SYSTEMATIC REVIEW



# Tumour Risk with Once-Weekly Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 Receptor Agonists in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients: A Systematic Review

Xia Guo<sup>1</sup> · Qing Yang<sup>2</sup> · Jianjun Dong<sup>3</sup> · Lin Liao<sup>4</sup> · Weiwei Zhang<sup>5</sup> · Fupeng Liu<sup>5,6</sup>

Published online: 15 March 2016 © Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

#### Abstract

*Background and Objective* Once-weekly glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs) are a novel class of injectable antidiabetic drugs. Previous studies indicated that GLP-1RAs (exenatide and liraglutide) might increase the incidence of pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. Here, we evaluated the clinical safety of once-weekly GLP-1RAs with respect to tumour risk.

*Methods* Relevant studies were selected from ClinicalTrials.gov. Randomized controlled trials that reported the incidences of neoplasms were included in our research. Outcomes were calculated as the risk ratio using the Mantel–Haenszel method and fixed-effects model.

*Results* Our analysis included 26 randomized controlled trials with 16,090 patients. Once-weekly GLP-1RAs did

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s40261-016-0389-8) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Fupeng Liu Liufupengsdu@126.com

- <sup>1</sup> Intensive Care Unit, Tengnan Hospital of Zaozhuang Mining Group, Shandong, China
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine, Maternal and Child Care Service Centre of Tengzhou City, Shandong, China
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Medicine, East Campus, Qilu Hospital, Shandong, China
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Endocrinology, Qianfoshan Hospital of Shandong University, Shandong, China
- <sup>5</sup> Division of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Department of Internal Medicine II, University Hospital of Freiburg, Hugstetter Strasse 55, 79106 Freiburg, Germany
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Endocrinology, Tengzhou Central People's Hospital, Shandong, China

not increase the risk for tumours compared with other antidiabetic drugs [risk ratio (RR), 1.02; 95 % confidence interval (CI), 0.74–1.41; p = 0.91]; this finding was independent of the type of GLP-1RA administered (albiglutide, exenatide extended-release and dulaglutide) and duration of the trials (limited to  $\geq$ 52 weeks). Subgroup analyses revealed that once-weekly GLP-1RAs did not increase tumour risk compared with placebos, exenatide and liraglutide, insulin or oral drugs. Additionally, once-weekly GLP-1RAs did not increase tumour risk in any tissue.

*Conclusions* Compared with other antidiabetic drugs, once-weekly GLP-1RAs did not increase the risk for any tumour, and this finding was independent of the type of GLP-1RA administered and treatment duration. However, our study had many limitations, and further longer term trials with larger samples should be conducted in future to confirm our results.

# **Key Points**

A total 26 randomized controlled trials with 16,090 patients and 161 tumour cases were included in our research.

Our study showed that once-weekly GLP-1RAs did not increase tumour risk compared with other therapies.

# **1** Introduction

Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs) are a novel class of injectable antidiabetic drugs with multiple glucoregulatory effects, including enhancement of glucosedependent insulin secretion, suppression of inappropriately elevated postprandial glucagon secretion and slowing of gastric emptying [1–3]. They have been the focus of much attention during the last years because of their unique mechanisms of action [4–6]. They are beneficial for blood glucose control; their other potential benefits include preservation of beta-cell function and improvements in other diabetes-related co-morbid conditions, such as hypertension, hyperlipidaemia and obesity [7–9]. The potentiation of insulin and glucagon release is glucosedependent and is therefore associated with a low risk of hypoglycaemia [10–12].

Currently, several once-weekly GLP-1RAs have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as adjunctive therapy for the management of type 2 diabetes [13–15]. Besides consistent glycaemic control, onceweekly GLP-1RAs offer some advantages over exenatide and liraglutide, including less frequent injections and improved treatment satisfaction. A few of studies indicate that the GLP-1RAs exenatide and liraglude might increase the occurrence of some specific cancers (e.g. pancreatic or thyroid cancer). Compared with liraglutide and exenatide, once-weekly GLP-1RAs have a longer half-life and continual action. Therefore it might be easier to obtain a definite result if we perform a study focused on once-weekly GLP-1RAs. Thus far, no study has evaluated the clinical safety of once-weekly GLP-1RAs with respect to tumour risk. Many randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have been published in PubMed, EMBASE and the Cochrane Library; however, most of these studies did not report findings related to tumour occurrence. Subsequently, we searched RCTs registered in ClinicalTrials.gov. Here, we report the findings of our informal meta-analysis on once-weekly GLP-1RAs and the risk of occurrence of tumours.

