#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **Green chemical multicomponent approach for the synthesis of C3‑pyranopyrazole‑substituted coumarins**

**Venkata Swamy Tangeti1,2 · Kattaru Ramesh Babu3 · G. V. Siva Prasad1 · Tadikonda Ramu1 · C. Venkata Rao3**

Received: 13 May 2017 / Accepted: 23 December 2017 / Published online: 10 January 2018 © Iranian Chemical Society 2018

#### **Abstract**

An efficient and rapid method was developed for the synthesis of C3-pyranopyrazole-substituted coumarins from one-pot fve-component reaction of *β*-keto ester, hydrazine, *O*-hydroxy aromatic aldehydes, 6-methyl, 4-hydroxy pyranone and aromatic aldehyde in the presence of tri-ethylamine in solvent-free conditions. The microwave-assisted method reported herein ofers advantageous shorter reaction times, higher yields and cleaner reaction compared with conventional heating methods.

**Keywords** Multicomponent · C3-pyranopyrazole-substituted coumarin · Solvent free · Microwave synthesis

# **Introduction**

Coumarins are one of the important structural units with assorted biological [[1–](#page-6-0)[4](#page-6-1)] and pharmacological activities ranging from antimicrobial, anti-arrhythmic, antitumour, antifungal, anti-HIV and anti-osteoporosis to infammatory [\[5](#page-6-2)–[11\]](#page-6-3). Apart from this, coumarins have been used as additives in foods, perfumes and cosmetics and also in the preparation of optical brighteners, laser dyes, fuorescent labels and in nonlinear optics [[12](#page-6-4)[–18](#page-6-5)].

Microwave-assisted organic synthesis (MAOS) has emerged as a new "lead" in organic synthesis. The technique offers simple, clean, fast, efficient and economic for the synthesis of a large number of organic molecules [[19](#page-6-6)–[22\]](#page-6-7). In the recent years, microwave-assisted organic reaction has emerged as new tool in organic synthesis. Important advantage of this technology includes highly accelerated rate of the reaction, reduction in reaction time with an improvement

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s13738-017-1281-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13738-017-1281-z)) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

- <sup>2</sup> Vector Control Research Centre (Indian Council of Medical Research), Puducherry, India
- <sup>3</sup> Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupathi, India

in the yield and quality of product [\[23](#page-6-8), [24\]](#page-6-9). Nowadays, this technique is considered as an important approach towards green chemistry, because this technique is more environmental friendly and in addition, due to assimilation of green chemistry principles, solvent-free multicomponent reactions have been enticed.

Recently we have developed a methodology for the synthesis of C3-dihydrofuran-substituted coumarins from onepot four-component reaction of *O*-hydroxy aromatic aldehydes, 6-methyl, 4-hydroxy pyran, aromatic aldehyde and pyridinium ylides in the presence of tri-ethylamine under microwave irradiation in solvent-free conditions [\[25](#page-6-10), [26](#page-6-11)]. As a part of our continuous efforts towards the development of new green chemistry synthetic approaches for important heterocyclic compounds  $[27-31]$  $[27-31]$  $[27-31]$ , in the present work we reported an efficient and ecofriendly five-component reaction protocol in microwave-mediated regioselective synthesis of C3-pyranopyrazole-substituted coumarins from onepot reaction of *β*-keto ester, hydrazine, *O*-hydroxy aromatic aldehydes, 6-methyl, 4-hydroxy pyranone, aromatic aldehyde and in the presence of tri-ethylamine in solvent-free conditions.

# **Results and discussion**

We contemplated to synthesize novel dihydropyrano[2,3-*c*] pyrazol-6-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2-one derivatives **6** by the onepot four-component reaction of *O*-hydroxy aromatic aldehydes **1**, 6-methyl, 4-hydroxy pyranone **2**, *β*-keto ester **3**,

