

Nitrogen dynamics in managed boreal forests: Recent advances and future research directions

Ryan A. Sponseller, Michael J. Gundale, Martyn Futter, Eva Ring,
Annika Nordin, Torgny Näsholm, Hjalmar Laudon

Abstract Nitrogen (N) availability plays multiple roles in the boreal landscape, as a limiting nutrient to forest growth, determinant of terrestrial biodiversity, and agent of eutrophication in aquatic ecosystems. We review existing research on forest N dynamics in northern landscapes and address the effects of management and environmental change on internal cycling and export. Current research foci include resolving the nutritional importance of different N forms to trees and establishing how tree–mycorrhizal relationships influence N limitation. In addition, understanding how forest responses to external N inputs are mediated by above- and belowground ecosystem compartments remains an important challenge. Finally, forestry generates a mosaic of successional patches in managed forest landscapes, with differing levels of N input, biological demand, and hydrological loss. The balance among these processes influences the temporal patterns of stream water chemistry and the long-term viability of forest growth. Ultimately, managing forests to keep pace with increasing demands for biomass production, while minimizing environmental degradation, will require multi-scale and interdisciplinary perspectives on landscape N dynamics.

Keywords Boreal forests · Clear cutting · Forest management · Nitrogen fixation · Plant–soil interactions · Watersheds

INTRODUCTION

Humans have dramatically altered the global nitrogen (N) cycle, with widespread and often divergent effects on ecological systems and environmental quality (Galloway et al. 2008). The accelerated production and use of N-based

fertilizers over the last century has increased the productivity of terrestrial ecosystems, with positive effects on agricultural and forestry yields, human nutrition, health, and the economy at global scales (e.g., Townsend et al. 2003). At the same time, excess fertilizer use together with greater N emissions and loading contribute to a litany of well-documented and undesirable environmental changes, including loss of biodiversity (Bobbink et al. 2010), eutrophication of aquatic ecosystems (Conley et al. 2009), soil and surface water acidification (Moldan and Wright 2011), and reductions in air quality (Wolfe and Patz 2002). Thus, the critical challenge for both policy makers and land managers is to ensure sufficient plant available N in places where it can result in desired outcomes (e.g., increased yield), while at the same time prevent the accumulation and export of excess N to recipient systems where enrichment contributes to environmental degradation. Striking this balance requires a perspective on the N cycle that emphasizes how changing environmental conditions and land management activities impact terrestrial ecosystem processes and the exchanges with aquatic and atmospheric pools at multiple spatial and temporal scales. In this review paper, we explore these issues and challenges as they relate to N dynamics in managed boreal forest landscapes of Sweden.

Nearly 60 % of Sweden is covered by lowland boreal forests, with more than 95 % of this land committed to public or private forestry. The forest products industry in Sweden currently accounts for ~2 % of the annual gross domestic product (Swedish Forest Agency 2014), and goals to increase forestry yields have motivated changes in management that include expanding the area of managed lands, the use of different or genetically improved tree species, and/or more intense silvicultural and harvesting practices (Helmisaari et al. 2014). Concurrent to these

changes, the potential threat of atmospheric N deposition to forest health (Binkley and Högberg 1997) and the broader environmental consequences of specific forestry practices have drawn concern from the public. In particular, the disturbance caused by clear cutting and the use of forest fertilization as a management tool can result in losses of terrestrial biodiversity (Nordin et al. 2005) and may increase the risk of N leaching to aquatic ecosystems, contributing to the eutrophication of the Baltic Sea (Conley et al. 2009). The need to better understand the economic and environmental tradeoffs associated with forest management has led to extensive basic and applied research to determine (1) how Sweden's forests respond to increased N loading (e.g., Binkley and Högberg 1997; Gundale et al. 2014), (2) to what degree commonly used silvicultural practices (e.g., clear cutting) are actually related to the broader problem of eutrophication (e.g., Futter et al. 2010), and (3) how forestry-related activities may be carried out to promote tree growth, while minimizing unwanted effects of N enrichment (Ring et al. 2013).

In this review, we address key issues related to N dynamics of managed boreal forest landscapes, drawing largely from recent research conducted in the low atmospheric deposition region of northern Sweden and Finland. The primary goal is to integrate new, basic understanding of forest nutrient cycling with applied forestry research and watershed monitoring to discuss how managed forests respond to changing N inputs and in turn influence the storage and losses of N at multiple time scales. To facilitate this goal, we (1) highlight emerging themes related to plant nutrition and above–belowground interactions; (2) summarize our current understanding of ecosystem-level responses to changes in N loading; (3) explore the short- and long-term effects of forestry practices on the local and regional N balance; and (4) characterize the patterns, controls, and significance of hydrological losses of N. Finally, we identify key knowledge gaps in our understanding of N cycling in managed boreal forests and suggest avenues for future research.

REFINING THE KNOWLEDGE OF N DYNAMICS IN SWEDEN'S FORESTS

Standing tree volume and growth in northern Sweden have increased by ~50 and ~40 % over the last century, respectively (Fig. 1; Swedish NFI, 1953–2012). Understanding how the N required to support this growth is maintained is a key question and challenge for managers. Inputs of N to boreal forest ecosystems occur mainly through biological N₂ fixation and, during the last century, through deposition of atmospherically transported N of anthropogenic origin (Fig. 2). The latter exhibits a large




Fig. 1 Total tree volume and annual growth increment for Västerbotten and Norrbotten counties in northern Sweden. Data are based on measurements from approximately 7000 national forest inventory (NFI) plots (Swedish NFI 1953–2012). Annual growth increment is based on a five-year moving average

variation across Sweden, ranging from 10–15 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in the south to 1–3 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in the north (Gundale et al. 2011). N₂ fixation is more difficult to quantify and is chiefly governed by the activity of cyanobacteria living in association with feather mosses, which may contribute up to 3 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in forests of northern Sweden (Lindo et al. 2013). In some cases, other plant species (e.g., *Alnus* spp. and *Lupinus* spp.) having symbiotic relationships with N₂-fixing bacteria can represent important, additional sources of N to Swedish soils (Myrold and Huss-Danell 2003). However, given that the vast majority of Sweden's boreal forests are dominated by Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) and Norway spruce (*Picea abies*), the bulk of contemporary N₂ fixation is associated with feather moss–cyanobacterial associations in understory communities (DeLuca et al. 2002). Regardless, given that growing boreal forest stands take up in the range of 15–50 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (Binkley and Högberg 1997; Korhonen et al. 2013), the majority of N required to meet plant demand must be derived from litter and soil stocks accumulated over time.

Despite the fact that soils store between 1000 and 8000 kg N ha⁻¹ in organic and mineral horizons (Egnell et al. 2015), plant growth in Swedish forests is with few exceptions N limited (Hyvönen et al. 2008). This limitation occurs because the bulk of soil N is bound in complex organic structures that are resistant to decay and too large for direct uptake by plants or microbes (Vitousek et al. 2002). Given this, the depolymerization of large organic N compounds into low molecular weight dissolved organic N (LDON; e.g., amino acids) through the action of extracellular enzymes is considered the key rate-limiting process in the forest N cycle (Schimel and Bennet 2004).




