**ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **Structural health status assessment of a cable‑stayed bridge by means of experimental vibration analysis**

**Paolo Clemente<sup>1</sup> · Giovanni Bongiovanni<sup>1</sup> · Giacomo Bufarini1 · Fernando Saitta[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1013-2512)**

Received: 29 July 2019 / Accepted: 1 October 2019 / Published online: 11 October 2019 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

#### **Abstract**

The results of an experimental dynamic analysis and structural modelling of the Indiano Cable-Stayed Bridge in Florence, Italy, are presented in this paper. Ambient and traffic-induced vibration tests were first carried out. These allowed extracting the dynamic characteristics of the structure in terms of resonance frequencies, modal shapes and damping. The experimental results were used to set up a fnite element model. The geometrical characteristics and the mechanical properties of the materials used in the structural design of the bridge were assumed. The model was then used to evaluate the efects of the static and seismic loads according to the present Italian Technical Code. The results pointed out the good performance of the bridge, even though it had been designed without accounting for the seismic actions.

**Keywords** Cable-stayed bridge · Experimental vibration analysis · Seismic vulnerability · Existing bridges

# **1 Introduction**

Italy, but also other countries in the world, has a large patrimony of bridges. These are masonry arch bridges, concrete bridges and steel bridges of over 50 years. Most of them were built when technical codes were quite diferent from the present codes. Therefore, they were designed by using travelling loads diferent from the present ones. The preservation of these structures is an important issue to guarantee their efficiency, durability and reliability. This can be pursued by means of the defnition of a proper maintenance programme to avoid worse and non-reversible damage due to lack of maintenance.

Probably thanks to a conservative design procedure and reserves of strength, several bridges are still operational on today's road and railway networks. For these, the goal should be the reduction in the maintenance costs and the increase in the safety level. In other cases, a retroft is needed to adequate the structure to the present loads, such as the seismic actions according to the new seismic hazard knowledge.

The classic maintenance on request, which implies a retroftting intervention only if damage is already occurred,

 $\boxtimes$  Paolo Clemente paolo.clemente@enea.it should be avoided. Alternatively, a cyclic preventive maintenance should be done, which aims at preventing any damage and is based on a life cycle assessment of the structure and its component to defne the optimum maintenance period.

Actually, a suitable preventive maintenance should be based on the experimental evaluation of the effective structural health status. This can be done by means of a continuous monitoring, in which the health status of the bridge and its components is continuously checked, or, alternatively, by means of periodic tests on the structure and materials. Obviously, any intervention should be made on the basis of the efective level of damage.

With reference to the adequacy against the seismic actions, the experimental vibration analysis assumes a fundamental role. This allows to fnd out the dynamic characteristics of a structure and to assess its possible dynamic behaviour during seismic events. On the other hand, the experimental vibration analysis allows to gain experience on the dynamic behaviour of bridges, in general, useful for the future design and analyses.

With particular reference to cable-stayed bridges, several examples of previous experimental vibration analysis can be found in the literature. Whenever possible, low-amplitude tests are used to verify the results of analytical studies. Relevant examples of dynamic testing were already carried out on bridges some decades ago. Among the most interesting experiences, it is worth reminding the studies on the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ENEA, Casaccia Research Centre, Via Anguillarese 301, 00123 Rome, Italy

Adamillo Cable-Stayed Bridge in Sevilla [[1\]](#page-13-0), the Pedestrian Bridge in Burlington, Vermont [\[2](#page-13-1)], the Tampico Bridge in Mexico [\[3](#page-13-2)] and the Garigliano Cable-Stayed Bridge in Italy [[4\]](#page-13-3). The vibrations due to road roughness were analysed by Wang and Huang [[5](#page-13-4)]. Dynamic identifcations of cablestayed bridges for seismic analysis purposes were reported in [[6,](#page-13-5) [7\]](#page-13-6).

More recently, the operational modal analysis (OMA) using diferent identifcation techniques for a cable-stayed bridge was proposed by Benedettini and Gentile [\[8](#page-13-7)], together with the fnite element modelling and tuning. Magalhães et al. [[9](#page-13-8)] presented the ambient and free vibration analyses of one of the most interesting bridges of the last decades, the Millau Viaduct, and highlighted the importance of modal identifcation at commissioning stage and comparison with models used in the design. The Sutong Cable-Stayed Bridge, which is the second longest cable-stayed bridge in the world, was investigated by Mao et al. [[10](#page-13-9)] in the framework of longterm monitoring, showing variability of the properties associated with traffic and temperature. For the longest cablestayed bridge, the Russky Bridge in Vladivostok, Syrkov and Krutikov [[11\]](#page-13-10) performed a risk analysis based on a design 80-year life cycle, which allowed designing a suitable monitoring system of the structure. The life duration was based on the estimates of cable system durability. A state of the art of Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) of cable-stayed bridges is presented in **[**[12](#page-13-11)].

The Indiano Cable-Stayed Bridge in Florence, Italy, is the subject of this paper. It was designed in the 1970s of the last century, when the site of the bridge was not classifed as seismic area. Therefore, the structural design did not account for the seismic actions. It was opened to the traffic in 1977. The bridge was tested by recording ambient and traffic-induced vibrations, on the basis of which a finite element model was set up. This allowed a check of the bridges under the present static and dynamic loads according to the present Italian Technical Code.