 $\boxtimes$  Venkata Swamy Tangeti swamychempcu8@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Raghu Engineering College (Affiliated to JNTU Kakinada), Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India

aromatic aldehyde **4** and hydrazine **5** utilizing microwave irradiation under solvent-free conditions (Scheme [1\)](#page-1-0). We decided to perform the preparation of these compounds under microwave irradiation from green chemistry point of view, when associated with neat conditions it represents an environmentally benign alternative in organic synthesis. In the reaction protocol, when equimolar amounts of *O*-hydroxy aromatic aldehydes **1**, 6-methyl, 4-hydroxy pyranone **2**, *β*-keto ester **3**, aromatic aldehyde **4** and hydrazine **5** were reacted in the presence of 0.1 equivalents of  $Et<sub>3</sub>N$  in a sealed vial under microwave irradiation at 90 °C for 5 min aforded after work-up, dihydropyrano[2,3-*c*]pyrazol-6-yl)- 2*H*-chromen-2-one derivatives **6a** were obtained in very good yield.

The structure of the compound was fully characterized by  ${}^{1}$ H and  ${}^{13}$ C NMR, MS and IR spectra and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum shows a sharp distinguishable peak of compound **6a** at 485.1315  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup>. Initially, the reaction was investigated in the absence of catalyst (Table [1](#page-2-0), entry 1) under microwave, but no product was formed. Then, we studied the reaction by using diferent catalysts (Table [2,](#page-3-0) entries 2–7) but no such satisfactory results were obtained. When the reaction was carried out in the presence of catalytic amount of  $NEt_3$ , the reaction was occurred within 5 min. Use of 0.1 eq of  $Et_3N$  (Table [1](#page-2-0), entry 8) at 540 W for 5 min in microwave irradiation at 90 °C was found to be sufficient for obtaining optimum yield of the desired product, and an increase in the amount of catalyst (Table [2](#page-3-0), entry 8) did not improve the yield of the product. The reaction was also carried out in the presence of diferent solvents under microwave irradiation, but no optimum increase in the yield of desired product was observed (Table [2](#page-3-0), entries 10–18).

Compound **6a** was obtained with 27% of yield by conventional method (Entry 13) and 89% by microwaveassisted synthesis (Entry 17). Table [1](#page-2-0) shows the comparison between percentage yields and total reaction times for synthesized compound **6a** in both conventional and microwave-mediated conditions. Conventional synthesis

was completed within 5–8 h and microwave-assisted synthesis was completed within 3–15 min. In all the cases, microwave-assisted synthesis gave better yield and was completed in much shorter period of time.

Similarly, compounds **6b**–**q** were synthesized and characterized. Then, the substrate scope of the reaction was explored by using various aromatic aldehydes in the model reaction. All the reactions proceed smoothly giving C3-pyranopyrazole-substituted coumarins in moderate to high yields. Electronic effects were also observed in the reaction process.

The electron-donating group (EDG) at the para position of the aldehyde required less reaction time to give comparatively high yields of the product (Table [2](#page-3-0), entries 9 and 11) while stronger EWG-substituted ones gave evidently poor yields (Table [2](#page-3-0), entry 10). The aliphatic aldehyde did not show any efect in the above said reaction. The synthetic route is facile, convergent and allows easy placement of a variety of substituents around the periphery of the heterocyclic ring system (Scheme [1](#page-1-0)).

A probable mechanism for the formation of product is depicted in Scheme [2](#page-3-1). The mechanism most likely involves the initial nucleophilic attack of hydrazine on the *β*-ketoester and subsequent cyclization to form the pyrazolone **A**. Further the reaction occurs via an initial Knoevenagel condensation between 1,3-pyrazolone **A** and aromatic aldehyde **5** to give the intermediate **D**. In the next step, the reaction can be continued via a Knoevenagel condensation followed by attack of the acetoacetonyl coumarin **F** on intermediate **D** that leads to the formation of **G**. Finally, nucleophilic attack of hydroxyl group in intermediate **G** followed by intramolecular cyclization leads to form the fnal product **6**. The eliminated pyridine releases tri-ethylamine from the  $Et<sub>3</sub>N/HBr$  salt which further catalyses the reaction. Isolation of intermediates **D** and **F** from the reaction mixture supporting the proposed reaction mechanism.



