



Revisiting the ‘Whys’ and ‘Hows’ of the Warm-Up: Are We Asking the Right Questions?

José Afonso¹ · João Brito² · Eduardo Abade^{2,7} · Gonçalo Rendeiro-Pinho⁴ · Ivan Baptista^{1,5} · Pedro Figueiredo⁶ · Fábio Yuzo Nakamura³

Accepted: 8 August 2023 / Published online: 2 September 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

The warm-up is considered beneficial for increasing body temperature, stimulating the neuromuscular system and overall preparing the athletes for the demands of training sessions and competitions. Even when warm-up–derived benefits are slight and transient, they may still benefit preparedness for subsequent efforts. However, sports training and competition performance are highly affected by contextual factors (e.g., how is the opponent acting?), and it is not always clear what should be the preferred warm-up modalities, structure and load for each athlete and context. Further, we propose that the warm-up can also be used as a pedagogical and training moment. The warm-up may serve several different (albeit complementary) goals (e.g., rising body temperature, neuromuscular activation, attentional focus) and be performed under a plethora of different structures, modalities, and loads. The current commentary highlights the warm-up period as an opportunity to teach or improve certain skills or physical capacities, and not only as a preparation for the subsequent efforts. Moreover, the (justified) call for individualized warm-ups would benefit from educating athletes about exploring different warm-up tasks and loads, providing a broad foundation for future individualization of the warm-up and for more active, engaged, and well-informed participation of the athletes in deciding their own warm-up practices.

Key Points

The warm-up is usually a means to an end (e.g., preparing for subsequent performance), but its pedagogical and training potential should be acknowledged.

The warm-up may serve multiple complementary goals (e.g., cognitive and neuromuscular readiness).

The acute effects of the warm-up in reducing injury rates are controversial and require further research.

Athletes could benefit from long-term exposure to different structures, modalities, and loads of warm-ups, shaping the background for future individualization of the warm-ups or even self-warm-ups (i.e., unsupervised warm-ups).

1 Premise

In sports, the warm-up is a classical topic that has been debated and studied for years, both in terms of why (or whether) it should be performed and how to be best implemented [1–13]. As the body of knowledge grew, the field became pervaded with terms such as prior or pre-exercise [12, 14], pre-activation [10, 15], post-activation potentiation (PAP) [6, 16], post-activation performance enhancement (PAPE) [13, 17, 18], and active versus passive warm-up [19, 20]. Moreover, the heterogeneous warm-up structures, protocols, study designs, samples, and comparators have resulted in a conflicting body of evidence [4, 5, 7, 8].

At this point, it is probably time to discuss and attempt to clarify some key concepts behind the warm-up. This commentary will focus on critically exploring the reasons for warming up and exploring possibilities of how to implement a warm-up. Most presented concepts could probably be applied to the concept of re-warm-up. However, the re-warm-up moment may present specific spatial, temporal, and material constraints (e.g., intervals of official matches, different venues) [2, 11, 21], requiring a more in-depth discussion that falls outside the scope of our current commentary.

2 What is the Warm-Up Used For?

The term ‘warm-up’ suggests elevation of the body temperature [5, 7, 8, 22–24], which is perhaps the first thing that comes to mind when discussing the subject. However, elevation of temperature per se is not very useful in guiding the design and structure of a warm-up, since nearly any active protocol can be used effectively as a warm-up, such as dynamic stretching, PAP, and multimodal protocols (e.g., FIFA 11+) [2, 3, 25]. Even passive means (e.g., thermal clothes) used in isolation or combined with an active warm-up may effectively elevate the body temperature [5, 20, 26]. Therefore, increasing body temperature is an effect that will result from most warm-up protocols. Nevertheless, the specifics of *how* the warm-up is implemented matter (e.g., [2–4, 11, 12]), so other goals should be considered.

A goal of the warm-up might also be to engage in ‘neuromuscular’ activation or potentiation, that is, to better prepare the body for the demands of the training session or competition [5, 7, 9, 20, 27]. We are aware that the term ‘neuromuscular’ might be used loosely and broadly. Still, the idea behind it is that the warm-up should include some features that promote neuromuscular readiness that will be required later in the training session (or competition) [9, 27]. Of note, this feature would suggest that warm-ups should be specific instead of general (i.e., mimicking some demands of the sport) [28–30]. Things are more complex than that, and this topic will be discussed further below.

