ORIGINAL PAPER

Mechanical characterisation of sustainable fbre‑reinforced lightweight concrete incorporating waste coconut shell as coarse aggregate and sisal fbre

R. Prakash¹ [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4695-0234) R. Thenmozhi2 · S. N. Raman3 · C. Subramanian[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5623-4522) · N. Divyah[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5381-8226)

Received: 20 November 2019 / Revised: 20 July 2020 / Accepted: 17 August 2020 / Published online: 31 August 2020 © Islamic Azad University (IAU) 2020

Abstract

The construction industry is largely dependent on concrete as a construction material. The aggregate occupies a major volume of concrete. However, the continuous extraction of granite rock for coarse aggregate leads to the increase in demand of natural resources of future generations. In this study, coconut shell, an agricultural waste, is used to replace conventional aggregate in concrete for producing coconut shell lightweight concrete. To enhance the weak mechanical characteristics of lightweight concrete, various contents of sisal fbre at 1%, 2%, 3% and 4% have been added on the basis of the binder's weight. Mechanical properties, such as compressive strength, split tensile strength, fexural strength, elastic modulus and impact resistance, were examined. Results showed that the compressive strength increased by up to 6% when 3% fbre was added. An improvement in split tensile strength of 14%, fexural strength of 11% and modulus of elasticity of 6% was observed when a maximum of 3% fbre was added. Impact resistance was also excellent after the addition of sisal fbre. Thus, coconut shell concrete with sisal fbre is considered as a suitable and eco-friendly construction material alternative for the construction industry.

Keywords Agricultural waste · Fibre-reinforced concrete · Fly ash · Lightweight concrete · Natural fbre · Sustainability

Introduction

Concrete is the second most consumed element next to water in the world and is a commonly used construction material (Meyer [2009\)](#page-10-0). The production of concrete exceeds 10 billion tons annually. The use of concrete has accelerated because of the advancements in infrastructure worldwide. However, the extensive use of the material has also caused some drawbacks, such as the continuous large extraction of

Editorial responsibility: Q. Aguilar-Virgen.

 \boxtimes R. Prakash rprakash024@gmail.com

- ¹ Department of Civil Engineering, Alagappa Chettiar Government College of Engineering and Technology, Karaikudi, Tamilnadu 630 003, India
- ² Department of Civil Engineering, Government College of Technology, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu 641 013, India
- ³ Department of Architecture and Built Environment, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

aggregate from natural resources, and led to the defciency of resources and ecological imbalance. To date, the construction industry is seeking for clean and green concrete solutions to achieve a sustainable and eco-friendly construction. One of the approaches adopted by researchers is by replacing coarse aggregate with alternative sources to make concrete more economical and lead towards sustainable development (Gunasekaran et al. [2011\)](#page-10-1). Granite aggregate sources are exhausting rapidly because of the shortage in natural resources that is caused by the rapid growth in the construction sector.

Coconut shell (CS) is a common waste generated from the agricultural industry of tropical countries, such as India (Prakash [2017](#page-10-2)). CS is seldom recycled in the construction sector and is often disposed as waste. After drying under sun, CS consists of varying percentages of cellulose, lignin and wax. The use of CS as coarse aggregate in the production of lightweight concrete (LWC) is a new approach in concrete production (Gunasekaran et al. [2017\)](#page-10-3). Coconut crop can be found nearly all over the world, excluding Europe and Australia, and is cultivated in more than 93 countries. The average annual production of coconut is approximately 67 billion nuts or 12 million metric tons

of copra equivalents. At least 50% of the world's coconut production is processed into copra. The three largest producers of coconut include Indonesia, Philippines and India, which account for 75% of the world's CS production (Prakash et al. [2020a,](#page-10-4) [b](#page-10-5), [c\)](#page-10-6). In India, CS occupies nearly 60% of the total domestic waste and presents a signifcant disposal issue for the local environment. Once the coconut is scraped off the shell, CS is commonly discarded as waste. The discarded CS can be crushed into a required size and recycled as a coarse aggregate to produce LWC. CS concrete can be adopted in rural areas where coconut trees are cultivated in abundance. This type of concrete has the dual beneft of cost minimisation in construction materials and a waste clearance method.

The cement industry is a major contributor to global warming because of its high carbon dioxide $(CO₂)$ footprint (Al-Amoudi et al. [2017;](#page-9-0) Al-Sodani et al. [2018;](#page-9-1) Raman et al. [2011\)](#page-10-7). Cement industry alone contributes at least 5% of the anthropogenic emission out of the 25% of the total global $CO₂$ emission from the industrial sector. In addition, research has shown that 85% of the $CO₂$ emission contributed throughout the lifecycle of concrete structures comes directly from cement production (Habert and Roussel [2009](#page-10-8); Lim et al. [2018](#page-10-9)). The usage of cement in concrete production can be reduced by introducing locally sourced by-products and alternative resources with low-carbon footprint as supplementary cementitious materials in concrete production. The present study focuses on the utilisation of an industrial waste, fy ash, which is generated as by-product from coal-fred power plants as a partial supplement for cement. The environmental load in the use and disposal of fy ash has posed challenges to the power generation industry. The incorporation of fy ash in concrete not only resolves its disposal challenges, but also reduces the emission of greenhouse gases due to the decreased usage of cement in concrete. Replacing a signifcant portion of cement with fy ash in concrete can reduce carbon emission into the atmosphere effectively.

LWC is 20–25% lighter than conventional concrete (Lo and Cui [2002\)](#page-10-10), which makes the reduced handling and transportation costs of the material a desirable feature (Divyah et al [2020\)](#page-10-11). Structural LWC offers good flexibility, lower dead weight, satisfactory seismic response and reduced foundation costs (Nagarajan et al [2020\)](#page-10-12). The use of precast elements that are made of LWC provides reduced conveyance and erection costs. The main disadvantage of LWC is the need for increased cement usage to achieve the equivalent strength of conventional concrete. However, this drawback can be overcome by substituting a portion of cement with industrial waste with pozzolanic properties. Shafgh et al. [\(2013\)](#page-11-0) found that the strength of oil palm shell (OPS) LWC improved after replacement of 10% cement with fy ash. Similarly, Prakash et al. ([2019\)](#page-10-13) also deduced that 10% fy

ash replacement level in CS concrete signifcantly improved the strength of CS concrete.