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Scheme 1** Multicomponent synthesis of dihydropyrano [2,3-*c*]pyrazol chromenone derivatives

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



a The reaction of 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (1.0 mmol) **1a**, 6-methyl 4-hyroxy pyranone (1.0 mmol) **2**, benzaldehyde **3a** (1.0 mmol), ethylaceto acetate **4** (1.0 mmol), hydrazine **5** (1.0 mol)

<sup>b</sup>Conventional heating

c Yields for isolated pure products

<sup>d</sup> Deuterium-depleted water

e Reaction in the absence of microwave irradiation (by simple heating in the absence of solvent)

Overall, this microwave-promoted fve-component domino reaction leads to the generation of one C–O and three C–C bonds. This methodology can be further explored towards the synthesis of diverse C3-pyranopyrazole-substituted coumarins derivatives by using diferent *β*-ketoesters with active methylene compounds and study of their biological evolution.

# **Conclusion**

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient microwavepromoted reaction protocol for the synthesis of C3-pyranopyrazole-substituted coumarins via one-pot five-component reaction of *O*-hydroxy aromatic aldehydes, 6-methyl, 4-hydroxy pyranone, *β*-keto ester, hydrazine and aromatic

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 2** Multicomponent synthesis of C3-dihydropyranopyrazolesubstituted coumarins (**6a–l**)

Entry	Compound	$R_1$	$R_{2}$	Ar	Yield $(\%)^c$
1.	6a	Н	Н	$C_6H_5$	85
2.	6b	C <sub>1</sub>	Н	$C_6H_5$	87
3.	6c	Br	Н	$C_6H_5$	89
4.	6d	Н	OCH <sub>3</sub>	$C_6H_5$	91
5.	6e	Н	$OC_2H_5$	$C_6H_5$	89
6.	6f	Cl	Cl	$C_6H_5$	75
7.	6g	C <sub>1</sub>	Br	$C_6H_5$	79
8.	6h	Br	Br	$C_6H_5$	77
9.	6i	Н	Н	$p$ -ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	81
10.	6j	Н	Н	$P-(NO_2)C_6H_4$	70
11.	6k	Н	Н	$p$ -N(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	93

aldehyde in the presence of tri-ethylamine under microwavemediated solvent-free conditions. Short reaction times in microwave-assisted conditions along very simple work-up, better yields as well as the absence of the solvent make the protocol more environmentally benign for the synthesis of pyranopyrazole chromenones. The versatility of the reaction to allow the formation of a variety of functionalities such as amido, hydroxyl and amino groups makes these compounds attractive candidates as precursors for drug discovery, combinatorial chemistry and chemical biology. The simple performance, green and mild conditions, good yields and easy purifcation of the products are among the advantages of this protocol.







<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Scheme 2** Plausible mechanism for the formation of C3-pyranopyrazole-substituted coumarin

#### **Experimental section**

#### **General Methods**

Melting points were recorded using open-ended capillary tubes on VEEGO VMP-DS instrument. The progression of all the reactions was monitored by TLC using a mixture of hexanes (60–80 °C boiling mixture) and ethyl acetate. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (100–200 mesh, SRL Chemicals) using increasing percentage of ethyl acetate in hexanes. All microwave reactions were performed in a monomode Biotage Emery's Creator 540 W system with sample absorption set to "normal". <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra  $(400 \text{ MHz})$  and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz) and DEPT -135 spectra were recorded for  $CDCl<sub>3</sub> + CCl<sub>4</sub> (2:1)$  solutions on a Bruker—400 spectrometer with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard; *J* values are given in Hz. IR spectra were recorded as KBr solid solution on a Nicolet-6700 spectrometer. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a waters micromass Q-TOF micromass spectrometer using electron spray ionization mode. Organic solvents were distilled and dried before use. Melting points were measured in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin Elmer 2400 Series II Elemental CHNS analyser.

