$$

Similarly, we can obtain the estimate for θ_{2h}^n

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} \| \theta_{2h}^n \|^2 \leq (5L_2 + 2) \| \theta_{2h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2 + 4L_2 \| \theta_{1h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2 + C(\Delta t^2 + h^2)^2. \tag{46}$$

Adding (45) and (46) yields

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} (\| \theta_{1h}^n \|^2 + \| \theta_{2h}^n \|^2) \leq (5L1 + 4L_2 + 2) \| \theta_{1h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2$$
$$+ (4L_1 + 5L_2 + 2) \| \theta_{2h}^{n,\alpha} \|^2 + C(\Delta t^2 + h^2)^2.$$

Next, we have

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^{\alpha} \left(\| \theta_{1h}^n \|^2 + \| \theta_{2h}^n \|^2 \right) \leq \mu_1 \left(\| \theta_{1h}^n \|^2 + \| \theta_{2h}^n \|^2 \right)$$
$$+ \mu_2 \left(\| \theta_{1h}^{n-1} \|^2 + \| \theta_{2h}^{n-1} \|^2 \right) + C \left(\Delta t^2 + h^2 \right)^2, \tag{47}$$

where

$$\mu_1 = 2 \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^2 \max \left\{ (5L_1 + 4L_2 + 2), (4L_1 + 5L_2 + 2) \right\},$$
$$\mu_2 = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \max \left\{ (5L_1 + 4L_2 + 2), (4L_1 + 5L_2 + 2) \right\}.$$

Using Lemma 1 in (47), one can find a positive constant Δt^*, such that, when $\Delta t \leq \Delta t^*$, then

$$\|\theta_{1h}^n\|^2 + \|\theta_{2h}^n\|^2 \leq C\left(\Delta t^2 + h^2\right)^2,$$
$$\|\theta_{1h}^n\| + \|\theta_{2h}^n\| \leq C\left(\Delta t^2 + h^2\right).$$

Now, an application of triangular inequality and Theorem 3 completes the proof of Theorem 4. □

3 Linearized Fractional Crank–Nicolson-Galerkin finite element scheme

A disadvantage of the scheme (6) is that it yields a nonlinear system of equations at each time level. To solve such system of equations, we need an iterative method. Thus, to avoid iterations at each time step, we propose a new linearized fractional Crank–Nicolson–Galerkin finite element scheme in which the forcing terms F_1, F_2 are obtained by extrapolation from (U_h^{n-1}, V_h^{n-1}) and (U_h^{n-2}, V_h^{n-2}). Precisely, we use $\bar{U}_h^{n,\alpha} = (2 - \frac{\alpha}{2})U_h^{n-1} - (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})U_h^{n-2}$ and $\bar{V}_h^{n,\alpha} = (2 - \frac{\alpha}{2})V_h^{n-1} - (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})U_h^{n-2}$ for $n = 2, 3, \ldots, N$.

Now the noniterative scheme is: to find U_h^n, $V_h^n \in X_h$, such that for all $1 \leq n \leq N$:

$$\langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha U_h^n, w_h \rangle + \langle \nabla U_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla w_h \rangle = \langle F_1(\bar{U}_h^{n,\alpha}, \bar{V}_h^{n,\alpha}), w_h \rangle, \quad \forall w_h \in X_h,$$
$$\langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha V_h^n, \omega_h \rangle + \langle \nabla V_h^{n,\alpha}, \nabla \omega_h \rangle = \langle F_2(\bar{U}_h^{n,\alpha}, \bar{V}_h^{n,\alpha}), \omega_h \rangle, \quad \forall \omega_h \in X_h, \tag{48}$$

with

$$\langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha U_h^1, w_h \rangle + \langle \nabla U_h^{1,\alpha}, \nabla w_h \rangle = \langle F_1(U_h^0, V_h^0) + F_{1u}(U_h^0, V_h^0)(U_h^{1,\alpha} - U_h^0), w_h \rangle$$
$$+ \langle F_{1v}(U_h^0, V_h^0)(V_h^{1,\alpha} - V_h^0), w_h \rangle, \quad \forall w_h \in X_h,$$
$$\langle {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha V_h^1, \omega_h \rangle + \langle \nabla V_h^{1,\alpha}, \nabla \omega_h \rangle = \langle F_2(U_h^0, V_h^0) + F_{2u}(U_h^0, V_h^0)(U_h^{1,\alpha} - U_h^0), w_h \rangle \tag{49}$$
$$+ \langle F_{2v}(U_h^0, V_h^0)(V_h^{1,\alpha} - V_h^0), w_h \rangle, \quad \forall \omega_h \in X_h,$$
$$U_h^0 = 0, \quad V_h^0 = 0,$$

where $F_{iu}(U_h^0, V_h^0) = \frac{\partial F_i(u,v)}{\partial u}\big|_{(u,v)=(U_h^0, V_h^0)}$ and $F_{iv}(U_h^0, V_h^0) = \frac{\partial F_i(u,v)}{\partial v}\big|_{(u,v)=(U_h^0, V_h^0)}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

After using the value of the discrete fractional differential operator ${}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha$, it can be observed that the coefficient matrix (Galerkin matrix) corresponding to the linear system (48) is positive definite. Therefore, the existence-uniqueness of the solution (U_h^n, V_h^n) for the above linearized scheme for $n \geq 2$ follows immediately. By the simple application of Proposition 1, the existence-uniqueness of solution (U_h^1, V_h^1) for the system (49) can be obtained in a similar manner as the existence-uniqueness for the solution (U_h^n, V_h^n) of the Scheme (6).

