

## ESTIMATES FOR WALLIS' RATIO AND RELATED FUNCTIONS

Cristinel Mortici\* and Valentin Gabriel Cristea\*\*

\*Valahia University of Târgoviște, Department of Mathematics,  
Bd. Unirii 18, 130082 Târgoviște, Romania

and

Academy of Romanian Scientists, Splaiul Independenței 54, 050094 Bucharest, Romania

\*\*University Politehnica of Bucharest, Splaiul Independenței 313, Bucharest, Romania

e-mails: cristinel.mortici@hotmail.com; valentingabrielc@yahoo.com

(Received 16 April 2014; after final revision 12 October 2015;

accepted 1 December 2015)

We present improvements of approximation formula for Wallis ratio related to a class of inequalities stated in [D.-J. Zhao, On a two-sided inequality involving Wallis's formula, *Math. Practice Theory*, **34** (2004), 166-168], [Y. Zhao and Q. Wu, Wallis inequality with a parameter, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **7**(2) (2006), Art. 56] and [C. Mortici, Completely monotone functions and the Wallis ratio, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **25** (2012), 717-722]. Some sharp inequalities are obtained as a result of monotonicity of some functions involving gamma function.

**Key words** : Wallis ratio; Gamma function; polygamma function; complete monotonicity; speed of convergence; inequalities.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

The Wallis ratio defined for every integer  $n \geq 1$  by

$$P_n = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (2n - 1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot 2n}$$

has many applications in pure and applied mathematics as in other branches of science and in consequence it is studied by a large number of authors. This ratio is closely related to the Euler gamma function

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$$

since

$$P_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n + 1)}. \quad (1)$$

First Kazarinoff [6] proved that the following inequality holds for every integer  $n \geq 1$  :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(n + \frac{1}{2})}} < P_n \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(n + \frac{1}{4})}}. \quad (2)$$

Zhao [16] improved Kazarinoff's result giving the following inequalities for every integer  $n \geq 1$  :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}} < P_n \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{3}}\right)}}. \quad (3)$$

Afterwards Zhao and Wu [17] got an accurate upper bound of (3), proving that for every  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ :

$$P_n \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}\right)}}, \quad (4)$$

whenever  $n \geq n^*(\varepsilon)$ , where  $n^*(\varepsilon)$  is the maximal solution of the equation

$$32\varepsilon n^2 + 4\varepsilon^2 n + 32\varepsilon n - 17n + 4\varepsilon^2 - 1 = 0.$$

Interesting results can be also read in [2, 3, 7, 9, 15].

Recently Mortici [10] established the following double inequality for every integer  $n \geq 1$  :

$$\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi n \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}} < P_n \leq \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\pi n \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = \frac{3\sqrt{7\pi}}{14} = 1,00049\dots$  are the best possible constants.

Numerical computations we made show that for large values of  $n$ , the right-hand side expression in (5) moves away from  $P_n$ . In the same time, the left-hand side expression in (5) becomes increasingly closed to  $P_n$ . In fact, this is normal if we take into account that (5) is a consequence of the complete monotonicity on  $[1, \infty)$  of the function

$$h(x) = \ln \frac{\Gamma(x + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(x + 1)} \sqrt{x \left(1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}.$$

More precisely, (5) follows from  $0 = h(\infty) < h(x) \leq h(1) = \ln \frac{3\sqrt{7\pi}}{14}$ .

According to this remark, we deduce that accurate approximations of the form

$$P_n \approx \frac{\lambda(n)}{\sqrt{\pi n \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}} \quad (6)$$

are obtained for sequences  $\lambda(n) \rightarrow 1$ , as  $n$  approaches infinity. In the next section we find such sequences of the form

$$\lambda(n) = \exp\left(\frac{a}{n^3} + \frac{b}{n^5}\right), \quad (7)$$

where  $a, b$  are certain real numbers.

## 2. A FAMILY OF APPROXIMATIONS FOR WALLIS RATIO

In the first part of this section we prove that

$$P_n \approx \frac{\exp\left(\frac{3}{512n^3} - \frac{51}{32768n^5}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi n \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (8)$$

is the most accurate approximation among all approximations of the form (6), with  $\lambda(n)$  given by (7).

