



Sobolev spaces of vector-valued functions

Iván Caamaño¹ · Jesús Á. Jaramillo^{1,2} · Ángeles Prieto¹ · Alberto Ruiz de Alarcón^{1,3}

Received: 30 July 2020 / Accepted: 23 October 2020 / Published online: 9 November 2020
© The Royal Academy of Sciences, Madrid 2020

Abstract

We are concerned here with Sobolev-type spaces of vector-valued functions. For an open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and a Banach space V , we compare the classical Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ with the so-called Sobolev–Reshetnyak space $R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$. We see that, in general, $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ is a closed subspace of $R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$. As a main result, we obtain that $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V) = R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ if, and only if, the Banach space V has the Radon–Nikodým property

Keywords Sobolev spaces · Vector-valued functions

Mathematics Subject Classification 46E35 · 46E40 · 46B22

Introduction

This paper deals with first order Sobolev spaces of vector-valued functions. For an open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and a Banach space V , we will first consider the classical Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ of functions defined on Ω and taking values in V . This space is defined using the notion of Banach-valued weak partial derivatives in the context of Bochner integral, much in the same way as the usual Sobolev space of scalar-valued functions.

Research supported in part by Grant PGC2018-097286-B-I00 (Spain).

✉ Jesús Á. Jaramillo
jaramil@mat.ucm.es

Iván Caamaño
ivancaam@ucm.es

Ángeles Prieto
angelin@mat.ucm.es

Alberto Ruiz de Alarcón
alberto.ruiz.alarcon@icmat.es

¹ Departamento de Análisis Matemático y Matemática Aplicada, Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain

² Instituto de Matemática Interdisciplinar (IMI), Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain

³ Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM), C/ Nicolás Cabrera, 13-15, Campus de Cantoblanco, 28040 Madrid, Spain

A different notion of Sobolev space was introduced by Reshetnyak [10] for functions defined on an open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and taking values in a metric space. Here we will consider only the case of functions with values in a Banach space V . The corresponding Sobolev–Reshetnyak space $R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ has been considered in [6] and extensively studied in [7]. This space is defined by a “scalarization” procedure, by composing the functions taking values in V with continuous linear functionals of the dual space V^* in a suitable uniform way. It should be noted that there is a further notion of Sobolev space, in the more general setting of functions defined on a metric measure space (X, d, μ) and taking values in a Banach space V . This is the so-called Newtonian–Sobolev space, denoted by $N^{1,p}(X, V)$, which is defined using the notion of upper gradients and line integrals. This space was introduced by Heinonen et al. [7], combining the approaches of Shamungalingam [11] and Reshetnyak [10]. We refer to the book [8] for an extensive and detailed study of Newtonian–Sobolev spaces. In the case that the metric measure space (X, d, μ) is an open subset Ω of euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N , it follows from Theorem 3.17 in [7] or Theorem 7.1.20 in [8] that, in fact, $R^{1,p}(\Omega, V) = N^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$.

Our main purpose in this paper is to compare the spaces $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ and $R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$. In general, we have that $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ is a closed subspace of $R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$. As a main result, we obtain that $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V) = R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ if, and only if, the space V has the Radon–Nikodým property (see Theorem 4.6). Note that this contradicts Theorem 2.14 of [6]. It turns out that the proof of Theorem 2.14 of [6] is not correct, and the gap is located in Lemma 2.12, since the so-called w^* -partial derivatives need not be measurable, and in this case they cannot be the weak partial derivatives.

The contents of the paper are as follows. In Sect. 1, we recall some basic notions about measurability of Banach-valued functions and Bochner integral. Section 2 is devoted to the concept of p -modulus of a family of curves. We briefly review its definition and fundamental properties, which will be used along the paper. In Sect. 3, the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ is considered. In particular, we prove in Theorem 3.3 that every function $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ admits a representative which is absolutely continuous and almost everywhere differentiable along each rectifiable curve, except for a family of curves with zero p -modulus. The Sobolev–Reshetnyak space $R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ is considered in Sect. 4. We prove in Theorem 4.5 that every function $f \in R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ admits a representative which is absolutely continuous along each rectifiable curve, except for a family of curves with zero p -modulus. Finally, in Theorem 4.6 we obtain that the equality $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V) = R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ provides a new characterization of the Radon–Nikodým property in Banach spaces.

1 Integration of vector-valued functions

Along this section, (Ω, Σ, μ) will denote a σ -finite measure space and V a Banach space. We are going to recall first some basic facts about measurability of Banach-valued functions. A function $s : \Omega \rightarrow V$ is said to be a *measurable simple function* if there exist vectors $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$ and disjoint measurable subsets E_1, \dots, E_m of Ω such that

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \chi_{E_i}.$$

A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow V$ is said to be *measurable* if there exists a sequence of measurable simple functions $\{s_n : \Omega \rightarrow V\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ that converges to f almost everywhere on Ω . The Pettis measurability theorem gives the following characterization of measurable functions (see e.g. [4] or [8]):

Theorem 1.1 (Pettis) *Consider a σ -finite measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) and a Banach space V . A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow V$ is measurable if and only if satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (1) *f is weakly-measurable, i.e., for each $v^* \in V^*$, we have that $\langle v^*, f \rangle : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable.*
- (2) *f is essentially separable-valued, i.e., there exists $Z \subset \Omega$ with $\mu(Z) = 0$ such that $f(\Omega \setminus Z)$ is a separable subset of V .*

Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm of V . Note that, if $f : \Omega \rightarrow V$ is measurable, the scalar-valued function $\|f\| : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also measurable. Also it can be seen that any convergent sequence of measurable functions converges to a measurable function.