#### 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

We selected relevant studies registered in ClinicalTrials.gov up to 25 October 2015 using the following keywords: glucagon like peptide 1 receptor agonist OR exenatide OR albiglutide OR taspoglutide OR dulaglutide OR lixisenatide OR semaglutide OR CJC-1131 OR LY315902 OR CJC-1134-PC.

Two independent authors screened trials that could potentially be included in our study one by one. An RCT was considered eligible if the following criteria were met: (1) adult patients with type 2 diabetes were studied; (2) onceweekly GLP-1RAs and other treatments were compared; (3) the incidences of neoplasms (benign, malignant and unspecified) were reported as serious adverse events; (4) the duration of intervention was at least 12 weeks; and (5) there were more than 60 samples in each arm. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) observational and retrospective studies; (2) non-clinical studies; and (3) lack of information about the outcome that we analysed in this study.

### 2.2 Data Extraction

Two independent authors extracted the following data from each selected study: NCT number, study duration, trial sponsors, intention-to-treat (ITT) population, interventions and the number of individuals in the population without tumours.

#### 2.3 Bias Assessment

Most of the RCTs included in our study were well designed and no obvious bias was founded in relevant published papers. However, all the data about tumour occurrence were extracted from ClinicalTrials.gov directly, rather than published papers, and it might be not appropriate for us to assess the risk of bias according to the information in ClinicalTrials.gov. Therefore, we performed this informal meta-analysis without assessment of risk of bias.

#### 2.4 Statistical Analysis

We combined groups to create a single pairwise comparison when a trial contained multiple intervention groups. Outcomes were calculated as risk ratios (RRs) with 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) using the Mantel–Haenszel method and fixed-effects model.  $I^2$  testing was performed to assess the magnitude of the heterogeneity between studies, with values greater than 50 % considered indicative of moderate-to-high heterogeneity [16]. To evaluate



Fig. 1 Trial selection process. *GLP-1RAs* glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists

# Table 1 Characteristics of the randomized controlled trials included in the study

Once-weekly GLP-1RAs	NCT number [References]	Sponsors	Duration (weeks)	Interventions	Population	Neoplasm events
Albiglutide	00849017 [18]	GlaxoSmithKline	156	PLSC qw	101	5
				Alb 30 mg qw	101	1
				Alb 50 mg qw	99	6
	01098539 [ <mark>19</mark> ]	GlaxoSmithKline	52	Sit 100 mg qd	246	3
				Alb 30 mg qw	249	6
	01733758 [20]	GlaxoSmithKline	24	PLSC qw	77	0
				Lir 0.9 mg qd	103	0
				Alb 30 mg qw	160	0
				Alb 50 mg qw	150	4
	01128894 [21]	GlaxoSmithKline	32	Lir 1.8 mg qd	408	1
				Alb 50 mg qw	404	0
	00838916 [22]	GlaxoSmithKline	156	Glar 10 U qd + Met $\pm$ Sul	241	4
				Alb 30 mg qw + Met $\pm$ Sul	504	10
	00839527 [23]	GlaxoSmithKline	156	PLSC qw + Met + Glim	115	2
				Pio 30 mg qd + Met + Glim	277	9
				Alb30 mg qw + Met + Glim	271	3
	00838903 [24]	GlaxoSmithKline	156	PLSC qw + Met	101	2
				Sit 100 mg qd	302	10
				Glim 2 mg qd	307	7
				Alb 30 mg qw	302	4
	00976391 [25]	GlaxoSmithKline	52	Lispro Insulin + Glar	281	3
				Alb 30 mg qw + Glar	285	3
	00849056 [26]	GlaxoSmithKline	156	PLSC qw + Pio ( $\geq$ 30 mg) $\pm$ Met	151	3
				Alb 30 mg qw + Pio ( $\geq$ 30 mg) $\pm$ Met	150	2
Exenatide-ER	00308139 [27]	AstraZeneca	30	Ex 10 µg bid	145	0
				Ex-ER 2 mg qw	148	2
	01652729 [28]	AstraZeneca	28	PLOA qd	61	0
				Sit 100 mg qd	122	0
				Ex-ER 2 mg qw	181	1
	00676338 [29]	AstraZeneca	26	PLSC qw + Sit 100 mg qd	163	0
				PLSC qw + Pio 45 mg qd	163	1
				PLSC qw + Met 2000 mg/day	246	2
				Ex-ER 2 mg qw	248	1
	01029886 [30]	AstraZeneca	26	Lir 1.8 mg qd	450	0
				Ex-ER 2.0 mg qw	461	2
	01003184 [31]	AstraZeneca	26	Detemir once/twice daily	105	1
				Ex-ER 2.0 mg qw	111	0
	00637273 [32]	AstraZeneca	26	PLAC qw + Pio 45 mg qd	165	0
				PLAC qw + Sit 100 mg qd	166	1
				Ex-ER 2 mg qw + PLOA qd	160	0

