#### **REVIEW ARTICLE**



# **Thermoplastic composites reinforced chemically modifed kenaf fbre: current progress on mechanical and dynamic mechanical properties**

**M. R. M. Asyraf1,2  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6471-0528) D. D. C. V. Sheng3 · N. N. Mas'ood4 · P. S. Khoo2**

Received: 22 January 2024 / Revised: 26 March 2024 / Accepted: 31 March 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024

#### **Abstract**

Many researchers are searching for viable substitutes for the highly polluting synthetic plastics/fbres, driven by the depletion of petroleum crude and the environmental risks posed by petroleum-based polymers. Hence, there is a mounting interest in the utilization of natural materials especially the reinforcement materials. This is because the use of lignocellulose derived from natural fbres is seen to be intriguing. Natural fbres have been found to have both economic and ecological advantages over their synthetic counterparts. In this aspect, several natural fbres have been used as substitutes for the synthetic based fbres in thermoplastic composites. This review focuses on the utilization kenaf fbre as a reinforcement material in thermoplastic based composite materials. Emphasis is given on the fbre structure, properties, chemical modifcation, and mechanical and dynamic mechanical properties. Kenaf fbre-based thermoplastics composites have emerged as a potential substitute for various synthetic fbre-based thermoset composites, thereby contributing to the development of environmentally friendly composite materials. This review further deals with the optimization of its mechanical and dynamic features which is crucial for various applications. This review also presents a comprehensive evaluation of the current state-of-the-art characterizations on the mechanical and dynamic mechanical performance of these composites.

**Keywords** Thermoplastic biocomposites · Quasi-static mechanical · Impact · Storage modulus · Loss modulus and damping factor

 $\boxtimes$  M. R. M. Asyraf muhammadasyraf.mr@utm.my

> D. D. C. V. Sheng daniel.chin@utm.my

N. N. Mas'ood najlaanazihah@utm.my

P. S. Khoo puisankhoo@utm.my

- $1$  Engineering Design Research Group (EDRG), Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia
- Centre for Advanced Composite Materials (CACM), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia
- <sup>3</sup> Applied Mechanics Research and Consultancy Group (AMRCG), Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia
- Department of Materials, Manufacturing and Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia

# **1 Introduction**

Pollution and environmental devastation have become into global concerns. Consequently, governments worldwide are prioritising green technology and the development of recyclable products wherever feasible. This emphasis aims to foster long-term growth while simultaneously maximising environmental and financial benefits  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$ . Kenaf, fax, sisal, jute, coir, hemp, and sugar palm are examples of natural fbres utilized as natural resources. The increasing popularity and demand for natural fbre are mostly due to afordability, lightweight nature, and versatile applications. Moreover, materials derived from a diverse range of natural fbres are readily available and require minimal production energy [[4](#page-16-2)[–6](#page-16-3)]. Due to the paucity of global natural resources such as fossil fuels, society is increasingly turning to natural fbres for composite production, either as reinforcing or fller material [[7–](#page-16-4)[9\]](#page-16-5). Another reason for the increasing popularity of natural fbre is due to its abundance and biodegradability [\[10,](#page-16-6) [11](#page-16-7)]. As a result, synthetic fbres among others are gradually being replaced by various natural fbres [\[12](#page-16-8)[–14](#page-16-9)].

Furthermore, the usage of non-renewable resources in composite manufacturing could be reduced, and the incorporation of natural fbres in polymeric composites could mitigate the high cost of composite products through efective reuse of plant by-products.

In Malaysia, kenaf stands out as a prominent industrial and commodity crop. Due of its short harvesting period and diverse traditional uses as a multifunctional crop, such as livestock, food, and other fbre benefts [[15–](#page-16-10)[17\]](#page-16-11), the crop is considered an alternative to popular plant fbres such as pineapple leaf (PALF) and sugar palm fbres. Within fve to six months, kenaf can grow to an approximate height of 4–5 m, demonstrating rapid maturation [[18](#page-16-12)], abundant resources, and swift crop cultivation for industrial-scale production. Kenaf is a biodegradable, renewable, and sustainable fbre [\[19](#page-16-13)[–21](#page-16-14)]. Kenaf can absorb around 1.4 times its own mass in carbon dioxide and boasts three times the photosynthetic rate of other plants [[22\]](#page-16-15). This fbre has a density of only 1.3 g/ cm<sup>3</sup>. Due to these advantages and benefits, kenaf is increasingly being used to replace existing man-made fbres in various thermoplastic and thermoset composites [[23–](#page-16-16)[25](#page-16-17)]. Any polymeric matrix can be reinforced with kenaf fbre utilizing a range of methods such as flament winding, manual lay-up, hot compression, pultrusion, extrusion, injection moulding  $[26-29]$  $[26-29]$  $[26-29]$ , and fused deposition modelling (FDM)  $[30, 31]$  $[30, 31]$  $[30, 31]$  $[30, 31]$ . Kenaf fbre has sparked the interest and curiosity of academics, communities, and businesses as a potential replacement for petroleum-based fbres.

Despite the favourable characteristics of kenaf fbre composites, such as ease of manufacturing, affordability, low energy usage, abundance, renewability, and safety, these composites have limitations and drawbacks in terms of physicomechanical and thermal properties [\[32–](#page-17-0)[34\]](#page-17-1). A key disadvantage of integrating kenaf fbre into the polymeric resin is the limited surface adhesion between the two phases, resulting in lower characteristics in the fnal biocomposite laminate. Jaafar et al. [[11](#page-16-7)] attributed the poor interfacial bonding to impurities present on the surface morphology of the fbres, resulting in a rough topography. Impurities including pectin, lignin, and hemicellulose, hinder the fbre's compatibility with the polymer matrix. Additionally, Krishna and Kanny [\[35\]](#page-17-2) noted that these impurities, originated from hydroxyl groups, contribute to the hydrophilic nature of kenaf fbre. However, the hydrophobic properties of commonly used polymer resins such as polypropylene (PP) and polyester (PE) prevent the formation of strong chemical interactions with the kenaf fbres. Apart from that, the incorporation of kenaf fbre into the matrix often leads to fbre agglomeration, a condition attributed to inadequate dispersion due to fbres' proclivity to form covalent connections [[36,](#page-17-3) [37\]](#page-17-4).

To address the aforementioned drawbacks, such as inadequate interfacial bonding and the hydrophilic nature of kenaf cellulosic fbre, various chemical treatments can be employed to modify the compatibility between the kenaf fbre and its matrix. The main purpose of the chemical treatment is to enhance interfacial adhesion and compatibility between fbre and its matrix, leading to improved mechanical strength [\[11\]](#page-16-7) and thermal stability [[38](#page-17-5)]. A successful crosslinking method between kenaf fbre and its matrix can be performed through the complete removal of hydroxyl groups from the fbre, facilitating stronger chemical bond between two phases, culminating in the formation of a well-connected network [[39](#page-17-6)]. Hence, the use of kenaf fbre can be broadened across several industries, including marine and automobile sectors. This process enhances the material's resistance to creep, wear, and tear, as indicated by previous studies [[40](#page-17-7), [41](#page-17-8)]. These enhancements in material properties have the potential to improve its durability, particularly when exposed to challenging conditions such as high temperatures, high humidity, and acidic environments [[42](#page-17-9)–[45](#page-17-10)].

In the selection of polymer matrix for composites, it is important to opt for "green" thermoplastic material that can be recycled at the end of its life cycle. Thermoplastic composites have made signifcant strides in structural applications, such as automotive, aerospace, construction, and materials handling sectors [[46\]](#page-17-11). The most notable advantage of thermoplastic composites is their chemical stability, which enables them to be heated and softened at the end of their lifecycle and remoulded without experiencing degradation [[47\]](#page-17-12). Besides, it can also solidify into desired shape during the cooling process, making it ready to be repurposed for new products. This recyclability may signifcantly reduce waste accumulation in landfills. In short, kenaf fibre holds a huge potential as reinforcement for thermoplastic polymers to create composite materials.

In light of the existing literature, it becomes apparent that while kenaf fibre holds significant potential across various contemporary industrial sectors (flooring  $[15]$  $[15]$ , home items [[48\]](#page-17-13), and vehicle interior components [\[49\]](#page-17-14)), there remains a notable gap in our understanding, especially in the matter of challenges related to interfacial adhesion and the hydrophilic nature of kenaf fbres. These challenges triggered and motivated researchers to explore chemical treatments to alter the fbre structure and enhance compatibility with polymer matrices. Yet, there is a lack of comprehensive overview on the mechanical and dynamic mechanical characteristics of kenaf fbre reinforced thermoplastic composites, along with their applications in various contexts. This article seeks to fill this gap by offering valuable insights into the efficacy various fbre treatments, such as silane, alkali, and acetylation treatments, in improving the thermal characteristics of thermoplastic composites reinforced with chemically modifed kenaf fbres. By addressing these knowledge gaps, this article aims to contribute to the advancement of sustainable

kenaf fbre reinforced thermoplastic composites and their applications.

## **2 Kenaf: background and its fbres**

Kenaf, scientifcally referred to as *Hibiscus cannabinus* L., is a herbaceous annual plant that can thrive in a variety of climates. Kenaf plant is a commonly grown source of fbres, which are obtained from both the outside (bast) and interior (core) parts of the plant. Kenaf is cultivated for commercial use in over 20 countries, with Bangladesh, India, Thailand, and China being the major contributors, accounting for over 95% of its output. The natural habitats of kenaf plants are located in Malaysia, India, Thailand, Indonesia, Japan, Vietnam, and Pakistan [\[50](#page-17-15)]. Currently, India and China have the distinction of being the foremost global producers of kenaf. In addition, the cultivation of this plant is undertaken for specifc purposes in the United States, such as its use as a food source in Chana, animal feed, and oil spill absorbent [\[51\]](#page-17-16).

Owing to its comparatively short growth cycle spanning 150 to 180 days, kenaf fbre production requires less water leading to a yield of 1700 kg per hectare [[52\]](#page-17-17). Under normal climatic conditions, kenaf fbre has a growth rate of 10 cm per day. On average, the growth cycle of kenaf spans around 150 days, during which it exhibits a vertical growth ranging from 2.4 to 6 m and a basal diameter varying between 3 and 5 cm. Figure [1](#page-2-0) illustrates a kenaf plantation in visual form, as well as a cross-sectional view of a kenaf stem. The stem has an external covering called bark, as well as a central core with a linear and non-branching structure. Various methods, such as chemical retting, enzymatic retting, or a combination

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Cultivation of kenaf plant with its cross-sectional structure of the stem. Reproduced from [[52](#page-17-17)]. Creative Common CC BY

license

of both, may be used to efectively separate the component portions of the stem, particularly the core and bark. The bark constitutes around 30 to 40% of the stem's dry weight and has a signifcant and sturdy composition. In contrast, the core of the stem is composed of woody tissue, constituting around 60 to 70% of its overall structure. The core has a mostly amorphous and isotropic structure. The bark, on the other hand, has a distinctive fbre arrangement characterized by a high degree of crystallinity [\[53\]](#page-17-18).

Kenaf flaments, according to Akil et al. [\[54](#page-17-19)], are made up of individual fbres that are typically 2 to 6 mm long. The features of individual fbres and flaments may difer depending on factors such as the origin of the fbres, their age, the method of separation, and their history. The plant consists of several useful constituents, including stalks, leaves, and seeds, each possessing distinct components that may be utilized, such as fbre strands and fbres, allelopathic compounds, proteins, and oils. The microfbril size and chemical content of the kenaf stem are shown in Table [1](#page-2-1) [\[54](#page-17-19), [55](#page-17-20)]. The fbres are typically composed of 60 to 80% cellulose, 5 to 20% lignin (pectin), and a maximum moisture content of 20%. According to studies, the qualities of kenaf fbre's

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Table 1** Kenaf stem microfbril size to produce fbres. Data obtained from [[54](#page-17-19), [55\]](#page-17-20)

Microfibril morphology	Core	Bark
Microfibril length, $L$ (mm)	0.75	2.22
Microfibril width, $W(\mu m)$	19.23	17.34
L/W	39.00	128.00
Cell wall thickness (µm)	1.50	3.60
Lumen diameter $(\mu m)$	32.00	7.50





core, and stem components. Data obtained from [37]				
Part	Chemical compositions			
	Cellulose $(\%)$	Hemicellulose $(\%)$	Lignin $(\%)$	
<b>Stem</b>	48.7	28.1	19.0	
<b>Bast</b>	56.4	26.2	13.4	
Core	46.1	29.7	20.7	

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 2** Chemical components of kenaf fibre obtained from its bast, core, and stem components. Data obtained from [[57](#page-17-22)]

fundamental components afect its overall attributes. The cellulose components of fbres contribute to their strength and stifness by means of hydrogen bonds and other intermolecular connections. On the contrary, hemicellulose aids in, thermal degradation, moisture absorption and fbre biodegradation, while lignin (specifcally pectin) exhibits thermal stability. Yet, it is accountable for the ultraviolet (UV) destruction of the fbres.