On the other hand, the practice of incorporating fbres into building materials has been a practice since ancient times in many parts of the world. This effort was mainly motivated by the need to enhance the tensile capacity of the 'perceived' brittle characteristics of the materials. This technology was adopted in the derivation of fbre-reinforced concrete (FRC) in the twentieth century, which has found increased attention and application in the construction industry, mainly due to its improved strength and stifness. The practice of using natural fbres as secondary reinforcement in concrete provides an environmental-friendly alternative to synthetic fbres (Rokbi et al. [2019\)](#page-10-14). Natural fbres, such as sisal, kenaf, banana, fax, jute, curaua, hemp and coconut sheath, are considered potential alternatives for synthetic fbres (Mohanty et al. [2000;](#page-10-15) Kabir et al. [2012\)](#page-10-16). Sisal fbres have high amounts of cellulose component, which account for the increased tensile strength, and they do not absorb water easily. Amongst several natural fbres, the sisal fbre is the most used material in the construction sector because of its cost-efectiveness, remarkable acoustic and thermal characteristics, satisfactory tensile strength, high toughness and abrasion resistance and abundance.

The present work was undertaken to study the infuence of fy ash as cement replacement, and plant-based natural sisal fbre on the mechanical properties, i.e. compressive strength, split tensile strength, fexural strength, elastic modulus and impact resistance of LWC produced with CS aggregate. Although the use of natural fbres in LWC (Sadiqul Hasan et al. [2012](#page-10-17)) and CS aggregate in concrete (Jayaprithika and Sekar [2016](#page-10-18)) had been studied in the past, the combined use of CS aggregate and sisal fbres in concrete is yet to be explored. Henceforth, this study investigates the infuence of sisal fbre incorporation at 1.0%, 2.0%, 3.0% and 4.0% (based on the weight of binder) on the properties of LWC produced with CS aggregate with a constant partial replacement of fy ash. This experimental work was performed at the Structural Engineering Laboratories of Alagappa Chettiar Government College of Engineering and Technology in Karaikudi, India.

Materials and methods

Materials

Ordinary Portland cement (Grade 53) conforming to IS:12269-1987 with a specific surface area of 3350 cm^2/g and specifc gravity of 3.13 was used in this study. The initial and fnal setting times of the cement are 65 min and 140 min, respectively. Class F fy ash with a specifc surface area and density of 7290 cm²/g and 2130 kg/m³, respectively, was utilised as supplementary cementitious material. River sand from Cauvery River conforming to Zone II was used as fne aggregate. Its specifc gravity and fneness modulus were 2.36 and 2.91, respectively.

Meanwhile, the CS sample was collected from a local copra preparation yard, located in the Thanjavur District in Tamilnadu, India. The CS sample was subsequently hammered and crushed into smaller pieces and sieved. Crushed CS samples with a size range of 12.5–4.75 mm were used as coarse aggregate. The prepared CS aggregate was washed with clean water and then dried under the sun. Given the remarkable moisture holding capacity of CS aggregates, it must be soaked in water for 24 h. The soaked CS aggregates were then dried to remove the surface water before being used in concrete, to correspond to the saturated surface dry (SSD) condition of the aggregate. When the CS aggregate is in SSD condition, it will not further absorb water from concrete, so workability of the resulting concrete will not be affected. Figure [1](#page-2-0)a shows the accumulated CS waste of the copra preparation yard. This CS waste was collected and then crushed into aggregates (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)b). Table [1](#page-2-1) lists the physical properties of CS aggregate. Bore well water available within the college premises was utilised for the concrete mixing purposes, while Conplast SP430 was used as the water-reducing admixture in the mixtures.

Sisal fbre belongs to the species Agava, and its botanical name is Agave sisalana. This plant can be found in southern part of India, especially in Tamilnadu, Kerala, Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh. The characteristics of the fbres depend on the properties of the individual constituents, the fbrillary structure and the lamellae matrix. The fbre is composed of numerous elongated fusiform fbre cells that taper towards the end. The fbre cells are linked together by means of middle lamellae, which consist of hemicelluloses, lignin and pectin (Sorieul et al. [2016;](#page-11-1) Maya et al. [2017\)](#page-10-19). The fbre was used in the present study to enhance the structural quality, tensile and ductility capacity, and to achieve sustainability of the composite. The physical properties the sisal

fbre indicated the absence of deterioration in a concrete medium. The leaves were frstly dried and then brushed and lastly baled for fbre extraction. Figure [2](#page-3-0) shows the sisal fbre extracted from the leaves of sisal plant. The properties of sisal fbre are presented in Table [2.](#page-3-1)

Mix proportion and procedure

Mixtures were prepared on the basis of ACI 211.2, and the mixture performed according to the specifed criterion was used in this investigation. Class F fy ash at 10% by weight was used to replace cement. The binder and fne aggregate contents were kept constant at 460 kg/m^3 and 750 kg/m^3 , respectively. A total of 1.0%, 2.0%, 3.0% and 4.0% of sisal fbre were incorporated based on the weight of binder, and these mixtures were labelled as CSC1, CSC2, CSC3 and CSC4, respectively. A water-to-cement ratio of 0.33 was maintained for all mixtures. The high-range water-reducing agent, Conplast SP430, with a dosage of 1.2% by weight of binder was added to increase the workability of concrete. Table [3](#page-3-2) presents the proportion of all the mixtures.