Table 1 continued

Once-weekly GLP-1RAs	NCT number [References]	NCT number Sponsors [References]		Interventions	Population	Neoplasm events	
Dulaglutide	01624259 [33]	Eli Lilly and	26	Lir 1.8 mg qd + Met	300	1	
		Company		Dul 1.5 mg qw $+$ Met	299	2	
	01584232 [34]	Eli Lilly and	26	Glar + OAM	180	0	
		Company		Dul $0.75 \text{ mg qw} + \text{OAM}$	181	2	
	00734474 [35]	Eli Lilly and Company	104	PLSC qw + PLOA/Sit 100 mg qd + Met	177	2	
				PLSC qw + Sit 100 mg qd + Met	315	5	
				Dul $0.75 \text{ mg qw} + \text{PLOA} + \text{Met}$	302	3	
				Dul 1.5 mg qw + PLOA + Met	304	5	
	01075282 [36]	Eli Lilly and	78	$Glar + Met + Glim (\geq 4 mg)$	262	3	
		Company		Dul 0.75 mg qw + Met + Glim ( $\geq$ 4 mg)	272	8	
				Dul 1.5 mg qw + Met + Glim ( $\geq$ 4 mg)	273	2	
	01191268 [37]	Eli Lilly and Company	52	Glar + Insulin Lispro tid	296	3	
				Dul 0.75 mg qw + Insulin Lispro tid	293	4	
				Dul 1.5 mg qw + Insulin Lispro tid	295	1	
	01126580 [38]	Eli Lilly and Company	52	PLSC qw + Met 2000 mg/daily	268	1	
				Dul 0.75 mg qw + PLOA	270	1	
				Dul 1.5 mg qw + PLOA	269	1	
	01558271 [39]	Eli Lilly and	52	Lir 0.9 mg qd	137	2	
		Company		Dul 0.75 mg qw	280	2	
	01149421 [40]	Eli Lilly and Company	26	PLSC qw	250	1	
				Dul 0.75 mg qw	254	0	
				Dul 1.5 mg qw	251	0	
	00630825 [41]	Eli Lilly and	16	PLSC qw	66	0	
		Company		Dul 0.5/1.0 mg qw	66	0	
				Dul 1.0/1.0 mg qw	65	1	
				Dul 1.0/2.0 mg qw	65	0	
	01064687 [42]	Eli Lilly and Company	56	Ex 10 $\mu$ g bid + Pio ( $\geq$ 30 mg) + Met	278	1	
				Dul 1.5 mg qw + Pio $(\geq 30 \text{ mg})$ + Met	279	2	
				Dul 0.75 mg qw + Pio $(\geq 30 \text{ mg})$ + Met	280	3	
	01648582 [43]	Eli Lilly and	52	Glar qd + Met $\pm$ Sul	263	0	
		Company		Dul 1.5 mg qw + Met $\pm$ Sul	263	4	
				Dul 0.75 mg qw + Met $\pm$ Sul	263	2	

*PLSC* placebo subcutaneous injection, *PLOA* placebo oral, *Met* metformin, *Alb* albiglutide, *Dul* dulaglutide, *Ex-ER* exenatide extended-release, *Ex* exenatide, *Lir* liraglutide, *Pio* pioglitazone, *Sit* sitagliptin, *Glar* glargine, *Glim* glimepiride, *Sul* sulphonylureas, *qd* once a day, *bid* twice a day, *tid* three times a day, *qw* once a week

the influence of each study on the overall effect size, sensitivity analyses were conducted using the leave-oneout method, i.e. by removing one study at a time and then repeating the analysis. An inverse variance random-effects model was also used to further prove the robustness of the analysis results. Subgroup analyses were also performed according to study duration, tumour location and type of control groups.