According to Ishak et al. [\[56](#page-17-21)], kenaf fbre derived from the bast region difers from kenaf fbre derived from the core region. Table [2](#page-3-0) presents the chemical composition of kenaf fbre derived from three distinct portions, namely the stem, bast, and core [[57](#page-17-22)]. One notable attribute of kenaf is its high proportion of useable fbre in the stem, amounting to over 40%. This percentage is nearly double that of other fibres such as jute, hemp, or flax  $[58]$  $[58]$ . The comparatively higher yield percentage of this fbre renders it more economically advantageous in comparison to fbres derived from other plant sources. The cultivar selected, the planting date, the photosensitivity of the plants, plant populations, plant age, and the duration of the growing season are all factors that infuencing the composition and production of various plant components. The geographical location of the facility and the alterations within a 500 mm radius from ground level have an impact on the physical characteristics of the fibre [[50](#page-17-15)]. The agronomic advantages of kenaf fibres stem from their ability to withstand adverse climatic conditions, resist diseases, and deter pests. Table [3](#page-3-1) presents a comparative analysis of the chemical composition of kenaf fbre and many other natural fbres. On the other hand, Table [4](#page-4-0) provides a comprehensive comparison of the physical and mechanical qualities shown by both natural and artifcial fibres.

## **3 Issues and challenges regarding thermoplastic composites reinforced with kenaf fbre**

The kenaf fbre is composed of many plant constituents, which contribute to the development of a robust, resilient, and environmentally degradable fbre. Nevertheless, some



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Table 3** Chemical composition of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin

kenaf fbre with other lignocellulosic fbres

signifcant shortcomings may be identifed in relation to the compatibility between cellulosic fbres and polymeric resin in creating a high-quality composite laminate. The observed phenomena can be attributed to the signifcant moisture absorption capacity of kenaf fbre, which ultimately results in the formation of a composite material of inferior quality [[20,](#page-16-22) [67](#page-18-0)]. The substantial absorption of water by plant fibre can be attributed to its hydrophilic properties, which are infuenced by the chemical constituents of the fbre [[68,](#page-18-1) [69](#page-18-2)]. Furthermore, it should be noted that wood fibres, including kenaf, consist of hydroxyl group components that are highly concentrated. These components have an important role in shaping the qualities of the hydrophilic fbre [[70](#page-18-3)[–72](#page-18-4)]. Consequently, the presence of hydrophilic characteristics and strong polar in fbres, as well as polymer materials' polarity and hydrophobicity, contribute to a weaker interaction between fbre and matrix [[73](#page-18-5)[–75\]](#page-18-6). Therefore, the inadequate bonding between the fbre and matrix results in a limited capacity for stress transmission between the two components. This, in turn, causes changes in the composite's dimensions and the propagation of microcracks. These effects may be attributed to the insufficient interlocking between the separate phases of the composite [[76–](#page-18-7)[78\]](#page-18-8). Cellulosic fbre chemical modifcation allows for the improvement of overall material properties such as chemical, physical, mechanical, and electrical performances [\[79–](#page-18-9)[81\]](#page-18-10).

Thermoplastic composites have made great advances in their use as structural polymer composites in several industries [[46\]](#page-17-11). One of the primary advantages associated with the use of these materials is their inherent resistance to chemical instability. Upon reaching the conclusion of their life cycle, thermoplastic composites possess the ability to undergo heating, resulting in their softening and subsequent remoulding without any degradation [[47](#page-17-12)]. Several research have conducted studies on hybridizing kenaf with thermoplastic <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 4** Physical and mechanical properties of kenaf fbre and other natural fbres with synthetic reinforcement. Data obtained from [\[66\]](#page-18-25)



resins to form composite products. However, most research shows the kenaf fbre lack of compatibility with the thermoplastic matrices due to interfacial adhesions, which lead to low thermal performance. One possible approach to resolving the compatibility challenge between hydrophilic fbres and hydrophobic thermoplastic properties involves implementing chemical alterations, particularly via the use of fbre treatments. These modifcations aim to improve kenaf fbre's overall performance as a composite material [[82\]](#page-18-12). Various fbre treatments, including acetylation, silane treatment, and alkalization, have been shown to efectively remove hemicellulose, wax, lignin, and oil [[83](#page-18-13), [84\]](#page-18-14). Additionally, these treatments have been seen to decrease the fbre diameter [\[85\]](#page-18-15), hence facilitating stronger adhesive bonding between thermoplastic matrix and lignocellulosic fllers.

In terms of processing, high temperatures should be avoided as they can cause fbre deterioration and degradation. The primary problem in employing lignocellulosic fbres is maintaining low processing temperatures to avoid fbre degradation and possible emissions that could afect composite characteristics. Typically, processing temperatures are limited to roughly 200 °C, while elevated temperatures can be utilized for brief periods. This constraint limits the thermoplastics that can be used with lignocellulosic fbres, typically limiting them to commodity thermoplastics such as polypropylene (PP), polyethylene (PE), polystyrene (PS), and polyvinyl chloride (PVC) [[86\]](#page-18-16). Composition of kenaf fbres decomposed at various temperatures: cellulose (275–500 °C), hemicellulose (150–350 °C), and lignin (250–500 °C). Increasing the temperature can cause kenaf composites to behave like viscous materials, resulting in energy dissipation and requiring more stress to break the material bonding, reducing the storage modulus. Kenaf fbres degrade at temperatures above 120 °C, decreasing composite bonding [[87](#page-18-17)]. Heat-treated kenaf fibres' tensile strength decreases at 160 °C, even with longer heating durations. However, in one study, kenaf fbre heat-treated at 180 °C for 30 min had equivalent tensile strength to non-heat-treated kenaf fibres but dropped at 200 °C [[88\]](#page-18-18).

Aside from thermal deterioration, kenaf fbre degradation may be caused by high humidity and ultraviolet (UV) exposure to the fbre's cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin content during exposure in the accelerated weathering chamber [\[89](#page-18-19)]. UV radiation can degrade the cellulose, lignin, and hemicellulose content of the fbres, compromising the interfacial interaction between fbre and matrix. Weak interfacial bonding leads to reduced mechanical characteristics and, ultimately, decreases composite efficiency [[90\]](#page-18-20).

Other issues in the production of thermoplastic reinforced kenaf fbre composites, particularly for short kenaf fbre, include uneven fbre distribution. During the manufacturing process, it is difficult to manually separate and visually distribute the kenaf fibres  $[91]$ . Low kenaf fibre composition leads to poor kenaf fbre dispersion, which results in poor energy dissipation [[92\]](#page-18-22). Nonetheless, increasing fbre length and composition above the optimum amount reduces strength due to fbre "balling", which occurs when fbres cluster together during the mixing phase, causing difficulties with consolidation and non-uniform distribution. Nonuniform fbre dispersion reduces fbre strength [\[93](#page-18-23)]. Several studies have discovered a possible maximum fbre content at which porosity increases significantly and composite characteristics worsen [\[92](#page-18-22)]. For instance, Ku et al. [[94\]](#page-18-24) observed that increasing fbre loading above 40% reduces ultimate

strength, when the fbres were uniformly and well dispersed during processing, indicating an equitable distribution of the fbres in the composite system and excellent stress transfer [[95\]](#page-18-26). This is because the fbres act as load carriers in the matrix, and high tensile strength is more dependent on efective and uniform stress distribution [[92\]](#page-18-22).

To summarize, the issues regarding thermoplastic composites reinforced with kenaf fbre include the following: (1) the hydrophilic nature of kenaf fbre causes moisture absorption and microcracks in the composite, resulting in dimensional instability and poor mechanical properties when reinforced in composites  $[96]$ ; (2) the hydrophobic nature of the thermoplastic polymer is incompatible with the hydrophilic kenaf fbre, resulting in weak interfacial bonding  $[97]$  $[97]$ ; (3) the degradation temperature of kenaf fibre typically ranges from 150 °C to 300 °C [\[98\]](#page-19-2), depending on various factors such as the processing method, fibre treatment, and environmental conditions; (4) uneven distribution of kenaf fibre is due to insufficient or excessive fibre loading or fibre geometry [\[92,](#page-18-22) [93\]](#page-18-23); and (5) kenaf fbres are susceptible to UV degradation [[90\]](#page-18-20).

# **4 Mechanical properties of thermoplastic composites reinforced with chemically modifed kenaf fbre**

Numerous studies have been conducted to investigate the impact of chemical treatment on the mechanical characteristics of kenaf fbre reinforced thermoplastic composites [[99–](#page-19-3)[101\]](#page-19-4), with the aim of enhancing their performance. The fundamental objective of implementing chemical treatment on kenaf fbres is to enhance interfacial bonding and ensure compatibility with thermoplastic resin, while also achieving uniform dispersion of the fbres. Chemical treatment of natural fbres, such as kenaf, prior to incorporation into composites made of thermoplastic can have a variety of efects on mechanical properties. Common treatments include alkali treatment, acetylation, and silane treatment. The following are the impacts of chemical treatment on the mechanical characteristics of kenaf fbre reinforced thermoplastic composites.

- Improved adherence: Chemical treatments can improve the adherence of kenaf fbres to thermoplastic matrix. This stronger interfacial bonding promotes transference of stress between the fbres and the matrix, which results in improved mechanical characteristics.
- Increased mechanical properties: Chemical treatments can improve the mechanical strength of kenaf fbres by eliminating impurities like lignin and hemicellulose and exposing more cellulose. This results in stifer individual

fbres, which are responsible for higher strength in the composite material.

- Reduced water absorption: Some chemical modifcations can diminish kenaf fbres' ability to absorb water. Reduced absorption of water helps to maintain the physical properties of the composite material as it ages, particularly in humid or damp situations where moisture can degrade untreated fbres.
- Improved structural integrity: Chemical treatments may enhance the dimensional integrity of kenaf fbre reinforced composites by minimizing swelling and shrinkage caused by changes in moisture or temperature. This level of stability improves long-term performance and durability.

It is crucial to note that the specifc consequences of chemical treatment can difer based on the kind of treatment, concentration, processing circumstances, and the type of thermoplastic matrix utilized in the composites [[102](#page-19-5)]. As a result, thorough experimental research and characterization techniques are often used to determine the precise efect of chemical treatments on the mechanical properties of kenaf fbre reinforced thermoplastic composites for particular applications. Several works have been devoted in the chemical treatment of kenaf fbre-based composites for enhanced properties. Table [5](#page-6-0) presents the mechanical properties of thermoplastic composites that have been reinforced with kenaf fibre.

Huda et al. [[101](#page-19-4)] employed alkalization, silane, and a hybrid alkali-silane treatment approach to make laminated flms from kenaf fbres reinforced polylactic acid (PLA). The empirical evidence suggests that the use of Focused Ion Beam Surface Modifcation technique, specifcally using a silane solution, on kenaf fbre results in the most advantageous outcomes with regards to the mechanical characteristics of the composite material. Moreover, the use of Focused Ion Beam Nanoscale Surface Modifcation combined with hybrid alkali-silane treatment results in the highest fexural values. The observed phenomenon may be attributed to the increased nucleation density of the silane-treated cellulose fbre composite compared to the untreated fbre composite. The observed rise in nucleation density leads to the formation of smaller crystals and the development of a transcrystalline interphase region characterized by improved bonding between the thermoplastic material and the fbres [\[109,](#page-19-6) [110](#page-19-7)]. The research fndings indicate that the impact strength of all treated fbre composites exhibited a signifcant improvement ranging from 38 to 45% in comparison to the impact strength seen in pure PLA. The observed enhancement may be ascribed to the removal of impurities and pollutants present in the untreated composites. The use of treatments enhances the adhesive properties of the fbre surface, leading to the development of a textured surface topography

<span id="page-6-0"></span>



\**MAPP* maleic anhydride grafted polypropylene, *PP* polypropylene, *NBRv* rubber of acrylonitrile butadiene, *UPE* unsaturated polyester, *NRLF* natural rubber latex foam

that facilitates the establishment of a strong fbre-poly(lactic acid) (PLA) network.