Table 1 Physical properties of CS aggregate

| Properties | Values |
|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Maximum and minimum size (mm) | 12 and 4.75 |
| Thickness (mm) | $3 - 8$ |
| Water absorption $(\%)$ | 25 |
| Specific gravity | 1.11 |
| Fineness modulus | 6.25 |
| Bulk density (g/cm^3) | 0.65 |
| Void ratio | 0.64 |
| Crushing value $(\%)$ | 2.59 |
| Abrasion value $(\%)$ | 2.0 |
| Impact value $(\%)$ | 7.9 |
| Moisture content $(\%)$ | 3.8 |

Fig. 1 a Discarded CS waste from copra preparation yard. **b** Aggregates crushed from CS shell

(a)

 (b)

Fig. 2 Raw sisal fbre extracted from the leaves of sisal plant

Table 2 Properties of sisal fbre

| Properties | Values |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Diameter | $0.2 - 0.3$ mm |
| Length | 300 mm |
| Density | 1.45 g/cc |
| Tensile strength | 500-600 MPa |
| Modulus of elasticity | 15–16 GPa |
| Water absorption | 10% |
| Cellulose | 72% |
| Hemicellulose | 12% |
| Waxes | 2% |
| Lignin | 14% |

To describe the sequence of mixing, the CS aggregate and river sand were mixed in the rotary drum mixer for about 3 min, followed by the addition of cement and fy ash for another 6 min. Then, water and superplasticiser were added into the drum and the mixing was done for another 8 min. Finally, sisal fbres were evenly distributed into the mixture and mixed. The mixture was then placed into moulds and compacted. The specimens were demoulded after 24 h and water cured till the date of testing.

Testing methods

The slump test was performed according to ASTM C143/ C143M-12 prior to casting of the specimens. The specimens were demoulded 24 ± 2 h after casting. The compressive strength was determined according to IS 456:2000 using 100 mm cube specimens. Two cylinders (diameter: 150 mm, height: 300 mm) were used to determine the modulus of elasticity of the mixtures in accordance with ASTMC469- 10. Meanwhile, three cylinders (diameter: 100 mm, height: 200 mm) and three prisms of size 100 mm \times 100 mm \times 500 mm were used to measure the 28-day split tensile strength and 28-day fexural strength, respectively. A 2000 kN capacity compression testing machine with a loading rate of 2.3 kN/s was used. Meanwhile, the impact test was performed on concrete discs (diameter: 152 mm, height: 63.5 mm) adopting the drop hammer impact technique.

Results and discussion

Slump of sisal fbre‑reinforced CS concrete

The quality of the concrete construction depends not only on the quality of the constituent but also on the workability of the fresh concrete during transportation, placement and compaction. According to ASTM C125, the term 'workability' is a property that determines the effort required to work on a freshly mixed quantity of concrete with the least loss of homogeneity. The workability of LWC difers from that of normal-weight concrete. The large slump of LWC causes the lightweight aggregates to foat away from the heavier cementitious matrix. This separation will then result in poor fnishing and compaction. These reasons have prompted the ACI 213R-87 to restrict the slump of LWC to a maximum of only 100 mm for satisfactory fnishing and compaction (Mehta and Monteiro [2006\)](#page-10-20). Mehta and Monteiro ([2006\)](#page-10-20) suggested that a 50–75 slump was adequate for LWC to achieve good compaction and fnishing. Hossain [\(2004\)](#page-10-21) stated that a high

| | Mixture ID Cement $(kg/m3)$ | (kg/m ³) | Fly ash Fine aggregate (kg/m ³) | CS aggre- gate (kg/ $m3$) | w/b | Super- plasticiser % | Sisal fibre % |
|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------------|------|----------------------------|---------------|
| CSC ⁻ | 460 | 50 | 750 | 332 | 0.33 | 1.2 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| CSC ₁ | 460 | 50 | 750 | 332 | 0.33 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| CSC ₂ | 460 | 50 | 750 | 332 | 0.33 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| CSC ₃ | 460 | 50 | 750 | 332 | 0.33 | 1.2 | 3.0 |
| CSC ₄ | 460 | 50 | 750 | 332 | 0.33 | 1.2 | 4.0 |

Table 3 Mix proportion of sisal fbre-reinforced CS concrete

slump was unnecessary for good fnishing and compaction of LWC because the work done of lightweight aggregate by gravity was remarkably lower.

On the other hand, the workability of FRC is generally lower than that of plain concrete. The fbres in the concrete mixture alter the concrete matrix by forming a network of fbres. Consequently, this network limits the fowability and hence reduces the workability of concrete. Atis ([2003\)](#page-9-2) observed a reduction in the workability of mortar and cement paste as the quantity and length of jute fbres increased. Savastano et al. ([2000\)](#page-11-2) observed the reduced workability of cement composites reinforced with eucalyptus pulp and coir or eucalyptus pulp combined with sisal fbres. The decrease in the workability was due to the absorption of moisture with respect to the hydrophilic nature of the fibres. The flowability and workability of LWC can be improved by adding a superplasticiser (Lu et al. [2008;](#page-10-22) Okamura and Ouchi [2003](#page-10-23)).

In the present study, although CS aggregate tends to have high water absorption, it will not absorb water during the mixing process because it was used under saturated surface dry conditions. Hence, the workability of the mixture may be unafected. In this study, the water and superplasticiser contents were kept constant for all the mixtures. The sisal FRC recorded a lower slump value than the control mixture. Figure [3](#page-4-0) shows that the increase in sisal fbre decreased the slump value of the CS concrete mixture. The addition of 1.0%, 2.0%, 3.0% and 4.0% fbre decreased the slump by 7%, 21%, 28% and 50%, respectively. When the fbres were spread into the concrete mixture, the viscosity of the concrete mixture increased because of the formation of the binder matrix–fbre network structure; consequently, the workability and fowability of the mixture decreased (Chen and Liu [2005](#page-10-24)). FRC consumes additional cement paste when an increased amount of fbre is added for the coating around the fbre. This additional consumption in turn reduces the workability of concrete. Thus, the mixture with 1.0% sisal fbre obtained the lowest slump but could still be consolidated adequately. Figure [4](#page-4-1) illustrates the linear empirical

Fig. 3 Infuence of sisal fbre content on slump of CS concrete

Fig. 4 Correlation between sisal fbre content and slump of CS concrete

equations that relate sisal fbre content to slump of mixtures reinforced with sisal fbre. It can be seen that a very high coefficient of determination (0.9633) was achieved.

