DRUG REACTIONS AND INTERACTIONS



Cardiovascular side effects of non-SSRI antidepressants are of concern in high-risk patients

Connie Kang¹

Accepted: 23 May 2022 / Published online: 23 July 2022 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

Abstract

Depression is a major risk factor for negative health outcomes in patients with cardiovascular disease (CVD), and in high-risk populations such as the elderly. As selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) have very few CVD risks, they are first line in the management of depression. However, alternatives are required in case of intolerance or lack of efficacy. Non-SSRI antidepressants such as serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors and atypical monoaminergic antidepressants are options, but carry cardiovascular adverse effects (e.g. changes in blood pressure, heart rate or electrocardiogram parameters). While some studies are available to clarify these effects, further investigation is required in high-risk populations.

Depression is linked with negative cardiovascular health outcomes

Patients with cardiovascular disease (CVD) or other vulnerable patient populations (e.g. older or hospitalised patients) are at increased risk of depression [1]. For instance, the prevalence of depression in patients with coronary heart disease (CHD) is $\approx 3-4$ times higher than in the general population. Depression is a major risk factor for negative health outcomes in these high-risk patients, contributing to increased cardiovascular (CV) morbidity and mortality [1, 2]. Depression, in the elderly in particular, is now a global public health concern, contributing to the burdens associated with an aging population due to its impact on comorbidities and quality of life [3].

Having second- and third-line antidepressant choices is necessary for proper management

Though psychotherapy has been proven to be very effective in managing depression, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) are the first-line pharmacological option when treating depression [1]. Efficacy and safety have been

Connie Kang dtp@adis.com

established in numerous randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and observational trials [1]. In patients with depression and CHD, SSRIs did not increase the incidence of CV adverse effects or CV events [1], and a meta-analysis found SSRIs improved depressive symptoms and did not impact the rate of CHD hospitalisations or mortality compared with control [4].

SSRIs may not be appropriate for certain patients due to intolerance or lack of response, and alternative pharmacological treatments may be warranted [1]. There is concern that other antidepressant classes may also result in CV adverse effects, therefore determining the efficacy and CV safety of antidepressants is challenging but crucial for vulnerable groups, such as older patients, hospitalised patients and patients with CVD [1]. For example, evidence suggests tricyclic antidepressants are associated with a higher CV risk than SSRIs [5], and may increase the risk of acute heart disease [2].

With high-risk patient populations such as the elderly, the challenges around managing comorbidities and (usually life-long) medications are made more difficult by a lack of robust literature surrounding antidepressant choice and tolerability profiles [3]. With particular focus on older adults, the mechanisms of action, recommended dosages and CV adverse effects of non-SSRI antidepressants (serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors and atypical monoaminergic antidepressants) are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, as reviewed by Behlke et al. [1]. Elucidating the findings in this patient group may prove relevant for other high-risk populations.

