

# **Pharmacokinetics of Nasal Esketamine in Patients with Allergic Rhinitis with and Without Nasal Decongestant Pretreatment and in Healthy Subjects with and Without Nasal Corticosteroid Pretreatment**

**Peter Zannikos<sup>1</sup>  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9444-3862) Bhavna Solanki2 · Marc De Meulder3 · Philipp Badorrek4 · Jens M. Hohlfeld5,6  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2646-6186) Jaskaran Singh7**

Accepted: 1 June 2023 / Published online: 4 July 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023, corrected publication 2023

# **Abstract**

**Background and Objectives** Nasal esketamine is indicated for the treatment of adults with treatment-resistantdepression and depressive symptoms in adults with major depressive disorder with acute suicidal ideation orbehavior. Primary objectives of this study were to evaluate the efect of nasal decongestant pretreatment inpatients with allergic rhinitis and the impact of daily nasal corticosteroid administration by healthy subjectson nasal esketamine pharmacokinetics.

**Methods** Patients with allergic rhinitis self-administered 56 mg of nasal esketamine after pretreatment with nasal oxymetazoline (0.05%) at 1 h before esketamine and without oxymetazoline pretreatment. They were exposed to grass pollen in an allergen challenge chamber to induce allergic rhinitis symptoms at approximately 2 h before each esketamine administration until 1 h after. Healthy subjects self-administered esketamine (56 mg) before and after administration for 16 consecutive days of mometasone (200 µg), with the second esketamine dose administered 1 h after the last mometasone dose. The plasma pharmacokinetics of esketamine and noresketamine were assessed after each esketamine administration. The tolerability of esketamine, including efects on dissociative and potential psychotomimetic symptoms and level of sedation and suicidal ideation and behavior, was evaluated. **Results** The rate of esketamine absorption was slightly greater in patients exhibiting symptoms of allergic rhinitis (decrease in median  $t_{\text{max}}$  from 32 min to 22 min). Increases in esketamine  $C_{\text{max}}$  and AUC were also small (mean,  $\leq$  21%). The pharmacokinetics of esketamine was not afected by oxymetazoline or mometasone pretreatment. Esketamine was well tolerated when it was administered with or without pretreatment of oxymetazoline or mometasone.

**Conclusions** Patients exhibiting symptoms of rhinitis may receive nasal esketamine spray without dose adjustment. In addition, esketamine may be administered 1 h after using a nasal decongestant or corticosteroid.

**Trial Registration** The study was registered in the Clinical Trials (NCT02154334) and EudraCT (2014‐000534‐38) registries.

# **1 Introduction**

Depression is a common illness worldwide. An estimated 3.8% of the population is afected, including 5.0% among adults and  $5.7\%$  among adults aged  $> 60$  years [\[1\]](#page-11-0). Many psychopharmacological agents that are currently available for the treatment of major depressive disorder target monoaminergic neurotransmission and typically take several weeks to show efficacy  $[2]$  $[2]$ . Approximately two-thirds of patients with major depressive disorder achieve remission after the frst or second course of treatment using currently approved drugs. Remission rates following subsequent therapy are lower, and relapse rates are higher and occur more quickly [[3\]](#page-11-2). Therefore, there is a significant need for novel,

safe, and fast-acting treatments based upon relevant pathophysiologic pathways of major depressive disorder.

Nasally administered esketamine has been approved by health authorities in the USA, Europe, and other regions worldwide to be used in conjunction with an oral antidepressant for the treatment of treatment-resistant depression in adults [\[4](#page-11-3), [5](#page-11-4)]. Esketamine is also approved for the treatment of depressive symptoms in adults with major depressive disorder with acute suicidal ideation or behavior. Rapid onset of antidepressant efects has been observed in patients with treatment-resistant depression as early as 24 h after singledose nasal esketamine administration [[6\]](#page-11-5). Nasal esketamine is intended to be self-administered by the patient under the direct supervision of a health care professional. Because of the possibility of sedation and dissociation, patients are to

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

#### **Key Points**

Nasal esketamine is indicated for the treatment of adults with treatment-resistant depression and depressive symptoms in adults with major depressive disorder with acute suicidal ideation or behavior.

This study had three main objectives: (1) to compare esketamine pharmacokinetics in patients with allergic rhinitis and healthy subjects; (2) to evaluate the efects of oxymetazoline (a nasal decongestant) on the pharmacokinetics of esketamine, and (3) to evaluate the effects of mometasone (a nasal corticosteroid) on the pharmacokinetics of esketamine. Esketamine was administered as a nasal spray throughout the study.

Esketamine exposure was similar in patients exhibiting symptoms of allergic rhinitis and healthy subjects. The pharmacokinetics of esketamine was not afected by pretreatment with oxymetazoline in patients with rhinitis or with mometasone in healthy adults.

Adjustment of the esketamine dose is not needed in patients who are exhibiting symptoms of allergic rhinitis or when administered  $\geq 1$  h after using a nasal decongestant or corticosteroid.

be monitored by a health care professional for at least 2 h [[4\]](#page-11-3) or as clinically warranted [[5\]](#page-11-4).

The mechanisms underlying the antidepressant efects of esketamine are complex. Evidence in the literature suggests that, through non-competitive *N*-methyl-p-aspartate receptor antagonism, esketamine produces a transient increase in glutamate release. This leads to increases in α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid receptor stimulation and subsequently to increases in neurotrophic signaling that restore synaptic function in brain regions that regulate mood and emotional behavior [\[7](#page-11-6)[–10](#page-11-7)].

The nasal route has been used for delivery of drugs for treatment of local diseases such as nasal allergy, nasal congestion, and nasal infections. Since the nasal cavity has a large surface area and a highly vascularized mucosa, the nasal route can also be used for non-invasive systemic delivery of drugs that are not easily administered by routes other than injection (e.g., drugs that have poor oral bioavailable due to frst-pass metabolism) or where rapid onset of action is required [[11,](#page-11-8) [12\]](#page-11-9).

The pharmacokinetics of nasally administered esketamine in healthy subjects and patients with depression have been characterized [\[4,](#page-11-3) [5,](#page-11-4) [13](#page-11-10)]. The mean absolute bioavailability of 84 mg of esketamine administered as a nasal spray by human subjects is approximately 48%. Esketamine exposure increases with dose

from 28 to 84 mg. Esketamine is extensively metabolized by the cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYP), mainly CYP3A4 and CYP2B6. N-demethylation of esketamine to form noresketamine is the major metabolic pathway [[14,](#page-11-11) [15\]](#page-12-0). Noresketamine is further metabolized by CYP-dependent pathways. Following intravenous or oral administration of radiolabeled esketamine by human subjects,  $\geq 78\%$  of administered radioactivity was recovered in urine and a smaller fraction  $(\leq 2\%)$  was recovered in feces. Less than 1% of the administered dose was excreted in urine as unchanged drug.

Allergic rhinitis is a common chronic condition. Over 400 million people sufer from allergic rhinitis worldwide  $[16]$  $[16]$ . The symptoms associated with this disorder, such as infammation of the nasal mucosa, may afect the absorption of nasally administered drugs. Furthermore, medications that are used to treat the symptoms of allergic rhinitis, such as nasal vasoconstrictors and corticosteroids, may afect the bioavailability of drugs administered by the nasal route. Therefore, the pharmacokinetics and tolerability of nasal esketamine was evaluated in patients experiencing allergic rhinitis. In addition, the efects of a nasally administered vasoconstrictor (oxymetazoline hydrochloride) and corticosteroid (mometasone furoate monohydrate) on the pharmacokinetics and tolerability of nasal esketamine were evaluated in patients who were experiencing allergic rhinitis symptoms at the time of drug administration and in healthy subjects, respectively.