Density of sisal fbre‑reinforced CS concrete

The density of structural LWC lies in the range of 1600–2000 kg/m³ (Newman and Choo [2003\)](#page-10-25). Notably, the density of concrete slightly decreases when the content of fbre increases. This density reduction is caused by the low value of specifc gravity of sisal fbre in the mixture. All the mixtures recorded a density below 2000 kg/ $m³$, which satisfes the density requirement of LWC. Figure [5](#page-4-2) shows the density of sisal fbre-reinforced CS concrete. The maximum density reduction of 3.1% was reported for the addition of 4.0% fbre. The decreased density reduces the overall weight of the structure. Hence, the foundation cost decreases. Figure [6](#page-5-0) illustrates the linear empirical equations that relate sisal fbre content to density of mixtures reinforced with sisal fibre. It can be observed that a very high coefficient of determination (0.9851) was obtained.

Fig. 5 Infuence of sisal fbre content on density of CS concrete

Fig. 6 Correlation between sisal fbre content and density of CS concrete

Compressive Strength of sisal fbre‑reinforced CS concrete

The compressive strength values at 28, 56 and 90 days of CS concrete mixtures for diferent contents of sisal fbre are presented in Table [4.](#page-5-1) In this study, the addition of sisal fbre normally improved strength. Amongst the various percentages of added sisal fbre, the mixture containing 3.0% sisal fbre (CSC3) recorded the highest compressive strength at 28, 56 and 90 days. The compressive strength increased by approximately 6% at 28 days, as compared with the control CS concrete mixture. The signifcant increase in compressive strength at 56 and 90 days was caused by the enhanced pozzolanic activity of fy ash that occurred at later ages. Prakash et al. ([2019](#page-10-13)) achieved a compressive strength of 35.6 MPa in CS concrete. Further, Prakash et al. ([2020b\)](#page-10-5) enhanced the compressive strength of CS concrete up to 36.8 MPa by incorporating polypropylene fbre. In this study, the compressive strength of 37.6 MPa was achieved for sisal fbre addition. Ali et al. [\(2012](#page-9-3)) obtained a maximum of 24% increase in compressive strength when coir fbre was incorporated into concrete. Mukhopadhyay and Bhattacharjee [\(2014\)](#page-10-26) found that the addition of banana fbre improved the compressive strength of a concrete block. When compressive load increases, it will initiate crack development in the concrete structure. When the crack approaches the location

Table 4 Compressive strength of sisal fbre-reinforced CS concrete

| Mixture ID | Compressive strength (MPa) | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | 28 Days | 56 Days | 90 Days | | | |
| CSC | 35.6 | 41.2 | 44.5 | | | |
| CSC ₁ | 36.2 | 41.88 | 45.25 | | | |
| CSC ₂ | 36.9 | 42.69 | 46.13 | | | |
| CSC ₃ | 37.6 | 43.50 | 47.00 | | | |
| CSC ₄ | 34.6 | 40.03 | 43.25 | | | |

of fbres, the debonding of the fbre–matrix interfaces will begin because of the stress that developed perpendicular to the crack path. When a signifcant amount of tensile stress was induced in the concrete, microcracks subsequently develop (Yew et al. [2015\)](#page-11-3). This phenomenon revealed that the addition of sisal fbres enhanced the fbre–matrix interface of concrete and thus improved the compressive strength of CS concrete.

Split tensile strength of sisal fbre‑reinforced CS concrete

Tensile strength is an important property of concrete given that concrete members are often susceptible to cracking because of tensile loading, including its deadweight. The tensile strength of concrete is determined by conducting an extremely difficult task of splitting the tensile and flexural strength tests as uniaxial tension tests. In general, LWC possesses weak tensile strength. Therefore, the addition of fbre is a promising technique for overcoming the weak strength properties of CS concrete, especially the split tensile strength, without exceeding the density upper limit of LWC. A remarkable improvement in split tensile strength was achieved in steel fbre-reinforced CS concrete (Prakash et al. [2020a\)](#page-10-4). The addition of polypropylene fbre increased the split tensile strength of CS concrete by 22% (Prakash et al. [2020b](#page-10-5)).

Table [5](#page-5-2) lists the split tensile strength of sisal fibre-reinforced CS concrete. The addition of fbre generally improved the strength of CS concrete. The maximum improvement in split tensile strength of 14% at 28 days was obtained in the CSC3 mixture at 3.42 MPa, which is approximately 9.8% of the compressive strength of the corresponding mixture. Mandal et al. ([2018\)](#page-10-27) determined that the addition of coir fbre in CS concrete signifcantly improved its split tensile strength. Ali et al. ([2012](#page-9-3)) obtained a 21% increase in the split tensile strength of concrete by incorporating coir fbre. Zhou et al. ([2013](#page-11-4)) achieved higher split tensile strength in the cementitious composites of jute FRC than that of a plain concrete matrix.

In accordance with ASTM C330, the minimum requirement of split tensile strength of LWC for structural

application is 2.0 MPa. Therefore, all the mixtures in this experiment could be used as structural LWC.

Yap et al. ([2013\)](#page-11-5) derived Eq. [1](#page-6-0) to determine the split tensile strength of polypropylene (PP) fbre-reinforced OPS concrete in terms of compressive strength:

$$
f_{\rm t} = 0.52\sqrt{f_{\rm c}}\tag{1}
$$

where f_t is the split tensile strength and f_c is the compressive strength

Yew et al. ([2015](#page-11-3)) derived Eq. [2](#page-6-1) to determine the split tensile strength OPS FRC in terms of compressive strength:

$$
f_{\rm t} = 0.55\sqrt{f_{\rm c}}\tag{2}
$$

In this study, regression analysis was employed and the empirical relations were developed between split tensile strength and compressive strength (Fig. [7\)](#page-6-2). Considering the regression analysis, split tensile strength can be correlated with compressive strength using Eq. [\(3](#page-6-3)):

$$
f_{\rm t} = 0.5317\sqrt{f_{\rm c}}\tag{3}
$$

Figure [8](#page-6-4) illustrates the comparison of the experimental and theoretical results of split tensile strength. It can be seen that the experimental results obtained are very close to the theoretical results predicted using Eq. [3.](#page-6-3)

Modulus of elasticity of sisal fbre‑reinforced CS concrete

Modulus of elasticity is a key mechanical property of concrete that refects the ability of concrete to deform elastically. Generally, LWC possesses a lower elastic modulus than normal-weight concrete of similar strength (Neville [2012\)](#page-10-28). In general, the modulus of elasticity of concrete is afected by the stifness of coarse aggregates (Gao et al.