¹ Springer Nature, Private Bag 65901, Mairangi Bay, 0754 Auckland, New Zealand

| Table 1 Cardiovascular adverse effects of serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors in older patients, as reviewed by Behlke et al. [1] | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Antidepressant (dosage) | General comments | Summary of evidence of CV adverse effects | |
| Venlafaxine (initially 37.5–75 mg/day, titrated based on response and tolerability) | ↑ serotonin at low doses, ↑ norepi- nephrine at higher doses | Overall low risk of hypertension in older pts but may ↑ risk of orthostatic hypotension; further investigation required | |
| | Once-daily dosing is advantageous for pt adherence | 75–150 mg/day ER: significantly ↓ mean BP and HR [6] | |
| | | 75–225 mg/day ER: no significant ↓ in BP and HR [7, 8] | |
| | Does not affect the metabolism of many other drugs | \leq 300 mg/day venlafaxine: no significant changes from baseline in QTc interval [9] | |
| | Wide dosage range means more input required to titrate to opti- mal dosage for pts | ≤ 300 mg/day ER venlafaxine: significant ↓ in standing diastolic BP, orthostatic hypotension reported in 50% of pts and ↑ in mean HR by 3.5 bpm [10] | |
| | | No significant changes in mean BP vs citalopram [11] or incidence of hypertension vs fluoxetine or PL [12] | |
| | | ↓ risk of heart failure in venlafaxine vs sertraline recipients with history of or new-onset CVD [13] | |
| Desvenlafaxine (50 mg/ day, can titrate to 100 mg/day) | Active metabolite of venlafaxine; not metabolised by CYP2D6 | Lack of data in vulnerable pts; existing data insufficient to determine CV adverse event profile vs venlafaxine | |
| | Possible ↓ risk of adverse effects related to CYP2D6 metabolism | 100–200 mg/day: ↑ BP in 10% of pts, mean resting HR ↑ by 3.1 bpm [14] | |
| Duloxetine (initially 30 mg, titrated to 60–120 mg/day according to response and tolerability) | Serotonin:norepinephrine reuptake inhibition at a relative ratio of 10:1 | Overall minimal CV adverse effects but further investigation required in pts with CVD or depres- sion; may be associated with orthostatic hypotension in older pts but does not seem to cause hypertension | |
| | CYP2D6 and CYP2B6 inhibitor (especially at high doses) | 80–120 mg/day: no significant changes in BP or ECG parameters, with exception of low standing systolic BP in 5% of pts [15] | |
| | | 60 mg/day: significant ↓ in orthostatic BP (≈ 3 mmHg) vs PL, no changes in orthostatic hypoten- sion or QTc interval [16] | |
| | | 60–120 mg/day: no significant changes vs PL at 24 weeks, with exception of statistically significant (<i>p</i> ≤ 0.05) but minor ↑ in resting HR and orthostatic diastolic BP at 24 weeks [17] | |
| | | ↑ rate of adverse events vs PL; ↑ risk of falls in elderly [18] | |
| | | 30-120 mg/day: no clinically significant differences vs PL [19] | |
| | | 60 mg/day or 120 mg/day: significantly ↑ HR (by 2.75 bpm) vs PL in pts with diabetes mellitus and history of CVD receiving 120 mg/day duloxetine; otherwise well tolerated [20] | |
| Milnacipran (typically 50 mg twice daily, up to maximum of 200 mg/day) | Approximately equal serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibition | 75–100 mg/day: more favourable CV risk profile compared with imipramine; ↓ risk of postural hypotension vs imipramine, minor ↓ in systolic BP and ↑ in HR [21] | |
| | | Lack of data in pts with CVD; further investigation required, particularly in high-risk populations (e.g. older or hospitalised pts) | |
| Levomilnacipran (40–120 mg once daily) | Active enantiomer of milnacipran | Significantly ↑ systolic and diastolic BP and pulse in healthy adults; ↑ QTc interval statistically but not clinically significant) [22] | |
| | | Lack of data in pts with CVD; further investigation required, particularly in high-risk populations (e.g. older or hospitalised pts) | |

Unless otherwise specified, studies were conducted in pts aged ≥ 60 years. Mention of significance in this table denotes statistical significance *BP* blood pressure, *bpm* beats per minute, *CV* cardiovascular, *CVD* CV disease, *CYP* cytochrome P450, *ECG* electrocardiogram, *ER* extended release, *HR* heart rate, *PL* placebo, *pt(s)* patient(s), \uparrow increase(s/d)

Take extra care when starting an antidepressant

Antidepressant medications should only be used in patients with or at risk of CVD when absolutely necessary, such as when the diagnosis is clear for depression (major and minor), suicidal ideation or specific anxiety disorders [1]. Depressive symptoms or episodes alone are inadequate to qualify for antidepressants in patients already at high risk of adverse effects [1]. Recommendations to reduce risk of CV adverse effects when initiating an antidepressant, include [1]:

- Initiate at a low dose for 1–2 weeks and then up-titrate; take into consideration the potential for under dosing the patient.
- Avoid drug-drug interactions (particularly in older patients or patients with polypharmacy); consider pharmacokinetics and risk of interactions before initiating.

