## **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Experimental research on water chemistry evolution in case of inadequate conservation protocols: application on surface and groundwater**

Dounia Dib<sup>1,2</sup> · Nawel Ababsa<sup>1,2</sup> · Dalila Addad<sup>1,2</sup> · Kenza Kadi<sup>1,3</sup> · Abdelkader Khiari<sup>2,4</sup> · Mounia Oualdjaoui<sup>1</sup>

Received: 6 April 2021 / Accepted: 29 June 2021 / Published online: 6 July 2021 © The Author(s) 2021

## **Abstract**

The objective of this study conducted from October to December 2020 in the Natural Resources and Sensitive Environment Development Laboratory was to demonstrate the importance of conservation protocols respect throw evolution of water chemistry. Ninety-nine samples representing three water types (tap, spring and mineral water) were analyzed. Storage was at laboratory temperature with no conservation protocol. Studied parameters (temperature, pH, CE, dissolved oxygen, oxydoreduction potential, total dissolved solids,  $HCO_3^-$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $Cl^-$ ) were determined using standard methods. The data registered from physico-chemical parameters were subjected to diferent analytical methods to assess the time affect on their values compared with initial state. The results indicate that pH and alkalinity (exprimed in  $HCO_3^-$ ) are the most vulnerable to evolution processes with highly signifcant time factor efect, while the concentrations of chlorides and sulfates with conductivity levels are statistically less evolved. PCA analysis accounting 71.43% of the total variance examines contribution of water type composition as a second variation factor. Projection through F1\*F2 plan demonstrates clearly two groups with surface waters (tap water) which are excessively mineralized and groundwaters (spring and bottled waters) in which pH and magnesium parameters variations are the best illustrated.

**Keywords** Conservation protocols · PCA · Water chemistry · Surface water · Groundwater · Chemistry evolution

# **Introduction**

Water chemistry deals with the fundamental chemical properties of water itself, the chemical properties of other constituents that dissolve in water, and the countless chemical reactions that take place in water(Theodore and Ryan Dupont [2019\)](#page-10-0). Water chemistry is important because several

 $\boxtimes$  Dounia Dib dib19819@yahoo.fr

- Faculty of Natural Sciences and Life, Abbes Laghrour University, 1252, Road of Batna, 40004 Khenchela, Algeria
- <sup>2</sup> Natural Resources and Sensitive Environments Management Laboratory, Oum El Bouaghi University, 04000, Oum-El-Bouaghi, Algeria
- <sup>3</sup> Biotechnology, Water, Environment and Health Laboratory, Abbes LaghrourUniversity, Road of Batna, 40000, Khenchela, Algeria
- <sup>4</sup> Faculty of Sciences and Applied Sciences, Larbi ben M'hidi University, Road of Constantine, 04000 Oum El Bouaghi, Algeria

factors about water to be treated and then distributed or returned to the environment are determined through simple chemical analysis. Probably the most important determination that the water practitioner makes about water is its hardness(Spellman [2020\)](#page-10-1).

Good interpretation of water sample analysis results requires strict adherence to sampling and conservation protocols. There are two types of elements: those that must be analyzed immediately (without delay) and others—with preservatives—which can be stored for months until their analysis. Passing storage precautions may lead to a modifcation of waters composition (increasing or decreasing contents).

If a water sample cannot be analyzed immediately after collection, it must be stabilized until analysis to prevent or slow down various chemical or biological processes. Preservation techniques include pH control, addition of preservatives, temperature control and protection from light (Lallemand-Barrès [1993](#page-9-0)).