After the experimental analysis, the bridge was retroftted. This intervention interested the girder bearings and did not change the global dynamic characteristics of the structure. Therefore, the results obtained can be considered still valid for a static and seismic check of the bridge.

### **2 The Indiano Cable‑Stayed Bridge**

A general view of the Indiano Cable-Stayed Bridge, over the Arno River in Florence, is shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-0). The longitudinal section and the plan view with the general dimensions of the bridge are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0)

The main span is 189.1 m long. It is suspended by two fans, each one composed of three couples of stays, radiating from the tops of the two steel towers (Fig. [3\)](#page-2-1), and is supported at its ends by two piers by means of sliding supports. The piers are structurally independent of the other parts of the cable-stayed bridge.

Cables are longitudinally regularly spread of 30.5 m along the deck, but the longitudinal distance between the anchorages of the central cables is only 6.1 m. The stays of each pair are 3.0 m spaced from the centre line of the girder; therefore, their contribution to the global torsional stifness is very low. Two earth anchored back stays start from the top of each tower and are constrained to an external gravity anchoring, 65.5 m from the pylon bases. Each stay is composed of three cables (Fig. [4](#page-2-2)).

The pylons have steel box cross section and a height of about 55.0 m from the ground. They are fully constrained at their foundations, which are founded on large piles, and linked to the foundation of the anchor stays by means of a pre-stressed concrete truss, which is supposed to support the horizontal component of the tower reaction force.

With reference to the cross section, the main span can be divided into three portions. In the central one (zone M in Fig. [2](#page-2-0)), whose length is 128.1 m, two boxes spaced of 6.0 m



**Fig. 1** The Indiano Cable-Stayed Bridge, Florence, Italy

<span id="page-1-0"></span>



**\*XXXXX** 

⊦Đօ

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 2** General dimensions of the bridge and sensor layout

Ð



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Fig. 3** Cables fanning from one of the steel pylons (view from the walkway)

<span id="page-2-2"></span>

**Fig. 4** One of the anchor stays, composed of two sets of three cables, with its external anchorage



<span id="page-2-3"></span>**Fig. 5** Cross section of the girder

Ð2

compose the cross section (Fig. [5](#page-2-3)). They are linked to one another, at the upper and lower levels, by means of truss structures. The boxes have a width of 4.0 m and a height varying from 2.6 to 1.6 m. Cantilever beams start from the boxes to support the external portion of the road. In the two zones near the ends (zones L and R in Fig. [2](#page-2-0)), the cross section becomes a three-box cross section. In both cases, the beam has a high torsional stifness.

A walkway for pedestrians and bicyclists is suspended to the girder (Fig. [6\)](#page-3-0). Due to its very high deformability, its contribution in the dynamic behaviour of the bridge is negligible.

Parallel wires  $(Ø7)$  stay cables with steel tensile strength of 1700 MPa were used, whereas the tensile strength of the steel plates used for girder and tower boxes was 520 MPa. Finally, 420 MPa strength steel was adopted for ribs and trusses.

The bridge was designed according to the technical code of the construction time.

# **3 Experimental vibration analysis**

The experimental vibration analysis was carried out by using seismometers connected to an acquisition system. These were deployed in diferent confgurations in order to analyse



**Fig. 6** The walkway for pedestrians and bicyclists suspended to the girder

<span id="page-3-0"></span>the vertical, longitudinal and transversal vibrations. The acquisition system allowed also analysing the recordings in real time, so it was possible to perform a preliminary analysis of the experimental data during the experimental phase. The experimental analysis allowed to fnd out the vibration amplitudes under traffic loads and to get the dynamic characteristics of the bridge.

Seismometers were deployed as follows (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)):

- Two sensors were at the base of the right tower (NE tower), in the longitudinal  $(S_{0L})$  and transversal direction  $(S_{0T})$ , respectively,
- one sensor was deployed at the top of the left tower (SW tower) in the longitudinal direction  $(T_{1L})$ ,
- two sensors were at the top of the right tower, in the longitudinal  $(T_{2L})$  and transversal direction  $(T_{2T})$ , respectively,
- other sensors were deployed on the girder in the vertical, transversal or longitudinal directions. They were positioned eccentrically with respect to the longitudinal axis. In detail, they were deployed at the middle  $(D_0 \text{ and } D'_0)$ , at the left (SW) end of the girder central portion  $(D_1)$  and at the right (NE) end of the girder central portion  $(D_2)$  and  $D'_{2}$ ).

Several time histories lasting 64 s were recorded for each confguration to show repeatability of the vibrational characteristics and to get average values of the characteristics.

During the test, the temperature was about 10  $\degree$ C with very low variability around this value. The maximum wind speed was of only 20 km/h. Due to the low values of the speed, its infuence on the structural behaviour was scarce.

#### **3.1 Frequency domain analysis**

The recorded data were analysed in the frequency domain, by plotting the power spectral densities (PSDs) of all the records and cross-spectral densities (CSDs) for all the significant couples of locations. The following resonance frequencies were found, associated with the described deformed shapes, which will be compared with those obtained from the mathematical model.

