A Novel Coumarin-based Fluorescence Chemosensor for Fe³⁺

WANG Ruiping¹, WAN Qihua¹, FENG Feng^{1,2*} and BAI Yunfeng²

 Department of Chemistry, College of Sciences, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330047, P. R. China;
 Department of Chemistry, Datong University, Datong 037009, P. R. China

Abstract A novel coumarin derivative[7-diethylamino-2-oxo-2*H*-chromene-3-carboxylic acid(6-amino-pyridin-2-yl)-amide, CFe1] has been synthesized and its potential application as a chemosensor for the detection of metal ions has been further investigated. The responses of CFe1 to Fe³⁺ were studied by fluorescence emission spectrometry in the presence of other metal ions such as Al³⁺, Ba²⁺, Ca²⁺, Co³⁺, Cr³⁺, Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Hg²⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Na⁺, Ni⁺, Pb²⁺, Zn²⁺, K⁺, and Ag⁺. CFe1 showed a good selectivity for Fe³⁺ with fast response, a wide pH span of 3.3—9.18, and a large Stocks shift. CFe1 in the presence of Fe³⁺ and ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid(EDTA) makes the blue solution fade to colorless, which is due to the formation of CFe1-Fe³⁺ complex instead of any catalytic action of Fe³⁺. Furthermore, the imaging of Fe³⁺ in cultured single mice microglia cells was realized with the aid of CFe1, indicating that CFe1 has a great potential to be used as promising models for the future design of novel and robust chemosensor for metal ion detection in the field of biomedical and environmental analyses.

Keywords Coumarin; Fe³⁺; Fluorescence; Selectivity

1 Introduction

Metal ions detection is of great importance for biomedical analysis and environmental monitoring. Among them, the iron ion(Fe³⁺) is an essential metal ion in humans and plants, which acts as a cofactor for many proteins in a wide range of biochemical processes such as cellular metabolism^[1] and enzyme catalysis^[2]. Moreover, Fe³⁺ is recognized as a valuable biomarker for various diseases, and the disruption of Fe³⁺ is commonly associated with a great many disease states including neurodegenerative diseases, hereditary hemochromatosis, alzheimer disease, iron-deficiency anaemia, and so on^[3-7]. Obviously, the analysis of Fe³⁺ content has great significance not only for fundamental but also for some disease diagnosis. To date, researches have been focused on the development of technologies for Fe³⁺ detection and some assays have been built up, including atomic absorption spectrometry^[8,9], inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry^[10], inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry^[11,12], spectrophotometry^[13,14], and so on. However, some disadvantages such as costly instrumentations and complicated pretreatment process make those methods unsuitable for on-line or field monitoring.

As well known, fluorescence chemosensors have several advantages including low cost, simplicity, portability, high selectivity and sensitivity, real-time monitoring and so on^[15–18]. Thereby, the design of fluorescent chemosensors to detect Fe³⁺ is of great importance in biological and environmental studies. Recently, Lin *et al.*^[19,20] described two fluorescence chemo-

sensors for Fe³⁺ based on metal-promoted hydrolysis of (coumarinyl)Schiff base with long responsive time. Chen *et al.*^[21] reported a new chemosensor for Fe³⁺ with a high sensitivity(0.36 μ mol/L) and wider linear range as well as a narrow pH span(3.6—4.6). Li *et al.*^[22] developed an "Off-On" chemosensor for Fe³⁺ with a detection limit of 5.0 μ mol/L. Although significant researches have been done, the properties of these chemosensors still need to improve. Thus, we focused on developing a chemosensor for Fe³⁺ that meets particular criteria: easy synthesis, a wide range of pH and fast response as well as high sensitivity.