3.1 Error analysis

In this part, we provide a priori bound and convergence estimates for the new linearized fractional Crank–Nicolson–Galerkin finite element scheme (48)–(49). For this purpose, we first need to generalize the fractional Grönwall-type inequality given in Lemma 1. We also require the following results for the generalization of the fractional Grönwall-type inequality.

Define $g_n^{(\alpha)} := \sum_{i=0}^{n} w_i^{(\alpha)}$, then $g_0^{(\alpha)} = w_0^{(\alpha)}$ and $w_i^{(\alpha)} = g_i^{(\alpha)} - g_{i-1}^{(\alpha)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $w_i^{(\alpha)}$ are defined in (4). Since weights $w_i^{(\alpha)}$ possess the following properties:

$$w_0^{(\alpha)} = 1, \quad -1 < w_1^{(\alpha)} < w_2^{(\alpha)} < \cdots < w_i^{(\alpha)} < \cdots < 0, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_i^{(\alpha)} = 0; \qquad (50)$$

therefore, $g_{i-1}^{(\alpha)} > g_i^{(\alpha)}$ for $i \geq 1$. Using the definition of $g_n^{(\alpha)}$, we can re-write (4) as follows:

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha \varphi(t_n) = \Delta t^{-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(g_i^{(\alpha)} - g_{i-1}^{(\alpha)} \right) \varphi(t_{n-i}) + \Delta t^{-\alpha} g_0^{(\alpha)} \varphi(t_n). \qquad (51)$$

If $\varphi(0) = 0$, then, from (51), we get

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha \varphi(t_n) = \Delta t^{-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{n-i}^{(\alpha)} \delta\varphi(t_i), \qquad (52)$$

where $\delta\varphi(t_i) = \varphi(t_i) - \varphi(t_{i-1}) \; \forall \; i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 3 (Kumar et al. 2018) *Consider the sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ given by*

$$\phi_0 = 1, \quad \phi_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(g_{i-1}^{(\alpha)} - g_i^{(\alpha)} \right) \phi_{n-i}, \quad n \geq 1. \qquad (53)$$

Then, $\{\phi_n\}$ satisfies the following properties:

$$\text{(i)} \quad 0 < \phi_n < 1, \quad \sum_{i=j}^{n} \phi_{n-i} \, g_{i-j}^{(\alpha)} = 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \qquad (54)$$

$$\text{(ii)} \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{n-i} \leq \frac{n^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}, \qquad (55)$$

$$\text{(iii)} \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma\alpha\Gamma(1+(k-1)\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_{n-i} \, i^{(k-1)\alpha} \leq \frac{n^{k\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+k\alpha)}, \qquad (56)$$

where $k = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Lemma 4 (Kumar et al. 2018) *Consider the matrix*

$$W = 2\mu\Delta t^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \phi_1 & \cdots & \phi_{n-2} & \phi_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \phi_{n-3} & \phi_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \phi_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}. \qquad (57)$$

Then, W satisfies the following properties:

(i) $W^l = 0, \quad l \geq n,$

(ii) $W^k e \leq \dfrac{1}{\Gamma(1 + k\alpha)} [(2\Gamma(\alpha)\mu t_n^\alpha)^k, (2\Gamma(\alpha)\mu t_{n-1}^\alpha)^k, \ldots, (2\Gamma(\alpha)\mu t_1^\alpha)^k]',$

$k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$

(iii) $\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^l W^k e = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} W^k e \leq [E_\alpha(2\Gamma(\alpha)\mu t_n^\alpha), E_\alpha(2\Gamma(\alpha)\mu t_{n-1}^\alpha), \ldots, E_\alpha(2\Gamma(\alpha)\mu t_1^\alpha)]',$

$l \geq n,$

where $e = [1, 1, .., 1]' \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now, we state, with proof, the fractional Grönwall-type inequality which is relevant in the analysis of linearized-type fully discrete schemes for TFPDEs.

Lemma 5 *Let $\{a^n | \, n \geq -1\}$ and $\{b^n | \, n \geq 0\}$ be nonnegative sequences with $a^{-1} = a^0 = 0$ and $\mu_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3)$ be nonnegative constants. For*

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha a^1 \leq \mu_1 a^1 + \mu_2 a^0 + b^1,$$

and

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha a^n \leq \mu_1 a^n + \mu_2 a^{n-1} + \mu_3 a^{n-2} + b^n, \quad n \geq 2, \tag{58}$$

there exists a positive constant Δt^*, such that, when $\Delta t \leq \Delta t^*$,

$$a^n \leq 2\left(\frac{t_n^\alpha}{\alpha} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} b^i\right) E_\alpha(2\Gamma(\alpha)\mu t_n^\alpha), \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \tag{59}$$

where $E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(1 + j\alpha)}$ is the Mittag–Leffler function and $\mu = \mu_1 + \frac{\mu_2}{\alpha} + \frac{2\mu_3}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}$.

Proof From the definition of discrete fractional differential operator (52), we re-write (58) as

$$\sum_{j=1}^i g_{i-j}^{(\alpha)} \delta a^j \leq \Delta t^\alpha(\mu_1 a^i + \mu_2 a^{i-1} + \mu_3 a^{i-2}) + \Delta t^\alpha b^i. \tag{60}$$

Multiplying by ϕ_{n-i} in (60), and taking sum for i from 1 to n to get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{n-i} \sum_{j=1}^i g_{i-j}^{(\alpha)} \delta a^j \leq \Delta t^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{n-i}(\mu_1 a^i + \mu_2 a^{i-1} + \mu_3 a^{i-2}) + \Delta t^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{n-i} b^i. \tag{61}$$

