ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Mechanical, wear, fatigue, and water absorption behavior of epoxy biocomposite toughened using marine waste *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* **pen chitosan biopolymer and pineapple plain‑weaved fber**

V. Boobesh nathan1 · R. Soundararajan1 · B. Sanjay Gandhi2 · P. Sathiya3

Received: 17 December 2023 / Revised: 8 May 2024 / Accepted: 14 May 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024

Abstract

This study investigates the characteristics of epoxy biocomposites strengthened using *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* chitosan biopolymer and reinforced using plain-weaved pineapple fber mats. Fabricated through the hand layup method, these composites underwent systematic characterization, evaluating their mechanical, wear, fatigue, and water absorption behaviors in compliance with ASTM standards. Among the various composite designs, EP3 (chitosan of 3 vol.%), comprising 30 vol.% pineapple fber and 2 vol.% chitosan particles, demonstrated superior mechanical strength and fatigue resistance. In terms of mechanical properties, EP3 exhibited a tensile strength of 132 MPa, fexural strength of 191 MPa, compressive strength of 171 MPa, impact energy of 4.27 J, V-notch rail shear of 24 MPa, and plane shear of 81 MPa. Additionally, composite EP3 demonstrated improved fatigue life counts with the applied stress level of 18.4 MPa for 1×10^6 life counts. This indicates that the composites are suitable for repeated load-bearing applications. The incorporation of *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* chitosan biopolymer as a toughening agent in the epoxy matrix enhanced the overall mechanical and fatigue performance, while the pineapple plain-weaved fber mats acted as reinforcing elements, strengthening structural integrity and strength. Furthermore, a higher chitosan concentration led to improved wear resistance, as evidenced by EP4's (chitosan of 5 vol.%) reduced specific wear rate of $0.009 \text{ mm}^3/\text{Nm}$ and coefficient of friction of 0.22. However, the larger chitosan content also resulted in increased water absorption, with EP4 (chitosan of 3 vol.%) reaching a water absorption percentage of 0.36%. These fndings provide valuable insights into the collaborative impact of *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* chitosan biopolymer and pineapple fber reinforcement on the mechanical, wear, fatigue, and water absorption properties of epoxy biocomposites. These properties improved composites that could be used in making car door inner panels, modular kitchen slabs, temporary partitions, and thermal insulated food storage tanks.

Keywords Composites · Fiber · Biopolymer · Mechanical properties · Wear · Fatigue

 \boxtimes R. Soundararajan soundararajan.mtech@gmail.com

> V. Boobesh nathan boobeshnathan1981@gmail.com

B. Sanjay Gandhi sanjaygandhimech@gmail.com

P. Sathiya psathiya@nitt.edu

- ¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sri Krishna College of Engineering and Technology, Coimbatore, India
- ² Department of Mechanical Engineering, Gnanamani College of Technology, Namakkal, India
- ³ Department of Production Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Trichy, India

Published online: 25 May 2024

1 Introduction

The use of biocomposite materials in various engineering applications is more signifcant when compared to synthetic composite materials, mainly due to its renewable, less expensive, biodegradability, less dense, and higher strength and stifness [\[1](#page-12-0)]. These biocomposite materials comprise a combination of organic and inorganic elements, encompassing natural and synthetic polymers, polysaccharides, proteins, ceramics, metals, and nanocarbons. Based on the matrix which is used for reinforcement, the composite is classifed into polymer matrix, metal matrix, ceramics matrix, carbon matrix, etc. Among the polymer matrices are most commonly used across many industries due to their low specifc weight, high material stability against corrosion, good electrical and thermal insulation, ease of shaping, and mass production, etc. [[2](#page-12-1), [3](#page-12-2)]. Besides these advantages, the growing attention on climate change mitigation against environmental pollution makes the nations around the world utilize eco-friendly, biodegradable components. As a consequence, biosynthesized polymers are utilized in the biocomposite material. Biopolymers are molecular chains composed of repetitive chemical units derived from sustainable sources, and they possess the ability to undergo degradation in the environment [[4\]](#page-12-3). The distinctive qualities of non-toxicity and biodegradability associated with biopolymers enhance their suitability for various applications, including but not limited to automotive, aviation, electronics, medical devices, energy, and food packaging [[5,](#page-12-4) [6\]](#page-12-5).

Chitosan stands out as a biopolymer polysaccharide, resulting from the deacetylation process of chitin molecules. This linear chain polysaccharide, chitosan, comprised B-(1–4)-linked p-glucosamine and *N*-acetyl-p-glucosamine units distributed randomly. The existence of free amine groups along the chitosan chains facilitates the formation of crosslinked polymeric networks when combined with dicarboxylic acids, contributing to the enhancement of chitosan's mechanical properties [[7](#page-12-6), [8\]](#page-12-7). These chitosan molecules are obtained from the outer skeleton of shellfsh and crustaceans, including crab, squid (*Sepioteuthis sepioidea*), lobsters, shrimps, and sea urchins. Among those varieties, Sepioteuthis sepioidea is considered as a sea floor-dwelling invertebrate belonging to the phylum Echinodermata, having high nutritional content such as vitamins, proteins, minerals, fatty acids, and polysaccharides, and it also possesses certain medicinal properties such as anticancer, antithrombotic, antimicrobial, and antioxidant [[9\]](#page-12-8). Most of the center bone pen waste from this *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* is considered as waste and remains unused, which might create pollution because of dumping that waste in open space. But it is a rich source of chitin as well as chitosan. So, in order to use their waste in an economically benefcial way, it is used for extraction of chitosan biopolymer from it, and there were various research studies done on chitosan contained seabased species under diferent applications [[10](#page-12-9)].