We assessed funnel plot asymmetry using Egger tests and defined significant publication bias as p < 0.1. The confidence interval,





Fig. 3 Funnel plot for the total analysis. Exenatide-ER exenatide extended-release, RR risk ratio, logRR natural logarithm of RR, SE standard error

trim-and-fill computation method was used to estimate the effect of publication bias on the interpretation of the results when publication bias was significant [17]. All these analyses were performed using RevMan 5.1 (Nordic Cochrane Centre) and Stata version 11 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

### **3** Results

We identified 429 studies from the ClinicalTrials.gov registry, and 26 RCTs with 16,090 patients were included in our analysis [18-43]. The study selection process is shown in Fig. 1. Nine of them evaluated albiglutide [18– 26], six evaluated exenatide extended-release (ER) [27–32] and 11 evaluated dulaglutide [33-43]. According to the Fig. 4 Subgroup analysis according to control groups. *CI* confidence interval, *GLP-1RAs* glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists, *M*–*H* Mantel– Haenszel

	Once-weekly GLP-1	RAs	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl			
2.1.1 Once-weekly GLP-1RAs vs Placebo										
NCT01149421	0	505	1	250	10.2%	0.17 [0.01, 4.04]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
NCT00839527	3	271	2	115	14.2%	0.64 [0.11, 3.76]				
NCT00838903	4	302	2	101	15.2%	0.67 (0.12, 3.60)				
NCT00849056	2	150	3	151	15.1%	0.67 [0.11, 3.96]				
NCT00849017	7	200	5	101	33.7%	0.71 [0.23, 2.17]				
NCT00630825	1	196	0	66	3.8%	1.02 [0.04, 24,75]				
NCT01652729	1	181	0	61	3.8%	1.02 [0.04, 24,76]				
NCT01733758	4	310	0	77	4.1%	2 26 [0 12 41 48]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		2115	•	922	100.0%	0.72 [0.37, 1.39]				
Total events	22		13				-			
Heterogeneity Chi2 =	1.53 df = 7 (P = 0.98)	$1^2 = 0.9$								
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.99$ (P = 0.32)										
2.1.2 Once-weekly GL	P-1RAs vs Exenatid	e and Li	iraglutide	•						
NCT01128894	0	404	1	408	18.0%	0.34 [0.01, 8.24]				
NCT01558271	2	280	2	137	32.5%	0.49 (0.07, 3.44)				
NCT01624259	2	299	1	300	12.1%	2.01 (0.18, 22.01)				
NCT01064687	5	559	1	278	16.1%	2 49 10 29 21 181				
NCT01733758	4	310	0	103	9.1%	3 01 10 16 55 431				
NCT01029886	2	461	ñ	450	61%	4 88 [0 23 101 39]	<b>.</b>			
NCT00308139	2	148	ň	145	61%	4 90 [0 24 101 18]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Subtotal (95% CI)	2	2461	•	1821	100.0%	1.73 [0.72, 4.15]				
Total events	17	2401	5	1021	100.070	110 [0112, 4110]				
Hotorogonoity Chi2-	2 70 df - 6 /P - 0 71)	· 12 - 0.00								
Test for overall effect	7 = 1.24 (P = 0.22)	,1 - 0 /								
restion overall ellect.	2 - 1.24 (1 - 0.22)									
2.1.3 Once-weekly GL	P-1RAs vs Insulin									
NCT01003184	0	111	1	105	8.0%	0.32 [0.01, 7.66]				
NCT01191268	5	588	3	296	20.8%	0.84 [0.20, 3.49]				
NCT00976391	3	285	3	281	15.7%	0.99 [0.20, 4.84]				
NCT00838916	10	504	4	241	28.2%	1.20 [0.38, 3.77]				
NCT01075282	10	545	3	262	21.1%	1.60 [0.44, 5.77]				
NCT01584232	2	181	0	180	2.6%	4.97 [0.24, 102.85]				
NCT01648582	6	526	0	257	3.5%	6.36 (0.36, 112, 54)				
Subtotal (95% CI)		2740		1622	100.0%	1.38 [0.76, 2.52]	◆			
Total events	36		14							
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	3.35. df = 6 (P = 0.76)	$ ^{2} = 0\%$	6							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.06 (P = 0.29)									
2.1.4 Once-weekly GL	P-1RAs vs Oral drug	S								
NCT00839527	3	271	9	277	25.0%	0.34 [0.09, 1.25]				
NCT00838903	4	302	17	609	31.6%	0.47 [0.16, 1.40]				
NCT00637273	0	160	1	331	2.7%	0.69 [0.03, 16,78]				
NCT00676338	1	248	3	572	5.1%	0.77 (0.08, 7.35)				
NCT00734474	8	606	7	492	21.7%	0.93 (0.34, 2.54)				
NCT01126580	2	539	1	268	3.7%	0.99/0.09.10.921				
NCT01098539	6	249	3	246	8.5%	1.98 (0.50, 7 81)				
NCT01652729	1	181	ñ	122	1.7%	2.03 (0.08, 49 36)				
Subtotal (95% CI)		2556	0	2917	100.0%	0.73 [0.44, 1.22]	◆			
Total events	25		41			and for the former	-			
Heterogeneity Chiz =	4.63 df = 7 (P = 0.70)	· I <sup>2</sup> = 0.90								
Test for normal effect $7 = 10.0(9 = 0.23)$										
reer of orerain effect.	=									
							Equate experimental Equate control			

