ORIGINAL PAPER

On a closed form for derangement numbers: an elementary proof

Carlos M. da Fonseca1,[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7742-4416)

Received: 9 March 2020 / Accepted: 20 May 2020 / Published online: 5 June 2020 © The Royal Academy of Sciences, Madrid 2020

Abstract

We provide a very short proof of a Qi-Zhao-Guo closed form for derangements numbers based on the determinants of certain Hessenberg matrices. The proof is grounded on a basic result on finite sequences and an inductive argument.

Keywords Derangement numbers · Hessenberg matrices

Mathematics Subject Classification 05A05 · 05A10 · 15A15 · 11B37 · 11C20

1 Preliminaries

The *nth derangement number* or *subfactorial of n*, !*n*, is the number of permutations of *n* elements, such that no element appears in its original position, i.e., is a permutation that has no fixed points. The first derangement numbers are

0*,* 1*,* 2*,* 9*,* 44*,* 265*,* 1854*,* 14833*,* 133496*,* 1334961*,* 14684570

and the sequence is labelled in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [\[13](#page-3-0)] as A000166.

Derangement numbers were first combinatorially studied by the French mathematician and Fellow of the Royal Society, Pierre Rémond de Montmort, in his celebrated book *Essay d'analyse sur les jeux de hazard*, published in 1708. The two best known recurrence relations are

$$
!n = (n-1) (!(n-1) + !(n-2)), \text{ for } n \ge 2,
$$

and

$$
!n = n\left((n-1)\right) + (-1)^n, \text{ for } n \ge 1,
$$
\n(1.1)

B Carlos M. da Fonseca c.dafonseca@kcst.edu.kw; carlos.dafonseca@famnit.upr.si

¹ Kuwait College of Science and Technology, Doha District, Block 4, P.O. Box 27235, 13133 Safat, Kuwait

² University of Primorska, FAMNIT, Glagoljsaška 8, 6000 Koper, Slovenia

with $10 = 1$ and $11 = 0$, were established and proved by Euler in *Calcul de la probabilité dans le jeu de rencontre*, in 1753. They can be written in the explicit forms

$$
!n = n! \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} {n \choose k} k!
$$

which coincides with permanent of the all ones matrix minus the identity matrix, all of order *n* [\[10](#page-3-1)] (for more details on this matter, the reader is referred to [\[14,](#page-3-2) Chapter 2]).

Other natural representations of the derangement numbers are those in terms of the deter-minant of certain tridiagonal matrices as we can find in [\[7](#page-3-3)[,8\]](#page-3-4).

Recently, Qi, Zhao, and Guo in [\[12](#page-3-5)] claimed the discovery of two new identities for the derangement numbers, namely

$$
\ln = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} {n \choose k} (n-k-1)(k), \text{ for } n \ge 2,
$$
 (1.2)

and

$$
n! = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} (k), \text{ for } n \ge 0.
$$
 (1.3)

The proofs are based on the construction of the Hessenberg matrix

$$
H_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & & & & \\ \binom{2}{0} & 0 & -1 & & & \\ \binom{3}{0} & \binom{3}{1} & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ \binom{n-2}{0}(n-3) & \binom{n-2}{1}(n-4) & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \\ \binom{n-1}{0}(n-2) & \binom{n-1}{1}(n-3) & \cdots & \cdots & \binom{n-1}{n-3} & 0 & -1 \\ \binom{n}{0}(n-1) & \binom{n}{1}(n-2) & \cdots & \cdots & \binom{n}{n-3} & \binom{n}{n-2} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (1.4)

an exponential generating function, a higher derivatives formula, and the calculation of a specific limit, which leads to

$$
!n = \det H_n. \tag{1.5}
$$

Our aim here is to provide a very short proof for (1.2) using a standard result in finite differences theory. *En passant*, we show [\(1.5\)](#page-1-1) based on another result involving Hessenberg matrices and recurrence relations.

Notice that [\(1.3\)](#page-1-2) is well-known in the literature. See, for example, [\[6\]](#page-3-6) for a simple combinatorial interpretation.

We should also point out that the terminology "Hessenberg determinant", as well as "tridiagonal determinant", used in many instances by the authors in [\[12](#page-3-5)] and elsewhere, is inaccurate as we mentioned in [\[1](#page-3-7)].

For relations involving Hessenberg matrices, the reader is referred, for example, to [\[2](#page-3-8)– [5](#page-3-9)[,11](#page-3-10)[,17\]](#page-3-11).