The warm-up may also act as a tool to improve readiness and mentally prepare the athlete for subsequent tasks [5, 23, 31–34], thereby transitioning the athlete from the ‘outer world’ and daily life to the specificities of the sports context. These benefits of the warm-up are not presented here as more or less relevant than the elevation of body temperature or neuromuscular potentiation, but as complementary. This time window could also be used for team bonding/strengthening team spirit [35] and/or perfecting sport-specific actions or routines [29, 33]. Since most athletes spend time with the warm-up, the activities performed within it should be well thought out and meaningful. Across an entire season, the accumulated volume of all warm-ups likely represents a significant percentage of the whole training volume, especially if using long warm-ups, which may last up to 45 min [21, 36, 37] or more [38, 39]. Therefore, the warm-up may play a relevant role in the overall learning of content and long-term training adaptations [40].

Additionally, the warm-up could help athletes who feel pain or discomfort (e.g., athletes in rehabilitation). In such cases, performing specific exercises as part of a warm-up routine could help alleviate unwanted symptoms. For

example, a single bout of isometric exercises may induce analgesia and decrease inhibition in athletes with patellar tendinopathy [41], potentially helping them to feel better prepared to cope with the subsequent training session. However, we acknowledge the potential ethical risks involved in such practices. For example, could such exercise-induced analgesia be conducive to the athlete trying too hard, going too far, with subsequent aggravation of the pre-existing condition (e.g., injury)? Although such a debate would lead us astray from the main goals of this opinion paper, we acknowledge that this potential role for the warm-up should be carefully discussed between all the relevant agents and be implemented consciously.

The goals delineated so far (i.e., the elevation of body temperature, neuromuscular and mental readiness, special preparation for athletes with pathologies or recovering from injuries) can be trimmed down to a simple statement: the warm-up is expected to prepare the athletes for performing in the subsequent training session or competition [1, 5, 6, 9, 14, 17, 22, 25].

There is also the controversial issue of injury prevention. Despite widespread claims that the warm-up is essential for injury prevention (i.e., risk reduction), there is no data to prove this general belief [3]. Reviews that suggest otherwise are based on the chronic effects of applying a warm-up program and not on the acute effects of the warm-up [9, 12]. To demonstrate that a warm-up acutely reduces injury risk, studies would have to randomly divide teams or athletes into groups, one of which would warm-up, and the others would start the main training session without performing a warm-up. Then, acute injury occurrence (i.e., in that specific session) would be registered prospectively. Given the reduced frequency of injuries in sports and the limited capacity to recruit large numbers of athletes for research, such investigation would hardly be tested or replicated properly.

Currently, we know of no studies that have performed these steps. Again, ethical issues potentially arise. If the warm-up is believed to decrease injury risk, and accepting that the physiological arguments involved are reasonable (e.g., elevation of body temperature), is it ethical to propose such experimental designs? We believe so. Firstly, beliefs in sports sciences may be wrong and should be tested. Secondly, it is unclear whether a no warm-up group would constitute a real no warm-up group: by engaging in the main training session, the athletes would be automatically warming up, and perhaps we would be discussing semantics (as was previously discussed, almost anything can ‘warm-up’ the athlete, even passive means). Technically, ‘no warm-up’ only means there are no organized/structured activities preceding the main exercises of the session; however, one should note there will still be a ‘warming up’ during those main exercises.

The absence of evidence that the warm-up acutely reduces injury risk does not mean there is no such effect; it only means there is currently no proof of that effect. However, in science, we contend that the burden of proof should be on the shoulders of the proponents [42–44]. Therefore, claims that a warm-up is relevant for acute injury prevention should wait for evidence from empirical studies.

Finally, although there are some generic goals of the warm-up (e.g., elevating body temperature), the specific goals of each warm-up (e.g., improving ball control in soccer) may vary depending on the aims of the ensuing training session or competition.

3 How to Implement a Warm-Up?

There has been a call for warm-up protocols to be designed to benefit the potentiation of subsequent performance (e.g., PAP and PAPE) [13, 17, 25, 45]. A separate concept would be ‘priming’ [46–48] (e.g., practice in the morning to boost performance later in that day), but this departs from the concept of warm-up. The broader term ‘warm-up’ may be considered an umbrella term, while terms such as PAP and PAPE have narrower meanings. Each approach presents distinct features, such as operating through different underlying mechanisms and acting at different time windows [5, 25, 45]. However, such discussion is beyond the scope of the current commentary. Here, the focus aims at keeping things simple and practical for the coaches.

Broadly speaking, two major implementation categories may apply: no warm-up (either active or passive) and warm-up. However, no warm-up does not mean absence of warming-up effects during the activities of the main part of the training session (as previously discussed). Counter-intuitively, the warm-up is not always clearly superior to no warm-up in terms of immediate performance enhancement for all assessed variables [19, 49, 50] and, even when the warm-up is superior to no warm-up, the magnitude of the effect of such improvement is sometimes small [8].