Test for subaroup differences: Chi<sup>2</sup> = 5.02. df = 3 (P = 0.17). I<sup>2</sup> = 40.2%

types of control groups, eight trials compared once-weekly GLP-1RAs with a placebo [18, 20, 23, 24, 26, 28, 40, 41], seven with exenatide and liraglutide [20, 21, 27, 30, 33, 39, 42], seven with insulins [22, 25, 31, 34, 36, 37, 43] and eight with oral drugs [19, 23, 24, 28, 29, 32, 35, 38]. The mean duration of these trials was 64 weeks (range 16–156 weeks). All of these trials were supported by companies; other characteristics of these trials are shown in Table 1.

In a pooled analysis of 26 RCTs, use of once-weekly GLP-1RAs did not result in an increase in tumour risk (RR 1.02; 95 % CI 0.74–1.41; p = 0.91) (Fig. 2) when compared with the use of other therapies [18–43]. Similarly, in the subgroup analysis according to the type of once-weekly GLP-1RAs, use of albiglutide [17–26], exenatide-ER [27–32] and dulaglutide [33–43] did not increase the risk for tumours (RR 0.81; 95 % CI 0.51–1.27; p = 0.63 for albiglutide; RR 1.54; 95 % CI 0.54–4.40; p = 0.72 for

pooled results, we performed a subgroup analysis for trials with a duration of  $\geq$ 52 weeks. Seven trials that evaluated albiglutide and another seven trials that evaluated dulaglutide were included in this analysis. Once-weekly GLP-1RAs did not increase tumour risk in 52 weeks (RR 0.93; 95 % CI 0.65–1.33; p = 0.81) [18, 19, 22–26, 35–39, 42, 43]. No heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 0$  %) or publication biases were noted (p = 0.110 for total, p = 0.169 for 52 weeks, p = 0.484 for albiglutide, p = 0.635 for exenatide-ER and p = 0.538 for dulaglutide) in these analyses. All of these results were robust in the sensitivity analysis and were not affected by any single study. A funnel plot for the total analysis is shown in Fig. 3.

exenatide-LAR; RR 1.26; 95 % CI 0.75–2.12; p = 0.83 for

dulaglutide). As the duration of trials might influence the

We also performed subgroup analyses according to the type of control groups and tumour location. Once-weekly GLP-1RAs did not increase the tumour risk compared with placebo (RR 0.72; 95 % CI 0.37–1.39; p = 0.98) [18, 20, 23, 24, 26, 28, 40, 41], exenatide and liraglutide (RR 1.73; 95 % CI 0.72–4.15; p = 0.71) [20, 21, 27, 30, 33, 39, 42], insulin (RR 1.38; 95 % CI 0.76–2.52; p = 0.76) [22, 25, 31, 34, 36, 37, 43] and oral antidiabetic drugs (RR 0.73; 95 % CI 0.44–1.22; p = 0.70) (Fig. 4) [19, 23, 24, 28, 29, 32, 35, 38]. No heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 0$  %) or publication biases were noted (p = 0.837 for placebo; p = 0.392 for exenatide and liraglutide; p = 0.523 for insulin; p = 0.561 for oral antidiabetic drugs) in these analyses. All these results were robust in the sensitivity analysis and were not affected by any single study. The results of total and subgroup analyses using the random-effects model are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Additionally, once-weekly GLP-1RAs did not increase the tumour risk in any tissue (Table 2). A total of five cases of pancreatic cancer were reported: two cases of metastatic pancreatic carcinoma, one treated with albiglutide [18] and the other with liraglutide [39]; one case of pancreatic carcinoma treated with dulaglutide [42]; one case of adenocarcinoma pancreas treated with oral drugs [23]; and one case of benign pancreatic neoplasm treated with albiglutide [19]. No heterogeneity  $(I^2 = 0)$  was noted in these analyses. All of these results were robust and were not affected by any single study. Significant publication biases existed only in the analyses of breast and lung tumours (p = 0.07and p = 0.06, respectively). However, no trimming was performed in the 'trim and fill' analysis and the data remained unchanged, which suggests that publication bias might not affect these results significantly.