For measurable Banach-valued functions, the Bochner integral is defined as follows. Suppose first that $s = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \chi_{E_i}$ is a measurable simple function as before, where E_1, \dots, E_m are measurable, pairwise disjoint, and furthermore $\mu(E_i) < \infty$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We say then that s is *integrable* and we define the integral of s by

$$\int_{\Omega} s \, d\mu := \sum_{i=1}^m \mu(E_i)v_i.$$

Now consider an arbitrary measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow V$. We say that f is *integrable* if there exists a sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of integrable simple functions such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \|s_n - f\| \, d\mu = 0.$$

In this case, the *Bochner integral* of f is defined as:

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} s_n \, d\mu.$$

It can be seen that this limit exists as an element of V , and it does not depend on the choice of the sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Also, for a measurable subset $E \subset \Omega$, we say that f is *integrable on E* if $f \chi_E$ is integrable on Ω , and we denote $\int_E f \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f \chi_E \, d\mu$. The following characterization of Bochner integrability will be useful (see e.g. Proposition 3.2.7 in [8]):

Proposition 1.2 *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and V a Banach space. A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow V$ is Bochner-integrable if, and only if, f is measurable and $\int_{\Omega} \|f\| \, d\mu < \infty$.*

Furthermore, if $f : \Omega \rightarrow V$ is integrable, then for each $v^* \in V^*$ we have that $\langle v^*, f \rangle : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also integrable, and

$$\left\langle v^*, \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu \right\rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle v^*, f \rangle \, d\mu.$$

In addition,

$$\left\| \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu \right\| \leq \int_{\Omega} \|f\| \, d\mu.$$

Finally, we introduce the classes of Banach-valued p -integrable functions on (Ω, Σ, μ) in the usual way. We refer the reader to [4] or [8] for further information. Fix $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $L^p(\Omega, V)$ is defined as the space of all equivalence classes of measurable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow V$ for which

$$\int_{\Omega} \|f\|^p \, d\mu < \infty.$$

Here, two measurable functions $f, g : \Omega \rightarrow V$ are *equivalent* if they coincide almost everywhere, that is, $\mu(\{x \in \Omega : f(x) \neq g(x)\}) = 0$. It can be seen that the space $L^p(\Omega, V)$ is a Banach space endowed with the natural norm

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_{\Omega} \|f\|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

As customary, for scalar-valued functions we denote $L^p(\Omega) = L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$.

In the special case that Ω is an open subset of euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N , endowed with the Lebesgue measure, we will also consider the corresponding spaces $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, V)$ of Banach-valued *locally p -integrable* functions. We say that a measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow V$ belongs to $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, V)$ if every point in Ω has a neighborhood on which f is p -integrable.

2 Modulus of a family of curves

The concept of modulus of a curve family can be defined in the general setting of metric measure spaces (see e.g. [5] or Chapter 5 of [8] for a detailed exposition) but we will restrict ourselves to the case of curves defined in an open subset Ω of space \mathbb{R}^N , where we consider the Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^N and the euclidean norm $|\cdot|$. By a *curve* in Ω we understand a continuous function $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \Omega$, where $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a compact interval. The *length* of γ is given by

$$\ell(\gamma) := \sup_{t_0 < \dots < t_n} \sum_{j=1}^n |\gamma(t_{j-1}) - \gamma(t_j)|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite partitions $a = t_0 < \dots < t_n = b$ of the interval $[a, b]$. We say that γ is *rectifiable* if its length is finite. Every rectifiable curve γ can be re-parametrized so that it is *arc-length parametrized*, i.e., $[a, b] = [0, \ell(\gamma)]$ and for each $0 \leq s \leq t \leq \ell(\gamma)$ we have

$$\ell(\gamma|_{[s,t]}) = t - s.$$

We can assume all rectifiable curves to be arc-length parametrized as above. The integral of a Borel function $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ over an arc-length parametrized curve γ is defined as

$$\int_{\gamma} \rho ds := \int_0^{\ell(\gamma)} \rho(\gamma(t)) dt.$$

In what follows, let \mathcal{M} denote the family of all nonconstant rectifiable curves in Ω . For each subset $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{M}$, we denote by $F(\Gamma)$ the so-called *admissible functions* for Γ , that is, the family of all Borel functions $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that

$$\int_{\gamma} \rho ds \geq 1$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then, for each $1 \leq p < \infty$, the *p -modulus* of Γ is defined as follows:

$$\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma) := \inf_{\rho \in F(\Gamma)} \int_{\Omega} \rho^p d\mathcal{L}^N.$$

We say that a property holds for *p -almost every curve* $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$ if the p -modulus of the family of curves failing the property is zero. The basic properties of p -modulus are given in the next proposition (see e.g. Theorem 5.2 of [5] or Chapter 5 of [8]):

Proposition 2.1 *The p -modulus is an outer measure on \mathcal{M} , that is:*

- (1) $\text{Mod}_p(\emptyset) = 0$.
- (2) *If $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2$ then $\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma_1) \leq \text{Mod}_p(\Gamma_2)$.*
- (3) $\text{Mod}_p\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Gamma_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \text{Mod}_p(\Gamma_n)$.