In order to find the best approximation (6), we introduce the relative error sequence  $w_n$  by the following formulas for every integer  $n \geq 1$ :

$$P_n \approx \frac{\exp\left(\frac{a}{n^3} + \frac{b}{n^5}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi n \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}} \exp w_n,$$

and we consider an approximation (6) better as the speed of convergence of  $w_n$  is higher. Since  $\frac{P_n}{P_{n+1}} \rightarrow 1$ , we must have

$$\begin{aligned} w_n - w_{n+1} &= \ln \frac{2n+2}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{n(8n+1)(8n+7)}{(n+1)(8n+9)(8n-1)} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{a}{n^3} + \frac{b}{n^5}\right) + \left(\frac{a}{(n+1)^3} + \frac{b}{(n+1)^5}\right), \end{aligned}$$

or using Maple software

$$\begin{aligned} w_n - w_{n+1} &= 3 \left(\frac{3}{512} - a\right) \frac{1}{n^4} + 6 \left(a - \frac{3}{512}\right) \frac{1}{n^5} + 5 \left(\frac{333}{32768} - 2a - b\right) \frac{1}{n^6} \\ &\quad + 15 \left(a + b - \frac{141}{32768}\right) \frac{1}{n^7} + 7 \left(\frac{23031}{2097152} - 3a - 5b\right) \frac{1}{n^8} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^9}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

As a consequence of a much used lemma of Cesaro-Stolz type in the recent past (we refer to [10-14]; for proof see [14]), the sequence  $w_n$  is fastest possible, when  $w_n - w_{n+1}$  is fastest possible; that is when the first coefficients in (9) vanish. We get  $a = \frac{3}{512}$ ,  $b = -\frac{51}{32768}$ . In this case,

$$w_n - w_{n+1} = \frac{17\,409}{2097\,152n^8} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^9}\right).$$

As we explained, (8) is the best approximation among all approximations (6). Related to approximation (8), we present the following bounds of Wallis ratio.

**Theorem 1** — *The following inequality holds for every integer  $n \geq 1$  :*

$$\frac{\exp\left(\frac{3}{512n^3} - \frac{51}{32768n^5}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi n \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}} < P_n < \frac{\exp\frac{3}{512n^3}}{\sqrt{\pi n \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}}. \quad (10)$$

This double inequality improves much the results of Kazarinoff (2), Zhao [16], Zhao and Wu [17] and Mortici [10].

PROOF OF THEOREM 1 : Inequality (10) reads as  $a_n > 0$  and  $b_n < 0$ , where

$$a_n = \ln P_n + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \pi n \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] - \left( \frac{3}{512n^3} - \frac{51}{32768n^5} \right)$$

and

$$b_n = \ln P_n + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \pi n \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] - \frac{3}{512n^3}.$$

As  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  converge to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it suffices to show that  $a_n$  is strictly decreasing and  $b_n$  is strictly increasing. In this sense, we have  $a_{n+1} - a_n = f(n)$  and  $b_{n+1} - b_n = g(n)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \ln \frac{2x+1}{2x+2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(x+1) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4(x+1) - \frac{1}{2}} \right)}{x \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}} \right)} \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{3}{512(x+1)^3} - \frac{51}{32768(x+1)^5} \right) + \left( \frac{3}{512x^3} - \frac{51}{32768x^5} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g(x) = \ln \frac{2x+1}{2x+2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(x+1) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4(x+1) - \frac{1}{2}} \right)}{x \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}} \right)} - \frac{3}{512(x+1)^3} + \frac{3}{512x^3}.$$

We have

$$f'(x) = \frac{3P(x-1)}{32768x^6(x+1)^6(8x+1)(8x+9)(2x+1)(8x-1)(8x+7)} > 0$$

and

$$g'(x) = -\frac{9Q(x-1)}{512x^4(x+1)^4(8x+1)(8x+9)(2x+1)(8x-1)(8x+7)} < 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) = & 666\,366\,876x + 1648\,214\,691x^2 + 2304\,768\,222x^3 \\ & + 1993\,818\,699x^4 + 1093\,112\,028x^5 + 371\,032\,316x^6 \\ & + 71\,307\,264x^7 + 5942\,272x^8 + 116\,541\,057 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x) = & 815\,730x + 1472\,366x^2 + 1387\,824x^3 \\ & + 720\,904x^4 + 195\,840x^5 + 21\,760x^6 + 184\,301. \end{aligned}$$

In consequence,  $f$  is strictly increasing on  $[1, \infty)$ ,  $g$  is strictly decreasing on  $[1, \infty)$ , with  $f(\infty) = g(\infty) = 0$ , so  $f < 0$  and  $g > 0$  on  $[1, \infty)$ . The proof is now completed.  $\square$

### 3. THE ESTIMATES IN CONTINUOUS VERSION

Taking into account (1), the inequality (10) reads as

$$\frac{\exp\left(\frac{3}{512n^3} - \frac{51}{32768n^5}\right)}{\sqrt{n\left(1 + \frac{1}{4n-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}} < \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n + 1)} < \frac{\exp\frac{3}{512n^3}}{\sqrt{n\left(1 + \frac{1}{4n-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}}. \quad (11)$$

Usually, whenever an approximation formula  $u(n) \approx v(n)$  is given it is introduced the function  $F(x) = u(x)/v(x)$  to derive its monotonicity. Many times,  $F$  (eventually  $-F$ ) is logarithmically completely monotone.