In the investigation conducted by Arun Prakash et al. [[11](#page-12-10)], the focus was on characterizing silanized Echinoidea fllers and kenaf fber-reinforced *Azadirachta indica*-blended epoxy multi-hybrid biocomposite. The study's fndings led to the conclusion that the incorporation of surface-modifed sea urchin biofller and kenaf fber resulted in an enhancement of both mechanical and thermal properties. Additionally, the adhesion of the composite material was notably improved as a result of these modifcations. Similarly, Arociam et al. [\[12\]](#page-12-11) investigated on mechanical and thermal characterization of additive manufactured fsh scale powder reinforced PLA composite. The author reported that the addition of 20% fsh scale powder increased the tensile strength and fexural strength of the 3D printed PLA composite in the range of 15% and 39.78% respectively. Similarly, Rumengan et al. [[13](#page-12-12)] conducted a study on the characterization of chitin extracted from fsh scales of marine fsh species like *Chlorurus soridus* and *Lutjanus argentimaculatus* by analyzing through FTIR under infrared spectroscopy. The author reported that both contained chitin of 45% and 33% and also resulted that this chitin-extracted fsh species has also contained the hydroxyl amine band spectra of up to 3500 cm^{-1} . Moreover from this chitin, easily the chitosan bio-polymer could be derived as post-process. Similarly, there were many studies done under sea urchins on bioflm, packaging, and biomedical application [\[14](#page-12-13), [15\]](#page-12-14). Like this, there were very minimal studies done on syntheses of chitosan from seabased species as well as *Sepioteuthis sepioidea.*

In addition to these advantageous features in the chitosan, the inclusion of natural fber into composite material further enhances the strength, stifness, and properties of the composite materials. Further, the natural fber reinforcement provides less density, high specifc strength, and better thermal insulation, chemical resistance, and biodegradability properties when compared to artifcial synthetic fbers [\[16](#page-12-15)]. Moreover, these natural fbers can be obtained from various stem, fower, root, leaf, and fruit parts of diferent plant species. For instance, the root part of *Solanum tuberosum*, the leaf part of pineapple, the fruit part of coir, the fower part of banana, the stem, the bast part of kenaf, etc. Extracting natural leaf fbers, like those from pineapple plants, is particularly straightforward due to an easy retting process facilitated by the high cellulose content in the leaves. These leaf fibers offer notable strengths and stiffness, consume less energy during extraction, are environmentally friendly, and provide superior insulation properties compared to synthetic fber-based composites [\[17](#page-12-16)]. Pineapple leaf fbers are widely acknowledged for their exceptional characteristics, including low machining wear, cost-efectiveness, and excellent performance in various engineering applications. These attributes position them as superior reinforcing materials when compared to other plant fibers. Typically comprising 70–82% cellulose, 5–12% lignin, and 1.1% ash content, pineapple leaf fbers boast a high cellulose content, which signifcantly contributes to heightened tensile and fexural strength in composite materials [[18\]](#page-12-17).

In a study conducted by Praveena et al. [\[19](#page-12-18)], the mechanical properties and water absorption behavior of polymer composites reinforced with pineapple leaf fibers were investigated. The research reported that an increase in fber addition led to improvements in tensile strength, fexural strength, Young's modulus, impact strength, and water absorption behavior of the composite material. Similarly, Saha et al. [[20\]](#page-12-19) conducted an analysis on the mechanical properties of polymeric composites reinforced with pineapple leaf particulates. The study revealed that the addition of pineapple leaf fbers resulted in enhanced interfacial adhesion, improved Young's modulus in both longitudinal and transverse directions, and improved shear modulus in both in-plane and out-plane orientations of the composite material. Furthermore, Kohphaisansombat et al. [[21\]](#page-12-20) studied the performance of mycelium-based composites derived from spent coffee grounds with pineapple fiber reinforcement. The result revealed that the addition of 10 wt.% of pineapple fber shows maximum compressive strength, and the addition of 30wt.% of pineapple fber represents enhanced bending strength and water absorption behavior of the composite material. Because of their specifc features and improved mechanical and thermal properties of the material, it has been studied many researches under various applications [\[22\]](#page-12-21).

Thus, the current development in composite felds attributes new innovations in material science. Especially, biopolymer chitosan reinforcement enhances the mechanical, wear, and water absorption behavior of the composite material. But there were no such studies done on the extraction of chitosan from *Sepioteuthis Sepioidea*. Moreover, there is no research study to the best of our knowledge conducted on the biocomposite comprising chitosan derived from *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* and pineapple leaf fber mat with epoxy resin. Therefore, the present study aims to investigate the mechanical, wear, fatigue, and water absorption behavior of the chitosan biopolymer and natural pineapple leaf fberreinforced epoxy biocomposite. Thus, this study makes an opportunity for academicians and researchers to study more about this biopolymer and natural fber-reinforced composite. Thus, this biocomposite could be applied in wide sectors such as automation, aviation, military, biomedical, and pharmaceutical industries.

2 Experimental procedure

2.1 Materials

In this study, the epoxy resin employed was a liquid DGEBA (LY566) characterized by an average molecular weight of 190 g/mol and a kinematic viscosity of 12,000 cps. The curing agent used was triethylenetetramine (TETA) HY951, recognized for its low viscosity aliphatic amine properties, boasting a viscosity of 20 cps and a density of 0.98 g/cm^3 . Both the epoxy and the curing agent are sourced from Huntsman India Ltd., Mumbai, India. The *Sepioteuthis Sepioidea* needed for chitosan production was obtained from fshermen in the southern coastal regions of India. The reinforcing material, a plain weaved woven mat of pineapple fbers (250 GSM) with a thickness of 0.63 mm and a density of 1.4 g/ cm³, was procured from Metro Composites, Chennai, India.