For the next characterization of families of curves with zero p -modulus we refer to Theorem 5.5 of [5] or Lemma 5.2.8 of [8]:

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{M}$. Then $\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma) = 0$ if, and only if, there exists a nonnegative Borel function $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\int_\gamma g \, ds = \infty$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

We will also use the following fact (see, e.g. Lemma 5.2.15 in [8]):

Lemma 2.3 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set, and consider a subset E of Ω with zero-measure. Denote $\Gamma_E^+ := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{L}^1(\{t \in [0, \ell(\gamma)] : \gamma(t) \in E\}) > 0\}$. Then, for every $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma_E^+) = 0$.*

Next we give a relevant example concerning p -modulus, which is similar to Theorem 5.4 of [5].

Lemma 2.4 *Let $N > 1$ be a natural number, let $w \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be a vector with $|w| = 1$ and let H be the hyperplane orthogonal to w , on which we consider the corresponding $(N - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^{N-1} . For each Borel subset $E \subset H$ consider the family $\Gamma(E)$ of all nontrivial straight segments parallel to w and contained in a line passing through E . Then, for a fixed $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have that $\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma(E)) = 0$ if, and only if, $\mathcal{L}^{N-1}(E) = 0$.*

Proof Each curve in $\Gamma(E)$ is of the form $\gamma_x(t) = x + tw$, for some $x \in E$, and is defined on some interval $a \leq t \leq b$. For each $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $q < r$, let $\Gamma_{q,r}$ denote the family of all such paths γ_x , where $x \in E$, which are defined on the fixed interval $[q, r]$. According to the result in 5.3.12 by [8], we have that

$$\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma_{q,r}) = \frac{\mathcal{L}^{N-1}(E)}{(r - q)^p}.$$

Suppose first that $\mathcal{L}^{N-1}(E) = 0$. Then $\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma_{q,r}) = 0$ for all $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $q < r$. Thus by subadditivity we have that $\text{Mod}_p(\bigcup_{q,r} \Gamma_{q,r}) = 0$. Now each segment $\gamma_x \in \Gamma(E)$ contains a sub-segment in some $\Gamma_{q,r}$. This implies that the corresponding admissible functions satisfy $F(\bigcup_{q,r} \Gamma_{q,r}) \subset F(\Gamma(E))$, and therefore

$$\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma(E)) \leq \text{Mod}_p\left(\bigcup_{q,r} \Gamma_{q,r}\right) = 0.$$

Conversely, if $\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma(E)) = 0$ then $\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma_{q,r}) = 0$ for any $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $q < r$, and therefore $\mathcal{L}^{N-1}(E) = 0$. □

We finish this Section with the classical Fuglede’s Lemma (for a proof, see e.g. Theorem 5.7 in [5] or Chapter 5 in [8]).

Lemma 2.5 (Fuglede’s Lemma) *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of Borel functions $g_n : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ that converges in $L^p(\Omega)$ to some Borel function $g : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$. Then there is a subsequence $\{g_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\gamma |g_{n_k} - g| \, ds = 0$$

for p -almost every rectifiable curve γ in Ω .

3 Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, consider an open subset Ω of euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N , where we consider the Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^N , and let V be a Banach space. We denote by $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ the space of all real-valued functions that are infinitely differentiable and have compact support in Ω . This class of functions allows us to apply the integration by parts formula against functions in $L^p(\Omega, V)$. In this way we can define weak derivatives as follows. Given $f \in L^p(\Omega, V)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, a function $f_i \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, V)$ is said to be the i -th weak partial derivative of f if

$$\int_\Omega \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} f = - \int_\Omega \varphi f_i$$

for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. As defined, it is easy to see that partial derivatives are unique, so we denote $f_i = \partial f / \partial x_i$. If f admits all weak partial derivatives, we define its weak gradient as the vector $\nabla f = (f_1, \dots, f_N)$, and the length of the gradient is

$$|\nabla f| := \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using this, the classical first-order Sobolev spaces of Banach-valued functions are defined as follows.

Definition 3.1 Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let V be a Banach space. We define the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ as the set of all classes of functions $f \in L^p(\Omega, V)$ that admit a weak gradient satisfying $\partial f / \partial x_i \in L^p(\Omega, V)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. This space is equipped with the natural norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,p}} := \left(\int_\Omega \|f\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We denote by $W^{1,p}(\Omega) = W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$.

It can be shown that the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$, endowed with this norm, is a Banach space. Furthermore, the Meyers–Serrin theorem also holds in the context of Banach-valued Sobolev functions, so in particular the space $C^1(\Omega, V) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ is dense in $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$. We refer to Theorem 4.11 in [9] for a proof of this fact.

Recall that a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow V$ is *absolutely continuous* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every pairwise disjoint intervals $[a_1, b_1], \dots, [a_m, b_m] \subset [a, b]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m |b_i - a_i| < \delta$, we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \|f(b_i) - f(a_i)\| < \varepsilon.$$

It is well known that every function in $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ admits a representative which is absolutely continuous and almost everywhere differentiable along almost every line parallel to a coordinate axis (see Theorem 4.16 in [9] or Theorem 3.2 in [2]). More generally, we are going to show that this property can be extended to p -almost every rectifiable curve on Ω . We first need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2 *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let V be a Banach space. If $f \in C^1(\Omega, V)$ and γ is a rectifiable curve in Ω , parametrized by arc length, then $f \circ \gamma$ is absolutely continuous and differentiable almost everywhere. Moreover, the derivative of $f \circ \gamma$ belongs to $L^1([0, \ell(\gamma)], V)$ and*

$$(f \circ \gamma)(t) - (f \circ \gamma)(0) = \int_0^t (f \circ \gamma)'(\tau) \, d\tau.$$

for each $t \in [0, \ell(\gamma)]$.

