



Necessary and sufficient conditions for hypergeometric functions to be in a subclass of analytic functions

B. A. Frasin¹ · Tariq Al-Hawary² · Feras Yousef³

Received: 4 June 2018 / Accepted: 7 November 2018 / Published online: 13 November 2018
© African Mathematical Union and Springer-Verlag GmbH Deutschland, ein Teil von Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

In the present paper, we determine necessary and sufficient conditions for $zF(a, b; c; z)$ and $z(2 - F(a, b; c; z))$ where $F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(a)_n (b)_n / (c)_n (1)_n] z^n$ to be in a certain class of analytic functions with negative coefficients. Furthermore, we consider an integral operator related to hypergeometric functions.

Keywords Analytic functions · Univalent functions · Hypergeometric functions

Mathematics Subject Classification 30C45

1 Introduction and definitions

Let \mathcal{T} denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and univalent in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let $T^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ denote the subclasses of \mathcal{T} consisting of starlike and convex functions of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), respectively [11].

✉ B. A. Frasin
bafrasin@yahoo.com

Tariq Al-Hawary
tariq_amh@yahoo.com

Feras Yousef
FYousef@ju.edu.jo

¹ Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, Mafraq, Jordan

² Department of Applied Science, Ajloun College, Al-Balqa Applied University, Ajloun 26816, Jordan

³ Department of Mathematics, University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan

A function f of the form (1.1) is in $\mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$ if it satisfies the condition

$$\left| \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z)+\lambda zf'(z)} - 1}{\frac{zf'(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z)+\lambda zf'(z)} + 1} \right| < k, \quad (0 < k \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.2}$$

and $f \in \mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ if and only if $zf' \in \mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$.

We note that $\mathcal{S}(k, 0) = \mathcal{S}(k)$ and $\mathcal{C}(k, 0) = \mathcal{C}(k)$, where the classes $\mathcal{S}(k)$ and $\mathcal{C}(k)$ were introduced and studied by Padmanabhan [8] (see also, [4,7]).

Let $F(a, b; c; z)$ be the (Gaussian) hypergeometric function defined by

$$F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} z^n, \tag{1.3}$$

where $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, and $(x)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(x)_n := \frac{\Gamma(x+n)}{\Gamma(x)} = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+n-1), & n \in \mathbb{N} : \{1, 2, \dots\}. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

We note that $F(a, b; c; 1)$ converges for $\text{Re}(c - a - b) > 0$ and is related to the Gamma function by

$$F(a, b; c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}. \tag{1.5}$$

The Gauss hypergeometric function $F(a, b; c; z)$ is one of the most important special functions in complex analysis. Due to its three defining parameters, its structure is extremely rich and contains almost all special functions as a particular or limiting case. Important orthogonal polynomials such as Chebyshev, Legendre, Gegenbauer, and Jacobi polynomials, can all be expressed with the $F(a, b; c; z)$ function, see [9].

Silverman [12] gave necessary and sufficient conditions for $zF(a, b; c; z)$ to be in the classes $T^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$, and also examined a linear operator acting on hypergeometric functions. For more details, see the works done in [1-6,10,13].

In the present paper, we determine necessary and sufficient conditions for $zF(a, b; c; z)$ to be in our new classes $\mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$. Furthermore, we consider an integral operator related to hypergeometric functions.

The proof of Lemma 1.1 below is much akin to that of Theorem 1 in [7], so we choose to omit the details involved.

Lemma 1.1 (i) *A function f of the form (1.1) is in $\mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$ if and only if it satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n((1-\lambda) + k(1+\lambda)) - (1-\lambda)(1-k)]a_n \leq 2k \tag{1.6}$$

where $0 < k \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. The result is sharp.

(ii) *A function f of the form (1.1) is in $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ if and only if it satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[n((1-\lambda) + k(1+\lambda)) - (1-\lambda)(1-k)]a_n \leq 2k \tag{1.7}$$

where $0 < k \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. The result is sharp.

2 The necessary and sufficient conditions

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume in this paper that $0 < k \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$.