# **2 Methods**

#### **2.1 Study Population**

Participants (both men and women) in this study were required to be aged 18–55 years, have a body mass index (BMI) of  $18-30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ , and ascertained to be healthy by clinical examination, medical history, routine laboratory tests, and an electrocardiogram. They were required to be non-smokers or habitually smoke no more than 10 cigarettes, or 2 cigars per day for at least 6 months before frst study drug administration. Women had to be not of child-bearing potential or be practicing an efective method of birth control. It was ensured that pregnancy tests were negative at screening and throughout the study. The criteria for exclusion included any contraindication to the use of ketamine or esketamine, use of any prescription or nonprescription medication or herbal supplements within 14 days (or 1 month for any inducer of hepatic CYP activity) before the frst scheduled dose of the study drug with the exception of hormonal contraceptives and hormonal therapy or have an anatomical or medical conditions that could impede delivery or absorption of study medication.

Patients eligible for Cohort 1 (interaction with oxymetazoline hydrochloride) were required to have history of moderate seasonal or perennial allergic rhinitis, based on self-reporting, and a positive prick test for grass pollen at screening. In addition, during screening, they had to be classifed as symptomatic after being exposed to grass pollen to be eligible for continued participation in the study. The patients were exposed to  $4000 \pm 800$  grains/ m3 of *Dactylis glomerata* (grass) pollen (Allergon AB, Ängelholm, Sweden), for approximately 2 h to induce allergic rhinitis symptoms via an allergen challenge chamber. Technical and procedural details of the allergen challenge chamber have been described extensively previously [[17–](#page-12-2)[19\]](#page-12-3). Four symptoms were assessed and documented before and every 15 min during the pollen challenge including runny nose (anterior rhinorrhea/postnasal drainage), itchy nose, nasal congestion (stufy nose), and sneezing. The severity of each symptom was rated on a 4-point scale (0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = severe). Patients were deemed symptomatic and eligible for continued participation in the study if they had a total nasal symptom score of  $> 6$  with a nasal congestion score of  $\geq 2$  on at least one occasion during the 2-h screening challenge. Each patient's lung function was monitored prior to entering the allergen challenge chamber until the next morning after the challenge had been completed. The allergen challenge was to be terminated if the subject's volume of air that had been exhaled at the end of the frst second of forced expiration (FEV<sub>1</sub>) was below 50% of the subject's baseline value during pollen exposure.

#### **2.2 Study Design and Procedures**

This was an open-label study with 2 cohorts of participants (Cohort 1: patients with allergic rhinitis; Cohort 2: healthy subjects). Written informed consent was obtained prior to the participation in any study-related procedures. They were evaluated during screening to determine study eligibility and admitted into the study center on the preceding day of each administration of nasal esketamine. The participants remained at the center for 2 overnight stays and were discharged shortly after collection of the last esketamine pharmacokinetic sample.

Participants consented to abstain from use of most medications (the exceptions being hormonal contraception, hormonal therapy, and occasional acetaminophen use) during the study or ingestion of food or beverages containing alcohol or quinine from 24 h (or 72 h in the case of grapefruit juice and Seville oranges) before each pharmacokinetic sample collection day and during confnement.

They were instructed to refrain from the use of methylxanthine-containing products from 48 h before administration of study drug and during confnement. Food was restricted for at least 8 h starting from the evening before dosing until 2 h after each esketamine administration. Drinking water or any other beverages was restricted for 30 min before and after the esketamine nasal spray. The participants were provided standardized meals while they remained at the study center.

On the day prior to the frst administration of esketamine, all participants practiced the self-administration of esketamine using a nasal spray device that was identical to that used to deliver esketamine, but instead flled with an aqueous solution of denatonium benzoate (0.001 mg/ mL) and benzalkonium chloride (0.3 mg/mL). In addition, the investigator (or designee) ensured that each participant understood how to self-administer the esketamine nasal device by reviewing the instructions for use prior to each administration. Throughout the study, participants were instructed to recline their head at about 45 degrees during administration of esketamine or denatonium benzoate and benzalkonium chloride solution to keep the liquid inside the nose.

#### **2.2.1 Study Medication**

Esketamine was supplied as a clear, colorless nasal solution of esketamine hydrochloride (16.14%). The solution consisted of 161.4 mg/mL esketamine hydrochloride (equivalent to 14% or 140 mg/mL of esketamine base). Inactive ingredients include ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, citric acid monohydrate, sodium hydroxide, and water for injection. Esketamine was administered by nasal spray pump, which delivered 16.14 mg esketamine hydrochloride (14 mg esketamine base) per 100 µL spray. Throughout this publication, esketamine hydrochloride are referred to as "esketamine".

Nasal oxymetazoline was made available as a solution of oxymetazoline hydrochloride (0.05% *w*/*v*) in a nasal spray pump (Nasivin, Merck Sharp & Dohme GmbH).

Nasal mometasone was made available as an aqueous suspension of mometasone furoate monohydrate (50 µg per spray calculated on the anhydrous basis) (Nasonex Nasal Spray 50 μg, Merck Sharp & Dohme GmbH). The subjects followed the directions for use in the respective labeling when self-administering oxymetazoline hydrochloride or mometasone furoate monohydrate. Participants were instructed to maintain their head in an upright position when administering either drug study. Throughout this publication, the medications are referred to as "oxymetazoline" and "mometasone", respectively.

# **2.2.2 Efect of Allergic Rhinitis and Interaction with Oxymetazoline**

On Day 1 of each of the 2 treatment periods, the patients deemed eligible for inclusion were exposed to pollen using an environmental challenge chamber with a load of  $4000 \pm$  $800$  grains/ $m<sup>3</sup>$  for 3 h and 10 min in total. Twenty-two patients were randomly assigned to one of two sequences. One sequence consisted of self-administration of 0.05% oxymetazoline solution (2 sprays in each nostril) on Day 1 of Period 1 at 1 h and 10 min after the start of pollen exposure and self-administration of 56 mg of esketamine at 2 h and 10 min after the start of pollen exposure. On Day 1 of Period 2 of this sequence, esketamine was administered at the same time relative to the start of pollen exposure, but without oxymetazoline pretreatment. The alternate sequence consisted of the same treatments administered in two periods but in the opposite order (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0).

In each treatment period, the total dose of esketamine was 56 mg, which was self-administered as a total of 4 sprays (14 mg in each 100-µL spray): one spray in each nostril, followed by a 5-min rest period, followed by one spray in each nostril. The esketamine dose of 56 mg selected to be tested in this study is the initial recommended dose for the indication of treatment-resistant depression  $[4, 5]$  $[4, 5]$  $[4, 5]$ . A washout period of 5–10 days separated each 56-mg dose of esketamine in Periods 1 and 2.

#### **2.2.3 Interaction with Mometasone**

Twenty-four healthy subjects were assigned to a fxed treatment sequence in an open-label manner. Each subject self-administered 56 mg of esketamine solution as a total of 4 sprays of 14 mg of esketamine solution (see description above). On the following day, after the last esketamine pharmacokinetic sample was collected and prior to being discharged, the subjects self-administered the frst nasal dose of mometasone (2 sprays of 50 µg/spray mometasone suspension in each nostril for a total dose of 200 µg). They continued to self-administer 200 µg of mometasone once every morning for 13 consecutive days on an out-patient basis. Subjects kept a diary to document the date and time of each self-administration of mometasone that was not witnessed by the investigator. In addition, the investigator contacted each subject by telephone at least once during the study to confrm compliance with the daily administration of mometasone. Subjects returned to the study center so that the 15th and 16th morning nasal administrations of 200 µg mometasone could be witnessed. The subjects selfadministered a second 56-mg nasal dose of esketamine at 1 h after the 16th nasal dose of 200 µg mometasone.