Proof Since $\gamma : [0, \ell(\gamma)] \rightarrow \Omega$ is a rectifiable curve parametrized by arc length, in particular it is 1-Lipschitz, so it is differentiable almost everywhere. Furthermore, the derivative $\gamma'(\tau)$ has Euclidean norm $|\gamma'(\tau)| = 1$ whenever it exists. Additionally $f \in C^1(\Omega, V)$, so the chain rule yields that $f \circ \gamma$ is differentiable almost everywhere. Now denote $h = f \circ \gamma$. Since

$$h'(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(t + 1/n) - h(t)}{1/n}$$

we see that h' is limit of a sequence of measurable functions, and hence measurable. Furthermore, as $f \in C^1(\Omega, V)$ and $\gamma([0, \ell(\gamma)])$ is compact, there exists $K > 0$ such that $|\nabla f(\gamma(\tau))| \leq K$ for all $\tau \in [0, \ell(\gamma)]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|h'\|_1 &= \int_0^{\ell(\gamma)} \|(\nabla f(\gamma(\tau))) \cdot \gamma'(\tau)\| \, d\tau = \int_0^{\ell(\gamma)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial f(\gamma(\tau))}{\partial x_i} \cdot \gamma'_i(\tau) \right\| \, d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^{\ell(\gamma)} \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial f(\gamma(\tau))}{\partial x_i} \right\| \cdot |\gamma'_i(\tau)| \, d\tau \leq \int_0^{\ell(\gamma)} |\nabla f(\gamma(\tau))| \cdot |\gamma'(\tau)| \, d\tau \leq K\ell(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

concluding that $h' \in L^1([0, \ell(\gamma)], V)$. Now for each $v^* \in V^*$, applying the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus to the scalar function $\langle v^*, h \rangle$ we see that for each $t \in [0, \ell(\gamma)]$ we have that

$$\langle v^*, h \rangle(t) - \langle v^*, h \rangle(0) = \int_0^t \langle v^*, h'(\tau) \rangle \, d\tau = \left\langle v^*, \int_0^t h'(\tau) \, d\tau \right\rangle.$$

As a consequence, $h(t) - h(0) = \int_0^t h'(\tau) \, d\tau$ for every $t \in [0, \ell(\gamma)]$. □

Theorem 3.3 *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let V be a Banach space. Then every $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ admits a representative for which $f \circ \gamma$ is absolutely continuous and differentiable almost everywhere over p -almost every rectifiable curve γ in Ω .*

Proof Let \mathcal{M} denote the family of all nonconstant rectifiable curves in Ω which, without loss of generality, we can assume to be parametrized by arc length. By the Meyers–Serrin density theorem, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of functions in $C^1(\Omega, V)$ converging to f in $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ -norm. In particular, f_n converges to f in $L^p(\Omega, V)$, and then there exists a

subsequence of $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, still denoted by f_n , converging almost everywhere to f . Choose a null subset $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise on $\Omega \setminus \Omega_0$. Now consider

$$\Gamma_{\Omega_0}^+ := \{\gamma : [0, \ell(\gamma)] \rightarrow \Omega \in \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{L}^1(\{t \in [0, \ell(\gamma)] : \gamma(t) \in \Omega_0\}) > 0\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, $\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma_{\Omega_0}^+) = 0$. In addition, for every curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \Gamma_{\Omega_0}^+$ the set $E := \{t \in [0, \ell(\gamma)] : \gamma(t) \in \Omega_0\}$ has zero measure, and therefore $f_n \circ \gamma \rightarrow f \circ \gamma$ almost everywhere on $[0, \ell(\gamma)]$.

On the other hand, as $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$, we also have that $|\nabla f_n - \nabla f| \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. Then we can apply Fuglede’s Lemma 2.5 and we obtain a subsequence of $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, that we keep denoting by f_n , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\gamma |\nabla f_n - \nabla f| ds = 0 \tag{1}$$

for every curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \Gamma_1$, where $\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma_1) = 0$. Notice that for every curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \Gamma_1$ the Fuglede identity (1) will also hold for any subcurve of γ , since

$$\int_{\gamma|_{[s,t]}} |\nabla f_n - \nabla f| ds \leq \int_\gamma |\nabla f_n - \nabla f| ds$$

for each $0 \leq s \leq t \leq \ell(\gamma)$.

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.2, the family of curves Γ_2 satisfying that $\int_\gamma |\nabla f| ds = \infty$ or $\int_\gamma |\nabla f_n| ds = \infty$ for some n has null p -modulus. Finally, we consider the family $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_{\Omega_0}^+$ and note that, by subadditivity, $\text{Mod}_p(\Gamma) = 0$.

