

# Morphological, Pathogenic and Molecular Characterizations of *Alternaria* Species Causing Early Blight of Tomato in Northern India

Murugan Loganathan · V. Venkataravanappa · Sujoy Saha ·  
Awadhesh Bahadur Rai · Swapnila Tripathi · Rakesh Kumar Rai ·  
Atul Kumar Pandey · P. Chowdappa

Received: 18 May 2014/Revised: 11 August 2014/Accepted: 25 September 2014/Published online: 29 October 2014  
© The National Academy of Sciences, India 2014

**Abstract** Early blight disease samples were collected from six different states of India. Seventeen different isolates of *Alternaria* spp were obtained in pure culture which were designated as PB-2, PB-4, PB-13, PB-17, PB-19, HR-12, HR-44, HR-62, MP-6, MP-7, JT-4, JT-11, UP-7, UP-10, UP-15, UP-20 and RJ-T-1. Characterization based on culture colour and conidial dimensions (conidial length and breadth, and beak length) indicated that no distinguished variation of the isolates was observed on the colour parameters. Although, a wide variation was observed in the length of conidia and beak, no significant difference was observed in conidial breadth except RJ-T-1. Among the isolates, conidial length of five isolates viz., HR-12, UP-7, UP-10, UP-15 and RJ-T-1 ranged from 80 to 97 µm whereas others were of significantly lesser size (30–51 µm). Similarly, significant difference was observed in beak length. The PCR amplification of the fungal DNA using universal primers ITS1 and ITS4 and sequencing indicated that, out of 17 isolates, 12 (JT-4, JT-11, HR-62, HR-44, PB-2, PB-4, PB-13, PB-17, PB-19, UP-20, MP-6 and MP-7) were closely matching with *A. alternata* (JX993756) and five isolates (HR-12, UP-7, UP-10, UP-15 and RJ-T-1) with *A. solani* (JF796068). Further, pathogenicity test on tomato revealed that both *A. alternata* and *A. solani* isolates were of virulent category indicating that former is also an incitant of early blight in northern India.

**Keywords** Early blight · *Alternaria solani* · *Alternaria alternata* · Tomato

## Introduction

*Alternaria* belonging to sub-division Deutromycotina is a cosmopolitan fungus and is the causal organism of leaf blight diseases in Brassicaceous, Cucurbitaceous and Solanaceous vegetables. Early blight in tomato is the most destructive disease as it accounted for 78 % yield loss at 72 % disease intensity [1]. The pathogen of the disease has been documented as *A. solani* globally [2–4] as well as in India [5–7]. On the flip side, Bhatt et al. [8] collected early blight infected tomato samples from Almora, a hilly area in Uttarakhand, India and the pathogen responsible for the disease was identified through Indian Type Culture Collection, New-Delhi, India as *A. alternata* (IARI, Identification No: 3314.97). Moreover, certain tomato genotypes susceptible to *A. solani* under in vivo screening showed complete resistance under field conditions hinting the possibility of existence of other species of *Alternaria* in the field. The above two studies directed that documentation of *Alternaria* spp infecting tomato in India and characterization of pathogen based on pathogenic potentiality are mandatory to develop appropriate management practices. Identification of early blight pathogen is generally based on conidial morphology, but for conidial production, the pathogen needs specific media, temperature, relative humidity and light [6, 9]. Hence, it is a time consuming process apart from the fact, that species level identification of the pathogen is very difficult. Alternatively, genetic identification is progressively used to identify the pathogens [10, 11] and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) rRNA has been successfully employed to

M. Loganathan (✉) · V. Venkataravanappa · S. Saha ·  
A. B. Rai · S. Tripathi · R. K. Rai · A. K. Pandey  
ICAR - Indian Institute of Vegetable Research,  
Varanasi 221 305, India  
e-mail: logumuruga@gmail.com

P. Chowdappa  
ICAR - Indian Institute of Horticultural Research,  
Bangalore 560089, India

identify the fungal pathogens at species level [12–14]. In the present study, *Alternaria* early blight infected tomato samples collected from diverse locations especially from north and central India were used to characterize *Alternaria* spp., based on cultural characteristics, pathogenic potentiality and ITS region.