2 Proof

From the theory of finite differences we know that, for any polynomial $p(x)$ of degree less than *n*,

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} {n \choose k} p(k) = 0.
$$
 (2.1)

See, for instances, [\[9](#page-3-12), p.64] or [\[17\]](#page-3-11). In particular, for $n \ge 2$, we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} \binom{n}{k} (n - k - 1) = 0
$$

which implies

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (n-k-1) = (-1)^n.
$$
 (2.2)

Now, from [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0), [\(2.2\)](#page-2-0), and an elementary inductive argument, we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} {n \choose k} (n-k-1) (k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} {n \choose k} k(n-k-1) (k-1) \n+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (-1)^k {n \choose k} (n-k-1) \n= n \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} {n-1 \choose k} (n-k-2) (k) + (-1)^n \n= n (k-1) + (-1)^n \n= \ln .
$$

Regarding the Hessenberg matrix (1.4) , we know $(cf., e.g., [15, Theorem 4.20]$ $(cf., e.g., [15, Theorem 4.20]$ $(cf., e.g., [15, Theorem 4.20]$ or $[7,$ Proposition 6]), if a_1, a_2, \ldots is a sequence such that

$$
a_{n+1}=p_{n,1}a_1+\cdots+p_{n,n}a_n,
$$

then

$$
a_{n+1} = a_1 \det \begin{pmatrix} p_{1,1} & -1 & & & & \\ p_{2,1} & p_{2,2} & -1 & & & \\ p_{3,1} & p_{3,2} & \cdots & \cdots & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ p_{n-1,1} & p_{n-1,2} & \cdots & p_{n-1,n-2} & p_{n-1,n-1} & -1 \\ p_{n,1} & p_{n,2} & \cdots & p_{n,n-2} & p_{n,n-1} & p_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}
$$

Setting

$$
a_k =! (k - 1)
$$
 and $p_{n,k} = \binom{n}{k-1} (n - k),$

we get the desired result.

² Springer

.

References

- 1. Andeli´c, M., da Fonseca, C.M.: A short proof for a determinantal formula for generalized Fibonacci numbers. Matematiche (Catania) **74**, 363–367 (2019)
- 2. Barrios Rolania, D.: On the Darboux transform and the solutions of some integrable systems. RACSAM **113**, 1359–1378 (2019)
- 3. Barrios Rolania, D., Garcia-Ardila, J.C.: Geronimus transformations for sequences of *d*-orthogonal polynomials. RACSAM 114 (2020), #26
- 4. Costabile, F.A., Gualtieri, M.I., Napoli, A.: Polynomial sequences: elementary basic methods and application hints. A survey. RACSAM **113**, 3829–3862 (2019)
- 5. da Fonseca, C.M.: An identity between the determinant and the permanent of Hessenberg type-matrices. Czechoslovak Math. J. **61**, 917–921 (2011)
- 6. He, Y., Pan, J.: Some recursion formulae for the number of derangement and Bell numbers. J. Math. Res. Appl. **36**, 15–22 (2016)
- 7. Janjić, M.: Determinants and recurrence sequences. J. Integer Seq. 15 (2012), Article 12.3.5 (2012)
- 8. Kittappa, R.K.: A representation of the solution of the *n*th order linear difference equation with variable coefficients. Linear Algebra Appl. **193**, 211–222 (1993)
- 9. Knuth, D.E.: The Art of Computer Programming, vol. 1, Fundamental Algorithms, Third Edition, Addison-Wesley, Boston, NJ, (1997)
- 10. Liu, C.L.: Introduction to Combinatorial Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, New York (1968)
- 11. Merca, M.: A note on the determinant of a Toeplitz-Hessenberg matrix. Spec. Matrices **1**, 10–16 (2013)
- 12. Qi, F., Wang, J.L., Guo, B.N.: Closed forms for derangement numbers in terms of the Hessenberg determinants. RACSAM **112**, 933–944 (2018)
- 13. Sloane, N.J.A.: The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <https://oeis.org/>
- 14. Stanley, R.P.: *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol.1, 2nd Edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics (Book 49), Cambridge University Press, (2011)
- 15. Vein, R., Dale, P.: *Determinants and Their Applications in Mathematical Physics*, Applied Mathematical Sciences 134, Springer-Verlag, New York (1999)
- 16. Verde-Star, L.: Polynomial sequences generated by infinite Hessenberg matrices. Spec. Matrices **5**, 64–72 (2017)
- 17. Verde-Star, L.: Divided differences and combinatorial identities. Stud. Appl. Math. **85**, 215–242 (1991)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.