In their study, Cho et al. [\[104\]](#page-19-8) used employing three distinct silane coupling agents in their investigation to explore the infuence of silane treatment on composite properties: 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APS), 3-glycidoxypropyltrimethoxy silane (GPS), and 3-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane (MPS). The researchers discovered that alkali treatment with NaOH-silane (also known as GPS) improved the strength of kenaf/unsaturated polyester (UPE) and kenaf/ polypropylene (PP) composites compared to alkali-silane or alkali treatment alone. The enhanced mechanical properties of composites, such as tensile and fexural strength, may be linked to the chemical groups that are potentially present on the surfaces of the fbres. These chemical groups could interact chemically and physically with the polymer resin during the production of the composite. The untreated composite specimen exhibits gaps between the kenaf fbre and the surrounding matrix, indicating a deficiency in robust interfacial adhesion between the kenaf fbre and the PP or UPE matrix (Fig. [2a](#page-6-1)). The application of silane treatment on the fbre led to the establishment of a robust interfacial connection between the fbre and the matrix, as seen in Fig. [2b](#page-6-1).

In a prior study, Asumani et al. [\[105](#page-19-9)] included an alkalisilane treatment into the production process of composite goods made from kenaf fbre reinforced polypropylene (PP).



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 2 a** Untreated kenaf polymer composite and **b** silane-treated kenaf polymer composite. Reproduced with permission from ref. [\[104\]](#page-19-8). Copyright 2012 Taylors and Francis

This experiment used three types of kenaf fbre: untreated kenaf fbre, kenaf fbre treated with a sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution, and kenaf fbre treated with a NaOH solution followed by treatment with alkali-silane treatment. The fexural and tensile characteristics of kenaf/PP composites show notable improvement when subjected to alkali treatment, followed by alkali-silane treatment. Signifcantly, the improvement obtained in the kenaf/PP composite treated with alkali-silane is comparable to the increase reported in the glass/PP composite. The kenaf/PP composite exhibits a fexural strength that is 89% of the fexural strength of the glass fbre composite. Additionally, it demonstrates a specifc tensile strength that is 96% of the specifc tensile strength of the glass fbre composite, and a tensile strength modulus that is 82% of the tensile strength modulus of the glass fbre composite. The enhanced fexural and tensile characteristics may be ascribed to the enhanced adhesion between the fbres and matrix seen subsequent to alkali-silane treatment. The observed enhancement may be ascribed to the elimination of substantial accumulations of non-cellulosic substances, such as wax, lignin, and hemicellulose, from the outer layer of kenaf fbres. The presence of these deposits has the potential to impede the interfacial adhesion between the fbres and the matrix, resulting in a diminished bonding capability. The use of a silane solution resulted in a substantial enhancement in the adhesion between the polypropylene (PP) matrix and kenaf fbres. The observed enhancement, as seen in Fig. [3b](#page-7-0), may be ascribed to the lack of surface deposits on the fbres and the robust interfacial bonding resulting from the alkali-silane treatment. Consequently, the tensile properties exhibited enhancement. When there is efective load transfer between the matrix and the fbres, an augmentation in the modulus of the fbres has a favourable infuence on the tensile strength of the composite.

In a previous study, the researchers used various concentrations (from 0 to 3%) of three distinct silane coupling agents to modify polyurethane (PU) composites reinforced with kenaf core. The three silane coupling agents employed were tetramethyl orthosilicate (TMOS), trimethoxyphenylsilane (TMPS), and vinyltrimethoxysilane (VTMS) [[106](#page-19-11)]. According to the authors' findings, the efficacy of kenaf core reinforcement treated with silane improved with higher silane proportions, regardless of the type of silane used. Chemical treatment of kenaf core may increase mechanical properties due to improved interfacial bonding, which gives a new site for chemical interlocking. Because of changes in their interfacial properties, the fexural and tensile properties of treated kenaf core and PU matrices have been improved. In addition, the researchers concluded that TMPS outperformed alternative coupling agents for kenaf core fller in the production of kenaf core PU-based composite materials. This is because TMPS has a phenyl branch, which increases the stifness of the kenaf core PU and improves the interaction between the fller and matrix. As a result, a benefcial interface between the fller and matrix is obtained. The observed phenomena could be explained by the interaction of silane molecules with the surface of the kenaf core, which results in their deposition on the fller surface. This deposition contributes to an increase in the fller's weight percentage gains. It is worth noting that TMPS exhibits higher reactivity in comparison to VTMS and TMOS.

Oyekanmi et al. [[107](#page-19-12)] conducted a silane treatment on cellulose nanofbrils (CNFs) derived from kenaf bast fbres. The objective of this procedure was to produce biopolymer films suitable for packaging applications. The films underwent immersion in a 40% silane solution, followed by testing to assess their properties. The results indicate that the tensile strength of the treated biopolymer flms surpasses that of the untreated ones. This suggests that the treatment facilitated the formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the -OH group of the kenaf fbre cellulose nanofbrils (CNF) and the macroalgae matrix, leading to enhanced compatibility

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Fig. 3 a** The surface deposits seen on kenaf fbres treated with a 3% sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution in the absence of silane treatment. **b** A robust polypropylene (PP) matrix is seen to strongly

adhere to the surface of kenaf fbres subsequent to undergoing an alkali-silane treatment. Reproduced with permission from ref. [[105](#page-19-9)]. Copyright 2012 Elsevier

and dispersion. Consequently, a mechanism for achieving efective and uniform load distribution from macroalgae matrices to the CNF was established.

Salehuddin et al. [[108\]](#page-19-13) examined the impact of silane treatment on the tensile and morphological characteristics of kenaf bast-flled natural rubber latex foam (NRLF). The tensile strength and modulus at 100% elongation of kenaf bastflled samples treated with silane were found to be greater compared to untreated kenaf bast-flled samples. However, the treated samples exhibited lesser elongation at break in comparison. Based on the results of the morphological analysis, it can be seen from Fig. [4a](#page-8-0), b that the treated kenaf bast-flled NRLF exhibits a reduced cell size compared to the untreated kenaf bast-flled NRLF. The strength of foam is infuenced by the dimensions of its pores, whereby an observable reduction in tensile strength is seen as the size of the holes rises. In addition, it can be shown from Fig. [5](#page-8-1) that the kenaf fbre exhibits strong adhesion to the matrix, resulting in the formation of a tiny void. This indicates that the treated sample demonstrates a benefcial interaction between the fller (kenaf fbre) and the matrix.

### **4.1 Efect of chemical treatment on the mechanical properties of thermoplastic composites reinforced with kenaf fbre**

Chemical treatment is applied to improve natural fbres' surface properties, remove impurities, increase fbre strength, and improve matrix interaction [[111](#page-19-14)]. Samanth and Bhat [\[112](#page-19-15)] mention various types of chemical treatments, with the most common being an alkaline treatment using a sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution, followed by a silane treatment [[58\]](#page-17-23). Kenaf fibre undergoes an alkaline treatment that partially removes the outer layer of the fbre cell wall, which



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 4 a** Kenaf bast-flled natural rubber latex foam (NRLF) without any treatment. **b** Kenaf bast-flled natural rubber latex foam (NRLF) treated with silane. Reproduced from ref. [[108](#page-19-13)]. Creative Common CC BY license



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 5 a** Kenaf bast-flled natural rubber latex foam (NRLF) without any treatment. **b** Kenaf bast-flled natural rubber latex foam (NRLF) treated with silane. Reproduced from ref. [[108](#page-19-13)]. Creative Common CC BY license

includes lignin, oils, and wax. The cellulose in the fbre is depolymerized during this process, which makes it possible for short-length crystallites to form. The alkaline treatment breaks down the hydrogen bonds in the system structure, which raises surface roughness and infuences the extraction of lignin, hemicellulosic compounds, and cellulose fbrils in addition to polymerization levels [[58\]](#page-17-23). The increased surface roughness or area improves mechanical interaction between the fbre and matrix. Furthermore, the alkaline treatment exposes cellulose on the fbre surface, increasing possible reaction sites [\[113](#page-19-16)], and so improving the mechanical properties of the composite. The majority of research has concentrated on the efects of NaOH concentration percentage and treatment duration on treated fbre strength, with few studies looking into the effect of temperature on mechanical properties [\[58](#page-17-23)]. Higher alkali concentrations may overdelignify fbres, causing damage or weakening. For example, raising the NaOH content from 6 to 8% caused a considerable drop in the tensile strength of a single kenaf fbre from 267.69 MPa to 89.58 MPa [[114\]](#page-19-17).

Chemical treatment of kenaf fbre can signifcantly alter the mechanical properties of thermoplastic composites supplemented with this natural fbre. Chemical treatment on these composites improves adhesion with thermoplastic polymer, increases interfacial bonding to increase overall strength and stifness, reduces moisture absorption for improved dimensional stability, and improves interfacial bonding between kenaf fbre and the thermoplastic matrix for improved mechanical properties. Overall, chemical treatment tends to improve the mechanical properties of thermoplastic composites reinforced with kenaf fbre, resulting in increased strength, stifness, and impact resistance. Researchers and manufacturers frequently experiment with diferent chemical treatments to improve the performance of these composites for a wide range of applications.

## **4.2 Infuence of surface modifcation of the mechanical behaviour of kenaf/ thermoplastic composites**

Cellulose fbres with high polarity do not bond well with hydrophobic thermoplastic polymer matrix. To improve the compatibility and dispersibility of the fbre and matrix, a hydrophobic coating can be coated on the fbre's surface before mixing with the matrix [[113\]](#page-19-16). A silane coupling agent, a typical form of chemical modifcation in glass fbre composites, is a chemical compound that interacts with both the fbre reinforcement and the polymer matrix in the composite [\[104\]](#page-19-8). These coupling agents play an important role in optimizing stress transmission at the fbre-matrix interface by creating chemical interactions between the bulk polymer and fbre phases [\[104](#page-19-8), [113](#page-19-16)]. Efective coupling agents, such as silanes, isocyanates, and titanate-based compounds, are critical for increasing the strength and toughness of a composite [\[113](#page-19-16)].

The mechanical properties of composites can be infuenced by the types and concentrations of coupling agents used. Cho et al. [[104\]](#page-19-8) investigated the effect of various types and concentrations of silane coupling agents on the mechanical properties of thermoplastic reinforced kenaf fbre. The study found that kenaf fbre modifed with 3-glycidoxypropyl- trimethoxy silane (GPS) at a concentration of 0.5 wt.% had mechanical properties similar to E-glass composites made with the same polymer matrix, fbre length, and loading. The fexural strength of the untreated kenaf/ PP composite improved by approximately 26.3%, from 38 to 48 MPa, with the fbre treatment, peaking at 0.5 wt.% of GPS. This peak value was comparable to that of the E-glass/ PP composite, which was around 47 MPa. Furthermore, the fexural modulus increased to nearly 2 GPa after the silane treatment at 0.5 wt.%, signifcantly exceeding the E-glass/ PP composite, which was around 1.8 GPa.

Silanes play an important function in maintaining good adhesion between fibres and a polymer matrix, which improves composite material stability [[58\]](#page-17-23). The application of a silane coupling agent acts as an adhesion promoter by modifying the surface of fbrils and lowering cellulose hydroxyl groups. This procedure strengthens bonds and reduces surface debonding. The interaction of the fbre's hydroxy group with hydrolysable alkoxy groups produces silanols, which subsequently react with other fbre's hydroxyl groups, forming stable covalent connections with the cell wall. Silanols are chemically adsorbed onto fbre surfaces. The covalent connections created between the matrix and the fbre, aided by the hydrocarbon chains generated during silane treatment, establish an interconnected lattice structure that holds the fbre bundle in place and prevents fbre swelling [\[115\]](#page-19-18). Silane coupling agents increase the binding between the fbre and the matrix by changing the fbre-polymer lattice and boosting cross-linking at the interface, resulting in greater composite strength and heat stability. Furthermore, silane treatment strengthens the connection between the fbre and the matrix by increasing fbre surface area [\[101](#page-19-4), [104](#page-19-8)]. The inclusion of silicon in silanes enhances their fame-retardant properties, resulting in reduced fammability via silanization. Silane-treated composites have improved tensile strength, fexural characteristics, and thermal stability. Following treatment, the weight of the fbres reduces while their hydrophobicity increases [\[112](#page-19-15)].