## Material and Methods

Early blight infected tomato plants were collected from six states (Jammu and Kashmir, Haryana, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan) of India (Table 1) and pure pathogen cultures were isolated on potato dextrose agar (PDA) and subsequently purified by single hyphal tip method. The cultures were transferred on PDA slants and incubated at  $25 \pm 2$  °C under alternate light/darkness (12 h each) for 72 h. After that the cultures were stored at 4 °C for further use. All the cultures were tested for Koch's postulates by standard procedure.

### Characterization Based on Culture and Spore Morphology

Pure cultures of 17 isolates (PB-2, PB-4, PB-13, PB-17, PB-19, HR-12, HR-44, HR-62, MP-6, MP-7, JT-4, JT-11, UP-7, UP-10, UP-15, UP-20 and RJ-T-1) were individually transferred onto PDA in petri dishes and incubated at  $25 \pm 2$  °C. For each treatment (culture), three replications (two plates per replication) were maintained and followed completely randomized design (CRD). After 9 days, the

cultures were observed for morphological characters viz., culture colour, pigmentation and growth pattern. Similarly, in another experiment, the cultures were transferred onto ready-made available V-8 juice agar medium containing asparagine for spore morphological studies. The culture plates were incubated at  $25 \pm 2$  °C under alternate light/darkness (12 h each). After 9 days, for each culture, the spore morphologies were observed under microscope.

### Molecular Characterization

#### Fungal DNA Extraction

Fungal isolates were grown on potato dextrose broth at  $25 \pm 2$  °C for 10 days. DNA was extracted from the cultures by using Manicom et al. [15] protocol with minor modifications. Mycelium of the cultures was taken out by filtration through Whatman No 1 filter paper and washed thoroughly in distilled water and properly dried. Three gram of mycelium was macerated along with liquid nitrogen with the help of mortar and pestle to get a powder form. The powder was transferred into a centrifuge tube and added with 15 mL of CTAB buffer (2 % (w/v) CTAB, 1.4 M NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 % PVP, 1 % (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol). The mixture was incubated at 65 °C in a water bath for 30 min with intermittent shaking and the entire content was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was transferred into a fresh Oakridge tube and an equal volume of phenol:chloroform:isoamylalcohol (25:24:1) was slowly added to it. Again, the content was centrifuged at

**Table 1** List of *Alternaria* isolates collected from different parts of India

S. no.	Isolate	Village	District	State	Geographical location
1	JT-4	Bashat-2	Udhampur	Jammu and Kashmir	33°1'N 75°16'E
2	JT-11	Bantalab	Udhampur	Jammu and Kashmir	32°46'N 74°49'E
3	HR-62	Padana	Karnal	Haryana	29°14'N 76°24'E
4	HR-44	Shamgarh	Karnal	Haryana	30°08'N 77°00'E
5	HR-12	Tahkhana	Karnal	Haryana	28°29'N 77°02'E
6	PB-2	Jamalpura	Amritsar	Punjab	31°63'N 75°30'E
7	PB-4	Patran	Patiala	Punjab	29°89'N 76°14'E
8	PB-13	Haidargarh	Ludhiana	Punjab	30°57'N 75°56'E
9	PB-17	Doraha	Ludhiana	Punjab	30°49'N 76°01'E
10	PB-19	Samrala	Ludhiana	Punjab	30°83'N 76°21'E
11	UP-7	Malihabad	Lucknow	Uttar Pradesh	26°92'N 80°72'E
12	UP-10	Bachhaon	Varanasi	Uttar Pradesh	25°37'N 82°53'E
13	UP-15	Jakhini	Varanasi	Uttar Pradesh	25°21'N 82°83'E
14	UP-20	Athalpura	Varanasi	Uttar Pradesh	25°10'N 82°52'E
15	MP-6	Lalgaon	Riwa	Madhya Pradesh	24°34'N 81°93'E
16	MP-7	Maharajipur	Jabalpur	Madhya Pradesh	23°13'N 79°57'E
17	RJ-T-1	Jhotwara	Jaipur	Rajasthan	26°56'N 75°46'E