#### **2.3 Study Endpoints**

#### **2.3.1 Pharmacokinetic Assessments**

Blood samples were collected at predetermined times (i.e., from pre-dose until up to 30 h after the frst nasal spray of each 56-mg dose of esketamine) for measurement of esketamine and noresketamine concentrations in plasma. Therefore, two pharmacokinetic profles were collected per participant (i.e., esketamine alone and following pretreatment with oxymetazoline or mometasone). Plasma was immediately separated and stored at −20 °C or lower until analyzed.

The concentration of esketamine was measured in heparinized plasma samples and collected prior to dosing and at predetermined timepoints on days during which pharmacokinetic samples were collected. Since noresketamine is a non-selective *N*-methyl-p-aspartate receptor antagonist and has analgesic properties, albeit weaker than esketamine [[20–](#page-12-4)[23\]](#page-12-5), the concentration of this metabolite was measured in the same plasma samples mentioned above for analysis of esketamine concentration. No arketamine (i.e., the R stereoisomer of ketamine) was detected in the plasma of healthy subjects and surgical patients who received intravenous esketamine [\[24–](#page-12-6)[26\]](#page-12-7). Therefore, non-chiral, specifc, and sensitive assays, used for the quantifcation of ketamine and norketamine in human heparin plasma were developed and validated at Contract Research Organization Frontage



Note: Only one sequence is presented. In the alternate sequence, esketamine was administered without 0.05% oxymetazoline pretreatment in Period 1 and after 0.05% oxymetazoline pretreatment in Period 2

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Fig. 1 Overview of study drug administration by patients with allergic rhinitis (Cohort 1)

Laboratories, Exton, USA. The assays consisted of a solid phase extraction sample preparation after addition of stable isotope labelled internal standards (ketamine-D4 and norketamine-D4). Aliquots  $(25 \mu L)$  of sample were extracted on Oasis µ-elution MCX plates (Waters). The resulting extracts were evaporated to dryness, reconstituted in 400 µL of 2 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in methanol/ water 25:75 v/v and 10  $\mu$ L of the extract was injected on a reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography column (Phenomenex Synergi Polar-RP  $50 \times 2$  mm, 4  $\mu$ m) using a gradient method with 2 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in water as mobile phase A and 2 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in methanol as mobile phase B. Detection was done by tandem mass spectrometry (Sciex API4000) in the multiple reaction monitoring mode with TurboIonSpray<sup>TM</sup> ionization in the positive ion mode optimized for the quantifcation of ketamine and norketamine (MRM transition *m/z* 238.1 > 125.0 and  $224.1 > 125.0$ , respectively) and for the internal standards ketamine-D4 and norketamine-D4 (*m/z* 242.1 > 129.0 and  $228.1 > 129.0$ , respectively). A linear regression model with  $1/x<sup>2</sup>$  weighing was used, peak area ratios of the analyte to its internal standard were plotted against the analyte concentrations. The concentrations in samples were calculated by interpolation from the standard curve. The inter-day coeffcients of variation (number of quality control samples) for ketamine and norketamine varied from 2.7 to  $5.1\%$  ( $n = 37$ ) and 2.7 to 4.1%  $(n = 35)$ , respectively, at relevant plasma concentrations. The inter-day accuracy (expressed as percent bias) for ketamine and norketamine varied from − 5.3 to − 1.5% (*n* = 37) and − 4.8 to − 1.0% (*n* = 35), respectively, at relevant plasma concentrations. The lower limit of quantifcation was 0.500 ng/mL for both analytes.

The peak plasma concentrations  $(C_{\text{max}})$  and corresponding times  $(t_{\text{max}})$  of esketamine and metabolite noresketamine were observed directly from the data. The frst-order elimination rate constant and the terminal half-life  $(t_{1/2})$  were calculated by means of standard non-compartmental methods. The area under the plasma concentration–time curve until the last measured esketamine or noresketamine concentration value, which was above the quantitation limit  $(AUC<sub>last</sub>)$ was calculated by the linear trapezoidal rule. The area under the plasma concentration–time curve with extrapolation to infinite time ( $AUC_{\infty}$ ) was calculated from  $AUC_{\text{last}}$  by using the respective elimination rate constant value.

#### **2.3.2 Safety and Tolerability**

The safety of the protocol-related procedures and treatments was assessed from the time of consent until the end of the study and included: adverse events, singular 12-lead electrocardiogram, vital signs, pulse oximetry, clinical laboratory results, and physical examinations. The potential efects

of nasal esketamine on dissociative and psychotomimetic symptoms were evaluated using the Clinician-Administered Dissociative States Scale (CADSS) and the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale positive symptoms subscale, respectively [[27\]](#page-12-8). The level of sedation was assessed using the Modifed Observer's Assessment of Alertness/Sedation (MOAA/S) [[28\]](#page-12-9). The Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale was performed to assess suicidal ideation and behavior [[29\]](#page-12-10). These scales were completed by the investigator or a designated representative. Changes from baseline were determined for many of safety endpoints listed above. Baseline was defned as the pre-dose value in Period 1 and Period 2 for patients with rhinitis (Cohort 1) or the pre-dose value prior to the frst nasal dose of esketamine to healthy subjects (Cohort 2).

Targeted nasal examinations were conducted in addition to above safety assessments. The objective of the examination at screening was to rule out any potential participants with anatomical or medical conditions that may impede drug delivery or absorption. Subsequent examinations consisted of a visual inspection of the nostrils, nasal mucosa, and throat for nasal erythema, rhinorrhea, rhinitis, capillary/blood vessel disruption and epistaxis and graded as follows: none, mild, moderate, or severe. For Cohort 1 (patients with allergic rhinitis), the subsequent examinations were conducted during both periods on Day − 1, 4 h and 24 h after each administration of esketamine, and at the end of the study. For Cohort 2 (healthy subjects), the examinations were performed at 4 h and 24 h after the frst dose (Day 1), on Day 15, at 4 h and 24 h after the second dose (Day 16) of esketamine, and at the end of the study.

#### **2.4 Statistical Analysis**

The intrasubject coefficient of variation for  $C_{\text{max}}$  and AUC of nasal esketamine is estimated to be  $\leq$  27%, based on data from other Phase 1 studies conducted with nasal esketamine (data on fle). Using a conservative estimate of coefficient of variation of 30% for pharmacokinetic parameters of esketamine, a sample size of 20 subjects was predicted to be sufficient to ensure that the estimate of the ratio of mean pharmacokinetic parameters of esketamine (with and without oxymetazoline in patients with rhinitis and with and without mometasone in healthy subjects) would fall within 85% and 118% of the true value with 90% confdence.

The pharmacokinetics of nasally administered esketamine was compared between patients with allergic rhinitis and healthy subjects in the absence of pretreatment with the nasal decongestant or corticosteroid using an analysis of variance model that was ftted to log-transformed esketamine and noresketamine  $C_{\text{max}}$  and AUCs (i.e., AUC<sub>last</sub> and AUC ∞) with subject group (i.e., cohort) as a factor. Ninety percent confdence intervals (CIs) for the ratios (rhinitis patients versus healthy subjects) of the mean and  $C_{\text{max}}$  and AUC of

esketamine were constructed using estimated least squares means (LSM) and inter-subject variances.