However, these comparisons between warm-up and no warm-up should be interpreted with caution because (i) lack of differences in certain assessed variables does not mean there would be no differences in other groups of variables and, indeed, within a single study assessing the effects of a warm-up there may be differences in some but not all variables (e.g., [17]); (ii) lack of differences in standardized tests does not imply lack of differences in more multifactorial (and difficult to assess) perspectives of performance or injury risk [51, 52]; (iii) given the interindividual variability in response to any training protocol (not exclusive to the warm-up) [53–55], mean values of the assessments may be averaging out potentially relevant differences [56, 57]; and/or (iv)

the studies may simply have lacked statistical power (small samples and/or too many outcomes) [58, 59].

Regardless, when considering how to implement a warm-up, maybe we should at least entertain the possibility of not implementing a warm-up, which could even prepare athletes for real-world scenarios, such as arriving late to the venue, with no to minimum time for the warm-up before a competition. Still, implementing a warm-up may at least have the merit of ‘playing it safe’, and numerous possibilities could be considered. Within the warm-up, different modalities may be considered. Several classifications/taxonomies are possible (e.g., general vs specific [60], neuromuscular vs traditional sport-specific [61], functional inertial vs traditional [62]), and most likely none will be unanimous. Here, we present five broad theme-based categories adapted from Cunha et al. [63] that we believe may be useful in adopting a broad perspective on the topic. Importantly, some tasks may apply to different categories, as they may serve multiple goals.

- (i) Generic warm-up (i.e., activities not necessarily related to a specific sport, such as running, skipping, light stretching). Interestingly, certain activities could be considered generic in some contexts and specific in others (e.g., skipping would be considered generic in volleyball but is likely to be considered specific in the context of track and field).¹ However, those tasks are likely to be implemented differently. For example, in our experience as coaches, we often observed how skipping-like drills in team sports are applied with reduced technical concerns or even under complete absence of supervision, something that would hardly occur in track and field.
- (ii) Physical conditioning-based warm-up (e.g., strength development, flexibility training). This can include general physical conditioning (i.e., not necessarily related to the overall sport-specific demands) and/or specific physical conditioning (i.e., aimed to improve sport-specific aspects of performance). Indeed, due to pragmatic reasons related to the scheduling of facilities, athletes may arrive earlier and use pre-training physical conditioning that also operates as a warm-up for the main session. This may fit well with the concept of PAPE and allows court/field-time to be entirely devoted to sport-specific, tactical-technical actions.
- (iii) Ludic warm-up (having fun, breaking routine). Again, a ludic warm-up may be completely unrelated to the sport (‘general’) or designed to address some sport-specific

¹ Although a generic warm-up may include activities that could be associated with physical conditioning, they are not performed as such. For example, athletes may run around the court, but just for ‘warming up’ and not particularly focused on running technique or running performance.

demands. In this context, we are not referring to any warm-up that was changed to be more ludic; instead, we are referring to warm-ups whose main goal is to be ludic, with all other goals being secondary. These might be especially appropriate to break the routine, circumvent monotony and/or release ‘tension’ in challenging moments.

- (iv) Sport-specific warm-up (i.e., activities that are specific to the sport but not necessarily related with the goals of the main training session or competition). An example would be soccer players engaging in low intensity ball dribbling drills, or basketball players performing 1 vs 1 drills with low-pressure opposition.
- (v) Training-session specific warm-up (i.e., not only sport specific but also specific for the ensuing training session). An example would be starting the warm-up with a downgraded version of the first main drill of the session (e.g., a less intense and/or less complex version of the drill).

In our opinion, all these warm-up modalities and possible combinations may have their place in sports, and several reasons may support such decision: (i) there will likely be substantial inter- and intraindividual variability in response² to the warm-up [25, 64], that is, different athletes may respond differently and/or in a different time window to the same warm-up, while the same athlete may require different warm-up tasks and/or loads depending on the day; (ii) while sport-specific and training-session specific warm-up routines are potentially the most time-efficient tasks, they may eventually lead to *excessive* monotony[65],³ something that could be investigated through understanding how athletes’ perceptions of the same warm-up change in the long term; (iii) exposing athletes to a greater variety of warm-ups may provide them with greater self-awareness and more options for the future [66]; (iv) in our opinion, athletes should have an active role in modulating their warm-up activities, which benefits from having been previously exposed to different warm-up modalities and loads.

In addition, although the warm-up supposedly improves performance, in most cases performance can only be assessed in proxy, standardized tests (e.g., vertical jumps,

linear sprinting, pre-programmed change of direction) (e.g., [67, 68]), and rarely in truly sport-specific tests (i.e., tests that would be specific to a particular sport). For example, what does it mean for an athlete to perform better in the first minutes of a soccer match, and how can we relate that to the actual warm-up effects? Is the athlete playing at a slower pace because the warm-up was insufficient or excessive, or due to strategic reasons and/or other contextual variables unrelated to the warm-up? Is the performance in the initial minutes post-warm-up related to the warm-up itself or is it related to how the opponent team has started the match?