13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was transferred into a fresh tube and added with 0.6 volume isopropanol and incubated overnight at −20 °C. On the following day, it was again centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C temperature. The pellet was retained and supernatant was discarded. The pellet was briefly washed with 75 % ethanol and dried at room temperature. Finally the pellet was dissolved in 100 µl of TE buffer and kept at −20 °C for further use.

### PCR

The fungal DNA was amplified using universal internal transcribe spacer region (ITS) primers (ITS1-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG/ITS4-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGCA) as described by White et al. [16]. The PCR reaction was carried out in a Biorad Thermocycler (S 1000™) with 35 cycles of denaturation for 1 min at 94 °C, primer annealing for 45 s at 55 °C and primer extension for 1 min 30 s at 72 °C, with an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min and a final extension for 15 min at 72 °C. The reaction was carried out in a volume of 25 µL containing 1 µL DNA template, 1.5 U *pfu* DNA polymerase, 25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM dNTPs and 25 pmol of each primer. PCR products were electrophoresed (1 h at 80 volts) in 0.8 % agarose gel in Tris–borate–EDTA buffer at pH 8. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide (10 µg/mL) and viewed in a Gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech, USA).

### Cloning of PCR Product and Sequencing

The PCR amplified product was excised from gel and purified by Gel extraction kit (Qiagen) and cloned into the pTZ57R/T vector (Fermentas, Germany) according to the manufacturers' instructions. The vector was transformed into *Escherichia coli* DH5α competent cells (Novagen) by following standard molecular biology procedures [17]. Recombinant clones were identified by restriction endonuclease digestion, and selected clones were sequenced with automated sequencer ABI PRISM 3730 (Applied Biosystems) from Eurofin Genomic India Pvt. Ltd DNA Sequencing facility, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.

### Sequence Analysis

The sequences obtained from the ITS region of all *Alternaria* isolates were subjected to NCBI ([www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)) BLAST search and the sequences showing highest scores were retrieved. Multiple alignments were carried out with CLUSTAL-X program [18]. The phylogenetic tree was generated by MEGA 6.06 software [19] using the neighbour joining method with 1,000 bootstrapped replications to estimate evolutionary distances between all pairs of sequences simultaneously.

### Analysis of Pathogenic Variability

In order to test aggressiveness, the cultures were inoculated on tomato seedlings grown in pots filled with sterile potting mixture (Soil: Sand: well decomposed farm yard manure at 2:1:1). For this study two highly susceptible tomato cultivars CO3 and DVRT-2 seedlings were raised in pots. After 25 days, seedlings were transplanted in 30 cm diameter pots. Three seedlings were planted at equal distance in each pot. After 20 days of transplanting (45 days old), the plants were spray inoculated with spore suspension of fungal cultures. For preparation of spore suspension, the cultures were established on readymade V-8 agar medium containing asparagine as described in spore characterization study. After 10 days, culture mat was harvested by applying 10–15 ml of sterile water and scraping the mycelial mat with spores. To harvest the spores, the content was mixed well and filtered through three layers of sterile muslin cloth. The filtrate containing spores was adjusted to 10<sup>5</sup> spores/ml by diluting with sterile distilled water. The spore suspension was spray inoculated on seedlings and for each culture, three pots (total nine seedlings) were maintained. A humidifier was set to create sufficient humidity (>80 %). After 15 days of spray inoculation, the plants were observed for early blight development and scored for per cent disease index as described by Pandey et al. [20].

### Statistical Analysis

The percentage (%) values were transformed in arcsine values before analysis and the data were analysed using IRRISTAT (v. 92) developed by the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI).