Fig. 2 Summary of key pools and fluxes characteristic of a northern boreal watershed. Ranges for different N fluxes ($\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$) are based on literature values for northern Sweden and Finland wherever possible, and linked to the following references: 1 Gundale et al. 2011; 2 Lindo et al. 2013; 3 Kortelainen et al. 2006; 4 Korhonen et al. 2013; 5 Futter et al. 2010, Palviainen et al. 2014; 6 Mustajärvi et al. 2008; 7 Binkley and Högberg 1997; 8 Ukonmaanaho et al. 2008; 9 Gundale et al. 2011; 10 Olsson et al. 2012; 11 Nohrstedt et al. 1994; 12 Kortelainen et al. 1997, 2006. N₂O fluxes (ref 3) are based on soils with C/N > 20. Blue and yellow circles represent rates of inorganic and organic N (DIN and DON) leaching from undisturbed and recently clear-cut forests, respectively. Rates of internal N cycling and export by forest stands can be highly variable in space and time, and the estimates for net nitrification and denitrification are particularly uncertain, but are very likely to be low in northern boreal forests. The forest inset provides ranges for N stocks in overstory, surface soils, and mineral soil compartments (see Egnell et al. 2015; Stuiver et al. 2015; Maaroufi et al. 2015)

Once produced, LDON may be taken up directly by plants (see below) or immobilized by bacteria and fungi, which can themselves be N limited in boreal soils (Näsholm et al. 2013).

When and where N demand is satisfied, soil microbes may metabolize (i.e., mineralize) LDON to meet energy demands, producing ammonium (NH_4^+). Net N mineralization rates tend to be comparatively low in boreal surface soil horizons (e.g., -5 to $15 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$; Gundale et al. 2011; Olsson et al. 2012) where carbon (C) stores are large and N limitation strong, but may increase in mineral soils where greater microbial demand for C relative to N promotes this process (Wild et al. 2015). When produced, NH_4^+ may in turn be immobilized by plants and microbes, or further oxidized to nitrate (NO_3^-) via nitrification. Nitrification is significant to the ecosystem N balance because NO_3^- is particularly mobile in soils and more readily subject to leaching losses. Moreover, the use of NO_3^- as a terminal electron acceptor in denitrification can be an important source for N gas production and loss. However, like N mineralization, rates of net nitrification

(and thus denitrification) are typically low in undisturbed, northern boreal forest soils (e.g., Högberg et al. 2006b; Olsson et al. 2012). Overall, the limited net production of inorganic N in these systems results in a fairly ‘closed’ N cycle at the scale of the forest stand, such that hydrological losses (Kortelainen et al. 1997) and trace gas fluxes (e.g., N₂O; Klemetsson et al. 2005) are typically small relative to inputs and internal cycling (Fig. 2).

Historically, the assumption has been that plant available N is represented primarily by the sum of inorganic N forms (NH_4^+ and NO_3^-); however, more recent studies in high latitude ecosystems show that plants can and do access a wide range of LDON compounds (Näsholm et al. 1998, 2009; Kielland et al. 2007). Despite this recognition, quantifying the relative importance of these sources is complicated by the very rapid turnover and inter-conversions of different N forms. Indeed, LDON compounds like amino acids and small peptides can exhibit turnover rates in soil of less than an hour (Jones and Kielland 2002). In addition, it is the diffusive flux of compounds, rather than total concentration, that is critical to plant uptake, and these

rates are not captured by standard methods. Traditional soil sampling followed by extraction of sieved fractions with salt solutions runs the risk of *underestimating* the proportion of LDON relative to the total pool and *overestimating* the true availability of inorganic N (e.g., NH_4^+), which may be tightly bound in soils.

New techniques based on non-invasive, miniaturized diffusion probes (microdialysis) that capture the actively cycling N pool indicate that this underestimation of LDON can be severe in boreal soils (Inselsbacher and Näsholm 2012; Inselsbacher et al. 2014). Head-to-head comparison of these methods across a forest fertilization gradient showed that while NH_4^+ accounted for 80 % of the N pool following traditional extractions, microdialysis of the same soils revealed that amino acids were the dominant (80 %) fraction (Inselsbacher and Näsholm 2012). Moreover, the microdialysis approach showed large increases in LDON flux in response to external N loading that was not mirrored in the inorganic N pool. This result reinforces the importance of LDON as a plant source and suggests that the microdialysis approach effectively captures important variation in site fertility. Organic N sources have also been shown experimentally to stimulate growth of roots, fine roots, and mycorrhiza to a larger degree than inorganic N (Gruffman et al. 2012). Thus, the availability of these resources may have wide ranging effects on soil biota and processes and more research is needed to better understand both the production and fate of LDON in response to environmental change and various management activities.

The mechanisms maintaining N limitation in Swedish forests remain an active area of research. Competition between plants and soil microbes for available soil N is clearly one important mechanism influencing the structure of both plant and microbial communities. The microbial pool includes mycorrhizal fungi, living in a mutualistic relationship with plants, and other free-living microorganisms in direct competition with plants for resources. Studies have revealed a strong negative relationship between tree growth and abundance of ectomycorrhizal fungi (e.g., Högberg et al. 2003), which was traditionally interpreted to mean that extensively developed symbiosis with mycorrhizal fungi is vital for strongly N-limited trees. However, fungi are themselves N-rich organisms, and in N-poor soils their growth will inevitably immobilize a substantial fraction of the N they acquire. Thus, transfer of N from mycorrhizal fungi to their hosts will always be in competition with the use of that N for the growth of the fungi itself. In addition, under low internal N status, plants respond by increasing their allocation of C belowground. This stimulates the growth of mycorrhizal fungi, which in turn will lead to a further immobilization of N in soils. Through this feedback loop, mycorrhizal fungi could potentially worsen rather than alleviate tree N limitation in

N-poor soils (Näsholm et al. 2013; Franklin et al. 2014), particularly during later successional stages (Clemmensen et al. 2015). Reevaluating long-standing views on the nature of plant–mycorrhizal interactions, including the significance of mycorrhizal fungi for soil N processing (Lindahl and Tunlid 2015) and the maintenance of N limitation, will aid in our understanding of how northern boreal forest growth will respond to both environmental change and forest management.

STAND-SCALE RESPONSES TO EXTERNAL N INPUTS

The N acquisition by plants and soil microbes connects the biogeochemical cycles of C and N, and this linkage has formed the basis for extensive research aimed at understanding how increases in atmospheric N deposition may influence forest C balances at northern latitudes. Results from some of this work have been controversial, suggesting that as much as 500 parts of C are sequestered per unit of N deposition (Holland et al. 1997; Magnani et al. 2007), which would account for a large portion of annual anthropogenic CO_2 emissions (Schlesinger 2009). In contrast, several long-term N fertilization experiments in Sweden suggest a much lower quantity of C sequestered per unit of N added. For example, Högberg et al. (2006a) evaluated forest volume increase in response to 30 years of N application, and as recalculated by deVries et al. (2009) demonstrated that this increased volume equated to approximately 25 parts C per unit of N added. Hyvönen et al. (2008) evaluated the long-term (14–30 years) effects of high doses of N input (30–200 $\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$) across 15 forest sites in northern Europe and also suggested that approximately 25 $\text{kg C kg}^{-1} \text{ N}$ were sequestered, with even lower rates (20 $\text{kg C kg}^{-1} \text{ N}$) found in response to extremely high N loading (i.e., $>150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$). Similarly, integrating results from Gundale et al. (2014) and Marroufi et al. (2015) indicate that mature Swedish spruce forests also accumulate a relatively low quantity of C (26 $\text{kg C kg}^{-1} \text{ N}$) in response to chronic, low dose N additions that simulate the upper level N deposition rates in the boreal region.

There are multiple explanations for these more modest C assimilation rates estimated from long-term field experiments. Importantly, it is evident that trees do not serve as the dominant direct sink for external N inputs. This observation was made already in the 1980s using ^{15}N -labeled tracers (Melin et al. 1983), and has been supported by numerous subsequent studies using similar isotopic techniques (Templer et al. 2012). More recently, Gundale et al. (2014) showed in a forest exposed to low levels of chronic N applications that mature spruce trees took up $<10\%$ of

external N inputs, with forest understory and in particular the soil humus layers serving as the largest N sink ($\sim 40\%$ of the N label explained by each of these pools).

