



Structure of group rings and the group of units of integral group rings: an invitation

E. Jespers

Dedicated to I.B.S. Passi on the occasion of his 80th birthday

Received: 8 March 2020 / Accepted: 17 July 2020 / Published online: 27 September 2021
© The Indian National Science Academy 2021

Abstract During the past three decades fundamental progress has been made on constructing large torsion-free subgroups (i.e. subgroups of finite index) of the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ of a finite group G . These constructions rely on explicit constructions of units in $\mathbb{Z}G$ and proofs of main results make use of the description of the Wedderburn components of the rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$. The latter relies on explicit constructions of primitive central idempotents and the rational representations of G . It turns out that the existence of reduced two degree representations play a crucial role. Although the unit group is far from being understood, some structure results on this group have been obtained. In this paper we give a survey of some of the fundamental results and the essential needed techniques.

Keywords Group ring · Unit · Idempotent · Rational representations

Mathematics Subject Classification 16S34 · 16U40 · 16U60 · 16H10 · 20C05

1 Introduction

The integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ of a finite group G is a ring that, in some sense, solely is based on the defining group G . So, the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ is a tool that serves as a meeting place between group and ring theory. The defining group G is a subgroup of the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$, and hence, if the interplay works well, there should be strong relation between the group G and the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. The integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ is an order in the finite dimensional semisimple rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$. The latter can be well described as a product of matrix algebras over division algebras by using strong structure theorems and the rational representations. However, since there are many many orders in $\mathbb{Q}G$ it is a difficult problem to rediscover $\mathbb{Z}G$ from such a matrix decomposition.

Several books have been dedicated to algebraic structural topics in noncommutative group rings: A. Bovdi [12], N. Gupta [15], G. Karpilovsky [20–25], G. Lee [28], D.S. Passman [30–32], I.B.S. Passi [29], W. Plesken [33], C. Polcino Milies [34], I. Reiner [36], K.W. Roggenkamp [37], K.W. Roggenkamp and M.J. Taylor [38], S.K. Sehgal [40], M. Taylor [43], A.E. Zalesskii, and A.V. Mihalev [44]. The following books are specifically dedicated to the study of units of integral groups rings of finite groups: C. Polcino Milies and S.K. Sehgal [35],

Communicated by Gadadhar Misra.

The author is supported in part by Onderzoeksraad of Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (Belgium, grant G016117) and the International Centre for Theoretical Sciences (ICTS) during a visit for participating in the program- Group Algebras, Representations and Computation (Code: ICTS/Prog-garc2019/10)

E. Jespers (✉)
Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Department of Mathematics and Data Science, 1050 Brussel, Belgium
E-mail: eric.jespers@vub.be

S.K. Sehgal [41] and E. Jespers and Á del Río [18, 19]. In [18, 19] the state of the art is given (up to 2016) on the construction of large (torsion-free) subgroups of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$, for finite groups G , and on structural results of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. The aim of this paper is to give the reader an intuition and thus to invite researchers to the topic. This is done by guiding the reader through the following topics: (1) the essential constructions of units and large subgroups, (2) surveying the fundamental methods needed (such as the construction of primitive central idempotents of the rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$ and the description of its simple components), (3) constructions of large central subgroups, (4) constructions of free groups, (5) structure results, in particular abelianisation and amalgamation results. The last topics are recent results that are not included in [18, 19]. The other topics covered are based on [18, 19] and the reader should consult these books in case no explicit references are given. For further references we refer to the bibliography in these books. For some topics we will include references to some recent results, without the aim of being comprehensive. Only few proofs will be included.

2 The unit group versus the isomorphism class

Let R be a ring and G a group. The group ring RG is the free R -module with basis G , i.e. it consists of all formal sums $\sum_{g \in G} r_g g$, with only a finite number of coefficients $r_g \in R$ different from 0, and with addition defined as

$$\sum_{g \in G} r_g g + \sum_{g \in G} r'_g g = \sum_{g \in G} (r_g + r'_g) g,$$

and a product that extends the products of both R and G , i.e.

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} r_g g \right) \left(\sum_{h \in G} s_h h \right) = \sum_{x \in G} \left(\sum_{g, h \in G, gh=x} r_g s_h \right) x.$$

The support of an element $\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} r_g g \in RG$ is the finite set $\text{supp}(\alpha) = \{g \in G \mid r_g \neq 0\}$.

The augmentation map of RG is the ring homomorphism

$$\text{aug} : RG \rightarrow R : \sum_{g \in G} r_g g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} r_g.$$

More generally, for a normal subgroup N of G , the augmentation map modulo N (also called the relative augmentation map) is the ring homomorphism

$$\text{aug}_{G,N,R} : RG \rightarrow R(G/N) : \sum_{g \in G} r_g g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} r_g (gN).$$

The kernel of $\text{aug}_{G,N,R}$ is called the augmentation ideal of RG modulo N . If the ring R is clear then from the context we simply denote this map as $\text{aug}_{G,N}$. It readily is verified that

$$\ker(\text{aug}_{G,N,R}) = \sum_{n \in N} (n-1)RG = \sum_{n \in N} RG(n-1).$$

If, furthermore, N is finite then

$$\tilde{N} = \sum_{n \in N} n$$

is a central element of RG and $\tilde{N}(1-n) = 0$ for all $n \in N$. Hence,

$$\tilde{N}^2 = |N|\tilde{N}.$$

Moreover,

$$\ker(\text{aug}_{G,N,R}) = \text{Ann}_{RG}(\tilde{N}) = \{\alpha \in RG \mid \alpha\tilde{N} = 0\}.$$



If, furthermore $|N|$ is invertible in R then

$$\widehat{N} = \frac{1}{|N|} \widetilde{N}$$

is a central idempotent in RG and

$$RG = RG\widehat{N} \oplus RG(1 - \widehat{N}) \quad \text{and} \quad R(G/N) = RG\widehat{N}.$$

The unit group of a ring R , denoted $\mathcal{U}(R)$, is the group

$$\mathcal{U}(R) = \{u \in R \mid uv = 1 = vu, \text{ some } v \in R\}.$$

Our interest mainly goes to the unit group of the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ of a finite group G . Of course, if $\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} r_g g \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ then $\text{aug}(\alpha) = \sum_{g \in G} r_g = \pm 1$. A unit $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}G$ is said to be *normalized* if $\text{aug}(\alpha) = 1$. The group consisting of all normalized units of $\mathbb{Z}G$ is denoted $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Clearly

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G) = \pm \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G).$$

Note that if R is a commutative ring then the group ring RG is endowed with an involution $*$ (often called the classical involution)

$$* : RG \rightarrow RG : \sum_{g \in G} r_g g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} r_g g^{-1}.$$

The integral group ring is the ring that links group theory to ring theory. One hence has a natural fundamental question: the isomorphism problem for integral group rings of finite groups G and H :

Is it true that the a ring isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}H$
implies a group isomorphism $G \cong H$? (ISO)

This question first was posed by Higman in his thesis [17]. The following proposition is a remarkable fact for group rings: an integral group ring isomorphism is equivalent with unit group isomorphism. To prove this, we first need a lemma. Of course $\mathbb{Z}G$ is a subring of the rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$; and thus one can talk of (\mathbb{Q} -) independent elements in $\mathbb{Z}G$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let G be a finite group. The following properties hold for a subgroup H of G .*

1. (Berman) *If $\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} z_g g$ is a unit of finite order in $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ such that $z_1 \neq 0$ (with 1 the identity of G) then $\alpha = 1$. In particular, if α is a normalized central unit of finite order then $u \in \mathbb{Z}(G)$.*
2. *H is a set of independent elements; in particular, $|H| \leq |G|$.*
3. *If $|H| = |G|$ then $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}H$.*

Proof We only prove the second and third part.

(2) Assume that $\sum_{h \in H} z_h h = 0$, with each $z_h \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $x \in H$. Since, by assumption, H is finite, also hx^{-1} is unit of finite order in $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Clearly, $hx^{-1} \neq 1$ if $h \neq x$. Hence, by part (1), the coefficient of 1 in $hx^{-1} = 0$. Since the coefficient of 1 in $\sum_{h \in H} z_h hx^{-1}$ equals z_x , we conclude that $z_x = 0$. Since x is arbitrary, part (2) follows.

(3) Assume H is a finite subgroup of $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and $|H| = |G|$. By part (2) the elements of H are independent and thus $\mathbb{Q}G = \mathbb{Q}H$. So, $\mathbb{Z}H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}G$ and $n\mathbb{Z}G \subseteq \mathbb{Z}H$ for some positive integer n . It remains to show that if $g \in G$ then $g \in \mathbb{Z}H$. So, let $g \in G$ and write $ng = \sum_{h \in H} z_h h$, with each $z_h \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $ngh^{-1} = z_h + \sum_{h' \in H, h' \neq h} z_{h'} (h'h^{-1})$. As $1 \neq h'h^{-1}$ is periodic, it follows from part (1) that the coefficient of 1 of $h'h^{-1}$ is 0. Therefore, the coefficient of 1 in ngh^{-1} equals z_h . Hence it has to be divisible by n . As h is arbitrary we have shown that for every $h \in H$ in the support of ng we have that $n|h$. Consequently, $ng \in n\mathbb{Z}H$ and thus $g \in \mathbb{Z}H$, as desired. \square

Proposition 2.2 *Let G and H be finite groups. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. $\mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}H$ (ring isomorphism),
2. $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \cong \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}H)$ (group isomorphism),
3. $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G) \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}H)$ (group isomorphism).



Proof Clearly (1) implies (3). For the other implication it is useful to turn any isomorphism into a normalized isomorphism. This is done as follows, for any commutative ring R . Let $f : \mathcal{U}(RG) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(RH)$ be a group isomorphism. Define

$$f^* : \mathcal{U}(RG) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(RH) : \sum_{g \in G} r_g g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} r_g (\text{aug}_H(f(g)))^{-1} f(g).$$

It is readily verified that f^* is an isomorphism that preserves augmentation, i.e. $\text{aug}_H(f^*(g)) = 1$ for all $g \in G$ and thus $\text{aug}_H(f^*(\alpha)) = \text{aug}_G(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}(RG)$. Hence, (3) implies (2).

Now, assume (2) holds, i.e. assume $f : \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}H) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is a group isomorphism. Then $f(H)$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ that is isomorphic to H . Hence, by Lemma 2.1, $|H| = |f(H)| \leq |G|$. Similarly, $|G| \leq |H|$ and thus $|H| = |G|$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.1, the $\mathbb{Z}f(H) = \mathbb{Z}G$ and thus we obtain an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}G$, as desired. \square

Hence, (ISO) is equivalent with

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G) \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}H) \implies G \cong H. \quad (\text{ISO}')$$

It thus is a fundamental problem to describe the unit group of the integral group ring of a finite group. It is a hard problem to fully describe this group and hence one often focusses on describing a large subgroup, i.e. a subgroup of finite index. Preferably one would like a torsion-free subgroup of index exactly $|G|$. In other words one has the following problem.

Problem 2.3 : *Let G be a finite group. Does there exist a torsion-free normal subgroup of finite index, say N such that $|\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)/N| = |G|$. This means that*

$$\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G) = N \rtimes G,$$

a semidirect product of groups (i.e. the inclusion $G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ splits).

It easily is verified (see [41, Chapter 4]) that an affirmative answer to Problem 2.3 gives an affirmative answer to (ISO). In case G is a nilpotent group it is sufficient to check that there is a normal complement.