Fig. 7 Correlation between compressive strength and split tensile strength of sisal fbre-reinforced CS concrete

Fig. 8 Experimental and theoretical split tensile strength of sisal fbre-reinforced CS concrete

[1997](#page-10-29); Campione et al. [2001](#page-9-4)). Lightweight aggregates have more pores and lower stifness than those of conventional aggregates. Domagała [\(2011](#page-10-30)) reported that LWC possessed only approximately 45% of the elastic modulus value of conventional concrete with similar strength. Alengaram et al. ([2008\)](#page-9-5) found that OPS LWC produced high defection and hence low modulus of elasticity because of the low stifness of OPS aggregates. When CS concrete is subjected to compression loading, it produces high defection; hence, lower modulus of elasticity is produced because of the lower stifness of CS aggregates. A 17% increase in the modulus of elasticity was observed in CS concrete after the addition of steel fibre (Prakash et al. [2020a](#page-10-4)). The addition of polypropylene fbre in CS concrete improved its modulus of elasticity by 8% (Prakash et al. [2020b](#page-10-5)).

Table [6](#page-6-5) presents the modulus of elasticity of CS concrete with various sisal fibre contents. A slight improvement in the elastic modulus was observed after the addition of sisal fbre in the CSC mixtures. The value of modulus of elasticity exhibited an increasing trend after adding up to 3.0% fbre but decreased after adding 4.0% fbre. The CSC3 mixture recorded the highest modulus of elasticity at approximately 6%, which is higher than that of the nonfbrous CS concrete. The static modulus of elasticity of other LWACs made of pumice (Hossain [2004\)](#page-10-21), ignimbrite (Aydin et al. [2010\)](#page-9-6) and expanded polystyrene (Sabaa and Ravindrarajah [1997\)](#page-10-31)

was found within the range of 7.69–11.4 GPa. However, CS LWC produced a higher modulus of elasticity value than the other types of LWCs.

ACI 318 suggests the use of Eq. [4](#page-7-0) for the prediction of the modulus of elasticity in terms of density and compressive strength of concrete:

$$
E_{\rm c} = 0.043w^{1.5}f_{\rm c}^{0.5} \tag{4}
$$

where E_c is the modulus of elasticity; *w* is the density of concrete, which ranges from $1400-2500 \text{ kg/m}^3$; and f_c is the compressive strength of concrete.

The Concrete Structural Design Standard Specifcation suggests the use of the following equation for relating the modulus of elasticity with the density and compressive strength of concrete:

$$
E_{\rm c} = 0.77w^{1.5}f_{\rm cu}^{\frac{1}{3}}
$$
 (5)

where $f_{\text{cu}} = f_c + 8$ and f_c is the concrete compressive strength.

In this study, modulus of elasticity was correlated with compressive strength (Fig. [9\)](#page-7-1). Considering the regression analysis, modulus of elasticity can be correlated with compressive strength using Eq. [\(6](#page-7-2)).

$$
E_{\rm c} = 0.0307w^{1.5}f_{\rm c}^{0.5} \tag{6}
$$

Figure [10](#page-7-3) shows the comparison between the experimental and theoretical results of the modulus of elasticity. It can be seen that the experimental results obtained are very close to the theoretical results predicted using Eq. [6.](#page-7-2)

Flexural strength of sisal fbre‑reinforced CS concrete

The flexural strength of CS concrete is presented in Table [7.](#page-7-4) For the control mixture, fexural strength was

Fig. 9 Correlation of density and compressive strength with modulus of elasticity of sisal fbre-reinforced CS concrete

Fig. 10 Experimental and theoretical modulus of elasticity of sisal fbre-reinforced CS concrete

5.17 MPa, which was approximately 14.5% of its compressive strength. In this study, the addition of sisal fbres in CS LWC had a beneficial effect on the flexural strength of concrete. Okafor ([1988](#page-10-32)) showed that the rupture modulus of OPS concrete varied within the range of 4.3–6.2 MPa and reached a maximum compressive strength of 27%. Okpala ([1990\)](#page-10-33) obtained the fexural strength of OPS concrete within the range of 2.13–2.80 MPa, which is nearly 14% of its compressive strength. Teo et al. ([2007](#page-11-6)) suggested that the fexural strength of OPS concrete varied at approximately 8 to 13% of its compressive strength. In this study, the addition of sisal fbres in CS concrete improved its fexural strength by 22%. The supplement of sisal fbre at 1.0%, 2.0% and 3.0% in CS concrete increased its fexural strength to approximately 2%, 8% and 11%, respectively. However, the addition of 4.0% fbre slightly decreased the fexural strength of CS concrete.

Mahmud et al. ([2009\)](#page-10-34) derived Eq. [7](#page-7-5) for predicting flexural strength in terms of the compressive strength in the OPS concrete:

$$
f_r = 0.30 f_c^{2/3} \tag{7}
$$

where f_r is the flexural strength.