## Results and Discussion

### Cultural Characterization

A total of 17 isolates were collected from major tomato growing states (Jammu and Kashmir, Haryana, Punjab, UP, Rajasthan and MP) of India covering northern and central India (Table 1), and all the cultures proved Koch's postulates. Characterization of the isolates indicated that most of the cultures were gray or brown in colour with light or dark brown pigmentation and regular or irregular growth pattern (Table 2). Hence no distinguished variation was observed on culture colour of isolates. Regarding conidial morphologies viz., conidial length, breadth and beak length, a wide variation was observed in length of conidia and beak but no significant difference was observed in conidial breadth except that of RJ-T-1. Hence, conidial length and beak length can be considered for characterization of early blight pathogen.

**Table 2** Cultural and morphological characteristics of *Alternaria* spp., infecting tomato

S. no.	Isolate	Culture colour	Cultural characteristics/Conidial dimensions				
			Pigment colour	Growth pattern	Length ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Breath ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Beak length ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
1	JT-4	Pinkish gray	Dark brown	Irregular	40.3	24.0	15.0
2	JT-11	Brown	Dark brown	Regular	32.0	26.3	12.0
3	HR-62	Gray	Yellowish gray	Regular	48.0	27.0	10.0
4	HR-44	Gray with white periphery	Brown with white border	Irregular	33.3	23.6	8.3
5	HR-12	Gray	Dark brown	Irregular	80.3	25.0	60.0
6	PB-2	Black	Light brown	Regular	50.0	23.0	13.0
7	PB-4	Black	Dark brown	Irregular	38.0	26.0	12.0
8	PB-13	Dark gray	Brown	Regular	39.0	24.6	7.6
9	PB-17	Gray	Brown with black centre	Regular	46.0	22.0	11.3
10	PB-19	Dark gray	Dark brown	Regular	38.0	24.0	9.0
11	UP-7	Gray	Black	Regular	82.3	23.0	80.6
12	UP-10	Yellowish gray	Dark brown	Irregular	97.0	26.3	70.2
13	UP-15	Gray	Brown with black centre	Regular	85.0	30.0	87.3
14	UP-20	Brown	Dark brown	Regular	51.0	27.0	10.0
15	MP-6	Gray	Brown	Regular	45.0	28.0	8.5
16	MP-7	Yellowish gray	Dark brown	Irregular	41.0	23.0	12.0
17	RJ-T-1	Gray	Brown	Regular	92.0	32.0	89.3
				CD (5 %)	9.3	6.2	9.0

Among the isolates, conidial length of five isolates viz., HR-12, UP-7, UP-10, UP-15 and RJ-T-1 ranged from 80 to 97  $\mu\text{m}$  whereas others were having significantly smaller size (30–51  $\mu\text{m}$ ). Similarly, significant difference was observed in beak length. This indicated the existence of two categories of isolates, one group with five isolates (HR-12, UP-7, UP-10, UP-15 and RJ-T-1) and the other with 12 isolates (JT-4, JT-11, HR-62, HR-44, PB-2, PB-4, PB-13, PB-17, PB-19, UP-20, MP-6 and MP-7) and probably they might be belonging to *A. solani* and *A. alternata* respectively. Most of the reports available in India indicated that the early blight of tomato is caused by *A. solani* [6, 21] but the present study showed two species of *Alternaria* infecting tomato and hence to further confirm, molecular based identification of *Alternaria* isolates was included.