Using properties (54) and (55) of sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ from Lemma 3, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{n-i} \sum_{j=1}^i g_{i-j}^{(\alpha)} \delta a^j = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta a^j \sum_{i=j}^n \phi_{n-i} g_{i-j}^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta a^j = a^n,$$

and

$$\Delta t^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{n-i} b^i \leq \Delta t^\alpha \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} b^i \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{n-i} \leq \frac{(n\Delta t)^\alpha}{\alpha} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} b^i \leq \frac{t_n^\alpha}{\alpha} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} b^i.$$

For convenience, set $\Psi_n = \frac{t_n^\alpha}{\alpha} \max_{0 \le i \le n} b^i$. Also note that $\Psi_k \le \Psi_n$ for $n \ge k \ge 1$, then we have

$$a^n \le 2\Psi_n + 2\Delta t^\alpha \left(\mu_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_{n-i} a^i + \mu_2 \sum_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{n-i} a^{i-1} + \mu_3 \sum_{i=3}^{n} \phi_{n-i} a^{i-2} \right), \quad n \ge 1,$$

(62)

when $\Delta t \le \sqrt[\alpha]{\frac{1}{2\mu_1}}$.

Let $V = [a^n, a^{n-1}, \dots, a^1]'$; then, we can write (62) in a vector form by

$$V \le (\mu_1 W_1 + \mu_2 W_2 + \mu_3 W_3) V + 2\Psi_n e,$$

(63)

where

$$W_1 = 2\Delta t^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \phi_1 & \phi_2 & \cdots & \phi_{n-2} & \phi_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_1 & \cdots & \phi_{n-3} & \phi_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \phi_1 & \phi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \phi_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n},$$

$$W_2 = 2\Delta t^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \phi_0 & \phi_1 & \cdots & \phi_{n-3} & \phi_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_0 & \cdots & \phi_{n-4} & \phi_{n-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \phi_0 & \phi_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \phi_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n},$$

and

$$W_3 = 2\Delta t^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \phi_0 & \cdots & \phi_{n-4} & \phi_{n-3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \phi_{n-5} & \phi_{n-4} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \phi_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}.$$

From the definition of $\{\phi_n\}$, one can easily obtain

$$\phi_{n-k} \le \frac{\phi_n}{\left(g_{k-1}^{(\alpha)} - g_k^{(\alpha)} \right)} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2.$$

Thus, we get

$$W_2 V \le \frac{1}{\alpha} W_1 V \quad \text{and} \quad W_3 V \le \frac{2}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} W_1 V.$$

(64)

Using (64) in (63), we have

$$V \le \left(\mu_1 + \frac{\mu_2}{\alpha} + \frac{2\mu_3}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \right) W_1 V + 2\Psi_n e = WV + 2\Psi_n e,$$

where W is defined in (57) with $\mu = \mu_1 + \frac{\mu_2}{\alpha} + \frac{2\mu_3}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}$.
Furthermore

$$V \leq WV + 2\Psi_n e \leq W(WV + 2\Psi_n e) + 2\Psi_n e = W^2 V + 2\Psi_n \sum_{k=0}^{1} W^k e$$

$$\leq \ldots \leq W^n V + 2\Psi_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} W^k e.$$

Using the Lemma 4, we obtain (59) and this completes the proof of Lemma 5. □

Theorem 5 *Let (U_h^n, V_h^n) be the solution of fully discrete scheme (48)–(49). Then, there exists a positive constant Δt^*, such that when $\Delta t \leq \Delta t^*$, the solution (U_h^n, V_h^n) satisfies*

$$\|U_h^n\| + \|V_h^n\| \leq C, \tag{65}$$

where $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and C is a positive constant independent of h and Δt.

Proof First, we consider (49) which represents the case $n = 1$ of the linearized scheme. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2, we have the following estimate for the solution (U_h^1, V_h^1):

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha (\|U_h^1\|^2 + \|V_h^1\|^2) \leq C(1 + \|U_h^1\|^2 + \|V_h^1\|^2). \tag{66}$$

Using the same argument as above, we can further derive the following estimate for the solution (U_h^n, V_h^n) of the linearized scheme (48) which represents the case $n \geq 2$:

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha (\|U_h^n\|^2 + \|V_h^n\|^2) \leq C\Big(1 + (\|U_h^n\|^2 + \|V_h^n\|^2) + (\|U_h^{n-1}\|^2 + \|V_h^{n-1}\|^2)$$
$$+ (\|U_h^{n-2}\|^2 + \|V_h^{n-2}\|^2)\Big) \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 2. \tag{67}$$

With an application of Lemma 5, there exists a positive constant Δt^*, such that when $\Delta t \leq \Delta t^*$, then

$$\|U_h^n\| + \|V_h^n\| \leq C \quad \text{for} \ 1 \leq n \leq N.$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 6 *Let (u^n, v^n) be the solution of (1a)–(1d) and (U_h^n, V_h^n) be the solution of fully discrete scheme (48)–(49) Then, there exists a positive constant Δt^*, such that when $\Delta t \leq \Delta t^*$, the solution (U_h^n, V_h^n) satisfies*

$$\|u^n - U_h^n\| + \|v^n - V_h^n\| \leq C(\Delta t^2 + h^2), \tag{68}$$

where $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and C is a positive constant independent of h and Δt.

