



Fekete–Szegő functional problems for some subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by Frasin differential operator

Feras Yousef¹ · Tariq Al-Hawary² · G. Murugusundaramoorthy³

Received: 30 June 2018 / Accepted: 29 January 2019 / Published online: 5 February 2019
© African Mathematical Union and Springer-Verlag GmbH Deutschland, ein Teil von Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new subclass $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\zeta}(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi)$ of bi-univalent functions defined by a new differential operator of analytic functions involving binomial series due to Frasin (Bol Soc Paran Mat (in press), 2019) in the open unit disk. We obtain coefficient bounds for the Taylor–Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ of the function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\zeta}(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi)$. Furthermore, we solve the Fekete–Szegő functional problem for functions in $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\zeta}(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi)$. The results presented in this paper improve or generalize the earlier results of Peng and Han (Acta Math Sci 34(1):228–240, 2014) and Tang et al. (J Math Inequal 10(4):1063–1092, 2016).

Keywords Analytic functions · Univalent functions · Bi-univalent functions · Taylor–Maclaurin series · Binomial series · Coefficient inequalities · Fekete–Szegő problems

Mathematics Subject Classification 30C45

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

✉ Feras Yousef
fyousef@ju.edu.jo

Tariq Al-Hawary
tariq_amh@bau.edu.jo

G. Murugusundaramoorthy
gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, The University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan

² Department of Applied Science, Ajloun College, Al-Balqa Applied University, Ajloun 26816, Jordan

³ School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT), Vellore 632014, India

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Further, by \mathcal{S} we shall denote the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} which are univalent in \mathbb{U} . It is well known that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} , defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad \left(|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in Σ , the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} , if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in \mathbb{U} . Lewin [9] showed that $|a_2| < 1.51$ for every function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1). Posteriorly, Brannan and Clunie [3] improved Lewin’s result and conjectured that $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for every function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1). Later, Netanyahu [11] showed that $\max_{f \in \Sigma} |a_2| = \frac{4}{3}$. The coefficient estimate problem for each of the following Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients:

$$|a_n| \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}; n \geq 4)$$

is still an open problem (see, for details, [15]). Since then, many researchers (see [2,5–7,14,16,18,19]) investigated several interesting subclasses of the class Σ and found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$. In fact, its worth to mention that by making use of the Faber polynomial coefficient expansions Jahangiri and Hamidi [8] have obtained estimates for the general coefficients $|a_n|$ for bi-univalent functions subject to certain gap series.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of function of p analytic in \mathbb{U} such that $p(0) = 1$ and $\text{Re} \{p(z)\} > 0$, where

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Now we recall the unified subordination due to Ma and Minda [10]:

Let φ be an analytic function with positive real part in the unit disk \mathbb{U} such that

$$\varphi(0) = 1, \varphi'(0) > 0$$

and $\varphi(\mathbb{U})$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis and has a series expansion of the form:

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots \quad (B_1 > 0).$$

Let $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ be two analytic functions in the unit disk \mathbb{U} with $u(0) = v(0) = 0$, $|u(z)| < 1$, $|v(z)| < 1$, and suppose that

$$u(z) = b_1z + b_2z^2 + b_3z^3 + \dots \text{ and } v(w) = c_1w + c_2w^2 + c_3w^3 + \dots \quad (1.2)$$

We observe that

$$|b_1| \leq 1, |b_2| \leq 1 - |b_1|^2, |c_1| \leq 1 \text{ and } |c_2| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2. \quad (1.3)$$

Further we have

$$\varphi(u(z)) = 1 + B_1b_1z + (B_1b_2 + B_2b_1^2)z^2 + \dots \quad (|z| < 1) \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\varphi(v(w)) = 1 + B_1c_1w + (B_1c_2 + B_2c_1^2)w^2 + \dots \quad (|w| < 1). \tag{1.5}$$

