SYMMETRIC INEQUALITIES WITH POWER-EXPONENTIAL FUNCTIONS

Yusuke Nishizawa

General Education, Ube National College of Technology, Tokiwadai 2-14-1, Ube, Yamaguchi 755-8555, Japan e-mail: yusuke@ube-k.ac.jp

(Received 6 July 2016; after final revision 20 November 2016; accepted 8 February 2017)

We establish symmetric inequalities with power-exponential functions and propose a conjecture.

Key words : Inequalities; power-exponential functions; monotonically increasing functions; monotonically decreasing functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Studying inequalities with power-exponential functions is the one of the most interesting fields of mathematical analysis. Coronel and Huancas [1] introduced the literature and history of this subject and mathematicians [1-13] studied inequalities with power-exponential functions and conjectured some open inequalities. Especially, the following symmetric inequality is the one of the simplest shaped form; if *a* and *b* are nonnegative real numbers with a+b = 1, then the inequality $a^{2b}+b^{2a} \le 1$ holds. The inequality is posed by Cîrtoaje [3] as Conjecture 4.8 and proved by himself in [4] and Matejíčka [6]. In [3, 4, 6] and [9], it is known that the following other symmetric inequalities hold; the inequalities $a^{2b}+b^{2a} \le 2$ and $a^{3b}+b^{3a} \le 2$ hold for nonnegative real numbers *a* and *b* with a+b=2 and moreover, the inequality with double power exponential functions $a^{(2b)^k} + b^{(2a)^k} \le 1$ holds for nonnegative real numbers *a* and *b* with a+b=2 and moreover, the inequality with double power exponential functions $a^{(2b)^k} + b^{(2a)^k} \le 1$ holds for nonnegative real numbers *a* and *b* with a+b=1 and $k \ge 1$. The above symmetric inequalities look like very simple forms, but these proofs are not immediate. In this paper, we establish symmetric inequalities as follows.

Theorem 1.1—If a and b are nonnegative real numbers with a + b = 1/2, then the inequality $a^{2b} + b^{2a} \le 1$ holds.

Theorem 1.2—If a and b are nonnegative real numbers with a + b = c, then the inequality $a^{2b} + b^{2a} \le 1$ holds for $1/2 \le c \le 1$.

2. PROOFS OF MAIN THEOREMS

2.1 PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $0 \le a \le 1/4 \le b \le 1/2$. Here, we set

$$F(a) = 1 + (-4 + 8\ln 2) (a - 1/4) + (-4 - 16\ln 2 + 16(\ln 2)^2) (a - 1/4)^2$$

for 0 < a < 1/4. We obtain lemmas related to F(a).

Lemma 2.1 — For any 0 < a < 1/4, we have

$$0 < F(a) < 1.$$

PROOF : The derivatives of F(a) are

$$F'(a) = -4 + 8\ln 2 + 2\left(-\frac{1}{4} + a\right)\left(-4 - 16\ln 2 + 16(\ln 2)^2\right)$$

and

$$F''(a) = 2 \left(-4 - 16 \ln 2 + 16 (\ln 2)^2 \right)$$
$$\cong 2 \times (-7.40311) < 0.$$

Thus, F'(a) is strictly decreasing for 0 < a < 1/4. Since $F'(a) > F'(1/4) = -4 + 8 \ln 2 \approx 1.54518$, we have F(a) is strictly increasing for 0 < a < 1/4. From $F(0) = (7 - 12 \ln 2 + 4(\ln 2)^2)/4 \approx 0.151011$ and F(1/4) = 1, we obtain 0 < F(a) < 1.

Lemma 2.2 — For any 0 < t < 1, we have

$$\ln\left(1+t\right) > (\ln 2)t.$$

PROOF: We set $f(t) = \ln (1 + t) - (\ln 2)t$. The derivative of f(t) is $f'(t) = 1/(1 + t) - \ln 2$. Therefore, f'(t) > 0 for $0 < t < (1 - \ln 2)/(\ln 2)$ and f'(t) < 0 for $(1 - \ln 2)/(\ln 2) < t < 1$. Since f(t) is strictly increasing for $0 < t < (1 - \ln 2)/(\ln 2)$ and f(t) is strictly decreasing for $(1 - \ln 2)/(\ln 2) < t < 1$, we can get $f(t) > \min\{f(0), f(1)\}$. From f(0) = f(1) = 0, we have f(t) > 0 for 0 < t < 1.