Theorem 2.1 (i) *If $a, b > -1, c > 0$, and $ab < 0$, then ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ is in $\mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$ if and only if*

$$c \geq a + b + 1 - \frac{((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))ab}{2k}. \tag{2.1}$$

(ii) *If $a, b > 0, c > a + b + 1$, then $F_1(a, b; c; z) = z(2 - F(a, b; c; z))$ is in $\mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$ if and only if*

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} \left(1 + \frac{((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))ab}{2k(c - a - b - 1)} \right) \leq 2. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof (i) The function ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) &= z + \frac{ab}{c} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a + 1)_{n-2}(b + 1)_{n-2}}{(c + 1)_{n-2}(1)_{n-1}} z^n \\ &= z - \left| \frac{ab}{c} \right| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a + 1)_{n-2}(b + 1)_{n-2}}{(c + 1)_{n-2}(1)_{n-1}} z^n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

According to (1.6) of Lemma 1.1, we must show that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) + (1 - \lambda)(k - 1)] \frac{(a + 1)_{n-2}(b + 1)_{n-2}}{(c + 1)_{n-2}(1)_{n-1}} \leq \left| \frac{c}{ab} \right| 2k. \tag{2.4}$$

Noting that $(\tau)_n = \tau(\tau + 1)_{n-1}$ and then applying (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) + (1 - \lambda)(k - 1)] \frac{(a + 1)_n(b + 1)_n}{(c + 1)_n(1)_{n+1}} \\ &= (1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a + 1)_n(b + 1)_n}{(c + 1)_n(1)_n} + 2k \frac{c}{ab} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \\ &= (1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda) \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b - 1)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} + 2k \frac{c}{ab} \left(\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b - 1)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} \left((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda) + 2k \frac{c - a - b - 1}{ab} \right) \\ &\leq 2k \left(\frac{c}{|ab|} + \frac{c}{ab} \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Thus, (2.5) is valid if and only if $(1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda) + 2k \frac{c - a - b - 1}{ab} \leq 0$ or, $c \geq a + b + 1 - \frac{((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))ab}{2k}$.

(ii) Since

$$F_1(a, b; c; z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}(b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} z^n,$$

from the condition (1.6), we need only to show that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \frac{(a)_{n-1}(b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} \leq 2k.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \frac{(a)_{n-1}(b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} \\ &= (1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} + 2k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \\ &= \frac{((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))ab}{c} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a + 1)_{n-1}(b + 1)_{n-1}}{(c + 1)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} + 2k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} \left(\frac{((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))ab}{c - a - b - 1} + 2k \right) - 2k. \end{aligned}$$

But this last expression is bounded above by $2k$ if and only if (2.2) holds. □

Theorem 2.2 (i) *If $a, b > -1, ab < 0, c > a + b + 2$, then $zF(a, b; c; z)$ is in $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ if and only if*

$$((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))(a)_2(b)_2 + 2((1 - \lambda) + k(2 + \lambda))ab(c - a - b - 2) + 2k(c - a - b - 2)_2 \geq 0. \tag{2.6}$$

(ii) *If $a, b > 0, c > a + b + 2$, then $F_1(a, b; c; z) = z(2 - F(a, b; c; z))$ is in $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} \left(\frac{((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))(a)_2(b)_2}{2k(c - a - b - 2)_2} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{1 - \lambda + k(2 + \lambda)}{k} \right) \left(\frac{ab}{c - a - b - 1} \right) + 1 \right) \leq 2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Proof (i) From (2.3) and (1.7) it follows that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[n((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \frac{(a + 1)_{n-2}(b + 1)_{n-2}}{(c + 1)_{n-2}(1)_{n-1}} \leq \frac{2ck}{|ab|}.$$