| Table 2 Cardiovascular adverse effects of atypical monoaminergic antidepressants in older adults, as reviewed by Behlke et al. | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Antidepressant (dosage) | General comments | Summary of evidence of CV adverse effects | | |
| Mirtazapine (initially 7.5–15 mg once daily at night, titrated to | \uparrow serotonergic and noradrenergic signalling; centrally-acting α-2 antagonist, 5-HT ₂ and 5-HT ₃ | 15–45 mg/day: no significant differences from baseline and vs parox- etine [23] or vs PL [24] | | |
| 30-60 mg/day) | receptor antagonist | 9.8–13.5 mg/day (mean dose): no significant changes from baseline in ECG parameters or CV events [25] | | |
| | Affects sleep and appetite and can lead to weight gain | Highest odds ratio for all-cause mortality amongst the antidepressants evaluated [26] | | |
| | | Further investigation required in high-risk populations (e.g. older pts, hospitalised pts or pts with CVD) | | |
| Bupropion (initially 150 mg, titrated to 300–450 mg/day for depression) | ↓ signalling through inhibition of dopamine and norepinephrine reuptake | 350–600 mg/day: significant ↑ in mean supine BP and orthostatic BP (mean ↓ of 4 mmHg when standing); no HR or ECG changes [27] | | |
| | Not indicated for anxiety and is a strong CYP2D6 inhibitor | 150–300 mg/day: no significant differences vs PL, was well tolerated [28] | | |
| | Causes wakefulness and \downarrow appetite | Further data required for long-term CV effects of bupropion when used for depression in high-risk pts | | |
| | Higher doses can lead to neurotoxic adverse effects (e.g. seizures, falls) | | | |
| Vilazodone (initially 10 mg, titrated to \leq 40 mg/day) | 5-HT partial agonist and reuptake inhibitor, ↑ sero- toninergic signalling | 40 mg/day: no significant changes in BP, HR or ECG parameters [29] | | |
| | ↑ incidence of nausea vs SSRIs | Lack of data in pts with CVD; further investigation required, particu- larly in high-risk populations | | |
| Vortioxetine (5-20 mg/day) | | 5 mg/day: no significant changes in ECG parameters [30] | | |
| | aptic and postsynaptic 5-HT receptors | Lack of data in pts with CVD; further investigation required, particu- larly in high-risk populations | | |
| Agomelatine (25–50 mg/day) | MT_1 and MT_2 receptor agonist, 5- HT_{2C} and 5- HT_{2B} antagonist; modulates circadian rhythm signalling, \downarrow sleep disturbances | Appears to be safe and well tolerated in older pts and pts with CVD; however, further investigation will be helpful | | |
| | | 25 mg/day or 50 mg/day: significant ↓ in BP and HR; pts were coadmin- istered cardiotropic drugs during the trial [31] | | |
| | No weight gain, sexual side effects or discontinu- ation syndrome typically seen with some other antidepressants | 25 mg/day or 50 mg/day: no significant changes in BP, HR (and ECG parameters) vs PL [32] or from baseline [33] | | |
| Moclobemide (150 mg twice daily, up to 600 mg/day) | Reversible MAOI | 400 mg/day: no differences in BP or ECG parameters vs PL, but dosage too low for antidepressant efficacy [34] | | |
| | | 400 mg/day: no differences in BP, HR or ECG parameters vs PL [35] | | |
| | | Lack of data in pts with CVD, particularly in pts with hypertension at baseline | | |
| Ketamine (0.5 mg/kg) | Mechanism of action is unclear, possibly due to N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor antagonism | Transient ↑ (resolved mostly within 90 min) in BP and HR in 23 of 60 studies in a systematic review [36] | | |
| | Oral doses of $\approx 2.0-2.5$ mg/kg may be required to obtain equivalence to IV ketamine; this may give rise to CV adverse effects not seen with a 0.5 mg/ kg oral dose [38] | 0.1–0.5 mg/kg subcutaneous injections: transient \uparrow in BP [37] | | |
| | | 0.5 mg/kg IV infusions: ↑ BP following infusion, especially in hyper- tensive pts or pts aged ≥ 60 yrs [39] | | |
| | | 0.5 mg/kg (oral): no changes in BP and no CV events reported [40] | | |
| | | Lack of data in pts with CVD and depression or evaluating long-term CV effects; further investigation is required | | |
| Esketamine | S-enantiomer of ketamine | Esketamine therapy may be associated with \uparrow BP in older pts | | |
| | Administer under supervision of healthcare profes- sional | 28 mg, 56 mg or 84 mg (intranasal spray) twice weekly: transient ↑ in mean BP [41] | | |
| | FDA-approved nasal spray | Lack of data in pts with CVD and depression, no evaluation of long- term CV effects; further investigation is required | | |
| | Can cause sedation and dissociation | | | |
| | Contraindicated in pts with cerebral aneurysms, arteriovenous malformations or history of intra- cerebral haemorrhage | | | |

Unless otherwise specified, studies were conducted in pts aged \geq 60 years. Mention of significance in this table denotes statistical significance

5-HT 5-hydroxytryptamine, BP blood pressure, CV cardiovascular, CVD CV disease, CYP cytochrome P450, ECG electrocardiogram, FDA Food and Drug Administration, HR heart rate, MAOI monoamine oxidase inhibitor, MT melatonin, pts patients, SSRIs selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, \uparrow increase(s/d), \downarrow reduce(s/d)

- Monitor blood pressure before and after initiating antidepressant treatment or when up-titrating; take particular care when administering drugs that can increase blood pressure (e.g. ketamine, esketamine) or cause orthostatic hypotension (e.g. venlafaxine, duloxetine).
- ECG monitoring not necessary before and/or after initiating antidepressant.

