



# Existence of solutions for some quasilinear elliptic system with weight and measure-valued right hand side

El Houcine Rami<sup>1</sup> · Elhoussine Azroul<sup>1</sup> · Abdelkrim Barbara<sup>1</sup>

Received: 26 August 2019 / Accepted: 8 October 2023 / Published online: 27 October 2023  
© African Mathematical Union and Springer-Verlag GmbH Deutschland, ein Teil von Springer Nature 2023

## Abstract

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we prove the existence of a solution  $u$  for the nonlinear elliptic system

$$(QES) \begin{cases} -div \sigma(x, u(x), Du(x)) = \mu & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

where  $\mu$  is Radon measure on  $\Omega$  with finite mass. In particular, we show that if the coercivity rate of  $\sigma$  lies in the range  $] \frac{s+1}{s}, (\frac{s+1}{s})(2 - \frac{1}{n}) ]$  with  $s \in (\frac{n}{p}, \infty) \cap (\frac{1}{p-1}, \infty)$ , then  $u$  is approximately differentiable and the equation holds with  $Du$  replaced by  $apDu$ . The proof relies on an approximation of  $\mu$  by smooth functions  $f_k$  and a compactness result for the corresponding solutions  $u_k$ . This follows from a detailed analysis of the Young measure  $\{\delta_{u(x)} \otimes \vartheta(x)\}$  generated by the sequence  $(u_k, Du_k)$ , and the div-curl type inequality  $\langle \vartheta(x), \sigma(x, u, \cdot) \rangle \leq \bar{\sigma}(x) \langle \vartheta(x), \cdot \rangle$  for the weak limit  $\bar{\sigma}$  of the sequence.

**Keywords** Nonlinear elliptic system · Measure-valued · Young measure · The div-curl type inequality

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 35J46 · 35J62

## 1 Introduction

We consider the existence and compactness questions for elliptic systems of the form

$$(QES) \begin{cases} -div \sigma(x, u(x), Du(x)) = \mu & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

---

✉ El Houcine Rami  
ramielhoucine@gmail.com  
Elhoussine Azroul  
elhoussine.azroul@gmail.com  
Abdelkrim Barbara  
b Abdelkrim66@hotmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory LAMA, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz, Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah University, B.P 1796 Atlas Fez, Morocco

with measure-valued right hand side  $\mu \in \overline{M}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  on an open, bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by  $M(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , with  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the Banach space of vectors  $\mu$  of bounded Radon measures

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \text{ with } \mu_i \in M(\Omega) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

With  $M(\Omega)$  be a vector space of bounded Radon measures.

$\omega = \{\omega_{ij} \mid 0 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  is a family of weight functions defined on  $\Omega$  with  $\omega_{ij}(x) > 0$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\omega^* = \{\omega_{ij}^* = \omega_{ij}^{1-p'}, 0 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ , ( $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ ). In this paper we are interested in the solution  $u$  in the Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , and estimations in the weak Lebesgues spaces. We assume that  $\sigma$  satisfies the following hypotheses  $(H_0)$ – $(H_3)$  explained below. We denote by  $M^{m \times n}$  the real vector space of  $m \times n$  matrices equipped with the inner product  $M : N = \sum_{ij} M_{ij}N_{ij}$ . The Jacobian matrix of a function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is denoted by

$$Du(x) = (D_1u(x), D_2u(x), \dots, D_nu(x)) \text{ with } D_i = \partial/\partial x_i.$$

Let  $\omega = \{\omega_{ij} \mid 0 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ , and  $\overline{\omega_0} = (\omega_{0j})$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$  the weight functions system defined in  $\Omega$  satisfying the following integrability conditions

$$\omega_{ij} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega), \quad \omega_{ij}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \tag{1.2}$$

$$\omega_{ij}^{-s} \in L^1(\Omega) \tag{1.3}$$

for some  $s \in (\frac{n}{p}, \infty) \cap (\frac{1}{p-1}, \infty)$ . The space  $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  is the set of functions

$$\left\{ u = u(x) \mid u \in L^p(\Omega, \overline{\omega_0}, \mathbb{R}^m), D_{ij}u = \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j} \in L^p(\Omega, \omega_{ij}, \mathbb{R}^m), 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \right\}$$

with

$$L^p(\Omega, \omega_{ij}, \mathbb{R}^m) = \left\{ u = u(x) \mid |u| \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p}} \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \right\}.$$

The weighted space  $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  can be equipped by the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p,\omega} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^p \omega_{0j} dx + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m} \int_{\Omega} |D_{ij}u|^p \omega_{ij} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The norm  $\|\cdot\|_{1,\omega,p}$  is equivalent to the norm  $|||\cdot|||$  on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , defined by  $|||u||| = \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m} \int_{\Omega} |D_{ij}u|^p \omega_{ij} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . The condition (1.2) implies  $(W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m), \|\cdot\|_{1,p,\omega})$  is a Banach space and  $C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  subspace of  $(W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m))$ . The space  $(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m))$  is the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{1,p,\omega}$ . The condition (1.3), implies

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p_s}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m), \tag{1.4}$$

for all  $1 \leq r \leq p_s^*$  if  $p \times s \leq n(s+1)$ , and  $\forall r \geq 1$  if  $p \times s > n(s+1)$  with  $p_s = \frac{p \times s}{s+1}$  and  $p_s^* = \frac{n \times p \times s}{n(s+1) - p \times s}$ , for proof see [1].

Our article deals with the existence of a weak solution of system declared at the top in each of the four cases located in the part of the hypotheses in  $(H_2)$  and in a Sobolev space with weights, but the article in [2] treats in a weightless Sobolev space.

## 2 Hypothesis

- $(H_0)$  (Continuity)  $\sigma : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{M}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$  is a Carathéodory function, i.e:  
 $x \mapsto \sigma(x, u, p)$  is measurable for every  $(u, p)$  and  $(u, p) \mapsto \sigma(x, u, p)$  is continuous for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .
- $(H_1)$  (Coercivity and growth): There exist constants  $c_1, c_2, \beta > 0$  and  $\lambda_1 \in L^{p'}(\Omega), \lambda_2 \in L^1(\Omega), \lambda_3 \in L^{(\frac{p}{\alpha})'}(\Omega), 0 < \alpha < p, 1 < q < \frac{p^2}{\alpha}, 0 < \theta < \frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1}$ , such that, for all  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , and  $1 \leq s \leq m$

$$|\sigma_{rs}(x, u, F)| \leq \beta \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \lambda_1 + c_1 \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{p'}} |u_j|^{\frac{q}{p'}} + c_1 \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p}} |F_{ij}|^\theta \right]$$

$$\sigma(x, u, F) : F \geq -\lambda_2 - \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_3 \gamma_j^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} |u_j|^{\frac{q\alpha}{p}} + c_2 \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij} |F_{ij}|^p.$$