Coumarin dyes are an important class of fluorescent compounds that are widely used in chemosensors because of their excellent photophysical properties, such as strong fluorescence, high light quantum stability and photoluminescence efficiency. To date, coumarin derivatives have already been applied to the monitoring of $Cu^{2+[23,24]}$, $Zn^{2+[25]}$, $Fe^{2+[11]}$, $Mg^{2+[26,27]}$, fructose^[28], NO^[29] and CN^{-[30]}. Given the coumarin derivatives some enhanced advantages such as good water solubility, high selectivity, fast response time, low toxicity and simple synthesis, they have huge potential application in fluorescence chemosensors for Fe³⁺. Herein, we synthesized 7-diethylamino-2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carboxylic acid(6-amino-pyridin-2yl)-amide(CFe1) by combining pyridine-2,6-diamine, which shows good binding ability to Fe³⁺, with coumarin derivatives by one-pot procedure. Thus, we obtained a new Fe³⁺-selective fluorescence chemosensor with fast response, a pH span of 3.3-9.18, a large Stocks shift of 124 nm, and high selectivity

© Jilin University, The Editorial Department of Chemical Research in Chinese Universities and Springer-Verlag GmbH

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: feng-feng64@263.net

Received March 15, 2014; accepted May 21, 2014.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(No.21175085) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi Province, China(No.2009011015-1).

al.

over other metal ions. Furthermore, we presented the biological application of CFe1 to the monitoring of Fe^{3+} in cultured mice microglia cells.

2 Experimental

No.4

2.1 Apparatus and Reagents

Fluorescence spectra were measured on a HITACHI F-2500 spectrofluorimeter(Hitachi High-Technologies Co., Tokyo, Japan) at an excitation wavelength of 343 nm and an emission wavelength of 467 nm, equipped with a xenon discharge lamp and 1.0 cm quartz cells. The UV-Vis spectra were recorded on an UV-2450 spectrophotometer equipped with quartz curves(Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). NMR spectra were recorded with an AC-P 400 spectrometer(Bruker, German) operated at 400 MHz in DMSO-d₆ with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. Electronic spray ionization(ESI) mass spectra were obtained on an Orbitrap Discovery(Thermo, England) mass spectrometer with a Micromass Z-spray ESI ion source, and Fourier transform infrared(FTIR) spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer model FTIR-682 infrared spectrophotometer with KBr discs. Live cell microscopy images were collected on a fluorescence microscope(Olympus-dp71, Japan). The pH value of the solution was determined by a Thermo model 410A plus pH meter(Thermo Orion Inc., USA), with a combined glass-calomel electrode. All the measurement experiments were operated at room temperature.

A stock standard solution of $Fe^{3+}(0.1 \text{ mol/L})$ was prepared from ferric nitrate. A working solution of $Fe^{3+}(10.0 \text{ mmol/L})$ was prepared by diluting the stock solution. The stock standard solution of CFe1(0.17 mmol/L) was prepared in ethanol/H₂O (95:5, volume ratio). A working solution of CFe1(17.0 µmol/L) was prepared by diluting the stock solution with the solution of ethanol/H₂O. All the reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. Mice microglia cells were obtained from the Institute of Brain Science, Datong University, China. Unless otherwise stated, all the chemicals were of A. R. grade. Double distilled water was used for all experiments.

2.2 Synthesis of CFe1

CFe1 was obtained by three-step reaction, as shown in Scheme 1. Firstly, a solution of 4-diethylamino-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde(4.8 g) and diethyl malonate(4.8 mL) in ethanol(25.0 mL) was treated with an appropriate amount of piperidine and glacial acetic acid and refluxed for 3 h. After 25.0 mL of H₂O was added to the reaction mixture, the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. The crystalline solid was filtered and washed with the cold solution of ethanol/H₂O(1:1, volume ratio). Recrystallization from the solution of ethanol/H₂O gave compound 1 as a yellow solid. Yield 43.4%(3.14 g). m. p. 79—83 °C. ¹H NMR(CDCl₃, 400 MHz), δ : 8.41(s, 1H), 7.34(m, 1H), 6.59(d, *J*=8.9 Hz, 1H), 6.44(d, *J*=2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.35(d, *J*=7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.43(q, *J*=7.1 Hz, 4H), 1.37(t, *J*=7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.21(t, *J*=7.1 Hz, 6H). FTIR(KBr), $\tilde{\nu}$ /cm⁻¹: 3027, 2975, 1755, 1701, 1615, 1592, 1513, 1422, 1222. Elemental anal.(%) calcd. for compound 1: C 67.96, N 5.00, H 6.528; found: C 66.42, N 4.84, H 6.62.