#### PCR Amplification and Phylogenetic Analysis

The 17 early blight pathogen isolates collected from different geographical locations were amplified by PCR using universal primer pairs ITS1/ITS4. The process resulted in amplification of ~580 bp in all infected tomato samples which corresponds to ITS rRNA of the fungal species. The PCR products were sequenced and nucleotide sequences of ITS region of all 17 isolates of *Alternaria* spp were compared with the representative ITS region of selected fungal species from the GeneBank database. BLAST searches using ITS sequences obtained from 12 isolates produced 96.7–98.0 % identities to *A. alternata* sequences existing in

**Table 3** *Alternaria* isolates identified based on ITS sequencing and their accession numbers

S. no	No. of isolates	Species	Accession
1	JT-4	<i>A. alternata</i>	JQ625582
2	JT-11	<i>A. alternata</i>	JQ625594
3	HR-62	<i>A. alternata</i>	JQ625593
4	HR-44	<i>A. alternata</i>	KC478610
5	HR-12	<i>A. solani</i>	JQ625580
6	PB-2	<i>A. alternata</i>	JQ625595
7	PB-4	<i>A. alternata</i>	JQ625589
8	PB-13	<i>A. alternata</i>	KC478608
9	PB-17	<i>A. alternata</i>	JQ625591
10	PB-19	<i>A. alternata</i>	JQ625592
11	UP-7	<i>A. solani</i>	JQ625583
12	UP-10	<i>A. solani</i>	KC478609
13	UP-15	<i>A. solani</i>	JQ625581
14	UP-20	<i>A. alternata</i>	JQ625585
15	MP-6	<i>A. alternata</i>	JQ625587
16	MP-7	<i>A. alternata</i>	JQ625588
17	RJ-T-1	<i>A. solani</i>	JQ625586

the GeneBank (JX993756), while five others produced 71.1–85.7 % identities to *A. solani* (JF796068) (Table 3).

The study clearly indicated that majority of *Alternaria* spp infecting tomato are *A. alternata* followed by *A. solani*. Similar identification of fungal pathogens through phylogenetic relationship was successfully documented [22–25].

Aggressiveness of *A. solani* and *A. alternata* on Tomato

Though two species *A. solani* and *A. alternata* were observed in association of early blight of tomato, it is essential to study their potentiality to cause disease (virulence) because in many instances these species exists as saprophytes or weak parasite or opportunistic pathogen [26]. Observations on virulence of different isolates of *A. solani* and *A. alternata* revealed that the species could produce similar kind of reaction on susceptible genotypes CO3 and DVRT-2 (Table 4). However, the isolates belonging to same species manifested observable variation in pathogenicity. Among 12 *A. alternata* isolates, seven isolates of JT-4, HR-62, PB-4, PB-13, UP-20, MP-6 and MP-7 were categorised into virulent group as they produced 80–90 % PDI and remaining five (JT-11, HR-44, PB-2, PB-17 and PB-19) were grouped as weak virulent (10–20 % PDI). In case of *A. solani*, all five *A. solani* (HR-12, UP-7, UP-10, UP-15 and RJ-T-1) were able to produce 80–100 % PDI and hence categorised as virulent group. The study indicated that *A. solani* exist as a virulent form to cause early blight disease in tomato as documented earlier [5–7, 21]. In the present experiment, contrary to the earlier studies, majority of isolates were *A. alternata* and also proved that many of them are in virulent form. Although, Bhatt et al. [8] have reported the association of

*A. alternata* with early blight disease of tomato in Uttarakhand, they did not prove the extent of damage caused by it or categorisation of the pathogen as virulent or weak virulent. Hence the present study clearly indicated the existence of *A. alternata* as virulent form to cause early blight in tomato and this species is widely distributed in northern India emphasizing the fact that in future breeding for resistance against early blight in tomato should be done against both *A. solani* and *A. alternata*.

Future Perspective

In general, *A. solani* is known as causal agent of early blight of tomato but the present study indicates that *A. alternata* also exists in virulent form to cause the disease. Hence in future, screening for resistance to early blight disease is to be performed using *A. solani* and *A. alternata*. It also paves the way to look for predisposing factors responsible for *A. alternata* to cause infection, if any.