Therefore, athletes’ perceptions and preferences about the warm-up should be considered [26, 32, 69], but again, these may depend on the individual repertoire of experiences. If the athletes have only experienced a very narrow set of warm-ups, they may not have sufficient knowledge or experience to assess whether those warm-ups are ‘optimal’ for them. This rationale further suggests the possibility of including an individualized section (either directed by a professional or self-warm-up), where each athlete can perform activities that are subjectively perceived to be most beneficial to the individual, considering that those strategies may vary from day to day, depending on how the athlete feels. In fact, this call for an individualized warm-up is not novel [5, 64, 66], and is part of a larger need to individualize exercise prescription as a whole [55, 70–72]. However, based on evidence generated through mean values, generalized programs may still be useful as a starting point, such as for beginner athletes or for when coaches are still getting to know their athletes.

For practical reasons, this may sometimes require the athlete to arrive earlier at the training venue and perform the individualized warm-up before the collective warm-up. For example, compromises might have to be established if an athlete has certain preferences regarding the warm-up, but the coach also wants to perform some team-bonding activities and/or group- or team-level drills that require some degree of collective warm-up. Consequently, these practices may also require the coaches to define specific moments for performing individual routines during the warm-up.

4 Elevating Performance or Generating Fatigue⁴? A Delicate Balance

The warm-up is expected to improve or potentiate performance (at least acutely). However, the warm-up is usually delivered through active means (i.e., exercise); therefore,

² There is a continuum of responsiveness, and each athlete may be at a different point of that continuum. However, whether that location in the continuum is stable in time (intraindividual variability) or for a given context is largely unknown.

³ Although specificity allows for a continuum of variability in stimuli (i.e., specificity does not necessarily entail repeating the same practices), that continuum is narrower than if non-specific stimuli were also considered. While this narrower spectrum may lead to increased long-term monotony, monotony is not inherently bad [65], and what constitutes excessive monotony is likely individual- and context-dependent.

⁴ Fatigue is a complex term and is used here merely for convenience. For our goals, the term is being used loosely to denote an acute feeling of tiredness.

the load imposed on the athlete should be considered. This brings about two potentially important effects: (i) if the warm-up is too intense and/or too long, it may generate acute fatigue more than it potentiates performance, potentially decreasing performance in the first minutes after the warm-up [73–75]; and (ii) even when this is not the case, the warm-up may contribute to accumulating fatigue that may compound in the final part of the training session or match. Therefore, the warm-up should often be a balancing act: if too little, it may not improve performance; if too much, it may generate excessive fatigue [25, 73]. As such, coaches should think carefully about the most appropriate warm-up duration, intensity, and sequence/order.

5 Additional Considerations for Warming Up Before Competitions

The warm-up for competitions could still abide by the previously noted features, but meaningful and relevant differences could be highlighted [5]. Preparing to engage in a training session differs from preparing to participate in a competition, especially if it is an official and/or very important competition. While before training sessions, the warm-up can be used to develop certain skills or capacities, before a competition, the warm-up will more likely fall into the category of a mere tool to improve subsequent performance. Here, especially if the stakes are high, perhaps routine may likely be more relevant than the nature and contents of the warm-up. Suppose the warm-up for the competition is standardized (with minor manipulations of intensity and duration depending on factors such as environmental conditions). In that case, the athletes can focus exclusively on the subsequent performance. By practicing their routines, a sense of comfort and preparedness may ensue, but also a recalibration or retuning of fine motor skills [1, 33].

However, not all competitions are the same; different stakes, different contexts, different opponents, different calendars (e.g., congested vs non-congested fixtures), and different accumulated fatigue levels may arise. This may open the door for more diversified pre-competition warm-ups (e.g., tasks, duration, intensity) that respect individual needs. And, if the stakes are low and/or the competition is expected to be easily surpassed, perhaps the pre-competition warm-up can be used as another opportunity to practice, to train (of course, this should be a tenet of many warm-ups for training sessions). Finally, relevant temporal, spatial, environmental and/or material constraints may force pre-competition warm-up to differ from pre-training warm-up.

6 Concluding Remarks

The warm-up is an activity that aims to improve subsequent performance without generating excessive fatigue and has an unclear role in acutely preventing injury. However, the warm-up could also be seen as an opportunity to teach or improve certain skills or capacities. Given the substantial inter- and intra-individual variability in response to training stimuli, and the many different goals that a training session (or competition) may have, a one-size-fits-all solution is unlikely to exist. Coaches may consider implementing different warm-up structures, using different tasks and loads, thereby providing the athletes with a larger practical knowledge base, and potentially building the tools for progressively better individualizing warm-up procedures later. Although these suggestions may extend to the re-warm-up, care must be taken due to specific spatial, temporal, and material conditions that may constraint the re-warm-up differently.