Furthermore, 15.0 mL of NaOH(10%, mass fraction) was added to the compound 1(2.89 g, 0.01 mol) in 15.0 mL of ethanol, and the mixture was heated under reflux for 15 min. Acidification to pH=2 with concentrated hydrochloric acid and cool of it to 0 °C gave compound 2 as a red solid. Yield 71.2%(1.68 g). m. p. 222—226 °C. ¹H NMR(400 MHz, CDCl₃), δ : 12.52(s, 1H, OH), 8.57(s, 1H, C==CH), 7.62(d, *J*=9.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.78(d, *J*=8.9 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.55(s, 1H, ArH), 3.46(q, *J*=6.81 Hz, 4H, 2CH₂), 1.11(q, *J*=6.9 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃). FTIR(KBr), $\tilde{\nu}$ /cm⁻¹: 3110, 2986, 2924, 1735, 1667, 1620, 1566, 1511, 1420, 1357, 1263. Elemental anal.(%) calcd. for compound 2: C 64.92, N 5.44, H 5.876; found: C 64.35, N 5.36, H 5.78.

CH₂Cl₂ was freshly distilled in the presence of calcium hydride. To the solution of compound 2(1.0 mmol, 0.26 g) and pyridine-2,6-diamine(1.2 mmol, 0.13 g) in CH₂Cl₂(15.0 mL) was added the molecular sieve(0.3 nm). After the mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was further purified by column chromatography over silica gel[eluant: V(methanol)/V(dichloromethane)=1/10] to afford CFe1 as a yellow solid. Yield 65.9%(0.22 g). m. p. 198-200 °C. ¹H NMR(400 MHz, DMSO-d₆), *δ*: 8.58(s, 1H, NH), 7.64(d, J=9.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.02(t, J=7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.80(d, J=9.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.58 (s, 1H, ArH), 5.61(d, J=7.8 Hz, 2H, 2PyH), 5.39(s, 3H, NH, NH2), 3.49(q, J=6.9 Hz, 4H, 2CH2), 1.14(d, J=7.0 Hz, 6H, 2CH₃). ¹³C NMR(200 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ: 12.70, 44.76, 95.55, 96.32, 107.77, 107.79, 110.41, 132.16, 138.77, 149.64, 153.23, 158.24, 158.88, 159.90, 165.02; FTIR(KBr), $\tilde{\nu}$ /cm⁻¹: 3430, 3335, 3130, 1708, 1612, 1509, 1400. ESI-MS, m/z: calcd. for $C_{19}H_{24}N_4O_3[M+H]^+$: 357.1921; found: 357.1905.

2.3 Analytical Procedure

A proper amount of Fe³⁺ working solution(10.0 mmol/L) and 3.0 mL of CFe1 working solution(17.0 μ mol/L) were added in a 1.0 cm quartz cell subsequently. The solutions were well mixed before the fluorescence measurement was carried out by excitation/emission at $\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em}$ =343 nm/467 nm. For the determination of Fe³⁺ at low concentrations, Fe³⁺ working solution(1.0 mmol/L) was prepared by diluting the original stock solution, and proper amounts of these solutions were used in the analytical procedure.

3 Results and Discussion

As is shown in Fig.1, UV-Vis spectra of CFe1(17.0 μ mol/L) in the solution of ethanol/H₂O(95:5, volume ratio) reveal two maxima at λ =401 nm and λ =250 nm, respectively. With the increase of the concentration of Fe³⁺, the band position(λ =250 nm) did not change. Upon complexation of it with Fe³⁺, the characteristic strong absorption band of CFe1 shifted from 401 nm to 428 nm, and its intensities increased gradually. Notably, weak absorption band and red-shifted by ultimate 27 nm in the presence of 25 μ mol/L Fe³⁺.



Fig.1 Absorption spectra of chemosensor CFe1 (17.0 μ mol/L) in a solution of ethanol/H₂O (95:5, volume ratio) Concentration of Fe³⁺/(μ mol·L⁻¹) from *a* to *k*: 0, 2.0,

4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10.0, 15.0, 25.0, 35.0, 45.0, 55.0.