Note that Roggenkamp and Scott gave a metabelian counter example to the problem (nevertheless, Withcomb proved (ISO) holds for finite metabelian groups). However, because of the link with (ISO), it remains a challenge to determine classes of groups for which there is a positive answer. A positive answer to Problem 2.3 also has been proven for finite groups G having an abelian normal subgroup A such that either G/A has exponent dividing 4 or 6, or G/A is abelian of odd order (by Cliff-Sehgal-Weiss). We refer the reader to [41] for proofs.

However, the general problem remains open. Sehgal in [41, Problems 29 and 30] stated two challenging problems.

Problem 2.4 (Sehgal) *Does Problem 2.3 have an affirmative answer if G is a finite nilpotent group? Even in case G has nilpotency class three the answer is not known. For class two the answer is affirmative.*

Nevertheless, using other methods, (ISO) has been proven for the following classes of finite groups: metabelian groups (Whitcomb), nilpotent groups (Roggenkamp and Scott) and simple groups (Kimmerle, Lyons, Sandling). Hertweck [16] has given a counter example to the isomorphism problem. It is a group of order $2^{21}97^{28}$, with a normal Sylow 97-subgroup and the group has derived length 4.

3 Construction of units

In order to study the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$, with G a finite group, one first would like to know some generic construction of units. Apart from the trivial units there are two main constructions: the Bass units (introduced by Bass) and the bicyclic units (introduced by Ritter and Sehgal).

Trivial units

Clearly $\pm G \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. The elements of $\pm G$ are called the trivial units.

Unipotent units and bicyclic units



Let R be an associative ring with identity element 1. Let η be a nilpotent element of R , i.e. $\eta^k = 0$ for some positive integer k . Then

$$(1 - \eta)(1 + \eta + \dots + \eta^{k-1}) = 1 = (1 + \eta + \dots + \eta^{k-1})(1 - \eta).$$

So, from nilpotent elements one can construct units. Note that the rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$ has no non-zero nilpotent elements if and only if $\mathbb{Q}G$ is a direct sum of division algebras. Hence, for most finite groups the group algebra has nilpotent elements (the only exceptions being the abelian groups and the Hamiltonian groups of order $2^m t$, with t an odd number such that the multiplicative order of 2 modulo t is odd).

One can construct nilpotent elements from almost idempotent elements $e \in R$ (i.e. $e^2 = ne$ for some positive integer n). For any $r \in R$,

$$((n - e)re)^2 = 0$$

and thus

$$1 + (n - e)re$$

is a unipotent unit (with inverse $1 - (n - e)re$).

Let G be a finite group and let e be an idempotent in $\mathbb{Q}G$ (recall that $\mathbb{Z}G$ only contains 0 and 1 as idempotents, see Section 6). Let n_e be the smallest positive integer such that $n_e e \in \mathbb{Z}G$. Then, for $h \in G$,

$$b(h, e) = 1 + n_e^2(1 - e)he \quad \text{and} \quad b(e, h) = 1 + n_e^2eh(1 - e)$$

are unipotent units in $\mathbb{Z}G$. They are called *generalized bicyclic units*.

In rational group algebras one can easily construct idempotents. Indeed, let $g \in G$ be an element of order n . Then,

$$\widehat{g} = \langle \widehat{g} \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \widetilde{g} = \frac{1}{n} \widetilde{g} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} g^i$$

is an idempotent in $\mathbb{Q}G$ and \widetilde{g} is an almost idempotent in $\mathbb{Z}G$. The units

$$b(h, \widetilde{g}) = 1 + (1 - g)h\widetilde{g} \quad \text{and} \quad b(\widetilde{g}, h) = 1 + \widetilde{g}h(1 - g)$$

are called the *bicyclic units* of $\mathbb{Z}G$. Obviously, $b(h, \widetilde{g})^{-1} = b(-h, \widetilde{g})$. Note that a bicyclic unit $b(h, \widetilde{g})$ is trivial unit if and only if $h \in N_G(\langle g \rangle)$; otherwise it is a unit of infinite order. Note that $b(h, \widehat{g}) = b(\alpha, \widehat{g})$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$.

Cyclotomic units and Bass units

Let R be an associative ring and x a unit of finite order n . Let k and n be relatively prime positive integers and let m be a positive integer such that $k^m \equiv 1 \pmod n$. Then

$$u_{k,m}(x) = (1 + x + \dots + x^{k-1})^m + \frac{1 - k^m}{n} (1 + x + \dots + x^{n-1})$$

is an invertible element in R with inverse $u_{l,m}(x^k)$, where l is a positive integer such that $kl \equiv 1 \pmod n$. Note that if R is a domain and $x \neq 1$ then $(1 - x)((1 + x + \dots + x^{n-1}) = 0$ implies that $1 + x + \dots + x^{n-1} = 0$ and thus, in this case, $u_{k,m} = (1 + x + \dots + x^{k-1})^m$. If, furthermore, R is a field then $u_{k,m} = (1 + x + \dots + x^{k-1})^m = \left(\frac{1-x^k}{1-x}\right)^m$.

The unit $\frac{1-x^k}{1-x}$ is called a *cyclotomic unit* and is denoted

$$\eta_k(x) = \frac{1 - x^k}{1 - x}.$$

Note that $(\eta_k(x))^{-1} = \eta_l(x^k)$, where l is a positive integer such that $lk \equiv 1 \pmod n$. Hence $\eta_k(x) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[x])$.

We also remark that if $x \in R$ is a unit of finite odd order then $-x$ has even order and $u_{k,m}(-x) = (1 - x + x^2 + \dots + (-1)^{k-1})^m$. Such units are called *alternating units* in integral group rings [41].

Let G be a finite group. We remark that, for $g \in G$,

$$u_{k,m}(g) = u_{k',m}(g) \quad \text{if } k \equiv k' \pmod{|g|}.$$



Hence, in the definition of $u_{k,m}(g)$ we may assume that $1 < k < |g|$. The units $u_{k,m}(g)$, with $g \in G$ and $(k, |g|) = 1$ are called the *Bass units* of $\mathbb{Z}G$. These were introduced by Bass in [10]. One can also show that

$$u_{k,m}(g)u_{k,m_1}(g) = u_{k,m+m_1}(g).$$

We now show that almost all Bass units are of infinite order.

Lemma 3.1 *Let G be a finite group and $g \in G$. A Bass unit $u_{k,m}(g)$ is torsion if and only if $k \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{|g|}$.*

Proof Let $n = |g|$ and let $u = u_{k,m}(g)$. If $k \equiv 1 \pmod n$ then $u = 1$ and the result is clear in this case.

So, assume that $k \not\equiv 1 \pmod n$ and in particular $n > 1$. If $k = n - 1$ and $m = 2$ then $u = (\tilde{g} - g^{n-1})^2 - \frac{1-(n-1)^2}{n}\tilde{g} = g^{-2}$. If $k \equiv -1 \pmod n$ then m is a multiple of 2 and thus $u = u_{n-1,m}(g) = u_{n-1,2}(g)^{\frac{m}{2}} = g^{-m}$. This proves that if $k \equiv \pm 1 \pmod n$ then u is torsion.

Conversely, assume that u is torsion. Let ζ be a complex root of unity of order n . By the Universal Property of Group Rings, the group isomorphism $\langle g \rangle \rightarrow \langle \zeta \rangle$, mapping g to ζ , extends to a ring homomorphism $f : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. As $n > 1$, $f(\tilde{g}) = 0$ and therefore $f(u) = \eta_k(\zeta)^m$. Since u is torsion, $f(u)$ is a root of unity, hence so is $\eta_k(\zeta)$. This implies that $|\zeta^k - 1| = |\zeta - 1|$. Thus ζ and ζ^k are two vertices of a regular polygon with n vertices so that ζ and ζ^k are at the same distance to 1. This implies that ζ^k is either ζ or $\bar{\zeta} = \zeta^{-1}$. Then $k \equiv \pm 1 \pmod n$, as desired. \square

We have introduced two type of units: the Bass units and the bicyclic units. The constructions of these are based on the cyclotomic units and unipotent units. These units are of great importance for the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. The main reason being the following results.

Theorem 3.2 *Let ξ be a complex root of unity. The cyclotomic units of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ generate a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi])$.*

For a ring R and positive integer n , we denote by

$$e_{ij}(r) \in M_n(R)$$

the unipotent matrix $1 + rE_{ij}$, where E_{ij} is the elementary matrix that has only one nonzero entry (at position (i, j)) and this entry equals 1. A useful formula is

$$E_{ij}E_{kl} = \delta_{jk}E_{il}.$$

- Proposition 3.3**
1. *The group $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the matrices $e_{ij} = 1 + E_{ij}$ with $i \neq j$.*
 2. *(Sanov) Let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|z_1z_2| \geq 4$ then $\langle e_{12}(z_1), e_{21}(z_2) \rangle$ is a free group of rank 2.*
 3. *The group $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \right\}$ is a free group of rank 2 generated by $e_{12}(2)$ and $e_{21}(2)$.*

Let R be a commutative Noetherian domain with field of fractions F and let A be a finite dimensional F -algebra. A full R -lattice in a finite dimensional F -vector space V is a finitely generated R -submodule of V (i.e. an R -lattice in V) that contains a basis of V . An R -order in A is a subring of A which also is a full R -lattice in A . A \mathbb{Z} -order will be simply called an order. Because \mathbb{Z} is a PID, an order contains a \mathbb{Z} -basis and this obviously also is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of A . Clearly, $M_n(R)$ is an R -order in $M_n(F)$. Also, if \mathcal{O} is an order in A then $M_n(\mathcal{O})$ is an order in $M_n(A)$. The integral quaternions $\left(\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$ is an order in the division algebra $\left(\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ (see Section 4). Obviously, if G is a finite group then $\mathbb{Z}G$ is an order in $\mathbb{Q}G$.

With “elementary methods” (see [18, Chapter 1]) one can calculate the unit group of some some well known rings. By ξ_n we denote a complex root of unity of order n .

1. $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{-1, 1\}$.
2. $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[i]) = \{1, -1, i, -i\}$.
3. $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi_3]) = \{\pm 1, \pm \xi_3, \pm \xi_3^2\}$.
4. $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi_6]) = \langle \xi_6 \rangle$.
5. $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi_8]) = \langle \xi_8 \rangle \times \langle 1 + \sqrt{2} \rangle = \langle \xi_8 \rangle \times \langle \eta_3(\xi_8) \rangle = C_8 \times C_\infty$ and $\eta_3(\xi_8) = 1 + \xi_8 + \xi_8^2$.
6. $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}C_5) = \pm C_5 \times \langle g + g^4 - 1 \rangle$, where $C_5 = \langle g \mid g^5 = 1 \rangle$.
7. $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}C_8) = C_8 \times \langle u_{3,2}(g) \rangle = C_8 \times C_\infty$, where $C_8 = \langle g \mid g^8 = 1 \rangle$.



8. $\mathcal{U}\left(\left(\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)\right) = Q_8$, where Q_8 is the quaternion group of order 8.
9. (Higman) $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}Q_8) = Q_8$
10. $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}D_8) = B \rtimes D_8$, where B is the subgroup generated by the bicyclic units. Furthermore, B is a free group of rank 3

The previous list contains several examples of unit groups that are finite. Actually all relevant groups are included in these examples as shown by the following result of Higman.