- Peaks at 0.61 Hz are clearly identifable in the spectra of the records obtained at the top of the towers in the longitudinal direction (Fig. [7,](#page-3-1)  $T_{1L}$  and  $T_{2L}$ ) and in those of the deck in the vertical direction (Fig.  $8, D_{1V}$  and  $D_{0V}$ ). From the CSDs, one can see that the records at the top of the towers in the longitudinal direction ( $T_{1L}$  and  $T_{2L}$ ) are 180° out of phase (Fig. [9](#page-4-1)) and all the records at the deck in the vertical direction  $(D_{1V}, D_{0V}$  and  $D_{2V}$ ) are in phase (Fig. [10\)](#page-5-0). Furthermore, the record at the top of tower 2 in the longitudinal direction and that at the mid-span of the deck in the vertical direction ( $T_{2L}$  and  $D_{0V}$ , respectively) are in phase (Fig. [11](#page-5-1)). Therefore, the associated modal shape is symmetric around the mid-span, and when the towers move outwards, the deck moves upwards (see Fig. [23](#page-12-0), mode 1). It is worth reminding that the same resonance frequency was estimated at the end of construction by a free vibration test. The bridge was excited by dropping a suspended mass of 800 kNs<sup>2</sup>/m from the middle of the span [[13\]](#page-13-12).
- The spectrum of records at the deck in the longitudinal direction (D'<sub>OL</sub>) shows a very apparent peak at 0.81 Hz. This frequency is present also in the spectra of the records at the top of the towers, as demonstrated by the cross-spectrum  $T_{2L}$ – $D_{0L}$  (Fig. [12](#page-5-2)). As a result, the modal



<span id="page-3-1"></span>

8.0E+00 1.0E+01

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 8** PSDs of records at the deck in the vertical direction



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 9** CSDs of records in the longitudinal direction at the top of the towers

shape associated with this frequency consists in the longitudinal movement of tower and deck (see Fig. [23](#page-12-0), mode 2).

- Peaks at frequency 0.87 Hz can be identifed in the spectra of the deck records in the transversal direction  $(D_{1T},$  $D_{0T}$  and  $D_{2T}$ , Fig. [13\)](#page-6-0). These are in phase (Fig. [14](#page-6-1)) and the corresponding modal shape (see Fig. [23](#page-12-0), mode 3) is the frst mode in the transversal direction of the girder. The towers do not contribute to this mode.
- Peaks at frequencies 1.04 and 1.15 Hz are apparent in the spectra of records in the transversal direction at the top of the tower 2 (Fig.  $15, T_{2T}$ ). The deck does not contribute to these modes (see Fig. [23,](#page-12-0) modes 4 and 5). Records of sensors  $D_{0V}$  and  $D'_{0V}$  are 180° out of phase at 1.15 Hz.

– The spectra of the records in the longitudinal direction at the top of the towers show peaks at 1.41 Hz (Fig. [7,](#page-3-1)  $T_{\text{H}}$  and  $T_{\text{2L}}$ ). The signals are in phase at this frequency (Fig. [9](#page-4-1)). Low peaks are also visible in the spectra of the vertical records at the girder (Fig. [8](#page-4-0)).

01234

**Freq. (Hz)**

0.0E+00 5.0E-05 1.0E-04 1.5E-04 2.0E-04 2.5E-04 3.0E-04

**PSD (cm/s)^2/Hz**

PSD (cm/s)^2/Hz

 $D_{1V}$ 

- At frequency 1.61 Hz,  $T_{1L}$  and  $T_{2L}$  are 180° out of phase (Fig. [9\)](#page-4-1); therefore, the towers move anti-symmetrically around the mid-span.  $D_{1V}$  and  $D_{2V}$  are in phase at this frequency, while  $D_{0V}$  and  $D_{2V}$  are 180° out of phase. As a result, the girder shaped symmetrically with three half waves (see Fig. [23](#page-12-0), mode 7).
- At frequency 2.25 Hz,  $T_{1L}$  and  $T_{2L}$  are in phase (Fig. [9\)](#page-4-1) and  $D_{1V}$  and  $D_{2V}$  180° out of phase (Fig. [10](#page-5-0)). A two-wave modal shape is associated with this frequency. In this case also, the towers take part in the mode (see Fig. [23,](#page-12-0) mode 8).
- The second transversal mode of the girder is associated with the frequency 2.61 Hz, as pointed out by the sensors in the transversal direction on the deck (Fig. [13\)](#page-6-0) (see Fig. [23,](#page-12-0) mode 9).

In Table [1](#page-7-0), the resonance frequencies individualized for each sensor location are listed. The equivalent viscous damping ratios, estimated by using the half power bandwidth method, are also summarized in Table [3.](#page-9-0) The values of damping obtained were quite high in comparison with the usual ones for cable-stayed bridges. This was attributed to the presence of several sources of damping in joints and bearings at the time of the experimental campaign. It is restated herein that damping due to sliding supports increases for oscillation with very small amplitude [[14\]](#page-13-13).

#### **3.2 Time domain analysis**

The recorded velocities were then integrated in the frequency domain to get corrected displacement time histories.