In addition, these studies point to an important role of both the forest understory and soils in influencing how boreal forest ecosystems respond to N inputs. In particular, when external N inputs are relatively low ($<3 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$), feather mosses may serve as a strong N sink (Gundale et al. 2011, 2013). Because they take up nutrients directly into their leaves that have very slow litter decomposition rates (Lindo et al. 2013), feather mosses convert inputs of highly available inorganic N (e.g., atmospheric N deposition) into relatively stable insoluble soil organic N that is energetically costly for vascular plants to acquire (Lindo et al. 2013). At the same time, feather mosses are also the primary source for biological N_2 -fixation in boreal forests, which is performed by cyanobacteria that live between their leaves (Gundale et al. 2012; Lindo et al. 2013). Gundale et al. (2011, 2013) showed that N_2 -fixation by feather moss-cyanobacteria associations declines exponentially in response to N enrichment, with sharp (non-linear) reductions at low levels of input (from 0.5 to $1.0 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$) that offset $\sim 50\%$ of exogenous inorganic N inputs at deposition rates typical of the boreal region.

Finally, given the global significance of carbon stores in boreal soils, the impact of external N inputs on soil carbon storage and balance in northern forests has also received considerable attention (Janssens et al. 2010). For example, Maaroufi et al. (2015) showed that N additions caused soil C to accumulate at a rate of 10 kg C kg^{-1} of N added, which accounted for more than $1/3$ of the total C sequestered in the forest. This result was consistent with a previous study by Högberg et al. (2006a) showing that chronic N fertilizer doses resulted in 11 kg C kg^{-1} N sequestered in soils (de Vries et al. 2006). One major point of emphasis has been that high levels of chronic N enrichment can have strong negative effects on soil microbial biomass and respiration rates, particularly for fungi (Janssens et al. 2010; Maaroufi et al. 2015). Such inhibition may enhance ecosystem C storage, and several explanations have been given for this response, including reduced belowground C allocation by plants to mycorrhizal fungi, direct inhibition of enzymes involved in lignin degradation, a decrease in soil pH, and a decrease in C availability to saprotrophs (Treseder 2008; Janssens et al. 2010). However, these observations are based largely on studies using experimental doses of N that greatly exceed even the highest rates of deposition observed in Sweden (e.g., $>100 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$). Studies that simulate more realistic deposition rates for this region (e.g., from ambient to $20 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$), at least over short-time scales, show that increasing N availability within this lower range can actually

enhance rates of soil respiration and C turnover (Hasselquist et al. 2012). These results reinforce the idea that soil microbes in these ecosystems can serve as important sinks that compete with trees for N (Näsholm et al. 2013). More generally, these findings highlight important non-linear relationships between external N inputs and ecosystem response in these managed forest ecosystems that deserve further study.

FOREST MANAGEMENT AND N DYNAMICS OF FOREST STANDS

There remains a disconnect between basic research focused on understanding N dynamics and balance in boreal forests and the applied knowledge needed to sustainably manage these ecosystems. In Sweden, even-aged forestry is the dominant silvicultural system culminating in the clear cutting of 80–130-year-old stands. Clear-cut areas typically range from 2 to 8 ha in size (Swedish Forest Agency 2014) and may comprise stem only harvesting (SOH) or whole-tree harvesting (WTH). For WTH, a large share of the tree tops and branches with needles are harvested in addition to stems, and therefore a significant portion of the N bound in plant tissues is removed from the site, rather than being recycled locally. Because residual detritus can act as a ‘slow release fertilizer,’ the removal of this material during WTH may lead to reduced rates of forest growth during the subsequent rotation period (Egnell and Ulvcróna 2015). One key question is whether the removal of plant-bound N at harvesting will be sustainable over multiple future rotation cycles. To address this question empirically, Merilä et al. (2014) calculated the balance of atmospheric N deposition and soil leaching losses for 15 Finnish forest stands to show that net N inputs over a typical rotation period are likely sufficient to replace N removed during SOH, but not WTH. Similar conclusions have been drawn for northern Sweden (Akselsson et al. 2007) and given trends of increasing tree volume and growth (Fig. 1), coupled with low and stable rates of N deposition (Lucas et al. 2013), the question remains as to whether or not exogenous replacement of N following harvest will persist into the future without greater application of fertilizer.

Given the significance of the N balance over the course of a rotation, it is important to understand and account for how forestry operations influence losses and inputs of N in managed stands. In Sweden, final felling is generally followed by mechanical site preparation, regeneration, pre-commercial and commercial thinnings, and in some cases N fertilization (discrete events adding about 150 kg N ha^{-1}), all of which may alter the N balance at different time scales. Physical disturbance of soils, increases in pH, altered thermal regimes, and reduced plant

demand at harvesting and site preparation all may create a short-term surplus in bioavailable N (e.g., Nohrstedt 2000) and elevated rates of N mineralization and nitrification (e.g., Smolander et al. 1998), but the magnitude and direction of these responses vary markedly among studies (Kreutzweiser et al. 2008). Such changes are often associated with increased NO_3^- leaching from soils, which may be exacerbated by historical fertilization of the stand (Berdén et al. 1997, but see Ring et al. 2013). In northern boreal forests, soil NO_3^- leaching losses in response to clear cutting can increase from very low levels (e.g., $<0.1 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$; Mustajärvi et al. 2008) to $>10 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$; however, elevated rates typically persist for only 3–10 years following this disturbance (e.g., Futter et al. 2010). Similar increases in dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) leaching losses may also be observed in response to clear cutting and site preparation (e.g., Smolander et al. 2001). In addition to these effects on N losses over the short-term, forestry operations may also affect the distribution of feather mosses and therefore N inputs via biological fixation over a more extended period of time (Stuiver et al. 2015). Given its clear significance, more research is needed to understand how forestry-induced soil disturbance, shifts in light, thermal, and moisture regimes govern rates of N_2 fixation and its contribution to the overall N balance of managed boreal forest over the course of a rotation.

Soil disturbance and the residual organic matter left behind following different silvicultural measures are not always uniformly distributed within stands and this can generate important internal heterogeneity in N storage and turnover. For example, at the scale of a few meters, site preparation creates exposed, mixed, or inverted soils that generate patchiness in vegetation establishment and soil-water N concentrations, altering local source/sink N dynamics (Ring et al. 2013). Similarly, when using cut-to-length logging systems for SOH and WTH, logging residues are piled in ‘heaps’ that can affect leaching of NO_3^- from the upper soil (Wall 2008). For example, higher NO_3^- concentrations have been found in soil water collected from areas covered by logging residues than in uncovered soil (e.g., Staaf and Olsson 1994). The full effect of these residues on leaching remains unclear, as heaps simultaneously reduce water influx to the soil and affect vegetation establishment (Wall 2008; Hedwall et al. 2013). Further experimental studies are needed to understand the within-stand heterogeneity in N storage and loss that arises from different management operations as well as from decisions related to the handling of logging residues. Importantly, future work should include efforts to upscale these dynamics in space and time to better place responses to management within the context of the broader forest N balance and long-term site fertility.

WATERSHED LOSSES OF N IN BOREAL LANDSCAPES

The export of N in small streams reflects the residual N that is not taken up by plants, stored in soils, or lost via trace gas flux in terrestrial and riparian habitats. Streams thus integrate all of the terrestrial processes described in sections above, as these play out across the mosaic of stands, soil types, and topographic units connected via groundwater flow. Given strong connections to land, stream nutrient exports have long been used to interpret terrestrial biogeochemical cycles and monitor the effects of environmental change on surface water quality.