Theorem 3.4 (Higman) *The following conditions are equivalent for a finite group G .*

1. $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is finite.
2. $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G) = G$.
3. G is an abelian group of exponent dividing 4 or 6, or $G \cong Q_8 \times E$, with Q_8 the quaternion group of order 8 and E an elementary abelian 2-group (i.e. a direct product of copies of the cyclic group C_2 of order 2).

For the proof of this result one can make use of the Bass units and bicyclic units and of the fact that if $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is finite then so is the unit group $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}(G \times C_2))$.

So, for almost all finite groups G , the unit group $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is infinite. Actually one can prove that if the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is infinite and G is not abelian and not a Hamiltonian group, i.e. not all subgroups are normal, then $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ contains a free group of rank 2 generated by two bicyclic units.

To prove this result Salwa [39] showed a more general result.

Theorem 3.5 *Let R be a torsion-free ring and $a, b \in R$ such that $a^2 = b^2 = 0$. Then the group $\langle 1 + a, 1 + b \rangle$ is free if and only if either ab is transcendental or ab is algebraic (over \mathbb{Q}) and one of the eigenvalues λ of ab is a free point (that is $\langle e_{12}(1), e_{21}(\lambda) \rangle$ is a free group).*

Proof Since we are mainly interested in group rings of finite groups in this paper, we will indicate a proof in the case ab is algebraic. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $R = \mathbb{Z}[a, b]$, that is, R is a \mathbb{Z} -module and as a ring it is generated by \mathbb{Z} and a and b . Let $A = \mathbb{Q}[a, b]$. Let $J = J(A)$ denote the Jacobson radical of A . By assumption ab is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} and thus $\mathbb{Q}[a, b] = \mathbb{Q}[ab] + b\mathbb{Q}[ab] + \mathbb{Q}[ab]a + b\mathbb{Q}[ab]a$ is finite dimensional over \mathbb{Q} and J is a nilpotent ideal. As $(1 + J^n)/(1 + J^{n+1})$ is central in $(1 + J)/(1 + J^{n+1})$ we deduce that $1 + J$ is a nilpotent group and hence so is $(1 + J) \cap \langle 1 + a, 1 + b \rangle$. Thus $\langle 1 + a, 1 + b \rangle$ is free if and only if so is $\langle 1 + \bar{a}, 1 + \bar{b} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{U}(A/J)$.

Now, let ρ denote the regular representation of A over \mathbb{Q} . Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the non-zero eigenvalues of $\rho(ab)$. One then can prove that

$$\bar{A} = A/J \cong \mathbb{Q}^m \oplus \prod_{i=1}^n M_2(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_i)),$$

with $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n\} = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ and the isomorphism associates $1 + \bar{a}$ and $1 + \bar{b}$ with $(1, \dots, 1, e_{12}(1), \dots, e_{12}(1))$ and $(1, \dots, 1, e_{21}(\mu_1), \dots, e_{21}(\mu_k))$ respectively. It follows that $\langle 1 + a, 1 + b \rangle$ is free if and only if each $\langle e_{12}(1), e_{21}(\mu_i) \rangle$ is a free group and thus the result follows. \square

If G is a finite group of order n and R is a commutative ring then the trace function of RG is the map $T : RG \rightarrow R$ associating to each element of RG the coefficient of 1, i.e. $T(\sum_{g \in G} r_g g) = r_1$. Let ρ denote the regular representation given by left multiplication. Then $T(x) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(\rho(x))$, for every $x \in RG$. So, in case $R = \mathbb{C}$ then T can be considered as the restriction of $\frac{1}{n} \text{tr}$ to $\mathbb{C}G$. Salwa also proved the following

Recall that a trace function T on a complex algebra A is a \mathbb{C} linear map $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $T(ab) = T(ba)$ for $a, b \in A$, $T(e)$ is a positive real number for all non-zero idempotents $e \in A$ and $T(a) = 0$ for every nilpotent element $a \in A$.

Proposition 3.6 *Let A be a complex algebra and let T be a trace function on A . If $a, b \in A$ are such that $a^2 = b^2 = 0$ and $|T(ab)| \geq 2T(1)$ then $\langle 1 + a, 1 + b \rangle$ is a free group.*

Theorem 3.7 (Marciniak-Sehgal) *Let G be a finite group and let u be a non-trivial bicyclic unit then $\langle u, u^* \rangle$ is a free group of rank 2.*

Proof Let T be the above mentioned trace map on $\mathbb{C}G$ and let $u = b(g, \tilde{h}) \neq 1$ with $g, h \in G$. Let $a = u - 1 = (1 - h)g\tilde{h}$ and $b = a^* = \tilde{h}g^{-1}(1 - h^{-1})$. Then $ba = \tilde{h}g^{-1}(2 - h - h^{-1})g\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}(2 - z - z^{-1})\tilde{h}$, with $z \notin \{h\}$. Therefore, $T(ab) = T(ba) = 2|h| \geq 4 = 4T(1)$. Hence, $\langle u = 1 + a, u^* = 1 + b \rangle$ is free by the previous Proposition. This proves the result for $u = b(g, \tilde{h}) \neq 1$. A similar argument deals with $u = b(\tilde{h}, g)$. \square



4 Primitive central idempotents and simple components

In this section we discuss the decomposition of the semisimple rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$ of a finite group into a product of simple components, the so called Wedderburn components. We begin by recalling the fundamental theorem describing semisimple rings.

Theorem 4.1 (Wedderburn-Artin) *A ring R is semisimple if and only if R is isomorphic to a finite direct product of matrix rings over division rings.*

So, if R is a semisimple algebra then

$$R = Re_1 \times \cdots \times Re_k \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times M_{n_k}(D_k),$$

where n_1, \dots, n_k are positive integers, each D_i is a division ring and each e_i is a primitive central idempotent.

Theorem 4.2 (Maschke's Theorem) *Let R be a ring and G a group. The group ring RG is semisimple if and only if R is semisimple, G is finite and $|G|$ is invertible in R (i.e. $|G|r = 1$ for some $r \in R$). In case R is a field, the latter means that $|G|$ is not a multiple of the characteristic of R .*

If F is a field and G is a finite group such that FG is semisimple then

$$FG = FGe_1 \times \cdots \times FGe_k \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times M_{n_k}(D_k),$$

and each simple algebra FGe_i is as an F -algebra generated by the finite group $Ge_i = \{ge_i \mid g \in G\}$. Clearly, $Ge_i \cong G/S_G(e_i)$, where $S_G(e_i) = \{g \in G \mid ge_i = e_i\}$, the stabiliser of e_i in G . In case G is abelian then, of course, each $n_i = 1$ and D_i is a field. Since finite subgroups of a field are cyclic, we get that, in this case each $FGe_i = F(\xi_{n_i})$, where ξ_{n_i} is a primitive n_i -th root of unity in the algebraic closure of F . One can then prove the following result.

Theorem 4.3 (Perlis-Walker) *Let G be a finite abelian group and F a field of characteristic 0. Let k_d denote the number of cyclic subgroups of G of order d . Then*

$$FG \cong \prod_{d, d| |G|} F(\xi_d)^{k_d \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\xi_d):\mathbb{Q}]}{[F(\xi_d):F]}}.$$

In particular,

$$\mathbb{Q}G \cong \prod_{d, d| |G|} \mathbb{Q}(\xi_d)^{k_d}.$$

One can also compute the primitive central idempotents of a rational group algebra of a finite abelian group. To do so, we introduce some notation.

Let G be a finite group and N a normal subgroup of G . Let F be a field whose characteristic does not divide $|G|$. In FG consider the elements

$$\varepsilon(G, N) = \begin{cases} \widehat{G} & \text{if } G = N \\ \prod_{D/N \in M(G/N)} (\widehat{N} - \widehat{D}), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here $M(G/N)$ denotes the set of the minimal non-trivial normal subgroups D/N of G/N , with D a subgroup of G containing N . It easily is verified that $\varepsilon(G, N)$ is a central idempotent of FG .

Lemma 4.4 *If e is a primitive central idempotent of $\mathbb{Q}G$ such that $\mathbb{Q}Ge$ is a field then $e = \varepsilon(G, N)$ where $N = S_G(e)$ and $\mathbb{Q}Ge = \mathbb{Q}(\xi_d)$, where $d = |G/N|$.*

Corollary 4.5 *Let G be a finite abelian group. The primitive central idempotents of $\mathbb{Q}G$ are the elements $\varepsilon(G, N)$ with N a subgroup of G such that G/N is a cyclic group.*



Note that primitive central idempotents of a complex group $\mathbb{C}G$ of a finite group also are well known. Indeed, denote by $\text{Irr}(G)$ the set of the irreducible complex characters of G . If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ then

$$e(\chi) = \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1})g$$

is a primitive central idempotent of $\mathbb{C}G$. Moreover, it is the unique primitive central idempotent $e \in \mathbb{C}G$ such that $\chi(e) \neq 0$. One can replace, in the above, the field \mathbb{C} by any splitting field F of G , that is, F is a field such that $FG = \prod_i M_{n_i}(F)$. The Brauer splitting theorem states that $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{|G|})$ is a splitting field of G (where $\xi_{|G|}$ is a primitive $|G|$ -th root of unity). More generally, it says that if F is a field and FG is semisimple then $F(\xi_{|G|})$ is a splitting field of G .

If FG is not necessarily split, then it is much more complicated to describe the primitive central idempotents of FG . In theory one can determine the primitive central idempotents of FG , via Galois-descent, from the primitive central idempotents of $F(\xi_{|G|})G$. However, this does not necessarily result in some nice generic formulas. Nevertheless, for some classes of groups one can obtain nice descriptions in terms of the subgroups of G . The class includes the abelian-by-supersolvable groups. We will explain such formulas for $\mathbb{Q}G$.

We need to introduce some terminology and notation.

Proposition 4.6 *Let G be a finite group and H and K subgroups of G such that $K \subseteq H$. Then, $\text{Lin}(H, K) = \{\chi \mid \chi \text{ a linear complex character of } H \text{ with } \ker(\chi) = K\} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if the following conditions hold*

- (S1) $K \triangleleft H$,
 (S2) H/K is cyclic.

Assume that (S1) and (S2) hold for $\chi \in \text{Lin}(H, K)$. Then χ^G is absolutely irreducible if and only if (H, K) satisfies the following condition:

- (S3) for every $g \in G \setminus H$ there exists $h \in H$ such that $(h, g) \in H \setminus K$.

A Shoda pair of a finite group G is a pair (H, K) of subgroups of G satisfying conditions (S1), (S2) and (S3).

Proof The first part follows from the fact that every finite subgroup of a field is cyclic. The second part is due to Shoda. \square

Theorem 4.7 (Olivieri, del Río, Simón) *If (H, K) is a Shoda pair of a finite subgroup G and $\chi \in \text{Lin}(H, K)$ then χ^G is an absolutely irreducible character and there is a unique primitive central idempotent e of $\mathbb{Q}G$, denoted, $e_{\mathbb{Q}}(\chi)$, such that $\chi^G(e) \neq 0$. Furthermore,*

$$e_{\mathbb{Q}}(\chi^G) = \frac{[\text{Cen}_G(\varepsilon(H, K)) : H]}{[\mathbb{Q}(\chi) : \mathbb{Q}(\chi^G)]} e(G, H, K),$$

where

$$e(G, H, K) = \sum_{t \in T} \varepsilon(H, K)^t$$

and T is a right transversal of $\text{Cen}_G(\varepsilon(H, K))$ in G . The unique Wedderburn component containing $e(G, H, K)$ is $\mathbb{Q}Ge(G, H, K)$, it will be denoted $A_{\mathbb{Q}}(G, H, K)$.