The effect of pretreatment with nasal oxymetazoline on the pharmacokinetics of nasally administered esketamine was statistically analyzed with a mixed-efect model. The model, which included treatment (with oxymetazoline, without oxymetazoline) as fxed efect and subject as a random efect, was used to estimate the LSM and intra-subject variance. Using these estimated LSM and intra-subject variance, the point estimate and 90% CIs for the diference in means on a log scale between the two treatments were calculated. The limits of the CIs were retransformed using antilogarithms to obtain 90% CIs for the ratio of the means  $C_{\text{max}}$  and AUCs of esketamine and noresketamine with and without oxymetazoline. A similar analysis was conducted to evaluate the efects of pretreatment with nasal mometasone on the pharmacokinetics of esketamine. Only the data from participants who completed the study were included in the statistical analysis.

# **3 Results**

# **3.1 1Demographic Characteristics**

Written informed consent was obtained from a total of fortysix Caucasian participants (mean [range], 36 [20–54] years; BMI range,  $25$  [20–30] kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Twenty-two were patients with allergic rhinitis (12 females and 10 males) and enrolled in the study. Twenty patients with rhinitis completed the study. One female patient was withdrawn by the investigator after completing Period 1. She experienced palpitations of moderate intensity, at 1 h 50 min after oxymetazoline, which corresponds to 50 min after esketamine, that were considered probably related to study drug (oxymetazoline and esketamine). Before resolving, she also had intermittent palpitations (especially nocturnal) of moderate intensity during the washout that were deemed possibly related to study drug. Another female patient received esketamine alone and completed the study-related assessments in Period 1, but then withdrew consent for personal reasons. Of the 46 participants from whom informed consent was obtained, 24 were healthy subjects (12 of each sex) and enrolled in the study. Twenty-three healthy subjects completed the study. One male subject was withdrawn after experiencing Wolf-Parkinson-White syndrome at 13 days after the single dose of nasal esketamine was administered and while administering mometasone daily over this period. The event was considered by the investigator as not related to study drug.

# **3.2 Total Nasal Symptom Scores**

Patients with allergic rhinitis exhibited an increase in the mean total nasal symptom score at approximately 0.5 h after the start of exposure to pollen (i.e., approximately 1.5 h before esketamine was administered). The scores remained elevated up to 1 h after exposure to pollen was terminated (i.e., up to 2 h after esketamine was administered). The mean total scores were similar in the periods during which subjects received nasal oxymetazoline followed by nasal esketamine and nasal esketamine alone. Eleven and 13 patients had a total nasal symptom score  $\geq 6$  and congestion  $\geq 2$  (i.e., at least moderate congestion) on at least 1 occasion when esketamine was given with and without oxymetazoline pretreatment, respectively. The remaining patients had a total nasal symptom score of 4 or 5 and a nasal congestion score of 1 (i.e., mild congestion) or 2 (i.e., moderate congestion) on at least 1 occasion.

#### **3.3 Esketamine Pharmacokinetics**

#### **3.3.1 Patients with Allergic Rhinitis versus Healthy Subjects**

Esketamine was more rapidly absorbed in patients with rhinitis (median  $t_{\text{max}}$ , 0.37 h [or 22 min] without pretreatment oxymetazoline) relative to when esketamine was administered to healthy subjects (median  $t_{\text{max}}$ , 0.54 h [or 32 min]) (Table [1,](#page-6-0) Fig. [2\)](#page-7-0). The patients also exhibited a 21% higher mean esketamine  $C_{\text{max}}$  in plasma. The mean of  $AUC<sub>last</sub>$  and  $AUC<sub>∞</sub>$  were similar with geometric mean ratios (rhinitis patients/healthy subjects) of 1.14, and 1.04, respectively. The corresponding 90% CIs for most of the geometric mean ratios exceeded the upper limit of typical criteria to demonstrate bioequivalence (i.e., 0.80–1.25). For noresketamine, the geometric mean ratios (rhinitis patients/ healthy subjects) for  $C_{\text{max}}$ , AUC<sub>last</sub>, and AUC<sub>∞</sub> were 0.91, 0.98, and 0.99, respectively, and the corresponding 90% CIs for the mean AUC ratios were within 0.80–1.25. In addition, there were minor diferences in the mean terminal half-life values of esketamine and noresketamine in plasma between participants in each cohort.

#### **3.3.2 Efect of Oxymetazoline**

The time to reach esketamine  $C_{\text{max}}$  was prolonged when patients with allergic rhinitis were pretreated with oxymetazoline (median, 0.67 h [or 40 min]) relative when to esketamine administration to patients without oxymetazoline (median  $t_{\text{max}}$ , 0.37 h [or 22 min]). There were minor differences in the other pharmacokinetic parameters of esketamine. The geometric mean ratios (esketamine with oxymetazoline/esketamine) for  $C_{\text{max}}$ , AUC<sub>last</sub>, and AUC  $\omega$  were approximately 0.93, 1.00, and 1.01, respectively (Table [2,](#page-7-1) Fig. [3\)](#page-8-0). The corresponding 90% CIs for the mean ratios were within 0.80 to 1.25. A small increase in noresketamine exposure was observed following coadministration with oxymetazoline with geometric mean ratios (esketamine with oxymetazoline/esketamine) for noresketamine  $C_{\text{max}}$ , AUC<sub>last</sub>, and AUC<sub>∞</sub> of approximately 1.23, 1.15, and 1.14, respectively. The upper limit of the corresponding 90% CIs of the geometric mean ratios for each noresketamine pharmacokinetic parameter exceeded the limits of 0.80–1.25. The decline in plasma esketamine and noresketamine concentrations was not affected by the coadministration of oxymetazoline.

#### **3.3.3 Efect of Mometasone**

The pharmacokinetics of esketamine was similar when healthy subjects self-administered esketamine following daily nasal administration of mometasone as compared with esketamine alone (Table [1,](#page-6-0) Fig. [4\)](#page-8-1). There were minor differences in the median  $t_{\text{max}}$  (0.67 h [or 40 min] and 0.54 h [or 32 min], respectively). Further, the geometric mean ratios (esketamine with mometasone/esketamine) for  $C_{\text{max}}$ , AUC<sub>last</sub>, and AUC<sub>∞</sub> were approximately 0.96, 0.93, and 0.92, respectively. The corresponding 90% CI for the mean ratios (esketamine with mometasone/esketamine) were within 0.80–1.25. For noresketamine, the relative bioavailability based on  $C_{\text{max}}$ , AUC<sub>last</sub>, and AUC  $\infty$  was approximately 1.09, 1.05, and 1.05, respectively, and the corresponding 90% CIs for noresketamine were also within 0.80–1.25. In addition, the decline in plasma esketamine and noresketamine concentrations, based on the mean terminal half-life values, was not affected by coadministration of mometasone.

#### **3.4 Safety and Tolerability**

#### **3.4.1 Clinical Laboratory and Vital Sign Assessments**

There were no clinically relevant mean changes from baseline to end of the study observed in any hematology, chemistry, and urinalysis parameters. The single doses of esketamine produced transient increases in supine heart rate and supine blood pressure. The mean (standard deviation [SD]) changes from baseline in heart rate at the frst scheduled measurement of 50 min post-dose (i.e., close to when maximum esketamine concentrations in plasma were observed) were 12.6 (10.9) and 9.4 (9.8) beats per minute when esketamine was administered with oxymetazoline

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 1** Esketamine and noresketamine pharmacokinetic parameters after self-administration of 56 mg nasal esketamine by patients with allergic rhinitis (Cohort 1) and healthy subjects before and after daily nasal administration of 200 μg of mometasone for 16 days (Cohort 2)



Values are mean (standard deviation) except for *tmax* for which the values are median (minimum and maximum values)