#### 4 Discussion

Although GLP-1RAs are a novel class of antihyperglycaemic agents, their safety with respect to tumour risk has attracted a high level of concern in the past 5 years. In 2011, the FDA examined the adverse events database of studies that investigated these treatments [44, 45]. This report indicated that GLP-1RAs increase the risk for pancreatitis and raised caution about the potential long-term actions of these drugs in promoting pancreatic cancer. However, the FDA report had many issues. The FDA Adverse Event Reporting System database does not provide information regarding obesity, smoking habits, alcohol consumption or chronic pancreatitis, which are wellestablished additional risk factors for pancreatic cancer. At the same time, many fundamental studies had been performed to evaluate the safety of GLP-1RAs with respect to the risk of pancreatic, thyroid, prostate, colon and breast cancer [46-51]. However, there was neither firm evidence in favour of this hypothesis nor evidence strong enough to rule out the possibility of increased risk based on the results available at present.

Our systematic review also has many limitations. First, because the description of neoplasms in some trials was not sufficiently detailed and we included all types of tumours, namely benign, malignant and unspecified neoplasms. Second, it was unclear whether the neoplasms were present before treatment and we could not determine if there was a link between the neoplasms and treatment. Third, the duration of these randomized controlled trials was short for

 Table 2
 Subgroup analysis according to tumour tissue

Tissues or s [references]	Patients with neoplasms		ITT population		Comparison		Egger test
	Once-weekly GLP-1RAs	Control group	Once-weekly GLP-1RAs	Control group	RR (95 % Cl)	p value	(p value)
Digestive tract [18–20, 22–25, 29, 34, 35, 37–39, 41, 42]	12	1	5358	4440	0.73 (0.37–1.41)	0.96	0.19
Pancreas [18, 19, 23, 39, 42]	3	2	1559	1154	0.87 (0.25-3.06)	0.74	0.91
Gallbladder [23, 37]	3	0	859	688	3.21 (0.36-28.52)	0.81	NA
Liver [24, 26, 29, 34]	1	3	8816	7948	0.89 (0.20-3.92)	0.82	0.94
Breast [18-20, 22-24, 26, 28, 35, 36, 43]	9	10	3844	3215	0.79 (0.36-1.75)	0.93	0.07*
Lung [18, 22–24, 26, 31, 37, 39]	5	9	2406	2018	0.52 (0.20-1.35)	0.91	0.06*
Thyroid [23, 24, 32, 33, 35, 36, 43]	6	6	2709	2744	1.05 (0.38-2.92)	0.88	0.74
Ovary and uterus [18, 22-25, 35, 36, 38, 43]	8	7	1906	1474	0.88 (0.38-2.01)	0.78	0.38
Prostate [18, 19, 22–24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 33, 35–37, 42]	12	6	2704	2483	1.43 (0.67–3.05)	0.99	0.74
Haemic and lymphatic systems [18, 20, 22, 24, 25, 36, 38]	9	3	2685	2043	1.42 (0.49–4.15)	0.92	0.25
Nervous system [19, 22, 25, 30, 33, 35]	4	2	2404	2010	1.19 (0.38-3.76)	0.79	0.85
Urinary system [18, 19, 23, 24, 27, 36, 43]	6	5	2241	2113	1.02 (0.38-2.72)	0.69	0.92
Other tissues [18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 36, 37, 40, 42]	10	7	4141	3073	0.89 (0.39-2.05)	0.83	0.53

CI confidence interval, GLP-IRAs glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists, ITT intention-to-treat, RR risk ratios

\* p < 0.1 versus control group, indicates the existence of publication bias

evaluating cancer risk, especially that of trials that evaluated exenatide-ER, with most being conducted for about 6 months. Besides, even though a total of 16,090 patients were included in our analysis, this sample size is still insufficient to evaluate the risk of site-specific cancer (e.g. pancreas or thyroid). Finally, only 149 tumour cases were reported in both the GLP-1RAs and control group and the small number of tumour cases decreased the reliability of our final conclusion.

To our knowledge, this is the first article that systematically evaluated the clinical safety of once-weekly GLP-1RAs with respect to tumour risk in type 2 diabetes patients. Our study showed that once-weekly GLP-1RAs did not increase tumour risk compared with other treatments and this result was independent of the type of onceweekly GLP-1RA administered and the treatment duration. Subgroup analyses performed according to the tumour tissue and types of control groups also revealed similar results.