Making use of the binomial series

$$(1 - \gamma)^m = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^j \gamma^j \quad (m \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}),$$

recently for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, Frasin [4] defined the differential operator $D_{m,\lambda}^\zeta f(z)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ D_{m,\gamma}^1 f(z) &= (1 - \gamma)^m f(z) + (1 - (1 - \gamma)^m)zf'(z) = D_{m,\lambda} f(z), \quad \gamma > 0; m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta f(z) &= D_{m,\gamma}(D^{\zeta-1} f(z)) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \left[1 + (n - 1)C_j^m(\gamma)\right]^\zeta a_n z^n; \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{N}_0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$C_j^m(\gamma) = \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{j+1} \gamma^j.$$

Using the relation (1.6), it is easily verified that

$$C_j^m(\gamma)z(D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta f(z))' = D_{m,\gamma}^{\zeta+1} f(z) - (1 - C_j^m(\gamma))D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta f(z). \tag{1.7}$$

By specializing the parameters we observe that, for $m = 1$, $D_{1,\lambda}^\zeta$ defined by Al-Oboudi [1] and for $m = \gamma = 1$, $D_{1,1}^\zeta$ defined by Sălăgean [13].

The main object of this paper is to introduce the following new subclasses of bi-univalent functions involving Frasin differential operator $D_{m,\lambda}^\zeta$ [4] and to obtain bounds for the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$. Further, we discuss Fekete–Szegő functional problems for functions in these new classes.

2 The function class $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\zeta(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi)$

Definition 2.1 A function $f(z) \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\zeta(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi)$ if and only if

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta f(z)}{z} + \lambda(D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta f(z))' \prec \varphi(z)$$

and

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta g(w)}{w} + \lambda(D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta g(w))' \prec \varphi(w)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, z, w in \mathbb{U} and $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$.

For $\lambda = 1$, the class $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\zeta(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi)$ reduces to the following class.

Definition 2.2 A function $f(z) \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\zeta(m, \gamma; \varphi)$ if and only if

$$(D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta f(z))' \prec \varphi(z)$$

and

$$(D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta g(w))' \prec \varphi(w)$$

where z, w in \mathbb{U} and $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$.

Remark 2.3 We note that $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^0(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi) = \mathcal{B}_\Sigma(\lambda; \varphi)$ and $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^0(m, \gamma; \varphi) = \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\varphi)$, where the classes $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma(\lambda; \varphi)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\varphi)$ were introduced and studied by Peng and Han [12].

In the following theorem we find estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions $f \in \mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\zeta(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi)$.

Theorem 2.4 If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\zeta(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{\chi + B_1(1 + \lambda)^2 (1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta}}} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{(1+2\lambda)(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} & \text{if } B_1 < \frac{(1+\lambda)^2(1+C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta}}{(1+2\lambda)(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} \\ \frac{\chi B_1 + (1+2\lambda)(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta B_1^3}{(1+2\lambda)(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta (\chi + (1+\lambda)^2(1+C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta} B_1)} & \text{if } B_1 \geq \frac{(1+\lambda)^2(1+C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta}}{(1+2\lambda)(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} \end{cases}, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\chi = \left| B_1^2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta - B_2(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta} \right|.$$

Proof Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\zeta(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi)$. Then there are analytic functions u and v , with $u(0) = v(0) = 0, |u(z)| < 1, |v(z)| < 1$, given by (1.2) and satisfying the following conditions:

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta f(z)}{z} + \lambda(D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta f(z))' = \varphi(u(z)) \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta g(w)}{w} + \lambda(D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta g(w))' = \varphi(v(w)), \tag{2.4}$$

where $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) \frac{D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta f(z)}{z} + \lambda(D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta f(z))' \\ &= 1 + (1 + \lambda)(1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta a_2 z + (1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta a_3 z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) \frac{D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta g(w)}{w} + \lambda(D_{m,\gamma}^\zeta g(w))' \\ &= 1 - (1 + \lambda)(1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta a_2 w + (1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^2 + \dots, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