Fig. 11 Correlation between compressive strength and fexural strength of sisal fbre-reinforced CS concrete

Fig. 12 Experimental and theoretical fexural strength of sisal fbrereinforced CS concrete

Yew et al. ([2015](#page-11-3)) suggested the use of Eq. [8](#page-8-0) for predicting fexural strength in terms of the compressive strength in synthetic fbre-reinforced OPS concrete:

$$
f_r = 0.385 f_c^{2/3}
$$
 (8)

Prakash et al. ([2020b\)](#page-10-5) demonstrated the fexural strength by using Eq. [9](#page-8-1) in polypropylene fbre-reinforced CS LWC:

$$
f_r = 0.526 f_c^{2/3}
$$
 (9)

In this study, based on the experimental data collected, fexural strength was correlated with compressive strength.

concrete

Figure [11](#page-8-2) depicts the regression analysis between fexural strength and compressive strength. Considering the analysis, fexural can be correlated with compressive strength using Eq. ([10](#page-8-3)). Figure [12](#page-8-4) shows the comparison between the experimental and theoretical results of the fexural strength:

$$
f_r = 0.4952 f_c^{2/3}
$$
 (10)

Impact strength of sisal fbre‑reinforced CS concrete

In general, the addition of synthetic fibres in concrete improves its ductility, impact resistance and toughness (Yap et al. [2013;](#page-11-5) Yew et al. [2015\)](#page-11-3). Cementitious composites with plant-based fbre also obtained similar results. Ramakrishna and Sundararajan [\(2005](#page-10-35)) reported that the impact resistance properties of a cement composite slab with plant-based natural fbres were 3–18 times greater than those of the control concrete slab. Munawar et al. [\(2007](#page-10-36)) reported that the strain capacity of cement composites with coconut fbre was nearly 4–6 times higher than those of other plant-based fbre-reinforced composites.

Table [8](#page-8-5) presents the results of the impact tests obtained in the present study. The results showed that the number of blows for the frst crack and ultimate failure increased with the fbre content. Generally, concrete demonstrates brittle failure and offers minimal resistance after the formation of the frst crack. However, the addition of fbre in concrete increases the number of blows required for ultimate failure. This improvement in postcrack resistance depends on the fbre content and increases with fbre content. The number of blows for ultimate crack in an unreinforced specimen was 37. However, this value increased with fbre content, and the maximum value of 83 was obtained after the addition of 4.0% fbre. The postcrack resistance of fbre-reinforced composites also increased with fbre content. The number of blows after the frst crack was 23 and 44 for the specimen with unreinforced specimens and those with 4.0% fbre content, respectively. Sudarisman et al. ([2015\)](#page-11-7) found that the increasing content of bamboo fbre improved the impact resistance of concrete. Zhou et al. [\(2013](#page-11-4)) improved the impact properties of a cement

Fig. 13 Correlation between sisal fbre content and impact energy of sisal fbre-reinforced CS concrete

mortar panel reinforced with jute fbre. The addition of kenaf fbre enhanced the impact strength behaviour of high-density polyethylene composite (Aji et al. [2012](#page-9-7)). Given that fbres are spanned crosswise the cracks, the impact energy can be absorbed, and the crack advancement within the concrete is prevented. In this study, the impact energy of fbre-reinforced specimens increased by 35%, 48%, 70% and 91% after adding 1.0%, 2.0%, 3.0% and 4.0% fbre, respectively.

Figure [13](#page-9-8) depicts the linear empirical equations that relate sisal fbre content to impact energy of mixtures reinforced with sisal fbre. It can be observed that a very high coefficient of determination (0.9812) was obtained.

Sustainability performance of sisal fbre‑reinforced CS concrete

CS waste accounts for a large volume of agricultural solid waste in India, and its disposal is a serious problem to the local environment. When CS is used in concrete production, it offers an effective methodology for its disposal and preserves granite, which is a rapidly depleting resource. When fy ash, which is a type of industrial waste, is used in concrete production, the depletion of limestone (a natural resource used for cement manufacturing) is minimised. Thus, the sustainability facet in the construction industry can be improved. This study will help save limestone for future generations and reduce the release of greenhouse gases, such as $CO₂$ and NO_x , into the atmosphere. The addition of natural fbre enhanced the strength properties of CS concrete with fy ash, and this type of concrete may be used for structural applications. Therefore, the development of sisal fbre-reinforced CS concrete with partial replacement of fy ash will contribute to the sustainability of concrete production.

Conclusion

The infuence of adding up to 4.0% sisal fbre based on the weight of cement with 1% increment on the mechanical characteristics of CS lightweight eco-friendly concrete was investigated. The fbre addition reduced the slump of fresh concrete mixture and hardened concrete density slightly. The compressive strength and split tensile strength were improved up to 6% and 14%, respectively, for the fibre dosage of 3%. It also signifcantly improved the fexural strength. Modulus of elasticity of concrete was slightly improved. A substantial increase in impact energy was achieved for the fbre addition. Additionally, it was found that split tensile strength, modulus of elasticity and fexural strength could be correlated with compressive strength.

CS concrete with partial replacement of fly ash can be used as an eco-friendly building material because CS is a renewable and naturally available resource while fy ash is a type of industrial waste. The addition of sisal fbre addition further enhanced the mechanical properties of CS concrete, thereby making it feasible for structural applications.

Acknowledgements Author R. Prakash would like to acknowledge the fnancial support provided by Program TEQIP-III, implemented by the National Project Implementation Unit (NPIU) of the Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India. Author Sudharshan N. Raman would like to acknowledge the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS/1/2019/TK01/UKM/02/2) of the Ministry of Education, Malaysia, for its support in this publication.