#### 5 Conclusion

We can conclude that compared with other treatments, once-weekly GLP-1RAs do not increase the risk of tumour occurrence and this is independent of the type of GLP-1RAs and treatment duration. However, there were limitations to our study, and further larger sample, long-term clinical trials should be conducted in future to confirm our results.

Acknowledgments Fupeng Liu would like to acknowledge the support from The China Scholarship Council.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

Funding This study received no external funding.

**Conflict of interest** XG, QY, JD, LL, WZ and FL have no financial conflicts of interest to declare.

#### References

- Baggio LL, Drucker DJ. Biology of incretins: GLP-1 and GIP. Gastroenterology. 2007;132:2131–57.
- Kolterman OG, Kim DD, Shen L, et al. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and safety of exenatide in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2005;62:173–81.
- Nielsen LL, Young AA, Parkes DG. Pharmacology of exenatide (synthetic exendin-4): a potential therapeutic for improved glycemic control of type 2 diabetes. Regul Pept. 2004;117:77–88.
- 4. Holst JJ. The physiology of glucagon-like peptide 1. Physiol Rev. 2007;87:1409–39.
- 5. Holst JJ, Deacon CF, Vilsboll T, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1, glucose homeostasis and diabetes. Trends Mol Med. 2008;14:161–8.

- Nauck MA, Vilsboll T, Gallwitz B, et al. Incretin-based therapies: viewpoints on the way to consensus. Diabetes Care. 2009;32(Suppl 2):S223–31.
- Drucker DJ, Buse JB, Taylor Kendall DM, et al. Duration-1 Study Group. Exenatide once weekly versus twice daily for the treatment of type 2 diabetes: a randomised, openlabel, non-inferiority study. Lancet. 2008;372(9645):1240–50. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(08)61206-4.
- Buse JB, Rosenstock J, Sesti G, et al. LEAD-6 Study Group. Liraglutide once a day versus exenatide twice a day for type 2 diabetes: a 26-week randomized, parallel-group, multinational, open-label trial (LEAD-6). Lancet. 2009;374(9683):39–47. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60659-0.
- Liu FP, Dong JJ, Yang Q, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist therapy is more efficacious than insulin glargine for poorly controlled type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Diabetes. 2015;7:322–8.
- Nauck MA, Niedereichholz U, Ettler R, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 inhibition of gastric emptying outweighs its insulinotropic effects in healthy humans. Am J Physiol. 1997;273(5 Pt 1):E981–8.
- Nauck MA, Kleine N, Orskov C, et al. Normalization of fasting hyperglycaemia by exogenous glucagon-like peptide 1 (7-36 amide) in type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetic patients. Diabetologia. 1993;36:741–4.
- Nauck MA, Heimesaat MM, Behle K, et al. Effects of glucagonlike peptide 1 on counterregulatory hormone responses, cognitive functions, an insulin secretion during hyperinsulinemic, stepped hypoglycemic clamp experiments in healthy volunteers. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2002;87:1239–46.
- Bydureon package insert. http://www.azpicentral.com/bydureon/ pi\_bydureon.pdf#page=1. Accessed 23 Apr 2015.
- Tanzeum package insert. https://gsksource.com/pharma/content/ dam/GlaxoSmithKline/US/en/Prescribing\_Information/Tanzeum/ pdf/TANZEUM-PI-MG-IFU-COMBINED.PDF. Accessed 3 May 2015.
- 15. Trulicity package insert. http://pi.lilly.com/us/trulicity-uspi.pdf. Accessed 3 May 2015.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a metaanalysis. Stat Med. 2002;21:1539–58.
- Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in metaanalysis. Biometrics. 2000;56:455–63.
- GlaxoSmithKline. Safety and efficacy study of albiglutide in type 2 diabetes. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00849017? term=NCT00849017&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- GlaxoSmithKline. A study of the efficacy and safety of albiglutide in subjects with type 2 diabetes with renal impairment. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01098539?term=0109853 9&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- GlaxoSmithKline. A monotherapy study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of 2 dose levels of albiglutide in Japanese subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT01733758?term=01733758&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- GlaxoSmithKline. A study to determine the efficacy and safety of albiglutide as compared with liraglutide. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT01128894?term=01128894&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- GlaxoSmithKline. A study to determine the safety and efficacy of albiglutide in patients with type 2 diabetes. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT00838916?term=00838916&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- GlaxoSmithKline. A study to determine the safety and efficacy of albiglutide in subjects with type 2 diabetes. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT00839527?term=00839527&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.