In Fig. [16,](#page-7-1) the displacement time histories recorded at the mid-span of the deck, in the transversal and longitudinal direction, respectively, are plotted. The corresponding particle motion is plotted in Fig. [17](#page-7-2) together with the angle distribution. As one can see, the motion occurs in the transversal direction, preferentially. Anyway, the displacements

 $D_{0V}$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 11** CSDs of records in the longitudinal direction at the top of the tower 1 and in the vertical direction in the mid-span of the deck

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Fig. 12** CSDs of records at the top of the tower 2 and the mid-span of the deck in the longitudinal direction

in the longitudinal direction are of the same order. This is certainly one of the characteristics of the dynamic behaviour of the Indiano Bridge, i.e., the presence of high-amplitude longitudinal vibrations. The absence of longitudinal restraints is usual in cable-stayed bridges, but in this case the above occurrence is amplifed because of the absence

 $\circled{2}$  Springer

of the side cable-stayed spans and because the system is not self-anchored.

Analogously, in Fig. [18](#page-7-3) the displacement time histories recorded at the top of the NE tower are plotted, in the transversal and longitudinal direction, respectively, and the corresponding particle motion is plotted in Fig. [19](#page-8-0) together

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 13** PSDs of records at the deck in the transversal direction





<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 14** CSDs of records of the deck in the transversal direction



<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Fig. 15** PSD of record at the top of the tower 2 in the transversal direction

with the angle distribution. In this case also, the motion in the transversal direction is higher than in the longitudinal direction.

# **3.3 Free‑feld noise measurements**

Free-feld noise measurements were carried out around the bridge to assess possible efect of the site conditions on the dynamic response of the bridge. Three seismometers were kept at the basement of the right tower in the longitudinal, transversal and vertical direction  $(S_{0L}, S_{0T}, S_{0V})$ . Three other sensors, arranged in the same directions, were deployed, in different times, at three different positions, about 50  $(S<sub>H</sub>)$ ,  $S_{1T}$ ,  $S_{1V}$ ), 100 ( $S_{2L}$ ,  $S_{2T}$ ,  $S_{2V}$ ) and 200 m ( $S_{3L}$ ,  $S_{3T}$ ,  $S_{3V}$ ) away from the right tower of the bridge, in a direction perpendicular to the longitudinal direction of the bridge.

For each confguration, several tests lasting 64 s were carried out. Recorded data were analysed in the frequency domain. Some of the natural frequencies of the bridge appear in the PSD ratios between the horizontal and vertical component  $[15]$  $[15]$  at each position, for a few records. This was probably due to the diferent excitation level from the bridge traffic.

The analysis pointed out the presence of two peaks at frequencies below 0.5 Hz in the horizontal to vertical component spectral ratios as well as in the ratios between the PSDs of the same components at diferent positions. These are common values for alluvium soil. Therefore, there is not relationship between the behaviour of the soil and the natural frequencies of the bridge.

## **4 Finite element model**

A fnite element model of the bridge was developed using MIDAS GEN  $\odot$  code (Fig. [20](#page-8-1)). The geometrical and mechanical characteristics of the bridge were deduced from the structural design drawings obtained via the Technical Office of the City Hall in Florence  $[16]$  $[16]$ .

The towers have been considered fully constrained at their basements. The girder has been considered simply supported

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 16** Contemporary displacement time histories at the deck mid-span in the **a** transversal and **b** longitudinal direction

<span id="page-7-2"></span>

<span id="page-7-3"></span>**Fig. 18** Contemporary displacement time histories at the top of the right (NE) tower



<span id="page-8-0"></span>

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 20** Finite element model of the bridge

at its ends, where longitudinal displacements are allowed but not the transversal and vertical displacements nor torsional rotations.

The girder and the pylons have been modelled by using steel beam elements, with a Young's modulus  $E = 210,000$  MPa. Rigid limbs have been offset from both the girder and the towers, in order to accommodate correctly the cable attachments. The bending and torsional stifness of the girder are variable along the span. Therefore, the girder has been divided into three portions; in each of them, the geometrical characteristics have been assumed to be constant and equal to the average values.

The geometrical characteristics of the towers change along their axis. The geometrical characteristics, used to develop the mathematical model, are listed in Table [2](#page-8-2) for the deck and in Table [3](#page-9-0) for the pylons in which:

- *A* is the cross-sectional area,
- $I_r$  and  $I_v$  are the second moments, relative to the transversal axes of the cross section in the local reference systems (*z* is the longitudinal axis in both local systems),
- *J* is the torsion factor.