Writing

$$\begin{aligned} & (n + 2)[(n + 2)((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \\ &= ((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))(n + 1)^2 + ((1 - \lambda) + k(3 + \lambda))(n + 1) + 2k, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + 2)[(n + 2)((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \frac{(a + 1)_n(b + 1)_n}{(c + 1)_n(1)_{n+1}} \\ &= ((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + 1) \frac{(a + 1)_n(b + 1)_n}{(c + 1)_n(1)_n} \\ &+ ((1 - \lambda) + k(3 + \lambda)) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a + 1)_n(b + 1)_n}{(c + 1)_n(1)_n} + 2k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a + 1)_n(b + 1)_n}{(c + 1)_n(1)_{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))(a + 1)(b + 1)}{c + 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a + 2)_n(b + 2)_n}{(c + 2)_n(1)_n} \\
 &\quad + 2[(1 - \lambda) + k(2 + \lambda)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a + 1)_n(b + 1)_n}{(c + 1)_n(1)_n} + \frac{2kc}{ab} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(c + 1)\Gamma(c - a - b - 2)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} \left(((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))(a + 1)(b + 1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2[(1 - \lambda) + k(2 + \lambda)](c - a - b - 2) + \frac{2k}{ab} (c - a - b - 2)_2 \right) - \frac{2kc}{ab}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by $2ck/|ab|$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 &((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))(a + 1)(b + 1) + 2((1 - \lambda) + k(2 + \lambda))(c - a - b - 2) \\
 &\quad + \frac{2k}{ab} (c - a - b - 2)_2 \\
 &\leq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (2.6).

(ii) In view of (1.7), we need only to show that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[(1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)] - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k) \frac{(a)_{n-1}(b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} \leq 2k.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + 2)[(n + 2)((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \\
 &= ((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + 2)^2 \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \\
 &\quad - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + 2) \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}}. \tag{2.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Writing $n + 2 = (n + 1) + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + 2) \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}}, \\
 &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + 2)^2 \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + 1) \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_n} + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n-1}} + 3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n}. \tag{2.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.9) into the right-hand side of (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+2}(b)_{n+2}}{(c)_{n+2}(1)_n} + 2((1 - \lambda) + k(2 + \lambda)) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_n} \\
 & + 2k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}}. \tag{2.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $(a)_{n+j} = (a)_j(a+k)_n$, we write (2.10) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))(a)_2(b)_2}{(c)_2} \frac{\Gamma(c + 2)\Gamma(c - a - b - 2)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} \\
 & + 2((1 - \lambda) + k(2 + \lambda)) \frac{ab}{c} \frac{\Gamma(c + 1)\Gamma(c - a - b - 1)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} \\
 & + 2k \left(\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} - 1 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By simplification, we see that the last expression is bounded above by $(1 - \alpha)$ if and only if (3.6) holds. □

3 An integral operator

In the next theorems, we obtain similar-type in connections with a particular integral operator $G(a, b; c; z)$ acting on $F(a, b; c; z)$ as follows:

$$G(a, b; c; z) = \int_0^z F(a, b; c; t) dt. \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let $a, b > -1$, $ab < 0$, and $c > \max\{0, a + b\}$. Then, $G(a, b; c; z)$ defined by (3.1) is in $\mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\Gamma(c + 1)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} \left(\frac{((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))}{ab} - \frac{(1 - \lambda)(1 - k)(c - a - b)}{(a - 1)_2(b - 1)_2} \right) \\
 & + \frac{(1 - \lambda)(1 - k)(c - 1)_2}{(a - 1)_2(b - 1)_2} \leq 0. \tag{3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof Since

$$G(a, b; c; z) = z - \frac{|ab|}{c} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a + 1)_{n-2}(b + 1)_{n-2}}{(c + 1)_{n-2}(1)_n} z^n,$$

by (1.6), we need to show that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \frac{(a + 1)_{n-2}(b + 1)_{n-2}}{(c + 1)_{n-2}(1)_n} \leq \frac{2kc}{|ab|}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n((1-\lambda) + k(1+\lambda)) - (1-\lambda)(1-k)] \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+2}} \\ &= ((1-\lambda) + k(1+\lambda)) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} - (1-\lambda)(1-k) \frac{c}{ab} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left(\frac{(1-\lambda) + k(1+\lambda)}{ab} - \frac{(1-\lambda)(1-k)(c-a-b)}{(a-1)_2(b-1)_2} \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{(1-\lambda)(1-k)(c-1)_2}{(a-1)_2(b-1)_2} - \frac{2kc}{ab} \leq \frac{2kc}{|ab|}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (3.2). □