Now fix a rectifiable curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \Gamma$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by Lemma 3.2 the function $f_n \circ \gamma$ is almost everywhere differentiable, its derivative $g_n = (f_n \circ \gamma)' = (\nabla f_n \circ \gamma) \cdot \gamma'$ belongs to $L^1([0, \ell(\gamma)], V)$ and satisfies

$$f_n \circ \gamma(t) - f_n \circ \gamma(s) = \int_s^t g_n d\mathcal{L}^1 \tag{2}$$

for each $s, t \in [0, \ell(\gamma)]$. Moreover, taking into account that γ is parametrized by arc-length, we see that $|\gamma'| = 1$ almost everywhere on $[0, \ell(\gamma)]$, and we obtain that, for every function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla u \circ \gamma) \cdot \gamma'\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \circ \gamma \right) \cdot \gamma'_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \circ \gamma \right) \cdot \gamma'_i \right\| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \circ \gamma \right\| \cdot |\gamma'_i| \leq |\nabla u \circ \gamma| \cdot |\gamma'| = |\nabla u \circ \gamma|. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq \ell(\gamma)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_s^t g_n d\mathcal{L}^1 - \int_s^t (\nabla f \circ \gamma) \cdot \gamma' d\mathcal{L}^1 \right\| &\leq \int_s^t \|g_n - (\nabla f \circ \gamma) \cdot \gamma'\| d\mathcal{L}^1 \\ &= \int_s^t \|(\nabla f_n \circ \gamma - \nabla f \circ \gamma) \cdot \gamma'\| d\mathcal{L}^1 \\ &\leq \int_s^t |\nabla f_n - \nabla f| \circ \gamma d\mathcal{L}^1 \\ &\leq \int_\gamma |\nabla f_n - \nabla f| ds \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\nabla f \circ \gamma) \cdot \gamma' \in L^1([0, \ell(\gamma)], V)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_s^t g_n \, d\mathcal{L}^1 = \int_s^t (\nabla f \circ \gamma) \cdot \gamma' \, d\mathcal{L}^1. \tag{3}$$

Next we are going to see that the sequence $\{f_n \circ \gamma\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equicontinuous. This will follow from the fact that $\{|\nabla f_n \circ \gamma|\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equiintegrable, that is, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \int_A |\nabla f_n \circ \gamma| \, d\mathcal{L}^1 \leq \varepsilon \text{ if } A \subset [0, \ell(\gamma)] \text{ and } \mathcal{L}^1(A) < \delta.$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then by (1) there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_0^{\ell(\gamma)} \|\nabla f_n \circ \gamma| - |\nabla f \circ \gamma|\| \, d\mathcal{L}^1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall n \geq n_0 \tag{4}$$

Now notice that as $\gamma \notin \Gamma_2$ then $|\nabla f_n \circ \gamma|$ and $|\nabla f \circ \gamma|$ are integrable on $[0, \ell(\gamma)]$, hence by the absolute continuity of the integral we can choose a $\delta > 0$ such that for any $A \subset [0, \ell(\gamma)]$ with $\mathcal{L}^1(A) < \delta$

$$\int_A |\nabla f_n \circ \gamma| \, d\mathcal{L}^1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \tag{5}$$

for all $n \in \{1, \dots, n_0\}$ and

$$\int_A |\nabla f \circ \gamma| \, d\mathcal{L}^1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{6}$$

Then for $n \geq n_0$ by (4) and (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A |\nabla f_n \circ \gamma| \, d\mathcal{L}^1 &\leq \int_A |\nabla f \circ \gamma| \, d\mathcal{L}^1 + \int_0^{\ell(\gamma)} \|\nabla f_n \circ \gamma| - |\nabla f \circ \gamma|\| \, d\mathcal{L}^1 \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (5), gives that

$$\int_A |\nabla f_n \circ \gamma| \, d\mathcal{L}^1 < \varepsilon$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as we wanted to prove. Hence by (2) we have that, if $0 \leq s \leq t \leq \ell(\gamma)$ are such that $|s - t| < \delta$, then

$$\|f_n \circ \gamma(s) - f_n \circ \gamma(t)\| \leq \int_s^t |\nabla f_n \circ \gamma| \, d\mathcal{L}^1 < \varepsilon.$$

This yields that $\{f_n \circ \gamma\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an equicontinuous sequence. Since in addition $\{f_n \circ \gamma\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges on a dense subset of $[0, \ell(\gamma)]$ we obtain that, in fact, $\{f_n \circ \gamma\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on $[0, \ell(\gamma)]$.

Now we choose a representative of f defined as follows:

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) & \text{if the limit exists,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

With this definition we obtain that, for every curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \Gamma$ and every $t \in [0, \ell(\gamma)]$, the sequence $\{(f_n \circ \gamma)(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $f \circ \gamma(t)$. Therefore, using (2) and (3) we see that, for every $s, t \in [0, \ell(\gamma)]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ \gamma)(t) - (f \circ \gamma)(s) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((f_n \circ \gamma)(t) - (f_n \circ \gamma)(s)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_s^t g_n \, d\mathcal{L}^1 = \int_s^t (\nabla f \circ \gamma) \cdot \gamma' \, d\mathcal{L}^1. \end{aligned}$$

From here we deduce that $f \circ \gamma$ is absolutely continuous and almost everywhere differentiable on $[0, \ell(\gamma)]$. □

We point out that, as can be seen in Theorem 4.16 in [9] or Theorem 3.2 of [2], the classical Beppo Levi characterization of Sobolev functions also holds in this setting. More precisely, for an open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^N , a Banach space V and $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have that a function $f \in L^p(\Omega, V)$ belongs to $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ if, and only if, f admits a representative which is absolutely continuous and almost everywhere differentiable along almost every line parallel to a coordinate axis, and whose (a.e. pointwise defined) partial derivatives also belong to $L^p(\Omega, V)$.