We prove the following result.

**Theorem 2** — For the functions  $F, G : [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$F(x) = \ln \Gamma\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \ln \Gamma(x + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \ln x + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{8x+1}{8x-1} - \frac{3}{512x^3} + \frac{51}{32768x^5},$$

$$G(x) = \ln \Gamma\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \ln \Gamma(x + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \ln x + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{8x+1}{8x-1} - \frac{3}{512x^3}$$

the following assertions hold true:

- i)  $F$  is strictly convex, strictly decreasing, with  $F(\infty) = 0$ .
- ii)  $G$  is strictly concave, strictly increasing, with  $G(\infty) = 0$ .

Being strictly decreasing with  $F(\infty) = 0$ , the function  $F$  is positive on  $[1, \infty)$ . Similarly,  $G$  is negative on  $[1, \infty)$ . Inequalities  $F > 0$  and  $G < 0$  can be arranged as the following continuous version of (10).

*Corollary 1* — The following inequality holds true for every real  $x \geq 1$  :

$$\frac{\exp\left(\frac{3}{512x^3} - \frac{51}{32768x^5}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi x \left(1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(x+1)} < \frac{\exp\frac{3}{512x^3}}{\sqrt{\pi x \left(1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}}. \quad (12)$$

Moreover, the following inequalities for every real  $x \geq 1$  :  $F(\infty) < F(x) \leq F(1) = \ln \frac{3\sqrt{7\pi}}{14} - \frac{141}{32768}$  and  $G(\infty) > G(x) \geq G(1) = \ln \frac{3\sqrt{7\pi}}{14} - \frac{3}{512}$  can be used to obtain the following stronger result.

*Corollary 2* — a) For every real number  $x \geq 1$ , it is asserted that

$$\alpha \cdot \frac{\exp\frac{3}{512x^3}}{\sqrt{\pi x \left(1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(x+1)} < \frac{\exp\frac{3}{512x^3}}{\sqrt{\pi x \left(1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}},$$

where the constant  $\alpha = \frac{3\sqrt{7\pi}}{14} \exp\left(-\frac{3}{512}\right) = 0.999\,016\dots$  is sharp.

b) For every real number  $x \geq 1$ , it is asserted that

$$\frac{\exp\left(\frac{3}{512x^3} - \frac{51}{32768x^5}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi x \left(1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(x+1)} \leq \beta \cdot \frac{\exp\left(\frac{3}{512x^3} - \frac{51}{32768x^5}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi x \left(1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}},$$

where the constant  $\beta = \frac{3\sqrt{7\pi}}{14} \exp\left(-\frac{141}{32768}\right) = 1.000\,572\dots$  is sharp.

In what follows we essentially use a result of Alzer [1], who proved that the following functions are completely monotonic on  $(0, \infty)$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$  :

$$F_n(x) = \ln \Gamma(x) - \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln x + x - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{B_{2i}}{2i(2i-1)x^{2i-1}}$$

$$G_n(x) = -\ln \Gamma(x) + \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln x - x + \ln \sqrt{2\pi} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \frac{B_{2i}}{2i(2i-1)x^{2i-1}}.$$

Here  $B_i$ 's are the Bernoulli numbers defined by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i \frac{x^i}{i!}, \quad |x| < 2\pi.$$

In particular, from  $F_4'' > 0$  and  $G_5'' > 0$ , we get the following bounds for  $\psi'$  function for every real  $x > 0$  :

$$a(x) < \psi'(x) < b(x), \quad (13)$$

where

$$a(x) = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{1}{6x^3} - \frac{1}{30x^5} + \frac{1}{42x^7} - \frac{1}{30x^9}$$

$$b(x) = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{1}{6x^3} - \frac{1}{30x^5} + \frac{1}{42x^7}.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2 : Standard computations lead us to

$$F''(x) = \psi'\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \psi'(x+1) - \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{32}{(8x-1)^2} - \frac{32}{(8x+1)^2} - \frac{9}{128x^5} + \frac{765}{16384x^7}$$

$$G''(x) = \psi'\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \psi'(x+1) - \frac{1}{2x^2} - \frac{32}{(8x+1)^2} + \frac{32}{(8x-1)^2} - \frac{9}{128x^5}.$$