Several investigations tried to determine the effect of sampling temperature, bottle cleanliness and analysis time on the representativeness of the characterization(Ferland



[2014\)](#page-9-1). Using uncleaned bottles during sampling increase the Suspended solids and volatile suspended solids values and decrease the chemical demand of oxygen (COD), biological demand of oxygen (BOD<sub>5</sub>),  $NH_4^+$  and  $PO_4^{3-}$  values compared to samples taken with clean bottles according to standard recommendations (Muirhead et al. [2006](#page-9-2)). Wastewater acidification allows the conservation of COD and  $BOD_{5C}$ . Freezing wastewater allows the conservation of  $BOD<sub>5C</sub>$  and COD (Henze et al. [2008\)](#page-9-3). A study by Nopens et al. ([2001\)](#page-9-4) demonstrates that a pH maintained at 3 in wastewater allows total and soluble COD to be retained. The main objective of this study was to determine the efect of exceeding storage standards on some parameters over a long period for that daily monitoring of three types of natural water was based on electrochemical measurements and some major elements were carried out.

## **Materials and methods**

#### **Samples choice**

This study has an essentially qualitative aim realized throw quantitative analysis. The hypothesis of having diferent behavior of evolution of the studied parameters led to the choice of three types of natural waters: tap water as surface water (drinking water from dam waters), spring water and bottled mineral water (Texanna) as groundwaters. The tap water and the spring water came from the region of Oum el Bouaghi located in the north eastern Algeria and characterized by semi-arid conditions.

#### **Sampling**

Ninety-nine samples were taken on October 15, 2020 andcollected in 500-ml polyethylene bottles completely flled to avoid contact with ambient air. Then, transported to the laboratory (The transport time was approximately 15 min), the frst sample was immediately analyzed.

The rest of the samples were left at laboratory temperature and analyzed after every 48 h. In total, 33 analyses by water type were carried out at the Natural Resources and Sensitive Environment Development Laboratory (RNAMS) at Larbi ben m'hidi University, Oum el Bouaghi.

### **Parameters**

Temperature, pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, oxydo-reduction potential and total dissolved solid were determined using the potentiometric method using a multiparameter HANNA HI9829 type.

Alkalinity was determined by the titrimetric method using 0.02 N hydrochloric acid. Calcium and magnesium are also



titrimetrically titrated with ethylene diamide tetra acetic acid (EDTA) in the presence of murexide and black erichrome, respectively, as color indicators. The chlorides were determined according to the Mohr method in the presence of silver nitrate solution at 0.0282 M (Rodier and Legube [2009](#page-10-2)).

UV–Visible spectrophotometry was used to determine sulfates in an acid solution with the presence of a combined reagent: barium chlorides and tween20 solution. Barium sulfates held in suspension are easily quantifed at 420 nm wavelength (Rodier and Legube [2009](#page-10-2)). The results are expressed in milligrams per liter of each of the chemical parameters.

#### **Data analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using Xl-STAT software. The descriptive statistics concerned electrochemical measurements and major elements. All three types of water and storage time were considered to be factors with three replications.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to make multiple comparisons. The means were compared and the differences were considered signifcant at the odds threshold at  $P < 0.05$ .

Table [1](#page-2-0) represents the conservation norms of water samples. Results obtained later will be compared to them.

## **Results and Discussion**

## **ANOVA results**

Analysis of variance (Table [2](#page-3-0)) revealed the presence of a non-significant time (day) effect on electrical conductivity and total dissolved solids (TDS) and signifcant to a very highly significant effect on the rest of the measured parameters of the water samples. It also showed a very highly signifcant efect of water type on all measured variables except dissolved oxygen, which represents relatively similar values in the three types of water (Tabl[e2](#page-3-0)).

For the two parameters sulfate and chloride, the measurements are carried out weekly; therefore, they were separately exposed to an analysis of variance. This showed the absence of signifcant variations between the diferent weeks for two variables. On the other hand, it revealed the existence of a very highly signifcant efect of water nature on them.