4 Sobolev–Reshetnyak spaces $R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$

A different notion of Sobolev spaces was introduced by Reshetnyak [10] for functions defined in an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and taking values in a metric space. Here we will consider only the case of functions with values in a Banach space. These Sobolev–Reshetnyak spaces have been considered in [7] and [6]. We give a definition taken from [6], which is slightly different, but equivalent, to the original definition in [10].

Definition 4.1 Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let V be a Banach space. Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Sobolev–Reshetnyak space $R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ is defined as the space of all classes of functions $f \in L^p(\Omega, V)$ satisfying

- (1) for every $v^* \in V^*$ such that $\|v^*\| \leq 1, \langle v^*, f \rangle \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$;
- (2) there is a nonnegative function $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that the inequality $|\nabla \langle v^*, f \rangle| \leq g$ holds almost everywhere, for all $v^* \in V^*$ satisfying $\|v^*\| \leq 1$.

We now define the norm

$$\|f\|_{R^{1,p}} := \|f\|_p + \inf_{g \in \mathcal{R}(f)} \|g\|_p,$$

where $\mathcal{R}(f)$ denotes the family of all nonnegative functions $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ satisfying (2).

It can be checked that the space $R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$, endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{R^{1,p}}$, is a Banach space. We also note the following.

Remark 4.2 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set and let V be a Banach space. If $f : \Omega \rightarrow V$ is Lipschitz and has bounded support, then $f \in R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ for each $p \geq 1$.

As we have mentioned, our main goal in this note is to compare Sobolev and Sobolev–Reshetnyak spaces. We first give a general result:

Theorem 4.3 *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let V be a Banach space. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ is a closed subspace of $R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ and furthermore, for every $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$, we have*

$$\|f\|_{R^{1,p}} \leq \|f\|_{W^{1,p}} \leq \sqrt{N} \|f\|_{R^{1,p}}.$$

Proof That $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V) \subset R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ and $\|f\|_{R^{1,p}} \leq \|f\|_{W^{1,p}}$ for all $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ was proved in Proposition 2.3 of [6].

Now we will show the opposite inequality. Consider $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$, let $g \in \mathcal{R}(f)$, and choose a vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|w| = 1$. Taking into account Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 2.4 we see that, for almost all $x \in \Omega$, there exists the directional derivative

$$D_w f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (f(x + tw) - f(x)) \in V.$$

For each $v^* \in V^*$ with $\|v^*\| \leq 1$ we then have, for almost all $x \in \Omega$,

$$|D_w \langle v^*, f \rangle(x)| = |\nabla \langle v^*, f \rangle(x) \cdot w| \leq |\nabla \langle v^*, f \rangle(x)| \leq g(x).$$

Thus, again for almost all $x \in \Omega$,

$$\|D_w f(x)\| = \sup_{\|v^*\| \leq 1} |\langle v^*, D_w f(x) \rangle| = \sup_{\|v^*\| \leq 1} |D_w \langle v^*, f \rangle(x)| \leq g(x).$$

In this way we see that the weak partial derivatives of f are such that $\|(\partial f / \partial x_i)(x)\| \leq g(x)$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and almost all $x \in \Omega$. From here, the desired inequality follows. Finally, from the equivalence of the norms on $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ we see that it is a closed subspace. □

However, the following simple example shows that the opposite inclusion does not hold in general. The same example has been used in Proposition 1.2.9 of [1] and Example 6.3 of [2].

Example 4.4 Consider the interval $I = (0, 1)$ and let $f : I \rightarrow \ell^\infty$ be the function given by

$$f(t) = \left\{ \frac{\sin(nt)}{n} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

for all $t \in I$. Then $f \in R^{1,p}(I, \ell^\infty)$ but $f \notin W^{1,p}(I, \ell^\infty)$.

Proof Since f is Lipschitz, we see from Remark 4.2 that $f \in R^{1,p}(I, \ell^\infty)$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$. Suppose now that $f \in W^{1,p}(I, \ell^\infty)$. From Theorem 3.3 we have that f is almost everywhere differentiable on p -almost every rectifiable curve in I . Since, by Lemma 2.2, the family formed by a single nontrivial segment $[a, b] \subset I$ has positive p -modulus, we obtain that f is almost everywhere differentiable on I . In fact, this follows directly from Proposition 2.5 in [2]. But this is a contradiction, since in fact f is nowhere differentiable. Indeed, for each $t \in I$, the limit

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (f(t + h) - f(t))$$

does not exist in ℓ^∞ . This can be seen taking into account that $f(I)$ is contained in the space c_0 of null sequences, which is a closed subspace of ℓ^∞ , while the coordinatewise limit is $\{\cos(nt)\}_{n=1}^\infty$, which does not belong to c_0 . □

Before going further, we give the following result, which parallels Theorem 3.3, and whose proof is based on Theorem 7.1.20 of [8].