In northern boreal landscapes, N export in streams and rivers is generally in the range of $1\text{--}2 \text{ kg N ha}^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ and the vast majority ($\sim 70\text{--}90\%$) of this pool is comprised of DON (Kortelainen et al. 2006). Such a pattern is expected for systems draining strongly N-limited landscapes, as bulk DON is largely composed of compounds that are not readily bioavailable and thus not retained by plants and microbes on land (Hedin et al. 1995). Consistent with this idea, boreal forest watersheds tend to retain a very large fraction of the inorganic N they receive (upwards to $90\text{--}95\%$; Kortelainen et al. 1997), even when exposed to moderately high levels of atmospheric deposition (e.g., up to $10 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$; Dise and Wright 1995) or fertilization (Binkley and Högborg 1997). Inorganic N concentrations in streams of northern Sweden thus tend to be very low (e.g., $<50 \mu\text{g N l}^{-1}$) unless the surrounding watershed is subject to agricultural and/or urban development (Sponseller et al. 2014), or recent forestry operations (e.g., final felling; Löfgren et al. 2009).

Final felling in boreal landscapes typically results in increased concentration and export of inorganic N in small streams (e.g., Löfgren et al. 2009), but the timing, magnitude, and duration of these responses vary across studies (Kreutzweiser et al. 2008). These losses reflect increases in soil N leaching described above, coupled with altered hydrological patterns following timber removal (e.g., elevated groundwater levels). Such changes can translate into stream concentrations far above pre-disturbance levels and export rates of inorganic N as high as $1\text{--}2 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ (Palviainen et al. 2014). The magnitude of these responses increases with the proportion of drainage area harvested, and studies in boreal landscapes suggest a 30% threshold in cover by clear-cut land is required to observe detectable changes in stream chemistry and export (Palviainen et al. 2014). Additional drainage features may also govern such responses: for example, intact riparian buffer zones can reduce the lateral transport of NO_3^- to streams following harvests through plant uptake, immobilization by soil heterotrophs, or loss via denitrification (and N gas production). However, the efficiency of nutrient removal

by buffer zones varies (Ranalli and Macalady 2010), and peat-rich riparian soils in boreal landscapes may serve as sources rather than sinks for inorganic N (Fölster 2000). The management of riparian zones to efficiently reduce inputs of terrestrially derived nutrients to small boreal streams requires further consideration and study. In particular, forestry operations occurring within near-stream ‘water recharge zones’ may have disproportionately strong effects on stream responses in these landscapes (Kuglerová et al. 2014).

While final felling can induce dramatic increases in inorganic N concentrations in small boreal streams, these effects appear to manifest over relatively small spatial and temporal scales. First, while streamwater responses to harvesting may persist for more than 10 years (Palviainen et al. 2014), the most dramatic changes in inorganic N concentrations are typically observed during the first 3–5 years (Jerabkova et al. 2011). After this phase of enrichment, there is typically an extended period during a rotation when inorganic N concentrations are low and can limit rates of biological processes in boreal streams (e.g., biofilm productivity; Burrows et al. 2015). In addition, streamwater responses to harvesting seem to be restricted to headwaters, such that elevated inorganic N concentrations are rarely observed in higher order streams in areas of active forestry (Schelker et al. 2015). Indeed, at broader spatial scales, leakage from forest harvesting likely accounts for only a trivial proportion (3%) of NO_3^- loading to the Baltic Sea (Futter et al. 2010). However, clear cutting can also result in increased hydrologic losses of DON (Palviainen et al. 2014), which is unlikely to be rapidly processed (e.g., taken up or transformed biologically) in small streams. DON export following harvests in boreal landscapes probably deserves more attention and could potentially contribute to downstream eutrophication. Overall, empirical studies that address the potential for boreal streams and rivers to process and retain N are lacking and would strengthen our understanding of how terrestrial and coastal environments are connected.

In contrast to these small scale responses to final felling, the long-term increases in forest biomass and growth across northern Sweden potentially have fundamentally different consequences for riverine export of DIN (Fig. 3). Recent assessment of long-term riverine nutrient records suggests declines in stream and river NO_3^- concentrations across much of Sweden (Lucas et al. 2013). While these trends are most pronounced in southern Sweden, similar patterns were also observed for some northern systems. As an example, the average annual inorganic N concentration in the Vindeln River (a 10 000 km² basin) has dropped by nearly 50 % since 1980 (Fig. 3a), with proportional declines in export (from ~0.2 to 0.1 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). These long-term declines observed in northern rivers are

concurrent with increases in tree growth, standing volume, and accumulation of N in plant and soil biomass across the region (Fig. 3b; Lucas et al. 2016). Such patterns suggest a tightening of the terrestrial N cycle, and point to the potential for progressive N limitation (Luo et al. 2004) and increased terrestrial retention across a very broad spatial scale. The extent to which these long-term changes reflect advances in forest management and thus elevated tree growth, recovery from historical land use, increased protection of riparian buffer zones, or the effects of recent climate trends on terrestrial productivity (Fig. 3c, d) remains unknown and merits further study. Regardless, while reducing the delivery of nutrients to aquatic ecosystems is often a management goal, a continued reduction in the export of inorganic N may pose a challenge in northern Sweden, where the productivity of lakes (Bergström et al. 2008) and streams (Burrows et al. 2015) is already often N limited during the growing season.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Current and historical forest management in northern Sweden has largely replaced other disturbance agents (e.g., fire) as the primary organizer of terrestrial ecosystem structure and function in the region (Gauthier et al. 2015). Forestry decisions and operations now govern the broad-scale distribution of stand developmental stages, which in turn dictate patterns of tree species composition, density, age-structure, and potential growth. This mosaic of forest stands provides the context within which soil N dynamics and above–belowground interactions operate at smaller scales. At the same time, it is the broader distribution of forest patches that responds to long-term variation in climate and atmospheric deposition to influence surface water quality and feedback onto regional- and global-scale biogeochemical cycles (Futter et al. 2016). Forest responses to climatic conditions in turn interact with management practices to determine the biomass yield at the end of a rotation. Thus, there are clear interconnections between basic ecosystem dynamics, applied forestry, and environmental quality that operate across a broad range of spatial and temporal scales in the Swedish landscape (Fig. 4). Despite these linkages, the research themes discussed above are embedded within different scientific traditions and have advanced independently, in some cases with little exchange of ideas. We argue that a greater focus on synthesizing existing basic and applied research on the response of forest ecosystems to land management and climate is needed to ensure sustainable forest management in the face of a changing climate and potential shifts in market demands for forest products.