# **General synthetic procedure (conventional heating), exemplifed by 3‑(5‑acetyl‑3‑me‑ thyl‑4‑phenyl‑1,4‑dihydropyrano[2,3‑***c***]pyra‑ zol‑6‑yl)‑2***H***‑chromen‑2‑one 6a**

Mixture of 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (198 mg, 0.163 mmol) **1a**, 6-methyl 4-hyroxy pyranone (205 mg, 0.163 mmol) **2**, benzaldehyde **3a** (172 mg, 0.153 mmol), ethylaceto acetate **4** (211 mg, 0.153 mmol), hydrazine **5** (81 mg, 0.153) and 0.1 equivalents of trimethylamine (16 mg, 0.015 mmol) as a catalyst was added in 25 mL of three-neck fask. Then, ethyl alcohol (5 mL) was added as the solvent. The resulting mixture was refuxed at 80 °C for 5 h. During this time, precipitate was formed. Completion of reaction was ensured by TLC, and the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. Then, the precipitate was removed by fltration and washed with a little cold ethanol to give the pure product (Yield 27%).

# **General synthetic procedure (microwave heating), exemplifed by 3‑(5‑acetyl‑3‑me‑ thyl‑4‑phenyl‑1,4‑dihydropyrano[2,3‑***c***]pyra‑ zol‑6‑yl)‑2***H***‑chromen‑2‑one 6a**

Mixture of 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (198 mg, 0.163 mmol) **1a**, 6-methyl 4-hyroxy pyranone (205 mg, 0.163 mmol) **2**,

benzaldehyde **3a** (172 mg, 0.153 mmol), ethylaceto acetate **4** (211 mg, 0.153 mmol), hydrazine **5** (81 mg, 0.153) and 0.1 equivalents of trimethylamine (16 mg, 0.015 mmol) was mixed in process glass vial. The vial was capped properly, and thereafter, the mixture was heated under microwave irradiating conditions at power level 540 W at 90 °C for 3 min without solvent. Yield 85% (551 mg), Mp 138.4 °C, R*f* 0.24 (1:1 hexane–EtOAc), IR (KBr) *υ*max 3204, 3011, 1756, 1688, 1613, 1450, 1318, 1013, 981, 704 cm−1; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 12.26 (s, 1H), 8.5 (s, 1H), 7.83 (d,  $J =$  , 1H), 7.41 (t,  $J =$  , 1H), 7.35 – 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.34 (t, *J* = , 1H), 7.13 -7.08 (m, 2H), 6.89 (t, *J* = , 1H), 5.35 (s, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 1.89 (s, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 191.0, 163.4, 162.0, 157.7, 152.1, 144.3, 141.7, 136.8, 133.1, 152.1, 144.3, 141.7, 136.8, 133.1, 129.0, 126.7, 126.1, 123.9, 123.3, 121.0, 118.8, 117.1, 112.2, 106.2, 34.2, 26.8, 10.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI, m/z): 421.1159 calcd for  $C_{24}H_{18}N_2O_4$  (M + Na) found: 421.1156. Analysis calcd for  $C_{24}H_{18}N_2O_4$ : C, 72.35; H, 4.55; N, 7.03; Found C, 72.33; H, 4.54; N, 7.01.

## **3‑(5‑Acetyl‑3‑methyl‑4‑phenyl‑1,4‑dihydropyrano[2,3‑***c***] pyrazol‑6‑yl)‑6‑chloro‑2***H***‑chromen‑2‑one 6b**

Yield 87% (612 mg), Mp 140.6 °C, R<sub>f</sub> 0.24 (1:1 hexane–EtOAc), IR (KBr) *υ*max 3217, 3034, 1754, 1692, 1621, 1454, 1321, 1025, 982, 724 cm−1; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) 12.26 (s, 1H), 8.54 (s, 1H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.13–7.08 (m, 2H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (s, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 1.89 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  191.3, 164.4, 163.0, 157.0, 152.3, 149.3, 146.7, 137.0, 133.2, 129.3, 126.7, 124.6, 123.5, 121.5, 118.4, 117.2, 112.3, 106.0, 34.2 ppm; HRMS (ESI, m/z) 455.0769 calcd for  $C_{24}H_{17}CIN_2O_4$  (M + Na) found 455.0767. Analysis calcd for  $C_{24}H_{17}CIN_2O_4$ : C, 66.59; H, 3.96; N, 6.47; Found C, 66.57; H, 3.94; N, 6.46.