Good selectivity is an essential part of fluorescence chemosensors. Therefore, the selectivity of CFe1 as a chemosensor for Fe³⁺ was tested in ethanol/H₂O(95:5, volume ratio) by incubating CFe1(17.0 µmol/L) with a range of environmentally and biologically important metal ions(47.0 µmol/L) as their nitrate salts. The addition of Al³⁺, Ba²⁺, Ca²⁺, Co²⁺, Cr³⁺, Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Hg²⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Na⁺, Ni²⁺, Pb²⁺, Zn²⁺, K⁺ and Ag⁺ caused virtually no change to the fluorescence emission spectrum of CFe1. However, the addition of Fe³⁺ resulted in a significant decrease of 72.3% in the fluorescence intensity of the band at 467 nm[Fig.2(A)]. *F*/*F*₀ at 467 nm was calculated for each metal ion, and excellent selectivity was observed for Fe³⁺ over all the other tested ions as shown in Fig.2(B).

The effects of pH on the fluorescence intensities of CFe1 and CFe1-Fe³⁺ were investigated by recording the fluorescence spectra over a range of different pH values. The fluorescence intensity at 467 nm was plotted as a function of pH, as shown in Fig.3. These results illustrate that the fluorescence intensity didn't change in the pH range of 3.3—9.18, but decreased dramatically from pH 9.18 to 12. This large decrease in fluorescence intensity might be caused by the structural damaging of CFe1 in a strong alkali solution. Importantly, the fluorescence-pH titration shows that the fluorescence intensity of CFe1 remains constant in the physiological range.

To investigate the stability of chemosensor CFe1 and the dynamic experiment of the reaction of chemosensor CFe1, we recorded the fluorescent signals of CFe1 and CFe1-Fe³⁺ at

467 nm (λ_{ex} =343 nm) in 200 s. As shown in Fig.4, the fluorescence intensity of chemosensor CFe1 did not change over time, which illustrates that CFe1 is stable in air and light. Notably,



Fig.2 Fluorescence spectra(λ_{ex} =343 nm) of 17.0 µmol/L CFe1 in the presence of different metal ions(47.0 µmol/L) in ethanol/H₂O solution(95:5, volume ratio)(A) and *F*/*F*₀ at 467 nm for each metal ion(B)

(B) a. Blank; b. Fe^{3+} ; c. Ag^+ ; d. Ca^{2+} ; e. Cd^{2+} ; f. Co^{3+} ; g. Cr^{3+} ; h. Cu^{2+} ; i. Fe^{2+} ; j. Hg^{2+} ; k. K^+ ; l. Mg^{2+} ; m. Mn^{2+} ; n. Na^+ ; o. Ni^+ ; p. Pb^{2+} ; q. Zn^{2+} . F_0 is the fluorescence intensity of 17.0 µmol/L CFe1 and F the fluorescence intensity of 17.0 µmol/L chemosensor 1 with the addition of metal ions.



Fig.3 Effect of pH on the fluorescence intensity of 17.0 μmol/L CFe1(a) or 17.0 μmol/L CFe1 with the addition of 47.0 μmol/L Fe³⁺(b)



Fig.4 Reaction-time profile of chemosensor CFe1 (17.0 μmol/L) in the absence(a) and presence of Fe³⁺ of 3.3 μmol/L(b), 23.3 μmol/L(c), 47.0 μmol/L(d) and 63.3 μmol/L(e)

the fluorescence intensity of CFe1 changed rapidly after the addition of Fe^{3+} and then approached to a constant over the time. The only exception was the reaction of CFe1 with Fe^{3+} at a high concentration. It was indicated that the interaction between CFe1 and Fe^{3+} at a low concentration was fully completed rapidly.