Fig. 3 Long-term trends in **a** river inorganic nitrogen (DIN) concentration, **b** forest volume, **c** mean annual precipitation, and **d** mean annual temperature for the Vindeln River watershed of northern Sweden (10 000 km²). DIN represents the sum of nitrate and ammonium for samples collected monthly by the Swedish national monitoring program (Fölster et al. 2014). Forest volume was determined from national forest inventory (NFI) plots located within watershed boundaries (Lucas et al., 2016). Precipitation and temperature were estimated from the Hydrologiska Byråns Vattenbalansavdelning (PTHBV) database (from the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, SMHI; Sponseller et al. 2014)

Management aimed at promoting biomass production creates a form of ‘coerced resilience’ in the Swedish landscape, wherein inputs of resources and capital are required to maintain forest structures (e.g., in terms of stand density and age distributions) that are optimal for timber yield, but would otherwise not exist (Rist et al. 2014). Sustaining such systems requires a clear understanding of (1) the capacity for ‘natural supporting processes’ to maintain productivity at desired levels; (2) how this capacity may change in the long-term given continued or more intensive management; and (3) the extent to which effort to maintain production forests influences other ecosystems that serve as recipients for unwanted materials or waste. These requirements can only be achieved through

communication and integration of ideas between scientists and managers working on related issues across levels of organization and ecosystem boundaries. Specifically, by promoting interaction among scientists engaged in plant biology, ecosystem ecology, soil science, hydrology, applied forestry, and environmental management, we see potential opportunities to achieve the following:

Ensured long-term sustainability of forest growth

While evidence to date suggests that N removed during forest harvesting can ultimately be replaced via atmospheric deposition during a forest rotation (Merilä et al. 2014), it is important to determine whether this will




Fig. 4 Stommel diagram summarizing key elements of N dynamics in boreal landscapes and their potential interrelationships across spatial and temporal scales. Stand-scale biomass production (green) represents the primary target of forest agencies and private landowners. This yield reflects ecological processes (blue with green arrows) related to tree N nutrition and a variety of plant–soil interactions that constrain N availability at small scales, as well as the constraints on growth imposed by broad-scale variation in climate and atmospheric N inputs. In addition, management-related decisions (yellow with red arrows) at the national scale drive local forestry operations that additionally influence ecosystem N dynamics, N losses to aquatic ecosystems, and tree growth. Boxes summarize key questions related to forest N cycling at each of these scales, as highlighted in the text

continue to hold true in northern Sweden as forest growth increases, while N deposition remains low or declines, particularly if WTH methods are increasingly employed. As mentioned above, there is already some evidence that increased tree growth in northern Sweden could be linked with declines in hydrological exports of inorganic N (Lucas et al. 2016), suggesting greater N retention in terrestrial ecosystems and potentially a strengthening of forest N limitation. The possibility of increased N limitation as a result of forestry operations has clear implications for the sustainability of tree growth in the region. Exploring this problem requires continued experimental research on the controls over stand-scale N use and balance in response to different forestry operations, and over the course of forest regrowth. Such efforts should focus more on how above–below interactions influence plant nutrient acquisition over successional time and better incorporate potentially important biological vectors like N₂-fixation and trace gas emissions. At the same time, a refined understanding of land–water interactions in boreal landscapes will allow us

to better assess the degree to which riverine exports of nutrients capture meaningful trends in terrestrial ecosystem processes. Finally, while this review has focused exclusively on the significance of N, reports of declining tree mineral nutrition across Europe (Jonard et al. 2015) suggest that phosphorus or other elements may become limiting in the future.

Increased forest growth with minimized N leaching

The potential for increased N limitation in northern forests could motivate greater use of fertilization as a management tool; however, such decisions and associated plans should be informed by the best possible science. For example, results from long-term enrichment experiments suggest that aboveground forest biomass is a comparatively weak sink for external inputs of inorganic N in the Swedish landscape (Gundale et al. 2013). A better understanding of what controls the ecosystem-scale efficiency of above- and belowground responses to N inputs has not only general

implications for C–N interactions, but also for how to manage stands to attain desired yields, and for providing credible estimates of ‘critical loads’ for N (Nordin et al. 2005). Indeed, while forest fertilization does not always result in elevated inorganic N concentrations in outlet streams, we know that this can happen if fertilizer is applied over large areas, during periods of high discharge [e.g., >2000 % increase in stream NO₃ was observed by Lundin and Nilsson (2014)]. Interdisciplinary efforts to maintain forest productivity (i.e., meet N demand), while reducing negative impacts on understory vegetation (e.g., loss of biodiversity), and minimizing leaching losses are clearly needed. Achieving this goal requires that we better understand the fate of externally supplied N to forests as well as how N demand (or potential efficacy of fertilizer application) varies with both climate and landscape context (see Laudon et al. 2016). Moreover, insights from research on the biology of plant nutrition (Näsholm et al. 2009) may lead to the development of management practices that stimulate more efficient N uptake by tree and/or of fertilizers and application techniques that more effectively deliver N to roots (Öhland and Näsholm 2002).

Preparedness for global environmental change

Forest N dynamics interact closely with other biogeochemical cycles (e.g., carbon) and are further influenced by multiple climate changes, which may have particularly profound effects in the boreal region (Gauthier et al. 2015). Forest managers and policymakers will need to understand how landscape-scale N dynamics may respond to long-term environmental change and feedback to influence the future of forest growth. For example, while trends in increased standing biomass and productivity of northern Swedish forests (Fig. 1) are ostensibly linked to advances in silvicultural practices, they are also likely influenced by contemporaneous increases in atmospheric CO₂, air temperature, and growing season length at northern latitudes (e.g., Lucas et al. 2016), all of which may serve to elevate terrestrial N demand (Luo et al. 2004). Such climate trends may also influence forest structure and nutrient dynamics through changes in patterns of vertebrate and invertebrate herbivory (Niemelä et al. 2001). Moreover, it is unknown how changes in tree growth and N demand will alter C allocation belowground to EMC fungi, which in turn may have feedback effects on nutrient availability and soil C dynamics. In addition to these ecosystem responses, expected shifts in soil freeze/thaw dynamics and hydrological patterns (Tetzlaff et al. 2013), together with potential modification of drainage systems (e.g., via ditch maintenance, stream crossing, and road construction), represent additional sources of uncertainty when predicting how climate trends may influence N cycling and runoff in

the region. Predicting the consequences of climate change for forest N cycling—and assessing the long-term sustainability of forest growth and forest management—will require research on multiple fronts that asks how forest and hydrological responses to climate dynamics will alter plant N demand, soil N turnover, and lateral exports to downstream ecosystems.

Acknowledgments This work has been funded by the Mistra *Future Forests* program, ForWater (Formas), and NiCaf (Formas).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

REFERENCES

- Akselsson, C., O. Westling, H. Sverdrup, and P. Gunderson. 2007. Nutrient and carbon budgets in forest soils as decision support in sustainable forest management. *Forest Ecology and Management* 238: 167–174.
- Berdén, M., S.I. Nilsson, and P. Nyman. 1997. Ion leaching before and after clear-cutting in a Norway spruce stand—Effects of long-term application of ammonium nitrate and superphosphate. *Water, Air, and Soil pollution* 93: 1–26.
- Bergström, A.K., A. Jonsson, and M. Jansson. 2008. Phytoplankton responses to nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment in unproductive Swedish lakes along a gradient of atmospheric nitrogen deposition. *Aquatic Biology* 4: 55–64.
- Binkley, D., and P. Högborg. 1997. Does atmospheric deposition of nitrogen threaten Swedish forests? *Forest Ecology and Management* 92: 119–152.
- Bobbink, R., K. Hicks, J. Galloway, T. Spranger, R. Alkemade, M. Ashmore, M. Bustamante, S. Cinderby, et al. 2010. Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on terrestrial plant diversity: A synthesis. *Ecological Applications* 20: 30–59.
- Burrows, R.M., E.R. Hotchkiss, M. Jonsson, H. Laudon, B. McKie, and R.A. Sponseller. 2015. Nitrogen limitation of heterotrophic biofilms in boreal streams. *Freshwater Biology* 60: 1237–1251.
- Clemmensen, K.E., R.D. Finlay, A. Dahlberg, J. Stenlid, D.A. Wardle, and B.D. Lindahl. 2015. Carbon sequestration is related to mycorrhizal fungal community shifts during long term succession in boreal forests. *New Phytologist* 205: 1525–1536.
- Conley, D.J., H.W. Paerl, R.W. Howarth, D.F. Boesch, S.P. Seitzinger, K.E. Havens, C. Lancelot, and G.E. Likens. 2009. Controlling eutrophication by reducing both nitrogen and phosphorus. *Science* 323: 1014–1015.
- DeLuca, T.H., O. Zackrisson, M.-C. Nilsson, and A. Sellstedt. 2002. Quantifying nitrogen fixation in feather moss carpets of boreal forests. *Nature* 419: 917–920.
- de Vries, W., G.J. Reinds, P. Gundersen, and H. Sterba. 2006. The impact of nitrogen deposition on carbon sequestration in European forests and forest soils. *Global Change Biology* 12: 1151–1173.
- de Vries, W., S. Solberg, M. Dobbertin, H. Sterba, D. Laubhann, M. van Oijen, C. Evans, P. Gundersen, et al. 2009. The impact of nitrogen deposition on carbon sequestration by European forests