Proof First, we consider (49) which is the case for $n = 1$. From (1a)–(1b), we have

$$^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha u^1 - \Delta u^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = F_1(u^0, v^0) + F_{1u}(u^0, v^0)(u^{1,\alpha} - u^0) + F_{1v}(u^0, v^0)(v^{1,\alpha} - v^0) + E_1^1,$$
$$^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha v^1 - \Delta v^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = F_2(u^0, v^0) + F_{2u}(u^0, v^0)(u^{1,\alpha} - u^0) + F_{2v}(u^0, v^0)(v^{1,\alpha} - v^0) + E_2^1,$$

where errors E_1^1 and E_2^1 are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_1^1 = {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha u^1 - {}^R D_{\Delta t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha u + F_1(u^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - \Big(F_1\left(u^0, v^0\right) + F_{1u}\left(u^0, v^0\right)\left(u^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - u^0\right) \\
+ F_{1v}\left(u^0, v^0\right)(v^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - v^0)\Big) + F_1\left(u^0, v^0\right) + F_{1u}\left(u^0, v^0\right)\left(u^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - u^0\right) \\
+ F_{1v}\left(u^0, v^0\right)\left(v^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - v^0\right) - \Big(F_1(u^0, v^0) + F_{1u}(u^0, v^0)(u^{1,\alpha} - u^0) \\
+ F_{1v}\left(u^0, v^0\right)\left(v^{1,\alpha} - v^0\right)\Big), \\
E_2^1 = {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha v^1 - {}^R D_{\Delta t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha v + F_2\left(u^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) - \Big(F_2(u^0, v^0) + F_{2u}(u^0, v^0)(u^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - u^0) \\
+ F_{2v}\left(u^0, v^0\right)\left(v^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - v^0\right)\Big) + F_2\left(u^0, v^0\right) + F_{2u}\left(u^0, v^0\right)\left(u^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - u^0\right) \\
+ F_{2v}\left(u^0, v^0\right)\left(v^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - v^0\right) - \Big(F_2\left(u^0, v^0\right) + F_{2u}\left(u^0, v^0\right)\left(u^{1,\alpha} - u^0\right) \\
+ F_{2v}\left(u^0, v^0\right)\left(v^{1,\alpha} - v^0\right)\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{69}
$$

Using (3), hypothesis $H1$, $H2$, and Taylor expansion in (69), errors are bounded by

$$
\|E_1^1\| \le C\left(\Delta t^2\right), \quad \|E_2^1\| \le C\left(\Delta t^2\right).
$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4, we can get the following estimate for θ_{1h}^1, θ_{2h}^1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha \left(\|\theta_{1h}^1\|^2 + \|\theta_{2h}^1\|^2\right) \le \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 (3 + 4C_0^* + 4C_0^{**})(\|\theta_{1h}^1\|^2 + \|\theta_{2h}^1\|^2) \\
+ C\left(\Delta t^2 + h^2\right)^2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{70}
$$

where $C_0^* = \max\{|F_{1u}(0,0)|^2, |F_{1v}(0,0)|^2\}$ and $C_0^{**} = \max\{|F_{2u}(0,0)|^2, |F_{2v}(0,0)|^2\}$.
Next, for case $n \ge 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha u^n - \Delta u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = F_1(\bar{u}^{n,\alpha}, \bar{v}^{n,\alpha}) + E_1^n, \\
{}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha v^n - \Delta v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = F_2(\bar{u}^{n,\alpha}, \bar{v}^{n,\alpha}) + E_2^n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where E_1^n and E_2^n are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_1^n = {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha u^n - {}^R D_{\Delta t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha u + F_1(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - F_1(\bar{u}^{n,\alpha}, \bar{v}^{n,\alpha}), \\
E_2^n = {}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha v^n - {}^R D_{\Delta t_{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^\alpha v + F_2(u^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, v^{n-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) - F_2(\bar{u}^{n,\alpha}, \bar{v}^{n,\alpha}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, using (3), hypothesis $H1$, and Taylor expansion to get

$$
\|E_1^n\| \le C(\Delta t^2), \quad \|E_2^n\| \le C(\Delta t^2).
$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4, we can obtain the following estimate for θ_{1h}^n, θ_{2h}^n for $n \ge 2$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^R D_{\Delta t}^\alpha \left(\|\theta_{1h}^n\|^2 + \|\theta_{2h}^n\|^2\right) \le \mu_1(\|\theta_{1h}^n\|^2 + \|\theta_{2h}^n\|^2) + \mu_2(\|\theta_{1h}^{n-1}\|^2 + \|\theta_{2h}^{n-1}\|^2) \\
+ \mu_3(\|\theta_{1h}^{n-2}\|^2 + \|\theta_{2h}^{n-2}\|^2) + C\left(\Delta t^2 + h^2\right)^2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{71}
$$

where

Table 1 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in the spatial direction for the scheme (6) for Example 1

h	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	2.2408e−1	–	5.4973e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	5.8576e−2	1.9356	1.4257e−2	1.9471
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.4772e−2	1.9875	3.5702e−3	1.9976
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	3.7009e−3	1.9969	8.9301e−4	1.9993
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	2.2345e−1	–	5.4518e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	5.8280e−2	1.9388	1.3943e−2	1.9672
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.4692e−2	1.9880	3.4888e−3	1.9988
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	3.6806e−3	1.9970	8.7250e−4	1.9995

Table 2 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in the spatial direction for the scheme (48)–(49) for Example 1

h	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	2.2408e−1	–	5.4974e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	5.8576e−2	1.9356	1.4258e−2	1.9470
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.4772e−2	1.9875	3.5709e−3	1.9974
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	3.7010e−3	1.9969	8.9377e−4	1.9983
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	2.2345e−1	–	5.4519e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	5.8280e−2	1.9388	1.3944e−2	1.9671
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.4692e−2	1.9880	3.4892e−3	1.9986
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	3.6806e−3	1.9970	8.7296e−4	1.9989

$$\mu_1 = 2\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 \max\{L_1 + 2, L_2 + 2\},$$

$$\mu_2 = \max\left\{2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 (L_1 + 2) + 8\left(2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 (L_1 + L_2),\right.$$

$$\left. 2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 (L_2 + 2) + 8\left(2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 (L_1 + L_2)\right\},$$

$$\mu_3 = 8\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 (L_1 + L_2).$$

Using Lemma 5 in (70) and (71), there exists a positive constant Δt^*, such that when $\Delta t \leq \Delta t^*$, then

$$\|\theta_{1h}^n\| + \|\theta_{2h}^n\| \leq C\left(\Delta t^2 + h^2\right).$$

Table 3 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in the temporal direction for the scheme (6) for Example 1