Conclusion

Molecular and pathogenic characterization of *Alternaria* spp infecting tomato in northern India revealed the presence of *A. solani* and *A. alternata* in virulent form and

**Table 4** Aggressiveness of *Alternaria* spp on early blight susceptible tomato genotypes

S. no.	Isolates	Species	PDI on CO-3 (in different DAI)				PDI on DVRT-2 (in different DAI)			
			5	10	15	Virulence category	5	10	15	Virulence category
1	JT-4	<i>A. alternata</i>	18.3	58.6	80.4	V	15.3	40.0	74.0	V
2	JT-11	<i>A. alternata</i>	6.0	10.3	18.3	WV	7.3	9.6	14.3	WV
3	HR-62	<i>A. alternata</i>	19.6	49.6	83.6	V	13.0	68.3	95.6	V
4	HR-44	<i>A. alternata</i>	7.3	12.0	15.6	WV	5.3	10.3	16.3	WV
5	HR-12	<i>A. solani</i>	29.6	80.6	100.0	V	27.0	71.3	96.0	V
6	PB-2	<i>A. alternata</i>	9.0	13.0	19.3	WV	6.0	9.0	15.3	WV
7	PB-4	<i>A. alternata</i>	19.3	60.3	87.2	V	14.3	58.6	81.0	V
8	PB-13	<i>A. alternata</i>	18.3	67.3	94.3	V	16.0	60.0	86.0	V
9	PB-17	<i>A. alternata</i>	5.6	11.0	17.0	WV	6.3	8.9	14.7	WV
10	PB-19	<i>A. alternata</i>	4.8	7.9	10.8	WV	3.6	6.0	9.3	WV
11	UP-7	<i>A. solani</i>	28.0	70.3	100	V	21.0	92.0	100.0	V
12	UP-10	<i>A. solani</i>	21.0	64.0	92.0	V	29.0	85.0	100.0	V
13	UP-15	<i>A. solani</i>	17.0	52.0	85.0	V	27.0	89.6	100.0	V
14	UP-20	<i>A. alternata</i>	19.0	62.0	87.0	V	18.0	60.0	87.0	V
15	MP-6	<i>A. alternata</i>	20.0	56.3	82.3	V	15.3	63.0	82.0	V
16	MP-7	<i>A. alternata</i>	18.0	48.0	90.3	V	18.3	57.0	80.0	V
17	RJ-T-1	<i>A. solani</i>	24.0	56.0	96.3	V	26.3	76.0	90.6	V
		CD (5 %)	5.1	8.3	7.9		4.6	7.8	9.3	

DAI day after inoculation, V virulent (>20.1 % PDI), WV weak virulent (<20 % PDI)

emphasised that apart from *A. solani*, *A. alternata* also needs to be used for early blight resistance screening.

**Acknowledgments** The present work was carried-out with the financial support of ICAR-Out Reach Project on Diagnosis and Management of Leaf Spot Diseases of Field and Horticultural Crops under EFC of ICAR - Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bangalore, India. There is no conflict of interest regarding the research work done.