Apart from silane coupling agent, Idumah et al. [\[116\]](#page-19-19) investigated the efect of cetyl-trimethylammonium bromide-modified kenaf flour on recycled polypropylene (RPP) graphene nanoplatelets (3 phr) nanocomposites with various fbre fractions. The researchers used a dual process technique to modify the surface of the kenaf fbres, increasing the hydrophilic characteristics of the kenad four and reducing the polarity diference between the thermoplastic polymer matrix and the kenaf fbre. The aim of this adjustment was to improve adhesion at the interface. As a modifer and cationic surfactant, cetyl-trimethylammonium bromide was essential in promoting chemical interaction with kenaf four that had been treated with NaOH to improve the electrostatic, interfacial, and surface absorption of the fbre. When compared to the unmodifed composites, the kenaf xGNP nanocomposites treated with C-TAB showed improved tensile and fexural strengths.

Modifying the chemical composition of kenaf fbre can result in various impacts on thermoplastic reinforced kenaf fibre composites. These effects include enhanced compatibility, adhesion, and interfacial bonding between the kenaf fbres and the thermoplastic matrix, leading to improved mechanical properties and overall performance of the composite material. Furthermore, the modifcation can also lead to an increased in thermal stability, enabling them to withstand higher temperatures without signifcant degradation. By specifcally adjusting the surface chemistry of kenaf fbres, the properties of thermoplastic composites such as stifness, strength, and impact resistance can be customized to suit diferent applications. Ultimately, chemical modifcation of kenaf fbre can greatly enhance the performance and characteristics of thermoplastic reinforced kenaf fbre composites, making them more suitable for a wide range of industrial uses.

#### **5 Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA)**

#### **5.1 DMA: fundamental and theories**

The emergence of contemporary Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA) methodologies has sparked a revival in thermal analytical techniques throughout the past several decades. The implementation of the DMA is primarily intended for quality control applications and the comparative evaluation of material performance. The DMA remains a crucial instrument in material engineering and characterization due to its ability to accurately detect dimensional changes in solid materials under particular stresses, even at the micro-scale [\[117\]](#page-19-20). The administration of this test often occurs under diverse situations, which are contingent upon the specifc objectives. The dynamic mechanical properties of polymeric composites in relation to temperature is commonly conducted using established standards such as ASTM D5279, ASTM D4440, and ASTM D4065 [[118\]](#page-19-21). The DMA is commonly employed to evaluate variations in glass transition temperature  $(T<sub>g</sub>)$ , damping, and stiffness of polymeric composites throughout the curing process [\[119](#page-19-22)].

The outputs showcase the values of storage modulus (*E'*), which is directly associated with the Young's modulus of the composite material. Material researchers utilize the storage modulus, denoted as E', to ascertain the stiffness characteristics of composites. The parameter *E′* typically characterizes the energy storage capacity of a material or composite for its intended use [[120](#page-19-23)]. The loss modulus (*E″*) or dynamic loss modulus is the term used to describe the viscous response of a material or composite [[121](#page-19-24), [122\]](#page-19-25). The parameter *E″* is utilized to quantify the release of applied energy by materials or composites, and it is commonly associated with the concept of internal friction. The parameter *E″* exhibits sensitivity to various relaxation processes, morphological characteristics, transitions, molecular movements, and other heterogeneities within the material structure. The use of DMA assists material engineers and researchers in quantifying the extent to which polymer chains are immobilized by the surface of the fller material, as described by [\[123](#page-19-26)]. Equations ([1](#page-10-0)) and [\(2\)](#page-10-1) provide the fundamental equations employed to calculate the values of *E′* and *E″*.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\text{Storage modulus : } Et = \frac{\sigma_0}{\epsilon_0} \cos \delta \tag{1}
$$

<span id="page-10-1"></span>Loss modulus : 
$$
Ell = \frac{\sigma_0}{\epsilon_0} \sin \delta
$$
 (2)

The mechanical damping factor, denoted as tan *δ*, may be obtained by calculating the ratio of *E′* to *E″*, as shown in Eq. [3.](#page-10-2) In the context of the study, it is often observed that higher values of tan  $\delta$  suggest the presence of a nonelastic strain component in the material or composite. Conversely, lower values of tan δ indicate a higher degree of elasticity. The drop in the tangent delta (tan  $\delta$ ) value of the composite material may be attributed to the favourable compatibility between the matrix and the fbres. This compatibility reduces the mobility of molecular chains at the interfaces between the diferent phases. The mechanical damping factor, tan *δ*, is infuenced by molecular mobility and viscoelasticity. Additional small imperfections, such as dislocations, phase variations, grain boundaries, and diverse interfaces, have an impact on the tangent delta (tan  $\delta$ ) [[124](#page-19-27)].

<span id="page-10-2"></span>Damping factor : 
$$
\tan \delta = \frac{E''}{E'} = \frac{\text{loss modulus}}{\text{storage modulus}}
$$
 (3)

#### **5.2 Glass transition temperature**

The determination of the glass transition temperature  $(T<sub>o</sub>)$  is a crucial aspect in the study of composite materials. The  $T<sub>g</sub>$ serves as an indicator for alterations in mechanical properties or the initiation of phase transitions. The  $T<sub>g</sub>$  identification is utilized in thermal analysis to determine the temperature range at which a material transitions from a glassy (rigid) to a rubbery (fexible and malleable) state [[125\]](#page-19-28).

In general, the  $T_{g}$  serves as an indicator of the physical condition of a material. A low glass transition temperature  $(T_g)$  signifies a condition of brittleness, whereas a high  $T_g$ signifies a state of elasticity. The glassy zone has a significant presence of interlocking connections, stifness, and limited molecular mobility within certain regions. The rubbery area demonstrates the increased mobility of molecular chains when subjected to higher temperatures [\[126\]](#page-19-29). Nevertheless, several polymers have practical usage beyond their respective glass transition temperature  $(T_g)$ , whereas certain polymers prove to be advantageous at temperatures below the  $T<sub>g</sub>$ . Rubber elastomers, such as polyisobutylene and polyisoprene, are commonly utilized for their inherent characteristics of softness and fexible in the rubbery condition. The glassy states of hard polymers, such as polystyrene and PMMA, are used when they are maintained below their glass transition temperature  $(T<sub>g</sub>)$ , which is roughly 100 °C higher than the ambient temperature. A recent study has demonstrated a notable distinction between the  $T<sub>g</sub>$  and the melting temperature  $(T_m)$ . The sample undergoes a phase transition into a molten state at the  $T_m$ , whereas it has a softening effect at the temperature  $T<sub>g</sub>$  [\[127](#page-20-0)]. Other thermal analysis techniques, including thermomechanical analysis (TMA) and diferential scanning calorimetry (DSC), can also be used to determine  $T<sub>g</sub>$ .

#### **5.3 DMA of thermoplastic composites reinforced with kenaf fbres**

In recent times, a handful of research has been conducted to examine the impact of kenaf fbre surface modifcations on the dynamic mechanical characteristics of thermoplastic composites made from kenaf cellulosic fbres. Table [6](#page-12-0) presents the experimental setup and results of DMA tests conducted on thermoplastic composite reinforced with modifed kenaf fbre.

Lee et al. [[128\]](#page-20-1) investigated the influence of glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane (GPS) concentrations of 1, 3, and 5 parts per hundred (pph) on the dynamic mechanical performance of a composite material composed of long bast kenaf fbre and PLA. Their fndings show that kenaf biocomposites treated with three parts per hundred (pph) GPS and manufactured with a kenaf to PLA ratio of 1:1 exhibit exceptional *E*′ values. The use of silane treatment with GPS as a coupling agent increased the viscoelastic properties of the kenaf/PLA green composite substantially.

Sis et al. [[129\]](#page-20-2) discovered that modifying the kenaf fbre with a 2 wt.% concentration of (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (APTMS) can eliminate the hydroxyl components while also improving the hydrophilic fibre's compatibility with hydrophobic resins, resulting in an increase in the *E*′ of kenaf/PLA/PBAT composites. After treatment, the tan values of thermoplastic composites made of kenaf/PLA/PBAT are

lowered. The observed result can be attributed to the polymer chain's limited molecular mobility as a result of the tight closeness to the fbre surface caused by chemical bridging during their interfacial contact [\[133,](#page-20-3) [134](#page-20-4)].

Chung et al. [\[130\]](#page-20-5) studied the infuence of diferent immersion durations of 0.5, 1, 2, and 3 h in a 28.5 vol.% acetic acid solution on the properties of kenaf/PLA thermoplastic composites. The experimental results show that the *E*′ decreases signifcantly after 2 and 3 h of acetic acid immersion, indicating a negative infuence on the kenaf/PLA composites. The improved adhesive qualities caused by the alteration of the hydrophilic character of the kenaf fbre can be attributed to the observation. The tangent delta (tan  $\delta$ ) of the thermoplastic composite containing kenaf improves noticeably after 2 h or more. This improvement could be attributed to increased interfacial adhesion because of the restricted mobility of the polymer chain.

Huda et al. [\[131](#page-20-6)] found that including alkali- and silanetreated kenaf fbre composites with a volume proportion of 50% leads in a large increase in *E*′, with a 161% increase when compared to other kenaf/PLA composites. This enhancement is due to the pretreatment of the kenaf fbre with 3-APS, which accelerates the hydrolysis of the OH group of the fbre by its ethoxy group, resulting in the creation of silanol. As a result, the fbre can form stable covalent connections with the cell wall [\[135](#page-20-7)]. The aforementioned procedure therefore successfully enhances the level of crosslinking within the interfacial region between the fbre and matrix. This treatment also provides a favourable bonding outcome and facilitates the generation of a larger surface area for the fbres [[136,](#page-20-8) [137](#page-20-9)]. The utilization of NaOH solution also helps to improve the compatibility of the fbres and the matrix by removing lignin, which infuences the hydrogen bonding profles and reduces the tan δ of the specifc composite.

According to Bakar et al. [[132\]](#page-20-10), the PVA/EVA polymer exhibited the greatest *E*″ values when grafted kenaf fbre was present at a loading of 30%. The  $T_g$  of a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) composite containing grafted kenaf–polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) increased as compared to an ungrafted kenaf fbre composite. Furthermore, when compared to both the PVC/EVA blend and the ungrafted kenaf composites, the damping peak in the grafted kenaf composites has a substantially lower tan  $\delta$  value. This could be due to the increased interfacial adhesion between kenaf and PVA/EVA during the grafting process.

## **6 Potential applications of thermoplastic composites reinforced with kenaf fbres**

Recently, there has been tremendous growth in the use of thermoplastic reinforced kenaf fbre in an extensive variety of uses, from domestic items to technical applications. The



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Table 6** Dynamic mechanical properties of thermoplastic composites reinforced with kenaf fbres

*NaOH* sodium hydroxide, *APS* 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane, *H O2 2* hydrogen peroxide

usage of thermoplastic reinforced kenaf fbre to meet the engineering industry's environmental sustainability needs has been proposed [\[138,](#page-20-11) [139](#page-20-12)]. Furthermore, these materials have been widely used in a variety of industries due to their minimal carbon footprint and energy usage during the manufacturing process, as well as their inexpensive raw material costs. Composites, particularly kenaf thermoplastic composites, have recently been used in a range of industries, including aerospace, automotive, construction, and energy transmission. This trend may be attributed to the eforts of several researchers and scientists who are focused on developing structural loading components.

Apart from chemical treatment, kenaf fbres can be surface modifed with epoxy coating before being compounded with polyethylene terephthalate (PET) to improve their suitability for high-temperature engineering applications [\[140](#page-20-13)]. After being treated with sodium hydroxide solution and covered with epoxy resin, the kenaf fbres were extruded and compression moulded at higher temperatures ranging from 240 to 260 °C. This procedure yields a reinforced PET composite made from modifed kenaf fbres [\[140\]](#page-20-13). Owen et al. [\[141\]](#page-20-14) found that surface coating natural fibres with diluted epoxy resin thermoset increases adhesion and thermal stability between the polymer matrix and the fbres. The overall thermal and performance attributes of the thermoplastic composites produced are improved. The fnding shows that kenaf fbres can be used as reinforcement in engineering polymer composites for high-temperature applications in industries including automotive and construction. More research and development into the mechanical and thermal properties of natural kenaf fbres using polymer matrices such as PET, polyphenylene ether (PPE), and acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) is also encouraging.

The studies provide information on the utilization of kenaf thermoplastic composites in specialized structural applications. These works demonstrate the efficacy of kenaf fbre as a reinforcing material in such applications, highlighting its potential for future utilization [\[142](#page-20-15)[–144\]](#page-20-16). Table [7](#page-14-0) presents the progression of kenaf fbre thermoplastic composites across several industries.