Lemma 2.3 — For any 0 < a < 1/4, we have

$$(\ln 2)F(a) > 2a\,(\ln a)$$

PROOF : We set $f(a) = (\ln 2)F(a) - 2a \ln a$. The derivatives of f(a) are

$$f'(a) = 2\left(-1 - \ln 2 - 4a\ln 2 + 8(\ln 2)^2 - 16a(\ln 2)^2 - 4(\ln 2)^3 + 16a(\ln 2)^3 - \ln a\right)$$

and

$$f''(a) = \frac{2\left(-1 - 4a\ln 2 + 16a(-1 + \ln 2)(\ln 2)^2\right)}{a}$$

From $-1 + \ln 2 \cong -0.306853$, we have f''(a) < 0 and f'(a) is strictly decreasing for 0 < a < 1/4. Since

$$f'(a) > f'\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$$

=2 (-1 - 2ln 2 + 4(ln 2)² + 2ln 2)
\approx 1.84362,

we can get f'(a) > 0 and f(a) is strictly increasing for 0 < a < 1/4. From $f(0+) = (\ln 2) (7 - 12\ln 2 + 4(\ln 2)^2) / 4$ and $-12\ln 2 + 4(\ln 2)^2 \approx -6.39595$, we can get f(a) > 0 for 0 < a < 1/4.

From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, the inequality $\ln (1 + F(a)) > (\ln 2)F(a)$ holds. Moreover, by Lemma 2.3, we have $(\ln 2)F(a) > 2a(\ln a)$. Hence, for 0 < a < 1/4, we can get $1 + F(a) \ge a^{2a}$. Therefore, the inequality $a(1 + F(a)) \ge a^{1+2a}$ holds. Thus, it suffices to show that the inequality $1 - a(1 + F(a)) > (1/2 - a)^{2a}$ holds for 0 < a < 1/4. We denote t = 1/2 - a. The inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right) &\left\{2 + \left(-4 + 8\ln 2\right) \left(\frac{1}{4} - t\right) \right. \\ &\left. + \left(-4 - 16\ln 2 + 16(\ln 2)^2\right) \left(\frac{1}{4} - t\right)^2\right\} > t^{1-2t} \end{aligned}$$

for 1/4 < t < 1/2. We denote

$$G(t) = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right) \left\{ 2 + \left(-4 + 8\ln 2\right) \left(\frac{1}{4} - t\right) + \left(-4 - 16\ln 2 + 16(\ln 2)^2\right) \left(\frac{1}{4} - t\right)^2 \right\} - t^{1-2t}.$$

The derivatives of G(t) are

$$G'(t) = 2 + \frac{1}{4}(-17 + 64t - 48t^2 + 4\ln 2 + 64t\ln 2 - 192t^2\ln 2 + 20(\ln 2)^2 - 128t(\ln 2)^2 + 192t^2(\ln 2)^2) - t^{1-2t}\left(\frac{1-2t}{t} - 2\ln t\right),$$

YUSUKE NISHIZAWA

$$G''(t) = \left(\frac{1+2t}{t^2}\right)t^{1-2t} + \frac{1}{4}(64 - 96t + 64\ln 2 - 384t\ln 2) - 128(\ln 2)^2 + 384t(\ln 2)^2 - t^{1-2t}\left(\frac{1-2t}{t} - 2\ln t\right)^2$$

and

$$G'''(t) = 24 \left(-1 - 4\ln 2 + 4(\ln 2)^2 \right) + 4t^{-1-2t} G_1(t) \,,$$

where $G_1(t) = 1 - 6t + 2t^2 - 9t \ln t + 6t^2 \ln t - 3t(\ln t)^2 + 6t^2(\ln t)^2 + 2t^2(\ln t)^3$.