<span id="page-8-2"></span>**Table 2** Geometrical properties and Young's modulus of the girder beam elements

| $\Delta z$                    | $A(m^2)$ | $I_{r}(m^4)$ | $I_{v}(m^4)$ | $J(m^4)$ |
|-------------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| $0 < z < 30.5$ m              | 0.8637   | 0.7554       | 24.29        | 1.825    |
| $30.5 < z < 168.6$ m          | 0.8427   | 0.6753       | 24.29        | 1.136    |
| $168.6 < z < 189.1 \text{ m}$ | 0.8637   | 0.7554       | 24.29        | 1.825    |

Cables have been modelled as truss elements. The reduction in their axial stifness due to the sag has been accounted for assuming equivalent reduced Young's modules  $[17, 18]$  $[17, 18]$ . If  $\sigma$  is the stress under dead loads and  $\Delta \sigma$  the increment in stress due to travelling loads, the tension parameter can be defned as:

$$
k = 1 + \frac{\Delta \sigma}{\sigma}
$$

and the secant equivalent modulus in the stress path from  $\sigma$ to  $\sigma + \Delta \sigma$  is:

$$
E_s^* = \frac{E}{1 + \varphi^2 \left(\frac{1}{24} + \frac{E}{\sigma} \cdot \frac{k+1}{\varphi^2 + 24k^2}\right)}
$$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Table 3** Geometrical properties and Young's modulus of the tower beam elements

| $\Delta z$          | $A(m^2)$ | $I_{r}(m^4)$ | $I_{v}(m^{4})$ | $J(m^4)$ |
|---------------------|----------|--------------|----------------|----------|
| $0 < z < 12.7$ m    | 0.4782   | 0.4453       | 1.075          | 0.8872   |
| $12.7 < z < 25.5$ m | 0.4208   | 0.3795       | 0.6373         | 0.6771   |
| $25.5 < z < 35.5$ m | 0.3549   | 0.3050       | 0.3557         | 0.4790   |
| $35.5 < z < 48.3$ m | 0.5632   | 0.3951       | 0.4615         | 0.6582   |

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Table 4** Cross-sectional areas and apparent moduli of the cables



where  $\varphi = \gamma \lambda / \sigma$  is a cable shape parameter in which  $\gamma$ and  $\lambda$  are the weight per unit volume and the cable span, respectively. Putting  $k = 1$ , we deduce the tangent modulus  $E_t^*$  under dead loads. In Table [4](#page-9-1), the cross-sectional areas and the defned tangent modules of the cables are provided.

#### **4.1 Modal analysis**

The mass of the girder  $(=140 \text{ kNs}^2/\text{m}^2)$  has been increased by 10% to account for the vehicles and additional masses, which were present during the tests. The resulting distributed mass of  $154 \text{ kNs}^2/\text{m}^2$  and the mass inertia around *z*-axis of 4020 kNs<sup>2</sup>/m have been lumped at the girder nodes. The increment in loads being very low, the tangent modules  $E_t^*$ have been considered for the cables.

The modal analysis resulted in the resonance frequencies listed in Table [5.](#page-9-2) The corresponding frst nine modal shapes are plotted in Fig. [21](#page-10-0). The modal shapes of the numerical model and the corresponding frequencies are quite similar to the experimental ones. Discrepancies have been found only in the frst resonance frequencies corresponding to the transversal and longitudinal motions of the deck. These discrepancies can be endorsed to a non-exact estimation of the distribution of the stifness and masses. Furthermore, the numerical model pointed out other resonance frequencies. These would be associated with torsional movements of the deck, but were not excited during the tests.

# **5 The efects of permanent and live static loads**

The static analysis was carried out. First, the effects of the structural self-weight  $W_1$  and the other permanent loads  $W_2$ were calculated. Then, the following live load conditions were considered, in accordance with the Italian Technical Code [\[19](#page-14-4)]:

- C1. One or more lanes, 3 m wide, each of which includes two concentrated loads  $Q_{ik}$  and a distributed load  $q_{ik}$ (Fig. [22\)](#page-11-0), deployed in order to maximize the effects in the girder, according to the following rules:
	- Lane 1 with  $Q_{1k}$  = 300 kN and  $q_{1k}$  = 9.00 kN/m<sup>2</sup>.
	- Lane 2 with  $Q_{2k} = 200$  kN and  $q_{2k} = 2.50$  kN/m<sup>2</sup>.
	- Lane 3 with  $Q_{3k} = 100$  kN and  $q_{3k} = 2.50$  kN/m<sup>2</sup>.
	- Lane 4 with only  $q_{4k} = 2.50 \text{ kN/m}^2$ .

 The remaining areas, as well as the pedestrian suspended walkway, were loaded with  $q_{\text{rk}} = 2.50 \text{ kN/m}^2$ . In practice, the two cases of lanes 1 and 2 and lanes 1 to 4 were considered.

The positions of  $Q_{1k}$  and  $q_{1k}$  vary according to the infuence line of the characteristic to maximize. In Fig. [22,](#page-11-0) the load conditions that maximize the most

<span id="page-9-2"></span>







<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 21** Modal shapes

important displacement components and internal forces are shown. These are the vertical, longitudinal and transversal displacements of the girder ( $U_{\text{DV}}$ ,  $U_{\text{DL}}$ ) and  $U<sub>DT</sub>$ , respectively), the longitudinal displacement of the towers  $(U_{\text{TL}})$ , the axial force in the tower  $(V_{\text{TL}})$ and the bending moment  $M<sub>x</sub>$  in the girder.