Atiqah et al. [[148](#page-20-17)] investigated the mechanical characteristics of polyester reinforced with hybridized glass/kenaf fbre composites in the context of their prospective application in structural purposes, specifcally car composites. In subsequent years, Mansor et al. [[149](#page-20-18)] identifed polyester reinforced with hybridized glass/kenaf fbre composites as the optimum solution for the construction of automobile brake levers. Thirteen diferent natural fbre materials were investigated using the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), and it was determined that the kenaf bast fbre received the highest scores among the evaluated materials. In a followup to the previous study, Mansor et al. [\[49](#page-17-14)] investigated the conceptual design of a parking lever brake using polymer reinforced kenaf fbre composites. As indicated by extant research literature, several investigations on the production of vehicle engine rubber components [[146\]](#page-20-19) and car spoilers [\[145\]](#page-20-20) employing polymer reinforced kenaf fibre composites have been conducted. Furthermore, automobile coatings are used on both the interior and the exterior of automobiles, including front and rear bumpers, wall panels, roofs, and furniture [[147,](#page-20-21) [150\]](#page-20-22). From this standpoint, it is clear that polymer reinforced kenaf fbre composites have signifcant potential as a suitable substitution to traditional materials like plastic and steel for various automotive parts such as front and rear fenders, exterior body panels, decklids, doors, hoods, trunk floor/spare tyre wells, and other secondary support structures [\[122,](#page-19-25) [151](#page-20-23)]. conducted an evaluation of the mechanical properties of hybrid unidirectional glass/kenaf reinforced epoxy composites. The purpose of their investigation was to assess the suitability of these composites for use as bumper beams in passenger vehicles. Based on the fndings of the research, it has been determined that the fexural and tensile characteristics of hybrid composites composed of glass and kenaf fbres are comparable to those of glass fbre composites. It is worth noting that glass fbre composites are now favoured as the preferred material for car bumpers. In addition, the viability of using kenaf fbre reinforced polymer composites for the production of high temperature vehicle front-end components was investigated by Xue et al. [[152\]](#page-20-24). No statistically signifcant diferences in tensile modulus were seen when participants were exposed to escalating temperature treatments.

In addition to its automotive applications, Misri et al. [[153](#page-20-25)] proposed that a hollow kenaf composite tube might be used in sporting equipment such as tennis rackets, badminton rackets, fshing rods, and hockey sticks. Mazani et al. [\[48](#page-17-13)] used the concurrent engineering approach in their work to create and build a shoe rack made of polyester reinforced with kenaf fibres. Figure [6](#page-15-0) shows a shoe rack that uses kenaf fbre as a reinforcement material in a polymer composite structure. Furthermore, the use of kenaf reinforced thermoset composites may improve the structural integrity of reinforced concrete beams. This is due to the large gains in defection and ultimate fexural strength seen as a result of integrating kenaf fbre composites, which can reach up to 24% and 40%, respectively [\[154](#page-20-26)].

Hamidon et al. [[58](#page-17-23)] undertook a study with the objective of investigating innovative methodologies for the production of rayon grade dissolving pulp. The study focused on using Malaysian kenaf stalk as the primary raw material for this purpose. It is anticipated that the projected increase in kenaf production for the purpose of dissolving pulp, which would subsequently be used in the manufacture of rayon, would have advantageous implications for both the batik industry and kenaf cultivators located along <span id="page-14-0"></span>composites reinforced with kenaf fbre as products in

various uses



the east coast. In addition, Abdelrhman et al. [\[155\]](#page-20-27) discovered that incorporating a blend of kenaf core and bast fbre as reinforcement in composite materials, along with the inclusion of other fllers, for the production of automotive components has the potential to reduce environmental burdens by improving carbon sequestration within the vehicle structure. Moreover, increasing the proportion of fbre in the manufactured components would result in higher quality industrial output during the life of the car. It should be noted, however, that not all required certifcation examinations have been completed. Finally, the fexural and tensile strength of kenaf fbre composites can be concluded to be enough for the applications. The fndings and discoveries are encouraging for the advancement of kenaf fbre reinforced thermoplastic composites in the future.

# **7 Challenges and future scope**

Addressing challenges inherent in kenaf fibre reinforced thermoplastic composites is critical for widespread adoption and advancement. Achieving strong interfacial adhesion between the kenaf fibres and polymer matrices is one of the most prominent challenges, in order to ensure optimal load transfer and mechanical performance. Apart from that, another significant challenge is the high moisture absorption capacity of kenaf fibres, requiring a strategy to reduce its impact on the mechanical properties and performance. Another crucial challenge that needs to be overcome is to ensure uniform dispersion of kenaf fibres within the polymer matrix, as uniformity of kenaf fibres dispersion leads to better consistency in



<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Shoe rack made of kenaf fbre reinforced polymer composites. Reproduced with permission from ref. [\[48\]](#page-17-13). Copyright 2019 Elsevier

mechanical properties and structural integrity. Another key focus area that researchers must pay attention to is on enhancing the chemical compatibility between kenaf fibres and thermoplastic matrices. As discussed previously, chemical treatments and surface modifications are very promising approaches for improving compatibility and bonding, enhancing the overall performance of the composite material.

To address these challenges, several opportunities arise for future research and development by furthering and continuing the work of improving kenaf fibre reinforced thermoplastic composites. Exploring advanced processing techniques to improve fibre dispersion and composite production efficiency is one such opportunity. Moreover, there is a growing emphasis on sustainability and environmental responsibility, making it imperative to develop eco-friendly and recyclable materials. Efforts should be invested by focusing on the exploration of multifunctional applications for kenaf fibre reinforced thermoplastic composites across various sectors, such as automotive, construction, and packaging. In short, effort in overcoming the challenges or drawbacks will be instrumental and influential in advancing the performance and properties of kenaf fibre reinforced thermoplastic composites. By addressing these key areas, researchers can pour effort and contribute in the development of high performance, sustainable materials with diverse range of applications.

#### **8 Conclusions**

Kenaf fibre offers considerable potential as a substitute for manmade fbres such as glass fbre. However, additional research is needed to investigate the potential substitution of various synthetic fbres, like carbon fbre. Furthermore, the study of kenaf fbre reinforced thermoplastic composites for both structural and non-structural applications show potential for improving product manufacturing through the use of environmentally friendly methods. This review provides a vital source of information for improving the properties of kenaf fbre composites by chemical modifcation. The chemical modifcation of kenaf fbre infuences the interfacial adhesion, mechanical performance, absorption and thermal stability of the ensuing composites. Chemical treatments such as alkali treatment, acetylation, or silane treatment have contributed to improved adhesion, increased strength, reduced water absorption, enhanced thermal stability, and improved dimensional stability. The fbrillation process of alkalizing kenaf fbre divides the single-fbre bundle into smaller bundles, hence increasing surface area. This process makes it easier for the fbre and polymer parts of composites to interact mechanically, which improves the loading and dynamic mechanical qualities at the interface. The improved mechanical and dynamic properties resulting from fbre treatment make kenaf fbre reinforced thermoplastic composites suitable for various industries such as automotive, construction, aerospace, and consumer goods. It is very clear from the earlier reports that there are few challenges or limitations encountered, such as variations in treatment methods or inconsistencies in testing methods which requires proper optimization of treatment processes, exploring novel treatment methods, investigating long-term durability, and evaluating environmental sustainability aspects. Hence, to conclude it is necessary to understand the signifcance of the efects of fbre treatment on kenaf fbre reinforced thermoplastic composites and more importantly continued research in this area to further enhance the functional properties, durability, and applicability of these eco-friendly composite materials in diverse industrial sectors is required.

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to express gratitude for the fnancial support received from the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, the UTM Encouragement Research Grant (UTMER) project "Characterizations of Hybrid Kenaf Fibre/Glass fbre Meshes Reinforced Thermoplastic ABS Composites for Future Use in Aircraft Radome Applications, grant number PY/2022/03758—Q.J130000.3824.31J25."

**Author contribution** MRMA and PS drafted the original manuscript. MRMA, NNM, and DDCV have revised the manuscript. MRMA conceptualized the idea of the manuscript.

**Data availability** All data and materials are available within this research article.

#### **Declarations**

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

# **References**

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>1. Razman MR, Hadi AH, Jahi JM et al (2011) Transformation for better living environment in urban region: application of the principle of transboundary liability and the montreal protocol experiences. Akademika 81:93–102
- 2. Ali SSS, Razman MR, Awang A (2020) The nexus of population, GDP growth, electricity generation, electricity consumption and carbon emissions output in Malaysia. Int J Energy Econ Policy 10:84–89.<https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.8987>
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>3. Golar M, Malik A et al (2019) The adaptive-collaborative as a strategy comunications for confict resolution on the national park. Ecol Environ Conserv 25:352–359
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>4. Zainuddin S, Mascunra Amir A, Kibi YR et al (2019) Social engineering model of natural resources management of Palu City. J Eng Appl Sci 14:275–279. [https://doi.org/10.36478/jeasci.](https://doi.org/10.36478/jeasci.2019.275.279) [2019.275.279](https://doi.org/10.36478/jeasci.2019.275.279)
- 5. Farid MAA, Lease J, Andou Y (2023) Mechanochemical reactions in lignocellulosic materials: a review. J Nat Fibre Polym Compos 2:1–14
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>6. Asyraf MRM, Ishak MR, Sapuan SM, Yidris N (2021) Infuence of additional bracing arms as reinforcement members in wooden timber cross-arms on their long-term creep responses and properties. Appl Sci 11:2061.<https://doi.org/10.3390/app11052061>
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>7. Al ESSO, Hua LS, Ashaari Z, Halip JA (2022) Efects of diptreatment in palm oil on dimensional stability of particleboard made from rubberwood and oil palm trunk. J Nat Fibre Polym Compos 1:1
- 8. Harussani MM, Sapuan SM (2022) Tensile and fexural properties of compression molded composites of epoxy reinforced with treated sugar palm fbre. J Nat Fibre Polym Compos 1:1
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>9. Asyraf MRM, Ishak MR, Syamsir A et al (2023) Filament-wound glass-fbre reinforced polymer composites: potential applications for cross arm structure in transmission towers. Polym Bull 80:1059–1084.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00289-022-04114-4>
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>10. Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Atiqah A et al (2020) Sugar palm (Arenga pinnata [Wurmb.] Merr) starch flms containing sugar palm nanofbrillated cellulose as reinforcement: water barrier properties. Polym Compos 41:459–467. [https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.25379) [25379](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.25379)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>11 Jaafar CNA, Rizal MAM, Zainol I (2018) Efect of kenaf alkalization treatment on morphological and mechanical properties of epoxy / silica / kenaf composite. Int J Eng Technol 7:258–263. <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i4.35.22743>
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>12. Rajawat AS, Singh S, Gangil B et al (2022) Efect of marble dust on the mechanical, morphological, and wear performance of basalt fbre-reinforced epoxy composites for structural applications. Polymers 14:1325. [https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14071](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14071325) [325](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14071325)
- 13. Harussani MM, Gwyth M, Tarique J (2022) An overview of mechanical performance of arrowroot starchbased biopolymer composites. J Nat Fibre Polym Compos 2:1
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>14. Asyraf MRM, Ishak MR, Razman MR, Chandrasekar M (2019) Fundamentals of creep, testing methods and development of test rig for the full-scale crossarm: a review. J Teknol 81:155–164. <https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v81.13402>
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>15. Saba N, Paridah MT, Jawaid M et al (2015) Potential utilization of kenaf biomass in diferent applications. In: Hakeem KR,

Jawaid M, Alothman OY (eds) Agricultural biomass based potential materials. Springer, Switzerland, pp 1–34