Lemma 2.4 — For any 1/4 < t < 1/2, we have

$$G_1(t) < \frac{6}{5} \,.$$

PROOF : We set

$$f(t) = 1 - 6t + 2t^2 - 9t\ln t + 6t^2\ln t - 3t(\ln t)^2 + 5t^2(\ln t)^2 + 2t^2(\ln t)^3$$

and $g(t) = t^2(\ln t)^2$. Then the derivatives of f(t) are

$$f'(t) = -15 + 10t - 15\ln t + 22t\ln t - 3(\ln t)^2 + 16t(\ln t)^2 + 4t(\ln t)^3,$$

$$f''(t) = 32 - \frac{15}{t} + 54\ln t - \frac{6\ln t}{t} + 28(\ln t)^2 + 4(\ln t)^3,$$

$$f'''(t) = \frac{9 + 54t + 6\ln t + 56t\ln t + 12t(\ln t)^2}{t^2}$$

$$= \frac{h(t)}{t^2}.$$

Here, the derivative of h(t) is

$$h'(t) = 110 + \frac{6}{t} + 80 \ln t + 12(\ln t)^2$$

$$\geq 110 + 12 + 80 \ln \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$$

$$\approx 11.0965.$$

By h'(t) > 0 for 1/4 < t < 1/2, h(t) is strictly increasing for 1/4 < t < 1/2. From

$$h\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{45}{2} - 40\ln 2 + 12(\ln 2)^2$$
$$\cong 0.539549$$

and f'''(t) > 0, f''(t) is strictly increasing for 1/4 < t < 1/2. By

$$f''\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 2 - 42\ln 2 + 28(\ln 2)^2 - 4(\ln 2)^3$$
$$\approx -14.9916$$

and f''(t) < 0, f'(t) is strictly decreasing for 1/4 < t < 1/2. From

$$f'\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = -\frac{25}{2} + 19\ln 2 + 4(\ln 2)^2 - 8(\ln 2)^3$$
$$\cong -0.0725887$$

and f'(t) < 0, f(t) is strictly decreasing for 1/4 < t < 1/2. Therefore,

$$f(t) \le f\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$$

= $-\frac{3}{8} + \frac{15(\ln 2)}{4} - \frac{7(\ln 2)^2}{4} - (\ln 2)^3$

for 1/4 < t < 1/2. On the other hand, $g(t) \le g(1/e) = 1/e^2$ for 1/4 < t < 1/2. Hence, we have

$$G_{1}(t) = f(t) + g(t)$$

$$\leq -\frac{3}{8} + \frac{15(\ln 2)}{4} - \frac{7(\ln 2)^{2}}{4} - (\ln 2)^{3} + \frac{1}{e^{2}}$$

$$\cong 1.18582$$

$$< \frac{6}{5}.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 : Since t^{-1-2t} is strictly decreasing for 1/4 < t < 1/2, by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$G'''(t) < 24 \left(-1 - 4\ln 2 + 4(\ln 2)^2 \right) + 4t^{-1-2t} \left(\frac{6}{5} \right)$$

$$< 24 \left(-1 - 4\ln 2 + 4(\ln 2)^2 \right) + 4 \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^{-1-2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} \left(\frac{6}{5} \right)$$

$$\approx -6.01864.$$

Thus, G''(t) is strictly decreasing for 1/4 < t < 1/2. Since we have

$$G''\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = -2(-10 + 8(\ln 2)^2 + 8\ln 2)$$
$$\cong 1.2224$$

and

$$G''\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 4(3 - 8\ln 2 + 3(\ln 2)^2)$$

\$\approx -4.41527,\$

there exists a unique real number t_1 with $1/4 < t_1 < 1/2$ such that G''(t) > 0 for $1/4 < t < t_1$ and G''(t) < 0 for $t_1 < t < 1/2$. Hence, G'(t) is strictly increasing for $1/4 < t < t_1$ and G'(t) is strictly decreasing for $t_1 < t < 1/2$. From G'(1/4) = 0 and

$$G'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{11}{4} - 5\ln 2 + (\ln 2)^2$$

\$\approx -0.235283,\$

there exists a unique real number t_2 with $1/4 < t_2 < 1/2$ such that G'(t) > 0 for $1/4 < t < t_2$ and G'(t) < 0 for $t_2 < t < 1/2$. Thus, G(t) is strictly increasing for $1/4 < t < t_2$ and G(t) is strictly decreasing for $t_2 < t < 1/2$. By G(1/4) = G(1/2) = 0, we can obtain G(t) > 0 for 1/4 < t < 1/2. From $a(1 + F(a)) \ge a^{1+2a}$, $1 - a(1 + F(a)) > (1/2 - a)^{2a}$ holds for 0 < a < 1/4, so the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