Funding Open access funding provided by FCTIFCCN (b-on).

Declarations

Support There was no financial or non-financial support for the work. There were no funders or sponsors.

Competing Interests The authors have no competing interests.

Data Availability Not applicable.

Acknowledgements None to disclose.

Authors Contributions All authors collaborated in the initial discussions of the topic and drafting the preliminary structure of the manuscript. JA was responsible for the initial drafting of the article, which was reviewed and edited by all authors. All authors were involved in the conception, design, and writing. All authors read and reviewed the manuscript critically for important intellectual content and approved the current version of the manuscript.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.








References

- Ajemian R, D'Ausilio A, Moorman H, Bizzi E. Why professional athletes need a prolonged period of warm-up and other peculiarities of human motor learning. *J Mot Behav.* 2010;42(6):381–8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222895.2010.528262>.
- Hammami A, Zois J, Slimani M, Russel M, Bouhlel E. The efficacy and characteristics of warm-up and re-warm-up practices in soccer players: a systematic review. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness.* 2018;58(1–2):135–49. <https://doi.org/10.23736/s0022-4707.16.06806-7>.
- McCrary JM, Ackermann BJ, Halaki M. A systematic review of the effects of upper body warm-up on performance and injury. *Br J Sports Med.* 2015;49(14):935–42. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2014-094228>.
- Palucci Vieira LH, Santinelli FB, Carling C, Kellis E, Santiago PRP, Barbieri FA. Acute effects of warm-up, exercise and recovery-related strategies on assessments of soccer kicking performance: a critical and systematic review. *Sports Med.* 2021;51(4):661–705. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-01391-9>.
- McGowan CJ, Pyne DB, Thompson KG, Rattray B. Warm-up strategies for sport and exercise: mechanisms and applications. *Sports Med.* 2015;45(11):1523–46. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0376-x>.
- Boulossa D, Del Rosso S, Behm DG, Foster C. Post-activation potentiation (PAP) in endurance sports: a review. *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2018;18(5):595–610. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2018.1438519>.
- Cuenca-Fernández F, Boulossa D, López-Belmonte Ó, Gay A, Ruiz-Navarro JJ, Arellano R. Swimming warm-up and beyond: dryland protocols and their related mechanisms—a scoping review. *Sports Med Open.* 2022;8(1):120. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-022-00514-y>.
- Czelusniak O, Favreau E, Ives SJ. Effects of warm-up on sprint swimming performance, rating of perceived exertion, and blood lactate concentration: a systematic review. *J Funct Morphol Kinesiol.* 2021;6(4):Article 85. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jfmk6040085>.
- Herman K, Barton C, Malliaras P, Morrissey D. The effectiveness of neuromuscular warm-up strategies, that require no additional equipment, for preventing lower limb injuries during sports participation: a systematic review. *BMC Med.* 2012;10:75. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-10-75>.
- Maloney SJ, Turner AN, Fletcher IM. Ballistic exercise as a pre-activation stimulus: a review of the literature and practical applications. *Sports Med.* 2014;44(10):1347–59. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0214-6>.
- Silva LM, Neiva HP, Marques MC, Izquierdo M, Marinho DA. Effects of warm-up, post-warm-up, and re-warm-up strategies on explosive efforts in team sports: a systematic review. *Sports Med.* 2018;48(10):2285–99. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0958-5>.
- Ullman ZJ, Fernandez MB, Klein M. Effects of isometric exercises versus static stretching in warm-up regimens for running sport athletes: a systematic review. *Int J Exerc Sci.* 2021;14(6):1204–18.
- Finlay MJ, Bridge CA, Greig M, Page RM. Upper-body post-activation performance enhancement for athletic performance: a systematic review with meta-analysis and recommendations for future research. *Sports Med.* 2022;52(4):847–71. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-021-01598-4>.