Theorem 4.5 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set, let V be a Banach space and suppose $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then, every $f \in R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ admits a representative such that, for p -almost every rectifiable curve γ in Ω , the composition $f \circ \gamma$ is absolutely continuous.*

Proof Consider $f \in R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$. In particular, f is measurable, hence there exists a null set $E_0 \subset \Omega$ such that $f(\Omega \setminus E_0)$ is a separable subset of V . Then we can choose a countable set $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset V$ whose closure in V contains the set

$$f(\Omega \setminus E_0) - f(\Omega \setminus E_0) = \{f(x) - f(y) : x, y \in \Omega \setminus E_0\} \subset V.$$

Additionally, we can apply the Hahn-Banach theorem to select a countable set $\{v_i^*\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset V^*$ such that $\langle v_i^*, v_i \rangle = \|v_i\|$ and $\|v_i^*\| = 1$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. As before, let \mathcal{M} denote the family of all nonconstant rectifiable curves in Ω . From Theorem 3.3 we obtain that, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a representative f_i of $\langle v_i^*, f \rangle$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that f_i is absolutely continuous on p -almost every curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$. Let E_i denote the set where f_i differs from $\langle v_i^*, f \rangle$, and define $\Omega_0 = \bigcup_i E_i \cup E_0$, which is also a null set. Now let $g \in \mathcal{R}(f)$ and define

$$g^*(x) := \sup_i |\nabla \langle v_i^*, f(x) \rangle|$$

We may also assume that g and g^* are Borel functions and $g^*(x) \leq g(x)$ for each $x \in \Omega$. In particular, $g^* \in L^p(\Omega)$. For a curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \Omega$ in \mathcal{M} , consider the following properties:

- (1) the function g^* is integrable on γ ;
- (2) the length of γ in Ω_0 is zero, that is, $\mathcal{L}^1(\{t \in [a, b] : \gamma(t) \in \Omega_0\}) = 0$;
- (3) for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $a \leq s \leq t \leq b$,

$$|f_i(\gamma(t)) - f_i(\gamma(s))| \leq \int_s^t |\nabla \langle v_i^*, f \rangle(\gamma(\tau))| d\tau \leq \int_{\gamma|_{[s,t]}} g^* ds.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, respectively, we have that properties (1) and (2) are satisfied by p -almost every curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$. From Theorem 3.3 we obtain that property (3) is also satisfied by p -almost every curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus the family Γ of all curves $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying simultaneously (1), (2) and (3) represents p -almost every nonconstant rectifiable curve on Ω . Now we distinguish two cases.

First, suppose that $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \Omega$ is a curve in Γ whose endpoints satisfy $\gamma(a), \gamma(b) \notin \Omega_0$. Hence we can choose a subsequence $\{v_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converging to $f(\gamma(b)) - f(\gamma(a))$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\gamma(b)) - f(\gamma(a))\| &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|v_{i_j}\| \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\langle v_{i_j}^*, v_{i_j} \rangle| \\ &\leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(|\langle v_{i_j}^*, v_{i_j} - f(\gamma(a)) + f(\gamma(b)) \rangle| + |\langle v_{i_j}^*, f(\gamma(a)) - f(\gamma(b)) \rangle| \right) \\ &\leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|v_{i_j} - f(\gamma(a)) + f(\gamma(b))\| + |\langle v_{i_j}^*, f(\gamma(a)) \rangle - \langle v_{i_j}^*, f(\gamma(b)) \rangle| \right) \\ &= |\langle v_{i_j}^*, f(\gamma(a)) \rangle - \langle v_{i_j}^*, f(\gamma(b)) \rangle| \\ &= |f_{i_j}(\gamma(a)) - f_{i_j}(\gamma(b))| \leq \int_\gamma g^* ds. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \Omega$ is a curve in Γ with at least one endpoint in Ω_0 . In fact, we can suppose that $\gamma(a) \in \Omega_0$. By property (2), we can choose a sequence $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset [a, b]$

converging to a and such that $\gamma(t_k) \notin \Omega_0$. Then by the previous case

$$\|f(\gamma(t_k)) - f(\gamma(t_l))\| \leq \int_{\gamma|_{[t_k, t_l]}} g^* ds$$

for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence, as g^* is integrable on γ , then $\{f(\gamma(t_k))\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is convergent. Suppose now that $\sigma : [c, d] \rightarrow \Omega$ is another curve in Γ satisfying $\sigma(c) = \gamma(a)$, and let $\{s_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset [c, d]$ be a sequence converging to a such that $\sigma(s_m) \notin \Omega_0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\|f(\gamma(t_k)) - f(\sigma(s_m))\| \leq \int_{\sigma|_{[c, s_m]}} g^* ds + \int_{\gamma|_{[a, t_k]}} g^* ds \xrightarrow{k, m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

This proves that the limit of $f(\gamma(t_k))$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ is independent of the curve γ and the sequence $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Now we choose a representative f_0 of f defined in the following way:

- (1) If $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_0$ we set $f_0(x) = f(x)$.
- (2) If $x \in \Omega_0$ and there exists $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \Omega$ in Γ such that $\gamma(a) = x$, we set $f_0(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma(t_k))$ where $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset [a, b]$ is a sequence converging to a such that $\gamma(t_k) \notin \Omega_0$ for each k .
- (3) Otherwise, we set $f_0(x) = 0$.