- $(H_2)$  (Monotonicity)  $\sigma$  satisfies one of the following conditions:
  - a) For all  $x \in \Omega, u \in \mathbb{R}^m$  the function  $F \mapsto \sigma(x, u, F)$  is a  $C^1$  and monotone function, which means  $(\sigma(x, u, F) - \sigma(x, u, G)) : (F - G) \geq 0$ , for all  $x \in \Omega, u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $F, G \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$ .
  - b) There exist a function  $W : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{M}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sigma(x, u, F) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial F}(x, u, F)$ , and the function  $F \mapsto W(x, u, F)$  is a convex  $C^1$  function.
  - c)  $\sigma$  is strictly monotone, i.e.  $\sigma$  is monotone, i.e.,  $(\sigma(x, u, F) - \sigma(x, u, G)) : (F - G) \geq 0$  and  $(\sigma(x, u, F) - \sigma(x, u, G)) : (F - G) = 0$  implies  $F = G$ .
  - d) The function  $F \mapsto \sigma(x, u, F)$  is strictly  $p$ -quasi-monotone, i.e.,  $\int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} (\sigma(x, u, \lambda) - \sigma(x, u, \bar{\lambda})) : (\lambda - \bar{\lambda}) d\nu(\lambda) > 0$ , for all homogeneous  $W^{1,p}$ -gradient Young measures  $\nu$  with center of mass  $\bar{\lambda} = \langle \nu; \text{Id} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \lambda d\nu(\lambda)$  which are not a single Dirac mass.
- $(H_3)$  (structure conditions) i) (Angle condition) for all  $x \in \Omega, u \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $F \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$  there holds  $\sigma(x, u, F) : MF \geq 0$ , for all matrices  $M \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times m}$  of the form  $M = \text{Id} - a \otimes a$  with  $|a| \leq 1$ . ii) (The sign condition) for all  $x \in \Omega, u \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $F \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$ , we have  $\sigma_j(x, u, F) : F_j \geq 0$ , for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$  where  $F_j$  and  $\sigma_j$  are the columns  $j$  of the matrix  $F$  and  $\sigma$ , respectively.
- $(H_4)$  (The Hardy-Type Inequality) There exist  $c > 0$ , a weight function  $\gamma = (\gamma_j)_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ , and a parameter  $1 < q < \frac{p^2}{\alpha}$   $(H_1)$ , such that:

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \gamma_j |u_j|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

**Remark 2.1** 1. Assumption  $(H_0)$  ensures that  $\sigma(x, u(x), U(x))$  is measurable on  $\Omega$  for measurable function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $U : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$ . A typical example for a

function  $\sigma$  satisfying  $(H_0)$  is  $\sigma(x, u, p) = \xi(x, u, p)p$  with a real valued non-negative function  $\xi$ .

2. A serious technical obstacle is that for  $p_s \in (1, 2 - 1/n]$  solutions of the system (1.1) in general do not belong to the Sobolev space  $W^{1,1}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  [2].

This fact has led to the use of normalized solutions in [2] and generalized entropy solutions in [3] for elliptic equations of the above type. We will use a notion of solution where the weak derivative  $Du$  is replaced by the approximate derivative  $\text{apDu}$ . Recall that a measurable function  $u$  is said to be approximately differentiable at  $x \in \Omega$  if there exists a matrix  $F_x \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$  such that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r^n} \text{meas} \{y \in B(x, r) : |u(y) - u(x) - F_x(y - x)| > \epsilon r\} = 0$ . We write  $\text{apDu}(x) = F_x$ .

**Definition 2.1** A measurable function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is called a solution of the system (1.1) if:

- (i)  $u$  is almost everywhere approximately differentiable.
- (ii)  $\eta \circ u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , for all,  $\eta \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$ .
- (iii)  $\sigma(x, u, \text{apDu}) \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{M}^{m \times n})$ ;
- (iv) The equation  $-div \sigma(x, u(x), Du(x)) = \mu$  holds in the sense of distributions. Moreover we say that  $u$  satisfies the boundary condition (1.2) if  $\eta \circ u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , for all,  $\eta \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$  with  $\eta = \text{Id}$  on  $B(0, r)$ , for some  $r > 0$ , and  $|D\eta(y)| \leq c(1 + |y|)^{-1}$ , with  $c < \infty$ .

**Remark 2.2** 1. The conditions in Definition (2.1) (except (ii)) are the weakest possible in order to define the system (1.1) in the sense of distributions. Note that if  $u$  is approximately differentiable, then  $\text{apDu}$  is measurable, so  $\sigma(\cdot, u, \text{apDu})$  is measurable.

2. The assumption  $\eta \circ u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  ensures minimal regularity of  $u$ . For example, if  $\mu = 0$ , and  $\sigma(x, u, p) = \sigma(p)$  with  $\sigma(0) = 0$ , then piecewise constant functions  $u$  satisfy  $\text{apDu} = 0$  a.e. but are not admissible solutions. The following theorem is the main result in this paper.

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded, open set. We suppose that the hypotheses  $(H_0)$ – $(H_2)$ – $(H_3)$  and the coercivity condition in  $(H_1)$  are satisfied. Let  $\mu$  denote a  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -valued Radon measure on  $\Omega$  with finite mass. Then the system (1.1) has a solution  $u$  in the sense of Definition 2.1, which satisfies the weak Lebesgue space estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^{t_{p_s}, \infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)}^* + \|\text{apDu}\|_{L^{t_{p_s}, \infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{M}^{m \times n})}^* \leq C, \tag{2.1}$$

with the constant  $C$  depending on  $|\Omega|, c, c_2$ , and  $\|\lambda_3\|_{L^{\frac{p_s}{c_2}}(\Omega)}$ , with  $t_{p_s} = \frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1}$  and  $t_{p_s}^* = \frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-p_s}$  is the Sobolev exponent of  $t_{p_s}$ . If  $c_2 = 0$  the right hand side of (1.2) reduces to  $C(c_1) \left\| \mu^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right\|_M$ .

**Remark 2.3** 1. If  $p_s > 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ , then  $t_{p_s} > 1$  and  $Du \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{M}^{m \times n})$ .

2. If  $p > n$  one can replace the  $L^{s, \infty}$ -norm of  $u$  in (1.3) by the  $C^{0, \beta}$ -norm with  $\beta = 1 - \frac{n}{p}$ . For  $p = q = n$  it is an open question whether  $Du \in L^{n, \infty}$ . See Section 7 [4] for the (weaker) inclusion  $u \in BMO_{loc}$ .
3. The exponent in (1.2) are optimal as can be seen from the nonlinear Green’s function  $G_p(x) = c|x|^{-\frac{n}{p}}$  for the  $p$ -Laplace equation:  $-div(|Du|^{p-2} Du) = \delta_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m, n \geq 3$ . In particular,  $L^{s, \infty}$  cannot be replaced by  $L^s$  where  $L^{s, \infty}$ , is a Laurent space.

- 4. The pointwise monotonicity condition can be replaced by a weaker integrated version, called quasi-monotonicity.