- Gerbino A, Ward SA, Whipp BJ. Effects of prior exercise on pulmonary gas-exchange kinetics during high-intensity exercise in humans. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 1996;80(1):99–107. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1996.80.1.99>.
- Schaefer LV, Bittmann FN. Muscular pre-activation can boost the maximal explosive eccentric adaptive force. *Front Physiol.* 2019;10:910. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.00910>.
- Seitz LB, Haff GG. Factors modulating post-activation potentiation of jump, sprint, throw, and upper-body ballistic performances: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* 2016;46(2):231–40. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0415-7>.
- Bartolomei S, De Luca R, Marcora SM. May a nonlocalized post-activation performance enhancement exist between the upper and lower body in trained men? *J Strength Cond Res.* 2023;37(1):68–73. <https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0000000000004243>.
- Trybulski R, Makar P, Alexe DI, Stanciu S, Piwowar R, Wilk M, et al. Post-activation performance enhancement: save time with active intra-complex recovery intervals. *Front Physiol.* 2022;13:840722. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2022.840722>.
- Gray S, Nimmo M. Effects of active, passive or no warm-up on metabolism and performance during high-intensity exercise. *J Sports Sci.* 2001;19(9):693–700. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410152475829>.
- Ingjer F, Strømme SB. Effects of active, passive or no warm-up on the physiological response to heavy exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol.* 1979;40(4):273–82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00421519>.
- Towlson C, Midgley AW, Lovell R. Warm-up strategies of professional soccer players: practitioners' perspectives. *J Sports Sci.* 2013;31(13):1393–401. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2013.792946>.
- Carmo AAL, Goulart KNO, Cabido CET, Martins YAT, Santos GCF, Shang FLT, et al. Active warm-up and time-of-day effects on repeated-sprint performance and post-exercise recovery. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2023;123:49–64. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-022-05051-w>.
- Racinais S, Cocking S, Périard JD. Sports and environmental temperature: from warming-up to heating-up. *Temperature (Austin).* 2017;4(3):227–57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23328940.2017.1356427>.
- Kapnia A, Dallas CN, Gerodimos V, Flouris AD. Impact of warm-up on muscle temperature and athletic performance. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2021.2007212>.
- Blazevich AJ, Babault N. Post-activation potentiation versus post-activation performance enhancement in humans: historical perspective, underlying mechanisms, and current issues. *Front Physiol.* 2019;10:1359. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.01359>.
- McGawley K, Spencer M, Olofsson A, Andersson EP. Comparing active, passive, and combined warm-ups among junior alpine skiers in -7°C . *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2021;16(8):1140–7. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijssp.2020-0300>.
- Fernandez-Fernandez J, García-Tormo V, Santos-Rosa FJ, Teixeira AS, Nakamura FY, Granacher U, et al. The effect of a neuromuscular vs. dynamic warm-up on physical performance in young tennis players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2020;34(10):2776–84. <https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0000000000003703>.
- Costa PB, Medeiros HBO, Fukuda DH. Warm-up, stretching, and cool-down strategies for combat sports. *Strength Condition J.* 2011;33(6):71–9.
- Stevanovic VB, Jelic MB, Milanovic SD, Filipovic SR, Mikic MJ, Stojanovic MDM. Sport-specific warm-up attenuates static stretching-induced negative effects on vertical jump but not neuromuscular excitability in basketball players. *J Sports Sci Med.* 2019;18(2):282–9.
- Patti A, Giustino V, Cataldi S, Stoppa V, Ferrando F, Marvulli R, et al. Effects of 5-Week of FIFA 11+ warm-up program on explosive strength, speed, and perception of physical exertion in elite female futsal athletes. *Sports (Basel).* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports10070100>.