A character of a finite group is said to be monomial if it is the character afforded by a representation induced from a linear character. One says that G is a monomial group if every irreducible complex character of G is monomial.

Corollary 4.8 *A finite group G is monomial if and only if every primitive central idempotent of $\mathbb{Q}G$ is of the form $qe(G, H, K)$ for (H, K) a Shoda pair of G and $q \in \mathbb{Q}$.*

This result allows to compute all primitive central idempotents of $\mathbb{Q}G$ for G a finite monomial group and this without actually computing the monomial absolutely irreducible characters of G . It suffices to compute all the Shoda pairs (H, K) of G , compute $e(G, H, K)$ and then compute the rational number q such that $qe(G, H, K)$ is an idempotent. Note that different Shoda pairs can determine the same primitive central idempotent. Janssens determined a formula for all primitive central idempotents of $\mathbb{Q}G$ for arbitrary finite groups G (the main tool used is Artin's Induction Theorem). Note that the formula yields a rational linear combination of elements of the form $e(G, C, C)$ where C is a cyclic subgroup of G ; but in general $e(G, C, C)$ is not an idempotent.



So, for some classes of groups one can compute explicitly the primitive central idempotents e . A next step is to determine a description of the simple component $\mathbb{Q}Ge$ for a given central idempotent $e(G, H, K)$. In order to compute the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ one would like to obtain a concrete description that yields control on the rational representations (without having to calculate the character table of G). We now state how this can be done for $e(G, H, K)$ provided the Shoda Pair satisfies some additional conditions. All these results are due to Olivieri, del R  o and Sim  n.

A useful lemma is the following.

Lemma 4.9 *Let H and K be subgroups of a finite group G such that $K \triangleleft H$ and H/K is cyclic. Assume $\varepsilon(H, K)\varepsilon(H, K)^g = 0$ for all $g \in G \setminus \text{Cen}_G(\varepsilon(H, K))$. Then $\text{Cen}_G(\varepsilon(H, K)) = N_G(K) = \{g \in G \mid g^{-1}Kg = K\}$.*

Proposition 4.10 *Let G be a finite group and let H and K be subgroups such that $K \subseteq H$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

1. (H, K) is a Shoda pair of G , $H \triangleleft N_G(K)$ and the different G -conjugates of $\varepsilon(H, K)$ are orthogonal.
2. (H, K) is a strong Shoda pair, that is,
 - (SS1) $H \triangleleft N_G(K)$,
 - (SS2) H/K is cyclic and maximal abelian subgroup of $N_G(K)/K$ and
 - (SS3) for every $g \in G \setminus N_G(K)$, $\varepsilon(H, K)\varepsilon(H, K)^g = 0$
3. The following conditions hold
 - (SS1') $H \triangleleft \text{Cen}(\varepsilon(H, K))$,
 - (SS2') H/K is cyclic and a maximal abelian subgroup of $\text{Cen}(\varepsilon(H, K))$ and
 - (SS3') for every $g \in G \setminus \text{Cen}(\varepsilon(H, K))$, $\varepsilon(H, K)\varepsilon(H, K)^g = 0$.

A finite group is said to be strongly monomial if every irreducible complex character of G is strongly monomial, i.e. it is of the form χ^G for $\chi \in \text{Lin}(H, K)$ and (H, K) a strong Shoda pair of G . Note that for such a group every primitive central idempotent of $\mathbb{Q}G$ is of the form $e(G, H, K)$ with (H, K) a strong Shoda pair of G .

Theorem 4.11 *Every abelian-by-supersolvable finite group is strongly monomial.*

A useful fact to prove this result is the following. If G is finite supersolvable group and N a maximal abelian normal subgroup of G then N is a maximal abelian subgroup of G .

Proposition 4.12 *Let (H, K) be a pair of subgroups of a finite group G such that $K \triangleleft H \triangleleft G$ and satisfying (SS2) (i.e. H/K is cyclic and a maximal abelian subgroup of $N_G(K)/K$). Then (H, K) is a strong Shoda pair of G .*

Theorem 4.13 *Let G be a finite metabelian group and let A be a maximal abelian subgroup of G containing the commutator subgroup G' . The primitive central idempotents of $\mathbb{Q}G$ are the elements of the form $e(G, H, K)$ where (H, K) is a pair of subgroups of G satisfying the following conditions:*

1. H is a maximal element in the set $\{B \leq G \mid A \leq B \text{ and } B' \subseteq K \subseteq B\}$ and
2. H/K is cyclic.

For a finite group G one can describe the simple component of $\mathbb{Q}G$ associated to a strong Shoda Pair.

Theorem 4.14 *Let (H, K) be a strong Shoda pair of the finite group G and $\chi \in \text{Lin}(H, K)$, $N = N_G(K)$, $n = [G : N]$, $h = [H : K]$ and $\bar{x} = xK$ a generator of the group H/K . The following properties hold.*

1. $N = \text{Cen}_G(\varepsilon(H, K))$,
2. $e_{\mathbb{Q}}(\chi^G) = e(G, H, K)$,
3. The mapping $\sigma : N/H \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_h)/\mathbb{Q}(\chi^G))$ defined by $\bar{y} \mapsto \sigma_{\bar{y}}$, for $\bar{y} \in N/H$, with

$$\sigma_{\bar{y}}(\xi_h) = \xi_h^i$$

where i is such that $\overline{yxy^{-1}} = \bar{x}^i$, is an isomorphism.

4. $A_{\mathbb{Q}}(G, H, K) \cong M_n(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_h) * (N/H)) \cong M_n(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_h)/\mathbb{Q}(\chi^G), f)$, where f is the element of $H^2(N/H, H/K)$ associated to the extension

$$1 \rightarrow H/K \xrightarrow{\chi} \langle \xi_h \rangle \rightarrow N/K \rightarrow N/H \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_h)/\mathbb{Q}(\chi^G)) \rightarrow 1.$$



More precisely, for every $a \in N/H$ fix a preimage u_a of $a \in N/K$. Then,

$$f(a, b) = \xi_h^j,$$

where j is such that $u_a u_b = \bar{x}^j u_{ab}$. More explicit, choose a right transversal T of H in N . Then

$$\mathbb{Q}(\xi_h) * (N/H) = \sum_{t \in T} \mathbb{Q}(\xi_h) u_t$$

The action $\alpha : N/H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_h))$ is defined in part (3) as follows. For $\bar{y} \in N/H$, $\alpha_{\bar{y}} = \sigma_{\bar{y}}$. The twisting $f : N/H \times N/H \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_h))$ is defined by $f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \xi_h^j$ if $t_x t_y = x^j k_{xy} t_{xy}$ with $t_x, t_y \in T$ so that $t_x H = \bar{x}$, $t_y H = \bar{y}$, $k_{xy} \in K$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5. Let F be a field of characteristic zero and let $G_F = \text{Gal}(F(\chi)/F(\chi^G))$. Consider G_F as a subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ via the restriction $G_F \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then

$$A_F(\chi^G) = M_{nd}(F(\xi_h)/F(\chi^G), f'),$$

where $d = \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\xi_h):\mathbb{Q}(\chi^G)]}{[F(\xi_h):F(\chi^G)]}$ and $f'(\sigma, \tau) = f(\sigma|_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_h)}, \tau|_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_h)})$ (and this is the unique simple component FG_F with $\chi^G(e) \neq 0$).

In [4] Bakshi and Kaur introduced the class of generalized strongly monomial groups. This is based on generalized strong Shoda pairs of a finite group and leads to the class of generalized strongly monomial groups. In addition to strongly monomial groups, the class of generalized strongly monomial groups also contains all subnormally monomial groups and, more generally, the class of finite groups G such that all subgroups and quotient groups of subgroups of G satisfy the following property: either they are abelian or they contain a noncentral abelian normal subgroup. For the class of generalized strongly monomial finite groups the primitive central idempotents of its rational group algebra are described, as well as the corresponding simple component associated to each generalized strong Shoda pair of G . For other recent work on the topic of describing primitive central idempotents we refer to [5–8]. For references on applications of the description of primitive central idempotents and their corresponding simple components we refer the reader to [18, 19].

5 Rational Wedderburn decomposition

Let F be a field of characteristic different from 2. Recall that an F -algebra A is said to be a quaternion algebra over F if there exists $a, b \in \mathcal{U}(F)$ such that

$$A = \left(\frac{a, b}{F} \right) = \frac{F(i, j)}{(i^2 = a, j^2 = b, ij = -ji)} = F1 + Fi + Fj + Fk,$$

where $k = ij$. Recall the norm map $N : \left(\frac{a, b}{F} \right) \rightarrow F$, defined by $x = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k \mapsto x\bar{x}$, where $\bar{x} = x_0 - x_1 i - x_2 j - x_3 k$, (with $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in F$). The map $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ defines an involution on $\left(\frac{a, b}{F} \right)$, called the quaternion conjugation.

Note that $A = \left(\frac{a, b}{F} \right)$ is a simple algebra with center F (i.e. it is a central simple F -algebra) and thus it is either a division algebra or it is isomorphic to $M_2(F)$. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. $A = M_2(F)$,
2. $N(x) = 0$ for some $0 \neq x \in A$,
3. $u^2 = av^2 + bw^2$ for some $0 \neq (u, v, w) \in F^3$.

Definition 5.1 A simple finite dimensional rational algebra is said to be exceptional if it is one of the following types:

- type 1: a non-commutative division algebra other than a totally definite quaternion algebra $\left(\frac{a, b}{F} \right)$ over a number field F , that is, F is totally real and $a, b < 0$.
- type 2: a 2×2 -matrix ring over the rationals, a quadratic imaginary extension of the rationals or over a totally definite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} .



Amitsur described the finite subgroups that are contained in an exceptional simple component of type 1. Unit groups of orders in such division algebras are a big unknown. The reader is referred to Kleinert’s book on this topic [27]. Note that, because of Dirichlet’s unit theorem (see below) and a result of Kleinert, the exceptional simple components of type 2 are precisely those $M_2(D)$ for which an order \mathcal{O} in D has only finitely many units. Further, all finite dimensional rational non-commutative division algebras are of type 1 except those for which the unit group of an order has a central subgroup of finite index.

For a field F and a finite dimensional semisimple rational algebra A , we denote by $r_F(A)$ the number of simple Wedderburn components of $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A$.

Theorem 5.2 (Dirichlet’s Unit Theorem) *Let F be a number field and assume that F has r real embeddings and s pairs of complex non-real embedding. If R is the ring of integers of F then*

$$\mathcal{U}(R) = T \times A,$$

where T is a finite group formed by roots of units in F and A is a free abelian group of rank $r + s - 1$. Note that this rank equals $r_{\mathbb{R}}(F) - r_{\mathbb{Q}}(F)$ and $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$.

We recall some notions concerning the rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the primitive central idempotents of $\mathbb{Q}G$, then

$$\mathbb{Q}G = \mathbb{Q}Ge_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Q}Ge_n,$$

where each $\mathbb{Q}Ge_i$ is identified with the matrix ring $M_{n_i}(D_i)$ for some division algebra D_i . For every i , let \mathcal{O}_i be an order in D_i . Then $M_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ is an order in $\mathbb{Q}Ge_i$. Denote by $GL_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ the group of invertible matrices in $M_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$.