2.2 Preparation of chitosan biopolymer

The production of chitosan involves various chemical processes, including decalcifcation, deproteinization, decolorization, and deacetylation. The demineralization of *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* pen is commonly done using mild HCl solutions at room temperature, although other acids such as $HNO₃, H₂SO₄$, and $CH₃COOH$ may also be employed. The concentration of acid and the treatment duration depends on the chitin source. Pen deproteinization is carried out using diluted NaOH solutions at temperatures ranging from 65 to 100 °C for 72 h. To convert chitin into chitosan, deacetylation is typically achieved through the hydrolysis of acetamide groups using concentrated NaOH or KOH (40–50%) at temperatures exceeding 100 °C. The resulting chitosan particles are further subjected to ball milling process to reduce the particle size. A planetary ball mill was used to reduce the particle size further. About 50 g of chitosan particle is taken in the ball mill jar and rotated at the RPM of 300 for 2 h. The powder-to-ball ratio used was 1:15, and the ball material used here was tungsten carbide (WC). There are a total of 10 balls with a diameter of 10 mm used [[23\]](#page-12-22).

Figure [1](#page-3-0) represents the chitosan preparation from *Sepioteuthis sepioidea*. Figure [2a](#page-3-1)–c shows the SEM, XRD, and PSA histogram patterns of *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* penderived chitosan. In Fig. [2](#page-3-1)a, the SEM micrograph shows the chitosan microparticles produced from the waste *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* pen. Based on the scale, it is clear that the average size of chitosan powder prepared is about 1–3 μm. Similarly, the particle size histogram shows the particle size ranging between 1 and 3 μm. Moreover, an amorphous diffraction pattern may be seen in the XRD of chitosan powder. Rather than the characteristic acute peaks at 2*θ*=9.6° and 28.61° reported in the difractogram of chitosan powder, the flms display a broad maximum of about 19.84°. Similarly, Fig. [3](#page-4-0) shows the FTIR spectra of chitosan biopolymer prepared. The functional peak at 3473.33 cm−1 indicates the N–H stretch from the chitosan biopolymer which is responsible for making tough bonds with resin. Similarly, other functional peaks 2916.37, 1643.35, 1427.32, 1157.29, and 894.97 cm⁻¹ indicate the C-H stretch, C-O stretch, C-H stretch, C-O bend, and C–O–C rock confrm the other secondary functional peaks of chitosan prepared. This confrms that the particles formed are chitosan.

2.3 Preparation of composites

In this study, the hand layup process is employed in the preparation of composites, starting with the cleaning of the lay-up surface. Following the cleaning, wax is applied to facilitate the easy removal of the composite laminate and achieve a smooth surface fnish. A silicon rubber is utilized to make the required size of the mold cavity, and the edges were adhesively bonded.

Fig. 1 Chitosan preparation from Sepioteuthis sepioidea pen

Fig. 2 SEM, XRD, and particle size histogram patterns of Sepioteuthis sepioidea pen-derived chitosan

Fig. 3 FTIR spectra of synthesized chitosan from Sepioteuthis sepioidea pen

Table 1 Composite designation with diferent combinations

The epoxy resin is collected in a cleaned glass beaker, which is then flled with chitosan particles of the required volume. The volume is calculated from the true density of chitosan and fber. It is measured using a pycnometer via the equation $\rho = [(M2-M1)/(((M3-M1)))/((M4-M2))] \times \rho t$, where the mass of the pycnometer and pycnometer flled with fber or particle was represented by M1 and M2. The mass of the pycnometer with toluene and the mass of the pycnometer with toluene and fber were represented by M3 and M4, respectively. *ρt*=0.87 g/ml is the density of toluene. Thus, from the above equation, the true density is calculated, and from that, the true volume of chitosan and fber is calculated. Stirring is continued using an ultrasonicator for 20 min to get a homogeneous admixture of resin-chitosan free of particle lumps. Next, the hardener, constituting up to 10% of the total weight of resin, is added to the admixture and stirred gently until the complete hardener is mixed with the resin-chitosan mix. In total, three woven mat fber layers were laid one by one to make the composite along with resin-chitosan admixture. The curing process takes place for 24 h at room temperature and further post-cured at 120 $^{\circ}$ C for 48 h in a hot air oven [\[24](#page-12-23)]. Table [1](#page-4-1) presents the composite designations with diferent combinations of fber and chitosan particles. Similarly, Fig. [4](#page-4-2) shows the photographic view of the EP2 composite prepared.

Fig. 4 Photographic view of EP1 composite prepared using pineapple fber and chitosan biopolymer

3 Characterizations

To fnd any noticeable surface faults, the epoxy-based *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* chitosan dispersed with pineapple fber mat composite is visually inspected. According to the ASTM standards and specifcations test, specimens were cut out of the laminates using an abrasive jet machine (Maxiem water jets, 1515 KENT). The machine runs with a maximum 220 psi jet pressure, 0.3 g/s abrasive fow rate, 1.1 mm nozzle diameter, and 3 mm SOD throughout the processes. Table [2](#page-5-0) presents the mechanical, fatigue, wear, and water absorption testing process parameters and its testing machinery details. Figure [5](#page-5-1) represents the test specimens which have been cut according to the ASTM standards.