The key point in the proof of the theorem, is the div-curl inequality for the Young measure  $\{\vartheta_x\}_{x \in \Omega}$  generated by a sequence  $Du_k$  of gradients of approximate solutions. Together with the identity, (1.4)

$\text{apDu}(x) = \langle \vartheta_x, Id \rangle$ . The div-curl inequality implies easily that  $\sigma(\cdot, u_k, Du_k)$  converges weakly in  $L^1$  to  $\sigma(\cdot, u, \text{apDu})$ . (1.4) is a consequence of general properties of young measures if  $p > 2 - \frac{1}{n}$  since in this case  $Du_k$  is bounded in  $L^s$  for some  $s > 1$ . If  $1 < p \leq 2 - \frac{1}{n}$  one only has the weaker bounds.

### 3 Some preliminary lemmas

In this section, we will also use the Young measures, and Inequality div-curl for assume the convergence of subsequence  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in measure and for almost every subsequence, with  $u$  is approximately differentiable, and  $\text{apDu} = \langle \nu_x, Id \rangle$ ,  $\nu_x$  is the Young measures generated by a sequence  $Du_k$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $u_k : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  a sequence of measurable functions such that:*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega} |u_k|^s dx < +\infty \text{ for some } s > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

*We suppose that for each  $\alpha > 0$  the sequence of truncated functions  $\{T_\alpha(u_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is precompact in  $L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Then there exists a measurable function  $u$  on  $\Omega$  such that for a subsequence  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in measure.*

**Proof** Choose a subsequence of  $\{u_k\}$  (not relabeled) which generates a Young measure  $\{\vartheta_x\}_{x \in \Omega}$ . By 3.1 and Theorem (Young, Tartar, Ball) the measure  $\nu_x$  are probability measure for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  and  $T_\alpha(u_k) \rightarrow \nu_\alpha = \langle \nu_x; T_\alpha \rangle$ , weakly in  $L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and in fact strongly since  $T_\alpha(u_k)$  is precompact in  $L^1$ . Consequently there exists a subsequence such that  $T_\alpha(u_{k_l}) \rightarrow \nu_\alpha$  almost uniformly, i.e.

$$T_\alpha(u_{k_l}) \rightarrow \nu_\alpha \text{ uniformly up to a set of arbitrary small measure.} \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $M_\alpha = \{x \in \Omega : |\nu_\alpha(x)| < \alpha\}$ . Then for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  there exists a set  $E_\epsilon$  of measure  $\text{meas}(E_\epsilon) < \epsilon$  and an index  $l_0(\epsilon; \delta)$  such that:  $|T_\alpha(u_{k_l})| < |\nu_\alpha(x)| + \delta$  for all  $x \in M_\alpha \setminus E_\epsilon$  and all  $l > l_0$ . It follows that  $u_{k_l}(x) \rightarrow \nu_\alpha(x)$  for almost every  $x \in M_\alpha \setminus E_\epsilon$  consider first  $x \in M_\beta$ ;  $\beta < \alpha$  and then the union over  $\beta < \alpha$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary it follows that  $\nu_x = \delta_{\nu_\alpha}(x)$  for almost every  $x \in M_\alpha$  In view of the Ball's theorem it suffices to show that  $\cup M_\alpha$  has full measure. Now clearly  $M_\alpha \subset M_\beta$  for  $\alpha < \beta$  since  $T_\beta(u_{k_l}) \rightarrow T_\beta(\nu_\alpha) = \nu_\alpha$  almost everywhere in  $M_\alpha$  and therefore  $\nu_\alpha = \nu_\beta$  on  $M_\alpha$ . By (3.2) there exists for each  $\epsilon > 0$  a set  $E_\epsilon$ , and an index  $l_0(\epsilon, \alpha)$  such that  $\text{meas}(E_\epsilon) < \epsilon$  and  $|u_{k_l}| \geq |T_\alpha(u_{k_l})| \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}$  on  $(\Omega \setminus E_\epsilon) \setminus M_\alpha$  for all  $l \geq l_0$ . In view of (3.2) this implies  $\text{meas}((\Omega \setminus E_\epsilon) \setminus M_\alpha) \leq \frac{c}{\alpha^s} \epsilon \rightarrow 0$  we deduce  $\text{meas}(\Omega \setminus \cup M_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \text{meas}(\Omega \setminus M_\alpha) = 0 \quad \square$

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|\Omega| < \infty$  and  $u_k \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Suppose that there exist  $p > 1$  and  $s > 0$  such that:*

$$\sup_k \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u_k| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} u_k|^p dx \leq c(\alpha) < \infty, \quad \forall \alpha > 0, \tag{3.3}$$

and  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega} |u_k|^s dx \leq c < \infty$ . Then there exist a subsequence  $u_{k_j}$  and a measurable function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $u_{k_j} \rightarrow u$  in measure. Moreover  $u$  is for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  approximately differentiable, for all  $\eta \in C_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  there holds  $\eta \circ u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ . if  $u_k \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  then  $\eta \circ u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  provided that  $\eta = Id$  on  $B(0, r)$  for some  $r > 0$ .

**Proof** Choose

$$(u_k)_\alpha = \begin{cases} u_k & \text{if } |u_k| \leq \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{if } |u_k| > \alpha. \end{cases}$$

For the hypotheses:

$$\sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}(u_k)_\alpha|^p dx = \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u_k| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_k|^p dx \leq c(\alpha) < \infty.$$

Then,  $(u_k)_\alpha \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega, w, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and for (1.4), (H4) and  $|D|u|| \leq |Du|$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |DT_\alpha(|u_k|)|^{p_s} dx &= \int_{|u_k| \leq \alpha} |D|u_k||^{p_s} dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}(u_k)_\alpha|^p dx \\ &\leq c(\alpha) < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the compact Sobolev embedding  $W_s^{1,p_s}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_s}(\Omega)$ , we have  $\{T_\alpha(|u_k|)\}$  is precompact in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . And, if  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 3\alpha), \mathbb{R}^m)$  a symmetric radial such that  $\eta = Id$  on  $B(0, 2\alpha)$ , then by (1.2) and (3.3)  $\sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}(\eta(u_k))|^p dx = \sum_{ij} \int_{|u_k| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}(u_k)|^p dx + \sum_{i,j} \int_{\alpha < |u_k| \leq 2\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}(id)|^p dx + \sum_{i,j} \int_{2\alpha < |u_k| \leq 3\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}(\eta(u_k))|^p dx \leq c(\alpha) + c \sum_{i,j} \|\omega_{ij}\|_{L^1_{loc}(\Omega)} + c < \infty$ . Then, by (1.4),  $\eta(u_k)$  is precompact in  $L^{p_s}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , and as in Lemma 8 [2], there exist a measurable function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in measure, with  $u(x) = \langle \vartheta_x, Id \rangle$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  and  $u$  is approximately differentiable because  $\eta(u_k) \rightarrow \eta(u)$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $apDu = ap(\eta \circ u)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $u_k$  be as in Lemma (3.2) with  $p > 1$ . Then the Young measure  $\vartheta_x$  generated by (a subsequence of)  $Du_k$  has the following properties:*

- (a)  $\vartheta_x$  is a probability measure for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .
- (b)  $\vartheta_x$  has finite  $p_s$ -th- moment for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ , i.e.,  $\int_{M^{m \times n}} |\lambda|^{p_s} d\vartheta_x(\lambda)$  is finite for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .
- (c)  $\vartheta_x$  satisfies  $\langle \vartheta_x, Id \rangle = apDu(x)$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ .
- (d)  $\vartheta_x$  is a homogeneous  $W^{1,p_s}$ -gradient young measure for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .

**Proof** Let  $\tilde{\vartheta}_x$  denote the Young measure generated by (a subsequence of) the sequence  $\{u_k, Du_k\}$ . By Lemma 3.2 we have:

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_x = \delta_{u(x)} \otimes \vartheta_x.$$

Let  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 2\alpha), \mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $\eta = Id$  on  $B(0, \alpha)$ , and let  $\vartheta^\eta$  be the Young measure generated by

$$D(\eta \circ u_k) = (D\eta)(u_k)Du(x),$$

then  $\vartheta^\eta$  is a probability measure, has finite  $p$ -th moment and

$$\langle \vartheta^\eta, Id \rangle = (D(\eta \circ u))(x) = D\eta(u(x))\text{ap}Du(x).$$

It follows for  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M^{m \times n})$ , that:

$$\varphi(D(\eta \circ u_k)) \rightharpoonup \langle \vartheta^\eta, \varphi \rangle = \int_{M^{m \times n}} \varphi(\lambda) d\vartheta_x^\eta(\lambda).$$

Based on the proof (3.2), we have  $\sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega |\omega_{ij} D_{ij}(\eta \circ u_k)|^p dx < \infty$ , and by (1.4)

$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_\Omega |D(\eta \circ u_k)|^{ps} dx < \infty$ , and the (Ball's Theorem, proof lemma 9 [2]) we conclude (a)-(b)-(c)- and (d). □

### 4 Approximate solutions and a priori bounds

We introduce the following approximating problems

$$-div \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) = f_k \text{ in } \Omega. \tag{4.1}$$

$$u_k = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \tag{4.2}$$

With  $f_k \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, \omega^*, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $f_k \rightharpoonup^* \mu$  in  $M(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  such that  $\|f_k\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq \|\mu\|_{M(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)}$ . By [5] and using the assumptions  $(H_0), (H_1), (H_2)$  and  $(H_4)$ , the problem (4.1) and (4.2) has a solution  $u_k \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$   $u_k$  is the subsequence approximates solutions of (1.1). The results of Theorem (2.1) is the consequence of the following proposition

**Proposition 4.1** *Let,  $f \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $\sigma$  satisfies  $(H_0)$ , the coercivity of  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_3)$ . If  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  is a solution of*

$$-div \sigma(x, u, Du) = f \text{ in } \Omega. \tag{4.3}$$

*in the sense of distributions. Then*

$$u \in L^{t_{ps}, \infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m), \quad Du \in L^{t_{ps}, \infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L^{t_{ps}, \infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)}^* + \|Du\|_{L^{t_{ps}, \infty}(\Omega, M^{m \times n})}^* \\ & \leq C \left( |\Omega|, \|\lambda_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \|\lambda_3\|_{L^{(\frac{p}{\alpha})}'(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} \right) \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u|^p dx \\ & \leq M\alpha + L, \quad \forall \alpha > 0, \end{aligned}$$

*M and L are the constants depending on:*

$$\|\lambda_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \|\lambda_3\|_{L^{(\frac{p}{\alpha})}'(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)}, c_2.$$

**Proof** We suppose the condition of l'angle in  $(H_3)$ . Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Testing  $T_\alpha(u)$  in (4.3) and we use the coercivity condition in  $(H_1)$ , and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_2 \cdot \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u|^p dx &\leq \alpha \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} + \|\lambda_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\
 + c \|\lambda_3\|_{L(\frac{p}{\alpha})}' &\left( \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \gamma_j |u_j|^q dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Choose:

$$(u)_\alpha = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } |u| \leq \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{if } |u| > \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  because  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and by Hardy-Type inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_j \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \gamma_j |u_j|^q dx &= \sum_j \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \gamma_j |(u_\alpha)_j|^q dx \\
 &\leq c \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_\alpha|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
 &\leq c \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_\alpha|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}
 \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_2 \left( \sum_{ij} \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u|^p dx \right) &\leq \alpha \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} + \|\lambda_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\
 + c \|\lambda_3\|_{L(\frac{p}{\alpha})}' &\cdot \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha q}{p^2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\frac{\alpha q}{p^2} < 1$ . Then

$$\left( \sum_{ij} \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u|^p dx \right) \leq c (\alpha \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} + \|\lambda_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)}) \leq M\alpha + L, \tag{4.5}$$

with  $L = L(c, \|\lambda_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, c \|\lambda_3\|_{L(\frac{p}{\alpha})}')$  and  $M = M(c_1, c_2 \|\lambda_3\|, \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)})$ , we choose  $u^\alpha = \min(|u|, \alpha)$ , then by  $|D|u| \leq |Du|$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} |Du^\alpha|^{ps} dx &= \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} |D|u||^{ps} dx + 0 \leq \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} |Du|^{ps} dx = \int_{\Omega} |Du_\alpha|^{ps} dx \\
 &\leq \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_\alpha|^p dx \right)^{\frac{ps}{p}} = \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{ps}{p}}
 \end{aligned}$$



And by (4.5), and  $p_s \leq p$ , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du^\alpha|^{p_s} dx \leq c (\alpha \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} + \|\lambda_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)}). \tag{4.6}$$

By (1.4) and (4.6), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u^\alpha|^{p_s^*} dx \leq c \left( \int_{\Omega} |Du^\alpha|^{p_s} dx \right)^{\frac{p_s^*}{p}} \leq c (\alpha \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} + \|\lambda_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)})^{\frac{p_s^*}{p}} \tag{4.7}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{|u|}(\alpha) &= \alpha^{-p_s^*} \int_{|u|>\alpha} \alpha^{p_s^*} dx \leq \alpha^{-p_s^*} \int_{|u|>\alpha} |u^\alpha|^{p_s^*} dx \\ &\leq c \alpha^{-p_s^*} (\alpha \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} + \|\lambda_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)})^{\frac{p_s^*}{p}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

and we continue in the same way as in a case that is non-degenerated [2] by replacing  $p$  by  $p_s$  as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^{p_s, \infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)}^* &= \sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha |\lambda_{|u|}(\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{p_s^*}} \\ &\leq |\Omega| + \sup_{\alpha>1} \alpha |\lambda_{|u|}(\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{p_s^*}} \\ &\leq |\Omega| + c (\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)}^{\frac{1}{p_s-1}}, \|\lambda_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{p_s-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_s, \infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)}^* \leq c \left( |\Omega|, \|\lambda_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \|\lambda_3\|_{L(\frac{p}{\alpha})'(\Omega)}, c_2, \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} \right), \tag{4.9}$$

on the other hen, by using ( $p_s \leq p$ ) and thinks to (1.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{|Du|}(s) &\leq s^{-p_s} \int_{|u|\leq\alpha} |Du|^{p_s} dx + \lambda_{|u|}(\alpha) \\ &= s^{-p_s} \int_{|u|\leq\alpha} |Du_\alpha|^{p_s} dx + \lambda_{|u|}(\alpha) \\ &\leq s^{-p_s} \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u|\leq\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_\alpha|^p dx \right) + \lambda_{|u|}(\alpha) \\ &\leq s^{-p_s} \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u|\leq\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u|^p dx \right) + \lambda_{|u|}(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