*AUC*∞ area under plasma concentration time curve from time 0 to infnity, *AUClast* under plasma concentration time curve from time 0 to the time of the last measurable concentration, *CI* confidence interval,  $C_{max}$  maximum concentration,  $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$  terminal elimination half-life,  $t_{max}$  time to maximum concentration

a Without oxymetazoline pretreatment

<sup>b</sup><sub>17</sub> patients with allergic rhinitis

<sup>c</sup>21 healthy subjects



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Arithmetic mean (± standard deviation in the main fgure) concentrations of esketamine (left) and noresketamine (right) in plasma of healthy subjects and patients with allergic rhinitis. Each participant self-administered 56 mg of nasal esketamine

	Rhinitis patients pretreated with oxymetazoline $(n = 21)$	Rhinitis patients without oxymetazo- line pretreatment $(n = 21)$	Geometric mean ratio (90% CI) Rhinitis patients with vs with- out oxymetazoline pretreatment
Esketamine			
$t_{\text{max}}$ (h)	$0.67(0.20-1.58)$	$0.37(0.20-1.50)$	
$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)	80.1 (27.8)	87.3 (26.1)	0.93(0.80, 1.06)
$AUClast$ (ng*h/mL)	252(68.8)	249(69.0)	1.00(0.92, 1.08)
$AUC_{\infty}$ (ng*h/mL)	$262 (78.1)^a$	242 $(69.4)^a$	1.01(0.91, 1.11)
$t_{1/2}$ (h)	7.4 $(1.7)^a$	7.1 $(2.1)^a$	
Noresketamine			
$t_{\text{max}}$ (h)	$1.50(0.83 - 3.05)$	$1.52(0.83 - 5.97)$	
$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)	157 (57.8)	134(61.7)	1.23(1.05, 1.44)
$AUClast$ (ng*h/mL)	925 (377)	785 (322)	1.15(1.02, 1.30)
$AUC_{\infty}$ (ng*h/mL)	993 (413)	843 (342)	1.14(1.01, 1.29)
$t_{1/2}$ (h)	8.0(1.8)	8.2(2.2)	

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Table 2** Esketamine and noresketamine pharmacokinetic parameters after self-administration of 56 mg nasal esketamine by patients with allergic rhinitis with and without pretreatment of 0.05% oxymetazoline (2 sprays in each nostril at 1 h prior to nasal esketamine)

Values are mean (standard deviation) except for *t*max for which the values are median (minimum and maximum values)

*AUC*<sub>∞</sub> area under plasma concentration time curve from time 0 to infinity, *AUC*<sub>last</sub> under plasma concentration time curve from time 0 to the time of the last measurable concentration, *CI* confidence interval,  $C_{max}$  maximum concentration,  $t_{1/2}$  terminal elimination half-life,  $t_{max}$  time to maximum concentration

<sup>a</sup>17 patients with allergic rhinitis

or given alone, respectively, and  $-2.7$  (13.8) and 3.1 (9.3) beats per minute when esketamine was administered with mometasone and alone, respectively. At 50 min postdose, the mean (SD) changes from baseline in systolic blood pressure were 8.6 (13.5) and 10.2 (8.4) with and without oxymetazoline, respectively, and 2.1 (10.1), and 9.2 (11.9) mmHg with and without mometasone, respectively. For diastolic blood pressure, the changes at 50 min post-dose were



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Arithmetic mean  $(\pm$  standard deviation in the main figure) concentrations of esketamine (left) and noresketamine (right) in plasma of patients with allergic rhinitis. Each patient self-admin-

istered 56 mg of nasal esketamine with and without pretreatment of 0.05% oxymetazoline (2 sprays in each nostril at 1 h prior to nasal esketamine)



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Arithmetic mean  $(\pm$  standard deviation in the main figure) concentrations of esketamine (left) and noresketamine (right) in plasma of healthy subjects. Each subject self-administered 56 mg of

nasal esketamine before and after daily nasal administration of 200 μg of mometasone for 16 days. The second dose of nasal esketamine was administered at 1 h after the last dose of mometasone

1.9 (6.44) and 5.9 (8.5) with and without oxymetazoline, respectively, and 3.8 (7.2), and 6.0 (4.9) mmHg with and without mometasone, respectively. Supine heart rate and systolic and diastolic blood pressure returned to near baseline by the next scheduled measurement (i.e., 90 min post-dose). Apart from the case of Wolf-Parkinson-White syndrome described above, there were no clinically relevant changes or clinically meaningful treatment-related trends in electrocardiogram parameters observed in this study. In addition, treatment with the study drugs had no clinically meaningful efects on respiratory rate or oxygen saturation as measured by pulse oximetry.

## **3.4.2 Nasal Examination**

Examination of participants in Cohort 1 revealed moderate nasal erythema in three patients, mild nasal erythema in one patient, and mild epistaxis in one patient when esketamine was administered alone. Mild nasal erythema was observed in four patients when oxymetazoline followed by esketamine were administered. One healthy subject in Cohort 2 exhibited mild nasal crusts after being administered esketamine alone. One healthy subject exhibited mild nasal erythema and another had mild epistaxis after treatment with mometasone and esketamine.

## **3.4.3 Clinician‑Administered Dissociative States Scale**

At baseline, the mean (SD) CADSS total scores were 0.0 (0.0) and 0.1 (0.22) when patients in Cohort 1 with allergic rhinitis received esketamine with and without pretreatment with oxymetazoline, respectively, and  $0.0$  (0.0) prior to when the healthy subjects in Cohort 2 received esketamine after pretreatment with mometasone and esketamine alone. The CADSS total scores increased, indicative of dissociation, at 40 min post-dose following each treatment administered by participants in both cohorts. For the patients with rhinitis, the mean (SD) scores were 13.1 (12.2) (oxymetazoline followed by esketamine) and 20.6 (15.2) (esketamine alone). For healthy subjects, the mean (SD) scores were 1.6 (2.8) (mometasone followed by esketamine) and 3.3 (4.1) (esketamine alone). Mean CADSS total scores returned to baseline (i.e., 0.0) by 2 h when the patients received esketamine alone and when the heathy subjects received esketamine with and without mometasone pretreatment. Mean scores returned to baseline by 4 h post-dose when the patients received esketamine after oxymetazoline pretreatment.

# **3.4.4 Brief Psychiatric Rating, Modifed Observer's Assessment of Alertness/Sedation, and Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scales**

A majority of participants in the two cohorts had a total score of zero (i.e., no evidence of symptoms) on the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale positive symptoms subscale at baseline, which remained unchanged during the study. No clinically meaningful psychoses-like symptoms were seen in any participants. Few participants experienced sedation. Four of the patients with rhinitis in Cohort 1 (1 patient after treatment with esketamine and oxymetazoline and also after esketamine alone and 3 patients after treatment with esketamine alone) had MOAA/S scores of 3 (i.e., responded after name called loudly or repeatedly), all of which were reported within 32 min after dosing and resolved within 10–28 min.

All healthy subjects in Cohort 2 had a MOAA/S score of 5 (i.e., responded readily to name spoken in normal tone) or 4 (i.e., lethargic response to name spoken in normal tone) at all time points of assessment. No study participant had a post-baseline occurrence of suicidal ideation and/or suicidal behavior.

# **4 Discussion**

Allergic rhinitis is defned as an immunoglobulin E–mediated infammatory response of the nasal mucous membranes after exposure to inhaled allergens [[30\]](#page-12-11). The infammation associated with rhinitis produces an increase in the permeability of the nasal mucosa, nasal blood flow, and secretions permeate from the nasal glands [\[31](#page-12-12)]. Symptoms of allergic rhinitis include rhinorrhea, nasal congestion, nasal itching, and sneezing. These factors may afect the epithelium and vascular permeability of the nasal mucosa and absorption of a nasally administered drug. The results of the present study indicate the rate of esketamine absorption administered as a nasal spray slightly increased in patients exhibiting symptoms of allergic rhinitis compared to healthy controls, whereas a smaller effect was observed on the extent of absorption. For example, the median  $t_{\text{max}}$ of esketamine in plasma was 22 min for patients with rhinitis and 32 min for the healthy subjects and  $C_{\text{max}}$  was on average 21% higher in the patients. Esketamine  $AUC_{\infty}$ was only 4% higher in patients with rhinitis as compared to healthy subjects. The pharmacokinetics of metabolite noresketamine were only slightly altered (i.e., on average the  $C_{\text{max}}$  and AUC<sub>∞</sub> were ≤9% lower in patients with rhinitis). These changes in the pharmacokinetics of esketamine are not expected to be clinically signifcant given that they are quite small, particularly in comparison to the interindividual variability of the corresponding parameters.