Fig. 5 Test specimens of EP4 as per ASTM standards

4 Results and discussions

4.1 Mechanical characteristics

4.1.1 Tensile strength

Figures [6](#page-6-0)a and [7a](#page-6-1) illustrate the tensile strength and stress–strain graphs of various composite designations, including EP, EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4. The plain epoxy composite, EP, demonstrates a tensile strength of 63 MPa, which is relatively lower compared to other composite designations. This diminished value can be attributed to the absence of reinforcement in the matrix. However, the introduction of pineapple fber at 30 vol.% signifcantly enhances the tensile strength, resulting in a 61.9 % improvement for EP1. The incorporation of pineapple fbers reinforces the matrix, providing better load-bearing capacity and consequently improving tensile strength [[25](#page-12-24)]. Furthermore, the additional inclusion of chitosan fller particles at 1, 3, and 5 vol.% contributes to a further enhancement in tensile strength for EP2, EP3, and EP4. Notably, EP3 exhibits a high tensile strength of 132 MPa. The presence of chitosan in the matrix improves toughness and stifness, flling microvoid spaces and enhancing the overall structural integrity of the matrix [\[26\]](#page-12-25). However, at higher volumes of chitosan, there is a slight reduction in tensile strength. Consequently, EP4 delivers a tensile strength of 124 MPa, which is 6.06% lower than the EP2 composite designation. This observed decrease may be attributed to agglomeration or over-rigidity in the matrix resulting from the higher volume of chitosan particles.

Fig. 6 Mechanical characteristics including a tensile strength, b fexural and compressive strength, c impact energy, d hardness, e V-notch rail shear, and f plane shear, for various composite designations

4.1.2 Flexural strength

Figures [6](#page-6-0)b and [7b](#page-6-1) display the fexural strength values exhibited by various composite designations, namely EP, EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4. The initial composite, EP, demonstrates a fexural strength of 102 MPa. The inherent brittleness and lack of fller or fber content contribute to the lower fexural strength in EP composite designations [[27\]](#page-12-26). However, the introduction of pineapple fber into the matrix improves fexural strength. These fbers, present in the matrix, absorb and distribute applied stress throughout the structure, resisting the load and enhancing bending strength. Consequently, EP1, with 30 vol.% of pineapple fber, exhibits a fexural strength of 138 MPa. Moreover, the inclusion of fller content further enhances fexural strength. EP2, EP3, and EP4, with chitosan filler content, exhibit flexural strengths of 161 MPa, 177 MPa, and 162 MPa, respectively. Notably, EP2 delivers superior fexural strength among the composite designations. Chitosan in the matrix acts as reinforcement, improving the interaction between the matrix and pineapple fbers [\[28](#page-12-27)]. This enhanced adhesion and compatibility at the interface reduce the likelihood of fber-matrix separation, contributing to better load transfer and increased fexural strength. Therefore, cluster particle formation at higher volumes caused a reduction in fexural strength which was observed in EP4.

4.1.3 Compression strength

In Fig. [6](#page-6-0)b, the compression strength values of various composite designations, including EP, EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4, are presented. The baseline composite, EP, demonstrates compression strength of 75 MPa. The pure epoxy matrix has limited energy absorption capacity, which not efectively absorbs and dissipates the applied energy, leading to the relatively modest compression strength observed in EP. However, with the introduction of pineapple fber (at 30 vol.%) into the matrix, there is a noticeable enhancement in compression strength. The inclusion of pineapple fbers in the matrix improves load distribution within the material. As the fbers bear the compressive load, they help spread the stress more evenly across the composite. This even distribution minimizes stress concentration points and enhances the material's ability to withstand compression. Consequently, EP1, with a composition of 30 vol.% pineapple fber, showcases a substantial increase in compression strength, reaching 118 MPa. Furthermore, the incorporation of chitosan fller content serves to further augment compression strength. EP2, EP3, and EP4, each featuring varying levels of chitosan, exhibit compression strengths of 125 MPa, 171 MPa, and 162 MPa, respectively. Notably, EP3 stands out with the highest compression strength in this set. The chitosan in the matrix enhances the overall strength of the composite. The toughening efect of chitosan helps resist compressive forces and prevents the initiation and propagation of cracks, leading to improved compression strength. It is important to highlight that the observed decrease in compression strength in EP4 may be attributed to the formation of cluster particles at higher chitosan volumes, introducing a distinct infuence on the overall compressive performance [[29](#page-13-0)].

4.1.4 Impact toughness

The impact energy of diferent composite designations is shown in Fig. [6c](#page-6-0). The initial composite designation EP designation exhibits a low impact energy of 0.35 J. This lower impact energy is attributed by the presence of microvoids presence in the matrix. When impact force is applied, stress is concentrated around these voids, and fractures initiate from these points. Fracture initiation often leads to crack propagation, which further diminishes the material's resistance to impact. However, impact resistance improved with the addition of 30 vol.% of pineapple fber in the matrix; thus, EP1 delivers an impact energy of 3.61 J. This is because of pineapple fbers in the matrix, which provide fexibility to the composite, allowing it to deform under impact without fracturing. This fexibility helps absorb and distribute the impact energy, reducing the severity of the damage. Further addition of chitosan further improves the impact resistance. Thus, EP2, EP3, and EP4 are observed with impact energy of 4.02 J, 4.27 J, and 4.14 J. Thus, chitosan, along with pineapple fbers, contributes to reducing the formation and propagation of microcracks within the composite. By reinforcing the matrix and preventing or mitigating microcracking, chitosan and pineapple fbers collectively improve impact resistance. However, agglomeration of chitosan results in reduced impact energy which is observed in EP4.