Further investigation is required, but may be difficult

Although RCTs are optimal in assessing the CV adverse effects of antidepressants [1, 5], those with higher CVD risk such as elderly patients or patients with comorbidities may often not be eligible for RCTs [1]. This under representation can limit the usefulness of these trials in determining the longer term impact of such drugs, particularly as trial follow-up can be quite short (e.g. due to ethical concerns regarding the administration of placebo in patients with depression) and the inherent variability of smaller sample sizes [1, 5]. Additionally, due to the nature of depression, it may be difficult to determine whether efficacy and safety results from a study can be attributed to antidepressant use or the indication itself (or a combination of both) [1]. Thus, critical evaluation of available evidence and further clinical trial data are key to antidepressant choice in patients with high CVD risk [1, 5].

Take home messages

- Treat depression in patients with or at high risk of CVD (e.g. older patients, hospitalised patients) to improve outcomes.
- Start SSRIs; however, if unable, consider CV adverse effects when choosing second- and third-line options.
- Serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors and atypical monoaminergic antidepressants may be safe and effective alternatives in older patients; carefully monitor for adverse events and contraindications.
- Further clinical trial data will be helpful in confirming the CV safety of non-SSRI antidepressants in high-risk patient groups.

Declarations

Funding The preparation of this review was not supported by any external funding.

Authorship and conflict of interest C. Kang is a salaried employee of Adis International Ltd/Springer Nature and declares no relevant conflicts of interest. All authors contributed to the review and are responsible for the article content.

Ethics approval, Consent to participate, Consent for publication, Availability of data and material, Code availability Not applicable.

References

- Behlke LM, Lenze EJ, Carney RM. The cardiovascular effects of newer antidepressants in older adults and those with or at high risk for cardiovascular diseases. CNS Drugs. 2020;34(11):1133–47.
- Biffi A, Scotti L, Corrao G. Use of antidepressants and the risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2017;73(4):487–97.
- Zhang YX, Chen YJ, Ma L. Depression and cardiovascular disease in elderly: current understanding. J Clin Neurosci. 2018;47:1–5.
- Pizzi C, Rutjes AW, Costa GM, et al. Meta-analysis of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in patients with depression and coronary heart disease. Am J Cardiol. 2011;107(7):972–9.
- Wang SM, Han CS, Bahk WM, et al. Addressing the side effects of contemporary antidepressant drugs: a comprehensive review. Chonnam Med J. 2018;54(2):101–12.
- Cervera-Enguix S, Baca-Baldomero E, Garcia-Calvo C, et al. Depression in primary care: effectiveness of venlafaxine extendedrelease in elderly patients; observational study. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2004;38(3):271–80.
- Baca E, Roca M, Garcia-Calvo C, et al. Venlafaxine extendedrelease in patients older than 80 years with depressive syndrome. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2006;21(4):337–43.
- Ibor JJ, Carrasco JL, Prieto R, et al. Effectiveness and safety of venlafaxine extended release in elderly depressed patients. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2008;46(3):317–26.
- Behlke LM, Lenze EJ, Pham V, et al. The effect of venlafaxine on ECG intervals during treatment for depression in older adults. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2020;40(6):553–9.
- Johnson EM, Whyte E, Mulsant BH, et al. Cardiovascular changes associated with venlafaxine in the treatment of late-life depression. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2006;14(9):796–802.
- Allard P, Gram L, Timdahl K, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of venlafaxine in geriatric outpatients with major depression: a double-blind, randomised 6-month comparative trial with citalopram. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2004;19(12):1123–30.
- Schatzberg A, Roose S. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of venlafaxine and fluoxetine in geriatric outpatients with major depression. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2006;14(4):361–70.
- Ho JM, Gomes T, Straus SE, et al. Adverse cardiac events in older patients receiving venlafaxine: a population-based study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2014;75(6):e552-8.
- 14. Ferguson J, Tourian KA, Manley AL, et al. An evaluation of the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of desvenlafaxine in the long-term treatment of elderly outpatients with major depressive disorder. Prim Psychiatry. 2010;17(1):66.
- Wohlreich MM, Mallinckrodt CH, Watkin JG, et al. Duloxetine for the long-term treatment of major depressive disorder in patients aged 65 and older: an open-label study. BMC Geriatr. 2004;4:11.
- Raskin J, Wiltse CG, Dinkel JJ, et al. Safety and tolerability of duloxetine at 60 mg once daily in elderly patients with major depressive disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2008;28(1):32–8.
- Robinson M, Oakes TM, Raskin J, et al. Acute and long-term treatment of late-life major depressive disorder: duloxetine versus placebo. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2014;22(1):34–45.
- Sobieraj DM, Martinez BK, Hernandez AV, et al. Adverse effects of pharmacologic treatments of major depression in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2019;67(8):1571–81.