- GlaxoSmithKline. Efficacy and safety of albiglutide in treatment of type 2 diabetes. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT0083 8903?term=00838903&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- 25. GlaxoSmithKline. A study to determine the safety and efficacy of albiglutide administered in combination with insulin glargine. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00976391?term=0097639 1&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- GlaxoSmithKline. Safety and efficacy of albiglutide in type 2 diabetes. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00849056?term= 00849056&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- AstraZeneca. Effects of exenatide long-acting release on glucose control and safety in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DURATION-1). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00308 139?term=00308139&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- AstraZeneca. Comparison study of the glycemic effects, safety, and tolerability of exenatide once weekly suspension to sitagliptin and placebo in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DURATION-NEO-2). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT0 1652729?term=NCT01652729&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- AstraZeneca. Safety and efficacy of exenatide once weekly injection versus metformin, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, or thiazolidinedione as monotherapy in drug-naive patients with type 2 diabetes (DURATION-4). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT00676338?term=00676338&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- AstraZeneca. Safety and efficacy of exenatide once weekly versus liraglutide in subjects with type 2 diabetes. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01029886?term=01029886&rank=
   Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- AstraZeneca. Efficacy of once-weekly exenatide versus once or twice daily insulin detemir in patients with type 2 diabetes. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01003184?term=01003184 &rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- 32. AstraZeneca. A study to compare the glycemic effects, safety, and tolerability of exenatide once weekly to those of sitagliptin and pioglitazone, in subjects with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin (DURATION-2). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT00637273?term=00637273&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- 33. Eli Lilly and Company. A study comparing the effect of dulaglutide with liraglutide in type 2 diabetes (AWARD-6). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01624259?term=0162425 9&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- Eli Lilly and Company. A study of dulaglutide in Japanese participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT01584232?term=01584232&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- 35. Eli Lilly and Company. A study of LY2189265 compared to sitagliptin in participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus on metformin. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00734474?term= 00734474&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- Eli Lilly and Company. A study in participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus (AWARD-2). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT01075282?term=01075282&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- Eli Lilly and Company. A study in participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus (AWARD-4). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT01191268?term=01191268&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.

- Eli Lilly and Company. A study in participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus (AWARD-3). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT01126580?term=01126580&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- Eli Lilly and Company. A study of LY2189265 in Japanese participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT01558271?term=01558271&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- 40. Eli Lilly and Company. A study of the effect of LY2189265 on blood pressure and heart rate in type 2 diabetes. https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01149421?term=01149421&rank= 1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- 41. Eli Lilly and Company. A study of dose titration of LY2189265 in overweight participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus (EGO). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00630825?term=00630825 &rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- Eli Lilly and Company. A study in participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus (AWARD-1). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT01064687?term=01064687&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- 43. Eli Lilly and Company. A study comparing the effects and safety of dulaglutide with insulin glargine in type 2 diabetes mellitus. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01648582?term=NCT016 48582&rank=1. Accessed 25 Oct 2015.
- 44. U.S. Department of Food and Drug Administration. Adverse Event Reporting System [Internet], 2011. http://www.fda.gov/ Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Surveillance/ AdverseDrugEffects/default.htm. Accessed 31 Mar 2014.
- Elashoff M, Matveyenko AV, Gier B, et al. Pancreatitis, pancreatic, and thyroid cancer with glucagon-like peptide-1-based therapies. Gastroenterology. 2011;141:150–6.
- Butler PC, Matveyenko AV, Dry S, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 therapy and the exocrine pancreas: innocent bystander or friendly fire? Diabetologia. 2010;53:1–6.
- 47. Gier B, Matveyenko AV, Kirakossian D, et al. Chronic GLP-1 receptor activation by exendin-4 induces expansion of pancreatic duct glands in rats and accelerates formation of dysplastic lesions and chronic pancreatitis in the Kras(G12D)mouse model. Diabetes. 2012;61:1250–62.
- Bjerre Knudsen L, Madsen LW, et al. Glucagon-like Peptide-1 receptor agonists activate rodent thyroid C-cells causing calcitonin release and C-cell proliferation. Endocrinology. 2010;151:1473–86.
- Nomiyama T, Kawanami T, Irie S, et al. Exendin-4, a GLP-1 receptor agonist, attenuates prostate cancer growth. Diabetes. 2014;63(11):3891–905.
- Koehler JA, Kain T, Drucker DJ. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor activation inhibits growth and augments apoptosis in murine CT26 colon cancer cells. Endocrinology. 2011;152(9):3362–72.
- Ligumsky H, Wolf I, Israeli S, et al. The peptide-hormone glucagon-like peptide-1 activates cAMP and inhibits growth of breast cancer cells. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2012;132:449–61.