2.2 PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $0 \le a \le c/2 \le b \le c$. Here, we set $H(c) = a^{2(-a+c)} + (-a+c)^{2a} - 1$. The derivative of H(c) is

$$H'(c) = 2a(-a+c)^{-1+2a} + 2a^{2(-a+c)}\ln a$$
$$= 2a^{2(-a+c)} \left(a^{1-2(-a+c)}(-a+c)^{-1+2a} + \ln a\right)$$
$$= 2a^{2(-a+c)}I(c)$$

and the derivative of I(c) is

$$I'(c) = \frac{a^{1+2a-2c}(-a+c)^{2a}(-1+2a+2a\ln a-2c\ln a)}{(a-c)^2}$$

Lemma 2.5 — For any $1/2 \le c \le 1$ and 0 < a < c/2, we have

$$-1 + 2a + 2a \ln a - 2c \ln a > 0.$$

PROOF: We set $f(a) = -1 + 2a + 2a \ln a - 2c \ln a$. The derivative of f(a) is

$$f'(a) = \frac{2(2a - c + a\ln a)}{a} < 0.$$

340

Since f(a) is strictly decreasing for 0 < a < c/2, $f(a) > f(c/2) = -1 + c - c \ln (c/2)$. We denote $g(c) = -1 + c - c \ln (c/2)$. The derivative of g(c) is $g'(c) = -\ln (c/2) > 0$. Since g(c) is strictly increasing for 1/2 < c < 1 and $g(c) > g(1/2) = -1/2 + \ln 2 \approx 0.193147$, we can get f(a) > 0.

From Lemma 2.5, we have I'(c) > 0 and I(c) is strictly increasing for 1/2 < c < 1. If c = 1/2, then by Theorem 1.1, we have $(1/2 - a)^{2a} < 1 - a^{1-2a}$ for 0 < a < 1/4. Thus the following inequality holds.

$$I\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - a\right)^{-1+2a} a^{1-2\left(\frac{1}{2} - a\right)} + \ln a$$
$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - a\right)^{2a} a^{2a} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - a\right) \ln a}{\frac{1}{2} - a}$$
$$\leq \frac{a^{2a} - a + \left(\frac{1}{2} - a\right) \ln a}{\frac{1}{2} - a}.$$

Lemma 2.6 — For any 0 < a < 1/4, we have

$$a^{2a} - a + \left(\frac{1}{2} - a\right)\ln a < 0.$$

PROOF : We set

$$f(a) = (\ln a)2a - \ln\left(a - \left(\frac{1}{2} - a\right)\ln a\right).$$

The derivative of f(a) is

$$f'(a) = \frac{(-1+2a)\left(-1+2a+4a\ln a+2a(\ln a)^2\right)}{a(2a+(-1+2a)\ln a)}.$$

We denote $g(a) = -1/2 + 4a \ln a + 2a(\ln a)^2$. The derivative of g(a) is $g'(a) = 2(2 + 4\ln a + (\ln a)^2)$. Therefore, we obtain g'(a) > 0 for $0 < a < e^{-2-\sqrt{2}}$ and g'(a) < 0 for $e^{-2-\sqrt{2}} < a < 1/4$. Hence, we have

$$g(a) \le g\left(e^{-2-\sqrt{2}}\right) \\ = \frac{e^{-2-\sqrt{2}}}{2} \left(8 + 8\sqrt{2} - e^{2+\sqrt{2}}\right) \\ \cong -0.182268$$

for 0 < a < 1/4. Since $-1 + 2a + 4a \ln a + 2a(\ln a)^2 < g(a) < 0$ and $(-1 + 2a) \ln a > 0$, we have f'(a) > 0 and f(a) is strictly increasing for 0 < a < 1/4. From

$$f\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = -\ln 2 - \ln\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\ln 2}{2}\right)$$
$$\approx -0.176595,$$

we have f(a) < 0 for 0 < a < 1/4.

By Lemma 2.6, we have I(1/2) < 0. If c = 1, then $I(1) = (1-a)^{-1+2a}a^{-1+2a} + \ln a$. We may show that I(1) > 0.