Δt	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	2.8865e−3	–	3.2781e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	7.2523e−4	1.9928	8.2436e−4	1.9915
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	1.8186e−4	1.9956	2.0679e−4	1.9951
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	4.5623e−5	1.9950	5.1814e−5	1.9968
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	4.6949e−3	–	3.5901e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.1795e−3	1.9930	8.9945e−4	1.9969
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	2.9569e−4	1.9960	2.2520e−4	1.9978
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	7.4116e−5	1.9962	5.6369e−5	1.9982

Table 4 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in the temporal direction for the scheme (48)–(49) for Example 1

Δt	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	1.2402e−2	–	1.1390e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	3.8418e−3	1.6907	3.5514e−3	1.6813
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	1.0544e−3	1.8654	9.8720e−4	1.8469
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	2.7358e−4	1.9463	2.5770e−4	1.9377
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	1.0290e−2	–	9.1076e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	3.0423e−3	1.7581	2.6875e−3	1.7608
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	8.1249e−4	1.9047	7.2287e−4	1.8945
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	2.0806e−4	1.9653	1.8564e−4	1.9612

Now, an application of triangular inequality and Theorem 3 completes the proof of Theorem 6. □

4 Numerical examples

In this section, we test the computational efficiency and numerical accuracy of proposed schemes (6) and (48)–(49) by three numerical examples to verify theoretical estimates. For the one-dimensional problem, we consider the spatial domain $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and for the two-dimensional problem, we take $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. In Newton's method, tolerance is taken to be $\epsilon = 10^{-7}$. The errors and convergence rates are calculated in $L^2(\Omega)$ norm at final time level T for different values of α. All numerical experiments are performed on a Windows 10, 64-bit operating system with 8 GB RAM and Intel(R) Core 3.41 GHz processor using the software MATLAB.

Table 5 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in the spatial direction for the scheme (6) for Example 2

h	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	3.1307e−1	–	9.7201e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	1.0164e−1	1.6230	2.6594e−2	1.8699
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	2.7265e−2	1.8983	6.8114e−3	1.9651
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	6.9405e−3	1.9740	1.7137e−3	1.9908
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	3.1232e−1	–	9.5789e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	1.0125e−1	1.6250	2.6103e−2	1.8756
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	2.7146e−2	1.8992	6.6779e−3	1.9668
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	6.9090e−3	1.9742	1.6797e−3	1.9912

Table 6 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in the spatial direction for the scheme (48)–(49) for Example 2

h	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	3.1307e−1	–	9.7201e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	1.0164e−1	1.6230	2.6594e−2	1.8699
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	2.7266e−2	1.8983	6.8117e−3	1.9650
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	6.9406e−3	1.9739	1.7140e−3	1.9906
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	3.1232e−1	–	9.5790e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	1.0125e−1	1.6250	2.6103e−2	1.8756
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	2.7146e−2	1.8992	6.6781e−3	1.9667
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	6.9091e−3	1.9742	1.6799e−3	1.9910

Table 7 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in the temporal direction for the scheme (6) for Example 2

Δt	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	1.4112e−2	–	2.5400e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	3.6367e−3	1.9562	6.7323e−3	1.9156
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	9.3715e−4	1.9563	1.7332e−3	1.9577
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	2.5236e−4	1.8928	4.4323e−4	1.9673
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	1.8858e−2	–	3.4166e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	4.8083e−3	1.9716	8.9212e−3	1.9373
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.2285e−3	1.9686	2.2774e−3	1.9699
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	3.2488e−4	1.9190	5.7864e−4	1.9766

Table 8 L^2-errors and convergence rates in the temporal direction for the scheme (48)–(49) for Example 2

Δt	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	1.7874e−2	–	3.7925e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	5.5921e−3	1.6764	1.0541e−2	1.8472
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.5752e−3	1.8279	2.7610e−3	1.9327
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	4.2713e−4	1.8828	7.1008e−4	1.9592
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	2.1312e−2	–	4.5540e−2	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	6.1078e−3	1.8029	1.2297e−2	1.8888
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.6509e−3	1.8874	3.1794e−3	1.9515
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	4.4112e−4	1.9040	8.1174e−4	1.9697

Table 9 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in the spatial direction for the scheme (6) for Example 3

h	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.1$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	3.0593e−3	–	1.8285e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	7.7740e−4	1.9765	4.6513e−4	1.9750
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.9516e−4	1.9940	1.1680e−4	1.9936
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	4.8842e−5	1.9985	2.9233e−5	1.9984
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	2.4792e−3	–	2.2441e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	6.3010e−4	1.9762	5.7072e−4	1.9753
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.5819e−4	1.9939	1.4330e−4	1.9937
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	3.9590e−5	1.9984	3.5866e−5	1.9984
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	2.1524e−3	–	2.5673e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	5.4714e−4	1.9760	6.5291e−4	1.9753
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.3737e−4	1.9939	1.6394e−4	1.9937
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	3.4380e−5	1.9984	4.1030e−5	1.9984
$\alpha = 0.9$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	1.7368e−3	–	3.1343e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	4.4154e−4	1.9758	7.9713e−4	1.9753
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.1086e−4	1.9938	2.0015e−4	1.9937
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	2.7746e−5	1.9984	5.0093e−5	1.9984