4.2 Hardness

In Fig. [6](#page-6-0)d, the Shore-D hardness values of diferent composite designations, namely EP, EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4, are depicted. The initial epoxy matrix, EP, exhibits a hardness of 84. The introduction of pineapple fbers in EP1 leads to a slight increase in hardness to 85. The subsequent addition of chitosan further elevates the hardness levels in EP2, EP3, and EP4, reaching values of 86, 87, and 89, respectively. The observed enhancement in hardness is attributed to the combined reinforcing efects of chitosan and pineapple fbers within the composite matrix. Pineapple fbers contribute inherent strength and stifness, while chitosan brings tough-ening properties to the material [[30](#page-13-1)]. This collaborative action creates a synergistic efect, signifcantly improving the material's ability to resist deformation and, consequently, elevating its overall hardness. Moreover, the inclusion of pineapple fbers not only reinforces the matrix but also contributes to the efective load transfer mechanism within the composite. This efficient load transfer minimizes stress concentrations, resulting in increased hardness. Additionally, the compatibility of chitosan and pineapple fbers with the matrix material enhances the overall cohesion, providing a strong bond at the fber-matrix interface that contributes to the improved hardness of the composite.

4.2.1 V‑notch shear

In Fig. [6e](#page-6-0), the V-notch shear strength values of diferent composite designations are depicted. Notably, EP1 exhibits a signifcant shear strength of 14 MPa, a performance attributed to the inclusion of pineapple fbers. The inherent strength and stifness of these fbers play a crucial role in reinforcing the matrix against shear forces [[31\]](#page-13-2), contributing to the observed increase in shear strength by preventing or delaying material failure. Upon further enhancement with chitosan fller content, the V-notch shear strength in EP2, EP3, and EP4 continues to improve. Specifcally, EP3 stands out with a notable shear strength of 24 MPa. The addition of chitosan introduces toughness to the composite matrix, complementing the reinforcing efects of pineapple fbers. This collaborative action between chitosan and pineapple fbers enables the absorption of energy during shear loading, mitigating the risk of sudden failure. The toughening efect further facilitates material deformation under shear forces, thereby contributing to the overall improvement in V-notch shear strength. However, it is noteworthy that EP4 demonstrates a reduction in shear strength. This decrease can be attributed to stress points formed by the higher volume of chitosan particles in the composite. The agglomeration or over-rigidity of chitosan particles may lead to localized stress concentrations, potentially diminishing the material's shear strength.

4.2.2 In‑plane shear strength

In Fig. [6](#page-6-0)f, the exhibited in-plane shear strength values for EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4 composite designations are presented. EP1 demonstrates a notable shear strength of 63 MPa, primarily attributed to the presence of pineapple fbers in the matrix. During in-plane shear loading, the strategic alignment of pineapple fbers plays a crucial role in redistributing and dispersing applied loads. This even distribution of stress helps mitigate the risk of localized stress concentrations, ultimately enhancing the overall shear strength of the composite. Furthermore, the incorporation of chitosan further enhances the in-plane shear strength for EP2, EP3, and EP4 composite designations. Notably, EP3 stands out with a high shear strength of 81 MPa. The synergistic efect of pineapple fbers and chitosan proves instrumental in inhibiting crack initiation and propagation within the material. Pineapple fbers act as reinforcements, fortifying the matrix, while chitosan, with its toughening properties, actively prevents the formation and spread of cracks. This proactive inhibition of crack development is critical for preserving the material's integrity and contributing to the improvement in in-plane shear strength. However, it is noteworthy that EP4 experiences a reduction in shear strength (76 MPa). This decline can be attributed to stress concentration points formed by clusters of a high volume of chitosan particles in the composite. The agglomeration or over-rigidity of these particles may lead to localized stress concentrations, potentially diminishing the material's inplane shear strength.

Figure [8](#page-8-0) shows the SEM tensile fractograph of composite designations EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4. It is noted that the addition of fber improved the toughness of the matrix that could be seen in the form of a wavy fracture. The addition of fber reduces the brittle fracture phenomenon by sharing the load across the matrix uniformly. Similarly, the addition of chitosan additionally into the resin further improved the toughness. The addition of chitosan bio-polymer frmly

Fig. 8 SEM tensile fractograph of a EP1 after tensile test, b EP2 after tensile test, c fber and fller interaction in EP3, and d EP4 after tensile fracture

improved the cross-linking density via the NH functional group reaction. The fewer amount of chitosan efectively dispersed both in the EP2 and EP4. The presence of shear cups in the matrix is the trace of improved toughness. However, the large dose of chitosan addition into the resin formed a cluster in the matrix and hindered the uniform load transfer. The larger cross-linking density via larger chitosan reduced the toughness and made the matrix brittle [[32](#page-13-3)]. Thus, river marks are spotted across the matrix indicating the increased brittleness in the matrix. The increased brittleness also produced more residual stress along the fber-matrix interface thereby producing fber-matrix de-lamination. Overall, the addition of chitosan bio-polymer improved the toughness of the composite along with cellulosic pineapple fber.

4.3 Wear behavior

The wear characteristics depicted in Fig. [9](#page-9-0) for composite designations EP, EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4 underscore a significant enhancement in wear resistance facilitated by the inclusion of chitosan particles. The observation strongly indicates that an increased content of chitosan further augments wear resistance. Specifically, the chitosan-inclusive composite designations EP2, EP3, and EP4 exhibit specific wear rates of $0.024 \text{ mm}^3/\text{Nm}$, 0.018 mm³/Nm, and 0.009 mm³/Nm, respectively. The coefficients of friction for these composites are measured at 0.41, 0.34, and 0.22. This noteworthy improvement in wear resistance is attributed to the reinforcing nature of chitosan, which not only toughens the matrix but also acts as a self-lubricating agent [\[33\]](#page-13-4), effectively reducing both friction and wear rates. Furthermore, the combination of pineapple and chitosan in the composite forms a densely packed structure with improved bonding, contributing

Fig. 9 Wear characteristics (Sp. wear rate and coefficient of frictions) for diferent composite designations

to a well-structured and integrity-enhanced composite material. This enhanced bonding plays a crucial role in preventing the separation of the matrix and filler, thereby significantly enhancing the material's resistance to wear. In comparison with the plain epoxy matrix, the high wear resistance composite EP4 demonstrates remarkable performance, featuring a 76% reduction in specific wear rate and a 63% reduction in the coefficient of friction compared to the EP composite designation. The inferior wear resistance observed in the EP composite is attributed to the soft and brittle nature inherent in the EP matrix, compounded by the absence of reinforcing particles.