A higher mean CADSS total score was observed after self-administration of 56 mg of nasal esketamine by patients with rhinitis in Cohort 1, relative to healthy subjects in Cohort 2. In addition, four patients had MOAA/S scores of 3 whereas all of the healthy subjects had a MOAA/S score of 4 or 5 after esketamine administration. The apparent diferences between cohorts in CADSS and MOAA/S scores might have been caused by the faster absorption that was observed in subjects in Cohort 1 compared to Cohort 2. However, it is difficult to draw definitive conclusions given that the plasma  $C_{\text{max}}$  and AUC esketamine were only  $\leq 21\%$  higher in Cohort 1, there was a high degree of inter-patient variability in CADSS, and a relatively small number of subjects was enrolled in each cohort. In addition, CADSS and MOAA/S scores observed in the present study are within the range of scores observed in patients with treatment-resistant depression who were treated with nasal esketamine [\[6](#page-11-5)].

The small effects of rhinitis on the pharmacokinetics of nasal esketamine are consistent with those reported for other drugs. The pharmacokinetics of nasally administered fentanyl, hydromorphone hydrochloride, ipratropium bromide, triamcinolone acetonide, and butorphanol were not afected by the presence of allergic rhinitis or an upper respiratory infection [[32–](#page-12-13)[37\]](#page-12-14). However, the rate of absorption of nicotine was reduced in subjects who were experiencing rhinitis symptoms [\[38](#page-12-15)].

Nasally administered decongestants are often used by patients for the treatment of allergic rhinitis. These agents are α-sympathomimetics that bind to mucosal α-adrenoceptors, activating them, and causing vasoconstriction of mucosal blood vessels. This constriction results in reduced flling of the capacity vessels and thus reduced swelling of the nasal mucous membranes [\[39\]](#page-12-16). The results of the present study indicate that the pharmacokinetics of nasally administered esketamine in patients exhibiting symptoms of allergic rhinitis is minimally afected by pretreatment with oxymetazoline. For example, the  $C_{\text{max}}$  and  $\text{AUC}_{\infty}$  of esketamine were 7% lower and 1% higher, respectively, when the patients were pretreated with a single dose of the decongestant, relative to when the same patients were administered esketamine without oxymetazoline pretreatment. Furthermore, there was little difference in the mean  $C_{\text{max}}$  and  $\text{AUC}_{\infty}$  of esketamine in patients with allergic rhinitis pretreated with the decongestant relative to mean esketamine  $C_{\text{max}}$  and  $\text{AUC}_{\infty}$ values in healthy subjects. Pretreatment with the decongestant increased the  $C_{\text{max}}$  and AUC<sub>∞</sub> of noresketamine by 23% and 14%, respectively. It is unclear if these minor efects on noresketamine pharmacokinetics are attributable to pretreatment with oxymetazoline. Noresketamine is formed by metabolic transformation of esketamine mediated by CYP enzymes in the gastrointestinal tract (a fraction of the nasal dose is swallowed [[13\]](#page-11-10)), and the liver.

In other pharmacokinetic interaction studies, there was a substantial and potentially clinically relevant decrease in the *C*max of nasally administered fentanyl and butorphanol and a delay in the  $t_{\text{max}}$  of these drugs in subjects who were pretreated with oxymetazoline spray [\[32](#page-12-13), [37](#page-12-14)]. A small delay was observed in the rate of nicotine absorption whereas there was no efect on nasal hydromorphone pharmacokinetics with oxymetazoline pretreatment [\[34](#page-12-17), [38](#page-12-15)]. Overall exposure (i.e., AUC values) of these four drugs was not afected by pretreatment with the decongestant.

Corticosteroids have numerous applications in treating infammation and diseases of immune function based on

their signifcant anti-infammatory and immunosuppressive efects. By the nasal route, they are an efective therapy for treating moderate-to-severe allergic rhinitis [[40,](#page-12-18) [41\]](#page-12-19). These agents reduce infammation of the nasal mucosa and improve mucosal pathology through their anti-infammatory mechanism of action. The mean ratios (with and without 2-week mometasone pretreatment) for  $C_{\text{max}}$  and AUC of esketamine and noresketamine ranged from 0.92 to 1.09 in healthy subjects in the present study. Thus, repeated administration of nasal mometasone produced only small changes in the pharmacokinetics of nasally administered esketamine.

The 56-mg dose of nasal esketamine spray was well tolerated by the patients with allergic rhinitis (with and without oxymetazoline) and healthy subjects (with and without mometasone). There were no new or unexpected safety concerns observed relative to previous studies during which esketamine was given by the nasal and intravenous routes to patients with treatment-resistant depression [\[6,](#page-11-5) [42](#page-12-20)]. Transient increases in blood pressure after the dose were observed, particularly increases in systolic blood pressure, which support an increase in cardiac output as the underlying mechanism, consistent with previous reports for ketamine [[43](#page-13-0), [44\]](#page-13-1). Analysis of perceptual change symptoms (measured by CADSS assessment) indicated that onset began shortly after esketamine administration and resolved by 2 h when esketamine was administered alone as single agent or after pretreatment with mometasone or by 4 h when the patients received oxymetazoline pretreatment. No clinically meaningful psychoses-like symptoms were seen in any of the participants, based on the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale positive symptoms subscale, and no participants had treatment-emergent suicidal behavior or suicidal intent, based on the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale.

This study carries some limitations. First, sample size was small in this interventional study. However, considerations regarding variability of pharmacokinetic parameters with disease or intervention have indicated that meaningful conclusions can be drawn. Second, this study was not blinded but conducted as an open label study. While this might potentially impact on subjective outcomes and safety, the primary aim of this study was pharmacokinetic outcomes which are considered to be robust against blinding-bias. Finally, the efect of mometasone was studied in healthy subjects and not in patients with allergic disease. Given that the symptoms of nasal rhinitis wax and wane over time and are difficult to control, the authors chose to study the effects of chronic corticosteroid and potential subsequent changes in nasal mucosa on the pharmacokinetics of nasal esketamine in healthy subjects.

# **5 Conclusion**

We conclude that the rate of absorption of nasal esketamine was slightly greater in patients exhibiting symptoms of allergic rhinitis. The small increases in esketamine  $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC do not warrant adjustment of the esketamine dose for these patients. In addition, the pharmacokinetics of nasal esketamine was not afected by pretreatment with a single dose of oxymetazoline at 1 h prior to nasal esketamine in these same patients or when compared to the healthy subjects. Daily administration of mometasone for approximately 2 weeks (with the last dose administered at 1 h prior to esketamine administration) by healthy subjects did not alter the pharmacokinetics of nasal esketamine. Further, esketamine nasal spray was well tolerated by the study participants, when it was administered with or without pretreatment with oxymetazoline or mometasone. Based on the results of this study, patients with major depressive disorder and exhibiting symptoms of allergic rhinitis may receive nasal esketamine spray without dose adjustment. In addition, esketamine may be administered 1 h after using a nasal decongestant or corticosteroid.