Table 10 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in the spatial direction for the scheme (48)–(49) for Example 3

h	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.1$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	3.0593e−3	–	1.8285e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	7.7740e−4	1.9765	4.6513e−4	1.9750
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.9516e−4	1.9940	1.1680e−4	1.9936
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	4.8843e−5	1.9985	2.9233e−5	1.9984
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	2.4792e−3	–	2.2441e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	6.3010e−4	1.9762	5.7072e−4	1.9753
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.5819e−4	1.9939	1.4330e−4	1.9937
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	3.9591e−5	1.9984	3.5866e−5	1.9984
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	2.1524e−3	–	2.5673e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	5.4714e−4	1.9760	6.5291e−4	1.9753
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.3737e−4	1.9939	1.6394e−4	1.9937
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	3.4380e−5	1.9984	4.1030e−5	1.9984
$\alpha = 0.9$				
$\frac{1}{2^2}$	1.7368e−3	–	3.1343e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^3}$	4.4154e−4	1.9758	7.9713e−4	1.9753
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.1086e−4	1.9938	2.0015e−4	1.9937
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	2.7746e−5	1.9984	5.0093e−5	1.9984

Example 1 Consider the following one-dimensional problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^C D_t^\alpha u - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} &= F_1(u, v) + f_1, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, 1], \\
{}^C D_t^\alpha v - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} &= F_2(u, v) + f_2, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, 1], \\
u(x, t) = v(x, t) &= 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \in (0, 1], \\
u(x, 0) = v(x, 0) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\tag{72}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_1(u, v) &= 5 + u^4 + v^5, \\
F_2(u, v) &= 10 + u^5 + v^4.
\end{aligned}
$$

Source terms f_1, f_2 are calculated using the exact solution (u, v) which is given by

$$
u(x, t) = t^{2+\alpha} \sin(2\pi x), \quad v(x, t) = t^{3-\alpha} \sin(\pi x),
$$

where $x \in [0, 1]$.

Table 11 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in the temporal direction for the scheme (6) for Example 3

Δt	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.1$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	7.6624e−5	–	7.4644e−5	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	1.9562e−5	1.9697	1.9346e−5	1.9480
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	4.9419e−6	1.9849	4.9224e−6	1.9742
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	1.2424e−6	1.9919	1.2422e−6	1.9868
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	2.5498e−4	–	2.5525e−4	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	6.4926e−5	1.9735	6.5174e−5	1.9696
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	1.6378e−5	1.9870	1.6462e−5	1.9851
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	4.1133e−6	1.9934	4.1370e−6	1.9925
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	3.2563e−4	–	3.3518e−4	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	8.2622e−5	1.9786	8.4875e−5	1.9815
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	2.0803e−5	1.9898	2.1351e−5	1.9910
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	5.2192e−6	1.9949	5.3546e−6	1.9955
$\alpha = 0.9$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	3.5811e−4	–	3.9331e−4	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	9.0119e−5	1.9905	9.8606e−5	1.9960
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	2.2590e−5	1.9962	2.4685e−5	1.9980
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	5.6544e−6	1.9982	6.1760e−6	1.9989

In this example, we first validate the accuracy of schemes (6) and (48)–(49) in the spatial direction. We take $\Delta t = 10^{-3}$ sufficiently small temporal step size with different values of h. Next, we confirm the accuracy of schemes (6) and (48)–(49) in the temporal direction. We take $h = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ sufficiently small spatial step size with different values of Δt.

$L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates for schemes (6) and (48)–(49) in the spatial direction are given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Similarly, $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates corresponding to schemes (6) and (48)–(49) in the temporal direction are given in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. It can be seen that, for $\alpha = 0.4, \ 0.6$, these estimated convergence rates are tending to limit close to 2 for both schemes, which is in accordance with theoretically derived convergence orders.

Example 2 Consider the following two-dimensional problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^C D_t^\alpha u - \Delta u &= F_1(u, v) + f_1, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, 1], \\
{}^C D_t^\alpha v - \Delta v &= F_2(u, v) + f_2, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, 1], \\
u(x, t) = v(x, t) &= 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \in (0, 1], \\
u(x, 0) = v(x, 0) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega,
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Table 12 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in temporal direction for the scheme (48)–(49) for Example 3

Δt	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.1$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	4.1420e−4	–	1.0455e−4	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	1.1113e−4	1.8981	2.7829e−5	1.9096
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	2.8711e−5	1.9525	7.1562e−6	1.9593
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	7.2921e−6	1.9772	1.8130e−6	1.9808
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	5.2127e−4	–	2.7551e−4	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	1.3765e−4	1.9210	7.0967e−5	1.9569
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	3.5313e−5	1.9627	1.7989e−5	1.9800
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	8.9395e−6	1.9819	4.5275e−6	1.9904
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	5.4281e−4	–	3.5016e−4	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	1.4205e−4	1.9340	8.9135e−5	1.9740
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	3.6287e−5	1.9689	2.2471e−5	1.9879
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	9.1672e−6	1.9849	5.6407e−6	1.9941
$\alpha = 0.9$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	5.0251e−4	–	4.0224e−4	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	1.2962e−4	1.9549	1.0104e−4	1.9931
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	3.2876e−5	1.9792	2.5316e−5	1.9969
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	8.2763e−6	1.9900	6.3361e−6	1.9984

where

$$F_1(u, v) = \sin(u) + v^2,$$
$$F_2(u, v) = u^2 + \sin(v),$$

and source terms f_1, f_2 are calculated using the exact solution (u, v) which is given by

$$u(x, t) = t^3 \sin(2\pi x_1) \sin(2\pi x_2), \quad v(x, t) = t^4 \sin(\pi x_1) \sin(\pi x_2),$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

Again for this problem, we first validate the accuracy of schemes (6) and (48)–(49) in the spatial direction. We take $\Delta t = 10^{-3}$ sufficiently small temporal step size with different values of h. Next, we confirm the accuracy of schemes (6) and (48)–(49) in the temporal direction. We take $h = \frac{1}{525}$ sufficiently small spatial step size with different values of Δt.