By (4.5) and (4.8):

$$\lambda_{|Du|}(s) \leq c \left( \max \left( \frac{\alpha}{s^{p_s}}, \frac{1}{s^{p_s}} \right) + \max \left( \alpha^{-p_s^*}, \alpha^{\frac{p_s^*}{p_s} - p_s^*} \right) \right)$$

or  $-t_{p_s}^* = \frac{p_s^*}{p_s} - p_s^*$ , so as in [6]

$$\|Du\|_{L^{p_s, \infty}(\Omega, M^{m \times n})}^* \leq c \left( |\Omega|, \|\lambda_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \|\lambda_3\|_{L(\frac{p}{\alpha})'(\Omega)}, c_2, \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} \right). \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.5), (4.9) and (4.10), we obtain the result of the proposition (4.1) in case i). ii)-Suppose the angle condition in  $(H_3)$ , let  $S_\alpha(y) = (T_\alpha(y_1); T_\alpha(y_2); \dots T_\alpha(y_m))$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , the cubic truncation, we have  $Ds_\alpha(y) = \text{Id}$  if  $|y|_{\max} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |y_i| \leq \alpha$ , in the same way as in i)- by testing  $S_\alpha(u)$  in (4.3). Then  $\int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u, Du) : D(S_\alpha(u))dx = \int_{\Omega} f \cdot S_\alpha(u)dx$  or

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u, Du) : D(S_\alpha(u))dx &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{|u_i| \leq \alpha} \sigma_i(x, u, Du) : Du_i dx \\ &\geq \int_{|u| = \max(|u_1|; \dots; |u_m|)} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i(x, u, Du) : Du_i dx \end{aligned}$$

and like  $\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i(x, u, Du) : Du_i dx = \sigma(x, u, Du) : Du$ . By the coercivity condition in  $(H_1)$  and the Hölder Inequality we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u|^p dx &\leq \sqrt{m} \cdot \alpha \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} + \|\lambda_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &+ c \|\lambda_3\|_{L^{(\frac{p}{q})}'(\Omega)} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \gamma_j |u_j|^q dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and we continue in the same way as in i), this completes the proof of the Proposition (4.1) □

### 5 A div-curl inequality

The result of this section is the key ingredient for the proof that one can pass to the limit in the Eq. (4.1) for the solution  $\{u_k\}_{k \in N}$  of approximating problems. Since it is independent of the differential equation we state it a more general form using only the hypotheses (5.1)–(5.8) below:

$$\sigma; \tau : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times M^{m \times n} \longrightarrow M^{m \times n}, \tag{5.1}$$

is a Carathéodory function.

$$\sigma \text{ and } \tau \text{ satisfying one of the following conditions:} \tag{5.2}$$

- (i)  $\sigma(x, u, F) : MF \geq 0, \tau(x, u, F) : MF \geq 0; M = \text{Id} - b \otimes b \in M^{m \times n}$ , with  $|b| \leq 1$ .
- (ii)  $\sigma_j(x; u; F) : F_j \geq 0$ , and  $\tau_j(x, u, F) : F_j \geq 0; 1 \leq j \leq m, \sigma_j, \tau_j$  and  $F_j$  is the  $j^{eme}$  columns of  $\sigma, \tau, F$ .

$$u_k \in W^{1;1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \text{ and there exists an } s \geq 0 \text{ such that } \int_{\Omega} |Du_k|^s dx \leq c \text{ uniformly in } k \tag{5.3}$$

$$\text{The sequence } \sigma_k(x) = \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) \text{ is equiintegrable.} \tag{5.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{The sequence } u_k \text{ converges in measure to some function } u, \\ \text{and } u \text{ is almost everywhere approximately differentiable.} \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

$$\text{The sequence } f_k = -\text{div}(\sigma_k + \tau_k) - \mu \text{ is bounded in } L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m). \tag{5.6}$$

$$D_{ij}u_k \in L^r_{loc}(\Omega, \omega_{ij}, M^{m \times n}) \text{ and } (\sigma_k + \tau_k) \in L^r_{loc}(\Omega, w^*, M^{m \times n}), \text{ for some } 1 \leq r < \infty \text{ and } (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m). \tag{5.7}$$

$$\text{The sequence } \tau_k(x) = \tau[x](x, u, Du_k) \text{ converges to weakly to 0 in } L^1(\Omega, M^{m \times n}). \tag{5.8}$$

**Lemma 5.1** *Suppose (5.1)–(5.8). Then (after passage to a subsequence) the sequence  $\sigma_k$  converges weakly in  $L^1(\Omega, M^{m \times n})$  and the weak limit  $\bar{\sigma}$  is given by  $\bar{\sigma}(x) = \langle v_x; \sigma(x, u(x), \cdot) \rangle$ . Moreover the following inequality holds:*

$$\int_{M^{m \times n}} \sigma(x, u(x), \lambda) : \lambda dv_x(\lambda) \leq \bar{\sigma}(x) : apDu(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \tag{5.9}$$

**Proof** See [6] □

### 6 Passage to the limit

**Proposition 6.1** *Suppose that the sequence  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the hypotheses (5.1)–(5.7),  $(H_2)$  and that the Young measure  $\nu$  generated by the sequence  $(Du_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies: a)-c) and d)- in Lemma (3.3). Then the sequence  $(\sigma_k)$  is weakly converge in  $L^1(\Omega, M^{m \times n})$ , with  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the limit and  $\bar{\sigma}(x) = \langle v_x, u(x), apDu(x) \rangle$ . If in  $(H_2)$  b)- c)-or d)-holds,  $\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) \rightarrow \sigma(x, u, apDu)$  strongly in  $L^1(\Omega, M^{m \times n})$ .*

*In the cases (c) and (d) it follows addition that  $Du_k \rightarrow apDu$  in measure.*

**Proof** See [6].

#### Proof of the Theorem 2.1

**Case:**  $\theta = p - 1$  For using the results of Proposition (6.1): we assume that (5.1)–(5.7) and the Young measure  $\nu_x$  generated by the sequence  $Du_k$  satisfies:(i), (ii) and (iii) in Lemma(3.3), for the approximate systems (4.1) and (4.2). By the proposition 6.1, with  $u_k \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , we have:  $\| \mu \|_{L^{t^*_{p_s}, \infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq c \left( |\Omega|, \| \lambda_2 \|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \| \lambda_3 \|_{L(\frac{\theta}{\theta'})'(\Omega)}, c_2, \| \mu \|_{M(\Omega, \omega^*, \mathbb{R}^m)} \right)$  and

$$\sum_{i,j} \int_{|u_k| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_k|^p dx \leq M\alpha + L < \infty. \tag{6.1}$$