### **3‑(5‑Acetyl‑3‑methyl‑4‑phenyl‑1,4‑dihydropyrano[2,3‑***c***] pyrazol‑6‑yl)‑6‑bromo‑2***H***‑chromen‑2‑one 6c**

Yield 89% (690 mg), Mp 140.8.4 °C, R*<sup>f</sup>* 0.23 (1:1 hexane–EtOAc), IR (KBr) *υ*max 3214, 3016, 1753, 1692, 1618, 1448, 1320, 1021, 985, 728 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) 12.25 (s, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 7.85 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.69 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 5.37  $(s, 1H, 2.45 (s, 3H), 1.85 (s, 3H)$  ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 192.1, 167.0, 166.1, 156.2, 150.1, 148.6, 146.3, 145.6, 133.6, 129.0, 127.6, 126.3, 125.6, 124.9, 123.4, 118.8, 117.3, 112.1, 104.1, 35.6, 26.1, 10.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI, m/z) 499.0264 calcd for  $C_{24}H_{17}BrN_2O_4$  (M + Na)

found 499.0261. Analysis calcd for  $C_{24}H_{17}BrN_2O_4$ : C, 60.39; H, 3.59; N, 5.87; Found C, 60.37; H, 3.58; N, 5.84.

# **3‑(5‑Acetyl‑3‑methyl‑4‑phenyl‑1,4‑dihydropyrano[2,3‑***c***] pyrazol‑6‑yl)‑8‑methoxy‑2***H***‑chromen‑2‑one 6d**

Yield 91% (634 mg), Mp 139.9 °C, R*<sup>f</sup>* 0.23 (1:1 hexane–EtOAc), IR (KBr) *υ*max 3212, 3010, 1750, 1690, 1611, 1448, 1306, 1010, 980, 783 cm−1; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 12.24 (s, 1H), 8.57 (s, 1H), 7.81 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (dd, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (s, 1H), 5.34 (s, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.57 (s, 3H), 1.82 (s, 3H) ppm;  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  192.4, 166.2, 164.8, 156.6, 155.4, 155.3, 140.9, 139.2, 137.6, 132.8, 124.1, 123.0, 120.6, 120.1, 117.0, 112.4, 110.4, 104.1, 56.2, 35.4, 27.7, 10.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI, m/z) 451.1264 calcd for  $C_{25}H_{20}N_2O_5$  (M + Na) found 451.1261. Analysis calcd for  $C_{25}H_{20}N_{2}O_{5}$ : C, 70.08; H, 4.71; N, 6.54; Found C, 70.07; H, 4.69; N, 6.51.

## **3‑(5‑Acetyl‑3‑methyl‑4‑phenyl‑1,4‑dihydropyrano[2,3‑***c***] pyrazol‑6‑yl)‑8‑ethoxy‑2***H***‑chromen‑2‑one 6e**

Yield 89% (641 mg), Mp 142.7 °C, R*<sup>f</sup>* 0.22 (1:1 hexane–EtOAc), IR (KBr) *υ*max 3211, 3031, 2998, 1749, 1697, 1618, 1450, 1319, 1021, 991, 731 cm−1; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 12.23 (s, 1H), 8.56 (s, 1H), 7.81 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (dd, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (m, 3H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 4.24 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.56 (s, 3H), 1.95 (s, 3H), 1.43 (t,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 191.4, 163.7, 159.5, 156.1, 148.7, 142.2, 140.1, 138.3, 126.7, 126.2, 124.5, 123.7, 121.5, 118.3, 117.5, 112.3, 109.4, 107.8, 102.1, 58.1, 37.2, 26.3, 14.9, 13.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI, m/z) 465.1421 calcd for  $C_{26}H_{22}N_2O_5$  (M + Na) found 465.1419. Analysis calcd for  $C_{26}H_{22}N_{2}O_{5}$ : C, 70.58; H, 5.01; N, 6.33; Found C, 70.56; H, 4.98; N, 6.31.