To further investigate the utility of CFe1 in ethanol/H₂O (95:5, volume ratio) as a "Sign-off" chemosensor for Fe³⁺, the competition experiments were conducted[Fig.5(A)]. F/F_0 at 467 nm was calculated for each metal ion with Fe³⁺ added. Fig.5(B) shows moderate interference with the fluorescence responses of CFe1-Fe³⁺ complex in the presence of excess metal ions of one kind, such as Al³⁺, Ba²⁺, Ca²⁺, Co²⁺, Cr³⁺, Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Hg²⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Na⁺, Ni²⁺, Pb²⁺, Zn²⁺, K⁺ and Ag⁺, with only a little interference by Cr³⁺ and Fe²⁺. Thus, it is notable that CFe1 could be used as a Fe³⁺-selective fluorescent probe in the presence of tested competing cations.



Fig.5 Fluorescence spectra(λ_{ex} =343 nm) of CFe1 in ethanol/H₂O solution(95:5, volume ratio) in the presence of each of different metal ions(670.0 µmol/L) upon the addition of 47.0 µmol/L Fe³⁺(A) and *F*/*F*₀ of CFe1 in the presence of 670.0 µmol/L different metal ions upon the addition of 47.0 µmol/L Fe³⁺(B)

(B) a. Blank; b. Fe³⁺; c. Ag⁺; d. Ca²⁺; e. Cd²⁺; f. Co³⁺; g. Cr³⁺; h. Cu²⁺; i. Fe²⁺; j. Hg²⁺; k. K⁺; l. Mg²⁺; m. Mn²⁺; n. Na⁺; o. Ni⁺; p. Pb²⁺; q. Zn²⁺. F_0 is the fluorescence intensity of 17.0 µmol/L CFe1 and F the fluorescence intensity of 17.0 µmol/L CFe1 with the addition of Fe³⁺ in the presence of 670.0 µmol/L different metal ions.

To determine the sensitivity of CFe1 to measure Fe³⁺, a series of solutions was prepared in which the concentration of CFe1 was kept constant but the concentration of Fe³⁺ was gradually increased. The fluorescence emission spectrum of each solution was measured[Fig.6(A)] and the plot of $(F_0-F)/F_0$ against the concentration of Fe³⁺ is shown in Fig.6(B). A linearity of $(F_0-F)/F_0$ was found in a range of 3.3—16.7

µmol/L with a correlation coefficient of R^2 =0.9927(*n*=5). The detection limit was then calculated as 0.33 µmol/L according to the definition by IUPAC(C_{DL} =3 S_b/m). Good linearity in a range of 3.3—16.7 µmol/L indicates that CFe1 could act as a "Sign-off" fluorescence chemosensor in this range.



Fig.6 Fluorescence spectra(λ_{ex} =343 nm) of CFe1 (17.0 µmol/L) with increasing the concentration of Fe³⁺(A) and plot of (F_0-F)/ F_0 at 467 nm against the concentration of Fe³⁺(B)

(A) Concentration of $Fe^{3+}/(\mu mol L^{-1})$ from *a* to *y*: 0, 3.3, 6.7, 10.0, 13.3, 16.7, 20.0, 23.3, 26.7, 30.0, 33.3, 36.7, 40.0, 43.3, 46.7, 50.0, 53.3, 56.7, 60.0, 63.3, 66.7, 70.0, 73.3, 76.7, 80.0. Inset of (B) shows the standard curve for Fe^{3+} detection. *F*₀ is the fluorescence intensity of 17.0 µmol/L CFe1 and *F* the fluorescence intensity of 17.0 µmol/L CFe1 with the addition of Fe^{3+} .

The investigation of CFe1 in the presence of ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid(EDTA) was assessed(Fig.S1, see the Electronic Supplementary Material of this paper). The blue color of CFe1 faded to colorless upon the addition of Fe³⁺. And the colorless of complex was restored to the original blue upon the addition of EDTA. After the solution was quenched by Fe^{3+} the fluorescence intensity returned to 55.3% of its initial value within 5 min when EDTA, a metal ion chelator, was added and allowed to equilibrate. The fluorescence intensity did not return to 100% of its initial value due to the precipitating of CFe1 out of the solution. The colorless solution regained its blue color upon the addition of EDTA, suggesting that fading is due to the formation of CFe1-Fe³⁺ complex but not any catalytic action of Fe³⁺. The possible mechanism of the changes in the fluorescence characteristics of CFe1 upon the addition of Fe3+ is shown in Scheme 2. All these data imply that a complex formation should involve the carbonyl oxygen atom of benzopyrone, three nitrogen-atoms of pyridine-2,6-diamine, and nitrate radical oxygen in solution.