Now, we observe that $G(a, b; c; z) \in \mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ if and only if $zF(a, b; c; z) \in \mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$. Thus, any result of functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$ about zF leads to that of functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$. Hence, we obtain the following analogues to Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $a, b > -1, ab < 0$, and $c > a + b + 2$. Then, $G(a, b; c; z)$ defined by (3.1) is in $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ if and only if*

$$c \geq a + b + 1 - \frac{((1-\lambda) + k(1+\lambda))ab}{2k}.$$

Letting $\lambda = 0$ in Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.3 (i) *If $a, b > -1, c > 0$, and $ab < 0$, then $zF(a, b; c; z)$ is in $\mathcal{S}(k)$ if and only if*

$$c \geq a + b + 1 - \frac{(1+k)ab}{2k}. \tag{3.3}$$

(ii) *If $a, b > 0, c > a + b + 1$, then $F_1(a, b; c; z) = z(2 - F(a, b; c; z))$ is in $\mathcal{S}(k)$ if and only if*

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left(1 + \frac{(1+k)ab}{2k(c-a-b-1)} \right) \leq 2. \tag{3.4}$$

Corollary 3.4 (i) *If $a, b > -1, ab < 0, c > a + b + 2$, then $zF(a, b; c; z)$ is in $\mathcal{C}(k)$ if and only if*

$$(1+k)(a)_2(b)_2 + 2(1+2k)ab(c-a-b-2) + 2k(c-a-b-2)_2 \geq 0. \tag{3.5}$$

(ii) *If $a, b > 0, c > a + b + 2$, then $F_1(a, b; c; z) = z(2 - F(a, b; c; z))$ is in $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ if and only if*

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left(\frac{(1+k)(a)_2(b)_2}{2k(c-a-b-2)_2} + \left(\frac{1+2k}{k} \right) \left(\frac{ab}{c-a-b-1} \right) + 1 \right) \leq 2. \tag{3.6}$$

Corollary 3.5 *Let $a, b > -1, ab < 0$, and $c > \max\{0, a + b\}$. Then, $G(a, b; c; z)$ defined by (3.1) is in $\mathcal{S}(k)$ if and only if*

$$\frac{\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left(\frac{(1+k)}{ab} - \frac{(1-k)(c-a-b)}{(a-1)_2(b-1)_2} \right) + \frac{(1-k)(c-1)_2}{(a-1)_2(b-1)_2} \leq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Let $a, b > -1$, $ab < 0$, and $c > a + b + 2$. Then, $G(a, b; c; z)$ defined by (3.1) is in $\mathcal{C}(k)$ if and only if

$$c \geq a + b + 1 - \frac{(1+k)ab}{2k}.$$

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

References

1. Aouf, M.K., Mostafa, A.O., Zayed, H.M.: Necessity and sufficiency for hypergeometric functions to be in a subclass of analytic functions. *J. Egypt. Math. Soc.* **23**, 476–481 (2015)
2. Carlson, B.C.: Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **15**, 737–745 (2002)
3. Kwon, O.S., Cho, N.E.: Starlike and convex properties for hypergeometric functions. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2008**, 11 (2008). Article ID 159029
4. Mogra, M.L.: On a class of starlike functions in the unit disc I. *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **40**, 159–161 (1976)
5. Mostafa, A.O.: A study on starlike and convex properties for hypergeometric functions. *JIPAM* **10**(3), 1–8 (2009). Art. 87
6. Murugusundaramoorthy, G., Magesh, N.: On certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with hypergeometric functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 494–500 (2011)
7. Owa, S.: On certain classes of univalent functions in the unit disc. *Kyungpook Math. J.* **24**(2), 127–136 (1984)
8. Padmanabhan, K.S.: On certain classes of starlike functions in the unit disc. *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **32**, 89–103 (1968)
9. Seaborn, J.B.: Hypergeometric functions and their applications. In: *Texts in Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 8. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1991)
10. Shukla, N., Shukla, P.: Mapping properties of analytic function defined by hypergeometric function II. *Soochow J. Math.* **25**(1), 29–36 (1999)
11. Silverman, H.: Univalent functions with negative coefficients. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **51**, 109–116 (1975)
12. Silverman, H.: Starlike and convex properties for hypergeometric functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **172**, 574–581 (1993)
13. Swaminathan, A.: Certain sufficient conditions on Gaussian hypergeometric functions. *JIPAM* **5**(4), 1–10 (2004). Art. 83