## **3‑(5‑Acetyl‑3‑methyl‑4‑phenyl‑1,4‑dihydropyrano[2,3‑***c***] pyrazol‑6‑yl)‑6,8‑dichloro‑2***H***‑chromen‑2‑one 6f**

Yield 75% (570 mg), Mp 148.5 °C, R*<sup>f</sup>* 0.32 (4:6 hexane–EtOAc), IR (KBr) *υ*max 3213, 3029, 1751, 1691, 1619, 1450, 1319, 1022, 979, 716 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 12.26 (s, 1H), 8.29 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.30–7.28 (m, 3H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H) ppm;  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 191.4, 163.7, 159.8, 154.0, 144.0, 142.2, 139.5, 132.6, 131.1, 128.3, 126.4, 124.3, 123.8, 122.2, 116.8, 116.7, 115.5, 109.8, 107.3,

35.5, 27.8, 13.1 ppm; HRMS (ESI, m/z) 489.0379 calcd for  $C_{24}H_{16}Cl_2N_2O_4$  (M + Na) found 489.0377. Analysis calcd for  $C_{24}H_{16}Cl_2N_2O_4$ : C, 61.69; H, 3.45; N, 5.99; Found C, 61.67; H, 3.43; N, 5.97.

# **3‑(5‑Acetyl‑3‑methyl‑4‑phenyl‑1,4‑dihydropyrano[2,3‑***c***] pyrazol‑6‑yl)‑8‑bromo‑6‑chloro‑2***H***‑chromen‑2‑one 6 g**

Yield 79% (658 mg), Mp 147.9 °C, R*<sup>f</sup>* 0.31 (4:6 hexane–EtOAc), IR (KBr) *υ*max 3217, 3034, 1754, 1692, 1621, 1451, 1322, 1025, 982, 724 cm−1; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 12.27 (s, 1H), 8.28 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.29–7.27 (m, 3H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 5.37 (s, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 191.2, 163.4, 159.7, 154.0, 144.6, 142.2, 138.4, 132.6, 131.1, 128.3, 125.8, 124.3, 124.2, 122.2, 116.8, 116.7, 115.5, 109.8, 107.2, 35.7, 27.5, 13.1 ppm; HRMS (ESI, m/z) 532.9874 calcd for  $C_{24}H_{16}BrClN_2O_4 (M + Na)$  found 532.9872. Analysis calcd for  $C_{24}H_{16}BrClN_2O_4$ : C, 56.33; H, 3.15; N, 5.47; Found C, 56.30; H, 3.14; N, 5.45.

# **3‑(5‑Acetyl‑3‑methyl‑4‑phenyl‑1,4‑dihydropyrano[2,3‑***c***] pyrazol‑6‑yl)‑6,8‑dibromo‑2***H***‑chromen‑2‑one 6h**

Yield 77% (698 mg), Mp 151.3 °C, R*<sup>f</sup>* 0.30 (1:1 hexane–EtOAc), IR (KBr) *υ*max 3214, 3003, 1747, 1689, 1619, 1454, 1321, 1023, 977, 720 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 12.29 (s, 1H), 8.36 (s, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.30–7.28 (m, 3H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 191.2, 163.6, 159.7, 154.0, 145.4, 142.2, 138.4, 132.6, 130.9, 128.7, 125.8, 124.3, 124.2, 122.4, 120.8, 119.7, 115.7, 109.2, 107.3, 35.7, 25.7, 13.1 ppm; HRMS (ESI, m/z) 576.9369 calcd for  $C_{24}H_{16}Br_2N_2O_4$  (M + Na) found 576.9367. Analysis calcd for  $C_{24}H_{16}Br_2N_2O_4$ : C, 51.83; H, 2.90; N, 5.04; Found C, 51.80; H, 2.87; N, 5.01.