#### **Water chemistry evolution**

#### **Time efect on daily measured parameters**

**Electrochemical parameters** *Temperature* Since the analyzed waters are exposed to ambient temperature, their

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Parameter	Container	Conservation agent	Maximum conservation time Immediate analysis		
pН	Polyethylene, Glass	Not necessary			
Dissolved oxygen	Glass	Not necessary	Immediate analysis		
Temperature	Polyethylene, Glass	Not necessary	Immediate analysis		
Alkalinity	Polyethylene, Glass	Cold $4^{\circ}$ C	24h		
Calcium and Magnesium	Teflon, Polyethylene	Filtration and acidifi- cation ( $pH < 2$ with $HNO3$ )	6 months		
Chlorides	Polyethylene, Glass	Not necessary	28 days		
Sulfate	Polyethylene, Glass	Cold $4^{\circ}$ C, add zinc acetate and NaOH (pH>9)	7 days		

(Scalf et al. [1981;](#page-10-5) Barcelona et al. [1985](#page-9-10))

temperature is strongly infuenced by its changes.Days 71, 43, 36, 15, 34, 17, 72, 39, 67 and 41 are characterized by relatively high temperatures, dropping from  $20.53 \pm 0.25$ °C to  $22.1 \pm 0.30$ ° C, while the days 74 and 76 are characterized by low temperatures (15.47 $\pm$ 0.25 and 15.20 $\pm$ 0.26 $\degree$ C, respectively) and the rest of the days record intermediate temperatures (between 16 and 20° C).

*pH* Water pH is an important chemical property that controls the distribution of chemical species invarious forms. For example, the pH is bufered at precise values in animal cells to maintain the functionality of specifc enzymes and proteins. It is important to measure water pH in Situ because samples are susceptible to temperature variations (Larson [1975;](#page-9-5) Kohlmann [2003](#page-9-6)).

In closed systems, every change in sample temperature induces a change in hydrogen ion activity. A diminution of 0.45 was recorded in pure water if the temperature is raised to 25 °C(Langelier [1946](#page-9-7)).

Water that is not in equilibrium with the atmosphere (groundwater) may change when exposed to the atmosphere; therefore, sample containers should be filled entirely without agitating and stirring and measured in a closed system if possible. Schock ([2013\)](#page-10-3) demonstrates the effect of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  loss on pH when the sample is exposed to the atmosphere at 25 °C.

pH registered values show that the water concerned by this study has increased signifcantly (Fig. [1b](#page-4-0)) compared with the initial state. It should be noted that there are no preservation methods for this parameter and the analysis must be carried out within 6 h after sampling.

In the frst week, the pH values recorded of the waters are relatively low  $(7.36 \pm 0.55, 7.43 \pm 0.56, 7.40 \pm 0.44)$  and  $7.45 \pm 0.54$  which constitute the G group). In the second week, the values of this parameter increase and reach the maximum values (7.86  $\pm$  0.53, 7.90  $\pm$  0.44, 7.94  $\pm$  0.50 and  $7.93 \pm 0.51$  and form the homogeneous group A, the rest of the weeks and until the end of the test the pH indicates intermediate values.

There are no agents that can stabilize alkalinity and acidity;these parameters are determined in the feld immediately after sampling (Barcelona and Helfrich [1986](#page-9-8); Barker and Dickhout [1988](#page-9-9));for that,results showed signifcant variations in time.

*Electrical conductivity* Micro siemens per centimeter is the used unit for EC measurements. Water conductivity may be controlled by various factors like geology, atmospheric inputs, evaporation, some microbial metabolism, etc.

Depending on which electrolytes are present, the electrical conductivity increases 1–3%/°C (Robinson and Stokes [1965](#page-10-4)). Therefore, electrical conductivity is typically reported at 25 °C to standardize the efect of temperature. The conductivity of natural water can be calculated from their chemical composition. Interpretation of results is based on temperature and TDS data.

Figure [1c](#page-4-0) explores the daily variation of conductivity in the three water types. No signifcant variations were noted over 78 days. Separately represented, EC values correlations with temperature are most illustrated for tap water. This is probably due to their excessive mineralization.

*Dissolved oxygen* Dissolved oxygen (DO) is a vital component in determining the health of aquatic systems. Its rates are highly dependent on temperature, atmospheric pressure and biota metabolism (respiration and photosynthesis).