Using (13), we get  $F''(x) > u(x)$ ,  $G''(x) < v(x)$ , where

$$u(x) = a\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - b(x+1) - \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{32}{(8x-1)^2} - \frac{32}{(8x+1)^2} - \frac{9}{128x^5} + \frac{765}{16384x^7}$$

$$v(x) = b\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - a(x+1) - \frac{1}{2x^2} - \frac{32}{(8x+1)^2} + \frac{32}{(8x-1)^2} - \frac{9}{128x^5}.$$

But

$$u(x) = \frac{A(x-1)}{1720320x^7(64x^2-1)^2(2x+1)^7(x+1)^9} > 0$$

$$v(x) = -\frac{B(x-1)}{13440x^5(64x^2-1)^2(x+1)^9(2x+1)^7} < 0,$$

where

$$A(x) = 119333322752x^{18} + 4602664779776x^{17} + \dots$$

$$B(x) = 329011200x^{18} + 10034841600x^{17} + \dots$$

are polynomials with all coefficients positive.

It follows that  $F$  is strictly convex, while  $G$  is strictly concave on  $[1, \infty)$ .

Furthermore,  $F'$  is strictly increasing and  $G'$  is strictly decreasing on  $[1, \infty)$  and  $F'(\infty) = G'(\infty) = 0$ , so  $F' < 0$  and  $G' > 0$ . Finally,  $F$  is strictly decreasing and  $G$  is strictly increasing with  $F(\infty) = G(\infty) = 0$  and the proof is completed.  $\square$

## 4. COMPARISON TESTS

As we mentioned in the previous sections, the inequalities (12) improve considerably the results stated in [6, 10, 16, 17].

Recently, being preoccupied to find approximation formulas for Wallis' ratio involving roots of higher order, Mortici [13, Theorem 3.1] presented a better inequality for every real  $x \geq 2$  :

$$\sqrt[4]{x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{128x}} < \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+\frac{1}{2})} < \sqrt[4]{x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{8}}. \quad (14)$$

We show in the next Proposition 1 that our result (12) from Corollary 1 is stronger than (14).

*Proposition 1* — The following inequalities hold true for every real  $x \geq 2$  :

$$\sqrt[4]{x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{128x}} < \frac{\sqrt{x\left(1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}}{\exp \frac{3}{512x^3}}$$

and

$$\frac{\sqrt{x\left(1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}}{\exp\left(\frac{3}{512x^3} - \frac{51}{32768x^5}\right)} < \sqrt[4]{x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{8}}.$$

PROOF : The requested inequalities can be written as  $r < 0$  and  $s > 0$ , where

$$r(x) = \frac{1}{4} \ln \left( x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{128x} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ x \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] + \frac{3}{512x^3}$$

$$s(x) = \frac{1}{4} \ln \left( x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{8} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ x \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4x - \frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] + \frac{3}{512x^3} - \frac{51}{32768x^5}.$$

We have

$$r'(x) = \frac{3C(x-2)}{512x^4(64x^2-1)(16x+64x^2+128x^3-1)} > 0$$

$$s'(x) = -\frac{D(x-2)}{32768x^6(64x^2-1)(8x^2+4x+1)} < 0,$$

where

$$C(x) = 229\,936x + 343\,936x^2 + 226\,560x^3 + 69\,632x^4 + 8192x^5 + 44\,637$$

$$D(x) = 6470\,620x + 7706\,104x^2 + 4388\,864x^3 + 1212\,416x^4 + 131\,072x^5 + 2023\,639.$$



Now  $r$  is strictly increasing and  $s$  is strictly decreasing on  $[2, \infty)$ , with  $r(\infty) = s(\infty) = 0$ , so  $r < 0$  and  $s > 0$  on  $[2, \infty)$  and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Finally remark that our approximation formula

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})} \approx \gamma_n := \frac{\sqrt{n\left(1+\frac{1}{4n-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}}{\exp\left(\frac{3}{512n^3}-\frac{51}{32768n^5}\right)}$$

gives much better results than the following formula involving roots of sixth order presented in [13]:

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})} \approx \omega_n := \sqrt[6]{n^3 + \frac{3}{4}n^2 + \frac{9}{32}n + \frac{5}{128}},$$

as we can see from the following table.

