CELL LINE

Establishment and characterization of two novel patient‑derived cell lines from giant cell tumor of bone

Takuya Ono^{1,2} · Rei Noguchi¹ · Yuki Yoshimatsu^{1,5} · Yooksil Sin¹ · Ryuto Tsuchiya^{1,6} · Taro Akiyama^{1,6} · Naoki Kojima³ · **Yu Toda4 · Chiaki Sato⁴ · Suguru Fukushima4 · Akihiko Yoshida3 · Akira Kawai4 · Tadashi Kondo[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6405-7792)**

Received: 24 March 2023 / Accepted: 31 May 2023 / Published online: 17 June 2023 © The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Japan Human Cell Society 2023

Abstract

Giant cell tumor of bone (GCTB) is a rare bone tumor with osteolytic features, composed of stromal cells with a monotonous appearance, macrophages, and osteoclast-like giant cells. GCTB is commonly associated with a pathogenic mutation in the *H3-3A* gene. While complete surgical resection is the standard cure for GCTB, it often results in local recurrence and, rarely, metastasis. Thus, an efective multidisciplinary treatment approach is necessary. Although patient-derived cell lines is an essential tool for investigating novel treatment strategies, there are only four GCTB cell lines available in public cell banks. Therefore, this study aimed to establish novel GCTB cell lines and successfully created NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cell lines from two patients' surgically removed tumor tissues. These cell lines exhibited *H3-3A* gene mutations, consistent proliferation, and invasive properties. After characterizing their behaviors, we performed high-throughput screening of 214 anti-cancer drugs for NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 and integrated their screening data with those of NCC-GCTB1-C1, NCC-GCTB2-C1, NCC-GCTB3-C1, NCC-GCTB4-C1, and NCC-GCTB5-C1 that we previously established. We identifed histone deacetylase inhibitor romidepsin as a possible treatment for GCTB. These fndings suggest that NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 could be valuable tools for preclinical and basic research on GCTB.

Keywords Sarcoma · Bone tumor · Giant cell tumor of bone · Patient-derived model · Cell line · Anti-cancer drug screening

 \boxtimes Tadashi Kondo takondo@ncc.go.jp; proteomebioinformatics@gmail.com

- ¹ Division of Rare Cancer Research, National Cancer Center Research Institute, 5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan
- ² Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki University, 1-12-4 Sakamoto, Nagasaki 852-8523, Japan
- ³ Department of Diagnostic Pathology, National Cancer Center Hospital, 5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan
- Division of Musculoskeletal Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital, 5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan
- Present Address: Division of Patient-derived Cancer Model, Tochigi Cancer Center, 4-9-13 Yohnan, Utsunomiya, Tochigi 320-0834, Japan
- Present Address: Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, Chiba University, 1-8-1 Inohana, Chuo-ku, Chiba 260-8670, Japan

$\circled{2}$ Springer

Introduction

Giant cell tumor of bone (GCTB) is an intermediate-malignant tumor composed of mononuclear stromal cells with a monotonous appearance admixed with macrophages and osteoclast-like giant cells [[1](#page-7-0)]. Genetically, at least 92% of GCTB harbor pathogenic *H3-3A* gene mutations [\[1](#page-7-0), [2\]](#page-7-1). Epidemiologically, GCTB is classifed as a rare tumor as a study encompassing 10 countries indicated that approximately one person per million per year is afected [[3\]](#page-7-2). GCTB afects the working-age population; approximately 80% of cases occur between 20 and 40 years of age $[4, 5]$ $[4, 5]$ $[4, 5]$ $[4, 5]$.

Clinically, GCTB is locally aggressive, destructive, and grows rapidly, typically around the knee, proximal humerus, distal radius bone, spine, and pelvis [\[6](#page-7-5)]. If it is resected surgically, there is a probability of recurrence, which is greater in some locations associated with more difficult treatment, such as the distal radius and proximal femur [[7](#page-7-6), [8\]](#page-7-7). Therefore, semi-permanent treatment with denosumab, a receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-Β ligand (RANKL) inhibitor approved for the treatment of osteoporosis, is currently being discussed as a treatment for GCTB [\[6](#page-7-5), [9,](#page-7-8) [10](#page-7-9)]. Although denosumab therapy for GCTB causes dramatic changes in the number of osteoclasts in the tumor tissue, it does not eradicate tumor cells [[11,](#page-7-10) [12](#page-7-11)]. In addition, jaw necrosis is a principal complication (11%) of denosumab treatment. Thus, there is a need to develop novel therapeutic strategies for treating GCTB.