The position of fluorescence band did not change upon the addition of Fe^{3+} , indicating the charge-transfer emitting of CFe1. Jung *et al.*^[31] developed a novel cumarin-based





chemosensor for Cu^{2+} by combining the coumarin derivative with 2-picolyl and provided an additional fluorescence quenching pathway, that is, the fluorescence quenching of the ligand may occur by the excitation energy transfer from the ligand to the metal *d*-orbital and/or the charge transfer from the ligand to the metal. Thus, the fact that the position of fluorescence band did not change could be reasonably explaned. Here, we also introduced this fluorescence quenching pathway to explain this phenomenon. The fluorescence quenching of CFe1 might be due to the excitation energy transfer from the ligand to the metal *d*-orbital and/or the charge transfer from the ligand to the metal. But the reason needs to be further investigated.

564

Furthermore, we tested the suitability of CFe1 for the imaging of mice microglia cells exposed to Fe^{3+} . Mice microglia cells were incubated with CFe1(17.0 μ mol/L) in the culture medium for 12 h at 37 °C, and then washed with phosphate buffer solution(PBS, pH=7.4) to remove unbound CFe1. The cells were then incubated with Fe³⁺ in Dulbecco's Eagle Medium(DMEM culture medium) for 5 min at 37 °C, followed by the washing with PBS buffer to remove excess metal ions. Further, the cells were incubated with EDTA(100.0 μ mol/L). 10.0 μ L of 1.7 mmol/L stock solution of CFe1 in ethanol/H₂O solution(95:5, volume ratio) was dispersed into 1.0 mL of culture medium to obtain a final concentration of 17 μ mol/L (0.95% ethanol in DMEM medium) in order to minimize the effects of ethanol. Mice microglia cells treated with both CFe1 and Fe³⁺ displayed intense blue fluorescence as shown in Fig.7. The fluorescence images indicate clearly that CFe1 could be used to detect live mice microglia cells exposed to Fe³⁺ and the suitability of CFe1 for bio-imaging application.



Fig.7 Microscopic images of untreated mice microglia cells(A), cells incubated with CFe1(17.0 μmol/L)(B), cells incubated with CFe1(17.0 μmol/L) and Fe³⁺(26.7 μmol/L)(C), cells incubated with CFe1(17.0 μmol/L) and Fe³⁺(47.0 μmol/L)(D) and cells incubated with CFe1(17.0 μmol/L), Fe³⁺(47.0 μmol/L) and EDTA(100.0 μmol/L)(E)

4 Conclusions

We have synthesized a functional chemosensor CFe1 for Fe^{3+} detection, which responds to Fe^{3+} with high selectivity in a concentration range of 3.3—16.7 µmol/L, whereas other common metal ions hardly have any effects on the fluorescence emission. The fluorescent reduction upon the addition of Fe^{3+} could be rationally explained by the excitation energy transfer from the ligand to the metal *d*-orbital and/or the charge transfer from the ligand to the metal. The advantages such as fast response, the stability in a wide pH span of 3.3—9.18, a large Stocks shift as well as high selectivity for Fe^{3+} indicate that CFe1 has great potential application in the detection of Fe^{3+} . To confirm the suitability of CFe1 for biological application, we employed it for the fluorescence detection of intracellular Fe^{3+}

in cultured cells. Therefore, we have expected the present fluorescence chemosensor could serve as a new tool in Fe^{3+} -related chemical, biological and environmental investigations.

Electronic Supplementary Material

Supplementary material is available in the online version of this article at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40242-014-3192-1.