In addition, $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates for schemes (6) and (48)–(49) in the spatial direction are given in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. Similarly, $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates corresponding to schemes (6) and (48)–(49) in the temporal direction are given in Tables 7 and 8, respectively. It can be seen that, for $\alpha = 0.4$, 0.6, these estimated convergence rates are tending to limit close to 2 for both schemes, which is in accordance with theoretically derived convergence orders.

Table 13 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in the temporal direction for the scheme (75) with $L1$ approximation for Example 3

Δt	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.1$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.6446e−5	–	1.3432e−5	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	5.0554e−6	1.7019	4.2228e−6	1.6695
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	1.5129e−6	1.7405	1.2824e−6	1.7194
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	4.4417e−7	1.7682	3.8039e−7	1.7533
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.5728e−4	–	1.4003e−4	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	5.6423e−5	1.4790	5.0508e−5	1.4712
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	1.9700e−5	1.5181	1.7694e−5	1.5133
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	6.7618e−6	1.5427	6.0860e−6	1.5397
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	4.1744e−4	–	3.9243e−4	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	1.6851e−4	1.3087	1.5766e−4	1.3156
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	6.6338e−5	1.3450	6.1887e−5	1.3491
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	2.5735e−5	1.3661	2.3966e−5	1.3687
$\alpha = 0.9$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.4023e−3	–	1.4787e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	6.8025e−4	1.0437	7.1079e−4	1.0569
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	3.2403e−4	1.0699	3.3679e−4	1.0776
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	1.5285e−4	1.0840	1.5840e−4	1.0883

Example 3 Consider the following one-dimensional problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{C}D_t^\alpha u - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} &= F_1(u, v) + f_1, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, 0.5], \\
{}^{C}D_t^\alpha v - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} &= F_2(u, v) + f_2, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, 0.5], \\
u(x, t) = v(x, t) &= 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \in (0, 0.5], \\
u(x, 0) = v(x, 0) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\tag{74}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_1(u, v) &= u^2 + \sin(u) + v^2, \\
F_2(u, v) &= u^2 + \cos(v) + v^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Source terms f_1, f_2 are calculated using the exact solution (u, v) which is given by

$$
u(x, t) = t^{3+\alpha} x(1 - x)e^x, \quad v(x, t) = t^{4-\alpha} x(1 - x)e^x,
$$

where $x \in [0, 1]$. In this example, we first verify the spatial accuracy of schemes (6) and (48)–(49) by refining the spatial step size h for fixed $\Delta t = 2 \times 10^{-4}$. Next, we validate the

Table 14 $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates in the temporal direction for the scheme (75) with GL approximation for Example 3

Δt	$\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate	$\|v^N - V_h^N\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$	Rate
$\alpha = 0.1$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	8.0003e−5	–	5.3412e−5	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	4.0527e−5	0.9812	2.7199e−5	0.9736
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	2.0395e−5	0.9907	1.3723e−5	0.9869
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	1.0230e−5	0.9954	6.8925e−6	0.9935
$\alpha = 0.4$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	4.3880e−4	–	3.7659e−4	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	2.2381e−4	0.9713	1.9249e−4	0.9682
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	1.1302e−4	0.9857	9.7304e−5	0.9842
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	5.6790e−5	0.9929	4.8918e−5	0.9922
$\alpha = 0.6$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	8.1120e−4	–	7.8307e−4	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	4.1539e−4	0.9656	4.0048e−4	0.9674
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	2.1018e−4	0.9828	2.0251e−4	0.9838
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	1.0572e−4	0.9914	1.0182e−4	0.9919
$\alpha = 0.9$				
$\frac{1}{2^4}$	1.6424e−3	–	1.7778e−3	–
$\frac{1}{2^5}$	8.4458e−4	0.9595	9.0962e−4	0.9668
$\frac{1}{2^6}$	4.2827e−4	0.9797	4.6009e−4	0.9834
$\frac{1}{2^7}$	2.1565e−4	0.9898	2.3137e−4	0.9917

temporal accuracy of schemes (6) and (48)–(49) by refining the temporal step size Δt for fixed $h = 2 \times 10^{-4}$.

We report $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates for schemes (6) and (48)–(49) in the spatial direction in Tables 9 and 10, respectively. Similarly, $L^2(\Omega)$ errors and convergence rates corresponding to schemes (6) and (48)–(49) in the temporal direction are given in Tables 11 and 12, respectively. We note that, for $\alpha = 0.1,\ 0.4,\ 0.6,\ 0.9$, these estimated convergence rates are in accordance with theoretically derived convergence orders.

To compare our numerical results with the other methods in the literature, we propose another fully discrete Galerkin finite element scheme in a unified way. The scheme is used to approximate the solution $u(t_n),\ v(t_n)$ by $U_h^n,\ V_h^n \in X_h$, such that for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N$, we have

$$\langle {}^R D_N^\alpha U_h^n, w_h \rangle + \langle \nabla U_h^n, \nabla w_h \rangle = \langle F_1(U_h^n, V_h^n), w_h \rangle, \quad \forall w_h \in X_h,$$
$$\langle {}^R D_N^\alpha V_h^n, \omega_h \rangle + \langle \nabla V_h^n, \nabla \omega_h \rangle = \langle F_2(U_h^n, V_h^n), \omega_h \rangle, \quad \forall \omega_h \in X_h, \qquad (75)$$
$$U_h^0 = 0, \quad V_h^0 = 0,$$

where the discrete operator ${}^R D_N^\alpha$ denotes either L1 approximation (Lin and Xu 2007) or GL approximation (Podlubny 1999).