Let \mathcal{O} be an order in a finite dimensional rational division algebra D . Then

$$SL_n(\mathcal{O}) = \{x \in GL_n(\mathcal{O}) : nr(x) = 1\},$$

where nr is the reduced norm, and for subset I in \mathcal{O} we put

$$E(I) = \langle I + xE_{lm} \mid x \in I, 1 \leq l, m \leq n_i, l \neq m, E_{lm} \text{ a matrix unit} \rangle \subseteq SL_n(\mathcal{O}).$$

Theorem 5.3 (Bass-Vaseršteĭn-Liehl-Venkataramana) *Let \mathcal{O} be an order in a finite dimensional rational division algebra D . Assume that n is an integer and $n \geq 2$. If the simple algebra $M_n(D)$ is not exceptional then $[SL_n(\mathcal{O}) : E(I)] < \infty$ for any non-zero ideal I of \mathcal{O} .*

In this section we restrict the type of 2×2 -matrices which can occur as simple components in the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{Q}G$ for finite groups G . We also give a classification of those finite groups which have a faithful exceptional 2×2 -matrix ring component (i.e. G embeds naturally into the simple component).

Surprisingly, if one assumes $M_2(D)$ to be an exceptional component of $\mathbb{Q}G$, then the possible parameters d (resp. (a, b)) of $D = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ (resp. $\left(\frac{a,b}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$) are very limited. It was proven by Eisele, Kiefer and Van Gelder [14] that only a finite number of division algebras can occur and, moreover, the possible parameters have been described. Together with the results of Bächle, Janssens, Jespers, Kiefer and D. Temmerman in [2] one has the following result.

Theorem 5.4 *Let G be a finite group and e a primitive central idempotent of $\mathbb{Q}G$ such that $\mathbb{Q}Ge$ is exceptional. Then*

1. if $\mathbb{Q}Ge$ is of type 2 over a field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, then $d \in \{0, -1, -2, -3\}$,
2. if $\mathbb{Q}Ge$ is of type 2 over a quaternion algebra $\left(\frac{a,b}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$, then $(a, b) \in \{(-1, -1), (-1, -3), (-2, -5)\}$,
3. if G is cut, i.e. all central units are trivial, and $\mathbb{Q}Ge \cong M_2\left(\frac{-1,-3}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ or $\mathbb{Q}Ge \cong M_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}))$ then there exists another primitive central idempotent e' such that $\mathbb{Q}Ge' \cong M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ or $\mathbb{Q}Ge' \cong M_2(\mathbb{Q}(i))$,
4. there exists a primitive central idempotent e of $\mathbb{Q}G$ such that $\mathbb{Q}Ge \cong M_2\left(\frac{-2,-5}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ if and only if G maps onto $G_{240,90}$, (we refer to the Small Groups Library of GAP for the definition of $G_{240,90}$),
5. if G is solvable and cut, then $\mathbb{Q}Ge \not\cong M_2\left(\frac{-2,-5}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$,
6. if G is cut, then $\mathbb{Q}Ge$ cannot be of type 1.



In the above theorem, also the groups Ge that yield an exceptional simple component of type 2 can be described; there are less than 60 such groups.

All the fields and division algebras appearing in the previous theorem have the peculiar property to contain a Euclidean order \mathcal{O} which therefore is maximal and unique up to conjugation. This yields that also all the 2×2 -matrix algebras in the Theorem have, up to conjugation, a unique maximal order, namely $M_2(\mathcal{O})$. Recall that in case of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, with $d \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, the unique maximal order is their respective ring of integers \mathcal{I}_d and in case of $\mathbb{H}_2, \mathbb{H}_3, \mathbb{H}_5$ the respective maximal orders can easily be described; where

$$\mathbb{H}_2 = \left(\frac{-1, -1}{\mathbb{Q}} \right), \quad \mathbb{H}_3 = \left(\frac{-1, -3}{\mathbb{Q}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{H}_5 = \left(\frac{-2, -5}{\mathbb{Q}} \right).$$

Recall that a domain R is said to be a *left Euclidean* ring if there exists a map δ from $R \setminus \{0\}$ to the non-negative integers such that

$$\forall a, b \in R \text{ with } b \neq 0, \exists q, r \in R : a = qb + r \text{ with } \delta(r) < \delta(b) \text{ or } r = 0;$$

and R is said to be a *right Euclidean* ring if there exists a map δ from $R \setminus \{0\}$ to the non-negative integers such that

$$\forall a, b \in R \text{ with } b \neq 0, \exists q, r \in R : a = bq + r \text{ with } \delta(r) < \delta(b) \text{ or } r = 0.$$

6 Generators for a subgroup of finite index

Let G be a finite group. We know that $\mathbb{Z}G$ is an order in $\mathbb{Q}G$ and that $\mathbb{Z}G$ only has trivial idempotents.

Lemma 6.1 *Let K be a field extension of \mathbb{Q} and let $e = \sum_{g \in G} e_g g \in KG$, with each $e_g \in K$. If $e^2 = e \notin \{0, 1\}$ then e_1 is a rational number in the interval $(0, 1)$.*

Now if e_1, \dots, e_n are the primitive central idempotents of $\mathbb{Q}G$ then also $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}Ge_i$ is an order in $\mathbb{Q}G$ that contains $\mathbb{Z}G$. Their unit groups, however, do not differ a lot in size. Indeed we have the following properties.

Lemma 6.2 *Let A be a semisimple finite dimensional rational algebra. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the primitive central idempotents of A .*

1. *Every element of an order \mathcal{O} in A is integral over \mathbb{Z} .*
2. *The intersection of two orders of A is again an order in A .*
3. *Every order of A is contained in a maximal order of A , say \mathcal{M} . Furthermore, $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}e_i$ and each $\mathcal{M}e_i$ is a maximal order in Ae_i .*
4. *Suppose $\mathcal{O}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ are two orders in A . Then*
 - (a) *$u \in \mathcal{O}_1$ is invertible in \mathcal{O}_2 if $u^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_1$.*
 - (b) *the index of the unit groups $(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_2) : \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_1))$ is finite.*

Proof We only prove part (4).

(a) Let $u \in \mathcal{O}_1$ and assume $u^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_2$. Using indices of additive subgroups, we get $[\mathcal{O}_2 : u\mathcal{O}_1] = [u\mathcal{O}_2 : u\mathcal{O}_1] \leq [\mathcal{O}_2 : \mathcal{O}_1]$. Hence, $u\mathcal{O}_2 = \mathcal{O}_1$ and thus u is invertible in \mathcal{O}_1 . The converse is obvious.

(b) Since \mathcal{O}_2 is a free \mathbb{Z} -module containing \mathcal{O}_1 , they both have equal \mathbb{Z} -rank, say n . Thus the index of the additive groups satisfies $[\mathcal{O}_2 : \mathcal{O}_1] = m < \infty$. Hence, $m\mathcal{O}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1$. Suppose now that $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_2)$ such that $u + m\mathcal{O}_2 = v + m\mathcal{O}_2$. Then $u^{-1}v - 1 \in m\mathcal{O}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1$ and thus $u^{-1}v \in \mathcal{O}_1$. Similarly, $v^{-1}u \in \mathcal{O}_1$. So, $u^{-1}v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_1)$. Hence, we have shown that $(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_2) : \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_1)) \subseteq [\mathcal{O}_2 : m\mathcal{O}_2] < \infty$. \square

Hence, to compute a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ it is sufficient to construct for each primitive central idempotent e_i of $\mathbb{Q}G$ units u of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$, that belong to $(1 - e_i) + \mathbb{Z}Ge_i$, and they are such that all the units ue_i generate a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}Ge_i)$. The next proposition shows that for the latter we have to describe units that contribute both to a large subgroup of the center of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}Ge_i)$ and to a large subgroup of the units of reduced norm one in $\mathbb{Z}Ge_i$.

Proposition 6.3 *Let \mathcal{O} be an order in a simple finite dimensional rational algebra A . Then $GL_n(\mathcal{O})$ contains a subgroup of finite index which is isomorphic to a subgroup of finite index in $SL_n(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathcal{U}(R)$, where R is the unique maximal order in the center of A .*



Let us now focus on the units of reduced norm one. For this a crucial and well known lemma is the following.

Lemma 6.4 *Let D be a finite dimensional rational division algebra and let n be an integer with $n > 1$. If f is a non-central idempotent in $M_n(D)$ then there exist matrix units $E_{i,j}$, with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ (that is, $\sum_{i=1}^n E_{i,i} = 1$ and $E_{i,j}E_{k,l} = \delta_{j,k}E_{i,l}$) such that*

$$f = E_{1,1} + \cdots + E_{l,l},$$

with $0 < l < n$. Moreover, $M_n(D) = M_n(D')$, with D' the centraliser of all $E_{i,j}$.

One can then prove the following result. We first introduce some notation. Let A be a semisimple finite dimensional rational algebra such that AG is semisimple. Let R be an order in A and let x_1, \dots, x_m be a generating set of R as a \mathbb{Z} -module. For a given set of idempotents \mathcal{F} of AG we put

$$\text{GBic}^{\mathcal{F}}(RG) = \langle b(x_i g, f), b(f, x_i g) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}, g \in G, 1 \leq i \leq m \rangle.$$

If $R = \mathbb{Z}$ then we simply put

$$\text{GBic}^{\mathcal{F}}(G).$$

If, furthermore, $\mathcal{F} = \{\widehat{g} \mid g \in G\}$ then we put

$$\text{Bic}(G)$$

for this group.

Theorem 6.5 (*Jespers-Leal*) *Let G be a finite group and R an order in a semisimple finite dimensional algebra A . Assume AG is semisimple, e is a primitive central idempotent of AG and \mathcal{O} is an order in AGe . Assume the simple component AGe is not exceptional. If f is an idempotent of AG such that ef is non-central (in AGe) then $\text{GBic}^{\{e\}}(RG)$ contains a subgroup of finite index in the reduced norm one elements of $1 - e + \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof Let n_f be the minimal positive integer such that $n_f f \in RG$. Let x_1, \dots, x_m be a generating set of R as a \mathbb{Z} -module. As $AGe = M_n(D)$, for some division algebra D , by Lemma 6.4 there is a set of matrix units $\{E_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ of AGe with $f = E_{1,1} + \cdots + E_{l,l}$ for some $0 < l < n$. Recall from Lemma 6.2 that the unit groups of two orders in AGe are commensurable. Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that $M_n(\mathcal{O})$ is the order chosen in the statement, with \mathcal{O} an order in D . Let $J = \text{GBic}^{\{f\}}(RG)$. Note that

$$\left[1 + n_f^2 f x_i g (1 - f)\right]^k \left[1 + n_f^2 f x_j h (1 - f)\right]^l = \left[1 + n_f^2 f (k x_i g + l x_j h) (1 - f)\right],$$

for every $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $g, h \in G$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. So, the group generated by these units contains all elements of the form

$$1 + n_f^2 f \alpha (1 - f), \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + n_f^2 (1 - f) \alpha f,$$

with $\alpha \in RG$.