it follows from (1.4), (1.5), (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$(1 + \lambda) \left(1 + C_j^m(\gamma)\right)^\xi a_2 = B_1 b_1, \tag{2.7}$$

$$(1 + 2\lambda) \left(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma)\right)^\xi a_3 = B_1 b_2 + B_2 b_1^2, \tag{2.8}$$

$$-(1 + \lambda) \left(1 + C_j^m(\gamma)\right)^\xi a_2 = B_1 c_1, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$(1 + 2\lambda) \left(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma)\right)^\xi (2a_2^2 - a_3) = B_1 c_2 + B_2 c_1^2. \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.7) and (2.9), we get

$$c_1 = -b_1 \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$2 \left[\left(1 + C_j^m(\gamma)\right)^\xi (1 + \lambda) \right]^2 a_2^2 = B_1^2 (b_1^2 + c_1^2). \tag{2.12}$$

By adding (2.8) to (2.10), we have

$$2(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\xi (1 + 2\lambda) a_2^2 = B_1 (b_2 + c_2) + B_2 (b_1^2 + c_1^2). \tag{2.13}$$

Therefore, from equalities (2.12) and (2.13) we find that

$$[2(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\xi (1 + 2\lambda) B_1^2 - 2B_2 \left((1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^\xi (1 + \lambda) \right)^2] a_2^2 = B_1^3 (b_2 + c_2). \tag{2.14}$$

Then, in view of (2.7), (2.11) and (1.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| [2(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\xi (1 + 2\lambda) B_1^2 - 2B_2 \left((1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^\xi (1 + \lambda) \right)^2] \right| |a_2|^2 \\ & \leq B_1^3 (|b_2| + |c_2|) \leq 2B_1^3 (1 - |b_1|^2) = 2B_1^3 - 2B_1 \left((1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^\xi (1 + \lambda) \right)^2 |a_2|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{\chi + B_1(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\xi}}},$$

where

$$\chi = \left| B_1^2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\xi - B_2(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\xi} \right|.$$

Next, in order to find the bound on $|a_3|$, subtracting (2.10) from (2.8) and using (2.11), we get

$$2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\xi a_3 = 2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\xi a_2^2 + B_1 (b_2 - c_2). \tag{2.15}$$

Then in view of (1.3) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\xi |a_3| & \leq 2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\xi |a_2|^2 + B_1 (|b_2| + |c_2|) \\ & \leq 2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\xi |a_2|^2 + 2B_1 (1 - |b_1|^2). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.7), we immediately have

$$B_1(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta |a_3| \leq \left| B_1(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta - (1 + \lambda)^2(1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta} \right| |a_2|^2 + B_1^2.$$

Now the assertion (2.2) follows from (2.1). This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 2.4. \square

By taking $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2.4, we have

Corollary 2.5 *If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\zeta(m, \gamma; \varphi)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{\tau + 4B_1(1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta}}} \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{3(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} & \text{if } B_1 < \frac{4(1+C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta}}{3(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} \\ \frac{\tau B_1 + 3(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta B_1^3}{3(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta (\tau + 4(1+C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta} B_1)} & \text{if } B_1 \geq \frac{4(1+C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta}}{3(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} \end{cases}, \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\tau = \left| 3B_1^2(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta - 4B_2(1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta} \right|.$$

Putting $\zeta = 0$ in Theorem 2.4, we have

Corollary 2.6 [12] *Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma(\lambda; \varphi)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|B_1^2(1 + 2\lambda) - B_2(1 + \lambda)^2| + B_1(1 + \lambda)^2}} \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{(1+2\lambda)} & \text{if } B_1 < \frac{(1+\lambda)^2}{(1+2\lambda)} \\ \frac{|B_1^2(1+2\lambda) - B_2(1+\lambda)^2| B_1 + (1+2\lambda) B_1^3}{(1+2\lambda)(|B_1^2(1+2\lambda) - B_2(1+\lambda)^2| + (1+\lambda)^2)} & \text{if } B_1 \geq \frac{(1+\lambda)^2}{(1+2\lambda)} \end{cases}. \tag{2.19}$$