Figure [10](#page-10-0) shows the SEM worn surface of the plain resin and *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* chitosan biopolymer dispersed pineapple fber epoxy composites. It is noted that the plain resin (Fig. [10](#page-10-0)a) gives an almost fat worn surface, indicating a high three-body abrasion happened surface. Since the plain resin is brittle, it easily breaks when the shear force is applied. This removed particles stuck between the abrasion disc and sample material thereby improving the three-body abrasion wear loss with the fully grained surface. However, Fig. [10b](#page-10-0) shows a slight wavy surface indicating high adhesion between the material and abrasion disc and irregular material removal. The presence of fber reduced the COF and reduced the frictional force. Thus, lower wear loss is noted. It is further noted that Fig. [10c](#page-10-0), d shows the worn surfaces of the composite containing 1 and 5 vol.% of chitosan particles (EP2 and EP4). The surface is wavy in nature indicating the improved adhesion wear loss. However, the total wear loss is less compared with plain resin since the addition of chitosan improved molecular bonding and reduced the free spaces. Thus, more shear force is required to remove the molecules from the sample, and this in turn improved the wear resistance.

4.4 Fatigue characteristics

The S–N curve of various composite designations is illustrated in Fig. [11.](#page-10-1) Upon observation, the EP composite designation displays comparatively lower fatigue counts. At 28 MPa, it gives 2100 life counts, and once the stress decreases, the counts increased. The plain resin achieved a 1×10^4 life counts at a stress level of 3.8 MPa. This is because of lower applied stress and less residual stress buildup. However, when the pineapple fber is included in the resin the stress level is increased. The composite designation EP1 withstands a higher stress level of 48 MPa and produces minimum life counts of 8200. This further increased with reduced stress level. The composite designation EP1 attains 1×10^6 life counts at a stress level of 8.2 MPa. This is about an increment of 115.7% compared with plain resin. This improvement is the reason for the efective reinforcement given by the pineapple fber. The presence of fber disperses

Fig. 10 SEM worn surface morphology of plain resin and composites after wear test

Fig. 11 S–N curves of diferent composite designations

the applied load uniformly; thus, the stress concentration points in the composite are less. This phenomenon increased fatigue life counts even after the applied stress is higher [\[34](#page-13-5)].

It is further noted that the addition of chitosan biopolymer into the resin further improved the fatigue life cycles. At higher stress level, the composite designation EP2 and EP3 gives reasonable fatigue life cycle increment. Compared with the plain resin at 1×10^6 life counts, the stress values are higher for EP2 and EP3. About 12.5 MPa and 18.4 MPa were recorded to achieve a minimum of 1×10^6 life counts. This improvement in fatigue life counts is the reason for the effective polymer toughening using chitosan. When adding the chitosan, the epoxy is toughened and reduces the accumulation of plastic strain. Thus, composites withstand higher fatigue life counts [[35](#page-13-6), [36\]](#page-13-7).

However, a slight reduction in fatigue counts is observed in the EP4 composite designation compared to EP3. The EP4 exhibits 1×10^6 life counts at a stress level of 11.3 MPa which is about 38.58% of reduction compared with EP3. This reduction is attributed to the agglomerated particles of chitosan, which form stress points in the matrix, initiating cracks during cyclic loading conditions and scored lesser stress levels.

Fig. 12 Water absorption percentage of diferent composite designations

4.5 Water absorption behavior

The analysis of Fig. [12](#page-11-0) indicates that the introduction of both pineapple fber and chitosan has led to an observable increase in the water absorption percentage within the composite materials. Specifcally, EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4 exhibit water absorption percentages of 0.18, 0.25, 0.31, and 0.36, respectively.

Notably, an increasing trend emerged as the chitosan content rises, correlating with an augmentation in water absorption across the composite variations. This rise in water absorption is attributed to the inherent hydrophilic nature of both pineapple fibers and chitosan filler [\[37](#page-13-8)]. Both materials, being naturally hydrophilic, contribute to the increased water absorption observed in the composites. Pineapple fbers, similar to other natural fbers, actively facilitate capillary action within the composite structure. This action creates channels through which water is drawn into the composite material $[38]$ $[38]$. Moreover, the chitosan particles introduce additional hydrophilic functional groups, including amino and hydroxyl groups [[39\]](#page-13-10). These functional groups play a signifcant role in enhancing the water absorption capacity of chitosan. The formation of hydrogen bonds between these groups attracts more water via ion charge ($+$ or $-$) attraction and increment in surface energy [\[40\]](#page-13-11). This nature signifcantly increased the water molecule admittance into the composite under immersion.

5 Conclusions

In conclusion, this research elucidates the comprehensive assessment of epoxy biocomposites prepared with *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* pen chitosan biopolymer and pineapple plain-weaved fber mats. The following are the conducted research fndings.