By definition, $f_0 = f$ almost everywhere and, for every $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \Omega$ in Γ ,

$$\|f_0(\gamma(b)) - f_0(\gamma(a))\| \leq \int_\gamma g^* ds \leq \int_\gamma g ds.$$

Furthermore, as this also holds for any subcurve of γ by the definition of Γ , we also have that for every $a \leq s \leq t \leq b$

$$\|f_0 \circ \gamma(t) - f_0 \circ \gamma(s)\| \leq \int_{\gamma|_{[s, t]}} g ds. \tag{7}$$

Therefore, the integrability of g on γ gives that $f \circ \gamma$ is absolutely continuous. □

Note that in the previous theorem, in contrast with Theorem 3.3, for p -almost every curve γ the composition $f \circ \gamma$ is absolutely continuous but, in general, it needs not be differentiable almost everywhere unless the space V satisfies the Radon–Nikodým Property. Recall that a Banach space V has the *Radon–Nikodým Property* if every Lipschitz function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow V$ is differentiable almost everywhere. Equivalently (see e.g. Theorem 5.21 of [3]) V has the Radon–Nikodým Property if and only if every absolutely continuous function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow V$ is differentiable almost everywhere. The name of this property is due to the fact that it characterizes the validity of classical Radon–Nikodým theorem in the case of Banach-valued measures. We refer to [4] for an extensive information about the Radon–Nikodým Property on Banach spaces.

We are now ready to give our main result:

Theorem 4.6 *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , let V be a Banach space and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $W^{1,p}(\Omega, V) = R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ if, and only if, the space V has the Radon–Nikodým property.*

Proof Suppose first that V has the Radon–Nikodým Property. Consider $f \in R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$ and let $g \in \mathcal{R}(f)$. Fix a direction e_i parallel to the x_i -axis for any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. From Theorem 4.5 we obtain a suitable representative of f such that, over p -almost every segment parallel to some e_i , f is absolutely continuous and, because of the Radon–Nikodým Property, almost everywhere differentiable. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4 and Fubini Theorem we have that, for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, there exists the directional derivative

$$D_{e_i} f(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + h e_i) - f(x)}{h}.$$

Note that each $D_{e_i} f$ is measurable, and that from Equation (7) above it follows that $\|D_{e_i} f(x)\| \leq g(x)$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$. Thus $D_{e_i} f \in L^p(\Omega, V)$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. In addition, for every $v^* \in V^*$ we have that $\langle v^*, D_{e_i} f \rangle$ is the weak derivative $\langle v^*, f \rangle$. Then for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$

$$\left\langle v^*, \int_{\Omega} \varphi D_{e_i} f \right\rangle = \int_{\Omega} \varphi \langle v^*, D_{e_i} f \rangle = - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \langle v^*, f \rangle = \left\langle v^*, - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} f \right\rangle.$$

Thus for every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ the directional derivative $D_{e_i} f$ is, in fact, the i -th weak derivative of f , that is, $\partial f / \partial x_i = D_{e_i} f \in L^p(\Omega, V)$. It follows that $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$.

For the converse, suppose that V does not have the Radon–Nikodým Property. Then there exists a Lipschitz function $h : [a, b] \rightarrow V$ which is not differentiable almost everywhere. We may also assume that $[a, b] \times R_0 = R$ is an N -dimensional rectangle contained in Ω , where R_0 is an $(N - 1)$ -dimensional rectangle. The function $f : [a, b] \times R_0 \rightarrow V$ given by $f(x_1, \dots, x_N) = h(x_1)$ is Lipschitz, so it admits an extension $\tilde{f} : \Omega \rightarrow V$ which is Lipschitz and has bounded support. Then, as noted in Remark 4.2, we have that $\tilde{f} \in R^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$. On the other hand, \tilde{f} is not almost everywhere differentiable along any horizontal segment contained in $[a, b] \times R_0 = R$. From Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 3.3, we deduce that $\tilde{f} \notin W^{1,p}(\Omega, V)$. \square

To finish with, we would like to point out that there are also other known characterizations of Radon–Nikodým Property in terms of spaces of Banach-valued Sobolev functions. See, e.g., the mapping properties considered by Arendt and Kreuter [2].

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the referee for his/her interesting comments and suggestions, which helped to improve the quality of the paper.

References

- Arendt, W., Batty, C., Hieber, M., Neubrander, F.: Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems, 2nd edn. Monographs in Mathematics, 96. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel (2011)
- Arendt, W., Kreuter, M.: Mapping theorems for Sobolev spaces of vector-valued functions. *Stud. Math.* **240**, 275–299 (2018)
- Benyamini, Y., Lindenstrauss, J.: Geometric nonlinear functional analysis. Vol. 1. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 48. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2000)
- Diestel, J., Uhl, J.: Vector measures. With a foreword by B. J. Pettis. Mathematical Surveys, No. 15. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. (1977)
- Hajlasz, P.: Sobolev spaces on metric-measure spaces. *Contemp. Math.* **338**, 173–218 (2003)
- Hajlasz, P., Tyson, J.T.: Sobolev peano cubes. *Mich. Math. J.* **56**, 687–702 (2008)
- Heinonen, J., Koskela, P., Shanmugalingam, N., Tyson, J.T.: Sobolev classes of Banach space-valued functions and quasiconformal mappings. *J. Anal. Math.* **85**, 87–139 (2001)
- Heinonen, J., Koskela, P., Shanmugalingam, N., Tyson, J.: Sobolev spaces on metric measure spaces. An approach based on upper gradients. New Mathematical Monographs, 27. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2015)
- Kreuter, M.: Sobolev spaces of vector-valued functions. Master Thesis, Ulm University (2015)
- Reshetnyak, YuG: Sobolev classes of functions with values in a metric space. *Sib. Math. J.* **38**, 567–583 (1997)
- Shanmugalingam, N.: Newtonian spaces: an extension of Sobolev spaces to metric measure spaces. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **16**, 243–279 (2000)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.