- 16. Zainudin MZ, Mansor MR, Ali MB et al (2023) Efect of varying fbre loadings on the impact performance of kenaf and coir reinforced bioepoxy composites. J Nat Fibre Polym Compos 2:1–8
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>17. Fitri MFM, Asyraf MRM, Hassan SA, Ilyas RA (2024) Unveiling the physico‐mechanical properties of kenaf yarn fber‐reinforced acrylonitrile butadiene styrene composites: effect of quasi-isotropic lay‐up sequences. Polym Compos 1–14. (In-Press) [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.28414) [doi.org/10.1002/pc.28414](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.28414)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>18. Rashdi AAA, Sapuan SM, Ahmad MMHM, Khalina A (2009) Water absorption and tensile properties of soil buried kenaf fbre reinforced unsaturated polyester composites (KFRUPC). J Food Agric Environ 7:908–911
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>19. John MJ, Thomas S (2008) Biofbres and biocomposites. Carbohydr Polym 71:343–364. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2007.05.040) [05.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2007.05.040)
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>20. Joseph K, Thomas S, Pavithran C (1996) Efect of chemical treatment on the tensile properties of short sisal fbre-reinforced polyethylene composites. Polymer 37:5139–5149. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-3861(96)00144-9) [10.1016/0032-3861\(96\)00144-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-3861(96)00144-9)
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>21. Manral A, Bajpai PK, Khanna P (2023) Efect of non-acidic chemical treatment of kenaf fiber on biodegradation and thermal degradation of kenaf/PLA green composite laminates. Biomass Convers Biorefnery. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-023-05010-1) [s13399-023-05010-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-023-05010-1)
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>22. Serizawa S, Inoue K, Iji M (2006) Kenaf-fiber-reinforced poly(lactic acid) used for electronic products. J Appl Polym Sci 100:618–624.<https://doi.org/10.1002/app.23377>
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>23. Bajuri F, Mazlan N, Ishak MR, Imatomi J (2016) Flexural and compressive properties of hybrid kenaf/silica nanoparticles in epoxy composite. Procedia Chem 19:955–960. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proche.2016.03.141) [10.1016/j.proche.2016.03.141](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proche.2016.03.141)
- 24. Wambua P, Ivens J, Verpoest I (2003) Natural fbres: can they replace glass in fbre reinforced plastics? Compos Sci Technol 63:1259–1264. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538\(03\)00096-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(03)00096-4)
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>25. Ng LF, Yahya MY, Leong HY et al (2023) Evaluation of physical and mechanical properties of pineapple leaf and kenaf fabrics as potential reinforcement in bio-composites. Biomass Convers Biorefnery. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-023-04525-x>
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>26. Tamta N, Palsule S (2023) Kenaf fber reinforced chemically functionalized styrene-acrylonitrile composites. J Thermoplast Compos Mater 37:5–27. [https://doi.org/10.1177/0892705723](https://doi.org/10.1177/08927057231168560) [1168560](https://doi.org/10.1177/08927057231168560)
- 27. Kumar S, Dang R, Manna A et al (2023) Efect of chemically treated kenaf fber on the mechanical, morphological, and microstructural characteristics of PLA-based sustainable bio-composites fabricated via direct injection molding route. Biomass Convers Biorefnery. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-023-04916-0>
- 28. Dev B, Rahman A, Alam R et al (2023) Mapping the progress in natural fber reinforced composites: preparation, mechanical properties, and applications. Polym Compos 44:3748–3788. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.27376>
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>29. Dev B, Rahman MA, Repon MR et al (2023) Recent progress in thermal and acoustic properties of natural fber reinforced polymer composites: preparation, characterization, and data analysis. Polym Compos 44:7235–7297.<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.27633>
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>30. Han SNMF, Taha MM, Mansor MR, Rahman MAA (2022) Investigation of tensile and fexural properties of kenaf fberreinforced acrylonitrile butadiene styrene composites fabricated by fused deposition modeling. J Eng Appl Sci 69:1–18. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/s44147-022-00109-0) [doi.org/10.1186/s44147-022-00109-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s44147-022-00109-0)
- <span id="page-16-21"></span>31. Mohammadsalih ZG, Muawwidzah M, Siddiqui VU, Sapuan SM (2023) Mechanical properties of wood fbre flled polylactic acid (PLA) composites using additive manufacturing techniques. J Nat Fibre Polym Compos 2:1–10
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>32. Davoodi MM, Sapuan SM, Ahmad D et al (2010) Mechanical properties of hybrid kenaf/glass reinforced epoxy composite for passenger car bumper beam. Mater Des 31:4927–4932. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2010.05.021) [doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2010.05.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2010.05.021)
- 33. Azlan KA, Mansor MR, E. Mohamad B (2023) New sustainable conceptual design framework for biocomposite automotive headrests based on concurrent engineering approach. J Nat Fibre Polym Compos 2:1–8
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>34. Yiow RV, Mansor MR (2022) Natural fbre selection for sustainable two-stroke marine diesel engine crosshead bearing. J Nat Fibre Polym Compos 1:1
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>35. Krishna KV, Kanny K (2016) The efect of treatment on kenaf fber using green approach and their reinforced epoxy composites. Compos Part B Eng 104:111–117. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2016.08.010) [compositesb.2016.08.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2016.08.010)
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>36. Asyraf MRM, Syamsir A, Bathich H et al (2022) Efect of fbre layering sequences on fexural creep properties of kenaf fbrereinforced unsaturated polyester composite for structural applications. Fibers Polym 23:3232–3240. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12221-022-4386-7) [s12221-022-4386-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12221-022-4386-7)
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>37. Nurazzi NM, Shazleen SS, Aisyah HA et al (2021) Efect of silane treatments on mechanical performance of kenaf fbre reinforced polymer composites: a review. Funct Compos Struct 3:045003.<https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-6331/ac351b>
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>38. Asim M, Paridah MT, Saba N et al (2018) Thermal, physical properties and fammability of silane treated kenaf/pineapple leaf fbres phenolic hybrid composites. Compos Struct. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.06.068) [org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.06.068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.06.068)
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>39. Aziz SH, Ansell MP (2004) The efect of alkalization and fbre alignment on the mechanical and thermal properties of kenaf and hemp bast fbre composites: Part 1 - polyester resin matrix. Compos Sci Technol 64:1219–1230. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2003.10.001) [compscitech.2003.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2003.10.001)
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>40. Zhang Q, Zhang D, Lu W et al (2020) Production of high-density polyethylene biocomposites from rice husk biochar: efects of varying pyrolysis temperature. Sci Total Environ 738. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139910) [doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139910](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139910)
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>41. D'Antino T, Pisani MA (2019) Long-term behavior of GFRP reinforcing bars. Compos Struct 227. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2019.111283) [compstruct.2019.111283](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2019.111283)
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>42. Li YL, Zhao XL, Singh Raman RK (2018) Mechanical properties of seawater and sea sand concrete-flled FRP tubes in artifcial seawater. Constr Build Mater 191:977–993. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.10.059) [1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.10.059](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.10.059)
- 43. Majeed K, Ahmed A, Abu Bakar MS et al (2019) Mechanical and thermal properties of montmorillonite-reinforced polypropylene/ rice husk hybrid nanocomposites. Polymers (Basel) 11:1557. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym11101557>
- 44. Amir AL, Ishak MR, Yidris N et al (2021) Potential of honeycomb-flled composite structure in composite cross-arm component: a review on recent progress and its mechanical properties. Polymers 13:1341.<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13081341>
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>45. Amir AL, Ishak MR, Yidris N et al (2021) Advances of composite cross arms with incorporation of material core structures: manufacturability, recent progress and views. J Mater Res Technol 13:1115–1131.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2021.05.040>
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>46. Ayu RS, Khalina A, Harmaen AS et al (2020) Characterization study of empty fruit bunch (EFB) fbers reinforcement in poly(butylene) succinate (PBS)/starch/glycerol composite sheet. Polymers (Basel) 12:1571. [https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12071](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12071571) [571](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12071571)
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>47. Hasan KMF, Horváth PG, Bak M, Alpár T (2021) A state-ofthe-art review on coir fber-reinforced biocomposites. RSC Adv 11:10548–10571
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>48. Mazani N, Sapuan SM, Sanyang ML et al (2019) Design and fabrication of a shoe shelf from kenaf fber reinforced unsaturated polyester composites. In: Ariffin H, Sapuan SM, Hassan MA (eds) Lignocellulose for Future Bioeconomy, 1st edn. Elsevier Inc., Amsterdam, Netherland, pp 315–332
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>49. Mansor MR, Sapuan SM, Zainudin ES, Nuraini AA (2014) Conceptual design of kenaf fber polymer composite automotive parking brake lever using integrated TRIZ-Morphological Chart-Analytic Hierarchy Process method. Mater Des 54:473– 482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.08.064>
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>50. Ramesh P, Prasad BD, Narayana K (2018) Characterization of kenaf fber and its composites: a review. J Reinf Plast Compos 37:731–737. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0731684418760206>
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>51. Ezekiel Babatunde O, Mohamad Yatim J, Ishak MY et al (2015) Potentials of kenaf fbre in bio-composite production: a review. J Teknol
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>52. Amin MHM, Arifn AMT, Hassan MF et al (2017) An Evaluation of mechanical properties on kenaf natural fber/polyester composite structures as table tennis blade. J Phys Conf Ser 914:012015. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/914/1/012015>
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>53. Hao LC, Sapuan SM, Hassan MR, Sheltami RM (2018) Natural fber reinforced vinyl polymer composites. Elsevier Ltd
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>54. Nayeri MD, Paridah MT, Harun J et al (2013) Efects of temperature and time on the morphology, pH, and bufering capacity of bast and core kenaf fbres. BioResources 8:1801–1812. [https://](https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.8.2.1801-1812) [doi.org/10.15376/biores.8.2.1801-1812](https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.8.2.1801-1812)
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>55. Abdul Khalil HPS, Yusra AFI, Bhat AH, Jawaid M (2010) Cell wall ultrastructure, anatomy, lignin distribution, and chemical composition of Malaysian cultivated kenaf fber. Ind Crops Prod 31:113–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2009.09.008>
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>56. Ishak MR, Leman Z, Sapuan SM et al (2010) Mechanical properties of kenaf bast and core fbre reinforced unsaturated polyester composites. IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng 11:012006. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/11/1/012006) [org/10.1088/1757-899x/11/1/012006](https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/11/1/012006)