Since

$$\left\{1 + n_f^2 f \alpha (1 - f), 1 + n_f^2 (1 - f) \alpha f : \alpha \in RG\right\} \subseteq J,$$

it follows that

$$\left\{1 + n_e n_f^2 f \alpha (1 - f) e, 1 + n_e n_f^2 (1 - f) \alpha f e : \alpha \in RG\right\} \subseteq J.$$

Let $i \leq l$ and $l + 1 \geq j \geq n$. Then,

$$f \mathcal{O} E_{i,j} (1 - f) e = \mathcal{O} E_{i,j}.$$

Hence, as \mathcal{O} is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module, there exists a positive integer $n_{i,j}$ such that

$$1 + n_{i,j} \mathcal{O} E_{i,j} \subseteq J \cap \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{O}).$$



And similarly,

$$1 + n_{j,i} \mathcal{O}E_{j,i} \subseteq J \cap \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{O}),$$

for some positive integer $n_{j,i}$.

So we have shown the existence of a positive integer x with

$$1 + x \mathcal{O}E_{i,j} \subseteq J \cap \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + x \mathcal{O}E_{j,i} \subseteq J \cap \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{O}),$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $l + 1 \leq j \leq n$.

Now let $1 \leq i, j \leq l, i \neq j$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$. Then one easily verifies that

$$1 + x^2 \alpha E_{i,j} = (1 + x \alpha E_{i,l+1}, 1 + x E_{l+1,j}) \in J \cap \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{O}).$$

Similarly, for $l + 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$, it follows that

$$1 + x^2 \mathcal{O}E_{i,j} \subseteq J \cap \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{O}).$$

Because of the assumptions, the result now follows from Theorem 5.3. □

The next step is to construct in a simple component $\mathbb{Q}Ge$ a non-central idempotent. This can be done if Ge is not fixed point free and one can show that this can be done with an idempotent of the type $\widehat{g}e$. Recall that a finite group is said to be *fixed point free* if it has an (irreducible) complex representation ρ such that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $\rho(g)$ for all $1 \neq g \in G$. Such groups show up naturally, as every non-trivial finite subgroup of a division algebra is fixed point free.

Indeed, Let e be a primitive central idempotent of $\mathbb{Q}(\xi)G$ with Ge not commutative and Ge not fixed point free. Thus, there exists a primitive central idempotent e_1 of $\mathbb{C}Ge$ such that the non-linear complex representation $\rho : G \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}G)e_1$ mapping x onto $x e_1$ has eigenvalue 1 for some $\rho(g)$, with $g \in G$ and $g e_1 \neq e_1$. Since $\rho(g)$ is diagonalizable one may assume that

$$\rho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} I_j & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } 1 \leq j < n \text{ and } D = \text{diag}(\xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_n)$$

and ξ_{j+1}, \dots, ξ_n are roots of unity different from 1. Consequently

$$\rho(\widehat{g}) = \begin{pmatrix} I_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $\widehat{g}e_1$ is a non-central idempotent of $\mathbb{C}G$. It follows that $\widehat{g}e$ is a non-zero idempotent in $\mathbb{Q}(\xi)Ge$. Furthermore $\widehat{g}e \neq e$, because otherwise $\widehat{g}e_1 = \widehat{g}e_1 e = e_1 e = e_1$, a contradiction.

Now it remains to find units that cover the center of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. This is done via the following beautiful result of Bass-Milnor. Let \mathcal{O} be an order in a semisimple finite dimensional rational algebra A and let G be a finite group. Then the natural images of the units of $Z(\mathcal{O})C$, where C runs through the cyclic subgroups of G , give a subgroup of finite index in $K_1(Z(\mathcal{O}G))$. Now another beautiful result of Bass-Milnor says that the Bass units $u_{k,m}(\xi^i g)$ generate a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi]G)$. One knows even specific Bass units that are a basis of free abelian subgroup of finite index..

All the above mentioned results then give the following result.

Theorem 6.6 *Let G be a finite group and ξ a root of unity. Suppose that $\mathbb{Q}(\xi)G$ does not have exceptional simple components. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{\widehat{g} \mid g \in G\}$. Suppose that for every primitive central idempotent e of $\mathbb{Q}G$ the group Ge is not fixed point free. Then*

$$\langle \text{GBic}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi]G) \cup \text{Bass}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi]G) \rangle$$

is of finite index in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\xi]G)$.

The result also implies that the unit group is finitely generated. One has a much stronger result due to Siegel.

Theorem 6.7 *Let \mathcal{O} be an order in a finite dimensional semisimple rational algebra A . Then $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ is finitely presented.*



We give some examples of finite 2-groups G such that the Bass units together with the bicyclic units do not generate a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. The following result is due to Jespers and Parmenter.

Theorem 6.8 *Let $D_8 = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = 1, b^2 = 1, ba = a^3b \rangle$, the dihedral group of order 8. Let G be a finite 2-group and suppose there exists an epimorphism $f : G \rightarrow D_8$. If at least two of the elements b, ab, a^2b, a^3b do not have preimages in G of order 2, then the Bass units together with the bicyclic units in $\mathbb{Z}G$ do not generate a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$.*

In particular, this applies to the groups $Q_{16}, \langle a, b \pmod{a^8} = 1, b^2 = 1, ba = a^3b \rangle, C_4 \rtimes C_2$ and $\langle z \rangle_2 \times \langle a \rangle_4 \rtimes \langle b \rangle_2$, with z central and $a^b = za$.

Proof The \mathbb{Z} -linear extension of f to a ring epimorphism $\mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}D_8$, as well as the induced group homomorphism $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}D_8)$, we also denote by f .

Since every Bass unit of $\mathbb{Z}D_8$ belongs to D_8 , every Bass unit in $\mathbb{Z}G$ must map to an element of D_8 .

Next consider a bicyclic unit $b(g, \tilde{h})$ in $\mathbb{Z}G$. Then either $f(b(g, \tilde{h})) = 1$ or

$$f(b(g, \tilde{h})) = 1 + c(1 - f(g))f(h)\widetilde{f(g)} = (1 + (1 - f(g))f(h)\widetilde{f(g)})^c,$$

where $c = \frac{o(g)}{o(f(g))}$.

The bicyclic units of $\mathbb{Z}D_8$ are $u_1 = b(a, \tilde{b}), u_2 = b(a, \tilde{ab}), u_3 = b(a, \tilde{a^2b})$ and $u_4 = b(a, \tilde{a^3b})$. Further $u_4 = u_3^{-1}u_2^{-1}u_1^{-1}$. It is easily verified that the given condition on G yields that at least two of these bicyclic units are not images of bicyclic units in $\mathbb{Z}G$.

It is known that

$$V = \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}D_8) \cap (1 + \ker(\text{aug})(1 - a^2)) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}D_8) \cap (1 + \ker(\text{aug})(1 - a))$$

is a normal complement of the trivial units $\pm D_8$ and it is a free group of rank three, generated by the bicyclic units of the type $b(g, \tilde{h})$. Let B be the subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ generated by the Bass units and the bicyclic units of the type $b(g, \tilde{h})$. Since G is a 2-group, it follows from the remarks above that $f(B)$ is a proper subgroup of V requiring at most 4 generators. Since V is a free group of rank 3, we conclude that $f(B)$ must be of infinite index in V . Indeed, by the Nielsen-Schreier Theorem, if $f(B)$ has index n in V then $f(B)$ is free of rank $2n + 1$. As $f(B)$ is generated by at most 4 elements, necessarily $n = 1$ and hence $f(B) = V$, a contradiction.

For a positive integer i , let V_i denote the subgroup of V consisting of those units which can be written in the form $1 + 2^i\beta(1 - a^2)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}D_8$. Because $(1 - a^2)^2 = 2(1 - a^2)$, it follows that each $V_i \subseteq V$. Also note that for all i , V_i is a normal subgroup of V and that the groups V/V_1 and V_i/V_{i+1} are of exponent 2 and thus abelian. Since $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}D_8)$ is finitely generated, so is the group V . Consequently, V/V_1 and all V_i/V_{i+1} are finite. So, each V/V_i is finite.

Let $K = \ker(f)$. Obviously, $|K| = 2^l$ for some $l \geq 1$. We claim that $V_l \subseteq f(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$. Indeed, let $1 + 2^l\beta(1 - a^2) \in V_l$. Choose $a_1, \beta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}G$ such that $f(a_1) = a$ and $f(\beta_1) = \beta$. Put $u = 1 + \tilde{K}\beta_1(1 - a_1^2)$. Clearly $u\tilde{K} = \tilde{K}(1 + 2^l\beta_1(1 - a_1^2))$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}G\tilde{K} \cong \mathbb{Z}D_8$. Since $u(1 - \tilde{K}) = 1 - \tilde{K}$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}G(1 - \tilde{K})$, we get that $u \in \mathbb{Z}G$ is a unit in the order $\mathbb{Z}G\tilde{K} \oplus \mathbb{Z}G(1 - \tilde{K})$. Hence, because of Lemma 6.2, $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Obviously, $f(u) = 1 + 2^l\beta(1 - a^2)$. So, $u \in f(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$ and the claim has been proved.

Suppose that $f(B)$ is of finite index in $f(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$. Since $f(B) \subseteq V$, this yields $f(B)$ is of finite index in $f(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)) \cap V$. Because $V_l \subseteq f(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))V$ and V_l is of finite index in V , it follows that $f(B)$ is of finite index in V . However this contradicts the earlier fact that $f(B)$ is of infinite index in V . Therefore, we have shown that $f(B)$ is of infinite index in $f(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$.

To finish the proof we note that if $f(b(g, \tilde{h})) \neq 1$ then it is a power of a bicyclic unit $b(\widetilde{f(h)}, f(g)) = 1 + (1 + f(h))f(g)(1 - f(h))$. Since $b(\widetilde{f(h)}, f(g)) = b(f(g), \widetilde{a^2f(h)})$, we obtain that $f(\text{Bix}(G)) = f(\langle (b(g, \tilde{h}) \mid g, h \in G) \rangle)$. So, from the previous, $f(\langle (\text{Bix}(G) \cup \text{Bass}(G)) \rangle)$ is of infinite index in $f(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$ and thus $\langle \text{Bix}(G) \cup \text{Bass}(G) \rangle$ is of infinite index in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. \square

7 Constructions of central units from Bass units

We know that the central units of an order in a finite dimensional rational algebra form a finitely generated group. As a consequence of Dirichlet's Unit Theorem and Lemma 6.2, the rank of this group also can be determined.



Theorem 7.1 *Let A be a finite dimensional semisimple rational algebra and \mathcal{O} an order in A . Then*

$$\mathcal{U}(Z(\mathcal{O})) = T \times F,$$

where T is a finite group and F is a free abelian group of rank $r_{\mathbb{R}}(A) - r_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$.

If G is a finite group then for any finite field extension K of \mathbb{Q} , $r_K(KG)$ is the number of irreducible K -characters of G and it also equals the number of Wedderburn components of KG .

Hence

$$Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)) = \pm Z(G) \times F,$$

where F is a free abelian group of rank $r_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}G) - r_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}G)$.

In particular, if G is a finite abelian group of order n . Then F has rank

$$\frac{n + 1 + k_2 - 2c}{2} = \sum_{d|n, d>2} k_d \left(\frac{\varphi(d)}{2} - 1 \right),$$

where c is the number of cyclic subgroups of G and k_d is the number of cyclic subgroups of G of order d .