References

- [1] Meneghini R., Free Radic. Biol. Med., 1997, 23(5), 783
- [2] Rouault T. A., Nat. Chem. Biol., 2006, 2(8), 406
- [3] Lohani C. R., Lee K. H., Sens. Actuator B, 2010, 143, 649
- [4] QueirÓs C., Silva A. M. G., Lopes S. C., Ivanova G., Gameiro E., Rangel M., *Dyes Pigm.*, **2012**, *93*, 1447
- [5] Cheng P. F., Xu K. X., Yao W. Y., Kong H. J., Kou L., Ma X. D.,

Wang C. J., Chem. Res. Chinese Universities, 2013, 29(4), 642

- [6] Yao W. Y., Xu K. X., Kong H. J., Kou L., Zhang Q., Supramol. Chem, 2013, 25, 146
- [7] Peng R., Wang F., Sha Y., Molecules, 2007, 12, 1191
- [8] Durukan I., Şahin Ç. A., Şatlroğlu N., Bektaş S., Microchem. J., 2011, 99, 159
- [9] Liang P., Sang H. B., Sun Z. M., J. Colloid. Interface Sci., 2006, 304, 486
- [10] Yang Z., Hou X. D., Jones B. T., Sane D. C., Thomas M. J., Schwenke D. C., *Microchem. J.*, **2002**, *72*, 49
- [11] Kozono S., Haraguchi H., Anal. Chim. Acta, 2003, 482(2), 189
- [12] Laly S., Nakagawa K., Arimura T., Kimijima T., Spectrochim. Acta, 1996, 51(11), 1393
- [13] Phillips C. V., Ayling K., Crowther E., Harrison M. E., Jordan M. A., *Miner. Eng.*, **1994**, 7(10), 1327
- [14] Amin A. S., Gouda A. A., Talanta, 2008, 76(5), 1241
- [15] Liu B., Yang X. H., Wang K. M., Tan W. H., Chem. Res. Chinese Universities, 2012, 28(1), 37
- [16] Zhu Y., Liu E., Yang X. H., He L. L., Li Q. Z., Wang Q., Wang K. M., Huang J., Liu J. B., Chem. J. Chinese Universities, 2012, 33(12), 2651
- [17] Tang B., XingY. L., Li R., Zhang N., Yu F. B., Yang G. W., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 11666
- [18] Xiao Y., Li S., Huang H. M., Zhang Y., Xue Z. Z., Tang X. Y., He X.
 X., Wang K. M., *Chem. Res. Chinese Universities*, **2013**, *29*(5), 845

- [19] Lin W. Y., Yuan L., Feng J. B., Cao X. W., Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, (16), 2689
- [20] Lin W. Y., Yuan L., Cao X. W., Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 6585
- [21] Zhou Q. Y., Liu W. Z., Chang L., Chen F., Spectrochimica Acta Part A, 2012, 92, 78
- [22] She M. Y., Yang Z., Yin B., Zhang J., Gu J., Yin W. T., Li J. L., Zhao G. F., Shi Z., Dyes Pigm., 2012, 92, 1337
- [23] Katie L. C., Lynne M. H., Samir D., Katherine J. F., *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 6808
- [24] Jiang R. S., Feng F., Chen Z. Z., Anal. Lett., 2008, 41(12), 2203
- [25] Lim N. C., Brückner C., Chem. Commun., 2004, 1094
- [26] Suzuki Y., Komatsu H., Iked T., Satio N., Araki S., Citterio D., Hisamoto H., Kitamura Y., Kubota T., Nakagawa J., Oka K., Suzuki K., *Anal. Chem.*, 2002, 74, 1423
- [27] Brunet E., Alonso M. T., Juannes Q., Sedano R., Rodriguez-Ubis J. C., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1997**, *38*(25), 4459
- [28] Samankumara K. R. A., Imazu S., James T. D., Mikami M., Shinkai S., Chem. Lett., 1995, 2, 139
- [29] Plater M. J., Greig I., Helfrich M. H., Ralston S. H., J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 2001, 2553
- [30] Kim G. J., Kim H. J., Tetrahedron Lett., 2010, 51(1), 185
- [31] Jung H. S., Kwon P. S., Lee J. W., Kim J. L., Hong C. S., Kim J. W., Yan S., Lee J. Y., Lee, J. H., Joo T., Kim J. S., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, 131, 2008