- C2. A longitudinal load, which simulates a braking column of vehicles, whose resultant is equal to  $q_3 = 0.6 \cdot 2Q_{1k} + 0.10 \cdot q_{1k}w_1L$  (with  $q_{1k} = 9.00$  kN/  $m^2$ ,  $w_1 = 3.00$  m, *L* is the length of the travelling load  $q_{1k}$  and  $180 \leq q_3 \leq 900$  kN). This load is assumed uniformly distributed along the loaded length *L*.
- C3. A transversal wind load  $q_5 = 1.63$  kN/m<sup>2</sup>, which corresponds to a mean wind speed of about 100 km/h, acting on the entire span of the bridge in the presence of a vehicle column of 3.00 m height. Obviously a correct analysis of wind action would require a more detailed study to account for the dynamic efects. The maxi-

mum transversal displacement of the girder  $(U_{DT})$  and the maximum bending moment  $M_{v}$  in the girder are expected from this load condition.

The effects, in terms of stresses and displacements in selected elements and sections, due to all these static loads, acting separately with their defined characteristic values, were calculated. In this case, the secant elastic modules for the cables, previously defned and evaluated for each load condition, were used. The results are reported in Table [6](#page-11-1) together with the corresponding values under permanent loads.

# **6 Seismic analysis**

The results of the static analysis were compared with the efects due to the seismic actions. According to the Italian Technical Code, the seismic hazard is described by means



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 22** Longitudinal and transversal load distributions

of the elastic response spectra at the site, defned for three values of the exceedance probability in 50 years,  $P_{NCR,50}$ . The minimum value  $P_{NCR,50} = 2\%$  is obviously related to our knowledge about the historical seismicity.

The spectra are defned by means of three parameters:

- The horizontal maximum acceleration  $a_{\rm g}$  on rigid soil (type A),
- the structural amplification factor  $F_0$ , which is the ratio between the maximum value and the value at  $T=0$ ,
- the value of the period *T*<sup>∗</sup> *<sup>C</sup>* corresponding to the end of the maximum constant acceleration range.

For the site of the Indiano Bridge, these are plotted in Fig. [23](#page-12-0) versus  $P_{NCR,50}$ . The seismic local amplification is accounted for a soil amplifcation factor *S*, which is a function of the soil characteristics and of the acceleration. The soil can be assimilated to a soil type C. The corresponding elastic spectra for significant values of  $P<sub>NCR,50</sub>$  are plotted in Fig. [24.](#page-12-1)

Seismic response spectrum analyses were carried out, in which the three following combinations of the three components of the seismic action were considered  $(L =$ longitudinal,  $T=transversal$ ,  $V=vertical$ :



<span id="page-11-1"></span>**Table 6** Maximum displacement components and internal forces due to the characteristic values of the permanent and service live loads (displacements and torsion rotation  $\theta_{\rm D}$  of the deck are evaluated at the mid-span section, torsion moment at the end section)

| Parameter              | Permanent loads | Load C1  | Load C <sub>2</sub><br>$(L = 189.1 \text{ m})$ | Load C <sub>3</sub> |
|------------------------|-----------------|----------|--|---------------------|
| $U_{\rm DV}$ (mm)      | 5               | 395.0    |  |                     |
| $U_{\text{DL}}$ (mm)   | 6               | 56.3     | 19.4   |                     |
| $U_{\text{DT}}$ (mm)   |                 |          |  | 32                  |
| $U_{TT}$ (mm)          |                 |          |  | 51                  |
| $U_{\text{TT}}$ (mm)   | 1               | 74.9     | 6.4  |                     |
| $\theta_{\rm D}$ (rad) |                 | 0.0082   |  | 0.0013              |
| $M_{\rm{v}}$ (kNm)     | 10,198          | 34,532.8 | 3046.1   |                     |
| $M_{v}$ (kNm)          |                 |          |  | 35,327              |
| $M_{\rm z}$ (kNm)      |                 | 16,917.2 |  | 5296.3              |
| $S_0$ (kN)             | 13,009.7        | 5685.9   | 528.0  | 199.3               |
| $S_1$ (kN)             | 4196.8          | 2494.9   | 40.6   | 64.2                |
| $S_2$ (kN)             | 5122.5          | 2409.6   | 114.4  | 13.8                |
| $S_3(kN)$              | 4243.7          | 2427.3   | 319.83   | 34.6                |
| $V_{\rm T}$ (kN)       | 30,583.0        | 12,684.9 | 969.4  | 0.07                |

 $E_{\rm L}$  + 0.3 ⋅  $E_{\rm T}$  + 0.3 ⋅  $E_{\rm V}$  0.3 ⋅  $E_{\rm L}$  +  $E_{\rm T}$  + 0.3 ⋅  $E_{\rm V}$  $0.3 \cdot E_{\text{L}} + 0.3 \cdot E_{\text{T}} + E_{\text{V}}$ 



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 23** Hazard parameters for the Indiano Bridge site

For each combination, the efects of the vibration modes have been added according to the complete quadratic combination (CQC) rule. The results obtained for the three values of  $P_{NRC,50}$  are reported in Table [7](#page-12-2).

## **6.1 Comparison between the static and seismic load conditions**

The comparison between Tables [6](#page-11-1) and [7](#page-12-2) points out the following considerations.