- and heathlands. *Forest Ecology and Management* 258: 1814–1823.
- Dise, N.B., and R.F. Wright. 1995. Nitrogen leaching in European forests in relation to nitrogen deposition. *Forest Ecology and Management* 71: 153–162.
- Egnell, G., A. Jurevics, and M. Peichl. 2015. Negative effects of stem and stump harvest and deep soil cultivation on the soil carbon and nitrogen pools are mitigated by enhanced tree growth. *Forest Ecology and Management* 338: 57–67.
- Egnell, G., and K.A. Ulvcróna. 2015. Stand productivity following whole-tree harvesting in early thinning of Scots pine stands in Sweden. *Forest Ecology and Management* 340: 40–45.
- Franklin, O., T. Näsholm, P. Högberg, and M.N. Högberg. 2014. Forests trapped in nitrogen limitation—An ecological market perspective on ectomycorrhizal symbiosis. *New Phytologist* 203: 657–666.
- Futter, M.N., E. Ring, L. Högbom, S. Entenmann, and K. Bishop. 2010. Consequences of nitrate leaching following stem-only harvesting of Swedish forests are dependent on spatial scale. *Environmental Pollution* 158: 3552–3559.
- Futter, M.N., L. Högbom, S. Valinia, R. A. Sponseller, and H. Laudon. 2016. Conceptualizing and communicating management effects on forest water quality. *Ambio* (Suppl. 2). doi:10.1007/s13280-015-0753-6.
- Fölster, J. 2000. The near-stream zone is a source of nitrogen in a Swedish forested catchment. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 29: 883–893.
- Fölster, J., R.K. Johnson, M.N. Futter, and A. Wilander. 2014. The Swedish monitoring of surface waters: 50 years of adaptive monitoring. *Ambio* 43: 3–18.
- Galloway, J.N., A.R. Townsend, J.W. Erisman, M. Bekunda, Z. Cai, J.R. Freney, L.A. Martinelli, S.P. Seitzinger, et al. 2008. Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: Recent trends, questions, and potential solutions. *Science* 320: 889–892.
- Gauthier, S., P. Bernier, T. Kuuluvainen, A.Z. Shvidenko, and D.G. Schepaschenko. 2015. Boreal forest health and global change. *Science* 349: 819–822.
- Gruffman, L., T. Ishida, A. Nordin, and T. Näsholm. 2012. Cultivation of Norway spruce and Scots pine on organic nitrogen improves seedling morphology and field performance. *Forest Ecology and Management* 276: 118–124.
- Gundale, M.J., L.H. Bach, and A. Nordin. 2013. The impact of simulated chronic nitrogen deposition on the biomass and N-2 fixation activity of two boreal feather moss-cyanobacteria associations. *Biology Letters* 9: 20130797.
- Gundale, M.J., T.H. Deluca, and A. Nordin. 2011. Bryophytes attenuate anthropogenic nitrogen inputs in boreal forests. *Global Change Biology* 17: 2743–2753.
- Gundale, M.J., F. From, L.H. Bach, and A. Nordin. 2014. Anthropogenic nitrogen deposition in boreal forests has a minor impact on the global carbon cycle. *Global Change Biology* 20: 276–286.
- Gundale, M.J., D.A. Wardle, and M.C. Nilsson. 2012. The effect of altered macroclimate on N-fixation by boreal feather mosses. *Biology Letters* 8: 805–808.
- Hasselquist, N.J., D.B. Metcalfe, and P. Högberg. 2012. Contrasting effects of low and high nitrogen additions on soil CO₂ flux components and ectomycorrhizal fungal sporocarp production in a boreal forest. *Global Change Biology* 18: 3596–3605.
- Hedin, L.O., J.J. Armesto, and A.H. Johnson. 1995. Patterns of nutrient loss from unpolluted, old-growth temperate forests: Evaluation of biogeochemical theory. *Ecology* 76: 493–509.
- Hedwall, P.-O., H. Grip, S. Linder, L. Lövdahl, U. Nilsson, and J. Bergh. 2013. Effects of clear-cutting and slash removal on soil water chemistry and forest-floor vegetation in a nutrient optimised Norway spruce stand. *Silva Fennica* 47(2) article id 933.
- Helmisaari, H.S., L. Kaarakka, and B.A. Olsson. 2014. Increased utilization of different tree parts for energy purposes in the Nordic countries. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 29: 312–322.
- Holland, E.A., B.H. Braswell, J.F. Lamarque, A. Townsend, J. Sulzman, J.F. Muller, F. Dentener, G. Brasseur, et al. 1997. Variations in the predicted spatial distribution of atmospheric nitrogen deposition and their impact on carbon uptake by terrestrial ecosystems. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 102: 15849–15866.
- Hyvönen, R., T. Persson, S. Andersson, B. Olsson, G.I. Ågren, and S. Linder. 2008. Impact of long-term nitrogen addition on carbon stocks in trees and soils in northern Europe. *Biogeochemistry* 89: 121–137.
- Högberg, M.N., E. Bååth, A. Nordgren, K. Arnebrant, and P. Högberg. 2003. Contrasting effects of nitrogen availability on plant carbon supply to mycorrhizal fungi and saprotrophs—A hypothesis based on field observations in boreal forests. *New Phytologist* 160: 225–238.
- Högberg, P., H.B. Fan, M. Quist, D. Binkley, and C.O. Tamm. 2006a. Tree growth and soil acidification in response to 30 years of experimental nitrogen loading on boreal forest. *Global Change Biology* 12: 489–499.
- Högberg, M.N., D.D. Myrold, R. Geisler, and P. Högberg. 2006b. Contrasting patterns of soil N-cycling in model ecosystems of Fennoscandian boreal forests. *Oecologia* 147: 96–107.
- Inselsbacher, E., and T. Näsholm. 2012. The belowground perspective of forest plants—Soil provides mainly organic N for plants and mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist* 195: 329–334.
- Inselsbacher, E., O. Oyewole, and T. Näsholm. 2014. Early season dynamics of soil nitrogen fluxes in fertilized and unfertilized boreal forests. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 74: 167–176.
- Janssens, I.A., W. Dieleman, S. Luysaert, J.-A. Subke, M. Reichstein, R. Ceulemans, P. Ciais, A.J. Dolman, et al. 2010. Reduction of forest soil respiration in response to nitrogen deposition. *Nature Geoscience* 3: 315–322.
- Jerabkova, L., C.E. Prescott, B.D. Titus, G.D. Hope, and M.B. Walters. 2011. A meta-analysis of the effects of clearcut and variable-retention harvesting on soil nitrogen fluxes in boreal and temperate forests. *Canadian Journal Forest Research* 41: 1852–1870.
- Jonard, M., A. Fürst, A. Verstraeten, A. Thimonier, V. Timmermann, N. Potočić, and P. Rautio. 2015. Tree mineral nutrition is deteriorating in Europe. *Global Change Biology* 21: 418–430.
- Jones, D.L., and K. Kielland. 2002. Soil amino acid turnover dominates the nitrogen flux in permafrost-dominated taiga forest soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 34: 209–219.
- Kielland, K., J.W. McFarland, R.W. Ruess, and K. Olson. 2007. Rapid cycling of organic nitrogen in taiga forest ecosystems. *Ecosystems* 10: 360–368.
- Klemetsson, L., K. von Arnold, P. Weslien, and P. Gundersen. 2005. Soil CN ratio as scalar parameter to predict nitrous oxide emissions. *Global Change Biology* 11: 1–6.
- Korhonen, J.F.J., M. Pihlatie, J. Pumpanen, H. Aaltonen, P. Hari, J. Levula, A.-J. Kieloaho, E. Nikinmaa, et al. 2013. Nitrogen balance of a boreal Scots pine forest. *Biogeosciences* 10: 1083–1095.
- Kortelainen, P., T. Mattsson, L. Finer, M. Ahtiainen, S. Saukkonen, and T. Sallantausta. 2006. Controls on the export of C, N, P and Fe from undisturbed boreal catchments, Finland. *Aquatic Sciences* 68: 453–468.
- Kortelainen, P., S. Saukkonen, and T. Mattsson. 1997. Leaching of nitrogen from forested catchments in Finland. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 11: 627–638.
- Kreutzweiser, D.P., P.W. Hazlett, and J.M. Gunn. 2008. Logging impacts on the biogeochemistry of boreal forest soils and