- i. The mechanical properties, EP3 composite designation, prepared with 30 vol.% pineapple fber and 2 vol.% chitosan particles showcasing superior mechanical strength.
- ii. A highest tensile strength of 132 MPa, flexural strength of 191 MPa, compressive strength of 171 MPa, impact energy of 4.27 J, V-notch rail shear of 24 MPa, and plane shear of 81 MPa indicate that the composite with 2 vol.% of chitosan performed well in load-bearing effect. However, a larger dose of chitosan (5 vol.%) reduced the uniform load transfer.
- iii. Additionally, composite EP3 demonstrated improved fatigue life counts with the applied stress level of 18.4 MPa for 1×10^6 life counts. This indicates that the composites are suitable for repeated load-bearing applications.
- iv. However, EP4 composite designation with a higher chitosan content exhibits improved wear resistance, as evidenced by reduced specifc wear rates and coefficients of friction of 0.009 mm³/Nm and 0.22 . This shows that the composites could be used as human prosthetic joints where high frictional force is prone.
- v. The water absorption behavior of composites shows controlled water intake though the hydrophilic chitosan particles are included. This indicates that these composites could be used for automotive outer panels receiving high rainfall hits during monsoons.
- vi. These outcomes reveal that the chitosan derived from *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* pen could be a potential reinforcement for polymer composites where a balance in load bearing, fatigue resistance, and hydrophobity is required.
- vii. Moreover, there is a new scope of research that could be done by using new marine waste biopolymeric sources for the development of sustainable composite materials for safe environmental uses.

Author contribution VBN and RS: research work and drafting. BSG and PS: testing and drafting supports.

Data availability All data are available in the manuscript.