# **3‑(5‑Acetyl‑4‑(4‑chlorophenyl)‑3‑me‑ thyl‑1,4‑dihydropyrano[2,3‑***c***]pyra‑ zol‑6‑yl)‑2***H***‑chromen‑2‑one 6i**

Yield 81% (570 mg), Mp 141.3 °C, R<sub>f</sub> 0.25 (1:1 hexane–EtOAc), IR (KBr) *υ*max 3201, 3012, 1758, 1687, 1620, 1449, 1317, 1018, 978, 716 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 12.20 (s, 1H), 8.48 (s, 1H), 8.10 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 1.82 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 191.4, 163.7, 159.3, 154.5, 151.3, 142.9, 139.2, 129.9, 126.7, 126.5, 124.2, 122.3, 121.4, 118.2, 117.9, 112.5,

109.6, 107.4, 102.4, 37.2, 25.2, 13.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI, m/z) 455.0769 calcd for  $C_{24}H_{17}CIN_2O_4$  (M + Na) found 455.0768. Analysis calcd for  $C_{24}H_{17}CIN_2O_4$ : C, 66.59; H, 3.96; Cl, 8.19; N, 6.47; Found C, 66.57; H, 3.95; N, 6.45.

#### **3‑(5‑Acetyl‑3‑methyl‑4‑(4‑nitrophenyl)‑1,4‑dihydropyrano‑ [2,3‑***c***]pyrazol‑6‑yl)‑2***H***‑chromen‑2‑one 6j**

Yield 70% (505 mg), Mp 142.1 °C, R*<sup>f</sup>* 0.23 (1:1 hexane–EtOAc), IR (KBr) *υ*max 3212, 3005, 1758, 1695, 1613, 1573, 1454, 1384, 1321, 1025, 982, 724 cm−1; 1 H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$  12.2 (s, 1H), 8.47 (s, 1H), 8.10 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (t, *J* = 7.52 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  191.2, 164.1, 160.7, 159.4, 158.3, 156.2, 142.9, 139.4, 129.5, 126.7, 124.0, 123.9, 121.5, 118.1, 116.9, 112.4, 109.1, 108.1, 37.3, 26.4, 13.2 ppm; HRMS (ESI, m/z) 466.1010 calcd for  $C_{24}H_{17}N_3O_6$  $(M + Na)$  found 466.1008. Analysis calcd for  $C_{24}H_{17}N_3O_6$ : C, 65.01; H, 3.86; N, 9.48; Found C, 65.00; H, 3.84; N, 9.46.

## **3‑(5‑Acetyl‑4‑(4‑(dimethylamino)phenyl)‑3‑me‑ thyl‑1,4‑dihydropyrano[2,3‑***c***]pyra‑ zol‑6‑yl)‑2***H***‑chromen‑2‑one 6k**

Yield 93% (666 mg), Mp 149.5 °C, R*<sup>f</sup>* 0.26 (1:1 hexane–EtOAc), IR (KBr) *υ*max 3211, 3001, 2861, 1761, 1690, 1620, 1451, 1314, 1025, 976, 702 cm−1; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 12.24 (s, 1H), 8.59(s, 1H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.61(t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.41(d, *J* = .4 Hz, 2H), 7.39(t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.82(d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 6.75(t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 3.33(s, 6H), 2.33(s, 3H), 1.89 (s, 1H) ppm;  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 191.3, 164.1, 159.6, 154.4, 151.0, 143.4, 139.2, 129.3, 126.8, 126.1, 124.6, 123.5, 122.6, 119.0, 116.1, 111.7, 108.4, 108.1, 101.0, 43.9, 36.5, 26.7, 13.10 ppm; HRMS (ESI, m/z) 464.1581 calcd for  $C_{26}H_{23}N_3O_4$  (M + Na) found 464.1579. Analysis calcd for  $C_{26}H_{23}N_{3}O_{4}$ : C, 70.73; H, 5.25; N, 9.52; Found C, 70.71; H, 5.22; N, 9.53.

**Acknowledgement** V. S. T thanks IICT Hyderabad for recording NMR, CHNS analysis and MS spectra and Sri Kalidindi Raghu chairman, Raghu Educational Institutions for his valuable support.