A result of Artin says that if G is a finite group then $r_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}G)$, the number of irreducible \mathbb{Q} -characters of G , equals the number of conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups of G .

As a consequence one obtains the following formula for the rank of the central units in a group ring.

Corollary 7.2 *Let G be a finite group. Then, the rank of $Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$ is*

$$\frac{c + c'}{2} - d,$$

where c' is the number of conjugacy classes of G closed under taking inverses and d is the number of conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups of G

Ritter and Sehgal determined necessary and sufficient conditions for all central units to be trivial. A proof relies on the following lemma.

The following notation is used. Let G be a finite group and K a field. One says that two elements g and h of G are K -conjugate in G if there exists $r \in \mathcal{U}_K(n) = \{r \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid \sigma(\xi_n) = \xi_n^r, \text{ for some } \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(\xi_n)/K)\}$ (ξ_n a primitive n -th root of unity in an extension of K) such that g and h^r are conjugate in G ; where n is the exponent of G . This defines an equivalence relation \sim_K in G . The equivalence class containing $g \in G$ is called the K -conjugacy class of g in G and it is denoted g_K^G . The conjugacy class of g in G is simply denoted g^G . Hence,

$$g_K^G = \cup_{r \in \mathcal{U}_K(n)} (g^r)^G.$$

Note that if K contains a primitive n -th root of unity, then $g_K^G = g^G$. Further note that $g \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} h$ if and only if g is conjugate of h^r in G for some r coprime with n ; equivalently $\langle g \rangle$ is a conjugate of $\langle h \rangle$ in G . One can also easily verify that $g \sim_{\mathbb{R}} h$ if and only if g is a conjugate of h or h^{-1} , that is $g_{\mathbb{R}}^G = g^G \cup (g^{-1})^G$.

Lemma 7.3 *Let G be a finite group of exponent n and let $g \in G$. Then $g_{\mathbb{Q}}^G = g_{\mathbb{R}}^G$ if and only if g is conjugate to g^m or g^{-m} for every integer m with $(m, n) = 1$.*

Corollary 7.4 (Ritter and Sehgal) *For a finite group G the following properties are equivalent.*

1. $Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$ is finite (or equivalently, G is a cut group), i.e. all central units are trivial.
2. For every $g \in G$ and every integer m with $(m, |G|) = 1$ the elements g^m and g^{-m} are conjugate.

Representation theoretically cut groups are those groups such that the character fields are either the rationals or a quadratic imaginary extensions over \mathbb{Q} . So, for example, rational groups are cut. Recently, cut groups gained in interest, but especially the subclass of rational groups has already a long tradition in classical representation theory. We refer the reader to for example [1, 9, 13].

Also for strongly monomial groups one can determine a formula for the rank of the central units and, with some restriction, one can determine an independent set of central units that generates a subgroup of finite index.



We have seen that for many finite groups the group generated by the Bass units and the bicyclic units generate a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. In particular, the subgroup contains a subgroup of finite index in the center $Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$. As the bicyclic units contain a subgroup that only “contributes” to a subgroup of finite index in reduced norm one subgroups of orders in the simple components, one might be tempted to think that the Bass units contain a subgroup of finite index in the center of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Note, however, that Bass units in general are not central elements.

Jespers, Parmenter and Sehgal showed that for finite nilpotent groups the group generated by the Bass units contains a subgroup of finite index in the unit group of the center. To do so, one needs, in first instance, a method to construct from a Bass unit a central unit. Jespers, Olteanu, Van Gelder and del R  o proved that this also can be done for the class of abelian-by-supersolvable groups G such that every cyclic subgroup of order not a divisor of 4 or 6 is subnormal in G . Obviously, dihedral groups are examples of such groups. Also nilpotent finite groups N are examples. Indeed, let $Z_i = Z_i(N)$ denote the i -th center of N , i.e. $Z_0 = \{1\}$ and $Z_i/Z_{i-1} = Z(G/Z_{i-1})$ for $i \geq 1$. Then, for $x \in N$, the series $\langle x \rangle \triangleleft \langle Z_1, x \rangle \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \langle Z_n, x \rangle = N$ (for some integer n) is a subnormal series in N .

So, suppose G is a finite abelian-by-supersolvable group such that every cyclic subgroup of order not a divisor of 4 or 6 is subnormal in G . Let $g \in G$ be of order not a divisor of 4 or 6 and let

$$\mathcal{N} : N_0 = \langle g \rangle \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft N_2 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_m = G$$

be a subnormal series in G . For $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle)$ put

$$c_o^{\mathcal{N}}(u) = u$$

and

$$c_i^{\mathcal{N}}(u) = \prod_{h \in T_i} c_{i-1}^{\mathcal{N}}(u)^h,$$

where T_i is a transversal for N_{i-1} in N_i , $i \geq 1$. That this construction is well defined follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 7.5 *With notation as above.*

1. $c_{i-1}^{\mathcal{N}}(u)^x \in \mathbb{Z}N_{i-1}$ for $x \in N_i$,
2. $c_{i-1}^{\mathcal{N}}(u)^x = c_{i-1}^{\mathcal{N}}(u)$ for $x \in N_{i-1}$,
3. $c_i^{\mathcal{N}}(u)$ is independent of the chosen transversal T_i .

In particular, $c_m^{\mathcal{N}}(u) \in Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$.

Because the class of abelian-by-supersolvable groups is closed under taking subgroups (a property that does not hold for the larger class consisting of strongly monomial groups) one can prove the following result.

Theorem 7.6 (Jespers, Olteanu, del R  o and Van Gelder) *Let G be a finite abelian-by-supersolvable group such that every cyclic subgroup of order not a divisor of 4 or 6 is subnormal in G . Let $g \in G$ be of order not a divisor of 4 or 6. Then, the group generated by the Bass units of $\mathbb{Z}G$ contains a subgroup of finite index in $Z(\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$.*

Actually, for each subgroup $\langle g \rangle$, of order not dividing 4 or 6, fix a subnormal series \mathcal{N}_g from $\langle g \rangle$ to G . Then

$$\langle c^{\mathcal{N}_g}(b_g) \mid b_g \text{ a Bass unit based on } g, g \in G \rangle,$$

is of finite index in $Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$.

Recently, a beautiful generalization of this result has been obtained by Bakshi and Kaur [4] for a much wider class of groups, the class consisting of the generalized strongly monomial groups (which defined are via the notion of generalized strong Shoda pair).



8 Structure theorems of unit groups

The exceptional simple components are an obstruction for the construction of finitely many generators for a subgroup of finite index in the unit group of $\mathbb{Z}G$ (for a finite group G). Maybe surprisingly, many of these components are not an obstruction for proving a “structure theorem”, on the contrary.

According to Kleinert[26] a “Unit Theorem” for the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is a statement that should at least consist, in purely group theoretical terms, of a class of groups \mathcal{G} such that almost all torsionfree subgroups of finite index in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are members of \mathcal{G} .

So one can pose the following general problem.

Problem 8.1 *For a class of groups \mathcal{G} , classify the finite groups G , such that $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ contains a subgroup of finite index in \mathcal{G} .*

In the following results we state the answer for the class of groups \mathcal{G} that consists of direct products of free products of abelian groups (Jespers and del Río) and for the class of groups that consists of the direct products of free-by-free groups (Jespers, Pita, del Río, Ruiz, P. Zalesskii).

Theorem 8.2 *The following properties are equivalent for a finite group G .*

1. $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is either virtually abelian or virtually nonabelian free.
2. $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is virtually a free product of abelian groups.
3. $\mathbb{Q}G$ is a direct product of fields, division rings of the form $\left(\frac{-1, -3}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$, or $\mathbb{H}(K)$ with $K = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ and at most one copy of $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$.
4. One of the following conditions hold:
 - (a) $G = Q_8 \times C_2^n$,
 - (b) G is abelian,
 - (c) G is one of the following groups: $D_6, D_8, Q_{12} = \langle a, b \mid a^6 = 1, b^2 = a^3, ba = a^5b \rangle, P = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = 1, b^4 = 1, aba^{-1}b^{-1} = a^2 \rangle$ (in this case $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is virtually nonabelian free).

Note that the respective Wedderburn decomposition of the mentioned rational group algebras is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}D_6 &\cong 2\mathbb{Q} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}), \\ \mathbb{Q}D_8 &\cong 4\mathbb{Q} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}), \\ \mathbb{Q}Q_8 &\cong 4\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Q}), \\ \mathbb{Q}P &\cong 4\mathbb{Q} \oplus 2\mathbb{Q}(i) \oplus \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \mathbb{Q}Q_{12} &\cong 2\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) + \left(\frac{-1, -3}{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}), \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 8.3 *The following properties are equivalent for a finite group G .*

1. $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is virtually a direct product of free-by-free groups.
2. For every simple component A of $\mathbb{Q}G$ and some (every) order \mathcal{O} in A , the group of reduced norm one elements in \mathcal{O} is virtually free-by-free.
3. Every simple component of $\mathbb{Q}G$ is either a field, a totally definite quaternion algebra, or $M_2(K)$ where K is either $\mathbb{Q}(i), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$.
4. G is either abelian or an epimorphic image of $A \times H$, where A is an abelian group and one of the following conditions holds:
 - (a) A has exponent 6 and H is one of the groups $\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}_{1n}$ or \mathcal{W}_{2n} .
 - (b) A has exponent 4 and H is one of the groups $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_{1n}, \mathcal{V}_{2n}, \mathcal{U}_1$ or \mathcal{U}_2 .
 - (c) A has exponent 2 and H is one of the group $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_{1n}, \mathcal{T}_{2n}$ or \mathcal{T}_{3n} .
 - (d) $H = M \rtimes P = (M \times Q) : \langle \bar{u} \rangle_2$, where M is an elementary abelian 3-group, $P = Q : \langle \bar{u} \rangle_2, m^u = m^{-1}$ for every $m \in M$, and one of the following conditions holds:
 - A has exponent 4 and $P = C_8$,
 - A has exponent 6, $P = W_{1n}$ and $Q = \langle y_1, \dots, y_n, t_1, \dots, t_n, x^2 \rangle$,
 - A has exponent 2, $P = W_{21}$ and $Q = \langle y_1^2, x \rangle$.

The non-nilpotent groups are those listed in (4) with M non-trivial.