In the current study, atmosphere-water surface exchange is canceled. The statistical data analysis reveals a tendency for a decrease in dissolved oxygen over time. Studied waters were driven to anoxic conditions, the highest values were detected at the start of the test;  $17.13 \pm 1.36$  on the first day (group A) and  $16.53 \pm 3.07$  on the thirteenth day (group C), while those lowest are recorded at the end of the test  $(9.2 \pm 0, 1)$ 6 on the last day,  $8.92 \pm 0.28$  on the 71st day and  $8.91 \pm 3.62$ on the 64th day thus representing group D).





Applied Water Science (2021) 11:135

**Major anions and cations** *Alkalinity (HCO 3 − )* The proto col for determining alkalinity by standard methodology is presented by Eaton et al. ([2005](#page-9-11)). The traditional procedure for alkalinity is to measure how much  $H^+$  is required to titrate a sample in the presence of methyl orange to the endpoint (about pH 4.5). The pH at the titration endpoint corresponds approximately to the point where an amount of H<sup>+</sup> has been added to react with all the OH<sup>-</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and  $HCO_3^-$  in the sample to produce  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$ . The milliequivalents of  $H^+$  used in the titration multiplied by 50.04 mg  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>/meq$  is the alkalinity.

Limestone is a major source of alkalinity and hardness. This substance varies in composition ranging from  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ (calcite) toMg $CO_3$ .CaCO<sub>3</sub> (dolomite), but most limestone is a mixture of  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $MgCO<sub>3</sub>$  in which  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  is most abundant (Bowles [1956](#page-9-12)).

The discussion of alkalinity evolution is possible only in the case of comparison with the temperature evolution curve. Carbon dioxide enters the water from the atmos phere and the respiration of aquatic organisms.

The solubility of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in water depends on atmospheric pressure, the concentration of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in the atmosphere, water temperature and salinity, according to the following formula:

 $CO_2 + H_2O = H^+ + HCO_3$ 

In Fig. [2,](#page-6-0) fluctuations in alkalinity expressed in  $HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  are a function only of temperature and salinity as the effect of atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and pressure are canceled. Variations are signifcant and the respect of sampling norms for this parameter is required (24 h).

The bicarbonate values oscillate between  $16.94 \pm 8.64$  mg/l. The value marked on the 11th day is  $105.39 \pm 51.36$  mg/l., and the value recorded on the 62nd day, an increase of 88.45 mg /l indicates the instability of this element during water storage.

*Chlorures* The mean values of the chlorides of the studied samples pass from 95.56 mg / l; value recorded at the Third week at 133.33 mg / l; value marked at the 12th week. The contents of drinking water studied in this element represent values; statistically similar over the 12-week study.

*Sulfate* The average sulfate content of the three types of drinking water analyzed varies between 27.58 mg/l; value recorded at the 2nd week and 111.69 mg/l; value observed at the end of the test (12th week), i.e., a diference of 84.11 mg / l (Fig. [2](#page-6-0).c). This increase remains statistically insignifcant, which requires the hypothesis of the stability of sulfate con centrations during the period of water storage, at least during the three months of testing.

*Calcium Ca*<sup>2+</sup> Calcium is an alkaline earth metal that is extremely common in nature especially, in calcareous rocks in the form of carbonates. A major component of water hard ness, calcium is generally the dominant element in drinking water. Its levels vary essentially according to land geology.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 2**



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Average efect of time on physico-chemical parameters: temperature in (**a**), pH in (**b**), CE in (**c**) and dissolved oxygen in (d)

Results revealed that initial calcium levels are relatively lower than final levels.

*Magnesium*  $Mg^{2+}$  The temporal variation of the magnesium content of the water studied indicates the presence of an increasing trend over time with a correlation coefficient r equal to 0.67. This tendency has made it possible to highlight three homogeneous groups: groups A, B, and C.



# **CE µS/cm c**







#### **Water type efect on daily measured parameters**

As was observed by the analysis of variance, the efect of the nature of drinking water is very highly signifcant on all the parameters measured except dissolved oxygen. This means that there are signifcant diferences between all types of water studied (Table [3\)](#page-7-0).