By  $L^{t^*_{p_s}, \infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  for all  $1 < p < t^*_{p_s}$ , then

$$\| u_k \|_{L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq c < \infty. \tag{6.2}$$

Now

- (5.1) is  $(H_0)$
- (5.2) is  $(H_3)$
- (5.3):  $u_k \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p_s}_0(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  with  $p_s > 1$ , then  $u_k \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ .  
Moreover, by the proposition

$$\| Du_k \|_{L^{t_{p_s}, \infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq c \left( |\Omega|, \| \lambda_2 \|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \| \lambda_3 \|_{L(\frac{\theta}{\theta'})'(\Omega)}, c_2, \| \mu \|_{M(\Omega, \omega^*, \mathbb{R}^m)} \right)$$

hence

$$\| Du_k \|_{L^s(\Omega, M^{m \times n})} \leq c > \infty, \forall 1 < s < t_{p_s}$$

with  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega} |Du_k|^s dx < \infty$ .

- (5.4): Let  $A$  a measurable in  $\Omega$ , by  $(H_1)$  and Hölder we have

$$\int_A |\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k)| dx \leq c \left( \sum_{r,s} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{rs} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left[ \|\lambda_1\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \gamma_j |(u_k)_j|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} u_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right],$$

and with (1.4) and (1.2):

$$\int_A |\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k)| dx \leq c \left( \sum_{r,s} \|\omega_{rs}\|_{L^1_{loc}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \times \left[ \|\lambda_1\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} + \|u_k\|_{1,p,\omega}^{\frac{q}{pp'}} + \|u_k\|_{1,p,\omega}^{\frac{p}{p'}} \right] < \infty.$$

- (5.5): By (6.1) and (6.2) and Lemma (3.2).
- (5.6):  $\|f_k\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq \mu \|M(\Omega, \omega^*, \mathbb{R}^m)\|$ .
- (5.7):  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \Omega$   $\int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} |D_{ij} u_k|^p \omega_{ij} dx \leq \|u_k\|_{1,p,\omega}^p < \infty$  and by  $(H_3)$  we implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} |\sigma_{rs}(x, u_k, Du_k)|^{p'} \omega_{rs}^* dx &= \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} |\sigma_{rs}(x, u_k, Du_k)|^{p'} \omega_{rs}^{1-p'} dx \\ &\leq c \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} w^{1-p'+\frac{p'}{p}} \left[ |\lambda_1|^{p'} + \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j |(u_k)_j|^q + \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} u_k|^p \right] dx \\ &\leq c \left( \|\lambda_1\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)}^{p'} + \|u_k\|_{1,p,\omega}^{\frac{q}{p}} + \|u_k\|_{1,p,\omega}^p \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the Proposition (6.1)  $\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) \rightarrow \sigma(x, u, apDu)$  in  $L^1(\Omega, M^{m \times n})$  and  $\forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ ;  $D\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega, M^{m \times n})$  hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : D\varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u, apDu) : D\varphi dx$$

i.e.

$$-div \sigma(x, u_k, apDu_k) \rightarrow -div \sigma(x, u, apDu)$$

In the sense of distributions. On the other hand  $f_k \xrightarrow{*} \mu$  in  $L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Then  $\int_{\Omega} f_k \cdot \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \mu \cdot \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  so  $\mu$  is the solution in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  of the system:

$$\begin{aligned} -div \sigma(x, u, apDu) &= \mu \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

to show the estimation (2.1), we take the function  $\eta$  in  $C_0^1(B(0, 2\alpha), \mathbb{R}^m)$ ;  $\eta = \text{Id}$  in  $B(0, \alpha)$  and  $|D\eta| \leq c$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}\eta(u_k)|^p dx &= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{ij} |(D_{ij}\eta)(u_k)|^p \|Du_k\|^p dx \\ &\leq c^p \cdot \sum_{ij} \int_{|u_k| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_k| dx \\ &\quad + c \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u_k| \leq 2\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_k|^p dx \\ &\leq c \cdot c(\alpha) + c \cdot c(2\alpha) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

thanks to (6.1).

Now, we have  $\eta(u_k) \rightarrow \eta(u)$ , for every  $x \in \Omega$  because  $\eta$  is  $C^\infty$ . Then  $\eta(u_k) \rightarrow \eta(u)$ , in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $apDu = apD(\eta \circ u)$  on  $\{|u| < \alpha\}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}(\eta \circ u)|^p dx &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} w |D(\eta \circ u_k)|^p dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{ij} \int_{|u_k| \leq 2\alpha} |D_{ij}\eta(u_k)|^p |D_{ij}u_k| \omega_{ij} dx \\ &\leq c \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|u_k| \leq 2\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_k|^p dx \\ &\leq c \cdot c(2\alpha) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$\sum_{i,j} \int_{|u| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |apDu|^p dx = \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u_k| \leq 2\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D(\eta \circ u)|^p dx < \infty,$$

in the same as in the proof of the Proposition (4.1) by replacing  $u_k$  by  $u$  and  $f_k$  by  $\mu$ , we obtain the estimation (2.1) and this completes the proof of the Theorem 2.1 □

**Case:**  $0 < \theta < \frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1}$  (the general case) The idea is to consider the regularized problems:

$$-div \phi_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) = \mu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{6.3}$$

$$u_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \tag{6.4}$$

with

$$\phi_{\varepsilon,r,s}(x, u, F) = \sigma_{rs}(x, u, F) + \varepsilon\beta \left( \sum_{ij} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p}} |F_{ij}|^{s-2} \right) \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} F_{rs},$$

$\forall 1 \leq r \leq n, \forall 1 \leq s \leq m$  with  $s > n + 1$ , and  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $p < s$ , then  $s' < p'$ , and  $(\frac{s}{\alpha})' < (\frac{p}{\alpha})'$ . Moreover  $\exists c > 0$  which doesn't depend on  $p, s$ , such that  $\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{s}}$   
 $\forall 1 \leq r \leq n$  and  $1 \leq s \leq m$ .