## **References**

<span id="page-6-0"></span>1. T. Fukuda, Y. Sudoh, Y. Tsuchiya, T. Okuda, F. Fujimori, Y. Igarashi, J. Nat. Prod. **74**, 1327 (2011)

- 2. C. Mahidol, W. Kaweetripob, H. Prawat, S. Ruchirawat, J. Nat. Prod. **65**, 757 (2002)
- 3. J.H. Kwak, K.B. Lee, F.J. Schmitz, J. Nat. Prod. **64**, 1081 (2001)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>4. S.Y. Kang, K.Y. Lee, S.H. Sung, M.J. Park, Y.C. Kim, J. Nat. Prod. **64**, 683 (2001)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>5. Y. Chen, H.R. Liu, H.S. Liu, M. Cheng, P. Xia, K. Qian, P.C. Wu, C.Y. Lai, Y. Xia, Z.Y. Yang, S.L. Morris-Natschke, K.H. Lee, Eur. J. Med. Chem. **49**, 74 (2012)
- 6. C.H. Tseng, R.W. Lin, Y.L. Chen, G.J. Wang, M.L. Ho, C.C. Tzeng, J. Med. Chem. **54**, 3103 (2011)
- C.H. Tang, R.S. Yang, M.Y. Chien, C.C. Chen, W.M. Fu, Eur. J. Pharmacol. **579**, 40 (2008)
- 8. P. Curir, F. Galeotti, M. Dolci, E. Barile, V. Lanzotti, J. Nat. Prod. **70**, 1668 (2007)
- 9. H.R. El-Seedi, J. Nat. Prod. **70**, 118 (2007)
- 10. C.A. Kontogiorgis, D.J. Hadjipavlou-Litina, J. Med. Chem. **48**, 6400 (2005)
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>11. C. Spino, M. Dodier, S. Sotheeswaran, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **8**, 3475 (1998)
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>12. U.P. Masche, K. Rentsch, M.A. Von Felten, P.J. Meier, K.E. Fattinger, Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. **54**, 865 (1999)
- 13. J. Parrish, T. Fitzpatrick, L. Tannenbaum, M. Patak, N. Engl. J. Med. **291**, 1207 (1974)
- 14. R.O. Kennedy, R.D. Thornes, *In Coumarins: Biology, Applications and Mode of Action* (Wiley, Chichester, 1997)
- 15. R.S. Koefod, K.R. Mann, Inorg. Chem. **28**, 2285 (1989)
- 16. A. Dorlars, C.W. Schellhammer, J. Schroeder, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **14**, 665 (1975)
- 17. R. Sheng, P. Wang, Y. Gao, Y. Wu, W. Liu, J. Ma, H. Li, S. Wu, Org. Lett. **10**, 5015 (2008)
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>18. C.R. Moylan, J. Phys. Chem. **98**, 13513 (1994)
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>19. R. Gedye, F. Smith, K. Westaway, H. Ali, L. Baldisera, L. Laberge, J. Rousell, Tetrahedron Lett. **27**, 279 (1986)
- 20. R.J. Giguère, T.L. Bray, S.M. Duncan, G. Majetich, Tetrahedron Lett. **27**, 4945 (1986)
- 21. B.A. Roberts, C.R. Strauss, Acc. Chem. Res. **38**, 653 (2005)
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>22. P. Lidström, J. Timerney, B. Wathey, J. Westman, Tetrahedron **57**, 9225 (2001)
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>23. M.A.P. Martins, C.P. Frizzo, D.N. Moreira, L. Buriol, P. Machado, Chem. Rev. **109**, 4140 (2009)
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>24. R. Martínez-Palou, J. Med. Chem. Soc. **51**, 252 (2007)
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>25. V.S. Tangeti, K.R. Varma, G.V. Siva Prasad, K.V.V.V. Satyanarayana, Synth. Commun. **46**, 613 (2016)
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>26. V.S. Tangeti, D. Vasundhara, M.N. Kumar, M. Himabindu, K.S. Pavan Kumar, Asian J. Chem. **29**, 503 (2017)
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>27. H.S.P. Rao, V.S. Tangeti, Lett. Org. Chem. **9**, 218 (2012)
- 28. V.S. Tangeti, G.V.S. Prasad, J. Panda, K.R. Varma, Synth. Commun. **10**, 778 (2016)
- 29. H.S.P. Rao, V.S. Tangeti, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A Phys. Sci. **85**, 41 (2015)
- 30. H.S.P. Rao, V.S. Tangeti, J. Chem. Sci. **125**, 777 (2013)
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>31. H.S.P. Rao, V.S. Tangeti, Lett. Org. Chem. **10**, 307 (2013)