Lemma 2.7 — For any 0 < a < 1/2, we have

$$(1-a)^{-1+2a} > 1.$$

PROOF: We set $f(a) = (-1+2a)\ln(1-a)$. The derivatives of f(a) are

$$f'(a) = -\frac{-1+2a}{1-a} + 2\ln(1-a)$$

and

$$f''(a) = \frac{-3+2a}{(-1+a)^2}.$$

By f''(a) < 0 for 0 < a < 1/2, f'(a) is strictly decreasing for 0 < a < 1/2. From f'(0) = 1and $f'(1/2) = -2 \ln 2 \cong -1.38629$, there exists a unique real number a_1 with $0 < a_1 < 1/2$ such that f'(a) > 0 for $0 < a < a_1$ and f'(a) < 0 for $a_1 < a < 1/2$. Therefore, f(a) is strictly increasing for $0 < a < a_1$ and f(a) is strictly decreasing for $a_1 < a < 1/2$. By f(0) = f(1/2) = 0, we can obtain f(a) > 0 for 0 < a < 1/2.

Lemma 2.8 — For any 0 < a < 1/2, we have

$$a\ln a > -\frac{2}{5} \,.$$

PROOF: We set $f(a) = a \ln a + 2/5$. The derivative of f(a) is $f'(a) = 1 + \ln a$. Since f'(a) is strictly increasing for 0 < a < 1/2 and we have f'(a) < 0 for 0 < a < 1/e and f'(a) > 0 for 1/e < a < 1/2, $f(a) > f(1/e) = 2/5 - 1/e \approx 0.0321206$. Thus, we can get f(a) > 0 for 0 < a < 1/2.

Lemma 2.9 — For any 0 < a < 1/2, we have

$$a^{2a} > \frac{2}{5}.$$

PROOF : We set $f(a) = 2a \ln a - \ln (2/5)$. The derivative of f(a) is $f'(a) = 2(1 + \ln a)$. Since f'(a) < 0 for 0 < a < 1/e and f'(a) > 0 for 1/e < a < 1/2, $f(a) > f(1/e) = -2/e + \ln (5/2) \cong 0.180532$. Thus, we can get f(a) > 0 for 0 < a < 1/2. \Box

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 : By Lemmas 2.7, 2.8 and 2.9, we have I(1) > 0. Since I(c) is strictly increasing for 1/2 < c < 1 and I(1/2) < 0 and I(1) > 0, there exists a unique function c = J(a)such that I(J(a)) < 0 for 1/2 < c < J(a) and I(J(a)) > 0 for J(a) < c < 1. Thus, H(c) is strictly decreasing for 1/2 < c < J(a) and H(c) is strictly increasing for J(a) < c < 1. Since Theorem 1.1 and the inequality $a^{2b} + b^{2a} \le 1$ holds for a + b = 1, we have $H(1/2) \le 0$ and $H(1) \le 0$. Hence, we can obtain $H(c) \le 0$ and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

We propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.10 — If a and b are nonnegative real numbers with a + b = 1/2, then the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \le a^{(2b)^k} + b^{(2a)^k} \le 1$$

holds for $0 \le k \le 1$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and for their remarks and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- A. Coronel and F. Huancas, The proof of three power-exponential inequalities, *J. Inequal. Appl. 2014*, 509 (2014).
- 2. A. Coronel and F. Huancas, On the inequality $a^{2a} + b^{2b} + c^{2c} \ge a^{2b} + b^{2c} + c^{2a}$, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **9**(1) (2012).
- 3. V. Cîrtoaje, On some inequalities with power-exponential functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **10**(1) (2009).
- V. Cîrtoaje, Proofs of three open inequalities with power-exponential functions, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 4(2) (2011), 130-137.
- 5. L. Matejíčka, On an open problem posed in the paper "Inequalities of power-exponential functions", *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **9**(3) (2008).
- L. Matejíčka, Solution of one conjecture on inequalities with power-exponential functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **10**(3) (2009).

YUSUKE NISHIZAWA

- 7. L. Matejíčka, Proof of one open inequality, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 7(1) (2014), 51-62.
- 8. L. Matejíčka, On the Cîrtoaje's conjecture, J. Inequal. Appl. 2016, 152 (2016).
- M. Miyagi and Y. Nishizawa, Proof of an open inequality with double power-exponential functions, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, 468 (2013).
- 10. M. Miyagi and Y. Nishizawa, A short proof of an open inequality with power-exponential functions, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **11**(1) (2014).
- 11. M. Miyagi and Y. Nishizawa, Extension of an inequality with power exponential functions, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **46** (2015), 427-433.
- M. Miyagi and Y. Nishizawa, A stronger inequality of Cirtoaje's one with power exponential functions, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 8 (2015), 224-230.
- F. Qi and L. Debnath, Inequalities for power-exponential functions, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 1(2) (2000).