Using patient-derived cell lines for basic research has been crucial in cancer research and has aided in the development of novel anti-cancer therapies [\[13](#page-7-12)[–15](#page-8-0)]. However, it has been challenging to use GCTB cell lines for research purposes. According to the Cellosaurus cell line database [[16\]](#page-8-1) (<https://web.expasy.org/cellosaurus/>), although 14 GCTB cell lines (NCI Thesaurus: C4304) have been reported (Supplementary Table 1), only four GCTB cell lines deposited in cell banks are currently available for research. Additionally, a recent study screening 1001 cancer cell lines with 265 compounds to assess the efect of genomic alterations on drug response found that a 50% reduction in the number of cell lines resulted in an 80% loss of signifcant associations between molecular features and drug response [\[17,](#page-8-2) [18](#page-8-3)]. Given these fndings, establishing more cell lines from GCTB patients is crucial.

In this study, we report two novel GCTB cell lines, NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1, established from tumor tissues resected from diferent GCTB patients. We investigated cell characteristics, such as proliferation, spheroid formation, and invasion, to demonstrate the usefulness of these cell lines. We also integrated drug response data from GCTB cell lines in the NCC sarcoma cell line panel [\(https://web.expasy.org/cgi-bin/cellosaurus/search?input=%](https://web.expasy.org/cgi-bin/cellosaurus/search?input=%22NCC%20sarcoma%20cell%20line%20panel%22) [22NCC%20sarcoma%20cell%20line%20panel%22\)](https://web.expasy.org/cgi-bin/cellosaurus/search?input=%22NCC%20sarcoma%20cell%20line%20panel%22), which includes NCC-GCTB1-C1 [[19\]](#page-8-4), NCC-GCTB2-C1 [[20\]](#page-8-5), NCC-GCTB3-C1 [[20\]](#page-8-5), NCC-GCTB4-C1 [[21\]](#page-8-6), and NCC-GCTB5-C1 [[22](#page-8-7)], to reveal the potential of these cell lines in drug screening.

Materials and methods

Patient history of two cases

Case 1

The donor patient was a 38-year-old male with GCTB. The patient had no relevant medical history. He had experienced pain in his right knee without any onset and visited a hospital. X-ray inspection and computed tomography (CT) revealed an osteolytic lesion with subtle cortical destruction in the distal femur (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)a, b). Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) detected the lesion as homogeneously lowintensity on both T1 and T2 weighted image (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)c, d). He

Fig. 1 Clinical and pathological data for case 1. X-ray and CT revealed an osteolytic lesion inside the femur delineated by yellow arrows (**a**: A-P view), with subtle cortical destruction on CT (**b**: coronal view). MRI detected a mass inside the distal femur and exhibited homogeneously low intensity on T2 (**c**: coronal view) and T1 weighted image (**d**: coronal view). H&E staining showed multinucleated osteoclast-like giant cells and spindle-shaped mononuclear cells, where the latter are difusely immunopositive for *H3-3A* G35W (e, f)

underwent needle biopsy and GCTB was suspected. The patient was referred to the National Cancer Center Hospital (Tokyo, Japan) for further treatment. No metastasis was detected on the whole-body CT scan. The patient underwent aggressive curettage of the lesion with reinforcement using autologous bone graft implantation and plate fxation. Tumor tissues obtained at the time of surgery were used to establish cell lines. Histologically, the tumor consisted of multinucleated osteoclast-like giant cells and spindle-shaped mononuclear cells. The latter cells were difusely immunopositive for *H3-3A* G35W (Fig. [1e](#page-1-0), f). The postoperative course was good, and no local recurrence was reported without adjuvant therapy. The use of clinical materials for this study was approved by the ethical committee of the National Cancer Center (Tokyo, Japan) and written informed consent was obtained from the donor patient.

Case 2

The donor patient was a 31-year-old male with GCTB. The patient had no relevant medical history. He experienced pain in his left wrist and left it for two years. The pain was exacerbated acutely, and he visited the hospital. X-ray inspection and CT revealed an osteolytic lesion at the distal radius entailing the undisposed fracture (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)a, b). The patient was referred to the National Cancer Center Hospital (Tokyo, Japan) for further treatment. MRI revealed a neoplastic lesion within the radius (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)c, d). After conservative treatment of the fracture, the patient underwent aggressive curettage of the lesion and was diagnosed with GCTB. Tumor tissues obtained at the time of surgery were used to

Fig. 2 Clinical and pathological data for case 2. Radiography revealed the fracture, indicated by the red arrow (**a**: lateral view). CT shows an osteolytic lesion inside the radius, delineated by yellow arrows (**b**: coronal view). MRI revealed a mass inside the distal radius and detected homogeneously high intensity by short tau inversion recovery image (**c**: coronal view) as well as gadolinium enhanced T1 weighted image (**d**: axial view) delineated by yellow arrows. H&E staining shows uniform mononuclear neoplastic cells and scattered osteoclast-like giant cells with fresh hemorrhages (**e**). The neoplastic cells are immunohistochemically positive for *H3-3A* G35W (**f**)

establish cell lines. Histologically, the tumor consisted of uniform mononuclear neoplastic cells and scattered osteoclast-like giant cells with fresh hemorrhage (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)e). The neoplastic cells were immunohistochemically difusely positive for *H3-3A* G35W (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)f). Postoperative progression was favorable, and no local recurrence was reported without adjuvant therapy. The use of clinical materials for this study was approved by the ethical committee of the National Cancer Center (Tokyo, Japan) and written informed consent was obtained from the donor patient.