References

- Aji I, Zainudin E, Abdan K et al (2012) Mechanical properties and water absorption behavior of hybridized kenaf/pineapple leaf fbre-reinforced high-density polyethylene composite. J Compos Mater 47:979–990.<https://doi.org/10.1177/0021998312444147>
- Al-Amoudi OSB, Al-Homidy AA, Maslehuddin M, Saleh TA (2017) Method and mechanisms of soil stabilization using electric arc furnace dust. Sci Rep. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46676>
- Alengaram UJ, Jumaat MZ, Mahmud H (2008) Ductility behaviour of reinforced palm kernel shell concrete beams. Eur J Sci Res 23:406–420
- Ali M, Liu A, Sou H, Chouw N (2012) Mechanical and dynamic properties of coconut fbre reinforced concrete. Constr Build Mater 30:814–825.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.12.068>
- Al-Sodani KAA, Maslehuddin M, Al-Amoudi OSB et al (2018) Performance of corrosion inhibitors in cracked and uncracked silica fume cement concrete beams. Eur J Environ Civ Eng. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1080/19648189.2018.1475306) [org/10.1080/19648189.2018.1475306](https://doi.org/10.1080/19648189.2018.1475306)
- Atiş CD (2003) High-volume fy ash concrete with high strength and low drying shrinkage. J Mater Civ Eng 15:153–156. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)0899-1561(2003)15:2(153)) [org/10.1061/\(asce\)0899-1561\(2003\)15:2\(153\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)0899-1561(2003)15:2(153))
- Aydin AC, Karakoç MB, Düzgün OA, Bayraktutan MS (2010) Efect of low quality aggregates on the mechanical properties of lightweight concrete. Sci Res Essay 5(10):1133–1140
- Campione G, Miraglia N, Papia M (2001) Mechanical properties of steel fbre reinforced lightweight concrete with pumice stone or

expanded clay aggregates. Mater Struct 34:201–210. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02480589) [org/10.1007/bf02480589](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02480589)

- Chen B, Liu J (2005) Contribution of hybrid fbers on the properties of the high-strength lightweight concrete having good workability. Cem Concr Res 35:913–917. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemco](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.07.035) [nres.2004.07.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.07.035)
- Divyah N, Thenmozhi R, Neelamegam M (2020) Experimental and numerical analysis of battened built-up lightweight concrete encased composite columns subjected to axial cyclic loading. Latin Am J Solids Struct.<https://doi.org/10.1590/1679-78255745>
- Domagała L (2011) Modifcation of properties of structural lightweight concrete with steel fbres. J Civ Eng Manag 17:36–44. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3846/13923730.2011.553923) [org/10.3846/13923730.2011.553923](https://doi.org/10.3846/13923730.2011.553923)
- Gao J, Sun W, Morino K (1997) Mechanical properties of steel fberreinforced, high-strength, lightweight concrete. Cem Concr Compos 19:307–313. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0958-9465\(97\)00023-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0958-9465(97)00023-1)
- Gunasekaran K, Kumar P, Lakshmipathy M (2011) Mechanical and bond properties of coconut shell concrete. Constr Build Mater 25:92–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.06.053>
- Gunasekaran K, Pennarasi G, Soumya S, Shruti L (2017) All-in-one about a momentous review study on coconut shell as coarse aggregate in concrete. Int J Civ Eng Technol 8:1049–1060
- Habert G, Roussel N (2009) Study of two concrete mix-design strategies to reach carbon mitigation objectives. Cem Concr Compos 31:397–402.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2009.04.001>
- Hossain KMA (2004) Properties of volcanic pumice based cement and lightweight concrete. Cem Concr Res 34:283–291. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2003.08.004) doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2003.08.004
- Jayaprithika A, Sekar S (2016) Stress–strain characteristics and fexural behaviour of reinforced eco-friendly coconut shell concrete. Constr Build Mater 117:244–250. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbu](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.05.016) [ildmat.2016.05.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.05.016)
- Kabir M, Wang H, Lau K, Cardona F (2012) Chemical treatments on plant-based natural fbre reinforced polymer composites: an overview. Compos B Eng 43:2883–2892. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2012.04.053) [compositesb.2012.04.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2012.04.053)
- Lim JLG, Raman SN, Lai F-C et al (2018) Synthesis of nano cementitious additives from agricultural wastes for the production of sustainable concrete. J Clean Prod 171:1150–1160. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.143) [org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.143](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.143)
- Lo TY, Cui HZ (2002) Properties of green lightweight aggregate concrete. In: International workshop on sustainable development and concrete technology, New York, USA, pp 113–118
- Lu G, Wang K, Rudolphi TJ (2008) Modeling rheological behavior of highly fowable mortar using concepts of particle and fluid mechanics. Cem Concr Compos 30:1-12. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2007.06.002) [org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2007.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2007.06.002)
- Mahmud H, Jumaat M, Alengaram U (2009) Influence of sand/ cement ratio on mechanical properties of palm kernel shell concrete. J Appl Sci 9:1764–1769. [https://doi.org/10.3923/](https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2009.1764.1769) [jas.2009.1764.1769](https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2009.1764.1769)
- Mandal B, Tiwari S, Ghimire S, Tiwari A (2018) Mechanical properties of concrete with partial replacement of coarse aggregates by coconut shells and reinforced with coconut fbre. J Build Mater Struct 5:227–238. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2538831>
- Maya M, George SC, Jose T et al (2017) Mechanical properties of short sisal fbre reinforced phenol formaldehyde eco-friendly composites. Polym Renew Resour 8:27–42. [https://doi.org/10.1177/20412](https://doi.org/10.1177/204124791700800103) [4791700800103](https://doi.org/10.1177/204124791700800103)
- Mehta NK, Monteiro PJM (2006) Concrete: microstructure, properties, and materials. McGraw-Hill, New York
- Meyer C (2009) The greening of the concrete industry. Cem Concr Compos 31:601–605. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2008.12.010) [.2008.12.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2008.12.010)
- Mohanty AK, Misra M, Hinrichsen G (2000) Biofbres, biodegradable polymers and biocomposites: an overview. Macromol

Mater Eng 276–277:1–24. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(sici\)1439-](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1439-2054(20000301)276:13.0.co;2-w) [2054\(20000301\)276:13.0.co;2-w](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1439-2054(20000301)276:13.0.co;2-w)