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>57. Tezara C, Siregar JP, Lim HY et al (2016) Factors that afect the mechanical properties of kenaf fber reinforced polymer: a review. J Mech Eng Sci 10:2159–2175. [https://doi.org/10.15282/](https://doi.org/10.15282/jmes.10.2.2016.19.0203) [jmes.10.2.2016.19.0203](https://doi.org/10.15282/jmes.10.2.2016.19.0203)
- <span id="page-17-23"></span>58. Hamidon MH, Sultan MTH, Arifn AH, Shah AUM (2019) Efects of fbre treatment on mechanical properties of kenaf fbre reinforced composites: a review. J Mater Res Technol 8:3327– 3337.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2019.04.012>
- <span id="page-17-24"></span>59. Azeez MA, Orege JI (2018) Bamboo, its chemical modifcation and products. In: Abdul Khalil HPS (ed) Bamboo - current and future prospects. InTech, London, UK, pp 25–48
- <span id="page-17-25"></span>60. Haghdan S, Renneckar S, Smith GD (2016) Sources of lignin. In: Faruk O, Sain M (eds) Lignin in polymer composites, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 1–11
- <span id="page-17-26"></span>61. Zainudin ES, Yan LH, Hanifah WH et al (2014) Efect of coir fber loading on mechanical and morphological properties of oil palm fbers reinforced polypropylene composites. Polym Compos 35:1418–1425.<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.22794>
- <span id="page-17-27"></span>62. Ansell MP, Mwaikambo LY (2009) The structure of cotton and other plant fbres. In: Ansell, MP, Mwaikambo, LY, Eichhorn, SJ et al (eds) Handbook of textile fbre structure. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 62–94
- <span id="page-17-28"></span>63. Daud Z, Mohd Hatta MZ, Mohd Kassi AS, Mohd Aripi A (2014) Analysis of the chemical compositions and fber morphology of pineapple (Ananas comosus) leaves in Malaysia. J Appl Sci 14:1355–1358.<https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2014.1355.1358>
- <span id="page-17-29"></span>64. Barreto ACH, Rosa DS, Fechine PBA, Mazzetto SE (2011) Properties of sisal fbers treated by alkali solution and their application into cardanol-based biocomposites. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 42:492–500. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2011.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2011.01.008) [01.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2011.01.008)
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>65. Norizan MN, Abdan K, Salit MS, Mohamed R (2017) Physical, mechanical and thermal properties of sugar palm yarn fbre loading on reinforced unsaturated polyester composites. J Phys Sci 28:115–136. <https://doi.org/10.21315/jps2017.28.3.8>
- <span id="page-18-25"></span>66. Alias AH, Norizan MN, Sabaruddin FA et al (2021) Hybridization of MMT/lignocellulosic fber reinforced polymer nanocomposites for structural applications: a review. Coatings 11:1355. <https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings11111355>
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>67. Joseph PV, Joseph K, Thomas S (2002) Short sisal fber reinforced polypropylene composites: the role of interface modifcation on ultimate properties. Compos Interfaces 9:171–205. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156855402760116094>
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>68. Sreekala MS, Kumaran MG, Joseph S et al (2000) Oil palm fbre reinforced phenol formaldehyde composites: infuence of fbre surface modifcations on the mechanical performance. Appl Compos Mater 7:295–329. [https://doi.org/10.1023/A:10265](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026534006291) [34006291](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026534006291)
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>69. Sreekala MS, Thomas S (2003) Efect of fbre surface modifcation on water-sorption characteristics of oil palm fbres. Compos Sci Technol 63:861–869. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(02)00270-1) [3538\(02\)00270-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(02)00270-1)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>70. Makarona E, Koutzagioti C, Salmas C et al (2017) Enhancing wood resistance to humidity with nanostructured ZnO coatings. Nano-Structures and Nano-Objects 10:57–68. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoso.2017.03.003) [1016/j.nanoso.2017.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoso.2017.03.003)
- 71. Deeraj BDS, R. H, Jayan JS et al (2020) Enhanced visco-elastic and rheological behavior of epoxy composites reinforced with polyimide nanofber. Nano-Struct Nano-Objects 21:100421. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoso.2019.100421>
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>72. Yallappa S, Deepthi DR, Yashaswini S et al (2017) Natural biowaste of Groundnut shell derived nano carbons: synthesis, characterization and itsin vitro antibacterial activity. Nano-Struct Nano-Objects 12:84–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoso.2017.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoso.2017.09.009) [09.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoso.2017.09.009)
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>73. John MJ, Anandjiwala RD (2008) Recent developments in chemical modifcation and characterization of natural fber-reinforced composites. Polym Compos 29:187–207. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.20461) [pc.20461](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.20461)
- 74. John MJ, Francis B, Varughese KT, Thomas S (2008) Efect of chemical modifcation on properties of hybrid fber biocomposites. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 39:352–363. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2007.10.002) [10.1016/j.compositesa.2007.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2007.10.002)
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>75. Zainuddin N, Ahmad I, Kargarzadeh H, Ramli S (2017) Hydrophobic kenaf nanocrystalline cellulose for the binding of curcumin. Carbohydr Polym 163:261–269. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.01.036) [carbpol.2017.01.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.01.036)
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>76. Paul SA, Joseph K, Mathew GDG et al (2010) Infuence of polarity parameters on the mechanical properties of composites from polypropylene fber and short banana fber. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 41:1380–1387. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2010.04.015) [2010.04.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2010.04.015)
- 77. Paul SA, Reussmann T, Mennig G et al (2007) The role of interface modifcation on the mechanical properties of injectionmoulded composites from commingled polypropylene/banana granules. Compos Interfaces 14:849–867. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1163/156855407782106555) [1163/156855407782106555](https://doi.org/10.1163/156855407782106555)
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>78. Farahani GN, Ahmad I, Mosadeghzad Z (2012) Efect of Fiber content, fber length and alkali treatment on properties of kenaf fber/UPR composites based on recycled PET wastes. Polym Plast Technol Eng 51:634–639. [https://doi.org/10.1080/03602](https://doi.org/10.1080/03602559.2012.659314) [559.2012.659314](https://doi.org/10.1080/03602559.2012.659314)
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>79. Pottathara YB, Bobnar V, Finšgar M et al (2018) Cellulose nanofbrils-reduced graphene oxide xerogels and cryogels for dielectric and electrochemical storage applications. Polymer 147:260–270.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2018.06.005>
- 80. Pottathara YB, Thomas S, Kalarikkal N et al (2019) UV-Induced reduction of graphene oxide in cellulose nanofbril composites. New J Chem 43:681–688.<https://doi.org/10.1039/C8NJ03563F>
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>81. Pottathara YB, Bobnar V, Gorgieva S et al (2016) Mechanically strong, fexible and thermally stable graphene oxide/nanocellulosic films with enhanced dielectric properties. RSC Adv 6:49138–49149. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ra06744a>
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>82. Liu Y, Lv X, Bao J et al (2019) Characterization of silane treated and untreated natural cellulosic fbre from corn stalk waste as potential reinforcement in polymer composites. Carbohydr Polym 218:179–187. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.04.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.04.088) [088](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.04.088)
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>83. Gopakumar DA, Pai AR, Pottathara YB et al (2018) Cellulose nanofber-based polyaniline fexible papers as sustainable microwave absorbers in the X-band. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 10:20032–20043.<https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.8b04549>
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>84. Saba N, Alothman OY, Almutairi Z, Jawaid M (2019) Magnesium hydroxide reinforced kenaf fbers/epoxy hybrid composites: mechanical and thermomechanical properties. Constr Build Mater 201:138–148. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.12.182) [12.182](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.12.182)
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>85. Bledzki AK, Gassan J (1999) Composites reinforced with cellulose based fbres. Prog Polym Sci 24:221–274. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6700(98)00018-5) [10.1016/S0079-6700\(98\)00018-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6700(98)00018-5)
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>86. Aziz NA (2011) Mechanical performance of kenaf fbre reinforced thermoplastic composite. PhD Thesis, Universiti Malaysia Pahang
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>87. Radzuan NAM, Tholibon D, Sulong AB et al (2020) Efects of high-temperature exposure on the mechanical properties of kenaf composites. Polymers 12:1643. [https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM](https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM12081643) [12081643](https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM12081643)
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>88 Tholibon D, Tharazi I, Sulong AB et al (2019) Kenaf fber composites: a review on synthetic and biodegradable polymer matrix. J Kejuruter 31:65–76. [https://doi.org/10.17576/](https://doi.org/10.17576/jkukm-2019-31(1)-08) [jkukm-2019-31\(1\)-08](https://doi.org/10.17576/jkukm-2019-31(1)-08)
- <span id="page-18-19"></span>89. Zaki Abdullah M, Dan-Mallam Y, Megat Yusoff PSM (2013) Efect of environmental degradation on mechanical properties of kenaf/polyethylene terephthalate fber reinforced polyoxymethylene hybrid Composite. Adv Mater Sci Eng 2013:1–8. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/671481) [doi.org/10.1155/2013/671481](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/671481)
- <span id="page-18-20"></span>90. Vedrtnam A, Gunwant D, Verma H, Kalauni K (2022) Efect of aging and UV exposure on mechanical properties of natural fber composites. In: Muthukumar C, Krishnasamy S, Thiagamani SMK, Siengchin S (eds) Aging effects on natural fiberreinforced polymer composites: durability and life prediction. Springer Singapore, pp 189–217
- <span id="page-18-21"></span>91. Zampaloni M, Pourboghrat F, Yankovich SA et al (2007) Kenaf natural fber reinforced polypropylene composites: a discussion on manufacturing problems and solutions. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 38:1569–1580. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2007.01.001) [2007.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2007.01.001)
- <span id="page-18-22"></span>92. Salman SD, Leman Z, Sultan MTH et al (2016) Infuence of fber content on mechanical and morphological properties of woven kenaf reinforced PVB flm produced using a hot press technique. Int J Polym Sci 2016:1–11. [https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/78284](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7828451) [51](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7828451)
- <span id="page-18-23"></span>93. Abbas AGN, Aziz FNAA, Abdan K et al (2022) Kenaf fbre reinforced cementitious composites. Fibers 10:1–24. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/fib10010003) [org/10.3390/fb10010003](https://doi.org/10.3390/fib10010003)
- <span id="page-18-24"></span>94. Ku H, Wang H, Pattarachaiyakoop N, Trada M (2011) A review on the tensile properties of natural fber reinforced polymer composites. Compos Part B Eng 42:856–873. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2011.01.010) [10.1016/j.compositesb.2011.01.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2011.01.010)
- <span id="page-18-26"></span>95. Owen MM, Achukwu EO, Romli AZ et al (2023) Improved thermal and mechanical properties of kenaf fber/ ABS polymer