Putting $\zeta = 0$ in Corollary 2.5, we have

Corollary 2.7 [12] *If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\varphi)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|3B_1^2 - 4B_2| + 4B_1}} \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{3} & \text{if } B_1 < \frac{4}{3} \\ \frac{|3B_1^2 - 4B_2| B_1 + 3B_1^3}{3(|3B_1^2 - 4B_2| + 4B_1)} & \text{if } B_1 \geq \frac{4}{3} \end{cases}. \tag{2.21}$$

Remark 2.8 If

$$\varphi(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\alpha = 1 + 2\alpha z + 2\alpha^2 z^2 + \dots \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1) \tag{2.22}$$

in Corollary 2.6, then we have Theorem 2.2 in [7].

If

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} = 1 + 2(1 - \alpha)z + 2(1 - \alpha)^2 z^2 + \dots \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1), \tag{2.23}$$

then we have Theorem 3.2 in [7].

Also, if $\zeta = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, we have Theorem 2.1 in [12].

3 Fekete–Szegő inequalities for the function class $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\zeta(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi)$

Now, we are ready to find the sharp bounds of Fekete–Szegő functional $a_3 - \delta a_2^2$ defined for $f \in \mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\zeta(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi)$ given by (1.1).

Theorem 3.1 Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\zeta(m, \gamma, \lambda; \varphi)$. Then

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{(1+2\lambda)(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} & \text{for } 0 \leq |h(\delta)| < \frac{1}{2(1+2\lambda)(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} \\ 2B_1 |h(\delta)| & \text{for } |h(\delta)| \geq \frac{1}{2(1+2\lambda)(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} \end{cases}, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$h(\delta) = \frac{B_1^2(1 - \delta)}{2B_1^2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta - 2B_2(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta}}.$$

Proof From (2.14) and (2.15), we get

$$a_2^2 = \frac{B_1^3(b_2 + c_2)}{2 \left[B_1^2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta - B_2(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta} \right]} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta a_2^2 + B_1(b_2 - c_2)}{2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta}. \tag{3.3}$$

From the Eqs. (3.2) and (3.3), it follows that

$$a_3 - \delta a_2^2 = B_1 \left[\left(h(\delta) + \frac{1}{2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} \right) b_2 + \left(h(\delta) - \frac{1}{2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} \right) c_2 \right],$$

where

$$h(\delta) = \frac{B_1^2(1 - \delta)}{2B_1^2(1 + 2\lambda)(1 + 2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta - 2B_2(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta}}.$$

Since all B_i are real and $B_1 > 0$, which implies the assertion (3.1). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

By taking $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 3.1, we have

Corollary 3.2 Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^\zeta(m, \gamma; \varphi)$. Then

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{3(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} & \text{for } 0 \leq |h(\delta)| < \frac{1}{6(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} \\ 2B_1 |h(\delta)| & \text{for } |h(\delta)| \geq \frac{1}{6(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta} \end{cases}, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$h(\delta) = \frac{B_1^2(1-\delta)}{6B_1^2(1+2C_j^m(\gamma))^\zeta - 8B_2(1+C_j^m(\gamma))^{2\zeta}}.$$

Remark 3.3 Putting $\zeta = 0$ in Corollary 3.2, we get Corollary 4 in [17].