- Alaka KJ, Noble W, Montejo A, et al. Efficacy and safety of duloxetine in the treatment of older adult patients with generalized anxiety disorder: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2014;29(9):978–86.
- Wernicke J, Lledo A, Raskin J, et al. An evaluation of the cardiovascular safety profile of duloxetine: findings from 42 placebocontrolled studies. Drug Saf. 2007;30(5):437–55.
- Tignol J, Pujol-Domenech J, Chartres JP, et al. Double-blind study of the efficacy and safety of milnacipran and imipramine in elderly patients with major depressive episode. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1998;97(2):157–65.
- 22. Huang Q, Zhong X, Yun Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of multiple doses of levomilnacipran extended-release for the treatment of major depressive disorder. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2016;12:2707–14.
- Schatzberg AF, Kremer C, Rodrigues HE, et al. Double-blind, randomized comparison of mirtazapine and paroxetine in elderly depressed patients. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2002;10(5):541–50.
- 24. Honig A, Kuyper AM, Schene AH, et al. Treatment of postmyocardial infarction depressive disorder: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial with mirtazapine. Psychosom Med. 2007;69(7):606–13.
- Allen ND, Leung JG, Palmer BA. Mirtazapine's effect on the QT interval in medically hospitalized patients. Ment Health Clin. 2020;10(1):30–3.
- Danielsson B, Collin J, Jonasdottir Bergman G, et al. Antidepressants and antipsychotics classified with torsades de pointes arrhythmia risk and mortality in older adults: Swedish nationwide study. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2016;81(4):773–83.
- Roose SP, Dalack GW, Glassman AH, et al. Cardiovascular effects of bupropion in depressed patients with heart disease. Am J Psychiatry. 1991;148(4):512–6.
- Hewett K, Chrzanowski W, Jokinen R, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled evaluation of extended-release bupropion in elderly patients with major depressive disorder. J Psychopharmacol. 2010;24(4):521–9.
- Robinson DS, Kajdasz DK, Gallipoli S, et al. A 1-year, openlabel study assessing the safety and tolerability of vilazodone in patients with major depressive disorder. J Clin Psychoharmacol. 2011;31(5):643–6.
- Katona C, Hansen T, Olsen CK. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, duloxetine-referenced, fixed-dose study comparing the efficacy and safety of Lu AA21004 in elderly patients with major depressive disorder. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2012;27(4):215–23.

- Medvedev VE. Agomelatine in the treatment of mild-to-moderate depression in patients with cardiovascular disease: results of the national multicenter observational study PULSE. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2017;13:1141–51.
- Heun R, Ahokas A, Boyer P, et al. The efficacy of agomelatine in elderly patients with recurrent major depressive disorder: a placebo-controlled study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2013;74(6):587–94.
- Pizova NV. Valdoxan (agomelatine) in the treatment of depression in cerebrovascular diseases (results of the Russian Resonance multicenter naturalistic study). Neurosci Behav Physiol. 2014;44(3):315–9.
- Nair NP, Amin M, Holm P, et al. Moclobemide and nortriptyline in elderly depressed patients: a randomized, multicentre trial against placebo. J Affect Disord. 1995;33(1):1–9.
- Roth M, Mountjoy CQ, Amrein R. Moclobemide in elderly patients with cognitive decline and depression: an international double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Br J Psychiatry. 1996;168(2):149–57.
- Short B, Fong J, Galvez V, et al. Side-effects associated with ketamine use in depression: a systematic review. Lancet Psychiatry. 2018;5(1):65–78.
- George D, Gálvez V, Martin D, et al. Pilot randomized controlled trial of titrated subcutaneous ketamine in older patients with treatment-resistant depression. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2017;25(11):1199–209.
- Andrade C. Oral ketamine for depression, 2: practical considerations. J Clin Psychiatry. 2019;80(2):19f12838.
- Riva-Posse P, Reiff CM, Edwards JA, et al. Blood pressure safety of subanesthetic ketamine for depression: a report on 684 infusions. J Affect Disord. 2018;236:291–7.
- 40. Irwin SA, Iglewicz A, Nelesen RA, et al. Daily oral ketamine for the treatment of depression and anxiety in patients receiving hospice care: a 28-day open-label proof-of-concept trial. J Palliat Med. 2013;16(8):958–65.
- 41. Ochs-Ross R, Daly EJ, Zhang Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of esketamine nasal spray plus an oral antidepressant in elderly patients with treatment-resistant depression: TRANSFORM-3. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2020;28(2):121–41.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.