With reference to the static analysis, the load conditions C1 give the maximum values for the vertical  $(U_{\text{DV}})$ and longitudinal displacements ( $U_{\text{DL}}$  and  $U_{\text{TL}}$ ) and also for the bending moment  $M_x$  *and* the torsion moment  $M_z$  in the girded, the axial force in the towers  $(V_T)$  and the forces in all the stays  $(S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3)$ . The longitudinal displacement due to load condition C2 is lower than that of load condition C1. The load condition C3, related to the wind action, gives the maximum values for the transversal displacements  $(U_{DT}$  and  $U_{TT}$ ) and the bending moment  $M_{y}$ .

Considering the seismic action with  $P_{NRC,50} = 10\%$ , the transversal displacements of the deck and the tower  $(U_{DT}$ and  $U_{TT}$ , respectively) and the bending moment  $M_{v}$  in the deck are higher than those due to the wind loading. For higher value of  $P_{NRC,50}$ , also the longitudinal displacement of the deck  $U_{\text{DL}}$  becomes more severe than that due to static loads.

With reference to the cables, the major axial forces are induced by the seismic combination in which the vertical seismic action is prevalent. Anyway, the stresses under seismic actions are always lower than those due to travelling loads (load C1). The maximum value due to seismic actions occurs in cable S1, in which for the exceedance probability of 2% the force is about 35% of the forces under permanent loads.





<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Fig. 24** Horizontal and vertical elastic response spectra at the Indiano Bridge site

<span id="page-12-2"></span>**Table 7** Effects of the seismic action for different  $P_{\text{NRC}}$  (displacements and torsion rotation  $\theta_{\rm D}$  of the deck are evaluated at the midspan section, torsion moment at the end section)

| Parameter              | $P_{\text{NRC},50}$ = 10% | $P_{\text{NRC},50} = 5\%$ | $P_{\text{NRC},50} = 2\%$ |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| $U_{\text{DV}}$ (mm)   | 72.4                      | 92.5                      | 117.0                     |
| $U_{\text{DL}}$ (mm)   | 45.0                      | 57.5                      | 72.6                      |
| $U_{\text{DT}}$ (mm)   | 89.5                      | 114.4                     | 144.4                     |
| $U_{TT}$ (mm)          | 53.7                      | 68.7                      | 86.7                      |
| $U_{\text{TT}}$ (mm)   | 21.6                      | 27.6                      | 34.9                      |
| $\theta_{\rm D}$ (rad) | 0.003                     | 0.004                     | 0.0053                    |
| $M_{\rm r}$ (kNm)      | 5658.5                    | 7229.2                    | 18,275.2                  |
| $M_{v}$ (kNm)          | 106,866.6                 | 136,543.3                 | 172,385.9                 |
| $M_{\rm z}$ (kNm)      | 2530.8                    | 3233.5                    | 4081.0                    |
| $S_0$ (kN)             | 581.5                     | 743.0                     | 939.7                     |
| $S_1$ (kN)             | 908.4                     | 1160.6                    | 1467.3                    |
| $S_2(kN)$              | 661.5                     | 845.1                     | 1069.0                    |
| $S_3$ (kN)             | 734.94                    | 939.05                    | 1187.4                    |
| $V_{\rm T}$ (kN)       | 3699.3                    | 4726.5                    | 5978.0                    |

It is worth reminding that the effects of the seismic actions reported in Table [7](#page-12-2) were calculated with reference to the elastic spectra, i.e., assuming a behaviour factor unitary for the bridge. This is certainly on the safe side but could be justifed by the generally low ductility of the cables and also by the presence of structural elements subject to compression axial forces, such as the towers. Instead, the efects due to the static loads were evaluated with reference to the service loads (characteristic values). They should be amplifed by means of the partial load factors (usually varying up to 1.5) to get the corresponding values at the ultimate limit state.

Furthermore, the seismic check of a bridge is usually carried out in the absence of other variable loads. Therefore, on the basis of the values shown in Tables [6](#page-11-1) and [7](#page-12-2) and of these latest considerations, one can state that the total internal forces in the presence of seismic actions with exceedance probability of 2% are lower than those in the presence of the other variable loads, except for the bending moment  $M_{\nu}$ , which is much higher than that due to the wind.

# **7 Conclusions**

The Indiano Cable-Stayed Bridge, which was designed when Florence was not classifed as seismic area, has been studied by means of experimental vibration analysis. The recordings have been analysed both in time and frequency domain. The dynamic characteristics of the structure and the efects of the ambient and traffic-induced vibrations have been pointed out. The results have been also used to set up a fnite element model of the bridge. This has been used to analyse the structural behaviour under static and seismic loadings according to the present Italian Technical Code.

The results can be summarized as follows:

- Several resonance frequencies are clearly identified from the observed dynamic response data and also from modal analyses using a mathematical model. The modal shapes inferred from observed data are very similar to those obtained from modal analyses using the mathematical model. The diferences can be attributed to the presence of diferent masses during the tests.
- Traffic-induced vibration amplitudes, both in vertical and in longitudinal directions, are very high, so that to determine frequent needs of maintenance works, the structure shows relevant displacements also in the longitudinal direction, due to motion of the towers.
- Values of estimated structural damping are very high compared with those determined for cable bridges. This occurrence is related to the presence of several sources of damping (friction) in joints and in bearings.

• The comparison between the effects of the seismic actions and those of the static loads pointed out in general the good performance of the bridge, with a major efect of seismic action related to the lateral defection of the deck, which is much more relevant with respect to static wind.