The comparison of the means of the variables measured on the three types of drinking water indicates that tap water represents the highest values for the majority of the parameters measured (temperature, electrical conductivity, redox power, the level of dissolved salts, bicarbonate, calcium, magnesium, sulfate and chloride) compared to other types of water, thus building group A. This water, on the other hand, has a relatively lower pH. Mineral water shows average values of temperature and dissolved oxygen similar to tap water. For the rest of the parameters measured, its values seem closer to that of spring water except the pH, where each type of drinking water falls into a diferent group.

مدينة الملك عبدالعزيز Springer<br>KACST اللغلوم والتقنية KACST



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Average efect of time on Anions in (a, b and c) and Cations in (d and e)





**Fig. 2** (continued)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 3** Efect of water nature on measured parameters (means and homogeneous groups)

Water nature		pΗ	CЕ	DO	<b>ORP</b>	<b>TDS</b>	HCO <sub>2</sub>	$Ca^{2+}$	$Mg^{2+}$	$SO_4^{2-}$	$Cl^-$
Tap water	19.33 A 7.14 C		2031,06 A 11,86 A		192,92 A	1015,37 A	127,81 A	102,86 A	55.13 A	138.59 A	269.72 A
Spring water	19.24 B	7.89 B	413, 42 B	11,35 A	178,65 B	206.69 B	50.83 B	36.07 B	31.58 B	50.15 B	28.89 B
Mineral water	19.41 A	8.02 A	423.39 B	11.77 A	178.93 B	210.78 B	26.05 B	28.37 B	38.67 B	36.91 B	26,11 B
<b>LSD</b>	0.083	0.05	13.86	0.699	2.92	7.06	5.37	9.35	7.42	33.74	16.52



<span id="page-8-0"></span>ables and observations



## **Global data correlations (Principal component analysis)**

Explaining variations and correlations in global hydrochemical and water quality data using PCA projections is widely developed. The purpose of this analysis is to transform the data table into a new orthogonal and uncorrelated set of factors called principal components (PC) and to extract signifcant information from the data. This new representation compresses the data by keeping only the most important information, simplifying the explanation, and exploring the structure and composition of the data(Abdi and Williams [2010](#page-9-13)). The proximity of lines for two variables signifes the



strength of their reciprocal association (Qu and Kelderman [2001](#page-10-6)).

In the present study, PCA was applied (using XLSTAT software)to physical and chemical parameters (variables) of the ninety-nine groundwater samples (observations) from three water types to explain similarities and variations during a period of time.

Factor F1 (Fig. [3\)](#page-8-0) explains 57.84% of the variance and correlated with 70% of variables (pH, CE, TDS,  $HCO_3^-$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ , Cl<sup>−</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2–</sup>). This factor represents highly mineralized waters (Tap water), in which water chemistry evolution is the best illustrated.

Factor F2 explains 13.59% of the variance. It has a negative correlation with dissolved oxygen and a positive correlation with ORP and  $Mg^{2+}$ . This factor represents water enrichment by Magnesium associated with groundwater indicating intensive photosynthetic algae activity and microbial oxygen consumption. This factor demonstrates that the biological activity mainly infuences spring and bottled mineral water.

## **Conclusions**

Multivariate statistics were applied to hydrochemical data of three water types belong 78 days. This study shows the usefulness of analysis of variances (ANOVA) to identify signifcant relationships between measured parameters levels evolution with time. It also allows the understanding of the specifc behavior of each water type and the complexity of factors that control water chemistry.

Applied methods explain modalities of temporal variability of eleven parameterswhich can be divided into (1) parameters with non-signifcant variations such as electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, sulfates and chlorides. It is important to mention that even their conservation protocols time is too long. (2) Parameters with signifcant variations such as calcium and (3) parameters with high signification such as dissolved oxygen, pH, bicarbonates, magnesium and ORP in which conservation delay do not exceed 24 h.