$n$	$\omega_n - \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})}$	$\gamma_n - \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})}$
10	$4.924910350 \times 10^{-7}$	$3.744611952 \times 10^{-10}$
100	$1.693999241 \times 10^{-10}$	$1.187208943 \times 10^{-16}$
250	$6.893250304 \times 10^{-12}$	$3.073570023 \times 10^{-19}$
500	$6.103543791 \times 10^{-13}$	$3.395055792 \times 10^{-21}$
1000	$5.399553331 \times 10^{-14}$	$3.750343193 \times 10^{-23}$
3000	$1.155279331 \times 10^{-15}$	$2.908056841 \times 10^{-26}$

### 5. FINAL REMARKS

We start this section by giving the motivation of the constants from (7). We obtained these constants by using some computer software, but formula (7) is a part of an entire asymptotic formula.

In this sense, remark that Lin *et al.* [8, Rel. (1.6)] noticed that

$$W_n := \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{4k^2}{4k^2-1} \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{-1} \exp\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_j}{n^{2j+1}}\right), \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

where  $c_j$  are given by

$$\tanh \frac{x}{4} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \frac{x^{2j+1}}{(2j)!}.$$

See also [4] and [5]. As

$$P_n = (2n+1)^{-1/2} W_n^{-1/2},$$

we can easily get

$$P_n \sim \left(\frac{1}{n\pi}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_j}{2n^{2j+1}}\right), \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Finally, we can deduce a complete formula (6) of type

$$P_n \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2}}\right)}} \exp\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_j}{n^{2j+1}}\right), \quad (15)$$

where the coefficients  $d_j$  are given in terms of  $c_j$  and using the expansion of

$$\frac{1}{2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{4n - \frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

as a series of  $n^{-1}$ .

Personal computations we made lead us to  $d_0 = 0$ , while  $d_1 = a$ ,  $d_2 = b$  from (7). More precisely,  $a = \frac{3}{512}$ ,  $b = -\frac{51}{32768}$ .

As these computations are long to be listed here, we omit them.

Having available the entire asymptotic formula (15), inequalities stated in Theorem 1 and those following can be extended to new inequalities obtained by truncation the series (15) at any  $j$ th term. In our opinion, this is not an easy task, as the coefficients  $d_j$  have a complicated form. In consequence, we put up this proposal as an open problem.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work of the first author was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0087. Some computations made in this paper were performed using Maple software. The authors thank the reviewers for useful comments and corrections.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Alzer, On some inequalities for for the gamma and psi functions, *Math. Comp.*, **66**(217) (1997), 373-389.
2. T. Amdeberhan, O. Espinosa, V. H. Moll and A. Straub, Wallis-Ramanujan-Schur-Feynman, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **117**(7) (2010), 618-632
3. G. E. Andrews and B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Lost Notebook*, Springer, New York, 2013.

4. Ji-En Deng, Tao Ban and Chao-Ping Chen, Sharp inequalities and asymptotic expansion associated with the Wallis sequence, *J. Inequalities and Applications* (2015), 2015:186.
5. M. D. Hirschhorn, Comments on the paper "Wallis sequence estimated through the Euler-Maclaurin formula: even from the Wallis product  $\pi$  could be computed fairly accurately" by Lampret, *Aust. Math. Soc. Gaz.*, **32** (2005), 194.
6. D. K. Kazarinoff, On Wallis' formula, *Edinburgh. Math. Notes*, **40** (1956), 19-21.
7. P. Levrie and W. Daems, Evaluating the Probability Integral Using Wallis's Product Formula for  $\pi$ , *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **116**(6) (2009), 538-541
8. L. Lin, J.-E. Deng and C.-P. Chen, Inequalities and asymptotic expansions associated with the Wallis sequence, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, (2014), 2014:251.
9. S. J. Miller, A Probabilistic Proof of Wallis's Formula for  $\pi$ , *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **115**(8) (2008), 740-745
10. C. Mortici, Completely monotone functions and the Wallis ratio, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **25** (2012), 717-722.
11. C. Mortici, New improvements of the Stirling formula, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **217**(2) (2010), 699-704.
12. C. Mortici, Fast convergences towards Euler-Mascheroni constant, *Comput. Appl. Math.*, **29**(3) (2010), 479-491.
13. C. Mortici, New approximation formulas for evaluating the ratio of gamma functions, *Math. Comp. Modelling*, **52**(1-2) (2010), 425-433.
14. C. Mortici, Product approximation via asymptotic integration, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **117**(5) (2010), 434-441.
15. J. Sondow and H. Yi, New Wallis- and Catalan-Type Infinite Products for  $\alpha$ ,  $e$  and  $\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ , *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **117**(10) (2010), 912-917.
16. D.-J. Zhao, On a two-sided inequality involving Wallis's formula, *Math. Practice Theory*, **34** (2004), 166-168 (in Chinese).
17. Y. Zhao and Q. Wu, Wallis inequality with a parameter, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **7**(2) (2006), Art. 56.