- nutrient export to aquatic systems: a review. *Environmental Reviews* 16: 157–179.
- Kuglerová, L., A. Ågren, R. Jansson, and H. Laudon. 2014. Towards optimizing riparian buffer zones: Ecological and biogeochemical implications for forest management. *Forest Ecology and Management* 334: 74–84.
- Laudon, H., L. Kuglerová, R.A. Sponseller, M. Futter, A. Nordin, K. Bishop, T. Lundmark, G. Egnell, and A.M. Ågren. 2016. The role of biogeochemical hotspots, landscape heterogeneity and hydrological connectivity for minimizing forestry effects on water quality. *Ambio* (Suppl. 2). doi:10.1007/s13280-015-0751-8.
- Lindahl, B.D., and A. Tunlid. 2015. Ectomycorrhizal fungi—Potential organic matter decomposers, yet not saprotrophs. *New Phytologist* 205: 1443–1447.
- Lindo, Z., M.C. Nilsson, and M.J. Gundale. 2013. Bryophyte-cyanobacteria associations as regulators of the northern latitude carbon balance in response to global change. *Global Change Biology* 19: 2022–2035.
- Lucas, R.W., R.A. Sponseller, and H. Laudon. 2013. Controls over base cation concentrations in stream and river waters: A long-term analysis on the role of deposition and climate. *Ecosystems* 16: 707–721.
- Lucas, R.W., R.A. Sponseller, M. Gundale, J. Stendahl, J. Fridman, P. Högborg, and H. Laudon. 2016. Long-term declines in stream and river inorganic nitrogen (N) export correspond to forest change. *Ecological Applications* (In press).
- Lundin, L., and T. Nilsson. 2014. Initial effects of forest N, Ca, Mg and B large-scale fertilization on surface water chemistry and leaching from a catchment in central Sweden. *Forest Ecology and Management* 331: 218–226.
- Luo, Y., B. Su, W.S. Currie, J.S. Dukes, A.C. Finzi, U. Hartwig, B. Hungate, R.E. McMurtrie, et al. 2004. Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. *BioScience* 54: 731–739.
- Löfgren, S., E. Ring, C. von Brömssen, R. Sørensen, and L. Högbom. 2009. Short-term effects of clear-cutting on the water chemistry of two boreal streams in northern Sweden: A paired catchment study. *Ambio* 38: 347–356.
- Maaroufi, N.I., A.A. Nordin, N.J. Hasselquist, L.H. Bach, K. Palmqvist, and M.J. Gundale. 2015. Anthropogenic nitrogen deposition enhances carbon sequestration in boreal soils. *Global Change Biology*. doi:10.1111/gcb.12904.
- Magnani, F., M. Mencuccini, M. Borghetti, P. Berbigier, F. Berninger, S. Delzon, A. Grelle, P. Hari, et al. 2007. The human footprint in the carbon cycle of temperate and boreal forests. *Nature* 447: 848–850.
- Melin, J., H. Nommik, U. Lohm, and J. Flower-Ellis. 1983. Fertilizer nitrogen budget in a Scots pine ecosystem attained by using root-isolated plots and 15 N tracer technique. *Plant and Soil* 74: 249–263.
- Merilä, P., K. Mustajärvi, H.-S. Helmisaari, S. Hilli, A.-J. Lindroos, T.M. Nieminen, P. Nöjd, P. Rautio, et al. 2014. Above- and below-ground N stocks in coniferous boreal forests in Finland: Implications for sustainability of more intensive biomass utilization. *Forest Ecology and Management* 311: 17–28.
- Moldan, F., and R.F. Wright. 2011. Nitrogen leaching and acidification during 19 years of NH₄ NO₃ additions to a coniferous-forested catchment at Gårdsjön, Sweden (NITREX). *Environmental Pollution* 159: 431–440.
- Mustajärvi, K., P. Merilä, J. Derome, A.-J. Lindroos, H.-S. Helmisaari, P. Nöjd, and L. Ukonmaanaho. 2008. Fluxes of dissolved organic and inorganic nitrogen in relation to stand characteristics and latitude in Scots pine and Norway spruce stands in Finland. *Boreal Environmental Research* 13: 2–21.
- Myrold, D.D., and M. Huss-Danell. 2003. Alder and lupine enhance nitrogen cycling in a degraded forest soil in Northern Sweden. *Plant and Soil* 254: 47–56.
- Niemelä, P., F.S. Chapin III, K. Danell, and J.P. Bryant. 2001. Herbivory-mediated responses of selected Boreal forests to climatic change. *Climatic Change* 48: 427–440.
- Nohrstedt, H.Ö. 2000. Effects of soil scarification and previous N fertilisation on pools of inorganic N in soil after clear-felling of a *Pinus sylvestris* (L.) stand. *Silva Fennica* 34: 195–204.
- Nohrstedt, H.Ö., E. Ring, L. Klemetsson, and Å. Nilsson. 1994. Nitrogen losses and soil water acidity after clearfelling of fertilized experimental plots in a *Pinus sylvestris* stand. *Forest Ecology and Management* 66: 69–86.
- Nordin, A., J. Strengbom, J. Witzell, T. Näsholm, and L. Ericson. 2005. Nitrogen deposition and the biodiversity of boreal forests: Implications for the nitrogen critical load. *Ambio* 34: 20–24.
- Näsholm, T., A. Ekblad, A. Nordin, R. Giesler, M. Högborg, and P. Högborg. 1998. Boreal forest plants take up organic nitrogen. *Nature* 392: 914–916.
- Näsholm, T., K. Kielland, and U. Ganeteg. 2009. Uptake of organic nitrogen by plants. *New Phytologist* 182: 31–48.
- Näsholm, T., P. Högborg, O. Franklin, D. Metcalfe, S.G. Keel, C.D. Campbell, V. Hurry, S. Linder, et al. 2013. Are ectomycorrhizal fungi alleviating of aggravating N limitation of tree growth in boreal forests? *New Phytologist* 198: 214–221.
- Öhland, J., and T. Näsholm. 2002. Low nitrogen losses with a new source of nitrogen for cultivation of conifer seedlings. *Environmental Science and Technology* 36: 4854–4859.
- Olsson, B.A., K. Hansson, T. Persson, E. Beuker, and H.S. Helmisaari. 2012. Heterotrophic respiration and nitrogen mineralisation in soils of Norway spruce, Scots pine and silver birch stands in contrasting climates. *Forest Ecology and Management* 269: 197–205.
- Palviainen, M., L. Finér, A. Laurén, S. Launiainen, S. Piirainen, T. Mattson, and M. Starr. 2014. Nitrogen, phosphorus, carbon, and suspended solids loads from forest clear-cutting and site preparation: Long-term paired catchment studies from eastern Finland. *Ambio* 43: 218–233.
- Ranalli, A.J., and D.L. Macalady. 2010. The importance of the riparian zone and in-stream processes in nitrate attenuation in undisturbed and agricultural watersheds: A review of the scientific literature. *Journal of Hydrology* 389: 406–415.
- Ring, E., L. Högbom, and G. Jansson. 2013. Effects of previous nitrogen fertilization on soil-solution chemistry after final felling and soil scarification at two nitrogen-limited forest sites. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 43: 396–404.
- Rist, L., A. Felton, M. Troell, R.A. Sponseller, J. Moen, J. Bengtsson, R. Lindborg, J. Ahlström, et al. 2014. Making sense of resilience in production ecosystems. *Ecosphere* 5: 73.
- Schelker, J., R.A. Sponseller, E. Ring, L. Högbom, S. Löfgren, and H. Laudon. 2015. Nitrogen export from a boreal stream network following forest harvesting: Seasonal nitrate removal and conservative export of organic forms. *Biogeosciences Discussions* 1: 12061–12089.
- Schimmel, J.P., and J. Bennett. 2004. Nitrogen mineralization: Challenges of a changing paradigm. *Ecology* 85: 591–602.
- Schlesinger, W.H. 2009. On the fate of anthropogenic nitrogen. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, United States of America* 106: 203–208.
- Smolander, A., O. Priha, L. Paavola, J. Steer, and E. Mälikönen. 1998. Nitrogen and carbon transformations before and after clear-cutting in repeatedly N-fertilized and limed forest soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 30: 477–490.
- Smolander, A., V. Kitunen, and E. Mälikönen. 2001. Dissolved soil organic nitrogen and carbon in a Norway spruce stand and an adjacent clear-cut. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 33: 190–196.
- Sponseller, R.A., J. Temnerud, K. Bishop, and H. Laudon. 2014. Patterns and drivers of riverine nitrogen (N) across alpine, subarctic, and boreal Sweden. *Biogeochemistry* 120: 105–120.