Histological analysis of tumor tissue

Histological examination was performed on 4-μm-thick sections of a representative parafn-embedded tumor sample. The sections were deparaffinized and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). They were also stained with an *H3-3A* G35W antibody (1:1000, RM263; RevMAb Biosciences USA, South San Francisco, CA, USA) to investigate *H3-3A* G35W expression.

Primary cell isolation and culture

Primary tumor cells of GCTB were collected from surgically resected tumor tissues that were dissected into small pieces with scissors. Using the gentleMACS™ Octo Dissociator with Heaters (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany), the dissected tissues in the gentleMACS™ C Tube (Miltenyi Biotec) were digested and homogenized using the Tumor Cell Dissociation Kit, human (Miltenyi Biotec) for 30 min at 37 °C. The obtained cells were seeded on 60 mm collagen type I-coated culture plates (Sumitomo Bakelite Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). To maintain the cells, we used DMEM/F-12 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientifc Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with GlutaMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientifc Inc.), 5% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Thermo Fisher Scientifc Inc.), 10 µM Y-27632 (ROCK inhibitor; Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX, USA), 10 ng/mL basic fbroblast growth factor (bFGF; Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC, St. Louis, MO, USA), 5 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (EGF; Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC), 5 µg/ mL insulin (Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC), 0.4 µg/mL hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC), 100 μg/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Nacalai Tesque Inc., Kyoto, Japan). The culture medium was changed every 2–3 days. Cell confuence was observed using a microscope (Carl Zeiss AG, Land Baden-Württemberg, Germany). When the cultured cells reached sub-confuency, they were washed with PBS (–) (Nacalai Tesque Inc.), followed by dissociation with Trypsin–EDTA solution (Nacalai Tesque Inc.). The cells were then transferred to tissue culture plates and maintained at 37 °C in a humidifed atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

Authentication and quality control

For authentication and quality control of the established cell lines, DNA was extracted from the tumor tissues and established cell lines. The Wizard® Genomic DNA Purifcation Kit (Promega Co., Madison, WI, USA) and Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN N.V., Hilden, Germany) were used to extract DNA, and the DNA concentration was measured using the NanoDrop 8000 (Thermo Fisher Scientifc Inc.). Authentication of the established cell lines was conducted by short tandem repeat (STR) analysis for 10 loci using the GenePrint 10 system (Promega Co.) and a 3500xL Genetic Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientifc Inc.).

Sequence of mutation

Using the QIAzol Lysis Reagent (QIAGEN) and miRNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN), total RNA was extracted from GCTB cells to detect gene mutations. The extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed to complementary DNA using Super-Script III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The *H3-3A* gene was amplifed by PCR using the *H3-3A* forward primer H3-3A_F (5′-TAA AGCACCCAGGAAGCAAC-3′), *H3-3A* reverse primer H3-3A_R (5′-CAAGAGAGACTTTGTCCCATTTTT-3′), and Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase High Fidelity (Life Technologies Co., Carlsbad, CA, USA). PCR products were purifed using the Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega Co.), and direct sequencing was performed using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) and Applied Biosystems 3130xL Genetic Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientifc Inc.) by GENEWIZ. Plasmid Editor v2.0.61 was used to analyze the sequence results.

Spheroid formation assay

The spheroid formation ability was assessed as previously described [[21](#page-8-6)]. The established cells were seeded at a density of 1×10^5 cells/well in a 96-well Clear Round Bottom Ultra-Low Attachment Microplate (Corning Inc., NY, USA), and spheroid formation was confirmed by microscopic observation (KEYENCE Co., Osaka, Japan). After 3 days of culture, the spheroids picked from the plate were covered in gel using iPGell (GenoStaff Co. Ltd., Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan) and fixed with 10% formalin neutral buffer solution. To prepare paraffin sections of the fabricated spheroids, gel-covered spheroids were embedded in paraffin and sliced into 4μ m-thick paraffin sections. The sectioned spheroids were subjected H&E staining, followed by microscopic observation.

Tumor cell proliferation assay

The proliferative ability of the cells was measured using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (Agilent Technologies Inc., CA, USA). The cells (2.5×10^4) were placed in DMEM/F12 supplemented with GlutaMAX, 5% FBS, 10 µM Y-27632, 10 ng/mL bFGF, 5 ng/mL EGF, 5 µg/mL insulin, 0.4 µg/ mL hydrocortisone, 100 µg/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin.

Tumor cell invasion assay

The invasive ability of the GCTB cell lines NCC-GCTB1- C1 [[19](#page-8-4)], NCC-GCTB2-C1 [[20](#page-8-5)], NCC-GCTB3-C1 [[20](#page-8-5)], NCC-GCTB4-C1 [[21](#page-8-6)], NCC-GCTB5-C1 [[22\]](#page-8-7), NCC-GCTB6-C1, and NCC-GCTB7-C1 was measured using a real-time cell analyzer, xCELLigence (Agilent Technologies Inc.). DMEM/F12 supplemented with GlutaMAX, 5% FBS, 10 µM Y-27632, 10 ng/mL bFGF, 5 ng/mL EGF, 5 µg/mL insulin, 0.4 µg/mL hydrocortisone, 100 µg/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin was added to the lower chamber. The membrane in the upper chamber was coated with Matrigel basement membrane matrix (Corning Inc.) and the chamber was filled with 4×10^4 cells suspended in DMEM/ F12 without any supplements. The cells invaded the bottom chamber from the upper chamber through a membrane and were attached to the electronic sensors on the underside of the membrane. The real-time cell analyzer monitored the electrical impedance of the electronic sensors that were infuenced by the invading cells every 15 min for 72 h. The MG-63 osteosarcoma cell line (Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources Cell Bank, Osaka, Japan) [\[23\]](#page-8-8) was used as a control.

Tumorigenicity assay in nude mice

Tumorigenesis of established cell lines in nude mice was assessed as previously described [[21\]](#page-8-6). Cells (1×10^6) suspended in 50 μ L of PBS (–) were mixed with an equal volume of Matrigel (21.2 mg/mL). The cell suspension was subcutaneously injected into BALB/c nude mice (CLEA Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan) using a 5 mL syringe (Terumo Corp., Tokyo, Japan) and a 26 G needle (Terumo Corp.). Tumor size was measured weekly. Animal experiments were conducted in compliance with the guidelines of the Institute for Laboratory Animal Research, National Cancer Center Research Institute.

Screening for anti‑cancer drugs

Drug screening tests were performed as previously described [[21](#page-8-6)]. In this experiment, the anti-cancer effects of 214 drugs, including Food and Drug Administration-approved

anti-cancer agents (Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX, USA; Supplementary Table 2), were assessed. Cells (5×10^3) were seeded in a 384-well plate and incubated for 1 day (*n*=2). On the second day, $10 \mu M$ of each drug was added to the wells. On the ffth day, cell proliferation was measured based on the absorbance at 450 nm after treatment with Cell Counting Kit-8. Cell viability was calculated by comparison with the DMSO control. The obtained data were analyzed using the results for NCC-GCTB1-C1 [\[19](#page-8-4)], NCC-GCTB2- C1 [\[20\]](#page-8-5), NCC-GCTB3-C1 [\[20](#page-8-5)], NCC-GCTB4-C1 [[21](#page-8-6)], and NCC-GCTB5-C1 [\[22](#page-8-7)], which we previously reported. Quantile normalization was performed using R (version 4.0.3, limma package version 3.46.0, Bioconductor) and unsupervised hierarchical clustering was performed using the 'gplots' package (version 3.1.0, CRAN, [https://cran.r](https://cran.r-project.org)[project.org\)](https://cran.r-project.org).

The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) value was calculated for drugs that were identifed in the preceding screening study and standard treatment drugs, as previously reported. The drugs were added to similarly seeded cells at 10 diferent concentrations from 0.1 nM to 100 µM. Based on the cell viability calculated similarly, IC_{50} values were calculated using GraphPad Prism 9.1.1 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Results

Establishment and authentication of GCTB cell lines

GCTB cell lines were established from the patients in cases 1 and 2 and named NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1, respectively. These two cell lines were maintained for over 25 passages for 5 months. To authenticate the established cell lines, we examined 10 microsatellite sites (STRs) and found that these STRs were identical in the original tumor tissues and the established cell lines (Table [1,](#page-4-0) Supplementary Fig. 1). A database search revealed that the STR patterns of NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells did not match those of the existing cell lines deposited in public cell banks. These results indicate that NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 are novel cell lines. NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells were negative for mycoplasma contamination as no mycoplasma-specifc DNA was found in the cell-conditioned medium (data not shown).

Characterization of GCTB cell lines

Sanger sequencing revealed the presence of the H3-3A G35W mutation, the most typical mutation in GCTB, in NCC-GCTB6-C1 (Fig. [3](#page-4-1)a) and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells (Fig. [3](#page-4-1)b). NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells exhibited adherent characteristics with a spindle and

Table 1 Short tandem repeat analysis

Microsatellite (chro-	NCC-GCTB6-C1		NCC-GCTB7-C1		
mosome)	Cell line	Tumor tis- sue (Case 1)	Cell line	Tumor tissue (Case 2)	
Amelogenin $(X Y)$	X, Y	X, Y	X, Y	X, Y	
TH01(3)	6, 7	6, 7	6, 9.3	6, 9.3	
D21S11(21)	30, 31.2	30, 31.2	29, 31	29, 31	
D5S818(5)	10, 13	10, 13	10, 12	10, 12	
D13S317 (13)	10	10	12	12	
D7S820 (7)	11, 12	11, 12	11	11	
D ₁₆ S ₅₃₉ (16)	9, 10	9, 10	10, 13	10, 13	
CSF1PO(5)	11, 13	11, 13	10, 11	10, 11	
vWA (12)	16, 17	16, 17	17	17	
TPOX(2)	8	8	9	9	

Passage number of NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 were both 20

NCC-GCTB6-C1 \mathbf{a}

h NCC-GCTB7-C1

Fig. 3 Mutation in GCTB cell lines. Sequencing data for *H3-3A* showing a mutation peak in **a** NCC-GCTB6-C1 (passage 5) and **b** NCC-GCTB7-C1 (passage 6) cells

polygonal appearance (Fig. [4a](#page-5-0), b). The cells were able to form spheroids when seeded on low-attachment plates. H&E-stained spheroid sections showed spindle and round cell morphologies. There were no giant cells in the spheroid (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)c, d). Based on the growth curve, the population doubling times of NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 were 21 and 28 h, respectively (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)e). NCC-GCTB6-C1

Fig. 4 Characterization of GCTB cell lines. **a**, **b** Microscopic appearance of **a** NCC-GCTB6-C1 (passage 21) and **b** NCC-GCTB7- C1 (passage 20) cells under 2D culture conditions. **c**, **d** The H&E stained spheroid sections of **c** NCC-GCTB6-C1 (passage 22) and **d** NCC-GCTB7-C1 (passage 21) fabricated in 96-well low-attachment round bottom plates. **e** Growth curve of NCC-GCTB6-C1 (passage 23) and NCC-GCTB7-C1 (passage 21) cell lines. Each point represents the mean \pm standard deviation $(n=3)$. **f** Invasion capability of NCC-GCTB1-C1 (passage 32), NCC-GCTB2-C1 (passage 14), NCC-GCTB3-C1 (passage 29), NCC-GCTB4-C1 (passage 16), NCC-GCTB5-C1 (passage 28), NCC-GCTB6-C1 (passage 22), and NCC-GCTB7-C1 (passage 21) cells was observed using a Real-Time Cell Analyzer. MG63 osteosarcoma cell line (passage 25) was used as control

and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells demonstrated a stronger invasion than the MG63 osteosarcoma cell line (Fig. [4f](#page-5-0)). After subcutaneous injection of NCC-GCTB6-C1 (passage 20) and NCC-GCTB7-C1 (passage 20), tumorigenesis in nude mice was not observed for 2 months (data not shown).

Fig. 5 Drug screening tests on GCTB cell lines. **a** The drugs were categorized into three groups according to their anti-cancer efects: cluster A, efective group; cluster B, intermediate efect group; cluster C, poor effect group. **b–e** The proportion of each drug type belonging to each cluster. The graphs are depicted after the normalization of the number of drugs. Data concerning NCC-GCTB1-C1, NCC-GCTB2- C1, NCC-GCTB3-C1, NCC-GCTB4-C1, and NCC-GCTB5-C1 were previously reported. Passage number of NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 were 20 and 16, respectively

Sensitivity to anti‑cancer drugs

The anti-cancer efects of 214 drugs on NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells were evaluated. The cell viability of NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 after drug treatment is summarized in Supplementary Table 3 along with the five previously established GCTB cell lines in our laboratory. The 214 drugs were classifed into three categories depending on their anti-cancer efects: cluster A was the effective group, cluster B was the intermediate effect group, and cluster C was the poor efect group (Fig. [5a](#page-5-1)). The proportion of tyrosine kinase inhibitors and moleculartargeted agents was higher in clusters A and B than in cluster C (Fig. [5](#page-5-1)b). Cluster A included a higher proportion of topoisomerase inhibitors and anti-microtubule agents than the other clusters (Fig. [5](#page-5-1)c). As for tyrosine kinase inhibitors, ALK inhibitors occupied a high proportion of the efective group (Fig. [5](#page-5-1)d). Among molecular-targeted drugs, a high proportion of HDAC, MDM2 and PI3K inhibitors belonged to the effective group (Fig. $5e$).

The IC_{50} values were calculated, and the growth curves of the HDAC inhibitor romidepsin, with the lowest IC_{50} values, are shown in Fig. [6](#page-6-0) and Table [2](#page-6-1), along with those of NCC-GCTB1-C1 [[18](#page-8-3)], NCC-GCTB2-C1 [[19\]](#page-8-4), NCC-GCTB3-C1 [\[19\]](#page-8-4), NCC-GCTB4-C1 [\[17\]](#page-8-2), NCC-GCTB5-C1 [\[22](#page-8-7)], NCC-GCTB6-C1, and NCC-GCTB7-C1.

Discussion

In this study, two novel cell lines from patients with GCTB, NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1, were established and characterized. The current treatment strategy for GCTB carries the risk of recurrence after resection as well as the side efects of denosumab. Although denosumab therapy for GCTB causes dramatic changes in the number of osteoclasts in the tumor tissue, it does not eradicate tumor cells [\[11,](#page-7-10) [12](#page-7-11)]. Patient-derived cell lines are a promising tool for developing a replaceable treatment strategy. The basic study of GCTB is stagnant owing to the lack of well-characterized cell line models. We believe that the establishment of GCTB

Fig. 6 Growth-suppressive effects of romidepsin on GCTB cell lines. Passage number of NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 were 21 and 17, respectively

cell lines will accelerate in vitro preclinical research for the development of novel therapeutic strategies.

NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cell lines represent typical characteristics analyzed from several aspects. Errani et al. reviewed 349 GCTB cases and reported that the most common location was the knee, followed by the distal radius and proximal femur [[7](#page-7-6)]. The prevalence of GCTB is higher in females than males [[24](#page-8-9), [25\]](#page-8-10). NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cell lines were derived from the right knee and left wrist (distal radius), respectively, of males in their thirties. Thus, NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 were established from a typical GCTB site with minor sex distribution. Original tumor tissues of NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells were difusely immunopositive for *H3-3A* G35W. Sanger sequencing revealed a H3-3A G35W mutation in both NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells consisted with these original tissues. Considering that at least 92% of GCTB harbor pathogenic *H3-3A* gene mutations [[1,](#page-7-0) [2](#page-7-1)], NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 are genetically representative GCTB cell lines.

These novel GCTB cell lines showed several characteristics suitable for in vitro functional research. The constant proliferation and invasive capabilities of NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 are able to utilize as indicators of the sensitivity to anti-cancer agents. In addition, we confrmed the spheroid formation abilities of NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells. Currently, tumor cell spheroids have attracted attention as models for evaluating chemotherapy [\[26,](#page-8-11) [27](#page-8-12)]. NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells have the potential to conduct research using their spheroids.

In the screening of 214 anti-cancer drugs, we classifed them based on their anti-cancer efects. An efective group of cytotoxic drugs included topoisomerase inhibitors and alkylating drugs, that of tyrosine kinase inhibitors included ALK inhibitors, and that of molecular-targeted drugs included HDAC, MDM2, and PI3K inhibitors. According to ClinicalTrials.gov ([https://www.clinicaltr](https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/) [ials.gov/](https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/)), none of the alkylating drugs and topoisomerase, ALK, HDAC, MDM2, and PI3K inhibitors have been clinically investigated for use in GCTB treatment and are thus worth exploring further. Of these drugs, romidepsin, an HDAC inhibitor, approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the clinical use of peripheral T-cell lymphoma, showed the lowest IC_{50} value for GCTB

Table 2 Summary of half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) values in the cells

CAS#	Name of drugs	IC_{50} (nM)								
							NCC-GCTB1- NCC-GCTB2- NCC-GCTB3- NCC-GCTB4- NCC-GCTB5- NCC-GCTB6- NCC-GCTB7-			
	128517-07-7 Romidepsin 3.778		241.1	2.058	0.1911	0.1407	0.2312	0.8705		

Passage number of NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 were 21 and 17, respectively

cell lines [[28,](#page-8-13) [29](#page-8-14)]. HDAC acts as a transcription repressor, owing to its histone deacetylation efect, and consequently promotes chromatin condensation [[30\]](#page-8-15). Aberrant HDAC expression is associated with advanced disease and poor clinical outcomes in several cancer types, such as prostate, colorectal, breast, lung, liver, and gastric cancers [[31,](#page-8-16) [32](#page-8-17)]. The results of our drug screening with GCTB cell lines are concordant with those reported previously. Venneker et al*.* established four patient-derived cell lines of GCTB and found them to be sensitive to fve HDAC inhibitors including romidepsin, in both 2D and 3D models [\[33](#page-8-18)]. In a patient-derived xenograft model of GCTB, the anticancer efects of the novel HDAC inhibitor ITF-2357 were revealed via drug screening [[34](#page-8-19)]. Thus, using GCTB cell lines, additional investigation of romidepsin is warranted for developing treatment strategies for GCTB.

This study had several limitations. Although NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells are suitable for in vitro studies, tumorigenesis was not observed in BALB/c nude mice injected with these cells. Therefore, NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells may not be suitable for xenograft experiments. Severely immunosuppressed mice may be utilized to observe tumorigenesis of NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1 cells. In addition, considering that the 13,117 drugs for human were approved as reported in the fact sheet of the US FDA, the number of drugs screened in this study may not be sufficient. We report novel cell lines of GCTB, and the application of these cell lines should be challenged in future studies.

In conclusion, we established two novel GCTB cell lines—NCC-GCTB6-C1 and NCC-GCTB7-C1—that exhibit several characteristics suitable for in vitro functional research. Using these cell lines, we revealed the anti-cancer efects of romidepsin, an HDAC inhibitor, on GCTB. According to the ClinicalTrials.gov, romidepsin has not been used in clinical trials of patients with GCTB. Further analysis of the efficacy of romidepsin in GCTB is hence required. Together, these results indicate that patient-derived GCTB cell lines have potential use in preclinical and basic research on GCTB.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13577-023-00928-0>.

Acknowledgements We thank Drs. E. Kobayashi, S. Iwata, K. Ogura, K. Sato (Department of Musculoskeletal Oncology), Dr. Y. Takahashi (Department of Diagnostic Pathology), and the National Cancer Center Hospital for sampling tumor tissue specimens from surgically resected materials. We appreciate the technical support provided by Mrs. Y. Shiotani, Mr. N. Uchiya, and Dr. T. Imai (Central Animal Division, National Cancer Center Research Institute). We would like to thank Editage [\(www.editage.jp](http://www.editage.jp)) for providing English language editing services and for their constructive comments on this manuscript. This study was technically assisted by the Fundamental Innovative Oncology Core of the National Cancer Center.

Funding This research was supported by the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (Grant number: 20ck0106537h0003).

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conficts of interest.

Ethics approval The ethical committee of the National Cancer Center (Tokyo, Japan) approved the use of clinical materials for this study (approval number 2004-050). Animal experiments were conducted in compliance with the guidelines of the Institute for Laboratory Animal Research, National Cancer Center Research Institute.

Informed consent Written informed consent was provided by the patients.

References

- 1. Organisation mondiale de la sant Cidrslc (2020) Soft tissue and bone tumours
- 2. Behjati S, Tarpey PS, Presneau N, et al. Distinct H3F3A and H3F3B driver mutations defne chondroblastoma and giant cell tumor of bone. Nat Genet. 2013;45:1479–82.
- 3. Liede A, Bach BA, Stryker S, et al. Regional variation and challenges in estimating the incidence of giant cell tumor of bone. JBJS. 2014;96:1999.
- 4. Jamshidi K, Karimi A, Mirzaei A. Epidemiologic characteristics, clinical behavior, and outcome of the giant cell tumor of the bone: a retrospective single-center study. Arch Bone Jt Surg. 2019;7:538–44.
- 5. Sobti A, Agrawal P, Agarwala S, Agarwal M. Giant cell tumor of bone—an overview. Arch Bone Jt Surg. 2016;4:2–9.
- 6. Borkowska AM, Szumera-Ciećkiewicz A, Szostakowski B, Pieńkowski A, Rutkowski PL. Denosumab in giant cell tumor of bone: multidisciplinary medical management based on pathophysiological mechanisms and real-world evidence. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14:2290.
- 7. Errani C, Ruggieri P, Asenzio MA, et al. Giant cell tumor of the extremity: a review of 349 cases from a single institution. Cancer Treat Rev. 2010;36:1–7.
- 8. van der Heijden L, Dijkstra PDS, van de Sande MAJ, et al. The clinical approach toward giant cell tumor of bone. Oncologist. 2014;19:550–61.
- 9. Zaheer S, LeBoff M, Lewiecki EM. Denosumab for the treatment of osteoporosis. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol. 2015;11:461–70.
- 10. Palmerini E, Chawla NS, Ferrari S, et al. Denosumab in advanced/unresectable giant-cell tumour of bone (GCTB): for how long? Eur J Cancer. 2017;76:118–24.
- 11. Kato I, Furuya M, Matsuo K, Kawabata Y, Tanaka R, Ohashi K. Giant cell tumours of bone treated with denosumab: histological, immunohistochemical and H3F3A mutation analyses. Histopathology. 2018;72:914–22.
- 12. Forsyth RG, Krenács T, Athanasou N, Hogendoorn PCW. cell biology of giant cell tumour of bone: crosstalk between m/wt Nucleosome H3.3, telomeres and osteoclastogenesis. Cancers (Basel). 2021;13:5119.
- 13. Barretina J, Caponigro G, Stransky N, et al. The cancer cell line encyclopedia enables predictive modelling of anticancer drug sensitivity. Nature. 2012;483:603–7.
- 14. Garnett MJ, Edelman EJ, Heidorn SJ, et al. Systematic identifcation of genomic markers of drug sensitivity in cancer cells. Nature. 2012;483:570–5.
- 15. Folkesson E, Niederdorfer B, Nakstad VT, et al. High-throughput screening reveals higher synergistic effect of MEK inhibitor combinations in colon cancer spheroids. Sci Rep. 2020;10:11574.
- 16. Bairoch A. The cellosaurus, a cell-line knowledge resource. J Biomol Tech JBT. 2018;29:25–38.
- 17. Williams SP, McDermott U. The pursuit of therapeutic biomarkers with high-throughput cancer cell drug screens. Cell Chem Biol. 2017;24:1066–74.
- 18. Iorio F, Knijnenburg TA, Vis DJ, et al. A landscape of pharmacogenomic interactions in cancer. Cell. 2016;166:740–54.
- 19. Noguchi R, Yoshimatsu Y, Ono T, et al. Establishment and characterization of NCC-GCTB1-C1: a novel patient-derived cancer cell line of giant cell tumor of bone. Hum Cell. 2020;33:1321–8.
- 20. Yoshimatsu Y, Noguchi R, Tsuchiya R, et al. Establishment and characterization of novel patient-derived cell lines from giant cell tumor of bone. Hum Cell. 2021;34:1899–910.
- 21. Ono T, Noguchi R, Yoshimatsu Y, et al. Establishment and characterization of the NCC-GCTB4-C1 cell line: a novel patientderived cell line from giant cell tumor of bone. Hum Cell. 2022;35:392–9.
- 22. Akiyama T, Yoshimatsu Y, Noguchi R, et al. Establishment and characterization of NCC-GCTB5-C1: a novel cell line of giant cell tumor of bone. Hum Cell. 2022;35:1621–9.
- 23. Billiau A, Edy VG, Heremans H, et al. Human interferon: mass production in a newly established cell line, MG-63. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1977;12:11–5.
- 24. Beebe-Dimmer JL, Cetin K, Fryzek JP, Schuetze SM, Schwartz K. The epidemiology of malignant giant cell tumors of bone: an analysis of data from the surveillance, epidemiology and end results program (1975–2004). Rare Tumors. 2009;1: e52.
- 25. Chakarun CJ, Forrester DM, Gottsegen CJ, Patel DB, White EA, George R, Matcuk J. Giant cell tumor of bone: review, mimics, and new developments in treatment. Radiographics. 2013;33:197–211.
- 26. Perche F, Torchilin VP. Cancer cell spheroids as a model to evaluate chemotherapy protocols. Cancer Biol Ther. 2012;13:1205–13.
- 27. Sant S, Johnston PA. The production of 3D tumor spheroids for cancer drug discovery. Drug Discov Today Technol. 2017;23:27–36.
- 28. Grant C, Rahman F, Piekarz R, et al. Romidepsin: a new therapy for cutaneous T-cell lymphoma and a potential therapy for solid tumors. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther. 2010;10:997–1008.
- 29. Lee HZ, Kwitkowski VE, Del Valle PL, et al. FDA approval: belinostat for the treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2015;21:2666–70.
- 30. Xu WS, Parmigiani RB, Marks PA. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: molecular mechanisms of action. Oncogene. 2007;26:5541–52.
- 31. Seto E, Yoshida M. Erasers of histone acetylation: the histone deacetylase enzymes. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2014;6: a018713.
- 32. West AC, Johnstone RW. New and emerging HDAC inhibitors for cancer treatment. J Clin Investig. 2014;124:30–9.
- 33. Venneker S, van Eenige R, Kruisselbrink AB, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitors as a therapeutic strategy to eliminate neoplastic "stromal" cells from giant cell tumors of bone. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14:4708.
- 34. Yafei J, Haoran M, Wenyan J, et al. Personalized medicine modality based on patient-derived xenografts from a malignant transformed GCTB harboring H3F3A G34W mutation. J Orthop Transl. 2021;29:106–12.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.