Putting $\zeta = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we have

Corollary 3.4 Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma(\lambda; \varphi)$. Then

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{1+2\lambda} & \text{for } 0 \leq |h(\delta)| < \frac{1}{2(1+2\lambda)} \\ 2B_1 |h(\delta)| & \text{for } |h(\delta)| \geq \frac{1}{2(1+2\lambda)} \end{cases},$$

where

$$h(\delta) = \frac{B_1^2(1-\delta)}{2[B_1^2(1+2\lambda) - B_2(1+\lambda)^2]}.$$

Remark 3.5 If $\varphi(z)$ is given by (2.22) then by Corollary 3.4, we have

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\alpha}{1+2\lambda} & \text{for } 0 \leq |h(\delta)| < \frac{1}{2(1+2\lambda)} \\ 4\alpha |h(\delta)| & \text{for } |h(\delta)| \geq \frac{1}{2(1+2\lambda)} \end{cases},$$

where

$$h(\delta) = \frac{1-\delta}{1+2\lambda-\lambda^2}.$$

Also, if $\varphi(z)$ is given by (2.23) then we have

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1+2\lambda)} & \text{for } 0 \leq |h(\delta)| < \frac{1}{2(1+2\lambda)} \\ 4(1-\alpha) |h(\delta)| & \text{for } |h(\delta)| \geq \frac{1}{2(1+2\lambda)} \end{cases},$$

where $h(\delta) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\delta)}{2(1-\alpha)(1+2\lambda)-(1+\lambda)^2}$.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the referees for their useful comments and suggestions to improve the original manuscript.

References

1. Al-Oboudi, F.M.: On univalent functions defined by generalized Sălăgean operator. *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **27**, 1429–1436 (2004)
2. Bulut, S.: Coefficient estimates for a class of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Novi Sad J. Math.* **43**(2), 59–65 (2013)

3. Brannan, D.A., Clunie, J.G.: Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis. Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute (University of Durham, Durham, July 1–20, 1979), Academic Press, New York (1980)
4. Frasin, B.A.: A new differential operator of analytic functions involving binomial series. *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.* (2018). <https://doi.org/10.5269/bspm.v38i5.40188>
5. Frasin, B.A.: Coefficient bounds for certain classes of bi-univalent functions. *Hacetatepe J. Math. Stat.* **43**(3), 383–389 (2014)
6. Frasin, B.A., Al-Hawary, T.: Initial Maclaurin coefficients bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Theory Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.* **5**(2), 186–193 (2015)
7. Frasin, B.A., Aouf, M.K.: New subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**(9), 1569–1573 (2011)
8. Jahangiri, J.M., Hamidi, S.G.: Coefficient estimates for certain classes of bi-univalent functions. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2013**, 1–4 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/190560>
9. Lewin, M.: On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **18**, 63–68 (1967)
10. Ma, W.C., Minda, D.: A Unified Treatment of Some Special Cases of Univalent Functions. Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992), International Press, Cambridge, pp 157–169 (1994)
11. Netanyahu, E.: The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **32**, 100–112 (1969)
12. Peng, Z., Han, Q.: On the coefficients of several classes of bi-univalent functions. *Acta Math. Sci.* **34**(1), 228–240 (2014)
13. Sălăgean, G.S.: Subclasses of univalent functions. In: *Complex Analysis Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar*, Springer, Heidelberg, pp 362–372 (1983)
14. Srivastava, H.M., Murugusundaramoorthy, G., Magesh, N.: Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Hohlov operator. *Glob. J. Math. Anal.* **1**(2), 67–73 (2013)
15. Srivastava, H.M., Mishra, A.K., Gochhayat, P.: Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23**, 1188–1192 (2010)
16. Tang, H., Deng, G.T., Li, S.H.: Coefficient estimates for new subclasses of Ma-Minda biunivalent functions. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**(317), 1–10 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2013-317>
17. Tang, H., Srivastava, H.M., Sivasubramanian, S., Gurusamy, P.: The Fekete–Szegő functional problems for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. *J. Math. Inequal.* **10**(4), 1063–1092 (2016)
18. Yousef, F., Alroud, S., Illafe, M.: New Subclasses of Analytic and Bi-Univalent Functions Endowed with Coefficient Estimate Problems. [arXiv:1808.06514](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.06514) (2018)
19. Yousef, F., Frasin, B.A., Al-Hawary, T.: Fekete–Szegő Inequality for Analytic and Bi-univalent Functions Subordinate to Chebyshev Polynomials. *Filomat* **32**(9), 3229–3236 (2018)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.