By  $(H_1)$  for  $\sigma$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_{\varepsilon,r,s}(x, u, F)| &\leq \beta' \cdot |\omega_{rs}|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \lambda_1 + \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{p'}} |u_j|^{\frac{q}{p'}} + \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^\theta \right] \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \beta \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-1} \right) \left( \theta < \frac{n(p_s - 1)}{n - 1} < n(s - 1) \right) \\ &\leq \beta' \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \lambda_1 + \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{p'}} |u_j|^{\frac{q}{p'}} + \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

And  $p < s$ , then  $\frac{1}{p'} < \frac{1}{s'}$  and like  $\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{s}}$ , then:  $|\phi_{\varepsilon,r,s}(x, u, F)| \leq \beta' \cdot |\omega_{rs}|^{\frac{1}{s}} \left[ \lambda_1 + \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{s'}} |u_j|^{\frac{q}{s'}} + \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{s'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-1} \right]$ , and by  $(H_3)$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\varepsilon(x, u, F) : F = \sigma(x, u, F) : F + \varepsilon \sum_{i,j,r,s} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |F_{ij}|^{s-2} F_{ij} \cdot F_{rs} \\ \geq -\lambda_2 - \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_3 \gamma_j^{\frac{q}{s}} \cdot |u_j|^{\frac{q\alpha}{s'}} + \varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij} |F_{ij}|^s. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $0 < \alpha < p - 1 < s - 1, 1 < q < \frac{p^2}{\alpha} < \frac{s^2}{\alpha'}$ ,  $\lambda_1 \in L^{p'}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s'}(\Omega)$ , and  $\lambda_3 \in L^{(\frac{p}{\alpha})'}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{(\frac{s}{\alpha})'}(\Omega)$  and as  $\sigma_\varepsilon$  verifies the conditions of the structures (of l'angle and sign), the strict monotony, the s-quasi monotonous with regard to  $F$  is a  $C^1$  monotony in relation with  $F$  or accepting a convex potential because:  $F \rightarrow \varepsilon \beta \left( \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-2} \right) \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} F_{rs}$  verify them as well, hence  $\sigma_\varepsilon$  verifies the hypotheses  $(H_0)$ – $(H_5)$ , for the regularized Problems (6.3) and (6.4), thus for the previous case,  $\theta = s - 1$  of Theorem 2.1, there exists a solution,  $u_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,s}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  of the system (6.3) and (6.4). Now showing that the conditions: i), ii) and iii), of lemma (3.3), and the hypotheses (5.1)–(5.8) of the div-curl inequality are verified for  $u_\varepsilon$  with order  $s$  in the place of  $p$ .

We suppose the condition of l'angle verifying that  $\phi_\varepsilon$  by testing,  $T_\alpha(u_\varepsilon) \alpha > 0$  in (5.3) and (5.4), we get:  $\int_\Omega \phi_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) : DT_\alpha(u_\varepsilon) dx = \int_\Omega f \cdot T_\alpha(u_\varepsilon) dx$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|u_\varepsilon| \leq \alpha} \sigma(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) : Du_\varepsilon dx + \int_{|u_\varepsilon| > \alpha} \frac{\alpha}{|u_\varepsilon|} \sigma_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) : \left( \text{Id} - \frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|} \otimes \frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|} \right) Du_\varepsilon dx \\ + \varepsilon \beta \int_{|u_\varepsilon| \leq \alpha} \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \sum_{r,s} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |D_{rs} u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \\ + \varepsilon \beta \int_{|u_\varepsilon| > \alpha} \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \sum_{r,s} \omega_{rs} D_{rs} u_\varepsilon \left( \text{Id} - \frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|} \otimes \frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|} \right) \\ \leq \alpha \cdot \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)}. \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{rs} |D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} D_{rs}u_\varepsilon \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\alpha}{|u_\varepsilon|} \left( \frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|} \otimes \frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|} \right) \right) \geq 0$$

so

$$\int_{|u_\varepsilon| \leq \alpha} \sigma(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) : Du_\varepsilon dx \leq \alpha \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)}.$$

And by the coercivity condition of  $\sigma$  in  $(H_1)$  and Hölder inequality, we get as in the proof of the Proposition 4.1

$$\sum_{ij} \int_{|u_\varepsilon| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^p dx \leq M'\alpha + L', \tag{6.5}$$

And the following a priori estimation:

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^{r^*}_{ps}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)}^* + \|Du_\varepsilon\|_{L^{t^*}_{ps, \infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})}^* < c < \infty, \tag{6.6}$$

and by the injection  $L^{\beta', \infty} \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha'}$ ,  $\forall 0 < \alpha' < \beta'$ , then  $\forall 0 < r < r^*_s, \forall 0 < p < t_{ps}$

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^r(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} + \|Du_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega, M^{m \times n})} + \|Du_\varepsilon\|_{L^{t^*}_{ps, \infty}(\Omega, M^{m \times n})}^* < \infty. \tag{6.7}$$

We suppose that the condition of the sign is verify.

As in the same way in the proof of the Proposition (4.1), we test  $S_\alpha(u_\varepsilon)$  in (6.3) and (6.4), we obtain (6.5) and (6.7).

Starting with verifying that i), ii) et iii) of lemma (3.3) and the hypotheses (5.1) and (5.7) for  $\sigma_\varepsilon$ . By (6.5) and (6.7), the points i), ii) et iii) are a direct consequence of Lemmas (3.2) and (3.3). On the other hand:

– (5.1): for  $\sigma$  is  $(H_0)$  and  $\tau_{rs}(x, u, F) = \varepsilon\beta \left( \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-2} \right) \cdot \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} F_{rs}$  is a Carathéodory function, because  $x \mapsto \omega_{ij}(x)$ , is measurable, so  $\sigma_\varepsilon$  is a Cathéodory function.

– (5.2)

(i)  $\phi_\varepsilon(x, u, F) : MF = \sigma(x, u, F) : MF + \left( \sum_{rs} (\varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-2}) \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} F_{rs} \right) (MF)_{rs} \geq 0$ , with  $M = \text{Id} - a \otimes a$  and  $|a| \leq 1$ .

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{rs}(x, u, F) \cdot F_j &= \sigma_j(x, u, F) : F_j + \tau_j(x, u, F) \cdot F_j \\ &= \sigma_j(x, u, F) : F_j + \sum_{l=1}^m \varepsilon\beta \left( \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-1} \right) \cdot \omega_{lj}^{\frac{1}{p}} |F_{lj}|^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

– (5.3):  $u_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,s_s}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,s_s}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $s_s > 1$ , so  $u_\varepsilon \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , and by (6.7)  $\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_\Omega |Du_\varepsilon|^p dx < \infty$ ,  $\forall 0 < p < t_{ps}$ .

(4.5):  $\sigma(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon)$  is equi-integrable as previously  $\forall \Omega' \subset \Omega$ , measurable, we have:

$$\int_{\Omega'} \left| \sum_{i,j} \left( \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right) \left| D_{ij}u_\varepsilon \right|^{s-2} \right| \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} D_{rs}u_\varepsilon dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega'} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-1} dx \right) \\ &\leq c \sum_{ij} \int_{\Omega'} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^s dx \leq c \|u_\varepsilon\|_{1,s,w}^s. \end{aligned}$$

– (5.5): by (6.7) and the Lemma (3.2).

– (5.6): by (6.3),  $-div(\sigma_l + \tau_k) - \mu = 0$ , with  $\mu \in M(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  is bounded in  $L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ .

– (5.7):  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , by the growth condition of  $\sigma_\varepsilon$  and previously with  $s$  in the place of  $p$ ,  $\int_{B(x,\varepsilon)} |\sigma_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon)|^s \omega_{r,s}^* dx < \infty$

and

– (5.8):  $\int_{B(x,\varepsilon)} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^s \omega_{rs} dx < \|u_\varepsilon\|_{1,s,w}^\varepsilon < \infty$ .

Testing that  $u_\varepsilon$  in (6.3) and (6.4)

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon\beta \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{ij} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \right) \left( \sum_{rs} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^2 \right) dx \\ &\leq \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} \|\mu\|_{M(\Omega, \omega^*, \mathbb{R}^m)} \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

We have  $W_0^{1,s}(\Omega, w, \mathbb{R}^m) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,s_s}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} &\leq c \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq c \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p}} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq c \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{r,s} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Thanks to (6.8) and (6.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \sum_{ij} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \sum_{rs} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{c\|\mu\|_{M(\Omega, \omega^*, \mathbb{R}^m)}}{\varepsilon} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{rs} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \right) \right) \text{ So:} \\ &\left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{r,s} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \right) \right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \leq \frac{c\|\mu\|_M}{\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

which mean that

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{r,s} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \frac{c\|\mu\|_M}{\varepsilon}, \tag{6.10}$$



and

$$\| u_\varepsilon \|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq c \left( c \frac{\| \mu \|_M}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}}. \tag{6.11}$$

On the other hand and  $\forall 1 < p < \frac{s}{s-1}$ , can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |F_{rs}| \|_{L^{\frac{s}{s-1}}(\Omega, \mathbb{M}^{m \times n})} \\ & \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{s}{s-1}} \left( \int_\Omega | \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-1} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |^{\frac{s}{s-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \\ & \leq c \varepsilon^{\frac{s}{s-1}} \left( | \sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-1} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |^{\frac{s}{s-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \\ & \leq c \varepsilon^{\frac{s}{s-1}} \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \sum_{r,s} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{s}{(s-1)p}} |D_{rs} u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

thanks to (6.10). Now, since  $u_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,s}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,s_s}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,p_s}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , so by testing  $T_\alpha(u_\varepsilon)$  in (6.3) and (6.4), we obtain as in the proof of the proposition (4.1)

$$\| Du_\varepsilon \|_{L^{\frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1}, \infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{M}^{m \times n})}^* \leq c. \tag{6.12}$$

By the Hölder inequality for the exponent  $a$  with  $a$  and  $\xi$  are the solutions of systems:

$$\begin{cases} a' \xi = \tau > \frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1} \\ a((s-1)\rho - \xi) = s \end{cases}$$

a given system accepting the solution when  $\rho < \frac{s}{s-1}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega | \varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-1} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p}} |^\rho dx \\ & \leq c \int_\Omega \varepsilon^\rho \left( \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{\rho}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{(s-1)\rho - \xi} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{\rho}{p}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^\xi \right)^\rho dx \\ & \leq c \varepsilon^\rho \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij}^{a\rho} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{a((s-1)\rho - \xi)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \cdot \left( \int_\Omega |Du_\varepsilon|^{a'\xi} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{a'}} \\ & \leq c \varepsilon^\rho \left( \sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \sum_{r,s} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |D_{rs} u_\varepsilon|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \cdot \| Du_\varepsilon \|_{L^\tau(\Omega, \mathbb{M}^{m \times n})}^{\frac{\tau}{a}}. \end{aligned}$$

And by the injection:  $L^{\frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1}} \hookrightarrow L^\tau \quad \forall \tau > \frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1}$  and thanks to (6.10)–(6.12), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} |\varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij}u_{\varepsilon}|^{s-1} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p}}|^{\rho} dx &\leq c \cdot \varepsilon^{\rho} \left( \frac{c \|\mu\| M}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{s}{(s-1)a}} \cdot c^{\frac{\tau}{a}} \\
 &\leq c \cdot c^{\frac{\tau}{a}} \varepsilon^{\frac{a((s-1)\rho-s)}{a(s-1)}} \\
 &\leq c \cdot c^{\frac{\tau}{a}} \varepsilon^{\frac{a\xi}{a(s-1)}} \\
 &\leq c \cdot c^{\frac{\tau}{a}} \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{\xi}{s-1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $\frac{\xi}{s-1} > 0$ . Hence

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij}u_{\varepsilon}|^{s-1} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} D_{rs}u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\rho}(\Omega, \mathbb{M}^{m \times n})} = 0, \quad \forall \rho < \frac{s}{s-1}.$$

In particular for  $\rho = 1$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |\varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij}u_{\varepsilon}|^{s-1} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} D_{rs}u_{\varepsilon}| dx = 0,$$

which mean that

$$\tau[\varepsilon](x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \sum_{i,j} |D_{ij}u_{\varepsilon}|^{s-2} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} D_{rs}u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$$

in  $L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{M}^{m \times n})$ .

As well as by the Proposition 6.1,  $div \sigma(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})$  converges to  $div \sigma(x, u, apDu)$ , in the sense of the distributions, and as

$$\tau[\varepsilon](x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij}u_{\varepsilon}|^{s-2} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} D_{rs}u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0,$$

in  $L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{M}^{m \times n})$ . Then  $div \sigma_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, Du_{\varepsilon})$  converge to  $div \sigma(x, u, apDu)$  in the sense of distributions, i-e:  $u$  is the solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} -div \sigma(x, u, apDu) = \mu & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

In the same way as in the case of  $\theta = p - 1$ , we have

$$\int_{|u| \leq \alpha} |apDu|^s dx < c(\alpha) < \infty \text{ and } p < s.$$

So we conclude as in the proof of the Proposition 6.1, in order to get the estimation of Theorem (2.1). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### References

1. Rami, E., Barbara, A., Azroul, E.: Existence of T-p(x)-solution of a nonhomogeneous elliptic problem with right hand side measure. J. Appl. Mathe. Phys. **9**, 2717–2732 (2021)
2. Hungerbühler, N., Dolzmann, G., Müller, S.: Nonlinear elliptic systems with measures Vlued right hand side. Math. Z. **226**, 545–574; zbl 895.35029 (1997)
3. Binelon, P., Boccardo, L., Gallouet, T., Gariepy, R., Pierre, M., Vasquez, J.: An  $L^1$  theory on existence and uniqueness of solutions of non linear elliptic equation. Ann. Math. **37**(1), 16–26 (1995)

4. Lions, P., Murat, F.: Solutions renormalisées d'équations elliptiques (**to appear**)
5. Rami, E., Azroul, E., Ellekhlifi, M.: Quasilinear degenerated elliptic system in divergence form with mild monotonicity in weighted Sobolev spaces. *J. Afr. Mat.* **30**, 1153–1168 (2019)
6. Augsburger, F.: Young Measures and Quasi-linear Systems in Divergence form with Weak Monotonicity, Thesis n 1448. University Press, Fribourg (2004)
7. Hungerbühler, N.: Quasilinear elliptic systems in divergence form with weak monotonicity. *N. Y. J. Math.* **5**, 83–90 (1999)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.