The comparison of the scheme (6) with the $L1$-Galerkin finite element scheme and the GL-Galerkin finite element scheme is illustrated for Example 3. The validation of the accuracy of the scheme (75) is done by selecting the same Δt and h as in Example 3. Due to the same convergence order in the spatial direction, we only include the numerical results in temporal direction for the scheme (75) with $L1$ approximation and GL approximation in Tables 13 and 14, respectively. Theoretically, the $L1$ approximation has $O(\Delta t^{2-\alpha})$ accuracy (Lin and Xu 2007), while GL approximation is $O(\Delta t)$ accurate (Podlubny 1999). Tables 13 and 14 report that the temporal convergence rates are in accordance with theoretical convergence order. We conclude that the Galerkin finite element scheme (6) with fractional Crank–Nicolson method provides $O(\Delta t^2 + h^2)$ accuracy, whereas the scheme (75) with $L1$ and GL approximation is $O(\Delta t^{2-\alpha} + h^2)$ and $O(\Delta t + h^2)$ accurate, respectively. Thus, the schemes based on fractional Crank–Nicolson method have superior convergence in the temporal direction as compared to the $L1$ method as well as GL approximation.

5 Conclusions

In this study, two new fully discrete schemes for solving coupled time-fractional nonlinear diffusion system are proposed. Well-posedness results are discussed at discrete level for both schemes. We established discrete fractional Grönwall-type inequality for GL approximation to the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative which is useful in the analysis of linearized schemes for TFPDEs. Furthermore, convergence estimates in $L^2(\Omega)$ norm for proposed schemes are derived. Numerical results are provided in support of our theoretical estimates, thus providing $O(\Delta t^2 + h^2)$ accuracy. In addition, the proposed fractional Crank–Nicolson method is compared with some existing methods in the literature.

References

Chaudhary S (2018) Crank-Nicolson-Galerkin finite element scheme for nonlocal coupled parabolic problem using the Newton's method. Math Methods Appl Sci 41(2):724–749

Dimitrov Y (2014) Numerical approximations for fractional differential equations. J Fract Calc Appl 5(22):1–45

Dumitru B, Kai D, Enrico S (2012) Fractional calculus: models and numerical methods, vol 3. World Scientific, Singapore

Gao GH, Sun HW, Sun ZZ (2015) Stability and convergence of finite difference schemes for a class of time-fractional sub-diffusion equations based on certain superconvergence. J Comput Phys 280:510–528

Govaerts W, Pryce J (1990) Block elimination with one refinement solves bordered linear systems accurately. BIT Numer Math 30(3):490–507

Hajipour M, Jajarmi A, Baleanu D, Sun H (2019) On an accurate discretization of a variable-order fractional reaction-diffusion equation. Commun Nonlinear Sci Num Simul 69:119–133

Jin B, Li B, Zhou Z (2017) An analysis of the Crank–Nicolson method for subdiffusion. IMA J Numer Anal 38(1):518–541

Jin B, Li B, Zhou Z (2018) Numerical analysis of nonlinear subdiffusion equations. SIAM J Numer Anal 56(1):1–23

Kilbas AA, Srivastava HM, Trujillo JJ (2006) Theory and applications of fractional differential equations. Elsevier, Amsterdam

Kou SC (2008) Stochastic modeling in nanoscale biophysics: subdiffusion within proteins. Ann Appl Stat 2(2):501–535

Kumar D, Chaudhary S, Kumar V (2018) Fractional Crank–Nicolson–Galerkin finite element scheme for the time-fractional nonlinear diffusion equation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.08485

Li D, Liao HL, Sun W, Wang J, Zhang J (2018) Analysis of L1-Galerkin FEMs for time-fractional nonlinear parabolic problems. Commun Comput Phys 24(1):86–103

Li L, Jin L, Fang S (2015) Existence and uniqueness of the solution to a coupled fractional diffusion system. Adv Diff Equ 2015(1):370

Li M, Gu XM, Huang C, Fei M, Zhang G (2018) A fast linearized conservative finite element method for the strongly coupled nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations. J Comput Phys 358:256–282

Liao HL, McLean W, Zhang J (2019) A discrete gronwall inequality with applications to numerical schemes for subdiffusion problems. SIAM J Numer Anal 57(1):218–237

Liao Hl, Yan Y, Zhang J (2018) Unconditional convergence of a fast two-level linearized algorithm for semi-linear subdiffusion equations. J Sci Comput. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-019-00927-0

Lin Y, Xu C (2007) finite difference/spectral approximations for the time-fractional diffusion equation. J Comput Phys 225(2):1533–1552

Liu N, Liu Y, Li H, Wang J (2018) Time second-order finite difference/finite element algorithm for nonlinear time-fractional diffusion problem with fourth-order derivative term. Comput Math Appl 75(10):3521–3536

Podlubny I (1999) Fractional differential equations. Academic press, Cambridge

Rannacher R, Scott R (1982) Some optimal error estimates for piecewise linear finite element approximations. Math Comput 38(158):437–445

Sun W, Wang J (2017) Optimal error analysis of Crank-Nicolson schemes for a coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system in 3D. J Comput Appl Math 317:685–699

Thomée V (1984) Galerkin finite element methods for parabolic problems, vol 1054. Springer, Berlin

Wang Y, Liu Y, Li H, Wang J (2016) Finite element method combined with second-order time discrete scheme for nonlinear fractional cable equation. Eur Phys J Plus 131(3):61

West BJ (2007) Fractional calculus in bioengineering. J Stat Phys 126(6):1285–1286