Declarations

Ethical approval NA

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- 1. Kamarudin SH, Mohd Basri MS, Rayung M, Abu F, Ahmad SB, Norizan MN et al (2022) A review on natural fber reinforced polymer composites (NFRPC) for sustainable industrial applications. Polymers 14(17):3698
- 2. Thiagamani SMK, Sivakumar P, Srinivasan M, Yagna SNB, Hossein EK, Meena M et al (2023) Isolation and characterization of agro-waste biomass sapodilla seeds as reinforcement in potential polymer composite applications. Heliyon 9(7)
- 3. Mirzamohammadi S, Eslami-Farsani R, Ebrahimnezhad-Khaljiri H (2023) The efect of hybridizing natural fbers and adding montmorillonite nanoparticles on the impact and bending properties of eco-friendly metal/composite laminates. Adv Eng Mater 25(12):2201791
- 4. Das A, Ringu T, Ghosh S, Pramanik N (2023) A comprehensive review on recent advances in preparation, physicochemical characterization, and bioengineering applications of biopolymers. Polym Bull 80(7):7247–7312
- 5. Agarwal A, Shaida B, Rastogi M, Singh NB (2023) Food packaging materials with special reference to biopolymers-properties and applications. Chem Afr 6(1):117–144
- 6. Rahman MZ, Rahman M, Mahbub T, Ashiquzzaman M, Sagadevan S, Hoque ME (2023) Advanced biopolymers for automobile and aviation engineering applications. J Polym Res 30(3):106
- 7. Qin L, Zhang Y, Fan Y, Li L (2023) Cellulose nanofbril reinforced functional chitosan biocomposite films. Polym Test 120:107964
- 8. Ogawa Y, Azuma K, Izawa H, Morimoto M, Ochi K, Osaki T et al (2017) Preparation and biocompatibility of a chitin nanofiber/gelatin composite film. Int J Biol Macromol 104:1882–1889
- 9. Sabilu Y, Jafriati RM (2022) Test of bioactitvity and antioxidant activity of sea urchin (diadema setosum) gonads as medicinal ingredients based on marine biodiversity. Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University 57(1)
- 10. Gulzar S, Tagrida M, Prodpran T, Li L, Benjakul S (2023) Packaging flms based on biopolymers from seafood processing wastes: preparation, properties, and their applications for shelflife extension of seafoods—a comprehensive review. Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf 22(6):4451–4483
- 11. Arun Prakash VR, Viswanathan R (2019) Fabrication and characterization of silanized echinoidea fllers and kenaf fbrereinforced Azadirachta-indica blended epoxy multi-hybrid biocomposite. Int J Plast Technol 23(2):207–217
- 12. Arockiam AJ, Rajesh S, Karthikeyan S, Thiagamani SMK, Padmanabhan RG, Hashem M et al (2023) Mechanical and thermal characterization of additive manufactured fsh scale powder reinforced PLA biocomposites. Mater Res Express 10(7):075504
- 13. Rumengan IFM, Rumampuk ND, Siby MS, Mandey LC, Luntungan AH, Citraningtyas G. The phenolic contents of bitter leaf (Vernonia amygdalina) extracts coated with the nanochitosan derived from fsh scales and its activity againts HIV-protease by autodock Vina simulation. COMMITTEE 118
- 14. Ferrario C, Rusconi F, Pulaj A, Macchi R, Landini P, Paroni M et al (2020) From food waste to innovative biomaterial: sea urchin-derived collagen for applications in skin regenerative medicine. Marine Drugs 18(8):414
- 15. Uğurlu E, Duysak Ö (2023) A study on the extraction of chitin and chitosan from the invasive sea urchin Diadema setosum from Iskenderun Bay in the northeastern Mediterranean. Environ Sci Pollut Res 30(8):21416–21424
- 16. Mohammed M, Oleiwi JK, Jawad AJAM, Mohammed AM, Osman AF, Rahman R et al (2023) Efect of zinc oxide surface treatment concentration and nanofller loading on the fexural properties of unsaturated polyester/kenaf nanocomposites. Heliyon 9(9)
- 17. Arifn AH, Latif NA, bin Mhmod MF, Mohamad, ZB (Eds.) (2023) Structural integrity and monitoring for composite materials. Springer Nature
- 18. Ng LF, Yahya MY, Leong HY, Parameswaranpillai J, Dzulkifi MH (2023) Evaluation of physical and mechanical properties of pineapple leaf and kenaf fabrics as potential reinforcement in bio-composites. Biomass Convers Biorefnery 1–10
- 19. Praveena BA, Shetty BP, Vinayaka N, Srikanth HV, Pratap S, Yadav S, Avinash L (2022) Mechanical properties and water absorption behaviour of pineapple leaf fbre reinforced polymer composites. Adv Mater Process Technol 8(2):1336–1351
- 20. Saha A, Kumar S, Zindani D, Bhowmik S (2021) Micromechanical analysis of the pineapple-reinforced polymeric composite by the inclusion of pineapple leaf particulates. In: Proceedings of the institution of mechanical engineers, Part L: Journal of materials: design and applications 235(5):1112–1127
- 21. Kohphaisansombat C, Jongpipitaporn Y, Laoratanakul P, Tantipaibulvut S, Euanorasetr J, Rungjindamai N et al (2023) Fabrication of mycelium (oyster mushroom)-based composites derived from spent coffee grounds with pineapple fibre reinforcement. Mycology 1–18
- 22. Arun Prakash VR, Xavier JF, Ramesh G, Maridurai T, Kumar KS, Raj RBS (2022) Mechanical, thermal and fatigue behaviour of surface-treated novel Caryota urens fbre–reinforced epoxy composite. Biomass Convers Biorefnery 12(12):5451–5461
- 23. Rajadurai A (2016) Thermo-mechanical characterization of siliconized E-glass fber/hematite particles reinforced epoxy resin hybrid composite. Appl Surf Sci 384:99–106
- 24. Rajadurai A (2017) Inter laminar shear strength behavior of acid, base and silane treated E-glass fbre epoxy resin composites on drilling process. Def Technol 13(1):40–46
- 25. Dharmalingam S, Sasikumar T (2023) Mechanical, wear, and fammability properties of silanized cow dung biosilica-dispersed corn husk Fiber-reinforced epoxy composites. Biomass Convers Biorefnery 1–11
- 26. Johnson P, Aurtherson PB, Suthan R, Madhu S (2023) Experimental investigation of pineapple fber and calcinated poultry egg shell powder epoxy composites. Biomass Convers Biorefnery 13(5):4385–4392
- 27. Prakash MO, Rebelly H, Shivakoti R, Gorremuchu K, Nalla D (2023) Study of Wear analysis of chitosan epoxy polymer composites. In: Second international conference on emerging trends in engineering (ICETE 2023). Atlantis Press, pp 1016–1021
- 28. Ranganathan S, Gopal S, Magudeeswaran T, Rangasamy R (2019) Exploration of dry sliding wear behaviour of sisal fber reinforced cashew nut shell liquid and epoxy polymer matrix composite as an alternative friction material in automobiles (No. 2019-28-0173). SAE Technical Paper
- 29. Ramamoorthi R, Soundararajan R, Jeyakumar R (2019) Experimental investigations of mechanical properties of sisal fber/ cashew nut shell dust strengthened hybrid epoxy composites. Indian J Sci Technol 12:9
- 30. Sivakumar NS, Thangarasu VS, Soundararajan R, Jayaseelan V (2023) Mechanical and machining behavior of betel nut fber/ leather/chitin-toughened epoxy hybrid composite. Biomass Convers Biorefnery 13(5):4365–4372
- 31. Soundararajan R, Dharunprakash E, Arjunkumaar N, Gowthamprasath AD (2024) Appraisal of mechanical and Tribological performance of Onyx and carbon Fiber composites produced through various layering approaches in continuous fused flament fabricated. J Inst Eng (India):Ser D 1–15
- 32. Wang C, Wang L, Zhang Q, Cheng L, Yue H, Xia X, Zhou H (2021) Preparation and characterization of apoacynum venetum cellulose nanofbers reinforced chitosan-based composite hydrogels. Colloids Surf B: Biointerfaces 199:111441
- 33. Karthick N, Soundararajan R, Arul R, Prasanth JA (2023) Evolution of tribological performance of polypropylene with carbon fbre composites fabricated through FDM technology by varying infll density. J Inst Eng India Ser D 1–8
- 34. Alshahrani H, Prakash VA (2022) Mechanical, fatigue and DMA behaviour of high content cellulosic corn husk fibre and orange peel biochar epoxy biocomposite: a greener material for cleaner production. J Clean Prod 374:133931
- 35. Sivamurugan P, Selvam R, Pandian M, Ashraf MS, Chakrapani IS, Thanikasalam A et al (2022) Extraction of novel biosilica from finger millet husk and its coconut rachilla-reinforced epoxy biocomposite: mechanical, thermal, and hydrophobic behaviour. Biomass Convers Biorefnery 1–9
- 36. Karthik A, Jeyakumar R, Sampath PS, Soundararajan R, Manikandan GK (2023) Study and fabrication of Fan blade using coconut leaf sheath fbre/epoxy-reinforced composite materials. Series D, Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India), pp 1–8
- 37. Sun Z, Chai L, Shu Y, Li Q, Liu M, Qiu D (2017) Chemical bond between chloride ions and surface carboxyl groups on activated carbon. Colloids Surf A Physicochem Eng Asp 530:53–59
- 38. Prakash VA, Bourchak M, Alshahrani H, Juhany KA (2023) Synthesis and characterization of lightweight unmanned aerial vehicle composite building material for defense application. Biomass Convers Biorefnery 1–12
- 39. Manikandan G, Jaiganesh V, Ravi Raja Malarvannan R, Arun Prakash VR (2021) Mechanical and delamination studies on siliconized chitosan and morinda-citrifolia natural fber-reinforced epoxy composite in drilling. Polym Compos 42(1):181–190
- 40. Alshahrani H, Arun Prakash VR (2023) Development of environmental friendly biocomposites using domestic discarded wastes as potential fbre and fller. Biomass Convers Biorefnery $1 - 12$

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.