## **Declarations**

**Funding** The clinical study and the analyses presented were supported by research funding from Janssen Research and Development, LLC.

**Conflict of Interest** Peter Zannikos, Bhavna Solanki, Marc De Meulder, and Jaskaran Singh were employed by Janssen Research & Development, LLC at the time the study was conducted and, as such, may have been eligible for stock and stock options.

**Data Availability and Material** The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the study belong to Janssen Research & Development, LLC and are not publicly available.

**Ethics Approval** The protocol was approved by an Institutional Review Board (Medizinische Hochshule Hannover Carl-Neuberg-Strasse 1, 30625 Hannover, Germany). The study was conducted at a single center (Fraunhofer Institute for Toxicology and Experimental Medicine, Hannover, Germany) in accordance with the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki and that are consistent with Good Clinical Practices and applicable regulatory requirements. The study was registered in the Clinical Trials (NCT02154334) and EudraCT (2014‐000534‐38) registries.

**Consent to Participate** Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before enrollment in the study after being advised of the potential risks and benefts of the study, as well as the investigational nature of the study.

**Consent for Publication** All authors provided fnal approval of the published version.

**Code Availability** Not applicable.

**Author Contribution** All authors participated in the study design and implementation and/or conduct of the study. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

# **References**

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>1. WHO Fact Sheet on Depression 13 September 2021; [http://www.](http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression) [who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression.](http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression) Assessed 6 Mar 2023.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>2. Machado-Vieira R, Salvadore G, Luckenbaugh D, et al. Rapid onset of antidepressant action: a new paradigm in the research and treatment of major depression. J Clin Psychiatry. 2008;69(6):946– 58.<https://doi.org/10.4088/jcp.v69n0610>.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>3. Rush A, Trivedi M, Wisniewski S, et al. Acute and longerterm outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: A STAR\*D report. Am J Psychiatry. 2006;163(11):1905–17. [https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.2006.163.](https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.2006.163.11.1905) [11.1905](https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.2006.163.11.1905).
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>4. SPRAVATO (esketamine) nasal spray [package insert]. Janssen Pharmaceuticals Companies, Titusville, NJ; 2019. [https://www.](https://www.janssenlabels.com/package-insert/product-monograph/prescribing-information/SPRAVATO-pi.pdf) [janssenlabels.com/package-insert/product-monograph/prescrib](https://www.janssenlabels.com/package-insert/product-monograph/prescribing-information/SPRAVATO-pi.pdf)[ing-information/SPRAVATO-pi.pdf](https://www.janssenlabels.com/package-insert/product-monograph/prescribing-information/SPRAVATO-pi.pdf). Accessed 16 June 2023.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>5. SPRAVATO (esketamine) nasal spray EMA Summary of Product Characteristics. [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/spravato-epar-product-information_en.pdf) [product-information/spravato-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/spravato-epar-product-information_en.pdf). Accessed 16 June 2023.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>6. Daly E, Singh J, Fedgchin M, et al. Efficacy and safety of intranasal esketamine adjunctive to oral antidepressant therapy in treatment-resistant depression. A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Psychiat. 2018;75(2):139–48. [https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychi](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.3739) [atry.2017.3739.](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.3739)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>7. Zanos P, Moaddel R, Morris P, et al. Ketamine and ketamine metabolite pharmacology: Insights into therapeutic mechanisms. Pharmacol Rev. 2018;70(3):621–60. [https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.](https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.015198) [117.015198.](https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.015198)
- 8. Duman R, Aghajanian G, Sanacora G, et al. Synaptic plasticity and depression: new insights from stress and rapid-acting antidepressants. Nat Med. 2016;22(3):238–49. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4050) [nm.4050](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4050).
- 9. Manji H, Drevets W, Charney D. The cellular neurobiology of depression. Nat Med. 2001;7(5):541–7. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/87865) [87865.](https://doi.org/10.1038/87865)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>10. Murrough J, Abdallah C, Mathew S. Targeting glutamate signaling in depression: progress and prospects. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2017;16(7):472–86. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd.2017.16>.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>11. Illum L. Nasal drug delivery-possibilities, problems and solutions. J Controlled Release. 2003;87(1–3):187–98. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-3659(02)00363-2) [1016/s0168-3659\(02\)00363-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-3659(02)00363-2).
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>12. Türker S, Onure E, Özer Y. Nasal and drug delivery systems. Pharm World Sci. 2004;26(3):137–42. [https://doi.org/10.1023/b:](https://doi.org/10.1023/b:phar.0000026823.82950.ff) [phar.0000026823.82950.f](https://doi.org/10.1023/b:phar.0000026823.82950.ff).
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>13. Perez-Ruixo C, Rossenu S, Zannikos P, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of esketamine nasal spray and its metabolite noresketamine in healthy subjects and patients with treatmentresistant depression. Clin Pharmacokinetics. 2021;60(4):501– 16. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s40262-020-00953-4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40262-020-00953-4)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>14. Portmann S, Kwan H, Theurillat R, et al. Enantioselective capillary electrophoresis for identification and characterization of human cytochrome P450 enzymes which

metabolize ketamine and norketamine in vitro. J Chromatogr A. 2010;1217(51):7942–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2010.06.028) [2010.06.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2010.06.028).