31. Wrisberg CA, Anshel MH. A field test of the activity-set hypothesis for warm-up decrement in an open skill. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 1993;64(1):39–45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.1993.10608777>.
32. Fujii N, Fujisawa K, Dobashi K, Cao Y, Matsutake R, Lai YF, et al. Effects of high-intensity exercise repetition number during warm-up on physiological responses, perceptions, readiness, and performance. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2021.1950901>.
33. Silva N, Travassos B, Gonçalves B, Brito J, Abade E. Pre-match warm-up dynamics and workload in elite futsal. *Front Psychol*. 2020;11: 584602. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.584602>.
34. González-Fernández FT, Sarmiento H, González-Víllora S, Pastor-Vicedo JC, Martínez-Aranda LM, Clemente FM. Cognitive and physical effects of warm-up on young soccer players. *Mot Control*. 2022;26(3):334–52. <https://doi.org/10.1123/mc.2021-0128>.
35. Behm DG, Kay AD, Trajano GS, Blazevich AJ. Mechanisms underlying performance impairments following prolonged static stretching without a comprehensive warm-up. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2021;121(1):67–94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-020-04538-8>.
36. Tramontin AF, Borszcz FK, Costa V. Functional threshold power estimated from a 20-min time-trial test is warm-up-dependent. *Int J Sports Med*. 2022;43(5):411–7. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1524-2312>.
37. Munoz-Plaza C, Pounds D, Davis A, Park S, Sallis R, Romero MG, et al. High school basketball coach and player perspectives on warm-up routines and lower extremity injuries. *Sports Med Open*. 2021;7(1):34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-021-00328-4>.
38. Mujika I, de Txabarri RG, Maldonado-Martín S, Pyne DB. Warm-up intensity and duration's effect on traditional rowing time-trial performance. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2012;7(2):186–8. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.7.2.186>.
39. Genovely H, Stamford BA. Effects of prolonged warm-up exercise above and below anaerobic threshold on maximal performance. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol*. 1982;48(3):323–30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00430222>.
40. Jeffreys I. The warm-up. Maximize performance and improve long-term athletic development. Champaign: Human Kinetics; 2018.
41. Rio E, Kidgell D, Purdam C, Gaida J, Moseley GL, Pearce AJ, et al. Isometric exercise induces analgesia and reduces inhibition in patellar tendinopathy. *Br J Sports Med*. 2015;49(19):1277. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2014-094386>.
42. Afonso J, Bessa C, Nikolaidis PT, Teoldo I, Clemente FM. A systematic review of research on Tactical Periodization: absence of empirical data, burden of proof, and benefit of doubt. *Human Movement*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.5114/hm.2020.95329>.
43. Hamilton BH, Best TM. Platelet-enriched plasma and muscle strain injuries: challenges imposed by the burden of proof. *Clin J Sport Med*. 2011;21(1):31–6. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0b013e318205a658>.
44. Koplin JJ, Selgelid MJ. Burden of proof in bioethics. *Bioethics*. 2015;29(9):597–603. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.12194>.
45. Prieske O, Behrens M, Chaabene H, Granacher U, Maffiuletti NA. Time to differentiate postactivation “potentiation” from “performance enhancement” in the strength and conditioning community. *Sports Med*. 2020;50(9):1559–65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-01300-0>.
46. Donghi F, Rampinini E, Bosio A, Fanchini M, Carlomagno D, Maffiuletti NA. Morning priming exercise strategy to enhance afternoon performance in young elite soccer players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2021;16(3):407–14. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2020-0094>.
47. Russell M, King A, Bracken RM, Cook CJ, Giroud T, Kilduff LP. A comparison of different modes of morning priming exercise on afternoon performance. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2016;11(6):763–7. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2015-0508>.
48. Harrison PW, James LP, McGuigan MR, Jenkins DG, Kelly VG. Prevalence and application of priming exercise in high performance sport. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2020;23(3):297–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2019.09.010>.
49. Takizawa K, Yamaguchi T, Shibata K. Warm-up exercises may not be so important for enhancing submaximal running performance. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2018;32(5):1383–90. <https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0000000000001970>.
50. Morrissey MC, Kisiolek JN, Ragland TJ, Willingham BD, Hunt RL, Hickner RC, et al. The effect of cold ambient temperature and preceding active warm-up on lactate kinetics in female cyclists and triathletes. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*. 2019;44(10):1043–51. <https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2018-0698>.
51. Svensson K, Alricsson M, Olausson M, Werner S. Physical performance tests—a relationship of risk factors for muscle injuries in elite level male football players. *J Exerc Rehabil*. 2018;14(2):282–8. <https://doi.org/10.12965/jer.1836028.014>.
52. Gogos BJ, Larkin P, Haycraft JAZ, Collier NF, Robertson S. Combine performance, draft position and playing position are poor predictors of player career outcomes in the Australian Football League. *PLoS ONE*. 2020;15(6): e0234400. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234400>.
53. Bossi AH, Cole D, Passfield L, Hopker J. Conventional methods to prescribe exercise intensity are ineffective for exhaustive interval training. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-023-05176-6>.
54. Pickering C, Kiely J. Do non-responders to exercise exist—and if so, what should we do about them? *Sports Med*. 2019;49(1):1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-01041-1>.
55. Mujika I. Quantification of training and competition loads in endurance sports: methods and applications. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2017;12(Suppl 2):S29–s217. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2016-0403>.
56. Kent DM, Hayward RA. Limitations of applying summary results of clinical trials to individual patients: the need for risk stratification. *JAMA*. 2007;298(10):1209–12. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.298.10.1209>.
57. Higgins JP, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. 2nd ed. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 2019.
58. Abt G, Boreham C, Davison G, Jackson R, Nevill A, Wallace E, et al. Power, precision, and sample size estimation in sport and exercise science research. *J Sports Sci*. 2020;38(17):1933–5. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2020.1776002>.
59. Skorski S, Hecksteden A. Coping with the “small sample-small relevant effects” dilemma in elite sport research. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2021;16(11):1559–60. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2021-0467>.
60. Bompa TO, Buzzichelli CA. *Periodization: theory and methodology of training*. 6th ed. Champaign: Human Kinetics; 2019.
61. Kaufmann JE, Nelissen RGH, Stubbe JH, Gademan MGJ. Neuromuscular warm-up is associated with fewer overuse injuries in ballet dancers compared to traditional ballet-specific warm-up. *J Dance Med Sci*. 2022;26(4):244–54. <https://doi.org/10.12678/1089-313X.121522e>.
62. Fiorilli G, Quinzi F, Buonsenso A, Di Martino G, Centorbi M, Giombini A, et al. Does warm-up type matter? A comparison between traditional and functional inertial warm-up in young soccer players. *J Funct Morphol Kinesiol*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jfkm5040084>.
63. Cunha P, Afonso J, Clemente FM. Teoria e Metodologia do Treino Desportivo – Grau I. *Manuais de Formação Geral Cursos de Treinadores de Desporto Grau I*. Lisboa: Instituto Português do Desporto e Juventude; 2022. p. 1–59.