Filling and hermetic sealing of the bottle cannot be considered a means of long-term preservation, but it increases the storage time. To conclude, the correct execution of conservation protocols ensures the quality and reliability of the obtained results.

Further studies should incorporate the evolution of BOD<sub>5</sub>, COD and Organic matter for more parameters investigation.

**Authors' Contributions:** All authors contributed extensively to the work presented in this paper, collect data, designed and performed research, wrote, revised and approved the fnal manuscript.

**Funding** This research did not receive any specifc grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-proft sectors.

### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** There is no confict of interest.

**Ethicalapproval** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## **References**

- <span id="page-9-13"></span>Abdi H, Williams LJ (2010) Principal component analysis. Wiley Interdiscipl Rev Comput Stat.<https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.101>
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>Barcelona MJ, Helfrich J-A (1986) Well construction and purging efects on groundwater samples. Environ Sci Technol. 20:1179– 1184. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es00153a015>
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>Barcelona MJ, Gibb JP, Helfrich JA, Garske EE (1985) Practical guide for groundwater sampling. EPA/ 600/2–85/104
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>Barker J, Dickhout R (1988) An evaluation of some systems for sampling gas charged groundwater for volatile organic analysis. Groundwater Monit R 8:112–120. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6592.1988.tb01111.x) [6592.1988.tb01111.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6592.1988.tb01111.x)
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>Bowles O (1956) Limestone and dolomite. U.S. Bur of Mines, Inf Circ 7738
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>Eaton AD, Clesceri LS, Franson MAH, Rice EW, Greenberg AE (2005) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water & Wastewater. American Public Health Association, Washington
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Ferland M (2014) Effet des paramètres d'echantillonnage sur la représentativité de la caractérisation des eaux usées. Thèse. École Polytechnique de Montréal
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Henze M, Van Loosdrecht MCM, Ekama GA, Brdjanovic D (2008) Biological wastewater treatment – principles, modelling and design. IWA Publishing, London
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>Kohlmann FJ (2003) What is pH and how is it measured: a technical handbook for industry. Hach company, Ames
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Lallemand-Barrès A (1993) Guide pratique d'echantillonnage des eaux souterraines. france: BRGM n°R37390
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Langelier WF (1946) Effect of temperature on the pH of natural waters. J Am Water Work Assoc 38:179–185
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>Larson TE (1975) Corrosion by domestic waters. Urbana Illinois (Bulletin 59)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Muirhead WM, Farmer G, Walker S, Robb L, Elmendorf H, Matthews R, Melcer H (2006) Study of raw wastewater  $BOD<sub>5</sub>$  and cBOD5 relationship yields surprising results Paper presented at the WEFTEC. October, Dallas,TX. [https://doi.org/10.2175/19386](https://doi.org/10.2175/193864706783749459) [4706783749459](https://doi.org/10.2175/193864706783749459)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Nopens I, Capalozza C, Vanrolleghem PA (2001) Stability analysis of a synthetic municipal wastewater. University of Gent, Belgium



- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Qu W, Kelderman P (2001) Heavy metal contents in the Delft canal sediments and suspended solids of the River Rhine: multivariate analysis for source tracing. Chemosphere. 45:919–925. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(01)00101-1) [doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535\(01\)00101-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(01)00101-1)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Robinson RA, Stokes RH (1965) Electrolyte solutions. Butterworths Publications Limited, London
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Rodier J, Legube B (2009) Merlet N et coll. Dunod, L'analyse de l'eau. Paris
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Scalf MR, McNabb JF, Dunlap WJ, Gosby RL, Fryberger JS (1981) Manual of groundwater sampling procedures. National Water Well Association, Westerville
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Schock M  $(2013)$  Communication personnelle de MR schock. Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency , Washington
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Spellman FR (2020) The science of water. 4th edition. Imprint CRC Press
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Theodore L, Ryan Dupont R (2019) Water resource management issues, 1st edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