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>15. Yanagihara Y, Kariya S, Ohtani M et al. Involvement of CYP2B6 in N-demethylation of ketamine in human liver microsomes. Drug Metab Dispos. 2001;29(6):887-890. [https://dmd.](https://dmd.aspetjournals.org/content/29/6/887.long) [aspetjournals.org/content/29/6/887.long](https://dmd.aspetjournals.org/content/29/6/887.long). Accessed 16 June 2023.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>16. [www.worldallergy.org/education-and-programs/education/aller](http://www.worldallergy.org/education-and-programs/education/allergic-disease-resource-center/professionals/in-depth-review-of-allergic-rhinitis) [gic-disease-resource-center/professionals/in-depth-review-of](http://www.worldallergy.org/education-and-programs/education/allergic-disease-resource-center/professionals/in-depth-review-of-allergic-rhinitis)[allergic-rhinitis](http://www.worldallergy.org/education-and-programs/education/allergic-disease-resource-center/professionals/in-depth-review-of-allergic-rhinitis). Assessed 6 Mar 2023.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>17. Krug N, Loedding H, Hohlfeld J, et al. Validation of an environmental exposure unit for controlled human inhalation studies with grass pollen in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis. Clin Exp Allergy. 2003;33(12):1667–74. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2003.01810.x) [1365-2222.2003.01810.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2003.01810.x).
- 18. Hohlfeld JM, Holland-Letz T, Larbig M, et al. Diagnostic value of outcome measures following allergen exposure in an environmental challenge chamber compared with natural conditions. Clin Exp Allergy. 2010;40(7):998–1006. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2010.03498.x) [10.1111/j.1365-2222.2010.03498.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2010.03498.x).
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>19. Badorrek P, Dick M, Hecker H, et al. Anti-allergic drug testing in an environmental challenge chamber is suitable both in and out of the relevant pollen season. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2011;106(4):336–41. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2010.12.018) [12.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2010.12.018).
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>20. Eberta B, Mikkelsen S, Thorkildsen C, et al. Norketamine, the main metabolite of ketamine, is a non-competitive NMDA receptor antagonist in the rat cortex and spinal cord. Eur J Pharmacol. 1997;333(1):99–104. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-2999(97)01116-3) [2999\(97\)01116-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-2999(97)01116-3).
- 21. Holtman J, Crooks P, Johnson-Hardy J, et al. Efects of norketamine enantiomers in rodent models of persistent pain. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2008;90(4):676–85. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2008.05.011) [1016/j.pbb.2008.05.011.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2008.05.011)
- 22. Swartjes M, Morariu A, Niesters M, et al. Nonselective and NR2B-selective N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptor antagonists produce antinociception and long-term relief of allodynia in acute and neuropathic pain. Anesthesiology. 2011;115(1):165– 74. [https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0b013e31821bdb9b.](https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0b013e31821bdb9b)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>23. Moaddel R. Sub-anesthetic concentrations of (R, S)-ketamine metabolites inhibit acetylcholine-evoked currents in  $\alpha$ 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Eur J Pharmacol. 2013;698(1–3):228– 34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2012.11.023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2012.11.023)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>24. Geisslinger G, Hering W, Thomann P, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of ketamine enantiomers in surgical patients using a stereoselective analytical method. Br J Anaesth. 1993;70(6):666–71. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/70.6.666>.
- 25. Ihmsen H, Geisslinger G, Schuttler J. Sterioselective pharmacokinetics of ketamine: R(-)-ketamine inhibits the elimination of S(+)-ketamine. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2001;70(5):431–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-9236\(01\)06321-4.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-9236(01)06321-4)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>26. Hartvig P. Central nervous system efects of subdissociative doses of (S)-ketamine are related to plasma and brain concentrations measured with positron emission tomography in healthy volunteers. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 1995;58(2):165–73. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-9236(95)90194-9) [doi.org/10.1016/0009-9236\(95\)90194-9.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-9236(95)90194-9)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>27. Bremner J, Krystal J, Putnam F, et al. Measurement of dissociative states with the Clinician-Administered Dissociative States Scale (CADSS). J Trauma Stress. 1998;11(1):125–36. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024465317902) [doi.org/10.1023/A:1024465317902](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024465317902).
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>28. Pambianco D, Vargo J, Pruitt R, et al. Computer-assisted personalized sedation for upper endoscopy and colonoscopy: a comparative, multicenter randomized study. Gastrointest Endosc. 2011;73(4):765–72. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2010.10.031) [10.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2010.10.031).
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>29. Posner K, Oquendo M, Gould M, et al. Columbia classifcation algorithm of suicide assessment (C-CASA): classifcation of suicidal events in the FDA's pediatric suicidal risk analysis of antidepressants. Am J Psychiatry. 2007;164(7):1035–43. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.164.7.1035) [doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.164.7.1035.](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.164.7.1035)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>30. Seidman M, Guideline Otolaryngology Development Group. AAO-HNSF. Clinical practice guideline: allergic rhinitis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2015;152(S1):S1–43. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599814561600) [10.1177/0194599814561600](https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599814561600).
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>31. Dykewicz M, Hamilos D. Rhinitis and sinusitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;125(2):S103–15. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2009.12.989) [2009.12.989](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2009.12.989).
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>32. Perelman M, Fisher A, Smith A, et al. Impact of allergic rhinitis and its treatment on the pharmacokinetics of nasally administered fentanyl. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2013;51(5):349–56. <https://doi.org/10.5414/CP201825>.
- 33. Nave R, Sides E, Colberg T, et al. Pharmacokinetics of intranasal fentanyl spray (INFS) in subjects with seasonal allergic rhinitis with and without prior administration of oxymetzoline. Eur J Pain. 2009;13(S1):S55–285. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1090-3801(09)60721-7) [S1090-3801\(09\)60721-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1090-3801(09)60721-7)
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>34. Davis G, Rudy A, Archer S, et al. Bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of intranasal hydromorphone in patients experiencing vasomotor rhinitis. Clin Drug Invest. 2004;24(11):633–9. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00044011-200424110-00002>.
- 35. Wood C, Fireman P, Grossman J, et al. Product characteristics and pharmacokinetics of intranasal ipratropium bromide. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1995;95(5 Pt 2):1111–6. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0091-6749(95)70214-8) [10.1016/s0091-6749\(95\)70214-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0091-6749(95)70214-8).
- 36. Argenti D, Colligon I, Heald D, et al. Nasal mucosal infammation has no efect on the absorption of intranasal triamcinolone acetonide. J Clin Pharmacol. 1994;34(8):854–8. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1552-4604.1994.tb02051.x) [10.1002/j.1552-4604.1994.tb02051.x](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1552-4604.1994.tb02051.x).
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>37. Shyu W, Pittman K, Robinson D, et al. The absolute bioavailability of transnasal butorphanol in patients experiencing rhinitis. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1993;45(6):559–62. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00315315) [10.1007/BF00315315](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00315315).
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>38. Lunell E, Molander L, Andersson M. Relative bioavailability of nicotine from a nasal spray in infectious rhinitis and after use of a topical decongestant. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1995;48(1):71–5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00202176>.
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>39. Klimek L, Sperl A, Becker S, et al. Current therapeutical strategies for allergic rhinitis. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2019;20(1):83–9. [https://doi.org/10.1080/14656566.2018.15434](https://doi.org/10.1080/14656566.2018.1543401) [01](https://doi.org/10.1080/14656566.2018.1543401).
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>40. Williams D. Clinical pharmacology of corticosteroids. Respir Care. 2018;63(6):655–70. [https://doi.org/10.4187/respcare.](https://doi.org/10.4187/respcare.06314) [06314](https://doi.org/10.4187/respcare.06314).
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>41. Wallace D. The diagnosis and management of rhinitis: An updated practice parameter. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2008;122(2 Suppl):S1–84. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2008.06.003) [06.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2008.06.003).
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>42. Singh JB, Fedgchin M, Daly E, et al. Intravenous esketamine in adult treatment-resistant depression: a double-blind, double-randomization, placebo-controlled study. Biol Psychiatry. 2016;80(6):424–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.10.018) [018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.10.018).
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>43. Singh J, Fedgchin M, Daly E, et al. A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-frequency study of intravenous ketamine in patients with treatment-resistant depression. Am J Psychiatry. 2016;173(8):816–26. [https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2016.16010037) [2016.16010037](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2016.16010037).
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>44. Murrough J, Iosifescu D, Chang L, et al. Antidepressant efficacy of ketamine in treatment-resistant major depression: a two-site randomized controlled trial. Am J Psychiatry.

2013;170(10):1134–42. [https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2013.](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2013.13030392) [13030392](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2013.13030392).

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

# **Authors and Afliations**

# **Peter Zannikos<sup>1</sup>  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9444-3862) Bhavna Solanki2 · Marc De Meulder3 · Philipp Badorrek4 · Jens M. Hohlfeld5,6  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2646-6186) Jaskaran Singh7**

 $\boxtimes$  Peter Zannikos pnzannikos@gmail.com

> Bhavna Solanki bsolanki@its.jnj.com

Marc De Meulder mdmeulde@its.jnj.com

Philipp Badorrek philipp.badorrek@item.fraunhofer.de

Jens M. Hohlfeld jens.hohlfeld@item.fraunhofer.de

Jaskaran Singh jsingh@neurocrine.com

<sup>1</sup> Janssen R&D, 1125 Trenton-Harbourton Road, Titusville, NJ 08560, USA

- <sup>2</sup> Janssen R&D, 6050 Paseo Carreta, Carlsbad, CA 92009, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Janssen R&D, Turnhoutseweg 30, Beerse, 2340 Antwerp, Belgium
- Clinical Airway Research, Fraunhofer Institute for Toxicology and Experimental Medicine, Feodor-Lynen-Str. 15, 30625 Hannover, Germany
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Respiratory Science, Fraunhofer Institute for Toxicology and Experimental Medicine, Carl-Neuberg-Str. 1, 30625 Hannover, Germany
- <sup>6</sup> German Center for Lung Research (DZL), BREATH, Hannover, Germany
- <sup>7</sup> Neurocrine Bioscience, Inc, 12780 El Camino Real, San Diego, CA 92130, USA