## **References**

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>1. Casas JR (1995) Full-scale dynamic testing of the Adamillo cable-stayed bridge in Sevilla (Spain). Earthq Eng Struct Dyn 24(1):35–51. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eqe.4290240104>
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>2. Gardner-Morse MG, Huston DR (1993) Modal identification of cable-stayed pedestrian bridge. J Struct Eng 119(11):3384–3404. https [://doi.org/10.1061/](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9445(1993)119%3A11(3384)) [\(ASCE\)0733-9445\(1993\)119%3A11\(3384\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9445(1993)119%3A11(3384))
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>3. Murià-Vila D, Gomez R, King C (1991) Dynamic structural properties of cable-stayed Tampico bridge. J Struct Eng 117(11):3396–3416. [https://doi.org/10.1061/](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9445(1991)117%3A11(3396)) [\(ASCE\)0733-9445\(1991\)117%3A11\(3396\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9445(1991)117%3A11(3396))
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>4. Clemente P, Marulo S, Lecce L, Bifulco A (1998) Experimental modal analysis of the Garigliano cable-stayed bridge. Soil Dyn Earthq Eng 17(7–8):485–493. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0267](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0267-7261(98)00022-0) [-7261\(98\)00022-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0267-7261(98)00022-0)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>5. Wang TL, Huang D (1992) Cable-stayed bridge vibration due to road surface roughness. J Struct Eng 118(5):1354–1374. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9445(1992)118%3A5(1354)) [doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9445\(1992\)118%3A5\(1354\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9445(1992)118%3A5(1354))
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>6. Hao Q, Jufeng S, Pingming H (2017) Study on dynamic characteristics and seismic response of the extradosed cable-stayed bridge with single pylon and single cable plane. J Civil Struct Health Monit 7:589.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13349-017-0243-6>
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>7. Elkady AZ, Seleemah MA, Ansari F (2018) Structural response of a cable-stayed bridge subjected to lateral seismic excitations. J Civil Struct Health Monit 8:417. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1334](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13349-018-0282-7) [9-018-0282-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13349-018-0282-7)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>8. Benedettini F, Gentile C (2011) Operational modal testing and FE model tuning of a cable-stayed bridge. Eng Struct 33(6):2063– 2073. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2011.02.046>
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>9. Magalhães F, Caetano E, Cunha A, Flamand O, Grillaud G (2012) Ambient and free vibration tests of the Millau Viaduct: evaluation of alternative processing strategies. Eng Struct 45:372–384. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2012.06.038) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2012.06.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2012.06.038)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>10. Mao JX, Wang H, Feng DM, Tao TY, Zheng WZ (2018) Investigation of dynamic properties of long-span cable-stayed bridges based on one-year monitoring data under normal operating condition. Struct Control Health Monit 25(5):1–19. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/stc.2146) [org/10.1002/stc.2146](https://doi.org/10.1002/stc.2146)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>11. Syrkov AV, Krutikov OV (2014) Lifecycle optimization for Vladivostok-Russky isle bridge by means of risk analysis and monitoring. Autom Remote Control 75(12):2217–2224. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1134/S000511791412011X) [doi.org/10.1134/S000511791412011X](https://doi.org/10.1134/S000511791412011X)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>12. Li H, Ou J (2016) The state of the art in structural health monitoring of cable-stayed bridges. J Civil Struct Health Monit 6:43–67. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13349-015-0115-x>
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>13. Augusti G, Chiarugi A, Vignoli A (1979) Analisi sperimentale di un ponte strallato. In: Proc. of the 7th Italian conference on steel structures (Torino 1979), C.T.A. Milano **(in Italian)**
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>14. Kawashima K, Unjoh S, Tunomoto M (1993) Estimation of damping ratio of cable-stayed bridges for seismic design. J Struct Eng 119(4):1015–1031. [https://doi.org/10.1061/](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)0733-9445(1993)119%3a4(1015)) [\(asce\)0733-9445\(1993\)119%3a4\(1015\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)0733-9445(1993)119%3a4(1015))
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>15. Nakamura Y (1989) A method for dynamic characteristics estimation of subsurface using microtremor on the ground surface. QR RTRI 30(1):25–33
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>16. Clemente P, Celebi M, Bongiovanni G, Rinaldis D (2004) Seismic analysis of the Indiano cable-stayed bridge. In: Proc. of the 13th world conference on earthquake engineering (13WCEE, Vancouver, 1–6 August), Paper No 3296, IAEE & CAEE, Mira Digital Publishing, Saint Louis
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>17. Clemente P, D'Apuzzo M. Analisi del modello generalizzato di ponte strallato" Fondazione Politecnica per il Mezzogiorno d"Italia, Giannini Napoli, 1990, No 162 **(in Italian)**
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>18. D'Apuzzo M, Clemente P (1990) Progetto preliminare dei ponti strallati. Autostrade Autostrade-Italstat Roma 3:70–78 **(in Italian)**
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>19. NTC-2018 (2018) Norme tecniche per le costruzioni, DM MIT 17.01.2018, Supplemento ordinario alla Gazzetta Ufficiale n. 42 of February 20, 2018 - Serie generale

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.