composites via resin coating treatment. Pertanika J Sci Technol 31:39–57.<https://doi.org/10.47836/pjst.31.S1.03>

- <span id="page-19-0"></span>96. Mansingh BB, Binoj JS, Manikandan N et al (2022) Kenaf fbers, their composites and applications. In: Sanjay MR, Parameswaranpillai J et al (eds) Plant fbers, their composites, and applications. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 283–304
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>97. Mohammed M, Jawad AJ afar M, Mohammed AM et al (2023) Challenges and advancement in water absorption of natural fberreinforced polymer composites. Polym Test 6:108083. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2023.108083) [org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2023.108083](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2023.108083)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>98. Loganathan TM, Sultan MTH, Ahsan Q et al (2022) Thermal degradation, visco-elastic and fre-retardant behavior of hybrid Cyrtostachys Renda/kenaf fber-reinforced MWCNT-modifed phenolic composites. J Therm Anal Calorim 147:14079–14096. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-022-11557-4>
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>99. Asumani O, Paskaramoorthy R (2021) Fatigue and impact strengths of kenaf fbre reinforced polypropylene composites: efects of fbre treatments. Adv Compos Mater 30:103–115. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09243046.2020.1733308>
- 100. Ragunathan S, Zainab NAN, Andrew AM et al (2021) Characterization and properties of PP/NBRv/kenaf fbre composites with silane treatment. In: Bahari M, Harun A, Zainal Abidin Z et al (eds) Intelligent manufacturing and mechatronics. Lecture notes in mechanical engineering. Springer, Singapore, pp 785–791
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>101. Huda MS, Drzal LT, Mohanty AK, Misra M (2008) Efect of fber surface-treatments on the properties of laminated biocomposites from poly(lactic acid) (PLA) and kenaf fbers. Compos Sci Technol 68:424–432. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2007.06.022) [2007.06.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2007.06.022)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>102. Fauzi MFM, Asyraf MRM, Hassan SA et al (2023) Hybrid kenaf fbre/fbreglass meshes reinforced thermoplastic ABS composites based on quasi-isotropic Mechanism: a brief review. J Nat Fibre Polym Compos 2:1–9
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>103. Nematollahi M, Karevan M, Mosaddegh P, Farzin M (2019) Morphology, thermal and mechanical properties of extruded injection molded kenaf fber reinforced polypropylene composites. Mater Res Express 6:1–12. [https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-](https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1591/ab2fbd) [1591/ab2fbd](https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1591/ab2fbd)
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>104. Cho D, Lee HS, Han SO (2009) Efect of fber surface modifcation on the interfacial and mechanical properties of kenaf fberreinforced thermoplastic and thermosetting polymer composites. Compos Interfaces 16:711–729. [https://doi.org/10.1163/09276](https://doi.org/10.1163/092764409X12477427307537) [4409X12477427307537](https://doi.org/10.1163/092764409X12477427307537)
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>105. Asumani OML, Reid RG, Paskaramoorthy R (2012) The effects of alkali-silane treatment on the tensile and fexural properties of short fbre non-woven kenaf reinforced polypropylene composites. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 43:1431–1440. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2012.04.007) [doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2012.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2012.04.007)
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>106. Azizah AB, Rozman HD, Azniwati AA, Tay GS (2020) The efect of fller loading and silane treatment on kenaf core reinforced polyurethane composites: mechanical and thermal properties. J Polym Environ 28:517–531. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-019-01623-8) [s10924-019-01623-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-019-01623-8)
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>107. Oyekanmi AA, Saharudin NI, Hazwan CM et al (2021) Improved hydrophobicity of macroalgae biopolymer flm incorporated with kenaf derived cnf using silane coupling agent. Molecules 26:2254. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26082254>
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>108. Sallehuddin NJ, Ismail H (2020) Efects of silane treatment on tensile properties and surface morphology of kenaf bast flled natural rubber latex foam. AIP conference proceedings 2267:020012.<https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0016555>
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>109. Sreekala MS, Kumaran MG, Thomas S (1997) Oil palm fbers: Morphology, chemical composition, surface modifcation, and mechanical properties. J Appl Polym Sci 66:821–835. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-4628(19971031)66:5%3c821::aid-app2%3e3.3.co;2-l) [org/10.1002/\(sici\)1097-4628\(19971031\)66:5%3c821::aid-app2%](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-4628(19971031)66:5%3c821::aid-app2%3e3.3.co;2-l) [3e3.3.co;2-l](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-4628(19971031)66:5%3c821::aid-app2%3e3.3.co;2-l)
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>110. Zou H, Wang L, Gan H, Yi C (2012) Efect of fber surface treatments on the properties of short sisal fber/poly(lactic acid) biocomposites. Polym Compos 33:1659–1666. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.22295) [10.1002/pc.22295](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.22295)
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>111. Nirmal U, Hashim J, Megat Ahmad MMH (2015) A review on tribological performance of natural fbre polymeric composites. Tribol Int 83:77–104. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2014.11.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2014.11.003) [003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2014.11.003)
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>112. Samanth M, Subrahmanya Bhat K (2023) Conventional and unconventional chemical treatment methods of natural fbres for sustainable biocomposites. Sustain Chem Clim Action 3:100034. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scca.2023.100034>
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>113. Herrera Franco PJ, Valadez-González A (2005) Fiber-matrix adhesion in natural fber composites. In: natural fbers, biopolymers, and biocomposites, pp 177–230
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>114 Ibrahim MI, Hassan MZ, Dolah R et al (2018) Tensile behaviour for mercerization of single kenaf fber. Malays J Fundam Appl Sci 14:437–439.<https://doi.org/10.11113/mjfas.v14n4.1099>
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>115. Agrawal R, Saxena NS, Sharma KB et al (2000) Activation energy and crystallization kinetics of untreated and treated oil palm fbre reinforced phenol formaldehyde composites. Mater Sci Eng A 277:77–82. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5093\(99\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5093(99)00556-0) [00556-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5093(99)00556-0)
- <span id="page-19-19"></span>116. Idumah CI, Ogbu JE, Ndem JU, Obiana V (2019) Infuence of chemical modification of kenaf fiber on xGNP-PP nanobiocomposites. SN Appl Sci 1:1261. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-019-1319-1) [s42452-019-1319-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-019-1319-1)
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>117. Gaisford S, Kett V, Haines P (2002) Principles of thermal analysis and calorimetry. Royal society of chemistry, Cambridge, UK
- <span id="page-19-21"></span>118. (2020) Dynamic mechanical analysis. In: InTech Gr. Plc. [https://](https://www.intertek.com/polymers/testlopedia/dynamic-mechanical-analysis/) [www.intertek.com/polymers/testlopedia/dynamic-mechanical](https://www.intertek.com/polymers/testlopedia/dynamic-mechanical-analysis/)[analysis/](https://www.intertek.com/polymers/testlopedia/dynamic-mechanical-analysis/). Accessed 13 Jul 2020
- <span id="page-19-22"></span>119. Anuar H, Zuraida A (2011) Thermal properties of injection moulded polylactic acid – kenaf fbre biocomposite. Malaysian Polym J 6:51–57.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2>
- <span id="page-19-23"></span>120. Majhi SK, Nayak SK, Mohanty S, Unnikrishnan L (2010) Mechanical and fracture behavior of banana fber reinforced polylactic acid biocomposites. Int J Plast Technol 14:57–75. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12588-010-0010-6>
- <span id="page-19-24"></span>121. Jawaid M, Abdul Khalil HPS, Hassan A et al (2013) Efect of jute fbre loading on tensile and dynamic mechanical properties of oil palm epoxy composites. Compos Part B Eng 45:619–624. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2012.04.068>
- <span id="page-19-25"></span>122. Ilyas RA, Sapuan MS, Norizan MN et al (2020) Macro to nanoscale natural fber composites for automotive components: research, development, and application. In: Sapuan MS, Ilyas RA (eds) Biocomposite and synthetic composites for automotive applications. Woodhead Publishing Series, Amsterdam, Netherland, pp 51–105
- <span id="page-19-26"></span>123. Joy J, Jose C, Yu X et al (2017) The infuence of nanocellulosic fber, extracted from Helicteres isora, on thermal, wetting and viscoelastic properties of poly(butylene succinate) composites. Cellulose 24:4313–4323. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-017-1439-y) [s10570-017-1439-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-017-1439-y)
- <span id="page-19-27"></span>124. Zhang Z, Wang P, Wu J (2012) Dynamic mechanical properties of EVA polymer-modifed cement paste at early age. Phys Procedia 25:305–310.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2012.03.088>
- <span id="page-19-28"></span>125. Kosbar LL, Wenzel TJ (2017) Inclusion of synthetic polymers within the curriculum of the ACS certifed undergraduate degree. J Chem Educ 94:1599–1602. [https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchem](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00922) [ed.6b00922](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00922)
- <span id="page-19-29"></span>126. Mahdi EM, Tan JC (2016) Dynamic molecular interactions between polyurethane and ZIF-8 in a polymer-MOF nanocomposite: microstructural, thermo-mechanical and viscoelastic efects. Polymer 97:31–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2016.05.012) [2016.05.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2016.05.012)
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>127. Saba N, Jawaid M, Alothman OY, Paridah MT (2016) A review on dynamic mechanical properties of natural fbre reinforced polymer composites. Constr Build Mater 106:149–159. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.12.075) [doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.12.075](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.12.075)
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>128. Lee BH, Kim HS, Lee S et al (2009) Bio-composites of kenaf fbers in polylactide: Role of improved interfacial adhesion in the carding process. Compos Sci Technol 69:2573–2579. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2009.07.015) [org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2009.07.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2009.07.015)
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>129. Sis ALM, Ibrahim NA, Yunus WMZW (2013) Efect of (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane on mechanical properties of PLA/ PBAT blend reinforced kenaf fber. Iran Polym J Eng Ed 22:101– 108. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13726-012-0108-0>
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>130. Chung TJ, Park JW, Lee HJ et al (2018) The improvement of mechanical properties, thermal stability, and water absorption resistance of an eco-friendly PLA/kenaf biocomposite using acetylation. Appl Sci 8:376. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app8030376>
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>131. Huda MS, Drzal LT, Mohanty AK, Misra M (2008) Efect of chemical modifcations of the pineapple leaf fber surfaces on the interfacial and mechanical properties of laminated biocomposites. Compos Interfaces 15:169–191. [https://doi.org/10.1163/](https://doi.org/10.1163/156855408783810920) [156855408783810920](https://doi.org/10.1163/156855408783810920)
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>132. Bakar NA, Chee CY, Abdullah LC et al (2015) Thermal and dynamic mechanical properties of grafted kenaf flled poly (vinyl chloride)/ethylene vinyl acetate composites. Mater Des 65:204– 211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2014.09.027>
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>133. Kumar M, Mohanty S, Nayak SK, Rahail Parvaiz M (2010) Efect of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) on the thermal, mechanical and morphological property of biodegradable PLA/PBAT blend and its nanocomposites. Bioresour Technol 101:8406–8415. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.05.075) [doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.05.075](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.05.075)
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>134 Ibrahim NA, Yunus WM, Othman M et al (2010) Poly(Lactic Acid) (PLA)-reinforced kenaf bast fber composites: the efect of triacetin. J Reinf Plast Compos 29:1099–1111. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1177/0731684409344651) [10.1177/0731684409344651](https://doi.org/10.1177/0731684409344651)
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>135. Dupraz AMP, De Wijn JR, Meer SATVD, De Groot K (1996) Characterization of silane-treated hydroxyapatite powders for use as fller in biodegradable composites. J Biomed Mater Res 30:231–238. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4636\(199602\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4636(199602)30:2%3c231::AID-JBM13%3e3.0.CO;2-P) [30:2%3c231::AID-JBM13%3e3.0.CO;2-P](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4636(199602)30:2%3c231::AID-JBM13%3e3.0.CO;2-P)
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>136. Herrera-Franco P, Valadez-Gonzalez A, Cervantes-Uc M (1997) Development and characterization of a HDPE-sand-natural fber composite. Compos Part B Eng 28:331–343. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-8368(96)00024-8) [1016/S1359-8368\(96\)00024-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-8368(96)00024-8)
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>137. Singh B, Verma A, Gupta M (1998) Studies on adsorptive interaction between natural fber and coupling agents. J Appl Polym Sci 70:1847–1858. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4628(19981128)70:93.0.CO;2-P) [4628\(19981128\)70:93.0.CO;2-P](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4628(19981128)70:93.0.CO;2-P)
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>138. Ali SSS, Razman MR, Awang A et al (2021) Critical determinants of household electricity consumption in a rapidly growing city. Sustainability 13:4441. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su1308444>
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>139. Roslan ZB, Ramli Z, Razman MR et al (2021) Refections on local community identity by evaluating heritage sustainability protection in Jugra, Selangor. Malays Sustain 13:8705. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/su13168705) [doi.org/10.3390/su13168705](https://doi.org/10.3390/su13168705)
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>140. Owen MM, Achukwu EO, Bin SS et al (2023) Efects of hightemperature optimization and resin coating treatment on the mechanical, thermal, and morphological properties of natural kenaf fber-flled engineering plastic composites. Polym Compos 44:2512–2529.<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.27260>
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>141. Owen MM, Achukwu EO, Romli AZ, Akil HM (2023) Recent advances on improving the mechanical and thermal properties of kenaf fbers/engineering thermoplastic composites using novel coating techniques: a review. Compos Interfaces 30:849–875. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09276440.2023.2179238>
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>142. Ilyas RA, Sapuan SM, Harussani MM et al (2021) Polylactic acid (PLA) Biocomposite: processing, additive manufacturing

and advanced applications. Polymers 13:1326. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13081326) [10.3390/polym13081326](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13081326)

- 143. Azman MA, Asyraf MRM, Khalina A et al (2021) Natural fber reinforced composite material for product design: a short review. Polymers 13:1917.<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13121917>
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>144. Asyraf MRM, Rafdah M, Ishak MR et al (2020) Integration of TRIZ, morphological chart and ANP method for development of FRP composite portable fre extinguisher. Polym Compos 41:2917–2932.<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.25587>
- <span id="page-20-20"></span>145. Mansor MR, Sapuan SM, Hambali A (2015) Conceptual design of kenaf polymer composites automotive spoiler using TRIZ and morphology chart methods. App Mech Mat 761:63–67. [https://](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.761.63) [doi.org/10.4028/www.scientifc.net/AMM.761.63](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.761.63)
- <span id="page-20-19"></span>146. Azammi AMN, Sapuan SM, Ishak MR, Sultan MTH (2018) Conceptual design of automobile engine rubber mounting composite using TRIZ-Morphological chart-analytic network process technique. Def Technol 14:268–277. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dt.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dt.2018.05.009) [2018.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dt.2018.05.009)
- <span id="page-20-21"></span>147. Sapuan SM, Purushothman KR, Sanyang ML, Mansor MR (2018) Design and fabrication of kenaf fbre reinforced polymer composites for portable laptop table. In: Kalia S (ed) Lignocellulosic composite materials. Springer Nature, Gewerbestrasse, Switzerland, pp 323–356
- <span id="page-20-17"></span>148. Atiqah A, Maleque MA, Jawaid M, Iqbal M (2014) Development of kenaf-glass reinforced unsaturated polyester hybrid composite for structural applications. Compos Part B Eng 56:68–73. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2013.08.019) [doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2013.08.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2013.08.019)
- <span id="page-20-18"></span>149. Mansor MR, Sapuan SM, Zainudin ES et al (2013) Hybrid natural and glass fbers reinforced polymer composites material selection using analytical hierarchy process for automotive brake lever design. Mater Des 51:484–492. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.04.072) [2013.04.072](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.04.072)
- <span id="page-20-22"></span>150. Pang C, Shanks RA, Daver F (2015) Characterization of kenaf fber composites prepared with tributyl citrate plasticized cellulose acetate. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 70:52–58. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2014.12.003) [doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2014.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2014.12.003)
- <span id="page-20-23"></span>151. Nurazzi NM, Asyraf MRM, Rayung M et al (2021) Thermogravimetric analysis properties of cellulosic natural fber polymer composites: a review on infuence of chemical treatments. Polymers (Basel) 13:2710. [https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13162](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13162710) [710](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13162710)
- <span id="page-20-24"></span>152. Xue Y, Du Y, Elder S et al (2009) Temperature and loading rate effects on tensile properties of kenaf bast fiber bundles and composites. Compos Part B Eng 40:189–196. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2008.11.009) [1016/j.compositesb.2008.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2008.11.009)
- <span id="page-20-25"></span>153. Misri S, Ishak MR, Sapuan SM, Leman Z (2015) The efect of winding angles on crushing behavior of filament wound hollow kenaf yarn fbre reinforced unsaturated polyester composites. Fibers Polym 16:2266–2275. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12221-015-5447-y) [s12221-015-5447-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12221-015-5447-y)
- <span id="page-20-26"></span>154. Hafzah NAK, Bhutta MAR, Jamaludin MY et al (2014) Kenaf fber reinforced polymer composites for strengthening RC beams. J Adv Concr Technol 12:167–177. [https://doi.org/10.3151/jact.](https://doi.org/10.3151/jact.12.167) [12.167](https://doi.org/10.3151/jact.12.167)
- <span id="page-20-27"></span>155. Abdelrhman HA, Shahwahid M, Paridah MT et al (2017) Carbon stored in kenaf fber utilization of biocomposite applications into automotive components. Int J Latest Eng Res Appl 02:56–53

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.