64. Schneider C, Wiewelhove T, McLaren SJ, Röleke L, Käsbauer H, Hecksteden A, et al. Monitoring training and recovery responses with heart rate measures during standardized warm-up in elite badminton players. *PLoS ONE*. 2020;15(12): e0244412. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244412>.
65. Afonso J, Nakamura FY, Canário-Lemos R, Peixoto R, Fernandes C, Mota T, et al. A novel approach to training monotony and acute-chronic workload index: a comparative study in soccer. *Front Sports Act Living*. 2021;3: Article 661200. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2021.661200>.
66. Dingley AF, Willmott AP, Fernandes JFT. Self-selected versus standardised warm-ups; physiological response on 500 m sprint kayak performance. *Sports (Basel)*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports8120156>.
67. Wang F, Zhang Z, Li C, Zhu D, Hu Y, Fu H, et al. Acute effects of vibration foam rolling and local vibration during warm-up on athletic performance in tennis players. *PLoS ONE*. 2022;17(5): e0268515. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268515>.
68. Pasanen K, Parkkari J, Pasanen M, Kannus P. Effect of a neuromuscular warm-up programme on muscle power, balance, speed and agility: a randomised controlled study. *Br J Sports Med*. 2009;43(13):1073–8. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.2009.061747>.
69. Thapa RK, Clemente FM, Moran J, Garcia-Pinillos F, Scanlan AT, Ramirez-Campillo R. Warm-up optimization in amateur male soccer players: a comparison of small-sided games and traditional warm-up routines on physical fitness qualities. *Biol Sport*. 2023;40(1):321–9. <https://doi.org/10.5114/biolSport.2023.114286>.
70. Borisovskaya A, Chmelik E, Karnik A. Exercise and chronic pain. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2020;1228:233–53. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-1792-1_16.
71. Garber CE, Blissmer B, Deschenes MR, Franklin BA, Lamonte MJ, Lee IM, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for prescribing exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2011;43(7):1334–59. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e318213fefb>.
72. Deschenes MR, Kraemer WJ. Performance and physiologic adaptations to resistance training. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2002;81(11 Suppl):S3–16. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00002060-200211001-00003>.
73. Tomaras EK, MacIntosh BR. Less is more: standard warm-up causes fatigue and less warm-up permits greater cycling power output. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 2011;111(1):228–35. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00253.2011>.
74. Romaratezabala E, Nakamura FY, Castillo D, Gorostegi-Anduaga I, Yanci J. Influence of warm-up duration on physical performance and psychological perceptions in handball players. *Res Sports Med*. 2018;26(2):230–43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15438627.2018.1431536>.
75. Yanci J, Iturri J, Castillo D, Pardeiro M, Nakamura FY. Influence of warm-up duration on perceived exertion and subsequent physical performance of soccer players. *Biol Sport*. 2019;36(2):125–31. <https://doi.org/10.5114/biolSport.2019.81114>.

Authors and Affiliations

José Afonso¹  · João Brito²  · Eduardo Abade^{2,7}  · Gonçalo Rendeiro-Pinho⁴  · Ivan Baptista^{1,5}  · Pedro Figueiredo⁶  · Fábio Yuzo Nakamura³ 

✉ José Afonso
jneves@fade.up.pt

João Brito
joao.brito@fpf.pt

Eduardo Abade
eduardoabade@gmail.com

Gonçalo Rendeiro-Pinho
goncalopinho@gmail.com

Ivan Baptista
ivantm@fade.up.pt

Pedro Figueiredo
pfigueiredo@uaeu.ac.ae

Fábio Yuzo Nakamura
fnakamura@umaia.pt

² Portugal Football School, Portuguese Football Federation, Oeiras, Portugal

³ Research Center in Sports Sciences, Health Sciences and Human Development (CIDESD), University of Maia, Maia, Portugal

⁴ Faculty of Human Kinetics, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

⁵ Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Science and Technology, UiT the Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

⁶ Physical Education Department, College of Education, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

⁷ Research Center in Sports Sciences, Health Sciences and Human Development (CIDESD), Vila Real, Portugal

¹ Centre of Research, Education, Innovation, and Intervention in Sport (CIF12D), Faculty of Sport, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal