



Concentration phenomenon of solutions for fractional Choquard equations with upper critical growth

Quanqing Li¹ · Meiqi Liu² · Houwang Li²

Received: 15 May 2021 / Revised: 12 April 2022 / Accepted: 18 April 2022 /
Published online: 2 June 2022
© Diogenes Co. Ltd 2022

Abstract

In this article, we focus on the following fractional Choquard equation involving upper critical exponent

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = P(x)f(u) + \varepsilon^{\mu-N} Q(x)[|x|^{-\mu} * |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}]|u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < s < 1$, $(-\Delta)^s$ denotes the fractional Laplacian of order s , $N > 2s$, $0 < \mu < N$ and $2_{\mu,s}^* = \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}$. Under suitable assumptions on the potentials $V(x)$, $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$, we obtain the existence and concentration of positive solutions and prove that the semiclassical solutions w_ε with maximum points x_ε concentrating at a special set \mathcal{S}_p characterized by $V(x)$, $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$. Furthermore, for any sequence $x_\varepsilon \rightarrow x_0 \in \mathcal{S}_p$, $v_\varepsilon(x) := w_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x + x_\varepsilon)$ converges in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to a ground state solution v of

$$(-\Delta)^s v + V(x_0)v = P(x_0)f(v) + Q(x_0)[|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}]|v|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-2}v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Keywords Fractional Choquard equation · Positive solution · Semi-classical solution

Mathematics Subject Classification 35J20 · 35J50 · 35J70 · 35P05 · 35P30

✉ Houwang Li
li-hw17@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

Quanqing Li
shili06171987@126.com

Meiqi Liu
liumq20@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

¹ Department of Mathematics, Honghe University, Mengzi 661100, Yunnan, People's Republic of China

² Department of Mathematics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China

1 Introduction and main results

Consider the following fractional Choquard equation involving upper critical exponent

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = P(x)f(u) + \varepsilon^{\mu-N} Q(x)[|x|^{-\mu} * |u|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|u|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < s < 1$, $(-\Delta)^s$ denotes the fractional Laplacian of order s , $N > 2s$, $0 < \mu < N$ and $2^*_{\mu,s} = \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}$. As ε goes to zero in (1.1), the existence and asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the singularly perturbed equation (1.1) is known as the semi-classical problem. It was used to describe the transition between of quantum mechanics and classical mechanics.

The nonlinear evolution equation usually refers to a kind of mathematical model that describes the physical phenomena evolving with time. It is one of the most advanced topics in the study of the soliton theory for nonlinear science. Erection of soliton solutions to the nonlinear evolution equations (NLEEs) arising in nonlinear science plays an important role to understand nonlinear phenomena. We recall that the problem (1.1) is motivated by the search of standing wave solutions for the following evolution equation

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\right)^s (-\Delta)^s\psi + W(x)\psi - \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\right)^{\frac{\mu-N}{2}} Q(x)[K(x) * |\psi|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|\psi|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2}\psi - P(x)\eta(|\psi|^2)\psi,$$

where m is the mass of the bosons, \hbar is the Planck constant, W is the external potential, $\eta : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a suitable function and K is the response function that admits information on the mutual interaction between the bosons. An important issue concerning the above nonlinear evolution equation is to study its standing wave solutions, and a solution of the form $\psi(x, t) = u(x)e^{-iEt}$ is called a standing wave solution. It is easy to see that $u(x)$ solves (1.1) if and only if $\psi(x, t) = u(x)e^{-\frac{iE}{\hbar}t}$ solves the above equation, where $V(x) = W(x) - E$, $\varepsilon^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}$ and $f(u) = \eta(|u|^2)u$.

If the response function is the Dirac function, i.e., $K(x) = \delta(x)$, then the nonlinear response is local indeed and the above equation becomes the following fractional Schrödinger equation:

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = h(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a suitable function. In recent years, such kind of equation has attracted much attention, since it appears in diverse physical phenomena, such as anomalous diffusion and quasi-geostrophic flows, turbulence and water waves, molecular dynamics, relativistic quantum mechanics of stars and probability and finance. There is a considerable amount of work on investigating the properties of this type equation. We refer the readers to [2, 3, 24, 27, 29, 30] for subcritical case, [7, 11, 13, 26] for critical case, and [15] for supercritical case. Furthermore, the space derivative

of order $s = 1$ (the standing Schrödinger equation) and its variants have been extensively studied in the mathematical literature, and a fairly complete theory has been developed to study them.

If the response function $K(x)$ is a function of Coulomb type, for example $|x|^{-\mu}$, then the above equation turns into doubly nonlocal fractional elliptic equation (1.1). This type of nonlocal nonlinearities has attracted considerable interest as a means of eliminating collapse and stabilizing multidimensional solitary waves.

When $s = 1$, Eq. (1.1) is usually called the nonlinear Choquard or Choquard-Pekar equation. There are a lot of works on the existence, multiplicity and concentration of solutions for such type of equations. It seems almost impossible for us to give a complete list of references. We refer the readers to [9, 20, 21] and the references therein. When $s \in (0, 1)$, Eq. (1.1) is called fractional Choquard equation, which has also attracted a lot of interest. In the light of penalization method and Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category theory, Ambrosio [1] investigated the multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for the following fractional Choquard equation

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = \varepsilon^{\mu-N}[|x|^{-\mu} * F(u)]f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

but f is a superlinear continuous function with subcritical growth and satisfied monotonic condition. Belchior et al. [5] dealt with existence, regularity and polynomial decay for a fractional Choquard equation involving the fractional p -Laplacian. Especially, the authors in [18] investigated the Brézis-Nirenberg type problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u - \beta u = [|x|^{-\mu} * |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}]|u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-2}u, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \notin \Omega, \end{cases}$$

in a bounded domain Ω and obtained some existence, multiplicity, regularity and nonexistence results by using of variational methods. Using the same method, Ma and Zhang [22] considered the following fractional Choquard equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + [\lambda V(x) - \beta]u = [|x|^{-\mu} * |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}]|u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and established the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions. Guo and Hu [8] gave existence and asymptotic behavior of the least energy solutions for fractional Choquard equations with potential well. Specifically, they considered the equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + \lambda V(x)u = [|x|^{-\mu} * F(u)]f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and proved the existence of least energy solution that localizes near the bottom of potential well $int(V^{-1}(0))$ for large λ . Recently, when V and f are asymptotically periodic in x , we [16] studied the following fractional Choquard equation involving upper critical exponent

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = [|x|^{-\mu} * |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}]|u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-2}u + \lambda f(x, u),$$

and obtained the existence of a ground state solution for large λ by Nehari method. With respect to super upper critical case $p > 2^*_{\mu,s}$, please see [17].

A solution ψ is referred to as a bound state of (1.1) if $\psi \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$. When $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, bound states of (1.1) are called semiclassical states and an important feature of semiclassical states is their concentration as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. To our best knowledge, most of the existing papers consider the existence and property of the solutions for the fractional Choquard equation with subcritical growth. In the present paper, motivated by the works above, especially [7], we consider more general equation and obtain the existence and concentration phenomenon of solutions for the fractional Choquard equation (1.1) with upper critical growth.

To resume the statements for main results, we list the assumptions as follows:

(f₁) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists $2 < p < 2^*_s$ such that

$$|f(t)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{p-1})$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where C is a positive constant.

(f₂) $f(t) = o(|t|)$ as $|t| \rightarrow 0$.

(f₃) $f(t)t - 2F(t) \geq f(\tau t)\tau t - 2F(\tau t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau \in [0, 1]$.

(f₄) $f(t)t > 0$ for all $t > 0$ and $f(t) \equiv 0$ for all $t < 0$.

In addition, we set

$$(V) \quad V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}), \alpha_\infty = \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) < +\infty, \alpha_{\min} = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) > 0, \alpha_{\max} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) < +\infty \text{ and } \mathcal{V} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) = \alpha_{\min}\}.$$

$$(P) \quad P \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}), \beta_\infty = \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} P(x) < +\infty, \beta_{\max} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} P(x), \beta_{\min} = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} P(x) > 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{P} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : P(x) = \beta_{\max}\}.$$

$$(Q) \quad Q \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}), \gamma_\infty = \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} Q(x) < +\infty, \gamma_{\max} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} Q(x), \gamma_{\min} = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} Q(x) > 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{Q} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : Q(x) = \gamma_{\max}\}.$$

$$(VP) \quad \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}} = \min_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} V(x) \text{ and } \beta_{\mathcal{Q}} = \max_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} P(x).$$

In what follows, we propose two kinds of assumptions that will give the concentration sets. First, we assume

$$\beta_{\mathcal{Q}} > \beta_\infty \text{ and there exists } x_p \in \mathcal{C}_p \text{ such that } V(x_p) \leq V(x) \text{ for all } |x| \geq R, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_p := \{x \in \mathcal{Q} : P(x) = \beta_{\mathcal{Q}}\}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_p := & \{x \in \mathcal{C}_p : V(x) \leq V(x_p)\} \cup \{x \in \mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathcal{C}_p : V(x) < V(x_p)\} \\ & \cup \{x \notin \mathcal{Q} : P(x) > \beta_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ or } V(x) < V(x_p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we assume

$$\alpha_Q < \alpha_\infty \text{ and there exists } x_V \in C_V \text{ such that } P(x_V) \geq P(x) \text{ for all } |x| \geq R, \tag{1.3}$$

where $C_V := \{x \in Q : V(x) = \alpha_Q\}$. Set

$$S_V := \{x \in C_V : P(x) \geq P(x_V)\} \cup \{x \in Q \setminus C_V : P(x) > P(x_V)\} \\ \cup \{x \notin Q : V(x) < \alpha_Q \text{ or } P(x) > P(x_V)\}.$$

Before stating our main results, we introduce some useful notations and definitions. For any $0 < s < 1$, the fractional Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined as follows

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N)\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\| := \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the term

$$[u]_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is the so-called Gagliardo semi-norm of u .

Set $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < +\infty\}$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

It follows from Propositions 3.4 and 3.6 in [23] that

$$2C_{N,s}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = 2C_{N,s}^{-1} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = [u]_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2.$$

As a result, the norms on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$u \mapsto \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \\ u \mapsto (\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ u \mapsto (\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

are equivalent. Hence,

$$\|u\|^2 = \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = \|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx.$$

By [23] we know that the embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous for any $t \in [2, 2_s^*]$, and is locally compact whenever $t \in [2, 2_s^*)$.

Our main results are the following:

Theorem 1 *Suppose that (V) , (P) , (Q) , (VP) and $(f_1) - (f_4)$ and (1.2) hold. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, problem (1.1) admits a positive solution w_ε satisfying $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{dist}(x_\varepsilon, S_P) = 0$, where $x_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is a maximum point of w_ε . Moreover, setting $v_\varepsilon(x) = w_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x + x_\varepsilon)$, for any $x_\varepsilon \rightarrow x_0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, v_ε converges in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to a positive ground state solution v of*

$$(-\Delta)^s v + V(x_0)v = P(x_0)f(v) + Q(x_0)[|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}]|v|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-2}v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Theorem 2 *Suppose that (V) , (P) , (Q) , (VP) and $(f_1) - (f_4)$ and (1.3) hold. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, problem (1.1) admits a positive solution w_ε satisfying $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{dist}(x_\varepsilon, S_V) = 0$, where $x_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is a maximum point of w_ε . Moreover, setting $v_\varepsilon(x) = w_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x + x_\varepsilon)$, for any $x_\varepsilon \rightarrow x_0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, v_ε converges in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to a positive ground state solution v of*

$$(-\Delta)^s v + V(x_0)v = P(x_0)f(v) + Q(x_0)[|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}]|v|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-2}v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Making the change of variable $x \mapsto \varepsilon x$, we can rewrite (1.1) as the following equivalent equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V(\varepsilon x)u = P(\varepsilon x)f(u) + Q(\varepsilon x)[|x|^{-\mu} * |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}]|u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.4}$$

whose Euler-Lagrange energy functional is

$$I_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x)u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(\varepsilon x)F(u)dx - \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\varepsilon x)[|x|^{-\mu} * |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}]|u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx.$$

Set

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x)u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In view of (V), the norms $\|u\|_\varepsilon$ and $\|u\|$ are equivalent and by [23] the embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous for each $2 \leq t \leq 2_s^*$ and locally compact for each $2 \leq t < 2_s^*$. It is easy to see that I_ε is well defined on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $I_\varepsilon \in C^1(H^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$. Let

$$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : \langle I'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

Remark 1 Since we are going to discuss the existence of positive solution of problem (1.4), we rewrite the corresponding variational functional $I_\varepsilon(u)$ in the following form:

$$I_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(\varepsilon x) F(u) dx - \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\varepsilon x) [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx,$$

where $u^+ := \max\{u, 0\}$. Then, for $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'_\varepsilon(u), \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^s u \varphi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) u \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(\varepsilon x) f(u) \varphi dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\varepsilon x) [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} \varphi dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} \hat{u}(\xi) \bar{\varphi}(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) u \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(\varepsilon x) f(u) \varphi dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\varepsilon x) [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} \varphi dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} C_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{[u(x) - u(y)][\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)]}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) u \varphi dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(\varepsilon x) f(u) \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\varepsilon x) [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} \varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

We assert that all nontrivial critical points of I_ε are the positive solutions of (1.4).

Remark 2 We would like to remark that there are some difficulties in studying the existence and concentration of positive solutions for (1.1). The first difficulty origins from the competition of potentials. The linear potential V has global minimum, the nonlinear potentials P and Q have global maximum, there is a competition between V , P and Q , which makes finding the concentration points become more complex. The second one comes from that the appearance of critical exponent leads to the lack of compactness. It is very difficult for us to verify that the $(PS)_c$ condition holds. We shall borrow the idea in [7] to overcome this difficulty and furthermore study the concentration of solutions. But we require some fine estimates that are complicated because of the appearance of fractional Laplacian operator and the convolution-type nonlinearity. The third one is that there is no Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz-type assumption that plays a quite important role in studying variational problems, whose role consists in ensuring the boundedness of the Palais-Smale sequences of the energy functional associated with the problem under consideration. The fourth one is that Eq. (1.1) possesses double nonlocal terms.

Remark 3 Condition (f_3) is weaker than the following condition:

$$(f_3) \text{ the map } t \mapsto \frac{f(t)}{|t|} \text{ is nondecreasing for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Such a function satisfying (f_3) and not satisfying (\tilde{f}_3) can be found in [14].

Remark 4 The authors of [7] considered the following fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = P(x)f(u) + Q(x)|u|^{2^*_s-2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

and proved the existence and concentration of positive solutions, where f satisfies monotone condition (\tilde{f}_3) . Differently from this, in our setting a more accurate investigation is needed due to the presence of two nonlocal terms. Moreover, the nonlinearity f appearing in Eq. (1.1) satisfies (f_3) , while f satisfies monotone condition (\tilde{f}_3) in [7]. In this article, we have considered a class of fractional Choquard equation more general than the considered in the above references. Simultaneously, the equation we considered is more complicated than the fractional Schrödinger equation that is considered in [7], since the nonlinearity is also nonlocal. Hence our results are different from their results, and improve and extend their results to some extent.

2 Coefficient problem

To begin with, we give some auxiliary results.

Proposition 1 ([12]) (Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality) *Let $r, t > 1$ and $0 < \mu < N$ with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\mu}{N} + \frac{1}{t} = 2$. Let $g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $h \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then there exists a sharp constant $C_{r,N,\mu,t}$ independent of g and h such that*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{g(x)h(y)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy \right| \leq C_{r,N,\mu,t} \|g\|_r \|h\|_t.$$

Remark 5 In general, set $H(u) = |u|^q$ for some $q > 0$. By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{H(u(x))H(u(y))}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy$ is well defined if $H(u) \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $t > 1$ such that $\frac{2}{t} + \frac{\mu}{N} = 2$. Thus, recalling that $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded into $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $r \in [2, 2^*_{s, \mu}]$, for $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there must hold $tq \in [2, 2^*_{s, \mu}]$, which leads to assume that

$$\frac{2N-\mu}{N} \leq q \leq \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s} = 2^*_{\mu,s}.$$

Thus $\frac{2N-\mu}{N}$ is called the lower critical exponent and $\frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}$ is the upper critical exponent due to the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. Let S_H be the best constant

$$S_H = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |u|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |u|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2N-\mu}}}.$$

In the following, we consider the constant coefficient equations. For any $\alpha \in [\alpha_{\min}, \alpha_{\max}]$, $\beta \in [\beta_{\min}, \beta_{\max}]$ and $\gamma \in [\gamma_{\min}, \gamma_{\max}]$, we study the following constant coefficient equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + \alpha u = \beta f(u) + \gamma [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}](u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{2.1}$$

whose energy functional is

$$J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx - \frac{\gamma}{22^*_{\mu,s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}](u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx.$$

Set

$$\|u\|_\alpha = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is equivalent to the norm $\|u\|$. By (f_1) and (f_2) , for any $\tau > 0$, there exists $C_\tau > 0$ such that

$$|f(t)| \leq \tau |t| + C_\tau |t|^{p-1} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$|F(t)| \leq \tau |t|^2 + C_\tau |t|^p \tag{2.3}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and the embedding theorem that the functional $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u)$ is well defined on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and belongs to $C^1(H^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$. Set

$$\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} := \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

Lemma 1 *For $t > 0$, let $h(t) := J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(tu)$. For each $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a unique $t_u > 0$ such that $h(t_u) = \max_{t \geq 0} h(t)$, $h'(t) > 0$ for $0 < t < t_u$ and $h'(t) < 0$ for $t > t_u$. Moreover, $t_u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ if and only if $t = t_u$.*

Proof By (2.3), we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx \right| \leq \tau \|u\|^2 + CC_\tau \|u\|^p \tag{2.4}$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$. By virtue of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality one has

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}](u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \right| \leq C \|u\|_{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}}^{2^*_{\mu,s}} = C \|u\|_{2^*_{\mu,s}}^{22^*_{\mu,s}} \leq C \|u\|^{22^*_{\mu,s}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Consequently, it follows from (2.4)-(2.5) that

$$h(t) = J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(tu) \geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{1, \alpha_{\min}\}t^2 \|u\|^2 - \beta(\tau t^2 \|u\|^2 + CC_\tau t^p \|u\|^p) - C\gamma t^{22^*_{\mu,s}} \|u\|^{22^*_{\mu,s}} > 0$$

for small $\tau > 0$ and $t > 0$. Moreover,

$$h'(t) = \langle J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(tu), u \rangle \geq \min\{1, \alpha_{\min}\}t \|u\|^2 - \beta(\tau t \|u\|^2 + CC_\tau t^{p-1} \|u\|^p) - C\gamma t^{22^*_{\mu,s}-1} \|u\|^{22^*_{\mu,s}} > 0$$

for small $\tau > 0$ and $t > 0$. In view of (f₄) we get that

$$h(t) = J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(tu) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \alpha_{\max}\}t^2 \|u\|^2 - \frac{\gamma}{22^*_{\mu,s}} t^{22^*_{\mu,s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}](u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \rightarrow -\infty$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence h has a positive maximum and there exists $t_u > 0$ such that $h'(t_u) = 0$ and $h'(t) > 0$ for $0 < t < t_u$.

We assert that $h'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t > t_u$. Otherwise, we can suppose that there exists $t_u < t_2 < +\infty$ such that $h'(t_2) = 0$ and $h(t_u) \geq h(t_2)$. By means of (f₃) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} h(t_2) &= h(t_2) - \frac{t_2}{2} h'(t_2) \\ &= \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\frac{1}{2} f(t_2 u) t_2 u - F(t_2 u)] dx \\ &\quad + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}} \right) t_2^{22^*_{\mu,s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}](u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &> \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\frac{1}{2} f(t_u u) t_u u - F(t_u u)] dx \\ &\quad + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}} \right) t_u^{22^*_{\mu,s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}](u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &= h(t_u) - \frac{t_u}{2} h'(t_u) \\ &= h(t_u), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Combining the claim with prior arguments, we obtain the first conclusion of (i). The second conclusion is an immediate consequence of the fact that $h'(t) = t^{-1} \langle J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(tu), tu \rangle$. This completes the proof. □

For any $\rho > 0$, set $S_\rho := \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\| = \rho\}$. Then the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2 (i) *There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $t_u \geq t_0$ for each $u \in S_1$ and for each compact subset $W \subset S_1$, there exists $C_W > 0$ such that $t_u \leq C_W$ for all $u \in W$.*
 (ii) *There exists $\rho > 0$ such that*

$$m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}} J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u) \geq \inf_{u \in S_\rho} J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u) > 0.$$

(iii) *There exists $r^* > 0$ such that $\|u\| \geq r^*$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$.*

Proof (i) Set

$$\Sigma(u) := \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx$$

for $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &:= \Sigma\left(\frac{tu}{\|u\|}\right) = \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * \left|\frac{tu}{\|u\|}\right|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] \left|\frac{tu}{\|u\|}\right|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}^*} t^{22_{\mu,s}^*} \frac{1}{\|u\|^{22_{\mu,s}^*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx \end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &= t^{22_{\mu,s}^* - 1} \frac{1}{\|u\|^{22_{\mu,s}^*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * \left|\frac{tu}{\|u\|}\right|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] \left|\frac{tu}{\|u\|}\right|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx \\ &= \frac{22_{\mu,s}^*}{t} h(t). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating on $[1, t\|u\|]$ with $t > \frac{1}{\|u\|}$, we have $h(t\|u\|) \geq h(1)t^{22_{\mu,s}^*} \|u\|^{22_{\mu,s}^*}$, i.e.,

$$\Sigma(tu) \geq \Sigma\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right) t^{22_{\mu,s}^*} \|u\|^{22_{\mu,s}^*} := Ct^{22_{\mu,s}^*} \|u\|^{22_{\mu,s}^*}. \tag{2.6}$$

For $u \in S_1$, by Lemma 1 there exists $t_u > 0$ such that $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$. It follows from (2.2) and (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(t_u u), t_u u \rangle \\ &\geq \min\{1, \alpha_{\min}\} t_u^2 \|u\|^2 - \beta(\tau t_u^2 \|u\|^2 + CC_\tau t_u^p \|u\|^p) - C t_u^{22_{\mu,s}^*} \|u\|^{22_{\mu,s}^*} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{1, \alpha_{\min}\} t_u^2 - \beta CC_\tau t_u^p - C t_u^{22_{\mu,s}^*} \end{aligned}$$

for $\tau > 0$ small, which means that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $t_u \geq t_0$ for all $u \in S_1$. Suppose there exists $\{u_n\} \subset W \subset S_1$ such that $t_n := t_{u_n} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since W is compact, there exists $u \in W$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By (2.6) and (f₄),

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(t_n u_n) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \alpha_{\max}\} t_n^2 \|u_n\|^2 - \Sigma(t_n u_n) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \alpha_{\max}\} t_n^2 \|u_n\|^2 \\ &\quad - C t_n^{22^*_{\mu,s}} \|u_n\|^{22^*_{\mu,s}} \\ &\rightarrow -\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Nevertheless, by (f₃) we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(t_n u_n) &= J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(t_n u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(t_n u_n), t_n u_n \rangle \\ &= \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{2} f(t_n u_n) t_n u_n - F(t_n u_n) \right] dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}} \right) \gamma t_n^{22^*_{\mu,s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (u_n^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] (u_n^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

(ii) For $u \in S_\rho$ and small $\tau > 0$, combining (2.4) with (2.5) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{1, \alpha_{\min}\} \|u\|^2 - \beta(\tau \|u\|^2 + CC_\tau \|u\|^p) - C \|u\|^{22^*_{\mu,s}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8} \|u\|^2 = \frac{1}{8} \rho^2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for small $\rho > 0$. Moreover, for every $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $t_0 u \in S_\rho$. Hence

$$0 < \frac{1}{8} \rho^2 \leq \inf_{u \in S_\rho} J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u) \leq J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(t_0 u) \leq \max_{t>0} J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(tu) = J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u)$$

and so $m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}} J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u) \geq \inf_{u \in S_\rho} J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u) > 0$.

(iii) Assuming by contradiction that there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By virtue of (2.2) and (2.5), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u_n), u_n \rangle \geq \min\{1, \alpha_{\min}\} \|u_n\|^2 - \beta(\tau \|u_n\|^2 + CC_\tau \|u_n\|^p) \\ &\quad - C \gamma \|u_n\|^{22^*_{\mu,s}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \min\{1, \alpha_{\min}\} \|u_n\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for small $\tau > 0$ and large n , which contradicts with $u_n \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3 $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ is coercive on $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$, i.e., $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ and $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof For any $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$, by (f₃) and (2.6) we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u) &= J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u), u \rangle \\ &= \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{2} f(u)u - F(u) \right] dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22^{*}_{\mu,s}} \right) \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}] (u^+)^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &\geq C \|u\|^{22^{*}_{\mu,s}} \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4 Let $\mathcal{W} \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ be a compact subset. Then there exists $r > 0$ such that $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u) < 0$ on $(\mathbb{R}^+ \mathcal{W}) \setminus B_r$ for each $u \in \mathcal{W}$, where $\mathbb{R}^+ \mathcal{W} := \{tw : t > 0, w \in \mathcal{W}\}$.

Proof Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|u\| = 1$ for every $u \in \mathcal{W}$. Arguing by contradiction, suppose there exist $u_n \in \mathcal{W}$ and $w_n = t_n u_n$ such that $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(w_n) \geq 0$ and $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Up to a subsequence, we may assume that $u_n \rightarrow u \in S_1 = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\| = 1\}$ in $\mathcal{W} \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$. Consequently, it follows from (f₄) and (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(w_n) &= J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(t_n u_n) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \alpha_{\max}\} t_n^2 \|u_n\|^2 - C t_n^{22^{*}_{\mu,s}} \|u_n\|^{22^{*}_{\mu,s}} \\ &\rightarrow -\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

Obviously, $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ exhibits the mountain pass geometry.

Lemma 5 The functional $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) there exist $\delta, \rho > 0$ such that $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u) \geq \delta$ for $\|u\| = \rho$;
- (ii) there exists an $e \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|e\| > \rho$ such that $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(e) < 0$.

Combining with the Mountain Pass Theorem without (PS) condition ([28]) and the characterization of minimax value, there exists a $(PS)_{m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}}$ sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u_n) \rightarrow m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ and $J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ at the minimax level

$$m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(g(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma := \{g \in C([0, 1], H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)) : g(0) = 0, J_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(g(1)) < 0\}.$$

Moreover,

$$m_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} = \inf_{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(tu) > 0.$$

Lemma 6 *Let $\alpha \in [\alpha_{\min}, \alpha_{\infty}]$, $\beta \in (\beta_{\infty}, \beta_{\max}]$ and $\gamma \in [\gamma_{\min}, \gamma_{\max}]$, then*

$$m_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} < \frac{1}{\gamma^{\frac{N-2s}{N+2s-\mu}}} \cdot \frac{N+2s-\mu}{2(2N-\mu)} \cdot S_H^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N+2s-\mu}},$$

and Eq. (2.1) admits a positive ground state solution u satisfying $J_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(u) = m_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$.

Proof By [4, 10] we easily know

$$m_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} < \frac{1}{\gamma^{\frac{N-2s}{N+2s-\mu}}} \cdot \frac{N+2s-\mu}{2(2N-\mu)} \cdot S_H^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N+2s-\mu}}.$$

By Lemma 5, let $\{u_n\} \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a $(PS)_{m_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}$ sequence for $J_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$, then by Lemma 3 we know that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By using of the fact that

$$(u-v)(u^- - v^-) \geq |u^- - v^-|^2$$

for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle J'_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(u_n), u_n^- \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} C_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n^-(x) - u_n^-(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \alpha_{\min} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n^-|^2 dx \geq \min\{1, \alpha_{\min}\} \|u_n^-\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\|u_n^-\| \rightarrow 0$, so we can assume that $u_n \geq 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. We assert that there exist a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and constants $R, \sigma > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} u_n^2 dx \geq \sigma. \quad (2.7)$$

Otherwise, by virtue of Lemma 1.21 in [28], we have $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 < t < 2_s^*$. Consequently, by (2.2)-(2.3) we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n) u_n dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As a consequence,

$$o(1) = \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (u_n^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}](u_n^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx.$$

Assume that $\|u_n\|_\alpha^2 \rightarrow l$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (u_n^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}](u_n^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \rightarrow l$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, by the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} + o(1) &= J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 - \frac{\gamma}{22_{\mu,s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (u_n^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}](u_n^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &\quad - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n) dx, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that

$$0 < m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}l - \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}}l, \tag{2.8}$$

which implies that $l > 0$. Hence, by the definition of S_H we have

$$S_H \leq \frac{\|u_n\|_\alpha^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |u_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|u_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2N-\mu}}} \rightarrow \frac{l}{\left(\frac{l}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2N-\mu}}} = \gamma^{\frac{N-2s}{2N-\mu}} \cdot l^{\frac{N+2s-\mu}{2N-\mu}}, \tag{2.9}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}l - \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}}l \geq \frac{1}{\gamma^{\frac{N-2s}{N+2s-\mu}}} \cdot \frac{N + 2s - \mu}{2(2N - \mu)} \cdot S_H^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N+2s-\mu}},$$

a contradiction. Therefore, (2.7) holds. Set $\bar{u}_n(\cdot) = u_n(\cdot + y_n)$. Up to a subsequence, there exists $\bar{u} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\bar{u}_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\bar{u}_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $L^t_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 \leq t < 2^*_s$ and $\bar{u}_n(x) \rightarrow \bar{u}(x)$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . By (2.7) we have $\bar{u} \neq 0$. Using a standard argument we can conclude that $J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\bar{u}) = 0$, and so $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$. Indeed, since $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ is invariant under translations of the form $u \mapsto u(\cdot + k)$ with $k \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we may assume that $\{\bar{u}_n\} \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a $(PS)_{m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}}$ sequence for $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$. Consequently, for all $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\bar{u}_n), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(-\Delta)^s \bar{u}_n dx + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_n \varphi dx - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\bar{u}_n) \varphi dx \\ &\quad - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |\bar{u}_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |\bar{u}_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2} \bar{u}_n \varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{u}_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(-\Delta)^s \bar{u}_n dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(-\Delta)^s \bar{u} dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_n \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u} \varphi dx$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By $\bar{u}_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $L^t_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 \leq t < 2_s^*$, together with (2.2) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\bar{u}_n) \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\bar{u}) \varphi dx$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_n|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} |\bar{u}_n|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_n|^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}} dx \leq C \|\bar{u}_n\|^{2_s^*} \leq C.$$

Thereby, by the Hölder inequality and [19], we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |\bar{u}_n|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] |\bar{u}_n|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \bar{u}_n^{-2} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |\bar{u}_n|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}]^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}} |\bar{u}_n|^{(2_{\mu,s}^* - 1) \frac{2N}{N+2s}} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |\bar{u}_n|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}]^{\frac{2N}{N+2s} \cdot \frac{N+2s}{\mu}} dx \right)^{\frac{\mu}{N+2s}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_n|^{(2_{\mu,s}^* - 1) \cdot \frac{2N}{N+2s} \cdot \frac{N+2s}{N+2s-\mu}} dx \right)^{\frac{N+2s-\mu}{N+2s}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |\bar{u}_n|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}]^{\frac{2N}{\mu}} dx \right)^{\frac{\mu}{N+2s}} \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_n|^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}} dx \right)^{\frac{N+2s-\mu}{N+2s}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_n|^{2_{\mu,s}^* \cdot \frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \right)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N+2s}} \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_n|^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}} dx \right)^{\frac{N+2s-\mu}{N+2s}} \\ &= C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_n|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{3N+2s-2\mu}{N+2s}} \leq C \|\bar{u}_n\|^{2_s^* \cdot \frac{3N+2s-2\mu}{N+2s}} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Then we may assume that

$$[|x|^{-\mu} * |\bar{u}_n|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] |\bar{u}_n|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \bar{u}_n^{-2} \rightharpoonup [|x|^{-\mu} * |\bar{u}|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] |\bar{u}|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \bar{u}^{-2}$$

in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |\bar{u}_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |\bar{u}_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2} \bar{u}_n \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |\bar{u}|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |\bar{u}|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2} \bar{u} \varphi dx$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(-\Delta)^s \bar{u} dx + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u} \varphi dx - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\bar{u}) \varphi dx - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |\bar{u}|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |\bar{u}|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2} \bar{u} \varphi dx.$$

For any $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. As a consequence,

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(-\Delta)^s \bar{u} dx + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u} \varphi_n dx - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\bar{u}) \varphi_n dx - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |\bar{u}|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |\bar{u}|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2} \bar{u} \varphi_n dx.$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(-\Delta)^s \bar{u} dx + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u} \varphi dx - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\bar{u}) \varphi dx - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |\bar{u}|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |\bar{u}|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2} \bar{u} \varphi dx,$$

i.e., $\langle J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\bar{u}), \varphi \rangle = 0$ for all $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. And so $J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\bar{u}) = 0$. Hence by Fatou Lemma and (f_3) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} &\leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(t\bar{u}) = J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\bar{u}) = J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\bar{u}) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\bar{u}), \bar{u} \rangle \\ &= \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\frac{1}{2} f(\bar{u})\bar{u} - F(\bar{u})] dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}}\right) \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (\bar{u}^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] (\bar{u}^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &\leq \beta \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\frac{1}{2} f(\bar{u}_n)\bar{u}_n - F(\bar{u}_n)] dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}}\right) \gamma \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (\bar{u}_n^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] (\bar{u}_n^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\bar{u}_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\bar{u}_n), \bar{u}_n \rangle] = m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

and so $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\bar{u}) = m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$. Again by virtue of the fact that

$$(u - v)(u^- - v^-) \geq |u^- - v^-|^2$$

for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, we can derive that \bar{u} is positive. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 7 For $i = 1, 2$, let $\alpha_i \in [\alpha_{\min}, \alpha_{\infty}]$, $\beta_i \in (\beta_{\infty}, \beta_{\max}]$, $\gamma_i \in [\gamma_{\min}, \gamma_{\max}]$. If $\min\{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \beta_1 - \beta_2, \gamma_1 - \gamma_2\} \geq 0$, then $m_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1} \leq m_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}$. Moreover, if additionally $\max\{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \beta_1 - \beta_2, \gamma_1 - \gamma_2\} > 0$, then $m_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1} < m_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}$.

Proof By Lemma 6, let u be a positive solution of (2.1) with coefficients $\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2$ such that $J_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}(u) = m_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}$. Then arguing as in Lemma 1 by (f₁) – (f₄) we can deduce that $J_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}(u) = \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}(tu)$ and there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $t_0u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1}$ and

$$J_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1}(t_0u) = \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1}(tu).$$

Consequently, if $\min\{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \beta_1 - \beta_2, \gamma_1 - \gamma_2\} \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1} &\leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1}(tu) = J_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1}(t_0u) \\ &= J_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}(t_0u) + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2} t_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx - (\beta_1 - \beta_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t_0u) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}{22_{\mu, s}^*} t_0^{22_{\mu, s}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * (\bar{u}^+)^{2_{\mu, s}^*}] (\bar{u}^+)^{2_{\mu, s}^*} dx \\ &\leq J_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}(t_0u) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}(tu) = J_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}(u) = m_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}. \end{aligned}$$

If additionally $\max\{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \beta_1 - \beta_2, \gamma_1 - \gamma_2\} > 0$, the above proof implies that $m_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1} < m_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2}$. This completes the proof. \square

3 Auxiliary problem

In what follows, we introduce some auxiliary problems for Eq. (1.4). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_P = 0 \in \mathcal{C}_P$ in (1.2) or $x_P = 0 \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q}$ if $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \neq \emptyset$. Consequently, by (1.2) we set

$$e := V(0) \leq V(x) \text{ for all } |x| \geq R. \tag{3.1}$$

For any $a \in [\alpha_{\min}, \alpha_{\infty}]$, $b \in (\beta_{\infty}, \beta_{\max}]$ and $d \in [\gamma_{\min}, \gamma_{\max}]$, by Lemma 6 one has

$$m_{a, b, d} < \frac{1}{\gamma^{\frac{N-2s}{N+2s-\mu}}} \cdot \frac{N + 2s - \mu}{2(2N - \mu)} \cdot S_H^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N+2s-\mu}}.$$

Define the truncated potentials by

$$V_{\varepsilon}^a(x) := \max\{a, V(\varepsilon x)\}, \quad P_{\varepsilon}^b(x) := \min\{b, P(\varepsilon x)\}, \quad Q_{\varepsilon}^d(x) := \min\{d, Q(\varepsilon x)\}$$

and consider the auxiliary problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V_\varepsilon^a(x)u = P_\varepsilon^b(x)f(u) + Q_\varepsilon^d(x)[|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}](u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2}u^+, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{3.2}$$

whose energy functional is

$$I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^a(x)u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\varepsilon^b(x)F(u)dx - \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q_\varepsilon^d(x)[|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}](u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^{a,b,d} = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : \langle (I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d})'(u), u \rangle = 0\}$$

and

$$c_\varepsilon^{a,b,d} := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}} I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}(u).$$

Lemma 8 (i) $m_{a,b,d} \leq c_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}$.

(ii) Let u be a solution of (2.1) with coefficients $\alpha := V^a(0) = \max\{a, V(0)\}$, $\beta := P^b(0) = \min\{b, P(0)\}$ and $\gamma := Q^d(0) = \min\{d, Q(0)\}$ such that

$$J_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)}(u) = m_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)}.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\varepsilon^{a,b,d} \leq m_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)}.$$

Proof (i) It is easy to see that

$$I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}(u) = J_{a,b,d}(u) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V_\varepsilon^a(x) - a]u^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [b - P_\varepsilon^b(x)]F(u)dx + \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [d - Q_\varepsilon^d(x)][|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}}](u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \geq J_{a,b,d}(u).$$

Therefore, for any $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$m_{a,b,d} \leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_{a,b,d}(tu) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}(tu),$$

which implies that

$$m_{a,b,d} \leq \inf_{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}(tu) = c_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}.$$

(ii) By Lemma 6, let u be a positive solution of problem (2.1) with coefficients $\alpha := V^a(0)$, $\beta := P^b(0)$ and $\gamma := Q^d(0)$ such that $J_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)}(u) = m_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)}$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)}$. Then again arguing as in Lemma 1 by $(f_1) - (f_4)$, there exists a unique $t_\varepsilon := t_\varepsilon(u) > 0$ such that $t_\varepsilon u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}$. Hence

$$0 < c_\varepsilon^{a,b,d} \leq I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}(t_\varepsilon u) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}(tu).$$

Taking into account the boundedness of V, P, Q and (f_4) we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}(tu) &= \frac{1}{2}t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \frac{1}{2}t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^a(x)u^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\varepsilon^b(x)F(tu)dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}^*}t^{22_{\mu,s}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q_\varepsilon^d(x)[|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}](u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx \\ &\leq C_1t^2 - C_2t^{22_{\mu,s}^*}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that there exists $T > 0$ independent of ε such that $I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}(tu) < 0$ for $t \geq T$. Consequently, $t_\varepsilon < T$ and we may assume that $t_\varepsilon \rightarrow t_0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Combining with the continuity and boundedness of V, P, Q , by virtue of Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V_\varepsilon^a(x) - V^a(0)]|t_\varepsilon u|^2 dx \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [P^b(0) - P_\varepsilon^b(x)]F(t_\varepsilon u)dx \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [Q^d(0) - Q_\varepsilon^d(x)][|x|^{-\mu} * (t_\varepsilon u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}](t_\varepsilon u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}(t_\varepsilon u) &= J_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)}(t_\varepsilon u) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V_\varepsilon^a(x) - V^a(0)]|t_\varepsilon u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [P^b(0) - P_\varepsilon^b(x)]F(t_\varepsilon u)dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [Q^d(0) - Q_\varepsilon^d(x)][|x|^{-\mu} * (t_\varepsilon u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}](t_\varepsilon u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx \\ &= J_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)}(t_\varepsilon u) + o_\varepsilon(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\varepsilon^{a,b,d} &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d}(t_\varepsilon u) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} [J_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)}(t_\varepsilon u) + o_\varepsilon(1)] \\ &= J_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)}(t_0 u) \leq J_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)}(u) \\ &= m_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

It is not difficult to see that the functional I_ε possesses a Mountain Pass level defined by

$$c_\varepsilon := \inf_{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon(tu).$$

Moreover, there exists some $c > 0$ independent of ε such that $c_\varepsilon \geq c$.

Lemma 9 $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\varepsilon \leq m_{e, \beta_Q, \gamma_{\max}}$, where e comes from (3.1).

Proof Take $a = \alpha_{\min}$, $b = \beta_{\max}$ and $d = \gamma_{\max}$. Then

$$V_\varepsilon^a(x) = V(\varepsilon x), \quad P_\varepsilon^b(x) := P(\varepsilon x), \quad Q_\varepsilon^d(x) = Q(\varepsilon x).$$

Hence the fact that $I_\varepsilon^{a,b,d} = I_\varepsilon$ implies that $c_\varepsilon^{a,b,d} = c_\varepsilon$. Noting that $0 \in \mathcal{C}_P$, by Lemma 8 (ii) we conclude that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\varepsilon = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\varepsilon^{a,b,d} \leq m_{V^a(0), P^b(0), Q^d(0)} = m_{e, \beta_Q, \gamma_{\max}}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Finally, we may only truncate the potentials $V(x)$ and $P(x)$ with $a = e$ and $b \in (\beta_\infty, \beta_Q)$. Simultaneously, we define the truncated energy functional by

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon^{e,b}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon^e(x) u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_\varepsilon^b(x) F(u) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\varepsilon x) [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^{e,b} := \{(I_\varepsilon^{e,b})'(u), u) = 0\}$ and $c_\varepsilon^{e,b} := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^{e,b}} I_\varepsilon^{e,b}(u)$.

Lemma 10 $c_\varepsilon^{e,b} \geq m_{e,b, \gamma_{\max}}$.

Proof Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon^{e,b}(u) &= J_{e,b, \gamma_{\max}}(u) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V_\varepsilon^e(x) - e] u^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [b - P_\varepsilon^b(x)] F(u) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\gamma_{\max} - Q(\varepsilon x)] [|x|^{-\mu} * (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx \geq J_{e,b, \gamma_{\max}}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\inf_{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon^{e,b}(tu) \geq \inf_{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} J_{e,b,\gamma_{\max}}(tu),$$

which indicates that the conclusion holds. This completes the proof. □

Arguing as in Lemmas 1, 2 and 4, we can conclude that the following Lemmas 11-13 hold.

Lemma 11 *For each $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a unique $t_u > 0$ such that $h(t_u) = \max_{t \geq 0} h(t)$, $h'(t) > 0$ for $0 < t < t_u$ and $h'(t) < 0$ for $t > t_u$. Moreover, $tu \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ if and only if $t = t_u$. Here $h(t) := I_\varepsilon(tu)$.*

Lemma 12 *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed,*

(i) *there is a constant $\rho > 0$ such that $c_\varepsilon = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} I_\varepsilon \geq \inf_{S_\rho} I_\varepsilon > 0$, where*

$$S_\rho = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\| = \rho\},$$

(ii) *there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $\|u\| \geq r_0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$.*

Lemma 13 *Let $\mathcal{W} \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ be a compact subset. Then there exists $r > 0$ such that $I_\varepsilon(u) < 0$ on $(\mathbb{R}^+ \mathcal{W}) \setminus B_r$ for each $u \in \mathcal{W}$, where $\mathbb{R}^+ \mathcal{W} := \{tw : t > 0, w \in \mathcal{W}\}$.*

Define the mapping $\tilde{m}_\varepsilon : H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ and $m_\varepsilon : S \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ by setting

$$\tilde{m}_\varepsilon(u) = t_u u \quad \text{and} \quad m_\varepsilon = \tilde{m}_\varepsilon|_S,$$

where S is the unit sphere in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We also consider the functionals $\tilde{\psi}_\varepsilon : H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi_\varepsilon : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\psi}_\varepsilon(u) = I_\varepsilon(\tilde{m}_\varepsilon(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_\varepsilon = \tilde{\psi}_\varepsilon|_S.$$

Since $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a Hilbert space and Lemmas 11-13 imply that the hypotheses A_2 and A_3 hold in [25], Hence, the following Lemmas 14-15 are valid.

Lemma 14 ([25]) *The mapping $\tilde{m}_\varepsilon : H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ is continuous and m_ε is a homeomorphism between S and \mathcal{N}_\uparrow , and the inverse of m_ε is given by $m_\varepsilon^{-1}(u) = \frac{u}{\|u\|}$.*

Lemma 15 ([25]) *For each $\varepsilon > 0$,*

(i) $\psi_\varepsilon \in C^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\tilde{\psi}'_\varepsilon(w)z = \|m_\varepsilon(w)\| I'_\varepsilon(\tilde{m}_\varepsilon(w))z$$

for all $z \in T_w(S) := \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \langle w, u \rangle = 0\}$.

- (ii) If $\{w_n\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for ψ_ε , then $\{m_\varepsilon(w_n)\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for I_ε . If $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ is a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for I_ε , then $\{m_\varepsilon^{-1}(u_n)\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for ψ_ε .
- (iii) $w \in S$ is a critical point of ψ_ε if and only if $m_\varepsilon(w)$ is a nontrivial critical point of I_ε . Moreover, the corresponding values of ψ_ε and I_ε coincide and $\inf_S \psi_\varepsilon = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} I_\varepsilon$.
- (iv) If I_ε is even, then so is ψ_ε .

Lemma 16 *The level c_ε is achieved if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, i.e., problem (1.4) admits a positive solution if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough.*

Proof Set

$$\zeta(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx - 1, \quad \forall u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Notice that $S = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \zeta(u) = 0\}$ and for each $u \in S$, one has

$$\langle \zeta'(u), u \rangle = 2\|u\|^2 = 2 > 0.$$

By Proposition 9 in [25] we know that $\tilde{\psi}_\varepsilon : H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is class of C^1 , and

$$\langle \tilde{\psi}'_\varepsilon(u), v \rangle = \frac{\|\tilde{m}_\varepsilon(u)\|}{\|u\|} \langle I'_\varepsilon(\tilde{m}_\varepsilon(u)), v \rangle, \quad \forall 0 \neq u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Hence, Corollary 3.4 in [6] implies that there exists a sequence $\{w_n\} \subset S$ such that $\psi_\varepsilon(w_n) \rightarrow c_\varepsilon$ and there exists $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|\tilde{\psi}'_\varepsilon(w_n) - \alpha_n \zeta'(w_n)\|_{H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0.$$

It implies

$$\alpha_n = \frac{\langle \tilde{\psi}'_\varepsilon(w_n), \zeta'(w_n) \rangle}{\|\zeta'(w_n)\|_{H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2} + o(1).$$

Hence

$$\tilde{\psi}'_\varepsilon(w_n) - \frac{\langle \tilde{\psi}'_\varepsilon(w_n), \zeta'(w_n) \rangle}{\|\zeta'(w_n)\|_{H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2} \zeta'(w_n) = o(1), \quad \text{i.e., } \psi'_\varepsilon(w_n) = o(1).$$

Set $u_n = m_\varepsilon(w_n) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. Then Lemma 15 (ii) implies that $I_\varepsilon(u_n) = \psi_\varepsilon(w_n) \rightarrow c_\varepsilon$ and $I'_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. As before, we can assume that $u_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. It

follows from (f_3) and (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} & c_\varepsilon + o(1) + o(1)\|u_n\| \\ &= I_\varepsilon(u_n) - \langle I'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(\varepsilon x) \left[\frac{1}{2} f(u_n)u_n - F(u_n) \right] dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22^{*}_{\mu,s}} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\varepsilon x) [|x|^{-\mu} * |u_n|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}] |u_n|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &\geq \gamma_{\min} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22^{*}_{\mu,s}} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |u_n|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}] |u_n|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} dx \geq C \|u_n\|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}, \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Consequently, up to a subsequence, there exists $u_\varepsilon \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\varepsilon$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_n \rightarrow u_\varepsilon$ in $L^t_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 \leq t < 2^*_s$ and $u_n(x) \rightarrow u_\varepsilon(x)$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . Arguing as in Lemma 6, we can prove that $I'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = 0$. In the following, we prove that $u_\varepsilon \neq 0$ if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough.

Indeed, if the conclusion is false, there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$ with $u_{\varepsilon_j} = 0$. Clearly, by Lemma 12 (ii) there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|u_n\|^2 \geq C > 0$. Choose $b \in (\beta_\infty, \beta_Q)$ and consider the truncated functional $I^{e,b}_{\varepsilon_j}$. For each u_n , there exists a unique $t_n := t_{u_n} > 0$ such that $t_n u_n \in \mathcal{N}^{e,b}_{\varepsilon_j}$. Consequently, it follows by (2.6) and Lemma 12 (ii) that

$$\begin{aligned} Ct_n^2 &\geq t_n^2 \|u_n\|_{D^{s,2}}^2 + t_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_{\varepsilon_j}^e(x) u_n^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_{\varepsilon_j}^b(x) f(t_n u_n) t_n u_n dx + t_n^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\varepsilon_j x) [|x|^{-\mu} * |u_n|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}] |u_n|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &\geq t_n^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \gamma_{\min} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |u_n|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}] |u_n|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} dx \geq Ct_n^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \|u_n\|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \geq Ct_n^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that $\{t_n\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{R} . Hence, up to a subsequence we may assume that $t_n \rightarrow t_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Noticing that (3.1) implies that $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(\varepsilon_j x) \leq e\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^N for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V_{\varepsilon_j}^e(x) - V(\varepsilon_j x)] |t_n u_n|^2 dx = \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(\varepsilon_j x) \leq e\}} [e - V(\varepsilon_j x)] |t_n u_n|^2 dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Simultaneously, by $b > \beta_\infty$ we know that $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : P(\varepsilon_j x) \geq b\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^N for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. And so

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [P(\varepsilon_j x) - P_{\varepsilon_j}^b(x)] F(t_n u_n) dx = \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : P(\varepsilon_j x) \geq b\}} [P(\varepsilon_j x) - b] F(t_n u_n) dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As a consequence, altogether with the above estimates we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\varepsilon_j}^{e,b} &\leq I_{\varepsilon_j}^{e,b}(t_n u_n) = I_{\varepsilon_j}(t_n u_n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V_{\varepsilon_j}^e(x) - V(\varepsilon_j x)] |t_n u_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [P(\varepsilon_j x) - P_{\varepsilon_j}^b(x)] F(t_n u_n) dx \\ &= I_{\varepsilon_j}(t_n u_n) + o(1) \leq I_{\varepsilon_j}(u_n) + o(1) = c_{\varepsilon_j} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

It yields that $c_{\varepsilon_j}^{e,b} \leq c_{\varepsilon_j}$. It follows from Lemma 10 that $m_{e,b,\gamma_{\max}} \leq c_{\varepsilon_j}$. Let $j \rightarrow +\infty$, by Lemma 9 one has $m_{e,b,\gamma_{\max}} \leq m_{e,\beta_Q,\gamma_{\max}}$. But $b < \beta_Q$ and Lemma 7 imply that $m_{e,\beta_Q,\gamma_{\max}} < m_{e,b,\gamma_{\max}}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $u_\varepsilon \neq 0$ as long as $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. Furthermore, as before, one has $u_\varepsilon > 0$ if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. Combining with Fatou Lemma and (f_3) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} c_\varepsilon &\leq I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{2} (I'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon), u_\varepsilon) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(\varepsilon x) \left[\frac{1}{2} f(u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon - F(u_\varepsilon) \right] dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\varepsilon x) [|x|^{-\mu} * |u_\varepsilon|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] |u_\varepsilon|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(\varepsilon x) \left[\frac{1}{2} f(u_n) u_n - F(u_n) \right] dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(\varepsilon x) [|x|^{-\mu} * |u_n|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] |u_n|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [I_\varepsilon(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} (I'_\varepsilon(u_n) u_n)] = c_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 17 *Let $\{u_n\}$ be the positive solution obtained in Lemma 16 with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists $y_n \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\varepsilon_n y_n \rightarrow y_0 \in \mathcal{S}_P$, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(\varepsilon_n y_n, \mathcal{S}_P) = 0,$$

such that the sequence $v_n(x) := u_n(x + y_n)$ converges in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to a positive ground state solution v of

$$(-\Delta)^s v + V(y_0)v = P(y_0)f(v) + Q(y_0)[|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] |v|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-2} v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof Let $\{u_n\}$ be the positive solution obtained in Lemma 16 with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Then $I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = c_{\varepsilon_n}$ and $I'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = 0$ and $u_n > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Following the arguments in

Lemma 16, using (f₃) and (2.6) we obtain that {u_n} is bounded in H^s(ℝ^N), and there exist a sequence {y_n} ⊂ ℝ^N and constants r, δ > 0 such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(y_n)} |u_n|^2 dx \geq \delta > 0. \tag{3.4}$$

If not, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 6 we can infer that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\varepsilon_n} \geq \frac{1}{\gamma_{\max}^{\frac{N-2s}{N+2s-\mu}}} \cdot \frac{N + 2s - \mu}{2(2N - \mu)} \cdot S_H^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N+2s-\mu}}.$$

But by Lemmas 6 and 3.2 we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\varepsilon_n} \leq m_{e, \beta_Q, \gamma_{\max}} < \frac{1}{\gamma_{\max}^{\frac{N-2s}{N+2s-\mu}}} \cdot \frac{N + 2s - \mu}{2(2N - \mu)} \cdot S_H^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N+2s-\mu}},$$

a contradiction. Set v_n(x) = u_n(x + y_n), $\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) = V(\varepsilon_n(x + y_n))$, $\tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) = P(\varepsilon_n(x + y_n))$ and $\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) = Q(\varepsilon_n(x + y_n))$. Then v_n satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s v + \tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)v = \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)f(v) + \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2}v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

whose energy functional is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{v}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)v^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)F(v)dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.4) we may assume that v_n → v in H^s(ℝ^N), v_n → v in L^t_{loc}(ℝ^N) for 2 ≤ t < 2^s* and v_n(x) → v(x) a.e. on ℝ^N, where v ≥ 0 and v ≠ 0. We next continue our arguments by dividing the proof into three steps.

Step 1. We prove that {ε_ny_n} is bounded in ℝ^N. Or else, up to a subsequence, we may assume that ε_ny_n → +∞. By the boundedness of V, P, Q and (3.1), there exist V₀, P₀ and Q₀ such that V(ε_ny_n) → V₀ ≥ e, P(ε_ny_n) → P₀ < β_Q and Q(ε_ny_n) → Q₀ ≤ γ_{max}. For all φ ∈ C[∞]₀(ℝ^N), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(-\Delta)^s v_n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)v_n \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)f(v_n) \varphi dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[|x|^{-\mu} * |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2}v_n \varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity and boundedness of V, P and Q one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)v_n \varphi dx \rightarrow V_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v \varphi dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) f(v_n) \varphi dx \rightarrow P_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v) \varphi dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) [|x|^{-\mu} * |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2} v_n \varphi dx \rightarrow Q_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2} v \varphi dx$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of last formula follows from Lemma 6. It follows that

$$(-\Delta)^s v + V_0 v = P_0 f(v) + Q_0 [|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2} v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By the fact that $I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n)$, Fatou Lemma, (f_3) and Lemmas 7, 3.2 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{e,\beta_Q,\gamma_{\max}} &< m_{V_0,P_0,Q_0} \leq J_{V_0,P_0,Q_0}(v) = J_{V_0,P_0,Q_0}(v) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_{V_0,P_0,Q_0}(v), v \rangle \\ &= P_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{2} f(v)v - F(v) \right] dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}} \right) Q_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} f(v_n)v_n - F(v_n) \right] dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}} \right) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) [|x|^{-\mu} * |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{I}'_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n), v_n \rangle \right] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle I'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n), u_n \rangle \right] = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\varepsilon_n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\varepsilon_n} \leq m_{e,\beta_Q,\gamma_{\max}}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $\{\varepsilon_n y_n\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^N and we may assume that $\varepsilon_n y_n \rightarrow y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 2. We prove that $y_0 \in \mathcal{S}_P$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n) = m_{V(y_0),P(y_0),Q(y_0)} = J_{V(y_0),P(y_0),Q(y_0)}(v).$$

Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 \notin \mathcal{S}_P &= \{x \in \mathcal{C}_P : V(x) \leq V(x_p)\} \cup \{x \in \mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathcal{C}_P : V(x) < V(x_p)\} \\ &\quad \cup \{x \notin \mathcal{Q} : P(x) > \beta_Q \text{ or } V(x) < V(x_p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $y_0 \in \mathcal{C}_P$, then $V(y_0) > V(x_p) = V(0) = e$ and $P(y_0) = \beta_Q$. Therefore,

$$\max\{V(y_0) - e, \beta_Q - P(y_0), \gamma_{\max} - Q(y_0)\} > 0.$$

If $y_0 \in \mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathcal{C}_p$, then $V(y_0) \geq V(x_p) = V(0) = e$ and $P(y_0) < \beta_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $Q(y_0) = \gamma_{\max}$. Consequently,

$$\max\{V(y_0) - e, \beta_{\mathcal{Q}} - P(y_0), \gamma_{\max} - Q(y_0)\} > 0.$$

If $y_0 \notin \mathcal{Q}$, then $V(y_0) \geq V(x_p) = V(0) = e$ and $P(y_0) \leq \beta_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $Q(y_0) < \gamma_{\max}$. Hence

$$\max\{V(y_0) - e, \beta_{\mathcal{Q}} - P(y_0), \gamma_{\max} - Q(y_0)\} > 0.$$

In summary,

$$\max\{V(y_0) - e, \beta_{\mathcal{Q}} - P(y_0), \gamma_{\max} - Q(y_0)\} > 0,$$

which implies that

$$m_{e, \beta_{\mathcal{Q}}, \gamma_{\max}} < m_{V(y_0), P(y_0), Q(y_0)}$$

by Lemma 7. Similar to the arguments as in Step 1, we conclude that v solves Eq. (3.3) and

$$m_{e, \beta_{\mathcal{Q}}, \gamma_{\max}} < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\varepsilon_n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\varepsilon_n} \leq m_{e, \beta_{\mathcal{Q}}, \gamma_{\max}},$$

a contradiction. Consequently, $y_0 \in \mathcal{S}_P$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(\varepsilon_n y_n, \mathcal{S}_P) = 0$. Moreover, by the above argument we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{V(y_0), P(y_0), Q(y_0)} &\leq J_{V(y_0), P(y_0), Q(y_0)}(v) \\ &= J_{V(y_0), P(y_0), Q(y_0)}(v) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_{V(y_0), P(y_0), Q(y_0)}(v), v \rangle \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{I}'_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n), v_n \rangle] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle I'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n), u_n \rangle] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\varepsilon_n}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n) \leq m_{V(y_0), P(y_0), Q(y_0)}.$$

Taking into account the above two inequalities we can see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n) = m_{V(y_0), P(y_0), Q(y_0)} = J_{V(y_0), P(y_0), Q(y_0)}(v). \tag{3.5}$$

Hence v is a ground state solution of (3.3).

Step 3. We show that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Indeed, by the continuity of V, P and Q we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)|v|^2 dx \rightarrow V(y_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)F(v)dx \rightarrow P(y_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(v)dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \rightarrow Q(y_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $\tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v) \rightarrow J_{V(y_0),P(y_0),Q(y_0)}(v)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n - v) - \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n) + \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[\langle v_n - v, v_n - v \rangle_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} - \langle v_n, v_n \rangle_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} + \langle v, v \rangle_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[|v_n - v|^2 - |v_n|^2 + |v|^2]dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[F(v_n - v) - F(v_n) + F(v)]dx \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)\{ [|x|^{-\mu} * |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - [|x|^{-\mu} * |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \\ & \quad + [|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}\}dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

It is easy to prove that

$$\langle v_n - v, v_n - v \rangle_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} - \langle v_n, v_n \rangle_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} + \langle v, v \rangle_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[|v_n - v|^2 - |v_n|^2 + |v|^2]dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)v(v - v_n)dx \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.8}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.2)-(2.3), differential mean value theorem, Young inequality and the boundedness of P , there exists $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[F(v_n - v) - F(v_n) + F(v)]| \\ & \leq \beta_{\max} |v_n - \theta v| |v| + C |v_n - \theta v|^{p-1} |v| + |v|^2 + C |v|^p \\ & \leq C [|v_n| |v| + |v|^2 + C |v_n|^{p-1} |v| + C |v|^p] \\ & \leq C [|v_n - v| |v| + C |v_n - v|^{p-1} |v| + C |v|^2 + C |v|^p] \\ & \leq \delta |v_n - v|^2 + \delta |v_n - v|^p + CC_\delta |v|^2 + CC_\delta |v|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$G_{\delta,n}(x) := \max\{|\tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[F(v_n - v) - F(v_n) + F(v)]| - \delta |v_n - v|^2 - \delta |v_n - v|^p, 0\}.$$

Then $0 \leq G_{\delta,n}(x) \leq CC_\delta |v|^2 + CC_\delta |v|^p \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $G_{\delta,n}(x) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . By Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_{\delta,n}(x) dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[F(v_n - v) - F(v_n) + F(v)] dx \right| \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_{\delta,n}(x) dx + \delta \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n - v|^2 dx + \delta \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n - v|^p dx \\ & \leq C\delta. \end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrariness of δ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[F(v_n - v) - F(v_n) + F(v)] dx = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

In the following, we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) \{ [|x|^{-\mu} * |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - [|x|^{-\mu} * |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \\ & + [|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \} dx \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, by differential mean value theorem and Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \right|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \\ & \leq C (|v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1} \cdot |v| + |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}})^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \\ & \leq C |v_n - v|^{(2^*_{\mu,s}-1) \cdot \frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \cdot |v|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} + C |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s} \cdot \frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \\ & = C |v_n - v|^{\frac{N+2s-\mu}{N-2s} \cdot \frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \cdot |v|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} + C |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \\ & \leq \delta |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} + C_\delta |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$H_{\delta,n}(x) := \max\{|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \Big| \frac{2N}{2N-\mu} - \delta |v_n - v|^{2^*_s}, 0\}.$$

Then $0 \leq H_{\delta,n}(x) \leq C_\delta |v|^{2^*_s} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $H_{\delta,n}(x) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . Again by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_{\delta,n}(x) dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, with a similar argument as the proof of (3.9) we can prove that $|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Noting that -10pt

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) \{ [|x|^{-\mu} * |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - [|x|^{-\mu} * |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) [|x|^{-\mu} * (|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}})] (|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) [|x|^{-\mu} * (|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}})] |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

For I_1 , by (Q) and the boundedness of $\{\|v_n\|\}$, which together with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) [|x|^{-\mu} * (|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}})] (|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}) dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) [|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) [|x|^{-\mu} * (|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}})] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}) dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) [|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}] (|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}) dx \right| \\ &\leq C \| |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \|_{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \cdot \| |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \|_{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \\ & \quad + C \| |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \|_{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \cdot \| |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \|_{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \\ &\leq C \| |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \|_{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) [|x|^{-\mu} * (|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}})] |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) [|x|^{-\mu} * (|v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}})] |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\
 &= I_2^1 + I_2^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By [19], we have $|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \in L^{\frac{2N}{\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Combining the fact that $|v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by the definition of weak convergence one has $I_2^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Again by (Q), the boundedness of $\{|v_n|\}$ and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_2^1| &\leq C \| |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \|_{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \cdot \| |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \|_{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \\
 &\leq C \| |v_n|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} - |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \|_{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \\
 &\rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So (3.10) is completely proved. It follows from (3.5)-(3.10) and Step 2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n - v) &= \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n) - \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v) + o(1) = \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n) \\
 &\quad - J_{V(y_0), P(y_0), Q(y_0)}(v) + o(1) = o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \tilde{I}'_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n - v), v_n - v \rangle = 0.$$

Consequently, by (f₃), (Q) and (2.6) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 o(1) &= \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n - v) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{I}'_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n - v), v_n - v \rangle \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} f(v_n - v)(v_n - v) - F(v_n - v) \right] dx \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon_n}(x)[|x|^{-\mu} * |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22^*_{\mu,s}} \right) \gamma_{\min} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|x|^{-\mu} * |v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|v_n - v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}} dx \\
 &\geq C \|v_n - v\|^{22^*_{\mu,s}},
 \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This completes the proof. □

In the following, we give the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 1 By Lemma 16, problem (1.4) admits a positive solution u_ε for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Hence $w_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ is a positive solution of (1.1). Let x_ε and z_ε be the maximum points of w_ε and u_ε , respectively. Then $x_\varepsilon = \varepsilon z_\varepsilon$. Set

$v_\varepsilon(x) := w_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x + x_\varepsilon)$. Then $w_\varepsilon(x) = v_\varepsilon\left(\frac{x-x_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)$. By Lemma 17, for any $x_\varepsilon \rightarrow x_0$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{dist}(x_\varepsilon, \mathcal{S}_P) = 0$ and v_ε converges in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to a positive ground state solution of

$$(-\Delta)^s v + V(x_0)v = P(x_0)f(v) + Q(x_0)[|x|^{-\mu} * |v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}]|v|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2 Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_V = 0 \in \mathcal{C}_V$ in (1.3). Consequently, by (1.3) we set $e := P(0) \geq P(x)$ for all $|x| \geq R$. By the proof of Lemma 6, for any $\alpha \in [\alpha_{\min}, \alpha_\infty)$, $\beta \in [\beta_\infty, \beta_{\max}]$ and $\gamma \in [\gamma_{\min}, \gamma_{\max}]$ we have

$$m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} < \frac{1}{\gamma^{\frac{N-2s}{N+2s-\mu}}} \cdot \frac{N + 2s - \mu}{2(2N - \mu)} \cdot S_H^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N+2s-\mu}}. \tag{3.11}$$

Arguing as before, the same conclusion in Lemma 8 holds for the present case. Instead of Lemma 9, one has

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\varepsilon \leq m_{\alpha_Q, e, \gamma_{\max}}.$$

Moreover, by only truncating the potential V and P with $a \in (\alpha_Q, \alpha_\infty)$ we deduce that $c_\varepsilon^{a,e} \geq m_{a,e,\gamma_{\max}}$. Consequently, by (3.11) we have

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\varepsilon < \frac{1}{\gamma^{\frac{N-2s}{N+2s-\mu}}} \cdot \frac{N + 2s - \mu}{2(2N - \mu)} \cdot S_H^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N+2s-\mu}}.$$

By the characterization of c_ε we can choose a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ of I_ε at c_ε , which is positive and bounded $(PS)_{c_\varepsilon}$ for I_ε and $u_n \rightarrow u_\varepsilon$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using a standard argument (see Lemma 6), we can prove that $I'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = 0$. We claim that $u_\varepsilon \neq 0$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Otherwise, there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$ with $u_{\varepsilon_j} = 0$. Take $a \in (\alpha_Q, \alpha_\infty)$. Considering the functional $I_{\varepsilon_j}^{a,e}$, we repeat the arguments in Lemma 16 to obtain a contradiction. Hence the assertion is valid. The rest proof is similar to the analysis in that of Theorem 1. This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgements This work was done when the first author visited Department of Mathematics, Tsinghua University under the support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12026228), and he thanks Professor Wenming Zou for his careful guidance. The first author is also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11801153; 12026227; 12161033) and the Yunnan Province Applied Basic Research for General Project (2019FB001) and Youth Outstanding-notch Talent Support Program in Yunnan Province and the project funds of Xingdian Talent Support Program.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Ambrosio, V.: Multiplicity and concentration results for a fractional Choquard equation via penalization method. *Potential Anal.* **50**(1), 55–82 (2019)
2. Ashyralyev, A., Hicdurmaz, B.: Bounded solutions of second order of accuracy difference schemes for semilinear fractional Schrödinger equations. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **23**(6), 1723–1761 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1515/fca-2020-0086>
3. Alves, C.O., Miyagaki, O.H.: Existence and concentration of solution for a class of fractional elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^N via penalization method. *Calc. Var.* **55**, Art. 47 (2016)
4. Alves, C.O., Gao, F., Squassina, M., Yang, M.: Singularly perturbed critical Choquard equations. *J. Differential Equations* **263**(7), 3943–3988 (2017)
5. Belchior, P., Bueno, H., Miyagaki, O.H., Pereira, G.A.: Remarks about a fractional Choquard equation: Ground state, regularity and polynomial decay. *Nonlinear Anal.* **164**, 38–53 (2017)
6. Ekeland, I.: On the variational principle. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **47**, 324–353 (1974)
7. Guo, Q., He, X.: Semiclassical states for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth. *Nonlinear Anal.* **151**, 164–186 (2017)
8. Guo, L., Hu, T.: Existence and asymptotic behavior of the least energy solutions for fractional Choquard equations with potential well. *Math. Method Appl. Sci.* **41**(3), 1145–1161 (2018)
9. Ghimenti, M., Van Schaftingen, J.: Nodal solutions for the Choquard equation. *J. Funct. Anal.* **271**(1), 107–135 (2016)
10. Gao, F., Yang, M.: The Brezis-Nirenberg type critical problem for nonlinear Choquard equation. *Sci. China Math.* **61**(7), 1219–1242 (2018)
11. He, X., Zou, W.: Existence and concentration result for the fractional Schrödinger equations with critical nonlinearities. *Calc. Var.* **55**, Art. 91 (2016)
12. Lieb, E.H., Loss, M.: *Analysis*. 2nd Ed., Grad. Stud. Math. Vol. 14, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (2001)
13. Li, L., Tersian, S.: Fractional problems with critical nonlinearities by a sublinear perturbation. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **23**(2), 484–503 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1515/fca-2020-0023>
14. Li, Q., Teng, K., Wu, X.: Ground states for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth. *J. Math. Phys.* **59**(3), Art. 033504 (2018)
15. Li, Q., Teng, K., Wu, X., Wang, W.: Existence of nontrivial solutions for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical or supercritical growth. *Math. Method Appl. Sci.* **42**(5), 1480–1487 (2019)
16. Li, Q., Teng, K., Zhang, J.: Ground state solutions for fractional Choquard equations involving upper critical exponent. *Nonlinear Anal.* **197**, Art. 111846 (2020)
17. Li, Q., Zhang, J., Wang, W., Teng, K.: Existence of nontrivial solutions for fractional Choquard equations with critical or supercritical growth. *Appl. Anal.* **101**(3), 849–857 (2022)
18. Mukherjee, T., Sreenadh, K.: Fractional Choquard equation with critical nonlinearities. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **24**(6), Art. 63, 34 pp. (2017)
19. Moroz, V., Van Schaftingen, J.: Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: Existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics. *J. Funct. Anal.* **265**(2), 153–184 (2013)
20. Moroz, V., Van Schaftingen, J.: Existence of groundstates for a class of nonlinear Choquard equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367**(9), 6557–6579 (2015)
21. Ma, L., Zhao, L.: Classification of positive solitary solutions of the nonlinear Choquard equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **195**(2), 455–467 (2010)
22. Ma, P., Zhang, J.: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for fractional Choquard equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **164**, 100–117 (2017)
23. Di Nezza, E., Palatucci, G., Valdinoci, E.: Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **136**(5), 521–573 (2012)
24. Simon, T.: On the Green function of the killed fractional Laplacian on the periodic domain. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **24**(5), 1629–1635 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1515/fca-2021-0069>
25. Szulkin, A., Weth, T.: The method of Nehari manifold. In: Gao, D.Y., Motreanu, D. (eds.) *Handbook of Nonconvex Analysis and Applications*, pp. 597–632. International Press, Boston (2010)
26. Shang, X., Zhang, J.: Ground states for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth. *Nonlinearity* **27**(2), 187–207 (2014)
27. Shang, X., Zhang, J.: Concentrating solutions of nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation with potentials. *J. Differential Equations* **258**(4), 1106–1128 (2015)
28. Willem, M.: *Minimax Theorems*. Birkhäuser, Boston (1996)

29. Zhang, W., Zhang, J., Mi, H.: On fractional Schrödinger equation with periodic and asymptotically periodic conditions. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **74**(6), 1321–1332 (2017)
30. Zhang, J., Zhang, W., Tang, X.: Ground state solutions for Hamiltonian elliptic system with inverse square potential. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **37**(8), 4565–4583 (2017)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.