The first class consists of the following groups.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W} &= \left(\langle t \rangle_2 \times \langle x^2 \rangle_2 \times \langle y^2 \rangle_2 \right) : (\langle \bar{x} \rangle_2 \times \langle \bar{y} \rangle_2), \\ &\text{with } t = (x, y) \text{ and } Z(\mathcal{W}) = \langle x^2, y^2, t \rangle. \\ \mathcal{W}_{1n} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \langle t_i \rangle_2 \times \prod_{i=1}^n \langle y_i \rangle_2 \right) \rtimes \langle x \rangle_4, \\ &\text{with } t_i = (x, y_i) \text{ and } Z(\mathcal{W}_{1n}) = \langle t_1, \dots, t_n, x^2 \rangle. \\ \mathcal{W}_{2n} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \langle y_i \rangle_4 \right) \rtimes \langle x \rangle_4, \\ &\text{with } t_i = (x, y_i) = y_i^2 \text{ and } Z(\mathcal{W}_{2n}) = \langle t_1, \dots, t_n, x^2 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The second class of groups consists of the following groups.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V} &= \left(\langle t \rangle_2 \times \langle x^2 \rangle_4 \times \langle y^2 \rangle_4 \right) : (\langle \bar{x} \rangle_2 \times \langle \bar{y} \rangle_2), \\ &\text{with } t = (x, y) \text{ and } Z(\mathcal{V}) = \langle x^2, y^2, t \rangle. \\ \mathcal{V}_{1n} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \langle t_i \rangle_2 \times \prod_{i=1}^n \langle y_i \rangle_4 \right) \rtimes \langle x \rangle_8, \\ &\text{with } t_i = (x, y_i) \text{ and } Z(\mathcal{V}_{1n}) = \langle t_1, \dots, t_n, y_1^2, \dots, y_n^2, x^2 \rangle. \\ \mathcal{V}_{2n} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \langle y_i \rangle_8 \right) \rtimes \langle x \rangle_8, \\ &\text{with } t_i = (x, y_i) = y_i^4 \text{ and } Z(\mathcal{V}_{2n}) = \langle t_1, \dots, t_n, x^2 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The third class consists of the following groups.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}_1 &= \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} \langle t_{ij} \rangle_2 \right) : \left(\prod_{k=1}^3 \langle \bar{y}_k \rangle_4 \right), \\ &\text{with } Z(\mathcal{U}_1) = \langle t_{12}, t_{13}, t_{23}, y_1^2, y_2^2, y_3^2 \rangle, \quad t_{ij} = (y_i, y_j) \text{ and } y_i^4 = 1. \\ \mathcal{U}_2 &= \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} \langle t_{ij} \rangle_2 \right) : \left(\prod_{k=1}^3 \langle \bar{y}_k \rangle_4 \right), \\ &\text{with } Z(\mathcal{U}_2) = \langle t_{12}, t_{13}, t_{23}, y_1^2, y_2^2, y_3^2 \rangle, \quad t_{ij} = (y_i, y_j), \\ &y_1^4 = 1, \quad y_2^4 = t_{12} \text{ and } y_3^4 = t_{13}.\end{aligned}$$

The following groups form part of the fourth class of groups.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{1n} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \langle t_i \rangle_4 \times \prod_{i=1}^n \langle y_i \rangle_4 \right) \rtimes \langle x \rangle_8, \\ &\text{with } t_i = (x, y_i), \quad (x, t_i) = t_i^2 \text{ and } Z(\mathcal{T}_{1n}) = \langle t_1^2, \dots, t_n^2, x^2 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

A major issue remains the lack of knowledge of constructing large subgroups of the unit group of an order in a finite dimensional rational division algebra (so dealing with orders in exceptional components of type 1).

Problem 8.4 *Discover generic constructions of units in orders of division algebras that are simple components of a rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$ of a finite group. Discover generators of large subgroups in such orders.*

Problem 8.5 *Describe finitely many generators for the following unit groups:*

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}(Q_8 \times C_3)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}(Q_8 \times C_7)).$$



In recent work by Bächle, Janssens, Jespers, Kiefer, Temmerman [2], it has been investigated when the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ (or more specifically the group generated by the bicyclic units) can or cannot be decomposed into a non-trivial amalgamated product. This is done under the assumption that $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)^{ab}$ is finite. Because $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is a finitely generated group, a result of Serre [42] says that being both not a non-trivial amalgamated product and $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)^{ab}$ finite precisely occurs when $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ has property FA. Recall that a group has property FA if every action on a simplicial tree has a global fixed point. Since unit theorems concern a property on all subgroups of finite index, one considers the hereditary property, denoted HFA, and a finite abelianization property, denoted FAb. One says that a group has HFA if all its finite index subgroups have property FA and one says that a group has property FAb if every subgroup of finite index has finite abelianization. It is well-known that property FA follows from Kazhdan's property T (see [11]). Recall from Delorme-Guichardet's Theorem [11, Theorem 2.12.4] that a countable discrete group Γ has property (T) if and only if every affine isometric action of Γ on a real Hilbert space has a fixed point.

Bächle, Janssens, Jespers, Kiefer, Temmerman proved in [2] a characterization of when $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ satisfies these hereditary properties. Surprisingly, all these fixed point properties are equivalent and are controlled both in terms of G and in terms of the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{Q}G$.

Theorem 8.6 *Let G be a finite group. The following properties are equivalent:*

1. *The group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ has property HFA,*
2. *The group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ has property T,*
3. *The group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ has property FAb,*
4. *G is cut and $\mathbb{Q}G$ has no exceptional components,*
5. *G is cut and G does not map onto one of 10 explicitly described groups.*

In particular, if these conditions are satisfied, then the group generated by the bicyclic units is not a non-trivial amalgamated product.

Furthermore, if G does not have exceptional simple components (e.g. G is of odd order), then the above conditions are equivalent to the following two equivalent conditions

6. *$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)^{ab}$ is finite,*
7. *G is a cut group.*

In [3], Bächle, Janssens, Jespers, Kiefer, Temmerman proved the following dichotomy.

Theorem 8.7 *Let G be finite group which is solvable or $5 \nmid |G|$. If G is a cut group, then exactly one of the following properties holds:*

1. *$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ has property T.*
2. *$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is commensurable with a non-trivial amalgamated product.*

In [3], Bächle, Janssens, Jespers, Kiefer, Temmerman also proved the following unit theorem.

Theorem 8.8 *Let G be a finite group having D_8 or S_3 as an epimorphic image. Then $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is virtually a non-trivial amalgamated product.*

References

1. A. Bächle, Integral group rings of solvable groups with trivial central units, *Forum Math.* 30 (2018), no. 4, 845–855.
2. A. Bächle, G. Janssens, E. Jespers, A. Kiefer and D. Temmerman, Abelianization and fixed point properties of units in integral group rings, *Math. Nachrichten (MANA2514)*, 47 pages, <https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.202000514>, (to appear) [arXiv:1811.12184](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.12184).
3. A. Bächle, G. Janssens, E. Jespers, A. Kiefer and D. Temmerman, A dichotomy for integral group rings via higher modular groups as amalgamated products, [arXiv:1811.12226](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.12226).
4. G. K. Bakshi and G. Kaur, A generalization of strongly monomial groups, *J. Algebra* 520 (2019), 419–439.
5. G. K. Bakshi and G. Kaur, Character triples and Shoda pairs, *Journal of Algebra* 491 (2017) 447–473.
6. G.K. Bakshi, R.S. Kulkarni, I.B.S. Passi, The rational group algebra of a finite group, *J. Algebra Appl.* 12 (3) (2013) 1250168, 17 pp.
7. G.K. Bakshi, S. Maheshwary, The rational group algebra of a normally monomial group, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 218 (9) (2014) 1583–1593.
8. G.K. Bakshi, S. Maheshwary, Extremely strong Shoda pairs with GAP, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 76 (5) (2016) 97–106.



9. G. Bakshi, S. Maheshwary and I. Passi, Integral group rings with all central units trivial, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 221 (2017), no. 8, 1955–1965.
10. H. Bass, The Dirichlet unit theorem, induced characters, and Whitehead groups of finite groups, *Topology* 4 (1966), 391–410.
11. B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe and A. Valette, Kazhdan's property (T), Volume 11 of *New Mathematical Monographs*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
12. A.A. Bovdi, *Group Rings (Russian)*, A textbook, Užgorod. Gosudarstv. Univ., Uzhgorod, 1974. 118 pp.
13. D. Chillag and S. Dolfi, Semi-rational solvable groups, *J. Group Theory* 13 (2010), no. 4, 535–548.
14. F. Eisele, A. Kiefer and I. Van Gelder, Describing units of integral group rings up to commensurability, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 219 (2015), 2901–2916.
15. N. Gupta, *Free group rings*, Contemporary Mathematics 66, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1987. xii+129 pp.
16. M. Hertweck, A counterexample to the isomorphism problem for integral group rings, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 154 (2001), no. 1, 115–138.
17. G. Higman, *Units in group rings*, Ph.D. thesis, Oxford University Press, 1940.
18. E. Jespers and Á. del Río, *Group ring groups, Vol. 1: Orders and generic constructions of units*, De Gruyter Graduate, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2016. xii+447 pp. ISBN: 978-3-11-037278-6; 978-3-11-038617-2
19. E. Jespers and Á. del Río, *Group ring groups, Vol. 2: structure theorems of unit groups*, De Gruyter Graduate, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2016. xii+447 pp. ISBN: 978-3-11-041149-2; 978-3-11-041275-8.
20. G. Karpilovsky, *Induced modules over group algebras*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies 161, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1990. xii+520 pp.
21. G. Karpilovsky, *Unit groups of group rings*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 47, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989. xiv+393
22. G. Karpilovsky, *Unit groups of classical rings*, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1988. xiv+370 pp.
23. G. Karpilovsky, *Structure of blocks of group algebras*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 33, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987. xviii+427 pp.
24. G. Karpilovsky, *The Jacobson radical of group algebras*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 135, Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 115. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1987. x+532 pp.
25. G. Karpilovsky, *Commutative group algebras*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics 78, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1983. x+223 pp.
26. E. Kleinert, Units of classical orders: a survey, *Enseign. Math.* 40 (1994), 205–248.
27. E. Kleinert, *Units in skew fields (English summary)*, Progress in Mathematics 186, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000. viii+80 pp.
28. G. Lee, *Group identities on units and symmetric units of group rings*, Algebra and Applications, 12. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2010. xii+194 pp.
29. I.B.N. Passi, *Group rings and their augmentation ideals*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 715. Springer, Berlin, 1979. vi+137 pp.
30. D.S. Passman, *Infinite group rings*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 6, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1971. viii+149 pp.
31. D.S. Passman, *The algebraic structure of group rings*, Reprint of the 1977 original, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Inc., Melbourne, FL, 1985. xiv+734 pp.
32. D.S. Passman, *Group rings, crossed products and Galois theory*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 64, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986. viii+71 pp.
33. W. Plesken, *Group rings of finite groups over p -adic integers*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1026. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. ii+151 pp.
34. C. Polcino Milies, *Units in group rings (Portuguese)* Monografias de Matemática [Mathematical Monographs] 58, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, 1998. 40 pp.
35. C. Polcino Milies, S.K. Sehgal, *An introduction to group rings*. Algebra and Applications 1, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002. xii+371 pp.
36. I. Reiner, *Class groups and Picard groups of group rings and orders*, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 26. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1976. iv+44 pp.
37. K.W. Roggenkamp, *Integral representations and structure of finite group rings*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures [Seminar on Higher Mathematics] 71, Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, Que., 1980.
38. K. W. Roggenkamp, M.J. Taylor, *Group rings and class groups*, DMV Seminar, 18. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992. vi+210 pp.
39. A. Salwa, On free subgroups of units of rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127 (1999), no. 9, 2569–2572.
40. S.K. Sehgal, *Topics in group rings*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Math. 50, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1978. vi+251 pp.
41. S.K. Sehgal, *Units in integral group rings (With an appendix by Al Weiss)*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 69. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1993. xii+357 pp.
42. J.P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Translated from the French original by John Stillwell, Corrected 2nd printing of the 1980 English translation, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. x+142.
43. M. Taylor, *Classgroups of group rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 91, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984. xiii+119 pp.
44. A.E. Zalesskii, A.V. Mihalev, *Group rings (Russian)*, Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 2 (Russian), pp. 5–118, (errata insert) Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesojuz. Inst. Naučn. i Tehn. Informacii, Moscow, 1973.