- Staaf, H., and B.A. Olsson. 1994. Effects of slash removal and stump harvesting on soil water chemistry in a clearcutting in SW Sweden. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 9: 305–310.
- Stuiver, B.M., M.J. Gundale, D.A. Wardle, and M.-C. Nilsson. 2015. Nitrogen fixation rates associated with the feather moss *Pleurozium schreberi* and *Hylocomium splendens* during forest stand development following clear-cutting. *Forest Ecology and Management* 347: 130–139.
- Swedish National Forest Inventory. 1953–2012. Unpublished data. The Department of Forest Resource Management. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå. <http://www.slu.se/nfi>.
- Swedish Forest Agency. 2014. *Swedish statistical yearbook of forestry*. Jönköping: Swedish Forest Agency.
- Templer, P.H., M.C. Mack, F.S. Chapin, L.M. Christenson, J.E. Compton, H.D. Crook, W.S. Currie, C.J. Curtis, et al. 2012. Sinks for nitrogen inputs in terrestrial ecosystems: A meta-analysis of N-15 tracer field studies. *Ecology* 93: 1816–1829.
- Tetzlaff, D., C. Soulsby, J. Buttle, R. Capell, S.K. Carey, H. Laudon, J. McDonnell, K. McGuire, et al. 2013. Catchments on the cusp? Structural and functional change in northern ecohydrology. *Hydrological Processes* 27: 766–774.
- Townsend, A.R., R.W. Howarth, F.A. Bazzaz, M.S. Booth, C.C. Cleveland, S.K. Collinge, A.P. Dobson, P.R. Epstein, et al. 2003. Human health effects of a changing global nitrogen cycle. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 1: 240–246.
- Treseder, K.K. 2008. Nitrogen additions and microbial biomass: A meta-analysis of ecosystem studies. *Ecology Letters* 11: 1111–1120.
- Ukonmaanaho, L., P. Merila, P. Nöjd, and T.M. Nieminen. 2008. Litterfall production and nutrient return to the forest floor in Scots pin and Norway spruce stands in Finland. *Boreal Environmental Research* 13: 67–91.
- Vitousek, P.M., S. Hättenschwiler, L. Olander, and S. Allison. 2002. Nitrogen and nature. *Ambio* 31: 97–101.
- Wall, A. 2008. Effect of removal of logging residue on nutrient leaching and nutrient pools in the soil after clearcutting in a Norway spruce stand. *Forest Ecology and Management* 256: 1372–1383.
- Wild, B., J. Schneckner, A. Knoltsch, M. Takriti, M. Mooshammer, N. Gentsch, R. Mikutta, R.J. Eloy Alves, et al. 2015. Microbial nitrogen dynamics in organic and mineral soil horizons along a latitudinal transect in western Siberia. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 29: 567–582.
- Wolfe, A.H., and J.A. Patz. 2002. Reactive nitrogen and human health: Acute and long-term implications. *Ambio* 31: 120–125.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

Ryan A. Sponseller (✉) is an Associate Professor of Ecosystem Ecology. His research focuses on the ecology and biogeochemistry of streams, rivers, and watersheds.
 Address: Department of Ecology and Environmental Science, Umeå University, 901 87 Umeå, Sweden.
 e-mail: ryan.sponseller@umu.se

Michael J. Gundale is an Associate Professor of Forest Vegetation Ecology at the Department of Forest Ecology and Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences. His research interests are in combining plant community and ecosystem ecology to understand how forest ecosystem functioning changes in response to global change drivers and forest management activities.
 Address: Department of Forest Ecology and Management, SLU, Skogsmarksgränd, 901 83 Umeå, Sweden.
 e-mail: michael.gundale@slu.se

Martyn Futter is an Associate Professor in Landscape-Scale Water Quality Modeling. His research focusses on how human activities affect hydrology, nutrient, and contaminant cycling in production ecosystems.
 Address: Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, 750 07 Uppsala, Sweden.
 e-mail: martyn.futter@slu.se

Eva Ring works as a scientist at Skogforsk, The Forestry Research Institute of Sweden. Her research interests are related to environmental effects of forestry on soil and water, for instance harvesting and nutrient additions.
 Address: Skogforsk, Uppsala Science Park, 751 83 Uppsala, Sweden.
 e-mail: eva.ring@skogforsk.se

Annika Nordin is a Professor of Forest Ecophysiology at the Department of Forest Genetics and Plant Physiology, Umeå Plant Science Centre, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences. Her research interest is the role of nitrogen in plant–soil interactions in boreal forests.
 Address: Department of Forest Genetics and Plant Physiology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, 901 83 Umeå, Sweden.
 e-mail: annika.nordin@slu.se

Torgny Näsholm is a Professor of Tree Ecophysiology at the Department of Forest Ecology and Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences in Umeå. His research deals primarily with nitrogen nutrition of forest trees and other plants.
 Address: Department of Forest Ecology and Management, SLU, Skogsmarksgränd, 901 83 Umeå, Sweden.
 e-mail: torgny.nasholm@slu.se

Hjalmar Laudon is a Professor of Forest Landscape Biogeochemistry at the Department of Forest Ecology and Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences. His research interests are primarily related to hydrology and biogeochemistry in the forested landscape and questions related to the role of connectivity, scaling, forestry impact, and climate change.
 Address: Department of Forest Ecology and Management, SLU, Skogsmarksgränd, 901 83 Umeå, Sweden.
 e-mail: hjalmar.laudon@slu.se