- Mukhopadhyay S, Bhattacharjee B (2014) Infuence of fbre dispersion on compression strength of banana fbres reinforced concrete. J Ind Text 45:957–964. [https://doi.org/10.1177/15280](https://doi.org/10.1177/1528083714545394) [83714545394](https://doi.org/10.1177/1528083714545394)
- Munawar SS, Umemura K, Kawai S (2007) Characterization of the morphological, physical, and mechanical properties of seven nonwood plant fber bundles. J Wood Sci 53:108–113. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10086-006-0836-x) [org/10.1007/s10086-006-0836-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10086-006-0836-x)
- Nagarajan D, Rajagopal T, Meyappan N (2020) A comparative study on prediction models for strength properties of LWA concrete using artifcial neural network. Revista de la construcción. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.7764/rdlc.19.1.103-111) [org/10.7764/rdlc.19.1.103-111](https://doi.org/10.7764/rdlc.19.1.103-111)
- Neville AM (2012) Properties of concrete. Pearson Education, Harlow Newman J, Choo BS (2003) Advanced concrete technology: constituent materials. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Okafor FO (1988) Palm kernel shell as a lightweight aggregate for concrete. Cem Concr Res 18:901–910. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-8846(88)90026-9) [8846\(88\)90026-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-8846(88)90026-9)
- Okamura H, Ouchi M (2003) Self compacting concrete. J Adv Concr Technol 1:5–15
- Okpala D (1990) Palm kernel shell as a lightweight aggregate in concrete. Build Environ 25:291–296. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0360-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0360-1323(90)90002-9) [1323\(90\)90002-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0360-1323(90)90002-9)
- Prakash R (2017) Study on properties of coconut shell aggregate and compressive strength of coconut shell concrete. Int J Eng Technol Sci Res 4:592–602
- Prakash R, Thenmozhi R, Raman S (2019) Mechanical characterisation and fexural performance of eco-friendly concrete produced with fy ash as cement replacement and coconut shell coarse aggregate. Int J Environ Sustain Dev 18:131. [https://doi.org/10.1504/ijesd](https://doi.org/10.1504/ijesd.2019.099491) [.2019.099491](https://doi.org/10.1504/ijesd.2019.099491)
- Prakash R, Thenmozhi R, Raman SN et al (2020a) An investigation of key mechanical and durability properties of coconut shell concrete with partial replacement of fy ash. Struct Concr. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/suco.201900162) [org/10.1002/suco.201900162](https://doi.org/10.1002/suco.201900162)
- Prakash R, Thenmozhi R, Raman SN, Subramanian C (2020b) Characterization of eco-friendly steel fber-reinforced concrete containing waste coconut shell as coarse aggregates and fy ash as partial cement replacement. Struct Concr 21:437–447. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/suco.201800355) [org/10.1002/suco.201800355](https://doi.org/10.1002/suco.201800355)
- Prakash R, Thenmozhi R, Raman SN, Subramanian C (2020c) Fibre reinforced concrete containing waste coconut shell aggregate, fy ash and polypropylene fbre. Revista Facultad de Ingeniería Universidad de Antioquia. [https://doi.org/10.17533/10.17533/udea.](https://doi.org/10.17533/10.17533/udea.redin.20190403) [redin.20190403](https://doi.org/10.17533/10.17533/udea.redin.20190403)
- Ramakrishna G, Sundararajan T (2005) Studies on the durability of natural fbres and the efect of corroded fbres on the strength of mortar. Cem Concr Compos 27:575–582. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2004.09.008) [cemconcomp.2004.09.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2004.09.008)
- Raman S, Ngo T, Mendis P, Mahmud H (2011) High-strength rice husk ash concrete incorporating quarry dust as a partial substitute for sand. Constr Build Mater 25:3123–3130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.12.026) [conbuildmat.2010.12.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.12.026)
- Rokbi M, Baali B, Rahmouni ZEA, Latelli H (2019) Mechanical properties of polymer concrete made with jute fabric and waste marble powder at various woven orientations. Int J Environ Sci Technol 16:5087–5094.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-019-02367-7>
- Sabaa B, Ravindrarajah RS (1997) Engineering properties of lightweight concrete containing crushed expanded polystyrene waste. In: Materials Research Society, fall meeting symposium: advance material cement composites
- Sadiqul Hasan NM, Sobuz HR, Sayed MS, Islam MS (2012) The use of coconut fbre in the production of structural lightweight concrete. J Appl Sci 12:831–839.<https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2012.831.839>

- Savastano H, Warden P, Coutts R (2000) Brazilian waste fbres as reinforcement for cement-based composites. Cem Concr Compos 22:379–384. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0958-9465\(00\)00034-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0958-9465(00)00034-2)
- Shafgh P, Alengaram UJ, Mahmud HB, Jumaat MZ (2013) Engineering properties of oil palm shell lightweight concrete containing fy ash. Mater Des 49:613–621. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matde](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.02.004) [s.2013.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.02.004)
- Sorieul M, Dickson A, Hill S, Pearson H (2016) Plant fbre: molecular structure and biomechanical properties, of a complex living material, infuencing its deconstruction towards a biobased composite. Materials 9:618. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma9080618>
- Sudarisman, Muhammad BNR, Prabowo AB (2015) Impact behavior of apus bamboo (*Gigantochloa apus*) fber/epoxy green composites. Appl Mech Mater 758:83–87. [https://doi.org/10.4028/www.](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.758.83) [scientifc.net/amm.758.83](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.758.83)
- Teo D, Mannan M, Kurian V, Ganapathy C (2007) Lightweight concrete made from oil palm shell (OPS): structural bond and

durability properties. Build Environ 42:2614–2621. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2006.06.013) [org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2006.06.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2006.06.013)

- Yap SP, Alengaram UJ, Jumaat MZ (2013) Enhancement of mechanical properties in polypropylene- and nylon-fbre reinforced oil palm shell concrete. Mater Des 49:1034–1041. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.02.070) [org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.02.070](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.02.070)
- Yew MK, Mahmud HB, Ang BC, Yew MC (2015) Infuence of different types of polypropylene fbre on the mechanical properties of high-strength oil palm shell lightweight concrete. Constr Build Mater 90:36–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildma](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.04.024) [t.2015.04.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.04.024)
- Zhou X, Ghaffar SH, Dong W et al (2013) Fracture and impact properties of short discrete jute fbre-reinforced cementitious composites. Mater Des 49:35–47. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matde](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.01.029) [s.2013.01.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.01.029)