## References

- Datar VV, Mayee CD (1981) Assessment of loss in tomato yield due to early blight. *Indian Phytopathol* 34:191–195
- Gomes SMDTP, Carneiro EDB, Romano EP, Teixeira MZ, da Costa ME, Vasconcelos JCG (2010) Effect of biotherapeutic of *Alternaria solani* on the early blight of tomato-plant and the in vitro development of the fungus. *Int J High Dilution Res* 9:147–155
- Derbalah AS, El-Mahrouk MS, El-Sayed AB (2011) Efficacy and safety of some plant extracts against tomato early blight disease caused by *Alternaria solani*. *Plant Pathol J* 10(3):115–121
- Alhussan KM (2012) Morphological and physiological characterization of *Alternaria solani* isolated from tomato in Jordan Valley. *Res J Biol Sci* 7:316–319
- Varma KP, Singh S, Gandhi SK (2007) Variability among *Alternaria solani* isolates causing early blight of tomato. *Indian Phytopathol* 60:180–186
- Kumar V, Haldar S, Pandey KK, Singh RP, Singh AK, Singh PC (2008) Cultural, morphological, pathogenic and molecular variability amongst tomato isolates of *Alternaria solani* in india. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 24:1003–1009
- Radhajejalakshmi R, Velazhahan R, Samiyappan R, Doraiswamy S (2009) Systemic induction of pathogenesis related proteins (PRs) in *Alternaria solani* elicitor sensitized tomato cells as resistance response. *Sci Res Essay* 4:685–689
- Bhatt JC, Gahlain A, Pant SK (2000) Record of *Alternaria alternata* on tomato, capsicum and spinach in Kumaon hills. *Indian Phytopathol* 53:495–496
- Benlioglu S, Delen N (1996) Studies on the sporulation of the early blight agent of tomatoes. *J Turk Phytopathol* 25:23–28
- Vunch RA, Rosner A, Stein A (1999) The use of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for detection of bean yellow mosaic virus in gladiolus. *Ann Appl Biol* 117:561–569
- Bridge PD, Singh T, Arora DK (2004) The application of molecular markers in the epidemiology of plant pathogenic fungi. In: Arora DK, Bridge PD, Bhatnagar D (eds) *Fungal biotechnology in agricultural, food, and environmental applications*. Marcel Dekker Inc, New York, p 475
- Abd-Esalem KA (2003) Non-gel based techniques for plant pathogen genotyping. *Acta Microbiol Pol* 52:329–341
- Abd-Esalem KA, Asran-Amel A, Schneider F, Migheli Q, Vereet JA (2006) Molecular detection of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. vasinfectum in cotton roots by PCR and real-time PCR assay. *J Plant Dis Prot* 113:14–19
- Bowmann KD, Albrecht U, Graham JH, Bright DB (2007) Detection of *Phytophthora nicotianae* and *P. palmivora* in citrus roots using PCR-RFLP in comparison with other methods. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 119:143–158
- Manicom BQ, Bar-Joseph M, Rosner A, Vigodsky-Haas H, Kotze JM (1987) Potential applications of random DNA probes, and restriction fragment length polymorphisms in the taxonomy of *Fusaria*. *Phytopathology* 77:669–672
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor JW (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (eds) *PCR Protocols: a Guide to methods and applications*. Academic Press Inc, New York, pp 315–322
- Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001) *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTALW: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res* 22:4673–4680
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol Biol Evol* 30:2725–2729
- Pandey KK, Pandey PK, Kallo G, Banerjee MK (2003) Resistance to early blight of tomato with respect to various parameters of disease epidemics. *J Gen Plant Pathol* 69:364–371
- Naik MK, Prasad Y, Bhat KV, Devika Rani GS (2010) Morphological, physiological, pathogenic and molecular variability among isolates of *Alternaria solani* from tomato. *Indian Phytopathol* 63:168–173
- Kusaba M, Tsuge T (1995) Phylogeny of *Alternaria* fungi known to produce host-specific toxins on the basis of variation in internal transcribed spacers of ribosomal DNA. *Curr Genet* 28:491–498
- Pryor BM, Gilbertson RL (2000) Molecular phylogenetic relationships amongst *Alternaria* species and related fungi based upon analysis of nuclear ITS and mt SSU rDNA sequences. *Mycol Res* 104:1312–1321
- Wang HK, Zhang TY, Zhang M (2001) Application of sequencing of 5.8S rDNA, ITS1 and ITS2 on identification and classification of *Alternaria* at species level. *Mycosystema* 20:168–173
- Pryor BM, Michailides TJ (2002) Morphological, pathogenic, and molecular characterization of *Alternaria* isolates associated with *Alternaria* late blight of pistachio. *Phytopathology* 92:406–416
- Guo LD, Xu L, Zheng WH, Hyde KD (2004) Genetic variation of *Alternaria alternata*, an endophytic fungus isolated from *Pinus tabulaeformis* as determined by random amplified microsatellites (RAMS). *Fungal Divers* 16:53–65